



Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana

September 25, 2012

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Sam Jenkins at 3:05 p.m., Tuesday, September 25, 2012, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street). Today, we have joining with us as we call forward the Rev. Dr. R. Jones Moore, Pastor of the Sunrise Baptist Church. Come forward please sir.

Councilman Shyne: Can I say Hallelujah before he comes in?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Absolutely, and Amen too.

Councilman Shyne: Hallelujah!

Invocation was given by Pastor R. Jones Moore.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman O. Jenkins.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Going to ask Pastor Moore to come back up, is he still here? Please come back up Pastor. Just wanted to express appreciation to you for coming down today and leading us in our invocation. Very proud of you and your ministry in the City of Shreveport, and Pastor Moore pastors the Sunrise Baptist Church on Lakeshore Drive. And just wanted to say to you how much we appreciate what your church is doing in the community, investing a lot of money out there, and you took that old Reeves Marina that used to sit next to the church that was just vacant there and we appreciate the lease, cause they basically just gave that (inaudible) to improve the church and they turned that into a very beautiful and useful Family Life Center, and he's opened the doors of that Family Life Center that other organizations could come in and meet and have a nice, wholesome, decent, clean place to come together and plan for the community. So we just wanted to tell you how much we appreciate you and what you're doing in our city, and we need voices like yours in times like these. We need our spiritual leaders to step up and to pray for our community and to pray for a cease to the violence that we're seeing that's going on in our city. So, just

continue to fight the good fight and continue to do the good work. Did you bring some of your members down with you? I'd like to see them if they're here from Sunrise.

Pastor Moore: Alright, I know one of my deacons, he's over there. We're just so proud of him.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is that Deacon Woodard?

Pastor Moore: Yes sir.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Dec, we're glad to see you come down to day, and appreciate your presence here in the Chamber with us today. Just wanted to express our appreciation to you.

Pastor Moore: Alright. Be blessed.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I know that he also has another deacon that probably is busy over at 1234 Texas Avenue, that's Assistant Chief Wayne Smith who is probably one of the individuals who put forth a lot of the actual work to help to convert that old Reeves Marine space into the Family Center that it is. And so I want to commend Assist Chief Smith for his work in helping to bring that effort to fruition, and also Dr. Moore is the Pastor of one of Shreveport's most revered icon, Ms. Mamie Wallace is one of his members, and she is one of the folks whose shoulders we all stand on. For those of us who have all hoped for a better Shreveport, she is one of the folks who actually stepped out and sacrificed to help make that happen. So, certainly appreciate the leadership you provide to her and the rest of the congregation. Good to see you Dr.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, Dr. Moore, before you go, Mr. Mayor, I don't know whether you would believe this or not, but when he was a boy riding by my house on his bicycle, and I know I don't look that old, he used to always say I want to be like that man right over there. And he turned out to be a great man. I know his dad, his grandfather, his aunts, and the whole family. He comes from a family of preachers. Am I right Dr. Moore?

Pastor Moore: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: Comes from a family of preachers. So, we're just glad to have you down here.

Pastor Moore: Thank you sir.

Councilman Shyne: And I'm glad that our Chairman there extended an invitation to you. He's the kind of person who believes in reaching out to the community, and matter of fact Sam, if you don't mind it, not only reaching out, but reaching into the community. Right. Sam is a good man. Thank you Doc.

Pastor Moore: Thank you sir. Alright. May I say something now?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Please Sir.

Councilman Shyne: Yes sir.

Pastor Moore: Here is something for you all to consider in your pondering this evening. The Lord gave you a mind for education, He gave you eyes for observation, He gave you a mouth for communication, He gave you a tongue for taste sensation, He gave you hands for your occupation, He gave you feet for transportation, He wrapped you in skin for insulation, He gave you a heart so the blood would have circulation, He gave you His word for inspiration, and he gave you Jesus for Salvation.

Councilman Shyne: Alright. Chief, you heard that huh? Great God almighty.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, you're going to get Councilman Shyne excited in here. Alright Madam Clerk, please call the roll.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, September 10, 2012 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, September 11, 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman Everson: I just wanted to make an acknowledgement to the Jewish Community of Shreveport. Today at sunset starts Yom Kippur, their most holy day of the year, and so I want to wish everybody the happiest very solemn occasion. But Tzom Kal is the traditional greeting of Yom Kippur, and also to which the community is observing Yom Kippur today, G'Mar Hatimah Tovah which is yearly blessing. This is their day of atonement, and it starts their new year, started about a week ago and then they have this festival, or this celebration of their sins for the last year to be forgiven and move forward with better action. So just wanted to recognize that and thank that sentiment of our community today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Fantastic, very well spoken. Going to ask that you keep Councilman Ron Webb and his family in our prayers and we certainly want him to know that we're thinking about him, as he tends to his father who I understand is critically ill. So lets be sure to keep our colleague and those of you that are listening to keep the Webb Family in your prayers, and hopefully things will turn out well, but at the same time, we want you to know that our thoughts and our best wishes are with you Ron for your father. Do we have any other Council Members with awards, recognitions or distinguished guests? Alright seeing none, Mr. Mayor any awards, recognitions or distinguished guests?

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, as usual, we certainly express our thanks and appreciation for any and all who are here in person today. For those folks who are watching us by Ustream as well as those folks who may be watching by COMCAST, hoping that the pixilation isn't catching you if you're on COMCAST, and that the sound doesn't go off during the midst of the broadcast and if it does, either come on down and join us live or go online and pick it up on Ustream.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, is that it Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Well we do have do have (inaudible), but I'll let you all (inaudible) well now, I'm trying to follow (inaudible).

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor is there any communications relative to city business?

Mayor Glover: We will combine them all here Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind. We're going to start off with reminding our citizens of a couple of important events we have that are coming up for those of you who have been involved with your neighborhood watch or your neighborhood associations and have annually participated in the 'Night Out' initiatives throughout the area. I think we've been celebrating these for somewhere close to 20 years here in Shreveport now, going back to my first recollection being during the Beard administration, during the early '90s. As you all will remember just a few years ago, myself and Mayor Walker from across the river decided to give us all a break, and deviate from our northern neighbors, who otherwise observed National Night Out on the first Tuesday in August. And most of us know that the first Tuesday in August can be pretty oppressive here in the south, and so we have in the last several years moved our efforts to the first Tuesday in October, and that is coming up and we are certainly encouraging all of our neighborhood groups, neighborhood watches, all of our associations across the city to make sure that they contact the Shreveport Police Department, and let them know of your interest in participating. The weather should be mild and wonderful and certainly conducive to neighbors having a chance to come out and to meet their neighbors from next door, across the street and down the block and develop the kind of relationships and connections and communications that have allowed us to continue our proactive efforts to reducing crime here in Shreveport. And you we'll be getting you all as Council Members a comprehensive list of the various locations for this year's celebrations across the city, so that if your schedules allow, that you'll get a chance to get out and visit some within your districts and others maybe across the city as well. But we look forward to having a chance to be able from the Administration's perspective to be able to catch a good cross section of it, and we know as usual that Shreveport Police and Fire, and other various departments will be out in force on that night as well. And as you all remember we crown a "Best Effort" in each of the 13 police districts. So for those folks out there who are ready to compete this year. And we want to make sure we see your best effort again, and we look forward to being able to soon announce the winners. We also want to make sure Mr. Chairman and members of the Council that all (inaudible) that we have our first ever Louisiana Film Prize, our first film festival taking place here in Shreveport the first weekend in October. It'll go I believe if I'm correct on Friday, Saturday and Sunday in October, we'll have notations between the Robinson Film Center and Artspace, and a couple of other places throughout downtown Shreveport. One portion of the effort, that calls for Texas Street in

front of the Robinson Film Center to actually be blocked off for a celebration to take place that night on Texas Street. There were 70 plus entries of individuals who came from in some instances around the world to come to Shreveport to produce their film short, which is what this film festival is focused on, film shorts or film productions that vary in length, I believe from 7-15 minutes. That 70 plus was whittled down to a group of 20 (inaudible) and those 20 film shorts will be available for pretty much around the clock viewing at those venues located downtown. And we certainly encourage folks to come out and buy a ticket that allows you to attend all three days, be a part of the celebration and help to continue the growth and development of the indigenous film industry here in Shreveport, Bossier City and Northwest Louisiana. Also the Red River Revel is coming up as well, and that's something obviously we're always excited about and look forward to, and so we encourage our citizens to come out, participate and be a part of that effort. And the things I have jotted here in my list, I want to make sure that everyone is aware that the Louisiana Tech-Texas A&M game has been moved to October 13th. So those who intended to go, said they would go now have a chance to actually go and one of the things that should be exciting for those folks who are ready to see some exciting, almost point-a-minute football, I've flipped back and forth. Last Saturday night between a rather pedestrian LSU game that still game out as a victory, Louisiana Tech game where they beat a Big Ten opponent in Illinois and scored almost 60 points Mr. Chairman. So we hopefully will see a repeat of that 50+ performance against the Aggies of Texas A&M. I just hope that the Aggies don't score 60 points in this particular case. But we certainly look forward to a great night there. Last few things, we're going to ask Mr. Chairman, I want to ask Chief Mulford and these individuals you see assembled here in their pink to come forward. Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, October 1-8, 2012, all Shreveport Fire Department Personnel are in pink to support Breast Cancer Awareness and to encourage women and men at risk to get tested annually. One of eight women in the United States is affected by breast cancer. Our Fire Department considers it both a responsibility and a privilege to lead the effort to rally around the community in North Louisiana to help raise awareness. This issue not only affects firefighters (inaudible) increased occupational exposures, but also impacts the people we serve, and this represents a perfect opportunity to rally around and honor those who have given their lives or are currently undergoing treatment. This is great community outreach and allows the public to get involved by purchasing the shirts and enjoying the firefighters Ranchland Uniform is making the shirts available to the public with all proceeds going to Breast Cancer charity. So you can see Mr. Chairman and members of the Council they are all adorned in their pink. They almost look like the NFL during the month of October. So, we certainly think this is an

outstanding effort. We appreciate Chief Mulford and the men and women of the department bringing it forth, and participating, and at this point, we'll turn it over to you Chief Mulford.

Chief Mulford: Thank you Mr. Mayor, Mr. Chairman and Council Members. You know as we sat down and we kinda kicked around some different ideas of what we could do to give back to our community. Something that we've done for years through the Shreveport Fire Department is hand out smoke detectors for free in order to save lives. And to educate the public on things that could be detrimental to their lifestyle, and how we could make the city better and this was one of those things that we looked at, that said, hey, this is a good opportunity for us to bring awareness to our citizens, and one of the other things that we're going to be doing during that week, we're going to be handing out free literature that lets our citizens know where they can get free breast examinations for free. You know as the Mayor said, this affects one in eight women, it affects men as well. And as we look at what we're trying to accomplish with handing out smoke detectors, if we make an impact on one life, and we save somebody's life, this will all be worth it. And the fact that some of these guys don't feel as comfortable as they'd like to feel in these pink shirts, it's a small sacrifice. But one other thing I want to do and take this opportunity is through my friendship with some of the other department heads, I come to find out that our own Shelly Ragle is a cancer survivor, and I wanted to take this opportunity to have her come up and give her a pink T-shirt. You know in having a conversation with Shelly, (you're welcome, hang on just a minute) in having a conversation with Shelly, Shelly was made aware at a young age, because her mother had breast cancer. So in following up, she found that she had as well. And the fact that she caught it in an early stage, we get to sit here and give her this shirt. So we're proud of that. So we appreciate the opportunity, and if anybody has any questions about the literature, or you got somebody in your district that needs a little bit of help, give us a holler.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't have any questions or anything, but certainly want to commend you Chief and the members of the Shreveport Fire Department for keeping this issue in the forefront for us to recognize. It takes real men and real women to wear pink, especially if you're wearing pink for such an important cause. I would like to purchase one of those T-shirts. Councilman Shyne is going to pay for one for me and him. Make sure that (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: Sam, that's a good one. You got me that time.

Councilman S. Jenkins: But in all seriousness, I do want to purchase one. How much are the T-shirts? Is it okay to say that?

Chief Mulford: \$20 for the public, and those proceeds above and beyond the cost of the T-shirts goes to our local cancer charities here in Northwest Louisiana.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well I certainly want to purchase one and - - -

Chief Mulford: Those are available at Ranchland Uniforms.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, and I believe the members of the Council up here will support what you're doing here.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well only if the Chief starts wearing one. I mean my deal is you know a little leadership by example. You can wear it underneath your white shirt, whatever that code is, but I want to be sure - - -

Chief Mulford: During the week of October 1st through the 8th, I can assure you I'll have it on as many times as I can.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'm paying for that shirt then. That's why I'm happy to pay for it.

Chief: Mulford: I'm sorry?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'll be happy to pay for that shirt.

Councilman Everson: Chief, tell us again, what Ranchland Uniforms?

Chief Mulford: Ranchland Uniforms is our uniform supplier.

Councilman Everson: No, where are they located?

Chief Mulford: In Bossier on Airline Drive at Barksdale Blvd.

Councilman Everson: Airline at Barksdale. Right, just wanted to make sure everybody knows where to go pick 'em up and I certainly appreciate it. Its timely for me. I have a very close friend right now that's going through treatment with Cancer. It started as breast and spread throughout her body. And at 35 with two young kids, it's a big surprise and this hits people's hearts. So it's a great issue to focus on.

Chief Mulford: And you know one of the things that we're able to see and do in our daily work through the Fire Department in providing healthcare in EMS, is we see those people first hand that are impacted by tragedies like this. And like I said, if this impacts one person and it makes it available that one person can get the help that they need early, it'll be worth it.

Councilman Everson: Absolutely. Great work guys.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Anyone else?

Councilman Shyne: I just want to see Brian Crawford in one back there.

Mr. Crawford: I'll be in one tomorrow.

Councilman Shyne: Alright, alright.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Chief, members of the Council, I think you've also got some single members of the fire department who were probably young men much like myself who didn't quite understand the principles of washing clothes very well, who have some pink shirts and they've been trying to figure out some place to wear them. And so the week of October 1st is going to give 'em a chance to bring some of those out.

Chief Mulford: I think I have some of those myself.

Mayor Glover: Exactly. And also would add that my mother, Elizabeth Glover is a breast cancer survivor as well and so we certainly appreciate the work that you all are doing to help raise awareness. We know that especially our youth look up to you all as our first responders in a very special unique way, and we have no doubt that the work that you all will put forth will help to save lives. So, thank you much and we very much appreciate the effort.

Chief Mulford: Thank you. Hey, one more plug while I got the mic. October 5th is the Shreveport Fire Department's 175th celebration, and that's going to be at the Fairgrounds on the west side of Independence Stadium from 9-11 in the morning. We're focusing on our youth. We've got Caddo Parish Schools, and some of our private schools, trying to make sure that those juniors and seniors in high school get out. It's a huge recruiting tool for us to reach some of those kids that may otherwise know what the fire department does. And then that night from 6-8, we're hoping to get as many folks from the community as we can. We're

going to have static displays as well as active demonstrations of exactly what your fire department is doing in your community. So we appreciate that.

Mayor Glover: And again Chief, that's the 175th celebration of the Shreveport Fire Department, and that's going to be on the west side of Independence Stadium, am I correct?

Chief Mulford: Yes sir.

Mayor Glover: And as you mentioned, there'll be an early segment from 9-11, and they'll be back from 6-8 that evening, Friday October 5th. Got it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you, thank you so much.

Mayor Glover: Thank you much Chief, thank you much guys. Lastly Mr. Chairman, and at this point, I'm going to call Rod Richardson to come up and give me a little bit of technical assistance, and ask that we have a chance to dim the lights here if we can inside the Chamber. For those of you who are on Facebook and Twitter or who just simply know any of the young people here throughout the Shreveport area, you probably have heard that there are two Shreveporters who have made quite a name for themselves on the national stage here within the last week or two weeks. And we're going to start with Rod playing a little segment on the first one. See if you all recognize who we're talking about.

Councilman Webb: Is that Willie?

Video Presentation - 22-year-old Dez Duron, and Evangel High School graduate who wowed the judges on ``The Voice" with his rousing rendition of the Hall & Oates classic ``Sara Smile."

Mayor Glover: Alright, we'll pause it there Rod. Now before I had a chance to know this young man as a singer, and I believe this came on last night if I'm not mistaken, I knew him as a young high school quarterback here in Shreveport. That is Dez Duron, and he performed for the Voice in April, but was a no go during the auditioning phase. This time, he had a chance to come back before, and as you saw, he wowed all four judges and was chosen for Team X-Tina, which I assumed was for Christina Aguilera, representing Christina Aguilera for his cover as you all heard of doing 'Smile', by the '80's duo Hall & Oates. Dez Duron is 22 and in addition as I mentioned to having been an outstanding high school quarterback here in Shreveport, he is now currently attending I think a

school that may have beaten Mr. O. Jenkins' Alma Mater a couple of times. He's currently attending Yale University and (inaudible) Connecticut.

Councilman O. Jenkins: (Inaudible) not yet this year.

Mayor Glover: He is the son of Denny and Deanza Duron, and obviously as you can see from the reaction to his performance last night, he's going to have the opportunity to continue his efforts on The Voice under the guidance and tutelage of Christina Aguilera as he furthers himself in this competition. So at some point, we hope to be able to have a chance to be able to recognize him here back in Shreveport. But in the meantime, we wanted to take a quick minute and let him know and let his family know that we recognize the outstanding work that he's done, not only on the football field, the baseball field, the classroom, but also displaying talents that he's cultivated here in Shreveport, now being displayed on the international stage. But now that's not the only young man from Shreveport who people are talking about all over the country right now. We have another on that Rod is going to allow us to see a quick piece of right now.

Video Presentation - Will Jones, 18, a Captain Shreve graduate who shocked the judges and the audience on the "X Factor" with his recent performance of Josh Turner's country hit "Your Man."

Mayor Glover: Alright, we'll wrap it up there. Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, that is Mr. Will Jones.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I thought it was Mr. Charlie Pride.

Mayor Glover: He certainly channeling a little bit of Charlie Pride.

Councilman Corbin: He had a familiar haircut, (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: Well now, the power of the 'fade'.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah Mike, yeah Mike.

Councilman O. Jenkins: (Inaudible) City Council first term is what he was thinking. Could have let it grow a little bit, but he (inaudible) already.

Mayor Glover: That was Cedric in the 5th grade. About that tall and a fade about that high. The power of the fade obviously worked in favor of 18 year old Will Jones, a Shreveport native who wowed the crowd on X-Factor, with Josh

Turner's 'Your Man'. The crowd was especially responsive as he belted out the low tunes of a signature Turner song, affectionately known to his friends as Trey Jones, already had begun to light up entertainment websites and blogs including MTV and PerezHilton.com. Praising Jones's throwback style and deep voice as unique and fresh. As X-Factor judge Demi Lovato put it, she loved his sick style, saying it was very Fresh Prince of Bellaire. If Jones wins X-Factor, he will be rewarded with a recording contract worth \$5,000,000, with Psycho Music, an association with Sony Music Entertainment. And just like Dez Duron, we hope to have a chance to be able to more formerly honor and recognize Will for his outstanding accomplishments. We know that he's in the midst of his competition right now, but we want to wish him luck as well, and to let him know that the power of the 'Fade' will carry you through, and we're very proud of him and excited to see them both representing Shreveport in such a wonderfully positive way. So Mr. Chairman?

Councilman Everson: If I remember right, I believe Mr. Jones was part of the Krewe that came before us after the Yazzy Performance.

Mayor Glover: That's correct.

Councilman Everson: A few months back, and I had the chance to hear him sing in a couple of local productions. And while he did Country justice, that guy can sing a lot of different styles. So, I'll be looking forward to some good stuff coming out of him.

Mayor Glover: He's got great range.

Councilman Everson: He does, he does. Because certainly I was - - - I'd heard him sing before, and I still wasn't expecting that.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, that concludes our communication.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Mr. Mayor. Congratulations are certainly in order to both of those young men, and we certainly wish them much success as they go forward. Does any Council Member have any concerns relative to Property Standards?

Reports

Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, we've got Councilman Webb. Ms. Farnell, please come forward?

Councilman Shyne: While she's coming forward, Mr. Sibley, I hope you had somebody look into Denton Street?

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Harris is on it.

Councilman Webb: Dorothy, I apologize for giving you the wrong address the other day on Hillsborough, I'm sure y'all had trouble finding the house. You may have found it because it was pretty much an eyesore.

Ms. Farnell: Yes.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I wanted to ask you - - - I'm getting a lot of phone calls in reference to - - - you were up at the podium talking about it here recently, the new parking ordinance. The fine being \$100 for the first offense

Ms. Farnell: That's Police, that's not Property Standards.

Councilman Webb: Okay, well let me get the police over here. Thank you Dorothy.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thank you Dorothy for all you do.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you Dorothy.

Councilman Webb: Chief, you know the new parking ordinance, you know the \$100 for the first fine, the \$200 for the second, and \$525 for the third. I'm getting several calls about different things and I just figured there's a lot of people probably watching on TV today and if you explain some of the basics on it, some of the references or concerns different people, are they going to be able to park in their back yard, or are they going to be able to park on the side yard, between two properties, even though they may not have but five foot division line, if the neighbor has no problem with it. And I was telling them about how they can do a designated parking spot in the front yard with - - -

Chief Huddleston: With the proper material?

Councilman Webb: Right, with the proper material and everything so if you could elaborate on that just a little bit.

Chief Huddleston: Yes sir, as I recall, and I have not read it in the last couple of weeks, it will apply to the front yard. You can - - - there are some ways you can designate an area with the proper material and the proper outline it becomes a designated parking place. I'm starting to get a few calls today myself, concerns about it. I told them the ordinance has been on the books for quite some time. The only thing that's changed in the last six months has been the fine schedule, and that resulted in all the complaints we were getting about people parking in the front yard.

And one of the things we were asked as the department is why is it not effective, the ordinance. And y'all recalled in the Public Safety meetings, it's the consequence. The \$10 fine. People chose to ignore 'em. When asked for our recommendations, we recommended that the only way to change behavior is to make it more of a consequence. And that's where we are today.

Councilman Webb: Okay, so is it going to be legal or illegal to park in the rear yard?

Chief Huddleston: I don't believe the ordinance addresses the rear yard at (inaudible), and I will defer to - - -

Councilman Webb: Okay, that's all. I just wanted to verify that, and I know I had three people I spoke with just today, I told them to watch the meeting, I'll ask it early on.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Who should they call Chief if - - - kinda following up on what Councilman Webb was saying. Some of them had questions about designating an area in the front yard. For some reason I thought that Property Standards' call to make and I could have been wrong, so who should they call when they call the police?

Chief Huddleston: They can call our Community Orienting Police Bureau, they can call the Chief's office, we'll get 'em to the right place to answer all their questions, explain the ordinance to 'em, provide 'em with a copy if they need one.

Councilwoman: McCulloch The Police actually issue the tickets right?

Mr. W. R. Goodman: (2719 Meadow Ave) On Channel 3 News, they said the homeowner was going to have to - - - that's who was going to actually receive the fine, and not the owner of the vehicle. And I want to know if that's the truth.

Councilwoman McCulloch: That's the way it go.

Mr. Goodman: Even if the person is not home, and somebody just drives up and parks in their yard and walks next door?

Chief Huddleston: We rely a lot on common sense on the part of our officers, if something like that was to occur. Nothing is etched in stone, whether or not mitigating circumstances involving anybody. And most of our officers, the CLOs will be involved in most of that. They're very community oriented, very friendly, and they're willing to talk to people if there's a problem.

Mr. Goodman: Well I understand that, but you've got to be there for 'em to talk to you.

Chief Huddleston: If you got a ticket for that, and it wasn't your vehicle, and you don't know how it got there, you need to call the Chief's office, we'll be glad to sit down with you.

Mr. Goodman: Well I know Mr. Shaw is very fine man.

Chief Huddleston: Yeah, we'd be glad to sit down with you and work out whatever issue you have.

Mr. Goodman: And that W.R. Goodman slip, y'all can get rid of it.

Ms. Farnell: And I just wanted to add, Councilman Jenkins that on Ark-La-Tex Homepage, it is listed on the website that Property Standards is the one that is responsible for it, and it also has my phone num

Ms. Farnell: And I just wanted to add, Councilman Jenkins that on Ark-La-Tex Homepage, it is listed on the website that Property Standards is the one that is responsible for it, and it also has my phone number for property standards, but of course as Deputy Chief Huddleston just said, it is not. We'll get that corrected on the website, but no sir.

Councilman Webb: That's the reason I called you up here.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you. Mr. CAO?

Mr. Sibley: Ignore the request that was there, and Dorothy just want to be sure and clarify that since it was in one of our local media outlets, to make sure the public knows the correct number to call, but that took care of it and we appreciate that Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Any other concerns for Property Standards? I believe we're getting our other reports electronically. We do acknowledge from Chief Self that there may be a little delay on the EMS Transport Report. I believe that will bring us to

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No.114 of 2009*)

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

EMS Transports Report (*Res 239 of 2010*)

Master Plan Committee Report (*Res. No. 132 of 2012*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have a Master Plan Committee Report?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Dara is here.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay.

Ms. Sanders: Good afternoon. I have a brief report. The Master Planning Committee met at the beginning of September. Of course we were primarily focused on the Unified Development Code. And in anticipation of participation from the Parish Commission which was obtained officially last Thursday, as the Mayor mentioned yesterday, the Master Plan Committee worked on you know taking a look at what type of process we would prefer to go through when selecting a consultant team for professional services for the drafting of the Shreveport/Caddo Unified Development Code as well as conducting that comprehensive fee study that the MPC recommends taking during that UDC process. The next Mater Plan Committee meeting is scheduled for October 1st. During that meeting, we will continue to refine that either a request for qualifications or a request for proposals, we'll talk about the pros and cons of each, and make recommendation for one of them. And we'll also take a look at the other short term recommendations. The Master Plan implementation Chapter. You know there are dozens of short term implementation steps that can be taken that are not related to the Unified Development Code or Fee Study. And you know through my neighborhood outreach efforts, I found that a lot of

neighborhood associations and special interest groups are actually working toward Master Plan Implementation without even knowing it. I know with the Fairfield Historic District, this Fairfield Historic Association this past Saturday, and they're actually taking the necessary steps for establishing the first Fairfield Community Garden, which is one of the recommendations of the Master Plan. They didn't even know. The Bayou Chapter of the Ozarks Society is scheduling the Third Annual Red River Cleanup event for Saturday, November 10th, and that's another - - - that's leading to achieving another goal of the Master Plan would be Chapter 4 in our national heritage chapter. So I plan to attend that event, I just wanted to mention it to you all the Red River Cleanup event on November 10th. This group is really dedicated to promoting the water quality and the conservation and the use of the Red River for more than just industry and transportation uses. So I really commend their efforts. That's all I have to present to you today. If you have any questions?

Councilwoman McCulloch: I have a question. I think I asked Jeff and Jeff answered me, but I don't know how sure he was as far as what percentage for the Unified Development Code would be paid by the Parish and the City. Do you have for the record, do you have those percentages?

Ms. Sanders: Yes Ma'am. The resolution that was passed last Thursday by the Parish Commission agreed to pay 25% of the cost of the Unified Development Code and Fee Study. They also offered \$25,000 for initial funding as an indication of their commitment to future funding of the project.

Councilman O. Jenkins: What percentage of the Unified Development Code is actually in the parish and what percentage is in the city?

Ms. Sanders: That's a question that we've gotten from several different parties. It's difficult to say at this point. You know right now, the MPC, the planning area consists of 60% inside the city limits and approximately 40% outside of the city limits. And then again, the city zoning and development regulations are much more sophisticated and complicated than it is in the parish. However, we're trying to incentivize redevelopment and development inside of our city core and really limit the type of development and intensity and density outside of the city limits and outside, especially outside of the city core. So, there is a lot of debate that could occur as to whether or not you know 25% is the actual real number of work, the amount of work to be done with the Unified Development Code. It may be that the consultant helps us in determining it as well.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And if they're every going to do some type of parish-wide, they would naturally use that UDC as the basics for any development.

Ms. Sanders: They could build on that, they could. That's one possibility. That certainly would be more cost effective for them to build upon, because it already exist.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Alright, anyone else? Alright, thank you Ma'am.

Public Hearings: None.

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

Ms. Susan Keith: (341 Wood Vine) Of course I want to speak about the dog park. If you would imagine a park in the City of Shreveport, on the waterfront in a very visible area open to all citizens with a playground, for children who are under 12 years old, for a dog park, for children over 12 years old and their families, rest room facilities for all of them. All of these things provided along with an existing jogging trail, drinking water for the many runs and walks that are held weekly at that park already, and those people who are exercising there could not have drinking water there. That's what we'd like in Shreveport at Hamel's Memorial Park. I don't think its too much to ask. This is a gift of money that's being given by the Waterway Commission for a Riverfront project. Riverfront money for a Riverfront project. The City has golf courses for golfers. We have skateboard parks for skateboard riders. We have tennis courts for tennis players. We have playgrounds for children, and I don't begrudge anyone any of these things, but I think the Dog Park would be a wonderful addition to these. As a citizen of the City of Shreveport, I don't know how I would benefit if the City decided not to sign the collaborative agreement, and give away this money. I don't think as a citizen of Shreveport, I'm better off and so I would hate to see that happen. And thank you very much.

Ms. Cynthia Keith: (2063 Shady Wood Lane) I am here representing the Shreveport Dog Park Alliance. Wanted to kinda let you know what we've been up to, but first I got a fortune the other day in a cookie, and I'm hoping it's a

good sign. And it said a long term goal will soon be achieved. I'm going with it. But what we've been up to, our Shreveport Dog Park Alliance has been doing a lot of networking lately. We've been trying to answer a lot of questions. We were at the Southern Business Association Expo the other day, they gave us a table, and we were able to talk to a lot of people to try to explain things. It seems there's a lot of confusion about what's going on. This dog park is not a priority, and when a lot of citizens are hearing that, they're thinking well that's right, our roads are, our children's education or the Airport is. So immediately they downgrade the dog park, just because they really don't know, so we've been out trying to let people know and understand what a dog park is all about and how it can benefit us. Looking back at 2006 at the priority, I'm tickled to death to know that the dog park was on that priority list from 2006. You can imagine now the response you'd get if you put out questions about dog parks, because they are so popular, it would really rate high. I know the Mayor would like to see a Dog Park, and you'd like to see a lot of dog parks, we've discussed that. And we would like to see that happen when you were running for re-election, you touted us as being a progressive city and hoping to start dog parks. We would like to help you with that. Luckily in the history of the city, we've had other progressive leaders. Thank you for the golf course that one administration gave us on the riverfront. Another administration gave us the spray fountains. What a wonderful thing that we plan to enhance. That was Red River Waterway money, as was monies for the Stoner area and the boat that's currently the Sheriff's Marine Patrol. Red River Waterway money, great things. We're being criticized that this money is not being used for people. It was arranged for dogs first. The first thing we heard from the list of things was the response on dogs. So I wanted to let you know that the dog parks are for people. They are not for the dogs, the dogs enjoy it, but the people have certain camaraderie, it becomes a community, you have an instant friend when you have a dog. It's a great icebreaker. Then the news keeps coming on with editorials. You've had James Smith from Channel 12, Kate Archer Kent from public radio talking about yes we need to have this dog park. Some masses of people are in this. I've heard that one government entity doesn't help another government entity, that's just not done (inaudible). I know government agencies do help, as a matter of fact in our Master Plan which I worked on, it talks about government agencies needing to help its citizens advocate foster collaboration among governmental entities as well as communities across the entire metropolitan region to work toward a shared vision. So I think we need to do that. I know that Shelly Ragle asked us to go to the Parish to see if they could help us with some money, and they did find a way. I don't think that's a bad thing. We were asked to fund raise, that is true. The definition of fundraising, fundraising is a process of soliciting and gathering

voluntary contributions as money or other resources by requesting donations from individuals, businesses, charitable foundations or governmental agencies. And you know this park, Hamels Memorial Park lies in the City of Shreveport, also in the Parish of Bossier, and also in the Parish of Caddo. Caddo Parish has been involved with this park from day one. I've dealt with them as much as I've dealt with anybody in the city. They're in charge of animal control, they would like to stay a part of this, and I think the idea of getting money that they hadn't asked for years and years and years and years, but yet give into the Red River Waterway Commission, I think was a good thing to do. I know it's been kinda misconstrued, it's been kinda taken the wrong way and in hindsight, I don't know if they would have done it they would have known how it was going to be taken. But I apologize if I had anything to do with that. We agree with the things the Mayor wants. The Shreveport Dog Park Alliance sent down a letter, telling the Red River Water Commission, we're commending you for your ideas, you've got some great ideas. And with the dog park (inaudible) ideas also I think that that little park down there is the diamond that's currently a rough right now. I think we need to concentrate not so much on people coming up here saying what are the benefits of the dog park. If they'd look beyond that, we know what the benefits of a dog park are. I think we need to look at the big picture of what would happen if we did not take this money and we lose the money for the dog park. It really speaks negatively of the city. We're being looked at, we have made national news. We are losing citizens, to some, this is the last straw and I hate to hear it, but I talked to someone the other day. This is the last straw, I can't take anymore. At the same time, someone moved into this city, and they Googled dog parks. That's the first thing that they did, and they found out that we had an event, which we had a very successful event Saturday, and he showed up at our event, because he was ready to help us. Let me tell you what we can provide for you. We wanted to be in this too. We wanted to win you, we wanted to join the Parish, we want to partner with you. Currently as of this last very successful event that we had on Saturday, we probably have about \$40,000 that we've raised to this point. I have a donor if we can get the this park okayed, I have a donor that's willing to give \$25,000 right now. There is a local restaurant (I shouldn't say local) a Louisiana restaurant that's been on board from day one. They said they will come in and they will help in a big way after the park is built. We've got to get this park built and utilized all these monies that we have and we are loved, we don't represent a minority, we are part of the majority of people that want a dog park. There's a huge amount of us. Locals love us, the publication. They have us listed in their book and they had a little contest and out of the social service area, they gave six \$1,000 of the ones the people liked the best. And we were up against some pretty strong social service agencies.

Shreveport Green, and things like that. We won \$1,000. So locals do love us. So what I propose, I'm raising the white flag Mr. Mayor.

Councilman Shyne: Alright.

Ms. Keith: I'm not surrendering, but I want to call a truce. I want to work with you, we've never been against you. I want to work with you to make this happen. I want to work with you to help your other projects happen too. So I hope when you vote today if we do vote today that you will understand these things and remember these things and think of the future of what will happen if we don't do this. Thank you.

Ms. Denise and Sean Dion: (201 Pierremont Road) I'm here on behalf of the dog park alliance and I just wanted to speak today and give the dog park a little bit more of a personal input and what having a dog park here in Shreveport would mean to me, and I believe several others. We had a rather unusual life in that we've lived, my husband and in several places around the country. And in each of those places, we have had the pleasure of a dog park, and when we lived in Atlanta, GA, we purchased a puppy. And that puppy played at the dog park in Atlanta on the Chattahoochee River, and learned to socialize with other dogs. We then moved to Chicago, IL, where he again still a puppy was able to play in a dog park near our apartment. We then moved to Detroit, where he was an adult continuing to play with other dogs. Then as we went on to New York City as an adult dog, we literally had to shop for an apartment that would allow a dog inside, no longer looking for a place for us to live, but for a place for him to live, and a place for him to play. And luckily after literally four months, we located that dog house for him that we could afford and we could share. And Lord Byron was his name. We like our poets. And Lord Byron lived up on Upper West Side, and having been socialized in dog parks, he quickly was acclimated to the dogs in the city, and we were actually able to make friends easily in the city with the dog people in our neighborhood and in the park.

Mr. Sean Dion: (201 Pierremont Road) My wife wanted to state that Riverside Park was the park that Lord Byron used to go to. And the thing about the dog park, people say it's for the dogs and the people we've had the arguments of who it is actually for. It is definitely for the people. You find that it is the most democratic with a small 'd' place you'll ever see. You will talk to people you would never talk to otherwise. You will go for months talking to these people. You will not know their names, you'll only recognize them via their dog. My wife would say that. She would say Tony said such and such. And I'd say whose Tony? Well, you've been talking to him for six months. It's the one with the

Bijou. Oh, the Bijou, I know the Bijou. Okay, and so you associate with these people. When we talk about National Nights Out, this is a night out. This is people gathering with other people. These are people who cross neighborhoods, leave their neighborhoods and go to a place to meet each other. Would these people meet each other in other place? I'm 42, I have no children, I don't drink, I'm not going to go to a bar. Okay? I would go to a dog park, because I have a dog. And I want that dog to be friends with other dogs. I want that dog to be friendly to other people, okay? I want that dog to be acclimated. Now Lord Byron was the kindest of dogs. He was part Chow and a part Lab. Now if you know anything about Chows, they can be dangerous, okay? They can be dangerous. But the Lab part of him won, because of the way we treated that dog. And we treated him by taking him to the dog park from the beginning. That made all the difference. We think that the dog park is the right thing to do and we hope that you vote in favor of the dog park. We thank you for having us. I just wanted to say that I'm the teacher of Trey Jones. He actually was my student at Shreve, and he came in yesterday, he's going to be going to L.A. probably soon we hope and he said with the \$5,000,000 he's going to buy a house for his mother. And my other student said well, can I give you a foolish idea? You need to buy a chocolate water slide. But we're very excited about Trey Jones, and we're very excited about the dog park, thank you.

Ms. Dion: I'm sorry, I was a little overcome. What I wanted to say was on 9-11 in New York, it was the dog group that when the people finally made it to the Upper West Side from downtown, a number of us in the dog group had of course stayed together, because that was the only thing that was normal that day. And we purchased big cases of water knowing that the people were walking trying to go home. And they were so, I don't know, eased in some way. All of those dogs became therapy dogs on that morning to those people. And it was something that is very hard to describe. Obviously it was an unnatural moment as it is, but I just want you to sort of think outside of the box for a little bit, that it's not really taking things away from the children or the roads or - - - it actually does much more than that. It puts a place for people that maybe you don't really know that much about, and that dog may be all that they have that they want to share their life with. So thank you though. I really appreciate the time that this council has spent in discussions and coming back and looking back at this issue. And I really appreciate that you put so much thought into it. So thank you.

Confirmation and Appointment: None

Consent Agenda Legislation

To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None.

Ordinances: None.

To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None

Ordinances: None.

Regular Agenda Legislation

Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 133 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the execution of a cooperative endeavor agreement with the Red River Waterway District relative to the construction and maintenance of a Dog Park on land owned by the City of Shreveport adjacent to the Red River in Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto (*Postponed Sept 11, 2012*) (Amendment No. 1 – *Adopted July 24, 2012*) (Amendment No. 2 -*Adopted August 28, 2012*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Where does this stand? I know we've put it off several times to get perfected. I mean is the status that anyone could give us on exactly where this particular ordinance stands right now?

Mr. Thompson: Ms. Glass has been working on this, and she's upstairs on another project. But I talked to her on the phone a minute ago, and she said that they sent some proposed changes down to the Waterway Commission, but the Waterway Commission has not considered them because they are waiting to see what stance the Administration for the city and the Council will take on this. So, some of the changes that they recommended, i.e., the staff, particularly the legal staff, such as I believe that the agreement has that we will operate the dog park in perpetuity. They wanted to change that to a reasonable period of time. And there were other changes that they recommended, technical I guess or legal, that are in our normal, most of our contracts that are not in this contracts. So that's as much as I know.

Councilman S. Jenkins: So, is there a motion to postpone this until the next meeting?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'd like to make a motion to postpone it - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: Second.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Till later on in the meeting, just so I can review this. Because I believe that there are amendments already proposed with this language in it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, you motion is to - - -

Councilman Shyne: I'll second that Mr. Chairman.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until later in today's agenda.

Mr. Thompson: Ms. Glass may be back by that time.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I just want to give more time so I can (inaudible) because I know exactly the changes that he's discussing. I thought we'd already amended those.

Councilman Everson: I agree. I'd like to have the opportunity to ask where it is, so that we can clarify that. And if anymore action is required.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman? You and Councilman Jenkins, I would hope that we would be able to get a vote on this today. So whatever the changes are, I would hope that we'd be able to vote on it today, and if it's not perfect, not very many things are perfect. Or, if we need to make some amendments, later down the road, I would hope that we would do that. But I would hope that we would be able to do that today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, but before we vote, it appears that we had one other person that wanted to speak on this issue.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well can we still just postpone it until later, because we're not going to be able to resolve this.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, that would be fine. We could vote to postpone until later, but I'm just saying since we're on the subject now, after we take this

vote, I'd like for this individual to come up and have the opportunity to speak. Please vote.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, that's postponed until later in today's agenda. Okay, Council will recognize Mr. Loren Demerath, Alright sir, please come forward.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? As Loren is coming forth, I want to ask are we going to postpone discussion on the matter until later in the meeting as well?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Certainly, certainly.

Mayor Glover: Okay, alright.

Mr. Loren Demerath: (115 Atkins) Well thank you, I appreciate the chance to speak to council. I'm the executive director of A Better Shreveport.org, and I'm a Sociology professor at Centenary College, and I just came from my urban sociology class where we talk about best practices in cities and what makes them work, including a forum like this where citizens get to speak to the Council. We have noted in our class, but we've noted in A Better Shreveport for quite some time that dog parks are an established trend in cities. To have them, they've got the kinks worked out about big dogs with little dogs and all that stuff. They've figured that out. Its an established thing. You know I don't think we want to be the last city in the south without a dog park. We're surrounded by other cities that have them. And so, it's a standing feature now in cities when people come to a city, they're looking for that sort of amenity. And that's why I'm for it. I'm not a dog owner, but I think we should have one for the city. I want people to feel like this is a nice place to live, and it's a relatively inexpensive thing to do to put up a fence with some water and some benches. But there's a lot of people with dogs and there's a lot of people who see that as an asset where they can go to meet other people and watch the dogs play even if they don't have a dog. So, it's a real feature for the community. We've been looking at it for a long time in A Better Shreveport, raising money for it, and we appreciate all the work that the Council has done to sort of clear this way. And we hope you'll support it, but I think in all of our meetings that we have, and we talk to a lot of people. We're relatively a small group, but we talk to people about these issues, and we've heard nary a word agin it. So really. So we do hope you'll support it. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman can I ask him one thing before he leaves. I had a son who just finished from LSU with a degree in Sociology.

Mr. Demerath: Alright.

Councilman Shyne: And, I don't think most people understand who serve on governmental bodies like this how it would be helpful sometimes to just sit in on classes that sociology teachers teach. And I've been shocked from time to time some of the issues that my son raised with me, because I am on a body that makes decisions and impacts society. And maybe I'm asking a lot of you, but I'd like to see you maybe extend an invitation to the members of the City Council, members of the Parish Commission to just come in and sit in on some of your classes.

Mr. Demerath: Absolutely, anytime.

Councilman Shyne: And I think they would be really surprised and really shocked at what goes on sometimes.

Mr. Demerath: Well I'm going to have students sit in here by the way, they're on their way later this semester. So that's going both ways. I also want to say by the way, you know Councilman Shyne, a lot of people don't realize how decision makers are put in a position where they have to - - - it appears they often have to weigh one thing against another and they're asked to make priorities. Well we have so many needs in this community, we can't even begin to rate one over the other. We can't be talking about schools, or safety or whatever, so we respect y'all for having to make those decisions, and we know that that's part of it.

Councilman Shyne: That's why I would say to this group here, it's very good if public officials like those of us could be associated with the academic world. You know because we grow together. You know we grow together, and that's how improvements are made.

Councilman Everson: And you know Councilman Shyne, actually my first class of my college career was taught by Dr. Demerath here. He was my professor in college. It was an 8:00 class and I don't believe I was always the best at making it there, but you were a great professor when I went there.

Councilman Shyne: You don't have to tell me now, but later on I want to know what kind of student he was.

Mr. Demerath: I'll fill you in.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, thank you sir. Alright Mr. Thompson, please proceed.

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NUMBER 159 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A DONATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND SHREVEPORT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, HEREINAFTER CALLED "OWNER" AND ACTING HEREIN THROUGH DONNIE JUNEAU, MANAGER, FOR THE PRIVATE WATER AND SEWER MAIN EXTENSIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES TO SERVE TWELVE OAKS PROFESSIONAL PLAZA AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, Shreveport Development Corporation, hereinafter called "OWNER" and acting herein through Donnie Juneau, Manager, desires to donate to the City of Shreveport the private water and sewer main extensions and related facilities to serve Twelve Oaks Professional Plaza; and

WHEREAS, these mains have been installed under the inspection of personnel from the Office of the City Engineer, reviewed by the Shreveport Fire Department, and determined to meet all city codes and specifications; and

WHEREAS, these lines are required to provide sufficient water volume and pressure to meet the requirements of the new development.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Shreveport a Donation Agreement with Shreveport Development Corporation, represented by Donnie Juneau, Manager, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the draft of said agreement which was filed for public inspection with the original draft of the resolution in the office of the City Council on September 11, 2012.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not

affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 160 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH LOUISIANA TECH UNIVERSITY FOR USE OF INDEPENDENCE STADIUM AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the August 30, 2012 football game between Louisiana Tech University and Texas A & M University was cancelled due to the possibility of severe weather; and

WHEREAS, the football games has been re-scheduled for October 13, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the current contract between the City and Tech specifically authorizes use of Independence Stadium on August 30, 2012 for the football game; and

WHEREAS, City and university officials desire to amend the contract to permit use of the stadium on October 13, 2012, or, in case of inclement weather, any other day, subject to availability and the mutual written consent of the City and university officials.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute an amendment to the Agreement with Louisiana Tech University to permit use of Independence Stadium for a football game on October 13, 2012, or, in case of inclement weather, any other day, subject to availability and the mutual written consent of City and university officials.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION 161 OF 2012

-Amend the “NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED” paragraph to now read as follows:

“NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that the settlement proposal by American Airlines/American Eagle Airlines relative to the airline’s lump sum payment (by cash or certified funds) of fifty (50%) percent of the pre-petition amount, continued maintenance of office and operations spaces currently leased at Shreveport Regional Airport, the payment of full rent for all such space going forward is hereby approved.

-Insert a new “BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED” paragraph following the “NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED” paragraph to read as follows:

“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Attorney’s Office shall utilize all legal remedies available to attempt collection of the remaining balance of the pre-petition amount owed by American Airlines and American Eagle Airlines to the City of Shreveport.”

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Resolution 161 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 161 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR'S SIGNATURE TO THE SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND AMERICAN AIRLINES/AMERICAN EAGLE AIRLINES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, through the Shreveport Airport Authority, has approved a request to enter into a settlement agreement with American Airlines/American Eagle Airlines to accept the amount of \$81,178.88 for the pre-petition bankruptcy claim owed to the Authority as full and complete payment; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that the settlement agreement between the Shreveport Airport Authority and American Airlines/American Eagle Airlines is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Shreveport Airport Authority is authorized to sign all other documents required to complete the application.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt Resolution No. 161 of 2012 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: We were advised yesterday that it was no longer needed and this should be withdrawn.

5. Resolution No. 162 of 2012: Authorizing the use of certain equipment by Loyola College Prep and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to withdraw Resolution No. 162 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 163 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO SUSPENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON OCTOBER 27, 2012 RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AT 2459 EAST 70TH STREET FOR THE CAMP TIGER CHILI COOK OFF AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Michael Corbin

WHEREAS, Fudds Lounge located at 2459 East 70th Street will host a Camp Tiger Chili Cook off on October 27, 2012 between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Camp Tiger charity is sponsored by LSUMC medical students to raise money for disabled and at risk kids to go to camp for a week in the summer; and

WHEREAS, Fudds Lounge desires to dispense and allow the sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the establishment at 2459 East 70th Street during the event; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to dispense alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance, Section 10-190(a) prohibits consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of a business or on other property of a business where said property is open to the public, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure, and Section 10-81 provides that Section 10-41 (requiring a retail dealer's permit) shall not apply to a bona fide nonprofit event meeting the requirements of this section, only when it is held within the confines of an enclosed building; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of Fudds Lounge, 2459 East 70th Street, on October 27, 2012 for a Camp Tiger Chili Cook off.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the suspension of Sections 10-80(a), 10-81, 10-190(a) and 106-130(6) are hereby suspended on October 27, 2012 for a Camp Tiger Chili Cook off, between the hours of 9:00 a.m. - 8:00 p.m. at Fudds Lounge located at 2459 East 70th Street.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Corbin, Mr. Chairman? Councilman Corbin, I do want to let you know that, that was kind of a reluctant 'YES'. I don't know whether my minister is watching this or not, but if he is, he knows my stand on alcohol.

Councilman S. Jenkins: What about chili?

Councilman Everson: Chili is okay with his pastor.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 164 OF 2012

**A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN
ADJUDICATED PROPERTY AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE
PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell said properties as authorized in R.S. 47:2201-2211, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an adjudicated tax interest in the property described in Attachment "A-10" for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all City departments regarding the property described in Attachment "A-10" and has not received any indication that it is needed for city purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the property described in Attachment "A-10" is hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that this property is surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301(1)(d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 165 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can

be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an Adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment "A-10" for non-payment of City Property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the properties described in

Attachment "A-10" and has not received any indication that it is needed for City purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment "A-10" are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this

declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301 (1) (d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth

herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 166 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION DECLARING CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTY TO BE SURPLUS, WHICH WILL AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SELL THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S TAX INTEREST IN THESE ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment "A4" for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the properties described in Attachment "A4" are not needed for public purposes and should be declared surplus properties; and

WHEREAS, LA R.S. 47:2202(B) and Code of Ordinances Section 26-301. authorize a municipality to sell adjudicated property to an adjoining landowner who has maintained the adjudicated property in accordance with said section for a period of one year; and

WHEREAS, Code of Ordinances Section 26-301 provides that the sale price for such sales shall be one dollar and other good and valuable consideration; the real consideration for such sales is the purchaser's effort, labor and expenses in maintaining the property for a full year; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received applications pursuant to the above cited laws from adjoining landowners to purchase its tax interest in each of the properties described in Attachment “A4”.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment “A4” are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Shreveport does hereby authorize the sale of its tax interest in each of the aforesaid properties for one dollar and other good and valuable consideration; the real consideration for such sale is the purchaser’s effort, labor and expenses in maintaining the property for a full year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301(1)(d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED. that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, we’re giving you a lot of authority. I enjoy doing that. You’re a big man and I know you can handle it.

Mayor Glover: I will exercise it judiciously Mr. Shyne, I can assure you.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you sir.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 167 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECT OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 AND CHAPTER 106 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO THE “LOUISIANA FILM PRIZE FESTIVAL” AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, several local organizations, agencies, and venues, including the City of Shreveport, have collaborated to help produce and support the Louisiana Film Prize Festival in downtown Shreveport as a means to showcase the activities in the area and to highlight the entertainment, food, art, history, film, trivia, science, and culture found in the area to visitors; and

WHEREAS, the event in 2011 is scheduled for October 5-7; and

WHEREAS, participants may engage in a number of activities while at the Louisiana Film Festival including but not limited to outside dining and consumption of alcoholic beverages at area café’s and other venues and locations, public street(s) or sidewalk(s) within the festival area, which area shall be defined by permit issued by the City of Shreveport, or while moving from location to location in the festival area.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that provisions of Chapter 10 and Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances relative to prohibitions on outside dining and the consumption, dispensing, possession, or sale of alcoholic beverages on a public street or sidewalk within the festival area, or the consumption or possession of alcoholic beverages while a person is moving from location to location in the festival area are hereby suspended October 5 through October 7, 2012 in connection with the “Louisiana Film Prize Festival” and related events and activities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that for purposes of this resolution, the boundaries of the festival area shall be described or defined in the permit issued by the City of Shreveport Parade Task Force or other city departments or divisions in connection with the Louisiana Film Prize Festival.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other provisions of Chapter 10 and Chapter 106 shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 168 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE EXPENDITURE OF CITY WIDE STREET IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (11C003) FUNDS FOR GROVER PLACE, ASHBORNE, AND VILLAGE GREEN PROJECTS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN MICHAEL CORBIN

WHEREAS, the City Wide Street Improvement Program has an appropriation of \$11,000,000, funded from 2011 GOB, Prop 3 funds; and

WHEREAS, the paragraph numbered 3 in Ordinance No. 114 of 2011 (the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget) requires the City Council to authorize by resolution individual street improvement projects before City Wide Street Improvements Program Funds can be expended for those projects; and

WHEREAS, the City Wide Streets Improvement Program will be funded at \$21,000,000 which, if allocated equally between districts, will be \$3,000,000 for each district; and

WHEREAS, City Wide Street Improvement Program funds should be used to fund Grover Place (India Drive to Pomeroy) at a cost of \$600,000; Ashborne

(642 Ashborne to Spring Lake Drive) at a cost of \$456,000; and Village Green (900-10100 Blocks) at a cost of 213,400.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, approves the use of City Wide Street Improvement Program funds for the following projects Grover Place, India Drive to Pomeroy, at a cost of \$600,000; Ashborne, 642 Ashborne to Spring Lake Drive, at a cost of \$456,000; and Village Green, 900 to 10100 Blocks, at a cost of \$213,400 for a total cost of \$1,269,400; and the Mayor is therefore authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend funds from the City Wide Street Improvement Program for this project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provision, items or application of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provision, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declare severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Resolutions (*Not to be adopted prior to October 9, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 169 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a donation agreement between the City of Shreveport and Oak Haven Drive Water, L.L.C., hereinafter called "Owner" and acting herein through Tim Nielsen, Manager, for the private water main extensions and related facilities to serve Oak Haven Drive and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

2. **Resolution No. 170 of 2012**: A resolution acknowledging that the City Council has reviewed the 2012 Internal "Municipal Water Pollution Prevention Report" concerning the North Regional Lucas Wastewater Plant.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Everson to introduce Resolution No(s). 169 and 170 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Ordinances (*Not to be adopted prior to October 9, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 115 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Property Standards*)

2. **Ordinance No. 116 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Preliminary Estimates*)

3. **Ordinance No. 117 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*SPAR*)

4. **Ordinance No. 118 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

5. **Ordinance No. 119 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Reconciliation*)

6. **Ordinance No. 120 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin) (*Linwood Ave*)

7. **Ordinance No. 121 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Everson) (*SPAR Projects*)

8. **Ordinance No. 122 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Street Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

9. **Ordinance No. 123 of 2012**: An ordinance declaring the City’s intention to acquire full ownership of certain adjudicated properties for the Shreveport Commons Project, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

10. **Ordinance No. 124 of 2012: ZONING C-54-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of Youree Drive, 82’ north of Archer Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA **from SP-3(B-1) Commercial District to SPI-3-E(B-1), Commercial Corridor Overlay (Buffer Business Extended Use District Limited to “Retail Sales of Antiques, Jewelry, Clothing and Garden Relics” only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

11. **Ordinance No. 125 of 2012: ZONING C-55-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance, by rezoning property located on the east side of Linwood, 500 ‘ north of Flournoy Lucas Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA **from B-2, Neighborhood Business District to R-1D, Urban, One Family Residence District** and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

12. **Ordinance No. 126 of 2012: ZONING C-56-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance, by rezoning property located on the east side of Linwood 300’ north of Flournoy Lucas Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish LA **from B-2, Neighborhood Business District and B-3, Community Business District to B-3-E, Community Business Extended Use District Limited to “A plumbing office, warehouse with storage yard” only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

13. **Ordinance No. 127 of 2012: ZONING C-57-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance, by rezoning property located on the east side of Mansfield Road @ Intersection with Baird Extending 910’ south and east side of Mansfield Road @ Intersection with Ardis Taylor, extending 660’ south, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA **from I-1, Light Industry District to B-3, Community Business District**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

14. **Ordinance No. 128 of 2012: ZONING C-59-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of Greenwood Road, 172.5’ east of Metro Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA **from B-3, Community Business**

District to I-1, Light Industry District and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Corbin to introduce Ordinance No(s). 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, and 128 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we just introduced Ordinance 122. It has an amendment that changes the title and replaces the entire ordinance. It's a technical amendment. We would ask that you would adopt that so that when it appears on the agenda again, it would have the correct title and be the correct ordinance. That's the amendment to Ordinance 122.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 122 OF 2012:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 STREET SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

Delete the ordinance with the above title and substitute the attached ordinance with the following title:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE BUDGET FOR THE 2012 STREET SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

Explanation of amendment:

This amendment changes the title and replaces the entire Ordinance.

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 122 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 97 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Bridge Replacement Program and 2012 Concrete Street Improvements*)(*Postponed September 11, 2012*)

Councilwoman McCulloch: So moved.

Mr. Thompson: I believe that the Administration would like for the Council to remove this one from the agenda, and they will come back later.

Mr. Sibley: That's correct Mr. Chairman. Based upon the discussion we've had and looking at some alternate projects, we'd ask that that be withdrawn as well as when you get to 112, the companion ordinance

Councilman Shyne: So moved Mr. Chairman to remove that from the agenda.

Councilman Everson: Is Councilman Shyne's motion to remove Ordinance 97 and 112?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well you can if you want to combine 'em at this time, you can.

Councilman Shyne: What is the pleasure of the Administration? I always like to ask the pleasure of the Administration.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Second on 112.

Councilman Shyne: I want to be known as a person who works with the Administration. So Mr. Chairman if its alright with the Administration, and I think it is, we will add that one in there. Jeff, appreciate you bringing that to the attention of myself and the Administration.

Mr. Sibley: If I may, the comment that we made yesterday, and I thank you Mr. Thompson, our discussions about the source and the use of those funds, in particular the one source that we were looking at, we found an alternate project that we believe the Council would like to do, therefore, we would need to remove that money from the ordinance. And rather than dealing with amendments, we'd rather just pull the whole thing, resubmit it after we've had some further discussions with the council in terms of trying to create a true concrete panel

replacement program that both the Council and the Mayor can agree to and we can go forward at that time. So for now can make the adjustment, simply pull that legislation and come back at a later date after we've had some further discussions.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I adhere to the wishes and desires of the Administration.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You're quite agreeable today Councilman Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Well Mr. Chairman, you know I always try to agree with the Administration.

Councilman Webb: He took his agreeable pill this morning.

Councilman S. Jenkins: He took his agreeable pill this morning?

3 Ordinance No. 112 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *Postponed September 11, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on August 14, 2012 and August 28, 2012, respectively was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to remove Ordinance No(s). 97 and 112 of 2012 from the agenda.

Motion approved to remove Ordinance No(s). 97 and 112 of 2012 from the agenda by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

2. Ordinance No. 111 of 2012: An ordinance authorizing the lease of City-Owned property and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson) *(Postponed September 11, 2012)*

Having passed first reading on August 28, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch.

AMENDMENT NUMBER 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 111 OF 2012

Substitute the attached ordinance for the ordinance previously submitted.

Explanation of Amendment:

This amendment revises the language in the “BE IT ORDAINED” paragraph as follows:

In sub-paragraph 1, amends the language to state that the initial term for the lease of any property shall be a minimum of one (1) year;

In sub-paragraph 2, clarifies the language relative to use of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as the escalator to determine the amount of the lease payment during a renewal term.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, we’re going to ask that you all postpone this ordinance. This is the one that has to do with the Red River District as we mentioned to you on yesterday. In reviewing to make sure that we’ve dotted all ‘Is’ and crossed all of our ‘Ts”. We believe that the language that was originally included within this ordinance was not flexible enough to achieve goals that have been laid out by the Administration that we shared with you all as the Council, having been expressed by the Task Force that we had empanelled, rather than not have it in the form and posture that we think that it absolutely should be in. We’re going to ask that you postpone. We believe that also, based upon the fact that this involves a lease agreement which is a three reader that it’s going to be necessary for us to actually advertise this on the three separate occasions as its called for by state statute. So we won’t be acting on this until some point, I would imagine the first meeting in November.

Councilman Everson: I simply was going to say the same thing. I had heard that from the Administration earlier and just wanted to verify. My only question was I wasn’t sure if we needed to adopt the amendment before postponing. So we do need to adopt the amendment before postponing.

Mr. Sibley: And Julie, if we ask Ms. Glass, that kinda sounds like a similar situation to the other one. We may need to adopt the amendment, so they can be advertised properly.

Ms. Glass: Changing the title?

Mr. Sibley: Yes.

Ms. Glass: Yes.

Councilman Everson: So what I would like to do is move to amend.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Second.

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 111 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to postpone Ordinance No. 111 of 2012 until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

4Ordinance No. 113 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Debt Service Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on September 11, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So that we're clear and I know that I've said in the past that I'm very pleased with the way that the Administration has gone with some of this debt service I'll call it, restructuring as well as just their financial assessment of where we stood. You know a quick analysis and I understand it, property tax is something that not everybody pays the exact, though we all pay the same percentage, via fact when we see an increase in property tax, it is not an equal percentage across all of our properties in the City of Shreveport. But plus or minus some percentage points, somewhere in the realm of 10% reduction in city Ad Valorem taxes with regard to that portion which is debt service. So that equates to, I don't know, 4-5% roughly on marine math, and I always thought that it a risk to do math in public, but suffice to say that we should across the city see some reduction in our city taxes based on this piece of legislation, which I think is both appropriate and prudent, based on where we stand financially in the city. So I applaud the Administration for pushing that forward.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Sibley, he kinda sounded like Mitt. Romney. I want to sound like Bill Clinton. He said math, I want to use the arithmetic.

Councilman Everson: Well I will say that former President Clinton and Councilman Shyne, they both have the length of their comments in common.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Now Councilman Shyne is being easy today, don't y'all rile him up.

Councilman Shyne: There you go.

Councilman Everson: Exactly, I shouldn't pick on you on such a good day.

5Ordinance No. 114 of 2012: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Ridgewood and Blom Blvd as a four-way Stop intersection, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

Having passed first reading on September 11, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.

Mr. Sibley: One comment please Mr. Chairman if we may, just before you vote.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. CAO.

Mr. Sibley: Yesterday, we mentioned the possibility of an amendment if this ordinance were to pass. And after review by legal and discussion, we don't see a need for that. We think that the language is legal, its sufficient as it is, so. If the Council passes it, there shouldn't be any problem going forward with it.

Councilman Webb: And if I may add, I appreciate that Mr. Dale. I'm going to be monitoring this with the neighbors, and if for any reason at all, I see that this is going to create a problem rather than benefit, because that's what my whole goal is for this, is strictly safety. And if it becomes more of a bad thing than a good thing, I'll be the first one to bring it forward to get it changed back. So I just wanted everybody to know that. I did the same thing when I put up a 4-way at Blom and Crabapple. It's a road that we had a couple of fatalities on, and even speaking with the crossing guard at that intersection, its just worked like a charm, you know. Not to say that this will be the same, but hopefully it will be. I feel like it will be. So I appreciate you know the confidence, and the Council approving this and I appreciate it. Thank you.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

6Ordinance No. 110 of 2012: ZONING APPEAL – C-51-12: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of West 70th Street, 1530 feet west of Broadacres Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, **from B-3, Community Business District and R-A, Residence-Agriculture District to I-1, Light Industry District**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed September 11, 2012*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there an amendment on that one? We put an amendment in on that one. I think what we were going to do was just change everything to B-3. Is that the right one?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well this one, we brought up last session?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right. And we were going to I think it's a certain portion of the property.

Mr. Sibley: Amendment 1?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah.

Mr. Thompson: Alright, I see it now Mr. Chairman.

The Clerk read the following:

Having passed first reading on September 11, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson seconded by Councilman McCulloch.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 110 of 2012

Delete the title and Section 1 of the ordinance and substitute the following:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST 70TH STREET, 1530 FEET WEST OF BROADACRES ROAD, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-A, RESIDENCE-AGRICULTURE

DISTRICT TO B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of West 70th Street, 1530' west of Broadacres Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, be and the same is hereby changed from R-A, Residence-Agriculture District to B-3, Community Business District:

The E 105' of the W 420' of Lot 21 and the E 105' of the W 420' of the S 134.86' of Lot 22, J. M. Clarke Sub. , Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA

Explanation of amendment:

Changes the ordinance to only rezone portion of the property that is currently zoned R-A, and to rezone that portion to B-3 instead of I-1.

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch, to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 110 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt Ordinance No. 110 of 2012 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 110 of 2012

Delete the title and Section 1 of the ordinance and substitute the following:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST 70TH STREET, 1530 FEET WEST OF BROADACRES ROAD, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-A, RESIDENCE-AGRICULTURE DISTRICT TO B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of West 70th Street, 1530' west of Broadacres Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, be and the same is hereby changed from R-A, Residence-Agriculture District to B-3, Community Business District:

The E 105' of the W 420' of Lot 21 and the E 105' of the W 420' of the S 134.86' of Lot 22, J. M. Clarke Sub. , Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA

Explanation of amendment:

Changes the ordinance to only rezone portion of the property that is currently zoned R-A, and to rezone that portion to B-3 instead of I-1.

ORDINANCE NO. 110 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST 70TH STREET, 1530 FEET WEST OF BROADACRES ROAD, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-3. COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT AND R-A, RESIDENCE-AGRICULTURE DISTRICT TO I-1, LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of West 70th Street, 1530' west of Broadacres Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, be and the same is hereby changed from **B-3, Community Business District and R-A, Residence-Agriculture District to I-1, Light Industry District:**

The east 105 feet of the west 420 feet of Lot 21 and the east 105 feet of the west 420 feet of the south 134.86 feet of Lot 22, J.M. Clarke Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA and a portion of Lots 21, 22, 23 and a portion of the E/2 of abandoned street, being more particularly described as: Commencing at the NW corner of said Lot 23, thence run N89°24'40"E 334.02 feet; thence run S0°5'41"E 942.04 feet to the north R/W line of West 70th Street; thence run

N89°46'42"W along north R/W line 105 feet; thence run N0°6'53"W 413.77 feet; thence run S89°33'20"W 229.11 feet to the center of abandoned street; thence run N0°4'5"W 526.21 feet to the POB containing 5.04 acres M/L.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Site development plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any permits.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 113 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 DEBT SERVICE FUND BUDGET, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for the Debt Service Fund, to appropriate new revenues and for other purposes.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Ordinance No. 130 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the Debt Service Fund, be amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts),

Decrease Ad Valorem Tax by \$6,936,200

In Section 2 (Appropriations),

Increase 2012 Requirements – Principal and Interest by \$24,220,000 and Decrease Fund Balance 12/31/2012 by \$31,156,200.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 130 of 2011 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 114 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF RIDGEWAY AND BLOM AS A FOUR-WAY STOP INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Ron Webb

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened to create and establish the intersection of Ridgeway and Blom as a four-way stop intersection requiring all traffic and vehicles approaching this intersection to come to a full stop before entering the intersection.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, where are we now Mr. Thompson?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we postponed Resolution 133, so I don't know if you're ready to - - -

Ms. Glass: Is that the dog park - - -? Yes Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: So we are ready?

Ms. Glass: I didn't email it to everyone, but I do have it in writing here, if you're okay with the Clerk just having it, I have not had a chance to email it. What it does is, it changes the agreement that the resolution approves to the form of the agreement that the City Attorney's office suggested. I don't have the whole list in front of me, but it was things like the agreement had said that you have to maintain the dog park in perpetuity, and I think I've sent the list of the changes to everyone before now. And so this would authorize our version of it. I would say that the Waterway Commission has not yet approved that version, but this would set us up where the Mayor could sign that if we can get that worked out from their end.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor, I believe you had some discussion that you wanted to bring up on it?

Mayor Glover: I'll yield to any Council discussion at this time.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I didn't see anyone come up. Any Council Members have any discussion on it? Procedurally speaking, I guess is what I'm trying to determine. This would be in a posture to move forward today although the Waterway Commission (inaudible) would have a right to review it and adopt it from their board later on. Is that basically what we're saying?

Ms. Glass: Right, that's correct. This would authorize the Mayor sign it in this form, and then you know of course you need their subsequent approval.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, but all of the concerns that we had have been dissolved. Is that what I'm hearing?

Ms. Glass: That's correct.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't see a Council Member up right now Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, and to those folks who have come here to speak in favor, or anybody else who is here against. Obviously, this has been an issue that has generated quite a bit of interest. I think it's an excellent example of how those things I guess end up being salacious have the capacity to be able to take precedence over other more

substantive, more pressing issues that face our city, our state and our country, but you know that's kinda the dynamic under which we operate within the political realm, so we welcome that. And I notices that there have been a lot of things said back and forth, lots of emotions that have come from various directions and what have you and even Cynthia Keith earlier waved I guess metaphorically her white flag, and I guess in order for one to wave a white flag, it means that you have to have been engaged in battle or combat of some sort. And I want to say that from my perspective in representing the Administration of the City of Shreveport, there has been no battle, or no war of any sort that we've engaged in. We've just simply been very consistent in our posture and our position with regard to the utilization of almost \$300,000 worth of not free money, Ms Keith, but taxpayer money. Taxpayer money from the folks who live in Shreveport and Caddo Parish. And so there is no such thing as free money in this particular situation. And it is true that I have expressed support and am in support of dog parks for the City of Shreveport. Believe that we ought to have them, or that we should have them. The bottom line of it is though is that this is not the way in which we ought to go about facilitating dog parks for the City of Shreveport. Let's start specifically with regard to this particular process and the Shreveport Riverfront. There are a list of projects that you all are now aware of that we have since presented to the members of the Red River Waterway Commission that represent the citizen driven priority for the City of Shreveport with regard to public investment on the Shreveport Riverfront. The vast majority of those start at Riverview Park. The area of our riverfront area, the area of our riverfront park complex that is presently most utilized on a daily basis by the citizens of the City of Shreveport. A place where at any point and time, even today here in late September, as warm as it is right now, I'm sure there is a very good possibility that you may find family members out enjoying themselves in this area. That is an area of our current riverfront park infrastructure that is in need of significant investment. And I have made that known to the folks with the Parish of Caddo when they began this effort to try and secure funds for parts of the property that is not controlled, or owned or directed by the Parish level of government. I made that known to this Council and to the Waterway Commission. And so if we're going to have a conversation about where those dollars, where those priorities where public dollars end up going, I said consistently that we're not going to start with the dogs, we're going to start with the people. And that's where we consistently stand. Next in that regard, even once you talk about the riverfront park area, and you move down to the Stoner woods area, and then you move on to the Charles and Marie Hamel's area, this particular portion of dog park within the context of the Charles and Marie Hamel's suggested improvements represents only a very small portion of the submitted, desired, and requested

improvements to be done within the Charles and Marie Hamel's Park. And so the idea that we skip Riverview Park, the idea that we skip the Stoner woods area, the idea that we skip over all of the other investments that we've identified for the Charles and Marie Hamel's Park area, and start with the dog park is something that I find completely and absolutely unacceptable. I said from the very beginning that I support the idea of this group, the Shreveport Dog Park Alliance, raising dollars to build this particular park. I said that with the understanding, with the expectation that they were going to be about raising those dollars from non-Shreveport or non-Shreveport accessible sources of funding. What does that mean? All of the various events and functions, foundations, individuals, groups and what have you. Even the Parish of Caddo, if you wanted to access those dollars from those particular sources. But to say that we were going to take almost \$300,000 of City of Shreveport money, or almost \$300,000 of money that could potentially be accessed for the City of Shreveport for a dog park would have been something I would have said that I'm not for, right from the very beginning. Why? Cause I want world class things for Shreveport. Want to have a world class road system. We need that. We don't yet have it. Wanted to have world class law enforcement. We're working towards that. Wanted to have world class fire department. We have a Class I fire department. So they are amongst the very best anywhere around. But now if you're going to tell me that from day 1, I want the City of Shreveport to start off with world class dog parks? I'd have to say I'll settle for a pretty average dog park. Now what does that mean? It was referenced that there are other dog parks around the area and around the state. That's true. There's also a state based restaurateur whose been a big part of helping to make those other dog parks happen. One of the things that we have suggested throughout this whole discussion was the possibility of seeing dog parks developed in other places and other parks across the City of Shreveport that would not call for the kind of \$300 plus thousand development that we're talking about here. \$300 plus thousand, that if you took that funding and you went to Baton Rouge, you would pay for every one of the dog parks (I think there's five of 'em presently) in Baton Rouge for that amount. But here in Shreveport, our thing is we want to ship funding away before we know what else we get for investments along the Shreveport riverfront, and build a state of the art facility and not do that which we could do with probably that same restaurant in some of our other existing facilities. Like Betty Virginia, like Bill Cockrell, like Highland, like Southern Hills. Places that are already right now a part of our current park infrastructure, dog parks that could be done in conjunction with the private sector and even with dollars that have already been raised by this group for much less. Dr. Demerath himself, just said how much does some fencing cost? The one thing that we know is that we get away from

the Shreveport Riverfront, and public dollars of this magnitude, we know that it does not cost almost \$300,000. And so the bottom line is I respect the Council process. I cannot control or dictate how you all vote today, and respect how you will vote. I can assure you that that vote if it passes, will be vetoed. And just as I cannot control your vote, this Council cannot control this left hand. And so will respect this Council, will respect the process that you all are in the midst of. We do not believe that this is the way and the manner in which this particular process should be pursued and addressed. We do not believe that the way in which the dollars have been identified, starting with skipping over all of those things that we think affect people. And I know that for people who own dogs are people as well. But to know that we have actual needs in the Riverview Park area, that actually endanger our citizens right now, and that if we were to address this dog park issue before addressing those issues is not a process that I can stand by, abide or support. Now I'll add to that as I referenced to the folks at the Red River Waterway Commission, in addition to the almost \$2,000,000 worth of projects that we presented to them. I think it's also relevant for us to be able to have the opportunity to go back and detail to them two other areas along the Shreveport riverfront, that represent areas in need of significant continued investment of public dollars. Start with the Barnwell. That particular project was funded in last year's bond issue for \$1.5(million). The truth of the matter is that that number is woefully inadequate to address the full needs of that project. So before I'm willing, as Mayor of the City of Shreveport, or as a citizen of this city, to support the appropriation of \$300,000 worth of public dollars that could be available to address possible needs at the Barnwell, I can't support this dog park in this way and this manner. The second thing I would add to that conversation as I shared with the folks at the Red River Waterway Commission as well, I think this is especially relevant since we have now taken possession of the Red River District, is the iconic Red River Bridge, the neon bridge, the neon Texas Street Bridge. Almost 20 years old. Technology that unfortunately is dated, but an actual work of art, for lack of a better way of putting it, that has become an iconic image of the City of Shreveport. It's been on phone books, it's been on national television, it's a part of what people now see and recognize and connect with being a part of the City of Shreveport. That particular set of circumstances is one that is in need of significant improvement and upgrade. While we may not be comfortable with seeing it that way, the Red River neon Bridge in many respects is like the arc for St. Louis, it's like the Golden Gate Bridge for San Francisco. It's one of those things that when people see it, they connect it with Shreveport and to have it look the way it does right now, without us coming up with some plan in terms of how it is that we come up with the dollars to make that particular situation better, I still or furthermore cannot support this particular scenario. And so to sum it up,

this process is out of order in terms of the particular sequence in which these particular types of projects should be considered for the Shreveport Riverfront. The project itself in terms of the utilization of public dollars represents an inappropriate amount for us to allocate for the utilization towards that effort, that as Kristi Johnson pointed out in her recent column. Good folks over in West Monroe are about to open up their first dog park. They're doing it in conjunction with that very popular Louisiana based restaurant chain. They didn't have to wait Cynthia until the park was opened for that partnership to take place. That opportunity exist right now if we're willing to do something other than talk about the Taj Mahal of dog parks at almost \$300,000. All of those dog parks I would guarantee you from Baton Rouge to New Orleans to West Monroe, all come in at a price range somewhere between \$40 and 80,000 per park. Each of them are constructed within an existing park environment where you have the ability to see it properly managed and supervised. This is a situation where there is going to be a need for a person to be there, to give the license, the paperwork and the other things that are necessary to properly manage and oversee its operation. We don't have that infrastructure or that personnel in place even at Riverview Park or at Stoner Woods Park, certainly not at this point, at Hamel's Park. And so gentlemen I know, and Lady, I know that this is a very popular issue. Its one that as was mentioned as Cynthia has gotten exposure all over the state if not the country. I know I was walking through the airport not too many weeks ago. Somebody tapped me on the shoulder and called me 'Big Dog'. Said I'm the guy from Shreveport involved in the situation about the dog park. So it's something that (inaudible) gets to notice folks who like the salacious angle on politics. But for me, it is about those things that represent the depth, the wisest, the most effective utilization of the public resources and assets that we have at our availability. And in this particular case, this \$280,000 does not represent that. And for that reason, we'll be vetoing it as well as not signing it. Thank you Mr. Chairman and members of the Council.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Mr. Mayor. I have Mr. Everson and then Councilman Webb.

Councilman Everson: Well first I just want to start with a few statements. I mean I've been fairly quiet in this Body about this, but some of the language that you've used Mayor in describing this is - - - you know it's almost insulting to say that you're not going to build a park for the dogs which is just what you said to skip over these and start with the park for the dogs. The thousands of people who signed that petition that live in Shreveport, it feels like you're calling them dogs. You know the people that just spoke to us today, feel like you're calling them dogs. These are people. These are citizens, these are people who are wanting a

park, a dog park is no more for the dogs than a basketball court is for the basketball. It is a place for people to go and enjoy a common activity. And so, you know if you could refrain from using that language, it is insulting to a lot of people who support this, who are citizens. And I understand that this gotten a little bit more heated, and that's why I've tried to stay quiet, because you know I don't think that gets us anywhere on a lot of occasions. But it's - - - you know it's that kind of sentiment that I think is fueling some of this. So if we could you know your argument about the process that was taken, that's a much stronger argument. That's a - - - you know it is an unusual process under which this was taken. And I can understand that. That's a respectful place of contention in this argument. But if we could stay away from insulting the desires of the citizens who've been asking for this dog park. And you know they haven't had to wait for this type of (inaudible) in other communities, is because they've had supportive local government. These people have been asking for your support since 2005. To say that these other projects are citizen driven projects, first of implies that this is not a citizen driven project, and I represent a large part of the area where many of these projects are proposed. I haven't had any citizens contact me directly about the other projects. But I've had thousands sign a petition asking for this, that's not in my district. So there are citizens driving this. I mean you know this is a citizen driven process as well. It was on the same list that all the other projects that your Administration submitted you know and so I don't know why those became a priority and this was just not on there. Because it was on the same list, it was the same citizen driven nature of the other projects applies to this, because it was at that same planning meeting in 2005 or 6, that they were all discussed and put on the list. So, you know it's just omitting that, I'm not sure why. But I certainly understand why these people may have gone through other alternative means, because they've been asking for six years for your assistance. And they don't know what else to do, and they haven't seen it happen. And they would like to see a dog park happen. Now if there is an alternative location that's proposed, I'm sure that they'd be willing to talk about that. Part of the reason that this project is so expensive is the location. It needs to be irrigated, and it's on a very valuable piece of city property that our community passes by. And so that requires a higher quality of fencing. Now I've supported both of those upgraded cost requirements for this project, I think they're adequate. I mean I think they're accurate needs that have to be addressed there. But a large part of that cost is irrigating that line, and that's included in your other project requests that you submitted to the Red River Waterway Commission, so to say that it's not the highest and best use for this park, but that it is a necessary and highest and best use for its own free standing project that doesn't have to do with this, is confusing. I mean it's the same space being irrigated. So that's in large part of

what that cost is, and it will make that entire park more useful, more accessible, and it will provide infrastructure of water to a park that a lot of people already use. So, you know putting the water line over there I understand is a large expense, but its something that helps all the other projects that are mentioned there as well. And the other thing is to say that the only place they can raise money is from non-Shreveport accessible funds, everybody they asked for money that attends one of their fundraisers is able to give Shreveport money. They all do give Shreveport money. So Shreveport accessible funds, I mean that is a very you know, type contingency to put anybody trying to raise money on, that you can't raise money from anybody other than money that they won't give to the City of Shreveport. You know it just is a little bit - - - again it could be a phrasing, it may not be your intent of what you said, the other thing is what you say, you know you would have been against it from the beginning if you knew it was public funding because we need world class roads, police, EMS. No one is arguing that we need those things or that they are very high priority. But could this money from the Red River Waterway Commission be used for better roads? No. Could it be used for better police? No. Could it be used for EMS? No. So it is not an equitable argument to compare those things to this. And you know I just - - - it's just part of the tone of this (inaudible) it got salacious and you know I know that may be informing a lot of the conversation about it, but at the end of the day it's a simple issue. We have a large group of citizens who for six years now have been consistently asking for a simple city service time and time let them down. And so there has to be some way to reach a compromise to figure out a way to get a dog park in this city. That's all.

Councilman Webb: Thank you Mr. Chairman, I was just curious listening to your comments Mr. Mayor about the alternative plan. Have you had an opportunity or invited Cynthia and the group to discuss some of these alternative plans that you were mentioning when you were talking?

Mayor Glover: I would welcome that opportunity Mr. Webb, but here's where this situation turns. It was my understanding up until I became aware of the effort on the part of the parish and the Dog Park Alliance to tap into River Waterway funding for this project, that they were in fact raising their dollars as when Councilman Everson and Councilman O. Jenkins came on council, and we last year, this council supported and passed with the support of this administration the dog park legislation, that they were in the process of raising their dollars for this park from private sources. And that this was going to be the primary focus of their efforts up until the point that they raised those dollars and were prepared to move forward with constructing this particular dog park. It was after that point where I thought it would be appropriate, because there are people who have

complained to me and probably to some of them as well, that having the city's first and at this point, would be potentially only dog park at the eastern edge of the city, you know represents a hardship for folks who live in the central portions of Shreveport and the western portions of Shreveport and the western portions of Shreveport. So it was always a part of my intent to talk about how it is that we end up with dog parks in some of our other existing parks, and at a cost level that would obviously be substantially lower than what was envisioned here. But when this situation moved from we're raising private dollars, and by that I mean dollars that come from individuals, foundations and what have you, but not a funding stream that the City of Shreveport already has a documented history with like the Red River Waterway Commission for improvements along the riverfront. So we end up competing against another group for funding for improvement on property that's owned and controlled by the City of Shreveport, I didn't see that as reasonable. So, I guess probably what should have happened at some point and time, would have been for that to be the understanding that, Cedric, we're not going to just simply look to raise private dollars, we're not going to have our fundraisers, we're not going to get private donations, or our \$25,000 blocks of money are not coming in, and so now we're going to a public source, it would be at that point, where I would say, guys, I can't afford that. I think what we need to do is to look at those scenarios that are in line with what happened in West Monroe, what's happened in Baton Rouge, what's happened in New Orleans, every one of those are areas where you have not had a dog park that's gone in and been built in a green field area, for lack of a better way of putting it, but that has been a part of an existing park infrastructure. Same thing in Texarkana, where you can do it in a way and a manner that are substantially more cost effective, and those corporate partners who are willing to step in and help, their assistance could end up being a lot more effective, because you're not talking about an overall dollar amount that is so great. You could end up with one of these project groups writing a check for an effort for \$300,000 and it's still just a drop in the bucket towards trying to get you to your ultimate goal. But that same contribution would be enough to help to develop individual parks in the same and exact fashion in which those parks moved forward in Baton Rouge, the same way that park is moving forward in West Monroe and in New Orleans, up in Texarkana and other places.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I'm finished.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, I have up here Councilman Corbin and then Councilwoman McCulloch.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Chairman. There's probably not been another piece of legislation that I've received more comments from, telephone calls, emails, people catching me in the grocery store, than the dog park. Both those adamantly for it, and those adamantly against. Part of that may be an education process for those who are adamantly against. And I just want to say that there has been a lot of public input on this either way. I heard two speakers today. One say - - - Dr. Demerath said that put up a fence, water and benches. And I understand that. And then I heard another speaker talk about restrooms and playground equipment, and water fountains that would service the running trail in the dog park, and maybe some other improvements. So I see some wild swings in what we envision a dog park to be. And maybe that's not a discussion we've had up here enough of what do we want our first dog park to be. So I'm struggling with that idea. And I guess the other question I have and Mr. Thompson and Ms. Glass, you could help me with this. If this piece of legislation passes, are we locked into Charles and Marie Hamel Park for a dog park, or can we still look at other locations.

Ms. Glass: Mr. Chairman? The form of the agreement that we put in this agreement, No. 3 does specify that the dog park would be in Marie and Charles Hamel Park.

Councilman Corbin: If there was another waterfront location which would allow use of funds from the Red River Waterway Commission, do we have any idea if that may be negotiable? Or is that just (inaudible).

Ms. Glass: I have not talked to them.

Councilman Everson: If there was another piece?

Councilman Corbin: If there was another piece of property other than Charles and Marie Hamel Park, that it may be a better fit for a dog park.

Councilman Everson: I mean I know that at least in what I recall in the discussions of when we worked on the committee a few years ago about looking at dog park locations, that the Stoner location was initially looked at, but it was one I believe that the drainage was steep, and there was some topographical challenges to doing it there, so that's why the Charles and Marie Hamel was the preferred location. But again, that's just going off my memories from a couple of years back.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I would say my recollection in the discussion of those meetings, we looked at a lot of different areas along the riverfront.

Councilman Corbin: Well I know originally there was discussion of using the amphitheatre site, and starting there and its meandered about the riverfront.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Where is that?

Councilman Everson: Behind the VA Park.

Councilwoman McCulloch: As I was listening to Councilman Corbin, I was thinking, well he's probably asking the question that I wanted to ask, but lets say we pass this piece of legislation today and the Mayor vetoes, Chairperson, we would still have to come back and revisit all of the suggestions that's been brought to the table. Is that correct?

Councilman S. Jenkins: If the Mayor vetoes, we would have to have a vote to override the veto.

Councilman Shyne: Right.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That would probably be a part of the ongoing discussion.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, that's what I wanted to know.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well, if there's no further discussion, I'd like to make a motion to adopt. Whoa, stand by. I believe I have to do An amendment 3 at a minimum.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, I think he needs that paperwork that you have there.

Mr. Thompson: It may be useful if I would read the resolution again, and then read the amendment.

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 133 of 2012**: Authorizing the execution of a cooperative endeavor agreement with the Red River Waterway District relative to the construction and maintenance of a Dog Park on land owned by the City of

Shreveport adjacent to the Red River in Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: There is one amendment that has not been considered. It is Amendment No. 3.

The Clerk read the following:

Amendment No. 3 to Resolution No. 133 of 2012:

Amend the “NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED” paragraph, to now read as follows:

“NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to enter into a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Red River Waterway District relative to the acceptance of funds from the Red River Waterway District for the construction and maintenance of a dog park on land owned by the City of Shreveport adjacent to the Red River, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the document filed with this resolution on September 25, 2012.

Explanation of amendment:

Authorizes a revised agreement with changes suggested by the City Attorney’s office.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Amendment No. 3 to Resolution No. 133 of 2012.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman?

Councilman S. Jenkins: We’ve got a motion on the floor Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Is discussion appropriate? Allowable?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes sir, go ahead. The Mayor should have a right to speak on any matter that’s affecting city business. We have had some comments, but if we could briefly have some more.

Mayor Glover: And I understand Mr. Chairman and I don’t want to belabor this any longer than necessary, but I do think that this is an issue that has been before

the public for a considerable amount of time. And so I don't want to from the Administration's standpoint rush unnecessarily. And I certainly welcome any feedback or comment or response that would come back from council. But I do feel compelled Mr. Chairman and members of the Council to respond to at least some of the things that were offered by Mr. Everson. And to I think to also add some maybe some insight to one of the comments offered by Mr. Corbin. Start with Mr. Corbin. I think as been mentioned by Mr. Oliver Jenkins and the Chair as well as Mr. Everson, there were lots of options that were looked at up and down the Shreveport Riverfront. I don't know of any others that represented viable options. I do know that these are dollars that have to be spent within the area, that is designated applicable area for the Red River Waterway Commission. And so to get beyond the waterway itself and it's shores, I think would be problematic. So in terms of the possibility that these could be used at other parks and facilities in the city, I do not think is a viable scenario. It is something that would have to be restricted to an improvement along the riverfront area. And then with regard to Councilman Everson, and I recognize Councilman that this is something that represents one of our core close issues since you've been on the council, and you take it I guess to heart. And I respect that, but I also want to respectfully say that I've not insulted anybody. And to openly and objectively and respectfully engage in the discussion of issues before the City of Shreveport, and to happen to be in disagreement is not insulting. And that's something that unfortunately not only you have offered as a representation of me insulting or attacking people, but unfortunately some others have as well. But that's not what the honest exchange of ideas and perspectives represent. It represents a difference in view point and perspectives are not any effort to insult you or anyone else who is here to advocate either for or against this particular issue. And so while I respect your comments, I do without question undeniably disagree with them. I think I've also clarified my position and statement with regard to Shreveport accessible funds. For the City of Shreveport to find itself in a position of having to be behind another entity, an organization, and another level of government for funding, for improvement for assets that it owns, is something that I believe even this council should find problematic, if not objectionable. Because it represents a complete convolution of an appropriate process. I mean this thing as Kristi Johnston has quoted me once before, it's 'bass ackwards' without question. And those things that happen to be that way that become before this administration, this body should be something that we should if for no other reason, on that basis offer some of objection to, and not allow them to go forward. And then lastly, Mr. Everson, you offered that time and time again, this group has come before this Council, and this Administration asking for help in getting a dog park done. Now Mr. Everson, that's blatantly not

true. The bottom line of it is, this group wanted to get this dog park effort underway, this administration has offered them expressions of support, said that we are in line and supportive of the idea, supporting the dog park ordinance and what have you. And so we have in fact been supportive of the idea, concept and the approach up and until it became one that switched away from raising the funds under which the manner in which they identified and stated that they would and went in another direction. It was at that point that our support as an administration was withdrawn and we went in another direction. To say that we cannot support that, cannot stand with that, we will not facilitate that. In fact, Mrs. Keith was so excited about the support that came from this administration at the same time, that she threw dogs at you all last year, she threw one at me. I still have my little presa canario that she gave upon the passage of the dog park ordinance. So again to try and create that kind of impression Mr. Everson, not only is it not true, it's not fair. Now lastly, you talk about the process, and Mr. Chairman if it's necessary again, because I understand this is an issue that has gotten lots of cameras, they even have a photographer here from the Times, that's unusual, we don't have one of those here everyday, so obviously this is one of those things that has a great deal of interest for this community. You questioned the process by which these priorities were established Mr. Everson, and maybe even some members of the Council may not be aware, but Mr. Chairman, I would ask for the benefit of the record, that Shelly Ragle with SPAR give you all a brief summation of exactly how that planning process took place. And the extent and level of public involvement and engagement that it represented. And how it is that the dog park was not one of those identified priorities expressly because it was always stated, while yes, we'd like to see one of those in Shreveport, preferably on the Shreveport riverfront if possible, but also with the understanding that those dollars were going out and being raised by the means and mechanisms by which the folks identified that they were doing it. Which meant not coming from the City of Shreveport or competing against us for dollars from the Red River Waterway Commission. And if we don't want to take time for that presentation, Mr. Chairman, I am going to ask that you give us the opportunity to have the summation that she would give placed into the official records of this Council. So that for prosperity sake going forward, that particular process can be outlined, identified, and stipulated with regard to what actually transpired as opposed to what it is that was represented by Mr. Everson's comments.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, well I had Councilman Everson up first now, than I had - - - (inaudible) pick up you, but he was up first.

Councilman Shyne: Well Mr. Chairman, I'm going to call for the vote.

Councilman Everson: If I could make a quick comment.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Councilman Everson: It doesn't surprise me obviously that the Mayor's definition of what is insulting suits his perspective, but that doesn't suit the perspectives of those you've insulted. So like you may not consider the way that you've expressed your views on this, and you have absolutely every right to express, I even acknowledged that in my comments earlier, that I think you've had some arguments that are stronger than others. But there are some things that you have said that whether it was your intention or not, have been hurtful to a lot of citizens. So that's not my opinion, that's something that has been expressed directly to me, its something that I've felt as a dog owner and as a person who cares about animals (inaudible) and so I can say that even if it's for nobody other than myself, I know its people feel that way when you're talk about it like that, when you express things like that. So the other thing is that it is not no surprise to me that your definition of support suits your point of view. Because it doesn't suit those peoples point of view, who've been asking for your help for a number of times and you may say that they haven't come to you may say that they haven't come to you for help. I remember seeing, witnessing conversations before I was on City Council with you and the dog park members at various events, asking for (inaudible) this dog park. So while we may be using different terminology, I think that I'm not trying to slant things differently, but I am trying to perhaps express that there is a different point of view than the way that you're seeing things, that a lot of other people are seeing it from. And so perhaps in your language going forward in your discussion of this, you could be more sensitive to the other side of that argument, or to the way that the phrasing affects people, and how it ignites their feelings. Because it does. And thirdly, it would have been helpful to have the records from Shelly before the presentation (inaudible) the submission of these priorities to the Red River Waterway Commission were made because without the conversation about the dog park, we may never even known what was being presented to the Red River Waterway Commission. I don't recall ever having known what was being submitted until we asked for it because of this coming up as an issue. But you did provide it and I certainly don't want to infer any differently. So I mean we certainly appreciate the information that was provided. But I don't know when we would have been aware of it had this situation not occurred. So then again, it could be due to my relatively short time on the Council. Anyway, just wanted to address those few things.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Let me say this. I will be supporting this legislation today. I will be supporting it. I know there are a lot of projects that we need to have done on the riverfront. And I think all of them are worthy of some consideration. Mr. Mayor, I know that you as the Mayor have a view of this from the Administration standpoint, which I respect, but I do equally respect the citizens who have come forward. And this has been on the agenda for a pretty long period of time. I do equally respect their desire to see us move at least in the direction of getting this accomplished. My opinion would be to move forward and accept the money. There seem to be some more details that have to be worked out along the way with this. My hope is that maybe those details can get themselves worked out to some degree of satisfaction. I understand that there are some negotiations going on concerning the other projects that are involved that I believe deserve some support and recognition from the Waterway Commission also. I do not see why we should not move forward with this particular project simply there are some others that need some consideration. So I will be supporting it today. There is a motion on the floor.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I had one point I had not - - - I was interrupted by Mr. Everson, I had not concluded - - -

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman my hands

Mayor Glover: My comments.

Councilman Shyne: I don't know whether you want to let me in to speak or not, I'm a member of this Council.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, Councilman Shyne, go ahead.

Councilman Shyne: And I think I should. I would call for a vote at this particular time. The Mayor has the power to veto this piece of legislation, and he has said that he's going to do this. So, I think he has the power to veto it, but I want to commend Jeff. Maybe I shouldn't say this, but Jeff I'm going to say it anyway. I appreciate you stating your position, and I appreciate you not letting somebody outtalk you on your position. Mr. Chairman, we've had about 40 minutes of this. Today, we've discussed this, other days, lets vote on this. The Mayor has the power to veto it, and it's going to come back up again, so he'll have another bite at the apple. And the next time, and I love Shelly with all my heart, but to be truthful with you, Shelly I don't want you to come forward today and state whatever information it is. When this comes back up again, because the Mayor going to veto it. Now that's one thing about it. You can take the Mayor for his

word. Now if he tells you something, he's going to - - - I've been knowing him for a long time. And whether he's right or wrong, he's going to stick with it. Of course I'm kinda the same way, and Jeff I appreciate you stating your position. So, the next time that this comes back up again, just let Shelly come up and give her information. But please lets vote on this.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I can understand and I can respect that Mr. Shyne. As I was saying we do have a motion on the floor. There are some votes that have already been logged. I don't think we need to call for the question, I think we need to vote.

Councilman Webb: Are we voting on the amendment or what?

Councilman S. Jenkins: This is on the amendment. Okay, we're voting on Amendment No. 3.

Motion to adopt Amendment No. 3 to Resolution No. 133 of 2012 approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And that passes unanimously.

Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 133 of 2012 (Adopted July 24, 2012)

Relative to AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE RED RIVER WATERWAY DISTRICT AND THE PARISH OF CADDO RELATIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A DOG PARK

Delete the title of the ordinance and substitute the following:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE RED RIVER WATERWAY DISTRICT AND THE PARISH OF CADDO RELATIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A DOG PARK ON LAND OWNED BY THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ADJACENT TO THE RED RIVER IN SHREVEPORT; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

In the "NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED" paragraph, in the fifth line, delete the words "approximately 5.7 acres of".

Explanation of amendment:

Deletes references to the number of acres of the proposed dog park, and substitutes a reference to land “owned by the City of Shreveport” adjacent to the Red River.

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO RESOLUTION NO. 133 OF 2012 (Adopted August 28, 2012)

(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE RED RIVER WATERWAY DISTRICT AND THE PARISH OF CADDO RELATIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A DOG PARK ON LAND OWNED BY THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ADJACENT TO THE RED RIVER IN SHREVEPORT; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO)

-Delete the title of the ordinance and substitute the following:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE RED RIVER WATERWAY DISTRICT RELATIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A DOG PARK ON LAND OWNED BY THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ADJACENT TO THE RED RIVER IN SHREVEPORT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

-Amend the “NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED” paragraph, to now read as follows:

“NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to enter into a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Red River Waterway District relative to the acceptance of funds from the Red River Waterway District for the construction and maintenance of a dog park on land owned by the City of Shreveport adjacent to the Red River, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the document filed with this resolution entitled “Memorandum of Cooperative Endeavor between the Red River Waterway District and the City of Shreveport, Louisiana”.

EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENTS:

1. Amends the title of the Resolution to delete reference to the Parish of Caddo as a party to the Agreement.
2. Amends the “NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED” paragraph to delete the reference to the Parish of Caddo as a party to the Agreement.

RESOLUTION NO. 133 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE RED RIVER WATERWAY DISTRICT AND THE PARISH CADDO RELATIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A DOG PARK ON APPROXIMATELY 5.7 ACRES OF LAND ADJACENT TO THE RED RIVER IN SHREVEPORT; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMEN O. JENKINS AND EVERSON

WHEREAS, this Council has previously adopted Ordinance No. 71 of 2011, to establish dog parks within the City of Shreveport; and Resolution No. 192 of 2011, to accept the recommendation of the Shreveport Dog Park Advisory Committee and to endorse the development of a dog park at Marie and Charles Hamel Memorial Park on the Red River; and

WHEREAS, officials from Shreveport, Caddo Parish and members of the Dog Park Alliance have requested funds from the Red River Waterway Commission to construct a dog park at Marie and Charles Hamel Memorial Park on the Red River; and

WHEREAS, the Red River Waterway District has agreed to provide up to Two Hundred Eighty Thousand One Hundred Thirty Dollars (\$280,130.00) to construct a dog park at Marie and Charles Hamel Memorial Park on the Red River as provided for in the Memorandum of Cooperative Endeavor agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to enter into a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Red River Waterway District and the Parish of Caddo relative to the acceptance of funds from the Red River Waterway District for the construction of a dog park on approximately 5.7 acres of land, adjacent to the Red River, owned by the City of Shreveport; substantially in accordance terms and

conditions of the document filed with this resolution, and styled, “ Memorandum of Cooperative Endeavor among The Red River Waterway District, The City of Shreveport, Louisiana, and The Parish of Caddo, Louisiana”.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor is authorized and required to sign the agreement as provided for in Section 5.02 (c) of the Charter of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Resolution No. 133 of 2012 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman?

Councilman S. Jenkins: One second Mr. Clerk. Mr. Mayor, if you're wanting the information from Ms. Ragle, to go into the record, I'll allow you that time now to do it.

Mayor Glover: I won't belabor the Council with that information. Like I said, I'd like to have it entered into the record if at all possible.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, that's what I wanted to speak on.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, one second.

Mayor Glover: But I also wanted to, because I don't think I actually finished my comments at the point I was interrupted.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, this is what I'm trying to do. I'm trying to afford an opportunity if there is something that's going to go into the record, and I

believe the Clerk is going to tell you that. You need to go head on and say it as opposed to sending it later.

Mayor Glover: Then in the interest of time Mr. Chairman, what we'll do as this comes back up at the next meeting with regard to the veto override vote, we'll make that a part of the Administration's presentation with regard to that particular vote before the council. But I would like to just simply - - -

Councilman Shyne: Thank you Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely Mr. Shyne. I will simply like to wrap up my comments though by offering again to Mr. Everson, who obviously has taken some offense in the course of this situation, and to make sure that he understands that as I said opening in my comments. The waving of the white flag on the part of Mrs. Keith is an indication that there are folks who from their perspective have been in battle or at war. That's not been the case and the posture for me as the Mayor of this city. If I were to allow myself to be offended by much of what has transpired throughout the course of this process up until this point, Mr. Everson, there's a lot that I could have taken offense at. There have been lots of statements, there've been lots of things that have been done that for those who I guess are more subject to their emotions, that they could become heated at. That's not where I am in this situation. I recognize that people say and express things, call you things, and do things in the process of these types of heated public discourses that come from a base or level of their being. That's not where we have engaged this discussion at all Mr. Everson. And so for you to express to me how much I've offended folks who have in fact been offensive in their engagement of me and others who have different from them is something that frankly rings very hollow and very sad.

Councilman Everson: Not all the same people. Because you're right. There've been people who've said offensive things about you and I won't defend what they said. You know? But some of the people who've been offended, have been very quiet natured and they needed somebody to say it on their behalf. And so you know I felt like it again, I'm not condemning your actions. You have every right and I respect your discourse, but you know I have just heard it from a lot of people. And I think it's only fair that you also respect that they would like it to be known that it's hurt them.

Mayor Glover: And the only thing I would ask you Mr. Everson to be is to give me some examples of exactly what that is for the record.

Councilman Everson: I'll be happy to, and in fact, I printed off pages from your Twitter account from several days when you've made comments about this and the reactions to those. So I can enter those into the record if you'd like.

Mayor Glover: And I would certainly welcome that. Because that represents a dimension of this that I think is also worthy of discussion in this situation and that is that, yes, there is I think something offensive about the fact that we can place this kind of important, mobilize this type of public attention, give this type of energy directed towards a piece of real estate that represents an area where we've seen one of the single greatest tragedies occur in the history of this city. And then we end up not being able to see a greater sense of urgency in addressing those issues and those particular problems and challenges that really affect the children of this city, the families of this city. But because as I've said to you, a few well placed individuals managed to be able to come together and affectively coalesce themselves, then everything from the Mayor's office all the way down to the Council's to the Waterway Commission is supposed to line up and bend with that, and we've just simply stood and said from day 1, that that's not what we're here to do.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We're going to let that be the last comment on this. The vote has been made, it's been cast. Whatever action that anyone deems to take going forward, so be it. But I think we've spent enough time on it. Alright Mr. Clerk, do we have any tabled legislation for the Council to consider today?

Mr. Thompson: We have none that I'm aware of Mr. Chairman. But I believe that Ms. Glass is working on something

Councilman O. Jenkins: She is potentially working on some legislation, and after we return from - - - what am I calling our next session? Once we return from Committee of the Whole, I may ask to take up that piece of legislation at that point. She's been preparing it diligently over the course of this - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is it something that's on today's agenda?

Councilman O. Jenkins: It would be a request to add to the agenda.

Mr. Thompson: To add it to the agenda and to introduce it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And to introduce it. I would be a two reader and that's kind of my problem.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Adding legislation to the agenda. Is that something that is supposed to be done at the beginning of the meeting?

Mr. Thompson: You would have to suspend the rules.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And what are we adding?

Councilman S. Jenkins: I see her coming in now, lets see - - -

Mr. Thompson: She's working on it on her computer now,.

Councilman S. Jenkins: So it's not prepared?

Councilman O. Jenkins: It's not yet ready.

Tabled legislation.

1. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 74 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Solid Waste Collections, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Tabled September 13, 2011*)

2. **Resolution No. 179 of 2011**: Authorizing Melba Yvonne Warr Baldwin located at 382 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Near D and is not contiguous to the city limits.) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

3. **Resolution No. 222 of 2011**: Authorizing Lawrence Johnson Franklin Jr. and Elizabeth Fry Franklin located at 421 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

4. **Resolution No. 223 of 2011**: Authoring Lee Roy Stanley located at 419 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

5. **Resolution No. 226 of 2011**: Authorizing Frank G. Harris III located at 437 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located*

near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)

6. **Resolution No. 228 of 2011**: Authorizing Jeffery Charles Shamsie located at 414 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

7. **Resolution No. 229 of 2011**: Authorizing Joan E. Thoma located at 2036 Pepper Ridge Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

8. **Resolution No. 230 of 2011**: Authorizing Henry Eugene Raines, Jr., and Gloria Jean Wingate Raines located at 3004 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

9. **Resolution No. 231 of 2011**: Authorizing William Sidney Hunt and Gaynel Neyrey Hunt located at 10015 Nightingale Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin)(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

10. **Resolution No. 233 of 2011**: Authorizing James Allan Bell and Betty Ann Kilpatrick Bell located at 3055 Dryden Court to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

11. **Resolution No. 241 of 2011**: Requiring the Chief Administrative Officer to offer the fair market value (and if accepted) to purchase the flood prone properties located at 8035 and 8037 Jewella Avenue by October 26, 2011, or to provide a report to the council relative to this matter on that date and to otherwise providing with respect thereto.*(Tabled October 24, 2011)*

12. **Resolution No. 243 of 2011**: Authorizing James Michael Johnson and Kelly Renee Larry Johnson located at 352 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer

system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)* (Tabled October 24, 2011)

13. **Resolution No. 244 of 2011**: Authorizing Byron Wayne Ruesch and Leslie Baldwin Ruesch Located at 369 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)* (Tabled October 24, 2011)

14. **Resolution No. 248 of 2011**: Authorizing Claude E. Franklin and Mary Elizabeth Womack Franklin located at 442 Bob White Lane to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)*(Tabled October 24, 2011)

15. **Resolution No. 249 of 2011**: Authorizing Jason Wayne Waltman and Kristy Mcgee Waltman located at 3028 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)* (Tabled October 24, 2011)

16. **Ordinance No. 25 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Texas Street Turn Lanes)*(B/Everson) (Tabled March 27, 2012)

17. **Ordinance No. 81 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(Audrey Lane)* (Postponed August 13, 2012)(Tabled August 28, 2012)

18. **Ordinance No. 82 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)(Tabled August 28, 2012)

11. Appeals

A. Property Standards Appeals

PSD0900275: 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) (*Postponed August 13, 2012 until October 8, 2012*)

HBO1000030: 153 Merrick Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Lola B. Layton, P.O. Box 4582 Shreveport, LA 71134 (*Postponed August 27, 2012 until September 24, 2012*)

PSD1000086: 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (*Postponed July 23, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

PSD1000141 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

PSD1100017 3634 Sumner Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Roberto Strickland, 3740 Jackson Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed September 10, 2012 until November 12, 2012*)

HBO1100050 3819 Bobbitt Place, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Ms. Cheryl Barnhardt, Jackson and McPherson, LLC., 1010 Common Street, Suite 1800, New Orleans, LA 70112 (*Postponed July 23, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

PSD1100251: 3631 Stonewall, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Charles Parson, 4308 Lakeshore Dr., Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed September 10, 2012 until November 12, 2012*)

PSD12001000 3545 Michigan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Carl Colvin, 6618 Burke Street, Shreveport, LA 71108 (E/Webb) (*Postponed July 23, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

PSD1200016 6938 Nevada Avenue, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Erika Thompson, 7517 Grass Valley Trail, Fort Worth, TX 76123 (*Postponed July 23, 2012 until September 24, 2012*)

PSD1200158 144 Ockley Drive, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins) Mr. Eric Schonfarber, P.O. Box 5262, Shreveport, LA 71135 (*New*)

Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals

Ms. Cassandra Sturgeon, 2105 Forest Street, Springhill, LA 71075, *Nicky's Restaurant*, 8995 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA 71108 (E/Webb)

Mr. Quincy Ray, 4018 Forest Oak Drive, Shreveport, LA 71107 (F/Shyne) *Lake Street Dance Hall*, 425 Lake Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson)

Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals

Other Appeals

Taxi Appeal

Reports from officers, boards, and committees

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay. Alright, lets move to Reports from Officers, Boards and Committees. Any reports from Officers, Boards, or Committees? We'll ask once again that Council Members take a look at your calendars. We'll be passing some dates around to do some follow-up discussions on Solid Waste collection. We were not able to have that discussion the last time, due to technical difficulties. I hope that we can get that considered again here in the next couple of weeks. Are there any other reports from officers, boards or committees.

Councilman Everson: I was just going to report that we did schedule the Infrastructure Meeting for October 22nd at 2:00 and that was the time that we mentioned yesterday. And also that the presentation for the payment management system can be given at that point and time. If the Administration would prefer, I'm open to the idea of presenting the payment management system before that meeting. Potentially at our next City Council Meeting, so that we can use the infrastructure (inaudible) to talk about strategies going forward already have (inaudible) information.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I may have somewhat of a conflict with that date.

Councilwoman McCulloch: You said October what Jeff?

Councilman Everson: 22nd. Okay, let me know if we need to move it a day back that's still available right now, but for the time being, we've set it up tentatively for October 22nd.

Mr. Sibley: Will that be the only agenda item?

Councilman Everson: There are - - - you know I know that Brian is somebody else to reach out. We had an agenda item hanging over from last time, that I'd like to pick back up. So, but those would be the only two that I'm aware of.

Councilwoman McCulloch: 2:00 p.m. Jeff?

Councilman Everson: Yes Ma'am.

Councilman Corbin: But I think it would be helpful to add that presentation.

Councilman Everson: I agree, but I don't know when they've been asked to have it prepared by so, you know if it's possible, I think at the next Council Meeting would be a good time to have it. But if not, then hopefully by the next Infrastructure Committee Meeting.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Any other reports from Officers, Boards or Committees? Alright, seeing none, Mr. Thompson, do we have a Clerk's report?

Clerk's Report

Letter of appeal: Mr. M. Thomas Arceneaux - **SP-11-09/PO38-07**:
Larking Development North, L.L.C., and Larkin Development at
Railsback, L.L.C., of a portion of the decision of the Metropolitan
Planning Commission denying the proposed roadway alignment and
declining to verify that the alignment and the servitude would comply with
the stipulation in Ordinance 4724 of 2007 of the Caddo Parish
Commission.

Mr. Thompson: And Mr. Chairman, if I could make one comment, I would like to at this time. We received two requests today. One was withdrawn, for information to be placed in the record. The City Council has no procedure for doing this. And we would ask that we would not be requested to place items in the - - - if by 'record' we mean the minutes, in the minutes unless they are actually spoken or unless there is a document, a resolution, an ordinance or some other document that is considered by the Council. But if the Council, unless and until we have a procedure in place to do that. But if the Council chooses to do that, we don't think that we have the authority to do it unless the Council makes a motion and adopts a motion to actually do it. So I just wanted to make those comments for consideration by the Council.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, could I - - - I'm going to make this short. About the information that Mr. Thompson just read to us about, about the Tim Larkin's deal that was sent back to the MPC, I would hope that we would not send this back to the MPC again. I would hope that at this particular point since we - - - the first time it was a 7-0 vote, second time it was a 7-0 vote. I hope that we would vote on this one way or another. My opinion is that I would hope that we would affirm the decision of the MPC because they have looked into this, and they've studied this, and I think that they feel like, well I know that they feel like from their vote, that this development should be - - - there should be a pause in this development until we can get where 3132 is going to go. Because this highway is too important for the growth of this city. This highway is too important for the growth and the safety of this city to be interrupted by a development that can cause. And I think it won't probably be too long before we know the route that this highway is going to take and at that particular time, we can go with the development out there. This is something that has been - - - this highway has been planned long before the development out there. I mean people even voted on this Mr. Chairman and Council Members in order to extend this highway to the Port. I mean, not only from a safety standpoint, but this is an economic engine. If you look around at all of the major cities, not only in the south, highways are the important thing that will make the city grow. So I would hope that we would not send this back, and I would hope that we would not override the MPC.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you sir.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Is there a motion for the Council to resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole?

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The Committee "rises and reports" (reconvenes the regular council meeting)

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins suspend the rules. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'd like to make a request to add additional legislation to our agenda today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, and what's the nature of the legislation?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Thompson, if you wouldn't mind reading the - - -

The Clerk read the following:

15. Ordinance No. 129 of 2012: An ordinance temporarily prohibiting the placement of electronic signs and variable message signs in any zoning district and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, is there any person present that has opposition this legislation being added to the agenda today?

Councilman O. Jenkins: And let me state, it is only for introduction. It is not for - - -

Councilman Webb: But you can still object to putting it on the agenda, so - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think I see Mr. Cane making his way to the microphone.

Mr. Joseph Kane: (Lamar Advertising) This would not impact the current permits that are in place. 2) When cities start to prohibit signs, starts with billboards, then it becomes on premise. For those of you who are in business, I've worked in some areas across the river, and 72 square feet is the biggest sign you can put up. The Orr BMW sign is 10 times that size. Now I'm about 5'7". So that's about 6' x 12. That's not much of a sign. I've seen that when that starts, the community becomes less pro-business. Understand kinda what I'm saying that all of a sudden, it becomes restrictive, restrictive, restrictive. Businesses go where they can grow. Where it's less restrictive, but still we have to have our codes, everyone understands that. But then it starts to be going hey, you can't put a sign up over there, and the business wants a sign. We are an extension of businesses who might be on Mansfield Road, but might want to advertise on Youree or Line Avenue. And also politicians, you will see down the road, there would be less signs. Many of you have used them in the past. We've taken down 70 signs in six years. That's like telling Macdonald's to stop selling so many hamburgers everyday. But what we found was you take down, we think the herd, you find the good locations and you convert them to digital. You make more

people happy. Imagine your little business - - - you get 100 lamps that you weren't expecting. With an email you can send us the information, the pictures and 15 minutes later, you ad and your sale is up. And again digital technology is 98% use by our local businesses. So I'm sure you're going to vote for the moratorium anyway, but I'm just asking you to sit down with us and let us look at the options before we have a straight moratorium. Okay? We would be more than willing to sit and work things out to where it's amicable. We have always worked with the MPC even have been contentious, but we have come to agreements for the best. I've agreed to a number of things that I just said, yeah whatever. You know pick your battles. Even a couple of things I've agreed to, we're going to help competitors. And I just said, go ahead, it's not that big of a deal. Okay? Instead of a moratorium, give us the opportunity to sit down in a workshop, bring some ideas, and I'm sure you'll have other folks in the community that would want ideas too, and throw 'em together and see what kind of gumbo we can make.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So the intent of this moratorium is only till the 31st of December 2021 for exactly that reflection. To get some study of where we stand in our current ordinance. Get input both from neighborhood associations and business folks. The intent is not to prohibit future signage across the city. We'll call it a pause, and no moratorium suggest that its forever, but it is a pause to reflect and study to avoid what transpired in this case. We were not postured properly to take into account what the citizens feel that they were not given the opportunity. So that is the intent of this, and its something we kinda briefly discussed yesterday, and so this is in response to that - - -

Mr. Kane: If you're going to go that route, I would not be opposed to adding a little extra time. I've been through this study process before. We're going to be hitting Thanksgiving and the Christmas holidays at that time. It's hard to do work and we have the Independence Bowl coming Christmas and Thanksgiving. If you want to add it to the end of January, I'm fine with that. Because I know that this takes time. I worked with one community, it took 10 years to get it right, and they still don't have it right. So I'm just, not trying to be facetious, but if you wanted to add another 30 or 60 days, I'd be fine with that, because we all know once November 15 hits and Le' Boutique starts, and all the events that go there, its hard to get a lot of work done, and I don't want to rush it through either, I'd like to get it amicable, and get it right.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And like I said, this is only for introduction. So we have two weeks in which to discuss how the actual pieces fit.

Mr. Kane: Okay.

Councilwoman McCulloch: You know actually Oliver stated what I was going to share and that was it was just temporary, December 2012. You know.

Mr. Kane: Thank you Ma'am.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there any other person here who wishes to speak in opposition to adding this legislation to today's agenda. Is there any one who wishes to speak in support of adding this legislation to the agenda today?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I just have a question, so from an administrative standpoint, we have some understanding.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Is this in any way intended to address the current set of circumstances involving this sign?

Councilman O. Jenkins: No. I mean it is not a grandfather - - - it isn't just - - - we know there's an issue with our ordinance today, and people aren't happy with it based on this example.

Mayor Glover: And I guess that's why I want to be clear with Mr. LeBlanc and the other folks who are here in terms of what understanding and expectations are here in terms of what understanding and expectations are especially anything that even the MPC or the administration may be expected or desired to do with regard to what Mr. Kane and the folks at Lamar have already been committed at this point to do whether or not (inaudible) interjected itself into that process. I heard Joe mention earlier that his sign goes up in 48 hours. He's obviously at least halfway through that process and intends to put up the actual signage up for tomorrow. So is this in any way intended to affect, impact or is the Council requesting or indicating that there's anything that (inaudible).

Councilman O. Jenkins: That is not the intent of this particular piece of legislation, nor is there a provision in here to taking that (inaudible). Now he's got - - - I won't speak for him, but he clearly has another approach of what he's pursuing. But that's outside of this particular ordinance.

Mayor Glover: So I want to be I guess in terms of both the neighborhood's expectation as well as the Council's what it is that's expected of the Administration as well as the MPC with regard to this issue.

Mr. LeBlanc: And I agree that we're taking a two-pronged approach. One is the moratorium on new until we get a chance to work with the Council in developing some rules, and the other prong is that we've learned today from the MPC, that there is a 10-day objection period that we are going to pursue, or that we're talking to legal counsel about pursuing. So we're taking a two-pronged approach to deal with the existing sign and then the second approach is to deal with future.

Mayor Glover: And then based on that, I'm going to ask for some clarification if you don't mind Mr. Chairman, members of the Council from both Terri Scott and Roy Jambor.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Do you mind if we vote on this piece of legislation first?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well hold it a minute. That's what I'm about to say. All we're doing at this particular point is making a vote to add this legislation, and I think that's going to be followed with a motion to introduce it. So it's getting late in the day.

Mr. LeBlanc: And I'm in favor of it, that's all I wanted to say.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It's getting late in the day, I'm a hour late for a meeting, I should have been at a little while ago. I'm not going to prolong it. What we're going to do is take this measure up and there'll be some time Mr. Mayor between introduction and some kind of final vote.

Mayor Glover: I'm just making sure Mr. Chairman and members of Council, that if this sign is up and flashing, come tomorrow evening or Thursday morning, there was no expectation either on the part of the Council or on the part of the folks in South Highlands, that there was any process or aspect of this that someone, either at the Administrative level or at the MPC level was to have taken or initiated or facilitated or been open to discussion regarding this. That's because Mr. Kane intends to finish his sign. That is apparent and he has a permit to do so.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well I think that has been clarified. (Inaudible) clarified that Mr. Mayor. I think both parties who have an interest - - - you all understand what this is about right?

Mayor Glover: Mr. LeBlanc, you understand that tomorrow evening this sign is going to be up and operational.

Mr. LeBlanc: Yes I understand it. I don't like it, but I understand it.

Mayor Glover: I'm just being clear that there's nothing that you're expecting that we're going to do, asking or if there's a process that's going to be initiated that we need to be mindful or aware of in that regard.

Mr. LeBlanc: That's correct. As I said earlier, the developer as far as I know according to the MPC that I learned this morning that there is a 10-day objection period. The developer decided to move forward prior to that 10-day objection period. So there's nothing that anybody can do. I guess he has the right to do that and depending on the outcome - - -

Mayor Glover: And frankly Mr. Chairman, that's the area I'm trying to get some clarity on, whether we want to do it on the record or off the record, what he is saying is not consistent with the actions that are being taken. If you're saying that there is a 10-day period by which this process can somehow be - - - some action can be taken that interjects. But Mr. Kane is indicating that that's a no. This council is moving forward with the understanding that that's not the case as well. But we have a citizen with 450+ members strong, who believe that there is a process that they have a right to access.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Hold it a minute.

Mayor Glover: I acknowledge this matter does not deal with that. But we are still in open session of Shreveport City Council, and this matter is being dealt with on the record. We can deal with it off the record or on the record, it's up to the Council

Councilman S. Jenkins: I understand Mr. Mayor. This is the way we're going to proceed. I think we've heard from the parties who have an interest. What we're doing today, and the only thing we're doing at this particular stage is trying to get a vote done on whether or not there is any objection to adding it. I'm not hearing any objection to adding it, right? So that where we are right now. Okay? So who made the motion, it's been so long ago.

Mr. Thompson: No motion was made.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I would like to make a motion to add it to the agenda.

Councilman Shyne: Second.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins seconded by Councilman Shyne to add Ordinance No. 129 to the agenda.

Mr. Thompson: Before you do that, for the record, there is nobody else in the Chamber who wishes to speak for or against that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I already sound the Chamber. Alright this matter is ready for vote. Okay? Lets put it up here.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Everson. 1.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to introduce Ordinance No. 129 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting.

Councilman Webb: Now before we vote for introduction, can we now hear the clarification. I might not want to vote for it to be introduced.

Councilwoman McCulloch: It ain't going to do no good.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Hold it a minute, if a council member is saying that they need some clarification.

Councilman Webb: (Inaudible) hear the clarification, so why not hear it now?

Councilman S. Jenkins: So what is the clarification, let me ask this question. What are we clarifying?

Mayor Glover: Can I offer from the Administration's perspective?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Mr. LeBlanc has expressed that there is a 10-day window by which according to the regs of the MPC which I assume is somewhere in one of our ordinances, for there to be objection to the permit that has been granted.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I see Roy shaking his head.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, but that, I don't- - - I don't, let me - - - lets hold it a minute. Lets make sure are all straight. There are two different things. Wait one second.

Mayor Glover: But I'm not finished with my point though Mr. Chairman. But Mr. Mayor, one second, please sir. I understand what you're saying, if you'll hold on a minute. I just want to be clear on something. There are two different things going on here. One is the introduction of this ordinance about a moratorium. It has nothing to do with the existing sign or the construction of the sign that we talked about previously. Second is some kind of permit that's already been issued to allow that sign to go forward. If I understood Mr. LeBlanc, you're trying to go through some kind of, for lack of a better word, appeal type process of that, which is not before this Council. You do understand that we're not taking any action on that today. Am I correct about that?

Mr. LeBlanc: You are correct sir.

Councilman Webb: Is that the clarification that you were seeking?

Councilman Webb: Naw I mean, I guess I'm - - - is there something he can do to legal stop the sign from being completed tomorrow?

Councilman Shyne: But that doesn't have nothing to do with this.

Councilman Webb: (Inaudible).

Councilman S. Jenkins: Lets get some clarification on the appeal - - - hold it, lets do this. Lets get some clarification on the appeal process for the sign that is already there. Is there some appeal process (inaudible) for that? Well who are you?

Councilman O. Jenkins: No but - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: No, no. That's not what I'm asking for just now.

Councilman O. Jenkins: He's asking for the City Attorney.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm trying to find out if there is some kind of delay or appeal process for the permit that was issued for the existing construction of the sign.

Ms. Scott: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, let me give you what's hopefully the short version of what's a very or somewhat complicated issue. The provisions regarding off-premise advertising are in Chapter 106, which is the Zoning Ordinance. The Zoning Ordinance provides that any person aggrieved by any decision has a right to appeal within 10 days. However, the provisions on off-premise advertising grant the right to issue the sign permit to the - - - I believe its referred to as the Superintendent of Permits. Under the Building code however, there is a 30-day appeal right given to anyone from a decision by the Superintendent of Permits. I believe in an email that may have been sent to some of you today by Stephen Jean, Stephen indicated that there is a 10-day right of appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals. Our question to Mr. Jean is what decision is being appealed. What Zoning decision is being appealed, or would be appealed to the Zoning Board of Appeals that would trigger that 10-day provision. So right now in terms of whether or not there is a 10-day appeals period or a 30-day appeals period, we can't honestly tell you right now without further review.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I believe Mr. LeBlanc, I believe you're trying to get some legal advice on what it is your rights are on the existing construction of that sign. That's where you are. See I don't want to confuse these two things. That's not before us. So lets don't confuse it. The only thing we're dealing with now is the introduction of a whole new ordinance and

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thanks to Oliver.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It's just for introduction. I mean I got some concerns about it, but I'm not going to stop somebody from introducing something. This has nothing to do with what you need to get some advice on as far as that existing sign that's out there now. What we're doing now has nothing to do with that.

Mr. LeBlanc: And I just want to clarify what you said. We're looking at - - - I'm basing it on the advice of Stephen Jean from an earlier email that said that there is a 10-day objection period. You know we're looking at what objections we might have, but I'm assuming that his advice is accurate.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, here's what I'm going to say. That has nothing to do with this action we're taking today. That's not - - -

Mr. LeBlanc: That's correct. This goes back to Councilman Webb and Mayor Glover's question.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's right. Council is not acting upon that at all today.

Mr. LeBlanc: I understand that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: All we're dealing with is the introduction of a new ordinance that just came before us a few minutes ago.

Mr. LeBlanc: I understand that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It has nothing to do with the existing sign that's out there. Now Mr. Mayor is that satisfactory, or is there something?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I fully acknowledge that this does not have anything to do with the matter that's being introduced. What it does have to do with is this issue overall. And these are some understandings that I'm trying to have achieved within the context of an open, above board, transparent discussion that all of us are privy to, rather than after this being over, Mr. LeBlanc and his neighbors having an expectation that there is some process that's in place for them to be able to access, and at that point is left entirely to the MPC and the Administration to answer those questions. You're right, once this meeting is over, the day-to-day aspects of running an administration for the City of Shreveport fall back to the Administration and the MPC to carry out their independent functions. This is obviously something that is a concern of this Council, and so it's my desire, regardless of the introduction, which I'm not taking issue with, the vote or what have you. Applaud that effort supporting it. What I'm trying to make sure of is that there is a clear understanding that starting once this meeting is adjourned, what it is that is expected to take place tomorrow. Is there some process that Mr. LeBlanc and his neighbors are going to see to access as going to seek some sort of injunctive relief? Is there some clarification that legal staff and Roy and his folks at MPC are going to be subject to and whether or not we have a clear understanding amongst Mr. Oliver Jenkins who is introducing this measure and who represents these folks, and the rest of us need to be aware of in terms of impacting what's of foremost concern. Dealing with a moratorium that shuts the gate once this particular situation is out, I think is of limited concern to what Mr. LeBlanc and the folks are down here today about. And so I'm trying to insure that there is clarity about what it is that they are down here today about. Fully support the further exploration of this issue in terms of how we go and proceed going forward. And I'm still not clear as to whether or not we have a definitive answer. I know we didn't get complete clarity from the City Attorney, and Roy Jambor can't sink any lower in his seat than what he is right now, and Stephen Jean is nowhere to be seen. And

obviously Joe Kan and his lease manager are standing ready to pounce to the microphone at whatever point they have a chance to come up and interject themselves in this conversation. All I'm trying to do is to resolve his issue before we all leave. If you choose to adjourn before we do that, understand we will handle it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: No, no. I understand Mr. Mayor, I understand perfectly what your concerns are, but I do believe I mean hearing everything I heard, everybody's clear on where we are today. I believe everybody is clear on where we are today, and it's obviously some issues with the existing sign that's not before the Council today. So that's my point. Okay? All I'm doing right now, all this Council is doing right now is acting upon this new legislation that's being introduced. Okay? I don't think we can make it any more clear than that.

Mr. LeBlanc: Mr. Chairman, the information that was presented to me earlier, and I appreciate the City Attorney. It sounds like there may be a larger window, a 30-day window that there may be an opportunity for. So I mean it sounds like there needs to be some clarification we need to see.

Councilman S. Jenkins: There you go. Emphasis on the word 'we'.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Until further review.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's not before the Council.

Mr. LeBlanc: I agree.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, okay now. Who made the motion?

Councilwoman McCulloch: You know.

Councilman Webb: We've already voted.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I know, but I've got to go through this. On motion by Councilman Oliver Jenkins, who was the second by?

Councilman Shyne: I was the - - - I seconded it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Seconded by Councilman Shyne for introduction only.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Everson. 1

Councilman S. Jenkins: The Committee rises and reports.

Councilman Webb: Wait a minute, that was just to introduce it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: It's a two-reader. That was the intent, just to get it out there so we could start - - -

Councilman Webb: We've already voted to add it to the agenda, and now we voted to introduce it?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Which is all we can do today.

Councilman O. Jenkins: That was the intent of the whole thing is so we could talk about it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I believe there's going to be plenty opportunity on this issue to talk some more.

Councilman Shyne: You're right Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I've never seen this Council take an action like this, where we didn't engage the public engaged, interested people, engage people that are affected one way or the other. I've never seen this Council take an issue like this and just pounce on it and just pass it without those things happening. So there'll be some opportunity for us to talk about it some more. The Committee rises and reports, there will be no more business to come before this meeting is adjourned.

Adjournment: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 7:33 p.m.

//S// Sam L. Jenkins, Jr., Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

