

CC 3827
07 17 06

Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana
July 11, 2006

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Jeffery A. Hogan at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, July 11, 2006, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Carmody.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Robertson.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Green, and Hogan. 6. Absent: Councilman Carmody. 1.

Motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Carmody to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday June 26, 2006 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, June 27, 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

Amendment No. 1 to the June 27, 2006

Amend the June 27, 2006 minutes relative to Ordinance No. 83 of 2006, as follows: On Page 10B, Column 3, under Introduction of Ordinances, strike Ordinance No. 83 of 2006 An Ordinance amending the 2006 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Carmody to approve Amendment No. 1 to Council Proceedings, June 27, 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Reports:

Convention Center and Convention Center Hotel (To include detailed personnel report from SMG)

Mr. Dark: Mr. Antee gave the report he had yesterday. I believe he'll be in, in a little while, you might feel free to ask at that point, but I don't believe we have anything new today.

Councilman Carmody: I just wanted to publicly thank Mike Carrier for bringing forward the outstanding construction issues that I'd requested two weeks ago, and I think if each of us would go ahead and take a look at the information, it would give us kinda better idea of where the project is. Thank you.

Councilwoman Robertson: Mr. Carrier, I'd asked for a booking report, and I was just wanting to get an update on that.

Mr. Carrier: Yes Ma'am. You'd asked about a booking report, and we're in the final stages of compiling that. I'll have it for you, should have everything by the end of this week. We should be able to get that to you. We also have provided some information to the Mayor's office. We're going to be issuing a press release with some updated information so that we can let the public know what has been going on over these six months also. We'll be giving that to you very shortly. And it's very positive, I will say that. And you're going to hopefully see some good news.

Councilman Carmody: And Mr. Carrier, thank you Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much for providing the copy of the contract. I appreciate you taking the time to deliver it to me today.

Mr. Carrier: And if any of the other Council Members would like a copy, I know several of the Council Members already had it, but if you would like a copy of our management agreement, I have copies for others also.

Property Standards Report

Councilman Hogan: Did you have a report on the two inoperable vehicles?

Mr. Bowie: Yes sir, they are both - - - one is scheduled to be moved, and the other one is an operable vehicle, so it will not be moved.

Councilman Hogan: You don't have the distinction on addresses on those do you?

Mr. Bowie: No sir, I do not. I can get that to you.

Councilman Hogan: You can call me tomorrow with that?

Mr. Bowie: Yes.

Councilman Robertson: The thing I was talking about yesterday at Gideon and Rentur, and I believe it is a drainage problem, but they just said that someone had mowed it. But that is at the intersection that it's at. We discussed it yesterday. It's Gideon and Rentur.

Mr. Bowie: So, in other words, it's a drainage problem?

Councilwoman Robertson: Well, she just - - - I've checked with her again, and she said that there's a lot across the street from it, that hasn't been taken care of and that when they came, and they mowed where this is in front of her house, that she thought they were going to do the one across the street also. And it's a vacant lot. And so she didn't really say whether it was drainage or not, so I don't know. Mr. Strong might know or not. But anyway that's where it is, that's the intersection we were talking about.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Bowie, did you have a chance to get back to Ms. Moses today?

Mr. Bowie: Yes I called her and talked to her. She dropped a letter by my office, and I'll have an answer in the morning for her.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Thompson, I was holding off on Item NO. 5 until the Mayor arrived at the meeting. Should we continue to hold off on that?

Mr. Thompson: No, I would encourage you to go on with the agenda and whenever he comes in.

Councilman Hogan: We'll come back to that? Alright thank you sir.

Councilman Green: Yes sir, I'd like to recognize two of my constituents here. Mr. and Mrs. White are present today.

Public Hearing: None.

Confirmations and/or Appointments, Adding Legislation to the Agenda, and Public Comments.

Confirmations and/or Appointments:

Comprehensive Environmental Board of Appeals:

Individual Proficient in areas of Environmental Regulations
Engineer
Individual with Proven Experience in Solid Waste Industry
Registered Voter
Registered Voter

Mr. Hubert E. Whatley
Mr. Albert Bergamini
Mr. Claude Beasley
Mr. Dock L. Garner, Jr.
Mr. Howard Allen

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to approve the appointments to the Comprehensive Environmental Board of Appeals. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

Adding Legislation to the Agenda

1. **Resolution No. 117 of 2006:** A Resolution stating the City of Shreveport's endorsement of National Mail-it, Inc. to participate in the benefits of the Louisiana Enterprise Zone program and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Ordinance No. 100 of 2006:** An Ordinance to amend and reenact Sections of Chapter 50 and Chapter 62 of the Code of Ordinances relative to operation of vehicles on certain property and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Green to add Resolution No. 117 and Ordinance No. 100 of 2006 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

Mr. Thompson: For the record, Mr. Walford, was you motion for both pieces of legislation?

Mr. Walford: Yes. To add both.

Councilman Hogan: Mr. Antee, welcome to the meeting. We had skipped over Part No. 5. Do you know if the Mayor has any special communications or distinguished guests?

Mr. Antee: I don't know of any Mr. Chairman. He's with the Leadership Shreveport, and will be down shortly.

Public Comments (*Agenda Items to be Adopted*)

Mr. John Beaird: (7626 Creswell Ave) As I say, I'm trying to clarify some things and give some answers to some questions that were raised two weeks ago, when I had to be out of the City, and the discussion of Resolution No. 100 first came up. And I can be very brief through this and answer whatever questions. In the first place, the loan at issue was

not made to an individual, it was made to a First Corporation Shreveport Publishing Co, and then transferred to Snap Properties, L.L.C. There are no personal guarantees on this loan, so there are no individuals who are responsible for its repayment. Snap Properties, L.L.C.'s only assets are the originally 99 properties that it held under a Section 8 program or used under a Section 8 program, from the 80s. At the end of the process that's contemplated here, Snap Properties, will not have any of these properties in it's possession. The basic deal if you will is that the City which holds the mortgage against these properties will either acquire the properties directly, or will designate that those properties go to a Non-Profit in exchange for extinguishing the dept that's now properties owes to the city. Tax situation. There was a question about whether the owners of Snap Properties, which is my sisters and I. By the way, my father was not involved and never was in Snap Properties. He was in some other Snap Program. But will we benefit from the donations and as I tried to explain yesterday, in a fairly complicated situation, the short answer is 'No, we will not.' As a matter of fact, from purely a tax standpoint, it would be more advantageous for us probably or at worst, to break even if all of the properties went to the city instead. We're going to have a tax liability. Two tax liabilities that we're going to shoulder out of this situation. One is part of the again, the deal that is being contemplated is that the family will re-contribute money into the L.L.C to pay all of the back taxes, and all of the back penalties and interest to redeem the property so that clear title can pass. The hold up, which I think Councilman Lester will probably speak to is that, the City hasn't been able yet to give us that number. And I sure can't commit to a blank in that situation. That's one set of taxes that we'll be coming up with. The other will be federal income tax that we will be charged with based on the difference between our book value of the properties, and the City loan. So, if the City loan is \$650,000 and our book value is \$400,000, then if we were to do just the straight swap of the properties for the loan, then we would have income of \$250,000. No cash, but what's called phantom income of \$250,000 that we will have to pay personal income on. If we reduce the number of houses going to the City, property going to the city, and do something else with them, then that of course increases by lowering our bases, it increases the amount of tax we'll have there. That will be offset to a large degree by the donations as long as they go to non-profits. But maybe not all the way, because there's a difference between our bases and the property, and the property's fair market value. The fair market value being lower. We consider the property to already belong to the City, and we're here to help it happen, however that could work. If there's other questions, I'll be glad to answer them.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Beard, I appreciate you coming today. I had asked that we get some answers because basically what we did have through the City of Shreveport Finance Department was an amount that was showing in excess of I believe \$6,000,000 that had been paid through federal funds for low to middle income housing assistance. And when you see just side of a ledger, you don't really know what the other side of it was, and if you don't mind taking just a moment, if you could kinda run through, because I guess some people would look at that and say if it's \$6.8 (million) that you got, you didn't profit over the term of holding them, and now, you're asking for relief of payment of \$750,000?

Mr. Beard: Yes sir, and I can understand that. And I don't know whether that number refers to this particular Snap. There were actually a total of five, but four that my family were involved with Snap Projects. I and II have previously gone to the City, one of them made a little trip through HUD first, but ended up at the City. This is now IV and V

that we're talking about here. And that number sounds about right for all of them. And I can tell you that I went back and got with our accountants and the sum total, the family never got back out what we put in from our cash. For example on Snap Properties, what is now Snap Properties, the City came up with \$675,000 or \$651,000 in NILP Loan as part of the original purchase and renovation of the project. We put up \$2.4 (million) borrowed some more money on top of that. Paid that back, the bank loan, but never got all of our money out, and all we've got left is the \$400,000 in the City loan. My father's project, I and II were even worse off than that, because they had a HUD loan against them also, and used HUD funds. And he on an annual basis had to contribute there at the end of the project, he was having to contribute \$40 or 50,000 a year just to keep the doors open.

Councilman Carmody: And again, I applaud anybody that's trying to provide safe and affordable living conditions for those persons who really need it.

Mr. Beard: That was definitely our motivation. We just picked what was the best thinking at the time. If we were all sitting here 20 years ago, we would have fellows coming in here from Savannah, GA telling us about these wonderful projects and how they could work, and all of this, and it was the best thinking at the time, but it was flawed thinking. We shouldn't have done shotgun houses, and you can't save a community if all you do is provide housing. You also have to provide the other pieces to what makes a community a community.

Councilman Carmody: And I think all of us that were aware of what was going on and saw the refurbishment that was going on at the time originally, and were dismayed at what occurred, and basically as the houses became vacant, it appeared that vandals came in right after and just took out everything that was in there. And I can vividly recall one house that looked like it had been stripped of every copper line that was in it.

Mr. Beard: They didn't stop at the copper, they took the PBC.

Councilman Carmody: The other question, and you started to address it, but and it's not that I'm not in favor in support of the relief of the obligation to pay the loan back, but I did have those questions about whether or not by being granted the release by the city, whether it would be some sort of a benefit to the L.L.C. in the form of a donation of the properties to a non-profit?

Mr. Beard: Well, and that's what I tried to explain. When we do the dais Hon of the property for the debt, that creates if the properties, our basis in the properties are not equal to the amount of the debt, that creates income for us, and whatever we donate of the houses, would reduce our basis, increase our tax there, offset hopefully by the value of the donation, but not quite. So, as I've said all the long, I'd rather have just given in lump all of the houses to the city and extinguish the debt, and we would have been finished, but this serves some other good purposes for Community Development, and therefore we're willing to go along with it.

Councilman Carmody: Well, and I think our problem has been in the past is that it's always so difficult to get clear title to property, and if we were to go the other direction, and basically close on an L.L.C, and then have to go through to try to get clear title in order to put these back into the hands of persons that hopefully will provide again, safe affordable, and new housing for persons who really need it.

Mr. Beard: Well, that would not only take a great deal of time, but you also wouldn't have somebody to pony up the current taxes, which is we think somewhere in the

\$30-50,000 range that's going to have to get paid to clear out the situations, but this will accomplish that assuming we can make it all happen.

Councilman Carmody: I appreciate you for being here today. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Pastor Willie Guidon: (881 Elmdale) Good afternoon Councilmen. The reason my presence here this afternoon is because of I had what you might say an incident at my center, where I speak. Came in and stripped my air conditioner of all the copper that was in it. It caused at least a \$5,000 damage repair on this. And I understand that you all supposed to have a ordinance on the book that y'all are going to look at today. And I urge you that this ordinance needs to be passed for the simple reason, this is happening throughout the City of Shreveport. I spoke with several pastors Monday, and they are telling me the same thing happened unto them. And we need some kind of ordinance in place to hold the scrap yard business take on some of this responsibility. I truly believe that if they would do their research and find out where this scrap is coming from, I think we will cut down on some of the vandalism that happened in the City of Shreveport. I suggest unto you that when they bring their scraps in, not only get their names, but questions need to be asked, where this come from. You don't find copper laying on the ground. They don't go cropping anymore, so therefore I'm asking that the City of Shreveport would pass this ordinance. It affected me, it might affect Pastor Green next time, or Pastor - - -, he's not here, but Pastor Jackson, but they are focused on business, churches and residents. And we need to do something about this. We also need to let the citizens be aware of what is taking place. This is happening throughout the City of Shreveport. I spoke with Channel 3 this afternoon, they were telling me that this was happening throughout the City of Shreveport. And the citizens of the City of Shreveport are not aware of this. We need to be aware of this. We need to be in a position where we can protect our property. I thank you, I pray that you will take this into consideration. Thank you.

CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION

TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS:

RESOLUTION NO. 111 of 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING LARRY JOE EARNEST, JR. & TERESA LEE EARNEST, LOCATED AT 636 LAKE FORBING DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Larry Joe Earnest, Jr. & Teresa Lee Earnest have agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Larry Joe Earnest, Jr. & Teresa Lee Earnest, be authorized to connect the building located at 636 Lake Forbing Dr., to the water system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Robertson, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 112 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell or donate said properties as authorized in R.S. 33:4720.11 or R.S. 33:4720.25; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-294 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the property described herein and has not received any indication that it is needed for city purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the following described properties are hereby declared surplus:

E. 46.39 feet of W. 92.78 feet of Lot 490 Geographic Number 181420-010-060900
Jones-Mabry Subdivision

Municipal Address: 2535 Hersey D. Wilson Drive
Council District "A"

Lot 30, Triangle of Block 2, Ingleside Subdivision Geographic Number 171410-116-003000

Municipal Address: 3908 Orla Avenue
Council District "G"

2.38 Acres - Lot 22, Jones Mabry Subdivision Geographic Number 181416-001-002200
Municipal Address: 19832 None

Council District "A"

Lot 6, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-000600

Municipal Address: 21163 None

Council District "A"

Lot 7, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-000700

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 8, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-000800

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 9, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-000900

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 10, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-001000

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 11, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-001100

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 12, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-001200

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 13, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-001300

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 14, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-001400

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 15, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-001500

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

Lot 16, Westgate Subdivision, Unit #1 Geographic Number 181420-029-001600

Municipal Address: 121189 None

Council District "A"

E/2 of Lot 544, Jones-Mabry Subdivision, Unit #8 Geographic Number 181420-010-066400

Less E. 48 Ft of N. 225 Ft. thereof & less S. 30 Ft

For Aline Street

Municipal Address: 21097 None

Council District "A"

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

3. **Resolution No. 113 of 2006**: A resolution requesting a report on the status of the Section 108 loan, in the approximate amount of \$5,000,000 made by the City of Shreveport for the Development of the Red River Entertainment District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to table.

Councilman Carmody: If I could ask Mr. Chairman, Mr. Lester, are we going to delay just in order to receive some additional information before we put it on the agenda?

Councilman Lester: No, I'm tabling it permanent. So, maybe Mr. Thompson, maybe the proper motion is a motion to withdraw?

Mr. Thompson: To remove it from the agenda.

Councilman Lester: Okay. Well then, let me say that then, my motion is to remove it from the agenda.

Substitute motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to remove it from the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

RESOLUTION 114 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS FOR THE PURCHASE OF CHAIRS, TABLES AND CHAIR TRUCKS FOR THE CONVENTION CENTER HOTEL AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Section 3 of Ordinance No. 166 of 2005, the 2006 Capital Improvements Budget, states that the Mayor is not authorized to execute contracts or other legal instruments to expend the funds appropriated for the Convention Center Hotel project without first obtaining the City Council's approval by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor desires to have the authority to execute contracts for the purchase of chairs, tables and chair trucks for the Convention Center Hotel, pursuant to IFB 06-069 issued by the Purchasing Agent.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to execute said contracts for the purchase of chairs, tables and chair trucks on behalf of the City.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions,

items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 5. Nays: Councilman Carmody. 1. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

ORDINANCES: None.

REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 100 of 2006:** Authorizing the release of mortgages and cancellation of promissory notes executed by Shreveport Publishing Corporation (now Snap Property, LLC) in favor of the City of Shreveport in connection with the neighborhood improvement leveraging project and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postpone-June 27, 2006*)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to postpone.

Councilman Walford: Since there are a number of people watching this that may be watching this particular legislation, that may be watching at home, would anybody object if Mr. Thompson read it completely so they'd know what we're postponing? (The Clerk read the title in it's entirety)

Mr. Thompson: This was the matter that Mr. Beard was just speaking about.

Councilman Lester: And for the record, we're postponing, because as Mr. Beard said, and we've said on yesterday at the work session, that the other documents that we need in terms of outstanding tax obligation and things of that nature are not yet complete. And so to the extent that that has not been done, we're asking to postpone. We believe that we'll have all that ready for our next meeting. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Lester: Postponement of item for two weeks, until the next meeting.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 106 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEES AND AUTHORIZING THE DONATION OF BUILDING MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES TO QUALIFIED LOW TO MODERATE INCOME HOMEOWNERS IN

THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, World Changers Ministries, an organization sponsored by the North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Church, will paint and/or repair 12 to 14 homes in the City of Shreveport between July 24 and July 28, 2006; and

WHEREAS, all persons assisted by World Changers will be low to moderate income homeowners residing in the Caddo Heights, Cedar Grove, Lakeside, Martin Luther King, Mooretown, Queensborough and Stoner Hill areas of the city; and

WHEREAS, all work will be performed by volunteers and will enhance the quality of life for the citizens of Shreveport and directly improve the housing conditions for approximately 12 to 14 families in the City of Shreveport thus providing a public benefit; and

WHEREAS, World Changers has sponsored at least five (5) previous repair projects within the City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport through its Department of Community Development desires to participate with World Changers by donating materials and/or supplies to approximately 12 to 14 homeowners that will be used by World Changers to repair and/or paint these properties and by waiving the building permit fees required by the City of Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code for the repairs; and

WHEREAS, the donation of the materials and/or supplies and the waiver of the building permit fees is a permissible exception to Article 7 § 14 of the Louisiana State Constitution of 1974, as amended.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that building permit fees to paint and/or repair a maximum of 14 homes by World Changers Ministries between July 24 and July 28, 2006 are hereby waived.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to donate materials and/or supplies to a maximum of 14 low to moderate income property owners selected to participate in the World Changers project by the City of Shreveport Department of Community Development.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 107 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE CITY UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received a report from the United States Department of Justice which details areas where access to certain City buildings and facilities does not meet the requirements of the Americans With Disabilities Act; and

WHEREAS, the report contains a proposed Settlement Agreement which enumerates the actions to be taken by the City to eliminate these deficiencies; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it to be in the City's best interests to comply with the recommendations of the Department of Justice, both to avoid litigation and to improve the accessibility of City buildings and facilities for persons with disabilities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to execute a Settlement Agreement with the United States of America under the provisions of the Americans With Disabilities Act, substantially in accordance with the draft of the agreement provided to the Clerk of Council on June 27, 2006.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.

Councilman Lester: I guess this would be to the Administration and Mayor or Mr. Dark might be able to answer. In executing the settlement agreement, I know that there was some conversation about a timeline. What are dealing with in terms of a timeline?

Mr. Dark: Mr. Lester, we have been given anywhere between 6 months and 36 months to do a number of task. The more complicated ones are longer, there are some that are a year, some that are two years. Most of the difficult ones are three years.

Councilman Carmody: And Mr. Dark, I might not be addressing this, it might very well be SPAR that needs to answer this question. But I do see that Festival Plaza is listed as being one of the non-compliant ADA facilities. And I'm just curious, it seems like we did such a beautiful job on constructing the new restroom facilities at Festival Plaza, second phase, I'd be surprised if they weren't ADA compliant.

Ms. Stone: Actually there are several things at Festival Plaza. But one of them, there's simple in this whole project, there are things as simple as door handles need to be turned a direction, or the flush valve on a commode is on the wrong side. And I believe at Festival Plaza, that's what it is. The flush valve is on the wrong side of the commode. It's on the open side, not the closed side. And Tom may remember, I mean, it's a huge

document, it's about 620 pages worth of settlement agreement information that I don't remember anything major at Festival Plaza, other than the flush handle on the commode.

Mr. Dark: There's not. There's four fairly minor things relative to toilets. They wanted a picnic table that is accessible to a person in a wheel chair, and they wanted a better sign showing van accessible parking. That's the only thing.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 108 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT THE DONATION OF A 19' ALUMINUM BOAT AND 40 HP OUTBOARD MOTOR, LISTED IN APPENDIX "A" FROM REEVES MARINE CENTER IN BOSSIER PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport Police Department desires to accept the donation of a 19' aluminum boat and 40 HP outboard motor, listed in Appendix "A" from Reeve's Marine Center at 2000 Reeve's Marine Drive in Bossier City, Louisiana, which serves a public purpose and renders a public service; and,

WHEREAS, Section 2.02 (e) of the City Charter allows the City to accept or refuse gifts, donations,

bequests or grants from any source for any purpose related to the powers and duties of the city or the

welfare of the inhabitants thereof, and provide for the care and investment of trust funds; and,

WHEREAS, Reeve's Marine Center is donating the equipment listed as Appendix "A" to the City of Shreveport without any restrictions or conditions; and,

WHEREAS, this donation under these circumstances will enhance the duties of the City in the most cost effective manner.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to execute an agreement between the City of Shreveport and Reeve's Marine Center of Bossier City, Louisiana to accept the donation of the boat and motor listed in Appendix "A" effective July 11, 2006.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Robertson, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

5. **Resolution No. 109 of 2006**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept a donation Inter Vivos and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(Not to be adopted prior to July 25, 2006)*

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Carmody to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

6. **Resolution No. 110 of 2006**: A Resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract for the purchase of property for The Shreveport Fire Department fire maintenance facility and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, Item No. 110 needs to be removed form the agenda, it was actually adopted on June 27th.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Carmody to remove Resolution No. 110 from the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

7. **Resolution No. 115 of 2006**: Accepting dedication for Berkley Drive in Cannon Subdivision Unit 4, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Jackson)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 117 of 2006

RESOLUTION STATING CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S ENDORSEMENT OF NATIONAL MAIL-IT, INC. TO PARTICIPATE IN THE BENEFITS OF THE LOUISIANA ENTERPRISE ZONE PROGRAM AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Enterprise Zone Act of 901 of 1981, Act 337 of 1982, Act 433 of 1987, Act 1024 of 1992, Act 581 of 1995, Act 624 of 1997, and Act 997 of 1999;

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Enterprise Zone Program offers significant incentives for economic development to some of the most distressed areas in parish, and

WHEREAS, NATIONAL MAIL-IT, INC. is located in Census Tract 243.03 Block Group 1, which is not a designated Enterprise Zone, and

WHEREAS, said business will employ a minimum of 35% of its employees from the distressed groups targeted by the Enterprise Zone, and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport states this endorsement is in agreement with the Overall Economic Development Plan for the City of Shreveport, and

WHEREAS, the **attached Enterprise Zone map** is marked showing the location of the business being endorsed, and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Louisiana Enterprise Zone Program requirements the City of Shreveport agrees:

1. To participate in the Enterprise Zone Program
2. To assist the Department in evaluating progress made in any Enterprise Zone within its Jurisdiction
3. To REBATE the 2.75% sales / use tax imposed by the City of Shreveport on the purchase of eligible construction materials, machinery, and equipment purchased for this project and used by a business **permanently on that site.**

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City of Shreveport, in due, regular, and legal session convened that **NATIONAL MAIL-IT, INC.** and their project **PRODUCTION FACILITY** , Enterprise Zone Application # **061708-0**, is endorsed to participate in the Louisiana Enterprise Zone Program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.

Councilman Lester: I spoke to the Administration about this, and I just wanted to follow up I guess with the (inaudible), the background information indicates a number of new jobs that are going to be created. And I guess my question is who with the City is going to be our watch dog to make sure that the job that they say that they are going to create will be created. What is that process?

Ms. Acree: (902 Robinson Pl) And to answer you question, that would be the State of Louisiana. They monitor the enterprise zone program (inaudible).

Councilman Lester: Is there anybody with the city that I guess would be a second party to get that information? Not that National Mail-it is not going to do it, I'm just concerned that this is an unusual situation, and if they say they're going to create a certain level of jobs, then someone other than the state, I would think would be, and certainly I would be interested, if we're going to give them this rebate, to know that that is actually going to follow through.

Ms. Acree: Yeah, and to answer your question, yes, if there is someone in the Economic Development Department in my position. And this is over a two year period. So, yes there could be.

Councilman Green: Also Councilman Lester, I will.

Councilman Lester: That's right, you will be back. Thank you.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS *(Not to be adopted prior to July 25, 2006)*

1. **Resolution No. 116 of 2006**: A resolution authorizing the donation of the use of Pipe and Drape Partitions to the 13th District Missionary Baptist Association for the Louisiana Baptist Convention to be held at Summer Grove Baptist Church, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Walford to introduce Resolution No. 116 of 2006 to lay over until July 25, 2006 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES *(Not to be adopted prior to July 25, 2006)*

1. **Ordinance No. 94 of 2006**: An ordinance amending and reenacting sections of Chapter 6 of the Code of Ordinances relative to burglary alarms and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Ordinance No. 95 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Budget for the Police Grants Special Revenue Fund and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
3. **Ordinance No. 96 of 2006**: An ordinance authorizing and providing for an encroachment on a portion of the Linwood Avenue right-of-way, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)
4. **Ordinance No. 97 of 2006**: An ordinance authorizing and providing for an encroachment on a portion of the Jewella Avenue right-of-way, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)
5. **Ordinance No. 98 of o 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Capital Improvements Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
6. **Ordinance No. 99 of 2006**: An ordinance declaring certain adjudicated properties to be surplus and to authorize the Mayor of the City of Shreveport to sell the City of Shreveport's Tax interest in certain surplus adjudicated properties, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester/D/Robertson/G/Jackson)
7. **Ordinance No. 100 of 2006**: An Ordinance to amend and reenact Sections of Chapter 50 and Chapter 62 of the Code of Ordinances relative to operation of vehicles on certain property and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Green to introduce Ordinance No(s). 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99 and 100 of 2006 to lay over until July 25, 2006 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE *(Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers)*

1. **Ordinance No. 78 of 2006**: An ordinance amending Section 42-226 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances relative to license permits and business regulations and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Robertson to adopt Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

2. **Ordinance No. 79 of 2006**: An ordinance amending and re-enacting Chapter 58 of the Code of Ordinances relative to nuisances by adding Section 58-2 relative to offensive odors and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone.

Councilman Walford: As we said two weeks ago, this is a complex ordinance, and I think Ms. Glass, Ms. Gibson, and Mr. Strong are still working with us, and we want it right, so we need two more weeks to do a little fine tuning.

Councilman Lester: And also, without getting into the minutia of it, we found some problems with it, and I certainly want to let the constituents in the Agurs area as well as, because you know Councilman Walford is up there everyday, because he works there, let them know that this is something that we will get done, it's just we found some problems, and technically, we have an ordinance that right now is a bit overbroad. Also given the fact that there is going to be a meeting this Thursday, sponsored by Representative Glover with the Department of Environmental Quality, we're going to get their DEQ people together with the lawyers from Operational Services to share with them what we've been doing, to try to get their support and get some of their technical expertise, relative to what we're really trying to go, in terms of nuisances from industrial situations. So, we just want to let the constituents up in the Agurs know that we will - - - yeah, 1:00 up at the (inaudible) on N. Market. I don't know what, I think it's the general meeting room at the back. But the meeting is going to be, and Mike is nodding his head, at 1:00 on Thursday. Officials with DEQ, the City, Operational Services, the Council will be there to discuss the nuisance as it relates to the smell in the Agurs area, and other associated issues. And we're very confident that once we get DEQ together with the folks that have been working with us from the City's standpoint on this ordinance, we'll have an ordinance that will be enforceable, that gets to where we want to go. Because even with the revisions that have been made as recently as two weeks ago, but it's still overbroad and it still doesn't get us where we want to go. So we just wanted to let the constituents know that we are working on that problem, and we will be moving forward after that meeting.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

3. **Ordinance No. 80 of 2006**: An ordinance amending and re-enacting Chapter 66, Article II, Section 66-64 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the contribution rates for the Employees Retirement System and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.

Councilman Lester: And my question is I guess to the Administration. I understand that part of the reason that we're bringing this is because of a report that was given in the employee retirement system (inaudible). I guess my concern is it seems that every time that we give the employees a pay raise, something happens right after that where either their insurance goes up, in one instance, and now in this case, we've given them a raise, but now we're requiring an increase of their contribution to the retirement system, and I don't know if it's an unfortunate coincidence, but I guess my question is, is it something that is absolutely necessary at this time? And if so, are we talking, is this in and of itself going to resolve that issue, or are we going to have to revisit this later? Is this something that - - - the increase, is it going to be something that is phased in over a period of time? What type of hit will the employees take as it relates to this issue? And I don't know if - - -

Mayor Hightower: Mr. Chairman, I think to answer your question, it certainly is unfortunate. Unfortunate, but the fact that the markets over the past several years have been as volatile as they have been, the returns for the retirement system have not been what the actuarial projections were, and that was at 8 ½ % benchmark. The retirement system board which is made of employees and some City Administrators as well, I sit on it, Councilman Jackson sits on it, have watched this situation along with Merrill Lynch, who is the financial advisor for - - - since 1999 or 2000, watched this happen. And the thing that we tend to forget, and you mentioned a second ago, every time we seem to give the employees a raise, it seems like something happens to take some of that money back from 'em. What we failed to recognize or failed to remember is that when the board, the system was doing great, we looked at the overall income, and the surplus in the income above actuarial projections, and the board acted to reduce the contribution that employees were making to the system, from 9 to 5%. And after that is when the market turned. But the board at the time made a decision to say, why are we continuing to take money out of employees to fund an over funded system. And at that point, we were over 100% funded, now we are less than that. The actuary came about two months ago, and we said, you know, we need to make some adjustments, whether and there are several different remedies to fix that, time hopefully does it. But the other things are contributions, moving the asset allocation from 6 to equity, or again, there are a number of different things you can do. But I think the board decided that instead of taking the whole chunk back, to take a piece of the pie back to start to get the system back rolling again. So even though it is an increase, it's

still a net decrease in what employees are paying compared to what they were paying. So, I know it'll get blown out of proportion, and you'll have calls about 'we got a raise, and now, they're taking some of it back, but lets take a look at the whole picture, and we are taking some of the raise back, but again, over the past five or six years, they've been paying a lot less than they were paying the previous five or six years.

Councilman Lester: Let me ask this question. If we get a report from the actuary that says that the system is a lot more solvent, obviously we have the ability to revisit the issue.

Mayor Hightower: Absolutely, and that's what happened again five years ago. Cause the board says why continue to take money from employees to fund an over funded retirement system? And now just the contrary to that. We're back saying, we need to put more in here to insure that our employees are going to have a retirement to draw from when they reach that age. The other thing that you have to remember as well, all the employees are not in the system. So, it does not affect every employee that are in the city. Some are in a different system, some not in a system at all. So, everybody's paycheck won't be affected negatively.

Councilman Lester: And this ordinance comes to us as a recommendation from the retirement system, which is of course made up primarily of employees with some administration's support.

Mayor Hightower: Correct. And the vote, and I know the day that the vote was taken to do this, I was not at the board meeting, and neither was Councilman Jackson. So, I think Liz may - - - so actually the vote of the board that day, do you recall was obviously a majority of city employees that made that decision on behalf of - - -

Ms. Washington: Everyone who made the decision was in the system itself.

Mayor Hightower: Okay, so I think that add some stability to what the board has asked the Council to do. It was everyone that made that decision at the board that day is involved in the system. So it was employees, and not administrative staff.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Mayor, I know that Mr. Lester had asked for another answer, and I think it's obvious to those of us that are aware of what occurred that this is not a magic bullet today. If this is not fixed and make the pension fund solvent, there will be some additional measures that will have to be made by the City in the future to address the difference. But I want to get clarity as to - - - because my personal experience as to what occurred with my investments after 9-11 were such that I'm sure it was very similar to what occurred with the City's pension. But if the administration were there, and the city employees were there that sit on the board, didn't they realize based upon what the markets have done, in the meeting following 9-11, that if the markets is going to continue to be down a third of what it's value was, we probably ought to revisit having lowered the employee contribution to make sure that the system is solvent going forward, and what my question would be is, what mechanism cause if actuaries now come forward to assist us, then September 11 will be five years that that occurred that we've allowed the employees to pay the reduced contribution, then what mechanisms are in place that we don't have the same thing occur again where again, there will be a continuing gap in the funding of the system. Because I do feel for those employees, especially those that called today that said, when the firemen pension fund basically made some unwise investments, they were made whole. Then why can't we as city employees be made whole in that we're now looking at

having to come up with more money. And maybe I could get you to address those both of those questions, if you don't mind please.

Mayor Hightower: Well, I think let me do the second one again. Again, we tend to forget, Firemen were not paying a higher amount, and given a reduction in their contribution rate. ERS Employees were, from 9-5 %. It's really easy to forget the good things, and harp on the bad. But that was again, a 4% reduction in what every employee in the system contributed. So, when we start talking about the firemen were made whole, that's true. And this Council's well aware of what that cost and it was mandated by State Law for us to do that. Even after the challenges that we and every other municipality in the state put up. We're still - - - the Board still believes that the system will correct itself, through changing markets through different investment strategies through longer terms and through all the other things that can happen. Is this the magic bullet as you put it? Probably not. And it is incumbent upon the board to stay on top of it, and you sat on that board I think, you never set on it, you set on healthcare? But I think it's incumbent on the board to keep up and Merrill Lynch actually manages the account, does the advisory work for that board, so I do think it's important that board members continue to listen to the advisors, and be pretty nimble on their feet to be able to make decisions. Obviously, the board didn't want to come back to employees and say, we want to take back some of what we gave you, but - - - and I don't want to say procrastinated, but certainly have watched the markets and watched the trends and conditions before that decision was made. Nobody wanted to do that. But I think it's also important to say that the system is not in trouble by any means. Liz, what is our funding percentage, do you know?

Ms. Washington: 77.

Mayor Hightower: 77% funded, and keep in mind that would be if everybody retired in the morning. We could only pay 77% of the retirement obligation that we have, but obviously people are retiring over 30 and 40 year career. So, we've got a long time to spread that money out, and hope that there is a turn in the market. But we do have investment strategies, and we do have investment benchmarks, and goals that we have to meet, but those on that board are just like the City Council. You can change an ordinance at the next meeting. And that board can change its philosophy at its next meeting as well. So, it's hard to put stop gaps in place or policies in place that can't be changed. I'm sure there were a lot of people that would have said, you're crazy. Lets let this thing be funded 115%. And in retrospect, we probably should have done that. But we had board members that I think were conscientious employees that said, this isn't right for us to continue to take money that we truly don't need to fund the project. And I think most of the financial advisors will tell you, you don't have to be 100% funded for the reasons that we just talked about. And those are decisions that were made. But again, the retirement system is not in jeopardy. But I think it's a bold move, and a reluctant move on the part of the board to come back and say, we do think that we all need to contribute more to get this thing a little bit better solvent than the 77%. I don't know what else to tell you to answer your question, other than I think it's important to emphasize that the program and the retirement fund is not in any financial jeopardy.

Councilman Carmody: And I know that that's a comfort to those that are beneficiaries of that system. And I guess from the time that I was first elected, a number of employees of the city that were retired were asking about the cost of living increase. And it's hard in hindsight to say that you could see any problem with the board member saying

basically we're taking in more money than we actually need. Lets offer the reduction, but I would hope that there is something put in place that is more or less a threshold or a percentage figure that if the value of the fund drops below a certain level, then there are some mandated steps for processes to in order to make sure that it does remain solvent. And again, I don't mean to use that word frivolously because as I say, we are sure that this is going to help to make sure that that gap that was created is slowly started to be closed. It won't close it, but future councils and future administrations will have to be proactive to make sure that they are doing the prudent things in order to have the pension there for the employees at the time that they retire. But I come back around to those that were retired when I came onto the council that were saying we've never gotten a cost of living increase, and this will be my eighth year, and I know that things have certainly gone up on that period of time, and so, just like those that are looking at it today to say that man my next paycheck is going to be less than what it was before when the effective date of this effective on August 1, 2006. But those current recipients that are retired are still living on the same thing that they got, and I can't remember was it '95 maybe the last cost of living increase?

Mayor Hightower: Liz, you want to come up and talk about that a little bit. We do - - - there are things that have to happen before we grant retirees cost of living increases. And I know that she knows it better than I do, so - - -

Mr. Antee: Those are by state statute. Parameters to which the board could, if they so choose to give a COLA. But if you don't meet those specified returns, then by state law, you can't actually give a COLA.

Ms. Washington: The last COLA was in 2002. And in 2002, the fund was 69.6% funded. And the guidelines are it has to be 90%. You have to make your actuarial rate of return which is 8.5%, and it cannot be any more than the CPI.

Councilman Carmody: And Ms. Washington, you sat on the retirement board and - - -

Ms. Washington: Since '93.

Councilman Carmody: Since '93. Has there been - - - was there discussion after 9-11 as to what the impact would be to the pension fund, and that it might be proper to at least consider doing something to address that employee contribution had it been lower?

Ms. Washington: The employee contribution isn't all about the rate of return on investments, because if you're not putting any more money into the system, even if you are meeting actuarial rate of returns, you still aren't getting ahead, and that's the problem here. We need to put in more money in order to be able to fund the system. The actuarial rate of return has been very close to being met most years. But we need more money. You know sort of like, lets what is it called? Dollar cost averaging. You need to constantly be putting more money in, in order to meet the guidelines.

Councilman Carmody: And that's why I guess the employees that had contacted me saying y'all had made the fire, again, that's apples to oranges, because they're two different things. One was a state mandate, and the other would be the City of Shreveport stepping forward to make the pension fund whole so to speak, but I do think that it's a discussion that needs to be at the forefront of future administrations, future councils to make sure that we're mindful because that was my fear, not that I wasn't in full support of giving raises, but it does have that appearance to the man that goes to cash his check, to say

boy howdy, thank you a lot guys. You giveth and taketh away. It's a tough choice. It's a tough pill to swallow.

Ms. Washington: It is.

Councilman Carmody: But in reality, we're trying as a self funded pension provider to make sure that there will be that retirement there for those that are invested in.

Ms. Washington: Yes, we're trying to make sure that everyone who is promised a benefit will have that benefit in the long run.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

4. **Ordinance No. 81 of 2006**: An ordinance amending and re-enacting portions of Chapter, 94 of the Code of Ordinances relative to utilities and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Robertson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

5. **Ordinance No. 82 of 2006**: An ordinance authorizing the purchasing agent to dispose of surplus real property and other wise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt.

Councilwoman Robertson: Is this not one that is to be done by the 25th?

Mr. Thompson: No Ma'am.

Councilwoman Carmody: The fact sheet does indicate that. The introduction was on the 27th, with second reading on the 11th, and then final passage on the 25th.

Mr. Thompson: Thank you Ms. Robertson, we need to postpone it.

Substitute motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Green to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

6. **Ordinance No. 84 of 2006**: An ordinance creating and establishing a no parking anytime zone around the perimeter of the T. L. Amiss Water Purification Plant and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by

Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

7. **Ordinance No. 92 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Hogan, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, did you want to make any remarks about when the funds were supposed to be due in or anything? We talked about that a little bit yesterday?

Councilman Hogan: Well, it was not actually an ordinance. But - - -

Mr. Thompson: It was in the fact sheet.

Councilman Hogan: Unless there's any questions or discussion, I think we've already covered everything. We've already voted of course, but the funds had been committed. About one-third of the funds have been raised and are in hand, and the other funds to the tune of about around \$12,000? Wave at me Mr. (inaudible), somewhere in that neighborhood. So the city match will be a \$12,000 to go with that for renovation of the library which is a city-owned and parish-owned building. The renovations will be done by the Southern Hills Business Association.

Councilwoman Robertson: Okay, I don't want to muddy something, so we're sticking at the 12 and it's not going to go on to the 20? We're not going to wait for the other funds?

Councilman Hogan: Yes, that's my understanding.

Councilwoman Robertson: We're staying at 12?

Councilman Hogan: Mr. Sayer, would you come to the front? That was the figure I got as of two days ago. Do you expect that there are any - - -? Have you got anybody with just a lot of money, and you're fixin to get a big contribution towards that?

Mr. Sayer: We got one contribution today for \$2,500 more, so we're at 15. We'd like to go for the 20, and certainly if it's going to be a city and parish building still, and we'd like to get it remodeled and do it right, and be a showcase in Southern Hills.

Councilman Hogan: Okay, so - - -

Mr. Sayer: If you'll give me until July 31st, we'll have \$20,000.

Councilman Hogan: You'll have \$20,000 by the end of this month.

Mr. Sayer: Yes sir.

Councilman Hogan: Okay. Mr. Thompson, is there going to be any problem with that?

Mr. Thompson: No. I think what you're saying is that they'll have the \$20,000 by the end of the month, then the City will donate the entire amount that's in the ordinance.

Councilman Hogan: Right.

Mr. Thompson: That was the clarification that we needed for future.

Councilman Hogan: Thank you for your support Council Members.

8. **Ordinance No. 85 of 2006**: ZONING APPEAL – C-26-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by re-zoning property located on the east side of Russell Road, 1753 feet south of Gain Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A, Residence-Agriculture District, R-1H, Urban, One-Family Residence District, and R-1D Urban, One-Family Residence District to I-2, Heavy Industrial District and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to postpone.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Lester, I'm certainly going to vote in favor of the postponement. Would this matter be taken up at a specific date in the future?

Councilman Lester: Well, I suspect that we will be able to move forward at our next meeting. I've met with Mr. Pesnell on Thursday, (both Mr. Pesnells), and they gave me a pretty thick binder of information. And I went through some of it, I got some of the information that I'm still trying to get, and I'm not prepared to move forward on it. And I told them at the time that we talked on Thursday, that I would be asking for a postponement, so that I could review all the information, because it's quite voluminous.

Councilman Carmody: I can understand that. I just did not know if it would be postponed infinitum or - - - okay, we will bring the matter back up.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, I think you also have been passed out certain information concerning this that you might want to take a look at for the next time. I don't know that we'll re-circulate it unless you ask us to.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

9. **Ordinance No. 86 of 2006**: ZONING – C:38-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by re-zoning property located on the north and south sides of Clay, east of Pierre and south side of Buena Vista west of Pierre Avenue Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-3, Urban Multiple-Family Residence District to R-3 (PUD) Urban Multiple-Family Residence (Planned Unit Development) District and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

Councilman Lester: Just so that the record is clear, I don't want our local daily to think that I'm not in favor of the Fuller Center. So, and I hope that this will put that notion, that ridiculous notion to rest.

10. **Ordinance No. 87 of 2006**: ZONING – C41-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by rezoning property located on the north side of Claiborne Avenue, 75 feet west of McWillie, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District to, R-2-E, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence/Extended Use District, LIMITED TO “Eye Clinic and Rehabilitation Center, with Associated Sales”, only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

11. **Ordinance No. 88 of 2006**: ZONING – C-42-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by rezoning property located on the south side of Stoner Avenue, 300 feet west of Creswell Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish Louisiana, for continuation of B-2-E, Neighborhood Business/Extended use District, Limited to the Primary Use as “Residential Facility for treatment of adults with compulsive gambling disorder,” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

12. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2006**: ZONING - C-28-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by rezoning property located on the southwest corner of West 84th Street and Wallace, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-1D-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District, Limited to “Construction/Engineering Office with inside Storage,” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Robertson)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Robertson, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

13. **Ordinance No. 90 of 2006**: ZONING – C-45-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by rezoning property located on the west side of Broadway, 100 feet south of Murvon Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District, to B-1-E, Buffer/Business Extended Use District, Limited to “A Barber Shop” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Green)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Carmody to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

14. **Ordinance No. 91 of 2006**: ZONING – C-46-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by rezoning property located on the southeast corner of Jewella and Sumner, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District, to B-2, Neighborhood Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Jackson)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

15. **Ordinance No. 93 of 2006**: ZONING C-43-06: An Ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the city of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of Youree Drive, 120 feet north of Archer Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from SPI-3 (b-1) Commercial Corridor Overlay (Buffer Business) District, to SPI-3-E, Commercial Corridor Overlay/Extended Use District, Limited to “A Sign Shop”, only and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Carmody)

Having passed first reading on June 27, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Robertson, Hogan, and Green. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Jackson. 1.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

**ORDINANCE NO. 78 OF 2006
AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 42-226 OF THE CITY OF
SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO LICENSE PERMITS**

AND BUSINESS REGULATIONS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 42-226 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby amended to add paragraph (c) and now reads as follows:
Section 42-226: Scrap metal dealers to keep daily report of scrap metal purchased; required information; age requirement

“(c) Effective August 20, 2006, all daily records shall be filed electronically to a law enforcement web site designated as an agent of the police department for the sole purpose of collecting such records, or in such other form as may be permitted by the Chief of Police.”

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provisions or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 80 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND RE-ENACT CHAPTER 66, ARTICLE II, SECTION 66-64 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO THE CONTRIBUTION RATES FOR THE EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that Chapter 66, Article II, Section 66-64 of the Code of Ordinances for the City of Shreveport is hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows:

Sec. 66-64. Accounts; contributions by members and city; administrative expenses; etc.

(1) Annuity savings account.

a. The annuity savings account shall be the account in which shall be accumulated contributions of members. The contributions of each member shall be five percent of his earnable compensation. The contributions of each member shall increase to seven percent of his earnable compensation effective August 1, 2006. Further, the contributions of each member shall increase to nine percent of his earnable compensation effective January 1, 2007.

(2) Pension accumulation account.

e. The total payable by the city in each year shall be an amount equal to a maximum of 9.15 percent of the earnable compensation of each member. Effective August 1, 2006, the total payable by the city in each year shall be an amount equal to a maximum of 11.15 percent of

the earnable compensation of each member. Effective January 1, 2007, the total payable by the city in each year shall be an amount equal to a maximum of 13.15 percent of the earnable compensation of each member. The pension accumulation account percentage will vary based on the actual valuation and will fluctuate inversely with the percentage (see section 66-73(a)) attributable to each account of members and beneficiaries in the employer contribution plan.

* * * * *

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 81 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REENACT PORTIONS OF CHAPTER 94 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO UTILITIES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilman Carmody

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 94-164 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby amended and reenacted by adding subsection 94-164(5) to read as follows:

(5) For rates which become effective on or after January 1, 2007, the new rate shall apply to the first billing cycle in which all consumption occurs after the effective date.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that Section 94-165 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby amended and reenacted by adding subsection 94-165(12) to read as follows:

(12) For rates which become effective on or after January 1, 2007, the new rate shall apply to the first billing cycle in which all consumption occurs after the effective date.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 84 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH A NO PARKING ANYTIME ZONE AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE T.L. MISS WATER PURIFICATION PLANT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that it shall hereafter be unlawful for anyone to park

any vehicle at any time of the day or night on the west side of Blanchard Road between Exposition Avenue and Holcomb Drive.

SECTION II: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, Legal and regular session convened, that it shall hereafter be unlawful for anyone to park any vehicle at any time of the day or night on the west side of Exposition Avenue between Blanchard Road and Milam Street. BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 92 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2006 GENERAL FUND BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Hogan

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2006 budget for the General Fund to appropriate additional funds and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 163 of 2005, the 2006 General Fund budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

In General Government increase appropriation to Other Charges by \$20,000.

In Department of Operational Services decrease appropriation to Improvements and Equipment by \$20,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 163 of 2005 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 86 OF 2006

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH AND SOUTH SIDES OF CLAY, EAST OF PIERRE AND SOUTH SIDE OF BUENA VISTA WEST OF PIERRE AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-3, URBAN, MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO R-3 (PUD) URBAN,

MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE (PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT) DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO
SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north and south sides of Clay, east of Pierre and south side of Buena Vista, West of Pierre Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from, R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District, to R-3 (PUD), Urban Multiple-Family Residence (Planned Unit Development) District.**

Lots 21 to 25 and 38 to 42 of the Campisi Subdivision. Lot 1 of the Fuller Subdivision, Unit No. 1; Lots, 4, 5, and the E 6 feet of Lot 6, Block F, Ingersoll Heights Subdivision; Lots 8 to 12 and the W 28 feet of Lot 7, Block F, Ingersoll Heights Subdivision; Lots 22 & 23 Crowder Subdivision, 171306-55-57 N/2 of Lots 24, 26, 27 and 28 and ½ of S/2 of Lots 24, 25, 26, 27 & 28, Crowder Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

- 1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with a revised site plan to be submitted to the Planning Director showing community parking on their property. Any significant changes or additions shall require further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**
- 2. On zero lot line developments, no windows, doors, air conditioning unit, or any other types of openings in the walls along the zero lot line shall be allowed except where such openings do not allow for visibility into the side yard of the adjacent lot, such as a clerestory skylight or opaque window.**
- 3. On zero lot line developments, a perpetual easement related to maintenance, eaves, and drainage of at least 5 feet shall be provided on the lot adjacent to the zero lot line property line, which with the exception of walls and/or fences, will be kept clear of structures. This easement shall be incorporated into each deed transferring title on the property.**
- 4. Eaves along zero lot lines may project over the adjacent property line, but in no case will exceed 18 inches.**
- 5. Phase 3: Site development plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any permits.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of

this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this

end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 87 OF 2006

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING

PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF CLAIBORNE AVENUE, 75 FEET WEST OF MCWILLIE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-2, SUBURBAN, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO, R-2-E, SUBURBAN, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO “EYE CLINIC AND REHABILITATION CENTER, WITH ASSOCIATED SALES”, ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of Claiborne Avenue, 75 feet west of McWillie, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from, R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District to R-2-E, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence/Extended Use District, limited to “eye clinic and rehabilitation center, with associated sales” only,**

From a found 1 inch iron pipe marking the SW corner of Lot 1 of said Rhea Subdivision located on the N R-O-W line of Claiborne Avenue and being the P-O-B of herein tract described. From the P-O-B measure along the N R-O-W line of said Claiborne Avenue and along the S line of Lots 2 thru 6 of said Rhea Subdivision, N89°24'35"W for a distance of 250.23 feet to a found chiseled "X" in concrete; thence leave said R-O-W line and measure along the W line and the W line extended of said Rhea Subdivision and the W line of tract, this line is also the E line of tract owned by Louisiana Association for the Blind, N00°37'01"E for a distance of 194.69 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod; thence measure S89°24'35"E for a distance of 299.52 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod located on the W R-O-W line of McWillie Street; thence measure along said R-O-W line, S00°24'20"W for a distance of 59.63 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod marking the NE corner of Lot 1 of said Rhea Subdivision; thence leave said R-O-W line and measure along the N line of said Lot 1, N89°24'35"W for a distance of 50.00 feet to a found ½ inch iron pipe marking the NW corner of said Lot 1; thence measure along the W line of said Lot 1, S 00°24'20"W for a distance of 135.06 feet to the P-O-B. This tract contains 1.185 acres. Bearings are grid, NAD 1983, Louisiana State Plane, N Zone.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

- 1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**
- 2. Hours of operation shall be from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., Monday – Saturday.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 88 OF 2006

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF STONER AVENUE, 300 FEET WEST OF CRESWELL AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FOR CONTINUATION OF B-2-E, NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO THE PRIMARY USE AS “RESIDENTIAL FACILITY FOR TREATMENT OF ADULTS WITH COMPULSIVE GAMBLING DISORDER,” ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that continuation of the zoning classification of property located on Lots 5, 6, 7, and 8 and the W/2 of Lot 9, Block 3, Homesville Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, , located on the S side of Stoner Avenue, 300 feet W of Creswell Avenue, **be and the same is hereby granted permanent approval as B-2-E, Neighborhood Business/Extended Use District, limited to the primary use as “residential facility for treatment of adults with compulsive gambling disorder,” only,**

for continuation of B-2-E, Neighborhood Business/Extended Use District, on Lots 5, 6, 7, and 8 and the W/2 of Lot 9, Block 3, Homesville Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, limited to a residential facility for adults with compulsive gambling problems, located on the S side of Stoner Avenue, 300 feet W of Creswell Avenue.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

- 1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**
- 2. It is recognized that some of the residents may suffer with multiple disorders including but not limited to mental health and drug and alcohol related disorders. However, at no time shall the facility be used as an alcohol or drug use detoxification center.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 89 OF 2006

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF WEST 84TH STREET AND WALLACE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DESTRICT, TO R-1D-E,

URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO “CONSTRUCTION/ENGINEERING OFFICE WITH INSIDE STORAGE,” ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of Lots 24, 25, 26, & 27 of the Pin Oak Park Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, located on the southwest corner of West 84th Street and Wallace **be the same is hereby changed from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-1D-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District, limited to “construction/engineering office with inside storage,” only.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

- 1. A revised site plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Director, showing a privacy/screening fence to be erected and connected to the building, but shall not be erected in such a manner as to visually screen the building facade.**
- 2. Any significant changes or additions shall require further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 93 OF 2006

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF YOUREE DRIVE, 120 FEET NORTH OF ARCHER AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM SPI-3 (B-1) COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR OVERLAY (BUFFER BUSINESS) DISTRICT, TO SPI-3-E, COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR OVERLAY/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO “A SIGN SHOP”, ONLY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the west side of Youree Drive, 120 feet north of Archer Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from SPI-3 (B-1) Commercial Corridor Overlay (Buffer Business) District to SPI-3-E Commercial Corridor Overlay Extended Use District, limited to “a sign shop”, only.**

Lot 396, Broadmoor Subdivision, Unit No 2, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and other improvements located thereon, and the E/2 of a tract of land adjoining said Lot 396 described as: Begin at the NW corner of Lot 396, Broadmoor

Subdivision, Unit No. 2 and to W along an extension of the N boundary of said Lot 396, to a point where said extension of the N boundary of Lot 27, Greenway Park Subdivision, then go S along the E boundary of said Lot 27 and S along the E boundary of Lot 396 of Broadmoor Subdivision, then to E to the SW corner of Lot 396, Broadmoor Subdivision, then go N to P-O-B.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1. **Ordinance No. 93 of 2005**: To amend and reenact Section 3.01 of Ordinance No. 96 of 1980 relative to exemptions and exclusions from sales and use taxes and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced – 6/14/05 - Tabled on July 12, 2005*)
2. **Ordinance No. 220 of 2005**: ZONING APPEAL C-95-05: Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, to rezone property located on the Southwest corner of Cleveland and Jewella Avenues, from R-1D, Urban One-Family Residence District to B-3, Community Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Green) (*Introduced – Dec 27, 2005 – Tabled January 10, 2006*)
3. **Ordinance No. 69 of 2006**: ZONING APPEAL: C-16-06 - Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the northwest corner of Hilry Huckaby and Russell Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A, Residence-Agriculture District, to R-1H (PUD) Urban, One-Family Residence (planned unit development) District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)(*Postponed June 13, 2006 until July 25, 2006*)
4. **Ordinance No. 70 of 2006**: ZONING APPEAL C-18-06 - Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the east side of north Hearne, 1540 feet north of Hilry Huckaby Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A, Residence-Agriculture District, to R-A-E, Residence-Agriculture/Extended Use District, limited to “a concrete crushing and product storage”, only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)(*Remanded to MPC June 13, 2006*)

Mr. Thompson: We have no indication that any of these are to be removed from the table or voted on today.

NEW BUSINESS: None

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES: None.

CLERK'S REPORT: None

THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting)

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 4:46 p.m.

//s// Jeffery A. Hogan, Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council