

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Green at 3:00p.m., Tuesday, June 28, 2005, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Hogan.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Walford.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Green, Hogan and Jackson (Arrived at 3:04 p.m.). 6. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Councilman Green: Just for the record, Councilman Gibson is out of town, and just like for his constituents to know that.

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Lester to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday June 13, 2005 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, June 14, 2005 Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Councilman Green: Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Hightower: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I've just got one thing today. Most of you I think are familiar with BizCamp. It's an entrepreneurial course, that a former Councilman and colleague of some of you, Roy Burrell, started. And we do want to recognize that BizCamp is now in its 5th year. It's continued to grow, thanks to the support of the community, and businesses and also you, the City Council. So, I wanted to let you guys know that the BizCamp will start up again this summer, over the next several weeks and it will continue to hopefully build the entrepreneurial spirit in the youth in this City and encourage them to be the economic tools of the future. So, in recognition of that, I do want to present and proclaim the week of June 27th – July 1st as Youth Entrepreneurs Week, United to Rebuild America in the City of Shreveport. And we urge all citizens to participate in their events this coming week.

Councilman Green, at this time we have another resolution, but before we get to that, I'd like to say hello to Mrs. Carol Cooks, who is a dedicated watcher of the Shreveport City Council Meeting. So, I'd just like to say hello to Ms. Cooks.

RESOLUTION NO. 108 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION TO COMMEND THE OWNER, INSTRUCTORS, AND STUDENTS OF BLALOCK'S PROFESSIONAL BEAUTY COLLEGE FOR THEIR EFFORTS IN FOILING AN ARMED ROBBERY ATTEMPT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

By: Councilman James Green

WHEREAS, on Tuesday, June 14, 2005, the owner, instructors, and students of Blalock's Professional Beauty College took matters into their own hands by foiling an attempted armed robbery; and

WHEREAS, it is ironic that on that morning an instructor had gathered the students and told them that they needed to watch out for one another; and

WHEREAS, in many instances a situation such as this would have ended in tragedy, with innocent people being harmed or killed; and

WHEREAS, on that afternoon, the instructors and students did not allow themselves to be victims; and

WHEREAS, without a thought of what consequences their actions might bring, the instructors and students took action to foil the attempt and bring the violator to justice; and

WHEREAS, for the quick actions of Mr. Abram Bishop, the potential armed robber may have been successful in his crime; and

WHEREAS, it took courage and "guts" to do what the instructors and students did with no regard for their own safety.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the City of Shreveport and all its citizens publicly commend the owner, instructors, and students of Blalock's Professional Beauty College for their successful foiling of an attempted armed robbery at their college.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution shall be executed in duplicate originals with one original presented to Sharon Blalock, the owner of Blalock Professional Beauty College and the other filed in perpetuity in the office of the Clerk of Council for the City of Shreveport.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Jackson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Green, and Jackson. 5. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Hogan. 1.

Councilman Green: Certainly, we'd like to say congratulations for your bravery, and for what happened. And I know that you all have received attention from all over the world, but I thought it would be fitting for us to just say congratulations here. And certainly it has been refreshing to have people like yourselves. In fact, I've been tempted to call the DA Paul Carmouche to say to him, don't send him to jail because you all have already rehabilitated him. But I'd just like to say, congratulations and this time we'd just like for you to have words of expression of whoever you all chose to and the Mayor and the Vice Chair and I will come down and congratulate you all. Who would like to speak first.

Ms. Sharon Blalock: Good afternoon I'm Sharon Blalock, and I just want to say how grateful I am for Shreveport recognizing us. I'm very proud of all the students and of course with Mr. Bishop's quick thinking that he actually took the gun and hit the man. And after that point, the next thing you know the policemen were there to get him. But anyway, we just want to thank you all and we thank God, that nothing worse happened, because it could have gone so many different ways. And just want to tell you that we are appreciative.

Councilman Walford: It may have seemed like a very short time to you when the policemen arrived, but from what I've read and heard in the media, I think it seemed like an eternity for your robber.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Councilman Green: Also, the resolution that we'll have for you all, Councilman Gibson is out of town, we'll get it signed and we'll get it to you. But until then, we'll come down and shake your hand. Any other Councilmen have comments?

Councilman Hogan: Mr. Chairman, I just have a comment. I appreciate the courage y'all exhibited that day. I know it's probably a scary thing. I've never been involved in anything like that. And you know we recognize courageous people here and we also have a police academy training class coming up in the fall. I'd like to - - - if anybody decides that the beauty career is not for them, maybe you could consider going into police work.

Councilman Carmody: Before Councilman Jackson gets up here, I guess that guy was hoping y'all weren't going to give him an extreme makeover. So, we're very proud of y'all. It took a lot of bravery and thank God, nobody got hurt.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I just wanted to - - - I had a question, two questions and then a commendation. I noticed the first time it was ever caught, I mean it was a report on T.V., but I was wondering if this was the first time this has ever happened? Where you had to do that to somebody? And then my second question was, since you all were successful, are you going to make that a part of the curriculum? But really I just wanted to - - - I make light of it now, but certainly, it was a dangerous situation. And while I certainly encourage the people who defend themselves and to thwart whatever kind of crime we can, I'm thankful that every person here throughout the width and breadth of this City doesn't have to face that same thing. And certainly some situations become more dangerous than others. So I hope that it doesn't catch on to become a trend where everybody starts trying to have their own brand of justice. However, it's encouraging that people have the kind of tenacity that says you're not going to come in here and ruin what we're trying to build. So, I certainly encourage you to keep doing a good job and want to commend you on what you've done. And not so much Ms. Blalock and others, not so much for what's been in the news, but also for the number of years consistently that you all have been producing professionals who are doing a good job around this City, so you get a lot of coverage for one incident that happens, but the truth of the matter is you all have been consistently been producing professionals and cosmetologists around this City who are doing a good job. So, while that incident aside, keep doing the good work that you're doing and keep the reputation going.

Reports:

Convention Center and Convention Center Hotel

Councilman Green: Are there any questions about the report?

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, I guess I missed the report yesterday, but as I appreciate it, and Mr. Antee, you can tell me if it's correct or not that you did pass out some progress reports I believe?

Mr. Antee: We sent out at your request middle of last week what the financial payments were.

Councilman Jackson: I didn't know what was discussed I wasn't here on yesterday, but I had asked two weeks ago, I believe it was to have present the representatives from SMG so that we could talk. I think that we had talked a little about a situations that we had had over the course of the last month or so. And I wanted to see if maybe I could ask the Mayor have we rectified that situation?

Mayor Hightower: I think so. We have it here today.

Councilman Jackson: Alright.

Mayor Hightower: Are you talking about - - - I guess you're talking about the situation that you and I talked about over meetings?

Councilman Jackson: Right. So, what have we come to?

Mayor Hightower: Anytime you want.

Councilman Lester: Good.

Councilman Jackson: Okay. I want you to go on record Mr. Mayor or Mr. Antee, so that while I think Mr. Carrier is here, so that we'll know there'll won't be any lack of clarity to what we're talking about.

Mr. Antee: I didn't realize what issue you were talking about Councilman Jackson. But SMG as a cooperation has a policy that since they deal primarily with public entities that they deal with the executive branch of public entities unless they're told otherwise. And I think, well I don't think, I know Mike Carrier was instructed as to that policy of SMG. I think that's what created some of the confusion. Since then we've stated that as long as he feels comfortable meeting with any one of you Council Members, he can meet with you at anytime. I know we've had three meetings set up to meet with you, but not have been able to. But he's welcomed to meet with y'all from our perspective. The Mayor doesn't have to be there. I don't have to be there unless requested by either side, then we'll be glad to be there. So, I think that's where the confusion is, based on SMG's corporate policy.

Councilman Jackson: But Mr. Antee, I guess you all know from the executive level, that the executive branch of this particular government is authorized by the Council to do whatever it is y'all do and very often, whether it's true or whether it's a reality of perception, many of the Council Members don't feel informed about a lot of that kind of stuff. And so we have meetings where all this motives, and all these other kinds of things, and it seems to me what the attempt ought to be is to make sure that there is as much sunshine and opportunity and access as is possible. It would be different if the Administration or the Executive Level by policy or otherwise was the person paying for the project. You know this is a municipality and so my concern is that I don't think that at first blush, that notwithstanding that the policies or maybe he needs to go back and talk to SMG about the policies of how cities operate. And maybe every city is not the same. But the Mayor, when we authorize, we authorize him to enter into contract with a person like that. And at that particular point and time and I have not seen that, I think y'all for forwarding me the contract where it says then that our policy is. Because I'm not so much concerned about SMG's policy as I am about Shreveport's policy and how we communicate with each and people who are doing work for us as a city. If everything goes to you all, then that's fine too. But I just think the record needs to be clear that if we have questions, you know I don't really much appreciate having two or three filters before we get answers for questions we might have. Particularly about spending everybody's money. If it was just the Administration paying for it, it would be different. But its all the citizens money and I think we ought to have access as representatives of those citizens to ask whatever questions we want to ask whenever we think those questions are necessary. I know what the contract says. That the City has the right to ask with prior notice about any financial information, anything we have access according to this contract that you all have give me. And so, as a result of that, it just becomes frustrating to hear whether it comes from you or whether it comes from him, or whoever it may come from, that you know we gotta go through these levels of bureaucracy to get information, and there Mr. Antee lies the problem with what people, when people have this perception of - - - that the Administration is operating on an island, that the Administration is not filtering information down. When

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

these people start saying this, we don't help ourselves out. And you all don't help yourselves out by continuing to have these kinds of - - -. I could care less what SMG's policy is. They're doing business with us. And it's our money. What is our policy I guess is what I'm trying to clarify.

Mr. Antee: And that's exactly why we don't have any problem with Mr. Carrier meeting with any one of y'all one on one. We never have. I think the first call, you called me and asked me to set up the meeting. And that's the reason why I did on those occasions. But the Mayor, nor do I, do we now nor have we had any policy with anyone of y'all meeting with them at any time. And that's hopefully clear for the record.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Antee, I was out of town on last week and understood that there was action taken by the legislature regarding \$12,000,000 in capital outlay for the Convention Center. Can you apprise the Council of I guess what occurred?

Mr. Antee: Yeah. Senator Malone had an amendment attached at the 11th hour on the Capital Outlay Bill which has - - - came out of Conference Committee stating that the City cannot spend the money until the lawsuit has been resolved. From a legal standpoint, from Facility Planning, the Attorneys General's Office as well as our own attorneys, there's a lot of question as to whether or not it will actually become law, and will actually, if it's a correct process under the constitution and the way it was handled.

Councilman Carmody: So, then is the City and the City Attorneys then seeking some sort of a clarification as to whether or not the actions by the Legislature are actually legal?

Mr. Antee: Yes.

Councilman Carmody: Okay.

Mr. Antee: Or our attorneys that are representing the City on the other lawsuit, Crawford and Lewis, Laura Posche in particular as well as Rick McGimsey with the Attorneys General Office as well as people within Facility and Planning are looking into that. So there is possible litigation to be filed to be determined whether or not that amendment is constitutional.

Councilman Carmody: Okay. And then I guess the contract that was signed by the Mayor to begin the construction of the hotel, there is adequate funds there to do the construction portion of the building itself, but the question then would be whether or not we would have the \$12,000,000 in order to finish out and furnish the rooms and the interior?

Mr. Antee: Well, the \$12,000,000 from the Capital Outlay process is strictly for construction. The amendment contained language that unlike most capital outlay projects, if we expend the money when the State pays us that \$12,000,000, it can reimburse us for money that's already been spent.

Councilman Carmody: Oh, okay. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Property Standards Report

Councilman Green: Are there any questions for Mr. Bowie and his staff?

Public Hearing: None.

Confirmations and/or Appointments, Adding Legislation to the Agenda, and Public Comments Confirmations and/or Appointments.

Caddo-Bossier Port Commission: *James Pannel*
Michael Wainwright
Ron Miciotto

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, if it's the pleasure of the Council, I would be happy to offer a motion to adopt all three. The two reappointments and the new appointment.

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Jackson to confirm these appointments to the Caddo-Bossier Port Commission.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Chairman, has the Administration had the chance to verify that any of the appointments have any outstanding obligations owed to the City?

Mayor Hightower: I don't see Ms. Washington in the room.

Councilman Green: I don't think she's here today, so any other questions.

Mayor Hightower: I don't know that we have.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Gibson, Hogan, Green, and Jackson.

4. Nays: Councilmen Lester and Carmody. 2. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Adding Legislation to the Agenda

1. **Resolution No. 112 of 2005:** A resolution requesting the Louisiana Local Government environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority to take certain actions and authorizing the Mayor to enter into a Trade Confirmation, all in connection with bonds issued to finance the Shreveport Convention Center Hotel; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
2. **Resolution No. 113 of 2005:** A resolution requesting the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority to take certain actions and authorizing the Mayor to enter into a Trade Confirmation, all in connection with bonds issued to finance Independence Stadium; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
3. **Resolution No. 114 of 2005:** A resolution requesting the Metropolitan Planning Commission to consider amendments to Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the minimum distances and other criteria involving the location of Borrow Pits and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
4. **Resolution No. 115 of 2005:** A resolution requesting the governor of the State of Louisiana to veto several amendments, by Senator Malone, to House Bill No. 2, relative to funds appropriated for the Shreveport Convention Center (Hotel) Complex, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
5. **Ordinance No. 102 of 2005:** An Ordinance to amend Section 38-41 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances relative to Housing and Property Standards and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Motion by Councilman Jackson, seconded by Councilman Walford to add Resolutions No 112, 113, 114, and 115; and Ordinance No. 102 of 2005. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Public Comments (Agenda Items to be Adopted)

Mr. Scott Colby: (3929 St. Vincent Ave) I'm the Vice-President of Bayou Security Systems, which has been established since 1981. I also serve as the Chairman of the Board of the Louisiana Life Safety and Security Association, formerly the Louisiana Burglar and Fire Alarm Association. I'm here to support the adoption of a committee to review the false alarm ordinance. The industry spends a lot of - - - hundreds of thousands a year to combat false alarms, and it's important that they take place in making sure a false alarm ordinance is drafted properly, where it's fair to the consumer, fair to the industry, and to the businesses that will be affected. So basically, I'm asking the Council, Mike Gibson isn't here today, and he was supporting us on this issue. I'm asking the Council to go ahead and allow for the committee to be formed, made up of two citizens, two members of the Chamber of Commerce, two members of the industry, and two members of the Police Department and two members of the City Council so that we can get a fair ordinance. The original ordinance when it was put in place in Shreveport was made up by a committee of that nature. As the ordinance is written now, basically - - - the only thing that we see that it's doing as an industry is increasing the fines to the consumer. It's not doing anything to help slow down the rate of the false alarms. Increasing fines is not an effective manner to do that. There are other methods that can be done. The ordinance has worked fine for years. There's been collection problems from the City and that's one of the reasons that they took forth the efforts they did to enhance the ordinance. Basically increasing fines to get the revenues from the people who are paying their fines is not a way to let the people go who are not paying their fines. So, if you don't have a fair way of going after them, the City still will not get false alarm people, the one's causing the false alarms to stop doing that. Thank you for your time.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, I'm curious. You've seen the proposed ordinance?

Mr. Colby: Yes I have.

Councilman Walford: And when did you see it?

Mr. Colby: I saw it roughly a month ago. And we asked - - - we had worked with Mr. Gibson hopefully to get the committee formed where we could go with the Police Department because basically the Police Department has developed these standards, and when I read it, I called it, I called the Police Department and said that I could not accept it the way it was and that we need to get together and work as a committee and get this straightened out where it could be fair to everybody involved in it.

Councilman Walford: So basically, what you've seen a month ago is draft and we're now on No. 6. So, you really haven't seen the current ordinance. You're speaking against it, but you really don't know what it says.

Mr. Colby: The very last one they sent me - - - okay, I'm in the loop. So if they're not mailing it to me to work with it, I can only go by what the Police Department sent, which was roughly a month ago when I received the document. And it was a very harsh document to the consumer.

Councilman Lester: To the consumer or to you?

Councilman Walford: Wait a minute, wait a minute, yeah. So whose responsible for false alarms? Who should be held responsible?

Mr. Colby: I agree with fining for false alarms. Okay, no problem. I agree.

Councilman Walford: Who should we fine?

Mr. Colby: You should fine the consumer. If an alarm company causes it, you should fine the alarm company. I agree with that. But you can't have an ordinance where it says it's going to fine the alarm company which would basically be the center dispatching, when they're monitoring for another company, that sets off the alarm. So then the monitoring facility gets it that had nothing to do with it but dispatch it when it was a dealer out there who set it off. There are squalls in the document that need to be worked out.

Councilman Walford: So what you're saying is somebody shouldn't be responsible.

Mr. Colby: No, I'm saying people - - - first off, 6% of false alarms are done by equipment. Okay? The other 94% of false alarms are caused by the consumer. The user.

Councilman Walford: Okay, I don't want to debate the alarm ordinance now, because you haven't seen it. You can't really discuss the current - - - when is the most current? When did you send it to me? Thursday?

Ms. Glass: (Inaudible)

Councilman Walford: Thursday or Friday is the most recent document. And unfortunately the City server has been down since I got back in town and I have not been able to pull it up. I know that it says (inaudible)

Mr. Colby: But I do agree with you. People who cause false alarms should be responsible. I'm not arguing that point. Okay? I agree with fining.

Councilman Carmody: Sir, I appreciate you coming down here today. I think I was the lone dissenting vote on postponing the request to establish this committee. I mentioned to Mr. Gibson that I was not in favor of doing the committee, but in deference to him and that he's not here today, I think that I would be happy to make a motion to postpone it again, so that he would be here in order to speak for it. I can't say that I'm in favor of it anymore, but we'll have to see how the vote goes today. And my position and it is that it sure seems to me like that the people that are calling the police to respond should be the persons to pay for false alarm calls. If I as a citizen of the City continue to call the police out, eventually, if they're responding and 'oh well it was just a false alarm, don't worry about it', then they're going to bill me to say 'Mr. Carmody, we can't just keep coming out here every time you pick the phone up and say, I think I've got something going on, come here and then we show up and you've cried wolf'. Because it's costing us manpower, time, effort, and resources that need to be concentrated elsewhere. What my thought from the beginning was, if I am a subscriber to a security company, and I know that I as the subscriber at my address had three false alarms which are basically at no cost, then why wouldn't the security system that's providing my service then be charged for the 4th, 5th, 6th however other many false alarms, and then that cost passed through to me as part of my subscription for the service you provide. Therefore whoever the security company would be, would want to make sure that the equipment that their subscriber had was up to a standard that they said 'well, it's right and we know that it's right, but I think that we just spend an awful lot of time it appears responding to calls that are false alarms and this is one of the things that the report from the consultant showed up to say that the police resources as precious as they are, are certainly being monopolized by the responding to false calls and we need to do something in order to address that. And I believe that's where this Council is trying to work toward with the police department. Certainly want to invite all the security input, because again y'all are going to be involved one way or another. The end user though is the responsible party. But we have a problem in getting the end user to pay. Then end user says 'I didn't call y'all, it was the service that I use that called'. Well that's because your dispatched picked up an alarm, they tried to call

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

whatever the contact numbers were for that subscriber, couldn't reach 'em. I guess you're under an obligation at that point with your contract with your subscriber to call the police and say 'send a unit out, check out the alarm'. They show up, false alarm. You get three for free. Over that, it's got to be paid. It would be an incentive in my opinion for the subscriber to make sure that their equipment was up to date. It would be an incentive for the person that's providing the security, before they took the contract on to check the system out, to say it meets our standard, and we'll take you on. That's my two cents today.

Mr. Colby: Sir I do not disagree with you. The end user should be responsible for maintaining their system and keeping it in good working order, and if not, they should be fined. I don't -- doing it through an alarm company itself is a whole nother issue. But even in fire alarm systems, the end user when it comes down to loss of life or whatever, if the property is genuinely the one who is held responsible for maintaining their systems.

Mr. Danny Slack: (8015 Amy Hewes) I'm here to talk in favor of Ordinance No. 92 today. This ordinance allows Ark-La-Tex Affordable Storage, the company that I am the operation manager of to begin operations of here in Shreveport. We have our equipment purses and we're ready to go. We'll be a PODs franchise. A little bit about PODs -- PODs is a portable on demand storage company. These are the current areas of operation shaded in, what's red on my screen but what's lighter in your color is located in over 100 metropolitan areas. To a diagram that's a little bit better, Louisiana is here and the current areas around here that's being serviced are Houston, Dallas, San Antonio, Austin, Baton Rouge, New Orleans, Lafayette, Jackson, MS. So, we actually own the franchise from the center of all that. And what this allows this ordinance to do is to put our storage containers out in driveways for a short period of time, and let consumers pack up their belongings. Lock that portable on demand storage container, let us pick it up in a specialized lift that we have and store it for them or move it across town, or even one step further, now we can ship it to almost anywhere in the United States. The ordinance in front of you is very similar to ordinances in both Jackson and Baton Rouge. And we're anxious to get started. And I will be glad to answer any questions.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Slack, the legislation states that *'at no time shall the PSC be left on site for more than fifteen (15) consecutive days'*. Is it normal that it takes that long for a customer to pack up their belongings in that container and then for y'all to come and pick it up from them?

Mr. Slack: No, not typically. The typical period of time would be to us for -- -- be dropping of a POD container off on a Thursday or Friday and then coming back and picking it up Monday or Tuesday. However, we'd like to have the ability to cover two weekends, to give the customer that added convenience. Especially, if you're a single parent raising kids, trying to move at the same time, you could use that extra time to do that. But the average on a nation wide basis is six days left on site.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, I have that same concern. 15 days seems like an awfully long time. Nine or ten would carry it through, well ten would carry it through two weekends.

Mr. Slack: Not necessarily. If you delivered it on Tuesday, it would -- -- that's what kinda we were going for, was the two weekends. The other side of that occasion, of course, I'm going to cover a 30 mile radius around Shreveport. So, scheduling becomes an issue, because we can't be everywhere at the same time. There is some benefit to myself as well. What we're selling here really is the convenience to the customer and the longer we can leave it on site, of course has the ability to have that convenience for the customer.

Councilman Walford: What is the least -- -- you're saying that the national average is like six days, what's the least that you could live with?

Mr. Slack: Well we really shortened it down to 15. The ordinance that we looked at in Baton Rouge was 30 days. If Jackson, MS used 15 days, Baton Rouge used 30 so -- -- or one or the other, I'm not sure if I kinda got 'em mixed up. There are several cases throughout the nation where there are no ordinances, and they're just left at will on site.

Councilman Walford: What dimensions are we dealing with?

Mr. Slack: There's two sizes that we offer. There's an 8 x 8 x 12 foot long and an 8 x 8 x 16 foot long. And the ordinances actually addresses nothing larger than a 16 foot POD considered.

Councilman Walford: So they're not the size of like a (inaudible) container or something?

Mr. Slack: No. No sir.

Councilman Carmody: I'm sorry. One last question. Mr. Slack, it says "The Chief Building Official of the City of Shreveport will license the PSC provider for the sum of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) annually. Failure to move the container after fifteen (15) days or failure to comply with all of the prescribed rules may result in a fine of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per day per unit." How do you document when you're delivering the container and when the 15 day period starts? I mean, whose monitoring that?

Mr. Slack: Well, we're required to put a placard in the City of Shreveport on my POD which will state the date of delivery and the date of removal, along with my personal telephone number so you can call me directly as well as there'll be the 1-888-number that they can contact PODs directly. Who can then stay in constant contact with me.

Councilman Carmody: And these will be placed on the private property and not on the public right of way?

Mr. Slack: That's correct.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Slack. Are there other businesses, other entities in this business or are you the sole -- --?

Mr. Slack: We do have some competition as far as -- --

Councilman Lester: Okay.

Mr. Slack: I do have partners in my current endeavor here in Shreveport. But we have competition in the form of door-to-door is a competition. (Inaudible) crates is another competition. So the ordinance was written not only just for me, but any (inaudible) who might come into this business.

Councilman Hogan: Mr. Slack, I appreciate you coming today and taking time to explain your business to the Council Members. We met out there that day, that hot day a couple of weeks ago in the building. And I appreciate you that day also explaining to me what it's about. And you've answered all my questions except one. I've noticed that the fee, if it goes past 15 days, is \$100 per day, and that's -- -- you're responsible for that fee. Is that right?

Mr. Slack: That's correct.

Councilman Hogan: Okay, it's not the lessee of the box, right?

Mr. Slack: That's correct.

Councilman Hogan: Okay, that's good. Something else we talked about that day, I remember you had mentioned some figures in terms of sales taxes in return to the City? I think the Council would be interested to hear that. And if you have those figures handy, I'd like you to go over those.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Mr. Slack: We're kinda a hybrid product, even though we offer storage, we still have to pay sales taxes on storage, because it's a piece of equipment that we're actually renting. So we project by the third year, sales tax and ad valorem taxes reaching \$100,000 payments to the City annually.

Councilman Hogan: And one other thing, I'd like to add, I think the Council would be interested to know is that in my district, the old AT&T Telephone Plant, the Lucent Technologies Plant, whatever people want to call it has been vacant for years. And Mr. Slack is going to be occupying a large part of this building along with some other places as well, businesses that have located there, warehousing businesses. And so, that's another reason that I'm excited about it, because it's taken up another empty space in my district. And so again, thank you for coming Mr. Slack today, I recommend approval of the ordinance. Thank you.

Councilman Green: Also before we go to the next speaker, I'd like to also recognize Representative Roy Burrell who is present today with his group, BizCamp. We've already gone over your resolution, but will give you another opportunity before we leave.

Ms. Shonda Stone: (address not given) Good afternoon, I'm Shonda Stone and I represent the developer and the contractor for a proposed development on the corner of - - it's a 53 acre development on the corner of Hilry Huckaby Avenue and Roy Road. We are requesting today in supporting the zoning change for mixed housing development. We appeared before the MPC and we were unanimously approved and without any opposition. And there is no other opposition to my knowledge. If you'd like to see the renderings, we have them, but I think this is a good product. One that is aesthetically pleasing as you may be able to see from the renderings. But if you don't have any questions, I'll take my seat.

**CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION
TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES**

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS:

1. **Resolution No. 107 of 2005:** A resolution authorizing Miriam E. Orellana Lacaze located at 329 Flournoy Lucas Rd., to connect to the Water system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Jackson to postpone.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, and for the record, I'm moving to postpone because this is a District D item, and I'm sure Councilman Gibson would want to be on the record on that item.

Councilman Walford: Are we going to cause a hardship to someone by postponing for another two weeks as far as building or - - I guess I would ask Mr. Strong if he could give us any input on this.

Mr. Strong: I do not think that this would cause any kind of a hardship for a two week delay.

Councilman Walford: Okay, thank you.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 5. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Carmody. 1.

ORDINANCES: None.

REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING

1. **Resolution No. 97 of 2005:** A resolution creating a false alarm committee to assist the Police Department in lowering the amount of false alarms received by the City and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Hogan to postpone.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson.

4. Nays: Councilman Walford. 1. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Carmody: 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 98 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EMPLOYMENT OF SPECIAL LEGAL COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport is a party to a Biosolids Disposal Agreement (the "Agreement") with Bioset of Shreveport, L.L.C. ("Bioset") relative to the processing of sewage sludge and matters related thereto; and

WHEREAS, Bioset has defaulted on the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Office of the City Attorney desires to retain the services of outside counsel to represent the City in litigation involving Bioset and the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 8.03 of the City Charter, the City Attorney recommends that Robert H. Shemwell, Jr., Attorney at Law with the firm of Weems, Schimpf, Gilsoul, Haines & Carmouche be retained for the purpose of said representation at a rate of \$115.00 per hour plus cost, disbursements and expenses; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the Mayor be and he is hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, a retainer agreement with Robert H. Shemwell, Jr., to represent the City of Shreveport in connection with litigation involving Bioset of Shreveport, L.L.C., substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the draft thereof which was filed for public inspection, together with the original copy of this resolution in the office of the Clerk of Council on June 14, 2005.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 5. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Carmody. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 99 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EMPLOYMENT OF SPECIAL LEGAL COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport ("City") and Shreveport Convention Center Hotel Authority ("Authority") are defendants in the matter entitled "*Shreveport Citizens for Good Government, Inc. et al v. Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development, et al*".

WHEREAS, City and Authority desire to retain J. Ransdell Keene, Attorney at Law, to provide legal representation to defend the City and Authority in connection with the claims made by the plaintiffs with regard to this matter; and

WHEREAS, the City Attorney recommends the employment of such special legal counsel to represent the City and Authority in the matter pursuant to Section 8.03 of the City Charter.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened that the mayor be and he is hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, a retainer agreement with J. Ransdell Keene, Attorney at Law, substantially in accordance with terms and conditions of the draft which was filed for public inspection, together with the original copy of this resolution in the office of the Clerk of Council on June 14, 2005.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this contract shall be paid out of the general government legal expense fund.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 5. Nays: Councilman Carmody. 1. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 100 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL HAS REVIEWED AN INTERNAL "MUNICIPAL WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION REPORT" CONCERNING THE NORTH REGIONAL AND LUCAS WASTEWATER PLANTS

WHEREAS, the state permits under which the North Regional and Lucas Wastewater Treatment Plants operate require an internal survey form to be completed annually and submitted to the City Council for review; and

WHEREAS, forms for the past year have been completed by City administrative personnel, after consultation with engineering and operational personnel, and are attached hereto;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, as follows:

That the City Council acknowledges that it has reviewed the attached survey forms, and that the ongoing capital projects at the North Regional and Lucas wastewater treatment plants, in conjunction with ongoing collection system work, are intended to maintain compliance with the conditions of the plants' permits.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Hogan to adopt.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, could we - - - I'm looking at this. Could we put reviewed in quotations marks?

Councilman Carmody: How about a substitute motion to postpone, in that I can't vote in good faith that I've reviewed it.

Councilman Walford: Nor can I. I would second that.

Councilman Carmody: Would you second the substitute motion?

Councilman Walford: Yes.

Substitute motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Walford to postpone.

Councilman Carmody: And my commitment to you gentlemen would be that I will take the next few

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

weeks to review it so that I can come back and vote in the affirmative.

Councilman Green: Let me get some clarity. At this point and time we have passed the part for the substitute motion, is that - - -?

Mr. Thompson: I believe that a substitute motion would be in order Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, could we ask Mr. Strong if the two weeks would cause a problem, because I know this is - - - okay, okay.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to tell you lets get on this, I'm getting impatient. I know others - - - I've read it, and I'm waiting for you all.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, would Councilman Jackson mind just giving us an overview?

Councilman Jackson: Yeah, I don't have any problem with that, I'd just like to ask that we would go into Executive Session.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

5. **Resolution No. 101 of 2005:** A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into a contract with the Southern Hills Business Association and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Hogan)

Mr. Thompson: It has one amendment.

Councilman Green: Would you read the amendment?

The Clerk read the following amendment.

Amendment No.1 to Resolution No. 101 of 2005.

Delete the NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED paragraph and substitute the following:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is authorized to enter into a contract for \$50,000, with the Southern Hills Business Association (the Association); provided, the contract shall obligate the Association to provide services commensurate in value with the amount of city funds expended under the contract; the services shall serve a public purpose, and the contract shall be reviewed by the City Attorney to insure that it complies with applicable law.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, the reason that the amendment is there is because ordinarily a contract is attached, and none is attached here. So, there are parameters that are set by this amendment.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, it maybe Councilman - - - I know that this is Councilman Hogan's, but I really just had two questions.

Councilman Green: Would you wait until we get it on the floor before you go into your questions please? He was doing the amendment. We haven't had the motion yet.

Motion by Councilman Hogan, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 101 of 2005.

Councilman Jackson: Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman. I guess my question was, I didn't get a chance and I apologize for not knowing exactly where it was if in fact where we were getting the money and what in fact the money was going to be used for, and if in fact this was the request, a resubmission of the request from December.

Councilman Hogan: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Councilman Gibson, excuse me, y'all

Councilman Jackson: It's the shine.

Councilman Hogan: Voted for - - - kinda favor a little bit - - - shine a little bit there. Kinda different colors. Councilman Jackson, I appreciate your inquiry. As you recall, as most everyone recalls, that I had submitted a request last November for this budget year, and it failed by one vote. As a promise to the business association, I came back around mid-year with the same request. The business association started about four years ago. Other than the Neighborhood Improvement Program (\$5,000), has received no City funds, and I believe they are a worthy cause. A portion of the funds will be used to renovate the old, again, another empty building in my district, another old library that was - - - the library on Beaird Road, the South Hamilton Branch Library that was there for 20 years, which has been replaced by the new library on Bert Kouns and Beaird Road. And so, this would be a cooperative endeavor agreement between the Parish and the City, since it's partially owned (50/50) by each group. And so, a portion, it's going to be a small dollar amount per year. It's actually, I believe I read something of Mr. Lafitte's last time, that it's going to be required to go out for public bid to legally do this. But the building has been vacant for years. There's been no inquiries about use of it, and so, there's been some deferred maintenance on the building, and again a portion of the funds will be used to get the building up to snuff to be able to be used. Our group was meeting in the cafeteria of the old South Park Mall, and our church has closed down the Manor House, which was known as the Piccadilly. Used to be the Piccadilly Cafeteria, that was the meeting place and we have indeed closed it down. The church has and with no plans to reopen, so they have been meeting in a temporary place right now. Anyway there's other operating expense there within the business association. I've really been surprised at the activity that they've generated and the interest they've generated in my district they've generated. They had a town hall meeting and it's become an annual meeting and last meeting at Southwood High School, they had over 800 people drawn from other areas into our district. And so, they've been highly involved in the development of businesses and again helping to fill up some of the boxes, empty boxes or buildings rather if you will in my district, of which I appreciate and of which District E is a - - - has been struggling in the past. And so I appreciate their efforts. I certainly can't do it all on my own. And I appreciate the effort that they have put forth the past four years. Just as a side note too, I said this in the paper, my friend Don Walker had printed it. The Southern Hill Business Association did not support me in my run for City Council. I just want that to be clear and on the record. They supported my opponent, and so - - - anyway, if there's any doubt in anybody's mind, I just wanted that to be understood. And with that, I will answer any more questions.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, I'm inclined Councilman Hogan, to move a substitute motion, to offer a substitute motion to postpone this, because to be quite honest with you, I don't want to be anything but honest with you, I would have a hard time supporting \$50,000. I would probably be willing to go to \$36,800

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

because neighborhood associations in my district, as we were trying to cut last year from our budget and to be sure that we were equitable, I think in District B and in District G, there were some neighborhood associations, Highland I think and Queensborough Neighborhood Associations, that we had to as you know slash in order to try to compromise to get us to where we needed to be financially or fiscally and it would be difficult for me to not only support, but to defend the support of this \$50,000 granting this \$50,000 request in the month of July. I mean, excuse me, June, July, this time period when I have not and maybe the Administration can help us, if they can share with us perhaps whatever the balance might be in the place where this money is coming from which is the RiverFront revenues, and the RiverFront Civic Appropriation account, whether or not there is enough money there to restore those others up to \$50,000 which is still less than what they've gotten before. And I would support an effort that would restore those other two organizations to \$50 (thousand) and then would support this being \$50 (thousand) if we could in fact do that. But I can't in the spirit of trying to be equitable, and good representation, can support \$50,000 this point in the process and have cut those folks who I represent as well. So, if you will entertain that, then I would offer a substitute motion to postpone so that could be done, the numbers could be changed and we could in fact have a contract in place that we don't have at this point, in order to move forward at our next meeting. Short of that, then I wouldn't be able to support it.

Substitute motion to postpone by Councilman Jackson.

Councilman Hogan: Mr. Chairman, maybe I had misunderstood Councilman Jackson, I thought I had your support. I had asked for. Perhaps I did misunderstand you, but I just have a question on the organization you're talking about in your district. Which one? Is that the Queensborough?

Councilman Jackson: Queensborough Neighborhood Association. Yes sir.

Councilman Hogan: Right. Okay and how long have they been in existence?

Councilman Jackson: I'm not sure. Probably since 1992 somewhere around - - - '93? Since '93.

Councilman Hogan: Okay, and they've been receiving - - - do you know roughly what they've received over that period of time maybe?

Councilman Jackson: Not enough.

Councilman Hogan: Okay. So, roughly 12 years maybe?

Councilman Jackson: I'm not - - - I couldn't tell you exactly what they've received over the 12 years. But I can tell you I'm elected right now to represent 'em. And I'm not going to support giving another group \$50 (thousand), and I've cut 'em. I just can't do it.

Councilman Hogan: Okay.

Councilman Jackson: Notwithstanding what the accrual and aggregate amount that's been given to them before has been. I can tell you this, Mr. Hogan. There've been mayors from District E for about 8 years in a row and a lot of businesses and things were happening in that area. And I think that was fair. We haven't had a mayor from Queensborough. At least if so, not in a long time. And so, this is the only line of defense that we basically had. And so, I'm just trying to help to support them.

Councilman Walford: I've got two questions and they may, and I'll direct them to you, but I think Mr. Hogan is going to be my answer on 'em. Did Southern Hills Business Association get Neighborhood Improvement money this year?

Councilman Hogan: Yes. They applied and have received a \$10,000 grant, I believe that's right.

Councilman Walford: Okay, and my second question - - -

Councilman Hogan: The funds have not been disbursed is my understanding. But they did apply and receive last year, the first year of the NIP program, they received \$5,000.

Councilman Walford: Okay. And then my second question is how much - - - I understand the Parish is going to be co-leasing the building with the City. How much money is the Parish putting up? Cash dollars?

Councilman Hogan: There's no money being put up from the Parish, they're just simply offering the building to lease and it's our responsibility to fix it up as we need it.

Councilman Jackson: I want to be clear, because I want to say to Councilman Hogan that I in fact, that I'm not a non-supporter. I'm just suggesting to him that to go to \$50 (thousand), I'd like to see those other groups restored, which doesn't take a lot to get them back, because they went to \$36 - I think \$36,800 or somewhere in that neighborhood, and I'd like to see them restored if there is money in the RiverFront fund. I don't think we ever discussed the source of the money. But if there's money in the RiverFront Fund to do that, then I would support \$50 (thousand). Then if theirs is not, all I'm asking is that we go to the same level that we fund other neighborhoods, which is at \$38,600 coupled with the \$10,000 that you got from Neighborhood Investment, that's \$48. That's nearly \$50,000 right there. And we've asked these neighborhood associations that I might add, one of the reasons why they continue to get it, is because they also have a proven track record. They've been a part of the Model Blocks Program, they done a lot. Not that as if they had a hand out and not produced anything. I will tell you that for the investment that we've made in them, we've gotten exponential results from the neighborhood association who I would add was in a much worse situation when they started 12 years ago, than what the current neighborhood situation even that you described now is in that particular area. That's certainly not to lessen the real severity of what they feel is going on. But it is to defend what has happened with the investment that the City has made. It has legitimately been an investment that has produced. And I guess I would put against just about anything else we've invested in, even more dollars to see for what we've gotten in return and what was invested, I would put what's happened at Queensborough Neighborhood Association up against any other neighborhood association as well as any other investment that comes out of civic appropriation in this City and feel very comfortable that it will be defensible. And so I just say that to say, I'm not against giving them the \$50 (thousand), I'd like to give everybody \$50 (thousand). If we can't give everybody \$50 (thousand), I'd like your group to come in at the same level that the other groups are at now. And I hope that that's not perceived to be unfair.

Councilman Green: Mr. Jackson, just for the record, you said they had what type results? Would you spell that so that when the clerk is typing it, they won't have any problem?

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I think I can in my mind get us to where it's a happy, well, I think will be a happy medium. I would ask this question to Councilman Hogan, and he could answer yes or no. We're all familiar with the process that we went through this past year to get our budget together, particularly as it relates to civic appropriations, and that whole nine yards, and there was a lot of conversation, there was a lot of dialogue, there was a lot of backwards and forward to get to where we were. I would ask Councilman Hogan this question, very simply and succinctly. If in fact, the funds are there, and I'm not as good as Mr. Dark in keeping a running balance, but I ask him enough how much money we have enough so I have a pretty good idea. If in fact

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

the resources are there, and as I appreciate it, that the resources are there, to restore some of the funding that was taken away from several of those neighborhood associations and neighborhood groups in the different districts like North Shreveport Development Corporation, and Highland Area Partnership, and things of that nature.

If in fact the money is there and I do believe that it is, to restore those organizations to their previous level of funding that we cut, Councilman Hogan, I need you over here. If the funds are there, would you commit to supporting a resolution or a budget amendment to restore the funding to those organizations if the funding is there?

Councilman Hogan: Yes, I would consider that. Yes is the answer to the question. It's my understanding, the money that was left over after the cuts were in place, last November, was about \$85,000. Sharon is that right?

Ms. Pilkinton: (Inaudible)

Councilman Lester: It's more than that. Its actually about \$125,000 as I can recall. Somewhere closer to that.

Councilman Hogan: Do we have any figures on that? On what it would take. I don't want to get us into a big long debate on this. But the answer is yes, I would consider that. I just have a couple of more comments if I may Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Lester: Wait, and let me be clear. I want to ask, not to consider, I want to know will you commit to doing it?

Councilman Hogan: Well, you know that's a tough question to ask me to commit right here in front of the whole- - - well, I would consider it. I certainly would consider it, without knowing all the facts. Without knowing what the monies left over, if there's sufficient funds.

Councilman Lester: No, no. My question is this. If the funds are there, and obviously if the funds aren't there, you can't commit to doing something that is not there. I'm asking you, if the funds are there to make whole those organizations like North Shreveport Development Corporation, Highland Area Partnership, and Queensborough Neighborhood Association. If those funds are there to make those organization whole, would you commit to supporting a resolution or a budget amendment to make those organizations whole?

Councilman Hogan: I would. Yes. The answer is yes. And may I respond in another way?

Councilman Lester: Sure, sure.

Councilman Hogan: You know, I want to be clear on this, that other than the NIP money, the Southern Hills Business Association has been in existence for four years. And they have a proven track record as well. I don't have time to tell you all the things that they have done in my district. There are some notable things have increased the revenue to this City. They've done it.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, I'm just saying - - - because I did have the floor. And I'm going to say this and (inaudible). Well, I was letting you respond to that question. And again, it's not my position. Certainly I can only speak for myself. It's not my position to question the efficacy of the Southern Hills Business Association. Because I've been to several of their meetings, and I know what they are doing. That's not my intent at all. But Councilman Jackson, does have I believe a legitimate point. For us to in June to appropriate X number of dollars to an organization (worthwhile organization). Not questioning their merits at all. When earlier in the year, we cut organizations so that we could do some other things. It puts us in a situation of having to defend the supporting your worthwhile organization to our constituents when we cut them. And the issue is not what the Southern Hills Business Association has done, because I know Mr. Sader, I know those guys, they've done an excellent job. But just like you can make that argument that they've been in effect for four years, I represent the Martin Luther King Civic Association, Neighborhood Association, which as I recall is probably the oldest neighborhood association in the City. In fact, it predates the Martin Luther King/Cooper Road area even coming into the City, and up until the last two years with a \$10,000 and a \$5,000, those are the only funds they've ever received from the City. Now, granted they're getting some resources from some other sources, but they've been in effect for a long period of time, and not gotten resources. So, I don't want this to erode into an argument to where we have to defend how well our organizations do and things of that nature, because that's not my point. The question simply is from a good government standpoint and from a responsibility standpoint. Because if the situations were reversed, if I were to come before you and ask for you to give money (\$50,000) to the Allendale Business Association, and after having cut, you had to cut your association from \$50 (thousand) or from \$30 (thousand) to \$10 (thousand), and then I come in the middle of the year and asking for \$50 (thousand), it would be very hard for you to defend that vote to the members of your constituency. So, again, I'm supporting what you're trying to get done, I'm not - - - don't disagree with you at all. But my simple question and you have said yes is if the resources are there to restore those organizations that are in our district, that from like I said, like Highland Area Partnership. Like for me North Shreveport Development Corporation and Councilman Jackson, the Queensborough area group, you've committed that if the resources are there, you would support an amendment to make those organizations whole. Having said that, that eases my unreadiness because at that point, it's an issue of fairness. Because at that point everyone can take care of their own districts which at the end of the day is our responsibility. Which, let me put this caveat in, I appreciate the conversation that I had with our Chairman. I don't know if he's clairvoyant, but as I appreciate it, he's putting together a committee to deal with just that issue. And I think it's time for us to deal with that so, I just wanted to say that. And with that commitment, I'm - - - my issues have been resolved. And whoever has the floor next can have it.

Councilman Green: At this time, I conferred with the Councilman from District E, and he has agreed to postpone it till such legislation is in place that is deemed to be. So, at this time.

Substitute motion by Councilman Hogan, seconded by Councilman Jackson to postpone this piece of legislation for two weeks.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, the motion by Councilman Hogan and Councilman Green on Amendment No. 1, that's being withdrawn?

Councilman Green: Yes sir.

Mr. Thompson: And there is a motion to postpone 101 for two weeks.?

Councilman Green: A motion to postpone. Yes sir.

Councilman Carmody: If we could get the Administration to provide us what discretionary monies are left at this point in the budget, in the RiverFront Fund, so that we'll have that available to us to consider how much money we can allocate to each district at the next meeting, I would certainly appreciate it.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, I have one other question. I'd like to ask Ms. Moore to come up?

Councilman Green: Is Ms. Moore present?

Councilman Walford: See now, that I sit over here I can see here. She's not hiding from me.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Councilman Carmody: I couldn't see her at all.

Councilman Walford: Not from over there. Ms. Moore, how are you?

Ms. Moore: I'm fine, thank you.

Councilman Walford: I recall that you and I had a discussion that any organization that was being funded by the City, could not receive NIP funding. Is that correct?

Ms. Moore: That is correct. There is a part of the application, so Queensborough Neighborhood Association as well as Highland Area Partnership cannot receive NIP funds.

Councilman Walford: Believe me, I know about Highland. So, but we have an organization that's gotten - - - thank you, that's all I had. Gentlemen, something we have to think about. We've told organizations in our districts, mine, and I know Councilman Jackson's, both wanted to apply for NIP funding. But they were told no, because they had gotten City funds. Now, we have an organization that's gotten NIP funding and wants City funds in the same year. And I think when you mention fairness, I think it's something that we have to think about over the next two weeks. How to rectify that.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Jackson to suspend the rules to hear the BizCamp presentation. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Councilman Green: Again, the rules have been suspended. Mr. Mayor, we're ready for the (inaudible)

Mayor Hightower: Mr. Chairman, if it would please the Council, we would just like to call Representative Burrell to the mic. Representative, I thought that you guys were probably not going to make it. So, we went through the resolution earlier, but certainly glad to have everyone in the Chamber.

Representative Roy Burrell: (2613 Lakeway Drive) Thank you Mr. Mayor. Mr. Chairman and members, especially my Councilman Theron Jackson, yes we apologize. I know we normally are here at 3:00, but we got instructed somewhere that we should be here at 3:15, and I'm sure that that's what put us a little late. And I do remember how much fun it is to be in here and having to go over all of these issues that we're dealing with today, and I hope that our young people who are here which is our BizCamp Group of 2005 also recognize the importance of this legislative body, as well as the executive branch of our government on a local level. I want to say, I'm Representative Roy Burrell, former City Councilman for the City of Shreveport, 2613 Lakeway Drive, I can say that now.

Councilman Lester: Not too loud.

Representative Burrell: But anyway, I bring you greetings from our Governor, the Governor Kathleen Blanco and the Legislature. And we're proud to be here this year for our 5th year. Our BizCamp Class of 2005. I want to recognize our sponsors, the Inner City Entrepreneur Institute, for which I'm still director of that organization. Southern University, Shreveport, and Caddo Parish Schools. And also this year, we have numerous sponsors who have recognized our program as being about the program here. The next thing on my list, we were talking about funding, so, pray you find those funds for the various community organizations, but there are some programs that are also in your budget. And I can assure you we can use the funding. Because we did get cut 40%. I want to also commend this Council and ask that you do not eliminate your Super Safe Sunday. I spent almost 8 years dealing with the issue on the Council. I know Councilman Green dealt with it up in his area because many of them would leave his district and come to my district and create that road block. And so, I know what he was dealing with up there. I've been reading the information in the papers while I was in Baton Rouge about the Super Safe Sunday. Please don't let a few renegades destroy something that we've been trying to work on for some time to get some results, and I have seen some results from doing that. So, from where I am, if there is anything that I can do, possibly, I'll do that, because it is part of District 2, also my legislative district. Before I go too far, I think you got a flyer on our VIP Marketplace Reception. Right now, our program, the BizCamp Program, I'm going to let Mike Grandenetti come up and again, he came in from California to see our, supervising teacher for our program. He has done such a wonderful job with the youngsters. We have a number of our BizCamp students. We talk about investments that are now using their business that they started as a result of BizCamp putting them through college. So, that's the kind of results that we are looking for to build a new crop of entrepreneurs. We haven't gotten the press that we should get or could get on this, but we are tracking our students and we are making a difference on the investment that you all have made into our program. There were a couple of issues that I wanted to bring forth, as I was bringing you greetings from the Governor, then I'll bring Mr. Grandenetti up if you don't mind. On our State issue, although I did not have the legislation down in the State that dealt with the Port Commission, I was definitely in support of keeping those assignments local. I did write a two page dissertation for those of you that know me, I have a tendency to be long-winded, and so, I was able to work on the floor to try to make sure that we keep those appointments local, rather than doing it on a State level. Because I think that you all are near the problems that are associated with the Port, and you can make better decisions with the right people in. The Convention Center and Hotel, as you know, I didn't get too involved in that issue down in the State, I take that back. I did. But it wasn't public. It became rather embarrassing, and I can tell you that from many of the legislators that I worked with, it appears that we were not together on the same sheet of music, and when that happens, our legislators will back off and don't want to support certain things or ask us to get our stuff together. And I may say to you as well as the public, it did become an embarrassing situation there. And I hope that we can send a better message down to the State, that we in Northwest Louisiana can handle our business a little better than we did on this. And we did definitely air out some of our dirty laundry in committee, but it didn't get to the floor. Two other issues from the State that affected the City here, we did have a loss of revenue for the Multicultural Center. That was an amendment that slipped in and stripped about \$70,000 out of there. And before I was able to personally get the information or knew that the amendment was coming through, it was almost halfway through the approval process, and they did strip \$70,000 out of that budget and was reallocated to some other source. So I wanted to let you know that. On the redirection of the \$12,000,000 from the Convention Center/Hotel was creating a lot of confusion down there. Do we want the hotel, or don't we want the hotel? And I can assure you, those types of things send a wrong message to the Governor as well as to the legislative body to the legislative body on the Senate and the House side. I hope we can resolve that problem and keep it where it is we can move on with what we have to do. At this time, I'll ask Mr. Grandenetti to come up and again, I appreciate anything y'all can do to return those funds. We are working - - - oh! One other thing, you know we talked about the Marketplace. The Marketplace hopefully will become a reality, and we'll start looking at trying to put that in place on a permanent basis. I was able to win and it's contingent on the bonds being sold,

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

\$360,000 to finish renovating a portion of the train depot. Down there that we could use for other retail businesses, art and what have you. So, I would like to say, that I was able to secure that and hopefully, if we can get the bonds sold, we should have that money Mr. Mayor and Councilmen before the year's end.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, based on what you were just saying, the money is in the HB2?

Representative Burrell: Yes.

Councilman Jackson: What priority was that \$360 (thousand)?

Representative Burrell: I was able to get it in Priority II.

Councilman Jackson: Okay, so it's contingent on the sale of the bonds?

Representative Burrell: Right. Okay, with that in mind, I'd like to ask Mr. Grandenetti to come up. And also as I said, I think we emailed it, I'm not sure if y'all got your emails, but I believe I gave you a copy. And our Lt. Gov will be up on the 30th to be our Speaker.

Mr. Grandenetti: Honorable Mayor, worthy Chair, Council Members, and BizCamp 2005. Thank you for your patience and indulgence for allowing us this opportunity to speak. And so, I'll keep it brief. I did want to make the comment. I seen the tragedy of your Super Sunday tragedy. I really - - - we talked about the current events in our BizCamp, and we talked about this issue, and I just wanted to pass the message that don't give us on us. You have the silver lining right here of young people in your own community that are making the difference. They will be your future leaders, your future business people, and given the business acumen to make the right decision, the right cases, we hope will be able to turn this tide and bring the community back to the community. And without belaboring my point, cause I can go on, our honorable Mayor for a 5th year, as you've heard my speeches of economic vitality in your community, as you grow entrepreneurs, I think one of our camp members, I had two, but one of them will speak on behalf very quickly, to demonstrate and to share the experiences that they have. Charles, would you come forward please? Because again, no one can say it better than our students, so I invite you to come to our VIP event and meet these young gentlemen, and young ladies as they prepare to enter the job market, college, and someday be future entrepreneurs, and maybe future Council Members. And so, like I say, students can say it best, Charles?

Charles Cain: (Shreveport Job Corps Center) Right now I'm at BizCamp 2005, trying to become an entrepreneur. My business that plan on starting to set up my originally poems and custom frames, I'm learning how to sell stuff at a profit through Mr. Grandenetti and other staff here at BizCamp.

Councilman Carmody: We're listening Charles.

Councilman Jackson: I'm sorry Charles.

Mr. Cain: I didn't think coming here today was going to be as interesting as it was. I found myself being kinda interested in politics now. So, I guess with us visiting politics, I'll end up there sitting up there with y'all. So, I want to thank you for the support. And y'all have a nice day.

Councilman Lester: Be careful what you ask for young man.

Mr. Grandenetti: Thank you. I think our motto for this BizCamp 2005 sums it best, and I've taught them *carpe diem*. And BizCamp 2000 what does that mean?

BizCamp 2005: Seize the day.

Mr. Grandenetti: Seize the day. So again, thank you for your time and patience, and hope to see you at our VIP event.

Councilman Jackson: Thank you Mr. Chairman, Mr. Burrell, I just wanted to ask one question and make one statement. Y'all - - - I know that we've been doing this for a few years. When the young people who come through the program finish that summer, do we have a mechanism or what in fact is the mechanism of following them along beyond the completion of this program. Whether or not they continue in entrepreneurship. Obviously, some of them go to college and do different things or continue their education and do different things. But we have anyway of knowing you know five years down the road, whatever the (inaudible) is, exactly how many folks have stayed involved and how this investment had flourished, as we move beyond just their completion of this program?

Representative Burrell: Well, I don't have the information with me, but we are tracking our students to see where they are going and what areas they are winding up in. Also in terms of who graduates, which is very, very important at that level and how it has changed their demeanor going through school. On a continuing basis, you asked. Well, what we've been doing is trying to create a permanent marketplace. So, when these youngsters graduate, they go into a graduate club called the Louisiana Young Entrepreneurs. And that group will be the group that we select from who have stayed working with their business and we would actually (inaudible) those persons to put them in another setting where would actually nurture them like an incubator for youth. And I've been in the process of working to get additional funds because of costs. And that's the reason that we haven't made it to that point yet. But the plans are already there for that to happen.

Councilman Jackson: and I just wanted to recognize Mr. Chairman, too that back in September, we started a Youth Council here and one of our Youth Council Members is a part of the BizCamp. Kashayla from Fair Park. So, I want to recognize her as well.

Representative Burrell: There are many of our young people who come out and it really changes their whole personality. Oh She is! Okay, I didn't know that. But anyway many of these youngsters I know as Mr. Grandenetti said, that we want to give up on, but if we stick with them and have some patience with them, they will grow into something worthwhile. And I think that's what it's all about. That's what government to me is all about. Is helping the masses. Private sector is fine, but we do have a whole group other than the private sector that we need to nurture, because they are a part of our society.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I do want to tell you Representative Burrell, I think what you guys are doing with BizCamp is an outstanding program. Quite obviously we hear a lot of things about our young people, particularly in our side of town. And usually when we hear about them, it's almost always universally negative. But when our young people are doing the right things, and they are taking their time, where they could be doing something, or anything else, or something negative, and have placed themselves in a program, such as yours. And invest in the time to do something different in terms of not just being educated to get a job, but being educated about entrepreneurship where they actually own their own business and they own their own companies, I think that's something that should be celebrated. And certainly, if we as government can spend hundreds of thousands of dollars on throwing free parties for the masses, certainly we can spend some money to support a program like yours, that might not show results today. And it might be some years down the line. But I think anytime we invest in the lives of our children, I think that's something that's always, no matter how long it takes, is a dividend that's worth us doing. So, I just wanted to commend you and the entire BizCamp staff. Mr. (inaudible) and everybody that comes down and we're just thankful for - I know I just butchered his name y'all. I'm sorry. But -

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Representative Burrell: I do it all the time.

Councilman Lester: Okay, well then I don't feel bad. But I'm glad that you have chosen to keep that program alive, even after you have left us with the City and I guess gone on to better things. I'm not sure given what happened the last session.

Representative Burrell: The verdict is still out.

Councilman Lester: Right, we appreciate it. I just want to say thank you.

Representative Burrell: Well, thank you and I want to – I don't want to be remiss. I want to recognize our teachers, because we have some dedicated teachers from Caddo Parish that for now, what has been nine years. What is that? Since '99, six years, seven years that they got trained in Boston. And they've been back and they've supported our youngsters. Stand up teachers. I know three of them were here. Because they are from various schools. And again, I want to emphasize too that and give I want to say a shout out, but I want to make special note that we do appreciate Dr. Mastellar who is out at Summer Grove Baptist Church, who through his outreach of his church asked us to come out and put on the VIP Reception and Marketplace out there at Summer Grove Baptist Church, out at the old South Park Mall. And for the public who are hearing this message, we invite them out at our VIP Reception and Marketplace, where will have business people there, that will actually question our youngsters and look at their products and services and purchase. Because they are out there to sell their products. We invest \$50 per student for them to start their own business as part of the class. And many of them pick that up and continue. I know there are some of our youngsters here who actually make products themselves, and they will be on display. Our Lt. Gov. Mitch Landrieu will be there, will be our speaker. And I would ask all of you to come out and support it, and the public to come out and support it. It starts at 2:00, he will be speaking at 4:00. And the Governor as well as the Lt. Gov is interested in our program. You know we've – I guess we're getting outside of Shreveport. And through the national magazine, we've been listed in the national magazine for Youth Entrepreneurship. So, we're making some strides. Again, thank you for the opportunity, and if you'll allow us to leave, they've got to finish up their project and their business plans for tomorrow, because they've got judgement day on tomorrow.

Councilman Carmody: Good Luck.

Representative Burrell: Would you believe that's one of our students right there? He's 12 years old. And I would like to say our last 12 year old became the President of BizCamp for that year.

Councilman Carmody: Wow!

Representative Burrell: And she was invited by the Governor to speak at their entrepreneurship conference in Lafayette. So, don't underestimate these youngsters.

Councilman Green: Thank you. We suspended the rules for BizCamp. Of course we had a whole lot more – talked about other than BizCamp. He also talked about the Super Safe Sunday. We have one other person that would like to speak on the Super Safe Sunday. Do we need to re-suspend the rules or can we still ride on that on that since we'll be on that subject.

Mr. Thompson: If nobody objects, you can do it.

Councilman Lester: I don't object, if we need to make a motion to make it clear, I'd make a motion.

Councilman Walford: And I'd second it.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to suspend the rules to hear public comments by Mr. Cleophus Banks. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Cleophus Banks: (736 Dalzell) Just want to thank the Mayor and his staff and this illustrious Council for the opportunity to speak. But I must say however, there for a minute when you all were discussing some of the issues, I thought I was getting old, I thought I was sitting in a Work Session. I have been sitting out there listening and looking, and I'm one who hasn't worked or been afforded an opportunity to work for this City for 30 + years, have always believed in fairness and impartiality and a level playing field. And so, this is one reason I'm so proud that the Lord and Savior Jesus Christ leads me, because believe me, in the life that you lead and the things you get involved with, you certainly need that kind of conviction to deal with matters. And I don't just mean public bodies. I mean as it relates to people and living daily lives and that's one of my (inaudible). So, I'm not going to be long because I know my 3 minutes will be gone and I couldn't borrow nothing from Roy Burrell, because he's gone. I wanted to speak to you just a few minutes concerning the situation that exist on Jewella leading up to the terrible tragedy the other day, where as you know, a life was needlessly lost and some other people had gotten hurt. But let me just say this. Perhaps, you had your closed door meetings, and your high profile people have spoken that you invited to your meetings. And I said that for a reason. This is after the fact, but a while back, I've talked with the two ministers here on occasions, we supped together and talked about moving Shreveport forward and even matters like this, as both of them know. And when I heard about this Super Sunday idea, it really didn't come across to me too well, from my experience in working in the community. And however, I must say that I'm not just standing for myself right now. I'm standing and being the voice and representing hundreds of law abiding tax paying citizens, who probably some will be looking at me now and know whenever I approach a public body, it certainly bares a reason. It's a reason for it. But I would say in his absence, that when this first happened, the crowding on Jewella, the over crowding and on Sunday, etc, etc. I think that probably after my experiences, over the years in working with the Police Department and law enforcement in general, one would say that Public Safety comes before anything else. And I felt that a statement should have been made. A bold statement by the Mayor, the Chief of Police, the head of this Administration that 'hey, you know, there is going to be no compromising to roaming the streets Jewella, or (inaudible) that Shreveport would not be turned into "Crenshaw Blvd". This is Shreveport, LA. And we're going to enforce the law. And I think that you are under estimate the intelligence of people in the community as far as people who are - - - walk the straight and narrow, and respect the law. There is not compromising for nothing like this. Fairness and impartiality, no compromising for people roaming the streets. That happens in California, let it happen. But citizens travel these streets. Tax paying citizens, law abiding citizens, and these are the kinds of sentiments that have been echoed to me over the past few years. People who do not parade up here to the podium, but they are people who go out there and vote. Hard working people. People who are the crux of this community. You would think that in the Black community especially, you would think that the vast majority of the citizens are not law abiding, but I would hasten to differ with that. They are at least 90-95% of the people are hard working, law abiding people trying to raise their children. Some under economic deprivation for whatever reason, but it's just a small percentage of people. And I was just appalled at the fact that so many others, that we would have a compromising situation for let me go on record, and Mr. Michael Williams can attest to this, because we talk

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

about this all the time, that you are talking about adult people. Adult people who you don't have any responsibility to provide recreation. You're talking about a safe Sunday for adult people? Right adjacent to a place where we are having a problem at? And they are going to spill onto the highways and the same things are going to happen again. And I'm not trying to slap anybody on the wrist here, but this is frustrating to me. It's frustrating to other people out in the community. And it's unfortunate that working in Police Community Relations for years, I don't know why, but this police administration has refused or failed to bring this department to the table in dealing with issues such as this. And with that 3 minutes, well, I'm going to ask that you – I read in the paper where or something you said about you're going to continue this effort. Admit your flaws, you failed and we've failed miserably. All of us to Super Sunday. Let's go head on and disband it. We've used taxpayer's money needlessly. We've had a tragedy here, let's don't go on and do the same thing over again. Let's be bold people. Do the right thing. This is what your constituents are asking for. To abandon the so called Super Safe Sunday deal. We've been doing well without a Super Safe Sunday and to find a place where the criminal element can congregate. So let's abandon it. I'm appealing to you, not just from myself, but for the people. I represent a lot of people out in the community. And gentlemen, I know your religious convictions pastors, I thought with that being on a Sunday, I thought you would be bitterly against that. So, with that I'm asking that you abandon the Super Safe Sunday in favor of something else. That money can really be spent somewhere else. And believe me, I feel crime will go down if we place our resources as far as police officers and the proper places. Thanks for my five minutes, and I'm sure Councilman, or Representative Burrell appreciate his 20. Thank you.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Banks, you and I met sir, when I first was elected. And I've always found you to be somebody that is of few words, but you choose your words very wisely. And I wanted to thank you for coming down here today to speak your mind.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, before Mr. Banks gets away, we run into each other in my district fairly regular at neighborhood meetings I think in Stoner Hill and so on. I'd be glad to sit down with you any time you'd like and hear some of your ideas, because we certainly need the input we can get. So, let me know, I'll be glad to sit down and discuss alternatives.

Mr. Banks: I appreciate it Councilman. I don't leave this podium with anyone feeling that I'm a panacea. I have the answer to everything, I don't. But I certainly would appreciate from time to time that you utilize the resources in the community and when you're making bold decisions like you're making now. Especially, as it relates to dealing with people's lives.

Councilman Green: Mr. Thompson, 102.

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 102 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE EXECUTION OF DOCUMENTS BY THE MAYOR ACCEPTING A GRANT ON BEHALF OF U.S. SUPPORT COMPANY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, U.S. Support Company operates a call center in Shreveport, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, U.S. Support Company made application to the Louisiana Department of Economic Development (Governor's Economic Development Rapid Response Program) for improvement of infrastructure at their 5800 Bert Kouns Industrial Loop facility, and received a \$700,000.00 grant ;and

WHEREAS, the program requires a local political subdivision to serve as Sponsoring Entity, and execute a cooperative endeavor agreement contract to receive the funds on behalf of U.S. Support Company; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport agreed to serve as the Sponsoring Entity; and

WHEREAS, the time constraints imposed by the State made it necessary for the Mayor to execute the documents prior to receiving council approval.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the execution of the cooperative endeavor agreement by the Mayor between U.S. Support, the City of Shreveport, and the State of Louisiana whereby the City agreed to serve as Sponsoring Entity is hereby ratified.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Hogan to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Mr. Thompson: Resolutions 103 and 104 should be removed from the agenda.

7. **Resolution No. 103 of 2005:** A resolution acknowledging and approving a request from the City of Shreveport to swap the variable interest rate to a fixed interest rate swap with respect to the aggregate principal amount of \$40,000 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Convention Center Hotel Project) Series 2005; Authorizing officers of the issuer to execute certain documents including a schedule to the ISDA Master Agreement and Trade confirmation in order for AMBAC Financial Products, LLC to deliver the swap policy; and providing for other matters with respect thereto.
8. **Resolution No. 104 of 2005:** A resolution acknowledging and approving a request from the City of Shreveport to swap the variable interest rate to a fixed interest rate swap with respect to the aggregate principal amount of \$30,000,000 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Bonds (City of Shreveport/Independence Stadium Project) Series 2000 and Series 2000A; Authorizing officers of the issuer to execute certain documents including a schedule to the ISDA Master agreement and trade confirmation in order for JP Morgan Chase & Co. to deliver the swap policy; and providing for other matters with respect thereto.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Walford to remove Resolution Nos. 103 and 104 from the agenda.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Chairman, before we move to consider that, I do want to ask the Administration. I know that two weeks ago, that I had requested that the finance team be here to give us a full report on the savings that had been generated, the actual savings that had been realized by utilizing the financing method with a floating rate, as well as a breakdown of all the legal fees, consulting fees, and all the cost associated with this method of financing. And also requesting specifically the Fair Share participation being notated. I believe Mr. Antee's assurance was that the financial team would be here to give us a full report. Are they here?

Mayor Hightower: They'll be here at the next meeting. The reason they're not here today is because we were withdrawing these two, and if you'll look on your additions, up at the top of the agenda we're adding two that have to lay over for two weeks.

Councilman Carmody: That's what I wanted to make sure of. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 105 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEES AND AUTHORIZING THE DONATION OF BUILDING MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES TO 12 TO 14 QUALIFIED LOW INCOME HOMEOWNERS IN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, World Changers Ministries, an organization sponsored by the North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Church, will paint and/or repair 12 to 14 homes within the City of Shreveport between July 25 and July 29, 2005; and

WHEREAS, all persons assisted by World Changers will be low to moderate income homeowners residing in the Allendale, Cedar Grove, Hollywood, Hollywood Heights, Mooretown, Sunset Acres, and Queensborough areas of the city; and

WHEREAS, all work will be performed by volunteers and will enhance the quality of life for the citizens of Shreveport and directly improve the housing conditions for 12 to 14 families in the City of Shreveport thus providing a public benefit; and

WHEREAS, World Changers has sponsored at least five (5) previous repair projects within the City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport through its Department of Community Development desires to participate with World Changers by donating materials and/or supplies to the 12 to 14 homeowners that will be used by World Changers to repair and/or paint these properties and by waiving the building permit fees required by the City of Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code for the repairs; and

WHEREAS, the donation of the materials and/or supplies and the waiver of the building permit fees is a permissible exception to Article 7 § 14 of the Louisiana State Constitution of 1974.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that building permit fees to paint and/or repair 12 to 14 homes by World Changers Ministries between July 25 and July 29, 2005 are hereby waived.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to donate materials and/or supplies to the 12 to 14 property owners selected to participate in the World Changers project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 109 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF SECTIONS 10-177 AND 10-187 RELATIVE TO SALE AND CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES IN CITY PARKS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN THERON JACKSON

WHEREAS, the Northwest Louisiana Chapter of the Sickle Cell Anemia Disease Association of America, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as "Sickle Cell") sponsors an annual fund-raising softball tournament at Cargill Park and Southern Hills Park; and

WHEREAS, the proceeds of the tournament have enabled the organization to continue its work in the area of sickle cell anemia research and development; and

WHEREAS, the tournament sponsor has requested that the sale of low alcoholic content beverages by the city approved concessionaire and consumption thereof by spectators be permitted during the tournament; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-187 (b) allows the sale and/or consumption of low alcoholic content beverages at certain SPAR facilities, however, the Cargill Park and Southern Hills Park is not included in the list of approved facilities; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-177(a) prohibits the sale of alcoholic beverages on Sundays; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the sale and consumption of low alcoholic content beverages at Cargill Park and Southern Hills Park on July 8 - 10, 2005 in conjunction with the Sickle Cell Anemia Disease Association Softball Tournament at Cargill Park and Southern Hills Park.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Sections 10-177(a) and 10-187 (b) are hereby suspended from July 8 - 10, 2005 to the limited extent necessary in order to allow for the sale of low alcoholic content beverages by the city

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

approved concessionaire and the consumption of low alcoholic content beverages purchased from the city approved concessionaire at Cargill Park and Southern Hills Park during the Sickle Cell Anemia Disease Association Softball Tournament, between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 12:00 a.m.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Jackson, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt.

Councilman Walford: Are there going to be children present and SPAR may have to answer this next question, but will there be concurrent events going on at Cargill?

Councilman Jackson: Are you asking me?

Councilman Walford: Well, I'll go for you on will there be the children present?

Councilman Jackson: FYI: It's the Sickle Cell Tournament, and that's the only thing going on at the park. And they've established an island. Something that's separate from where the general public is for that is what they told me.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Chairman, could I ask Mr. Norman to come forward please? Mr. Norman, I'm not opposed to supporting this again this year, but it did remind me that in years past, that we'd made the request that SPAR tour each of the municipal parks to verify that there is a posting of the prohibition for the sale of alcohol, excuse me, for the consumption of alcohol in public parks. Could I ask that we do that again and verify that those postings are there and that they are adequate. And if they need to be replaced, if we could do that. And again, I don't have a problem in voting to support this again this year, but I would like to make sure that at least that the public has proper notice that this is a prohibited activity in our parks.

Mr. Norman: Yes sir.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 110 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION REJECTING BIDS RECEIVED ON IFB #05-060, ONE PIPE TV INSPECTION SYSTEM IN HI-CUBE VAN AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, four bids were received as a result of solicitations for One Pipe Television Inspection System in Hi-Cube Van, IFB #05-060; and;

WHEREAS, the City has rejected all bids because DOS has determined that a change in the specifications is required;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the bids received on IFB #05-060 be rejected.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 111 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF SECTION 106-22 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO AN APPEAL FEE AND EXEMPTIONS FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS; RECOMMENDING THAT THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION RECONSIDER CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF SECTION 106-22 RELATIVE TO APPEAL FEES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 68 of 2005 was adopted by the City Council on May 14, 2005, and the provisions contained therein will become effective on July 1, 2005; and

WHEREAS, among other things, Ordinance No. 68 of 2005 amended Section 106-22 of the zoning ordinance relative to the schedule of fees, charges, and expenses for applications for permits and certificates, amendments, appeals and other zoning matters; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 68 of 2005 requires the payment of a \$250.00 appeals fee by any person who appeals a decision of the Metropolitan Planning Commission (MPC) or the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) to the City Council, with the exception of a 501 (c) (3) non-profit corporation and an individual qualifying as a pauper; and

WHEREAS, prior to the adoption of Ordinance No. 68 of 2005, only the applicant was required to pay a fee to appeal a decision of the MPC or the ZBA to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, a person who believe that he will be adversely affected by a requested change to the zoning map, or to another land use rule or regulation should not be required to pay a fee to defend the status quo; and

WHEREAS, the exception for a 501(c) (3) corporation and for a pauper will be difficult to administer, and said exception will not be needed if the appeals fee is only required of an applicant.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that beginning July 1, 2005, those portions of Section 106-22 of the Code of Ordinances which require any person other than the applicant, to pay a \$250.00 fee to appeal a decision of the MPC or the ZBA to the City Council, or which exempts a 501 (c) (3) non-profit corporation and an individual qualifying as a

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

pauper from the payment of a \$250.00 fee to appeal a decision to MPC or ZBA are hereby suspended.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council requests that the MPC reconsider all portions of Section 106-22 which require any person except the applicant to pay a \$250.00 appeals fee, and which exempt a 501 (c) (3) non-profit corporation and an individual qualifying as pauper from the payment of a \$250.00 appeals fee.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other provisions of Ordinance No. 68 of 2005 shall become effective on July 1, 2005.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable

.BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, I guess I have a question. I know what Mr. Lester is trying to do with regard to the ordinance, but do I read this right that we're going to suspend the appeal dollar amount until the MPC acts on it? Is that basically what this is saying?

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, What it is, we passed this ordinance and it puts a fee on people who are not applicants. And it makes for an appeal. Generally, it's the opponent, and after reading it, I just felt like we need to make it easier. Because generally speaking the opponent is a citizen or someone who has a problem or concern. And rather than attack that citizen or one of our constituents with having to pay a cost to register an objection, I think we should go back to the way it was and allow them to simply voice their objection through letter to the Council, to the Clerk so that they don't have to pay the fee. And it makes sense to me because obviously if you are an applicant, you have a financial interest in the situation seeing the change happen. So, if you have an adverse decision, you're always vested in the process. Whereas if you are a citizen, and someone next door to you or close to you is making a change, you should not have to be taxed with opposing the change, in terms of coming up with a cost. So what this will do, it will put us back to where we were and that will affect most of our constituents. So that if they have an issue with an MPC or Zoning decision, they can just simply write the letter to the Clerk or whoever, and appeal that without having to come out of their pockets. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we have two add ons that can be voted on at this time.

Councilman Green: Will you read those?

The clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 114 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION TO CONSIDER AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO THE MINIMUM DISTANCES AND OTHER CRITERIA INVOLVING THE LOCATION OF BORROW PITS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY: COUNCILMAN LESTER

WHEREAS, Section 106-1128(2) a. of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport provides that a borrow pit shall not be located within 300 feet of a residential dwelling unit located off of the proposed borrow pit site; and

WHEREAS, the distance of 300 feet may not be a sufficient distance to protect a residence located adjacent to a borrow pit from the noise, vibrations, dirt, dust and other harmful conditions generated by the operation of the borrow pit; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Planning Commission should reconsider Section 106-1128(2) a., with a view towards increasing the distance to 1000 feet.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the Metropolitan Planning Commission is requested to consider an amendment to Chapter 106 the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport to provide that a borrow pit shall not be located within 1000 feet of a residential dwelling unit located off of the proposed borrow pit site; and to provide a report to the Council in this regard.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman. In the last year, particularly in the last six months, we've had two instances in my district where folks wanted to put burrow pits very close to residential neighborhoods. And it has caused an uproar. One is along Huckaby with the Miller Tree Services. I appreciated that particular item has been withdrawn. But in and around the lake area, we have the situation where there was a burrow pit that went in right next door to the Willow Point area, and that was literally a fiasco. The present state of the law says that you can have a burrow pit within 300 feet of a residential neighborhood. I'm asking that we ask the MPC to extend that to 1000 feet so that it removes the possibility of putting these burrow pits right next door to residential areas. It's something that arose because it's been a problem within my district, but I would dare say it could be something that would be visited in any of our districts. So, this does not change the law per se, it's just a

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

resolution asking the MPC to take a look at that issue. The reason why I'm bringing it now is if we pass this resolution, the MPC can go forward at their next meeting which is coming up pretty shortly, and make the change and bring that back to us so that we can move forward on that. And that's why I'm asking that we deal with today.

Councilman Walford: Let me add on one thing, from my days on the Zoning Board of Appeals. You've got these things for a long, long, long time after. And despite the best intents to fill them back in, they don't fill up at the rate that it was taken out. So, you're looking at very, very long term effects. I think Mr. Hogan's got one in his district.

Councilman Green: I have one in my district.

Councilman Walford: Or maybe it's yours out off Mt. Zion, but one day we sat there and calculated how many yards it was going to take to fill it, and it's going to be a long time.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 115 OF 2005

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA TO VETO SEVERAL AMENDMENTS, BY SENATOR MALONE, TO HOUSE BILL NO. 2, RELATIVE TO FUNDS APPROPRIATED FOR THE SHREVEPORT CONVENTION CENTER (HOTEL) COMPLEX, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY: COUNCILMAN JACKSON

WHEREAS, the State of Louisiana has signed a cooperative endeavor agreement with the City of Shreveport and the Shreveport Convention Center Hotel Authority to provide \$12,000,000 of the \$52,000,000 budget to build a hotel adjacent to the new \$85,000,000 convention center that is under construction; and

WHEREAS, bonds have been sold and a contract has been let to build the hotel; and

WHEREAS, a group of amendments by Senator Malone, to House Bill No. 2; specifically, but not limited to, Senate Floor Amendments 3 and 4 adopted by the Senate on June 20, 2005, and Amendment No. 29, prevent the immediate use of the \$12,000,000 for the construction of the Hotel; and

WHEREAS, these amendments are detrimental to the Hotel Project, the entire Convention Center Complex Project and to the economic efforts of the City of Shreveport

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that this Council requests Governor Kathleen Blanco, the Governor of the State of Louisiana, to veto the several amendments to House Bill No. 2, which prevent or delay the expenditure of \$12,000,000 for the construction of the Convention Center Hotel.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Jackson, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.

Councilman Hogan: Before I cast my vote, who is sponsoring the legislation?

Councilman Jackson: Me.

Councilman Hogan: Would you mind just giving a brief overview of that. That's one, since it's not on the agenda, I was not familiar with it. Could you enlighten me on that?

Councilman Jackson: It should be on there but, what it basically is, as State Representative Burrell was saying earlier, one of the things that happened at the legislature is that the Senator, a Senator from this area at the ninth hour slipped on some amendments that would of that \$52,000,000, that we talked about for the hotel, of course \$40,000,000 was in bonds. And the other \$12,000,000 was capital outlay dollars that the Senator put two or three amendments onto the House Bill 2 and amended it so that the \$12,000,000 would be frozen for one year and we couldn't have access to it. And so, there are some legislators who are still working now as well as other citizens of this area to ask the governor who has the power to utilize line item veto to change that, to ask her in fact to change that. And so, this is simply a resolution coming from the Council suggesting that this project as it's written in here, this project is an important project and a project that we think seriously affects where we go with regards to tourism, where we go with regards to development of our convention center project and unnecessarily hampers that process. And so as for those reason, ask that she consider a line item veto.

Councilman Carmody: But Mr. Jackson, I wanted to clarify this was that, if we requested she do this, isn't one of the amendments or a portion of the amendment that if the Court finds in the determination that the \$12,000,000 can't be spent for the hotel, that it would be redirected toward the Jimmy Davis Bridge project so that that would maintain the money staying here in this community. My concern would be that we'd be jeopardizing the potential for the use of the funds here in this community, if we ask her to veto it and we don't prevail in a lawsuit.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Carmody, the spirit of this resolution is that we would in fact to line item veto this, and I only offered it this way, because I believe that we will prevail. Otherwise, we have three legislators, Mr. Malone, Mr. Powel, and Mr. - - - I forget the other name, when we were down at the Bond Commission, who with no regard of what you've just said, said 'hey, we don't think that Shreveport ought to have the money'. And had no regard for the fact that what you said could be true. That the money could go somewhere else. And I think if our State legislators down there had no regard at that time, notwithstanding what we say. Because the amendment said that doesn't guarantee that's where the money is going to be spent, just as the initial \$12,000,000 that we're talking about now was supposed to be for something that we think it's supposed to be for and that's not a guarantee. So, notwithstanding what that amendment says, because in Baton Rouge, there's no guarantee. I mean, I don't care what the language says, it's not rock solid at that time, and so, I'd like to put my confidence in the fact that we have already made plans. We think we have a good - not only standing, but a legitimate case for the \$12,000,000, and this ordinance reflects that. I don't know, excuse me, this resolution reflects that. I don't know what other language I would put, and I want the governor to know that this Council does in fact has some feel about it and that we're not quietly sitting around as silent co-conspirators to this process. But that we in fact

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

want to weigh in. And that's what the spirit of this resolution and the letter of it is that we in fact are not in favor of what the Senator has done and we in fact would like for her to utilize the authority that she has to give us a line item veto.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Chairman. I do want to give credit where credit was due. I think that you mentioned the names of some senators, but I believe it was actually Lydia Jackson, that included the provision that would require that if the money were not found to be applicable toward building a hotel, that it would be directed toward Jimmy Davis. Now if anyone is in knowledge and I'm incorrect, please clarify that. But what I was going to say was I thought that was a prudent move on the part of the legislature to at least try to make that assurance that the money would stay in this area. So, that's my point.

Mayor Hightower: Mr. Chairman, I can't help but speak on that now. Councilman Jackson, you are absolutely right on target. It was a move by Senator Malone to derail the hotel project. It wasn't with any thought of working on the Jimmy Davis Bridge, or anything else. It was a 100% vindictive move. If his move succeeds, and thank goodness we did have area legislators come to the rescue in Baton Rouge to try to restore the money to try to question the authority to do what Senator Malone tried to do. And had it not been for those legislators, we would have lost, Shreveport would have lost as much as \$12,000,000 to go at the Governor's discretion somewhere else in the state. I for the life of me can't understand what Senator Malone's problem is with the entire process, although he continues to say, "I want the people to have a say. The people should have a say vote." I think we all need to keep in mind one thing. Senator Malone's district is roughly 50% Caddo, and 50% Bossier City. Shreveport/Bossier. If Mr. Malone in fact believes that the people should have a say so, when projects of this magnitude come before government bodies, where was he when the City of Bossier City put up \$40,000,000 to build a parking garage for John Goode's Louisiana Boardwalk. Where was Senator Malone and a vote of the people when the Bossier City Council voted to build the CenturyTel Center. The proof's in the pudding guys. This move by Senator Malone was a bullet, not a shotgun, but a bullet at this Mayor, this City Council, this City, the tourism business and the success of our convention center. I think it's imperative that we listen and move along with what Councilman Jackson is saying and ask the Governor to veto the silliness. And it was nothing more than that. It was nothing more than a vindictive silliness, political gunshots, whatever it may have been at the expense of the taxpayers of the City of Shreveport. Only one possible loser in this deal. That's 200,000 of us that live here. So, Councilman Jackson, I appreciate the resolution.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS:

1. **Resolution No. 112 of 2005:**¹ A resolution requesting the Louisiana Local Government environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority to take certain actions and authorizing the Mayor to enter into a Trade Confirmation, all in connection with bonds issued to finance the Shreveport Convention Center Hotel; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.
2. **Resolution No. 113 of 2005:**² A resolution requesting the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority to take certain actions and authorizing the Mayor to enter into a Trade Confirmation, all in connection with bonds issued to finance Independence Stadium; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Jackson to introduce Resolution No(s) 113 and 114 to lay over until July 12, 2005, 2005 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Mr. Antee: Mr. Chairman, is that simply for introduction?

Councilman Green: Yes sir.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES (Not be adopted prior to July 12 2005)

1. **Ordinance No. 100 of 2005:** ANNEXATION – Tag No. 05-02. An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A tract of land located along the Southern Loop Road in Section 20 T-16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Gibson)
2. **Ordinance No. 101 of 2005:** ANNEXATION – Tag No. 04-03. An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A tract of land located along the Woolworth and Buncombe Roads in portions of Sections 3, 4, 9, and 10 (T16N-R15W) Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Jackson)
3. **Ordinance No. 102 of 2005:** An Ordinance to amend Section 38-41 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances relative to Housing and Property Standards and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Carmody³ to introduce Ordinance No(s). 100, 101, and 102 to lay over until July 12, 2005 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, I'm voting because as I understand it, this is for introduction purposes only. For those three, but I would like to see before we take action on the ordinance amending housing and property standards, that we route that through the Property Standards Committee. I think that's the one that we were given, and my request to the Administration would be that we would make a presentation to the Property

¹ This resolution was inadvertently introduced as Resolution No. 113 of 2005. Corrected by Amendment No. 1 to Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport on July 26, 2005.

² This resolution was inadvertently introduced as Resolution No. 114 of 2005. Corrected by Amendment No. 1 to Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport on July 26, 2005.

³ The motion to introduce Ordinance Nos. 100, 101, and 102 was seconded by Councilman Carmody. The Councilman's name was inadvertently omitted in the published minutes and corrected by Amendment 2 to Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport on July 26, 2005.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Standards Committee so that we can take a look at those.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, under State Law, we need a public hearing on 100 and 101 for the next meeting. You might want to call that.

Councilman Green: Alright. We'll call it. Is the Chief here? Or anybody with a taser? We're calling for a public hearing on those items for the next council meeting. Thank you Mr. Thompson.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE (Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers)

1. **Ordinance No. 28 of 2005:** an ordinance amending Chapter 14 of the Code of Ordinances, styled Animals, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Green) (Postponed B May 24, 2005)

Having passed first reading on March 8, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

2. **Ordinance No. 47 of 2005:** An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 40 of 2003 relative to changing the name of the Shreveport Blanchard Road from the Roy Road to North Hearne Avenue to Hilry Huckaby III Avenue and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (Postponed B May 24, 2005)

Having passed first reading on April 12, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Green to postpone.

Councilman Walford: I'm going to vote no to postpone, and I'm going to tell you I have two reasons why I'm going to do that. 1) We suspend the rules to move people up in the agenda so we don't keep them waiting. But we've had folks showing up every week on this one. And the second thing is we're having to advertise this at a great deal of expense over and over, so I wish we could just vote it up or down. But I will be voting no on postponing.

Motion fails by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Walford, Carmody, and Hogan. 3. Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Green, and Jackson. 3. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Mr. Thompson: The motion fails Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, I move to remove.

Councilman Carmody: Second.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Carmody to remove Ordinance No. 47 of 2005 from the agenda.

Councilman Lester: Thank you. Councilman Walford, you weren't here on yesterday, but what I told the Council whether that makes a difference or not, I found that there are some things you do and some things you don't. That, I made a commitment to one Council Member to get some legal authority. I haven't had an opportunity to do that. I foresee that being done by the next Council Meeting. But more than that, I made a commitment to Ms. Linda Baker that before I actually ask the Council to vote on this matter, that she and I would sit down and talk. I talked to Ms. Baker this morning, and we are scheduled to have breakfast on Wednesday at 8:30. That was a commitment that I made to her, and when I talked to the Council on yesterday, I said that I am postponing because I made those two commitments, one to a Council Member, and one to a person who is actually a litigant in this matter. If you choose not to postpone, that is perfectly within your right, and you have done so. I am removing it, from the agenda.

Councilman Walford: (Inaudible)

Councilman Lester: Oh, I will, most definitely. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Jackson: Yes, and Councilman I'm assuming that Councilman, I'd spoken with people who are a part of this process and in that process what we talked about was the legitimacy of whatever the case was that had been in court or what have you. Since then, after the last meeting, someone said to me that they thought I'd made a commitment to someone to vote to postpone or something like that. And I want to be on record and find out who I talked to, and said we would vote not to postpone. Cause I didn't have that conversation. I guess my concern was, I figured out, I thought I had an understanding of what this amendment was to Ordinance 40 of 2003. And like in many cases, people on both sides got great points on both sides of the issue. And I suspect that it's coming back up again. What I didn't get it clear (inaudible) but maybe one of my colleagues do have a very clear idea on it, because I don't remember specifically what the judge said, though someone did fax me and she did a – she was very timely, and she did exactly what she said she was going to do. She did provide it for me. It's been a couple of weeks ago, may have been a month ago now, but at any rate, I was still trying to figure out, and maybe somebody can – what's the problem? I remember when we first started this process. The problem somebody said was going to have to change the address on my stationary, or something like that. And that was kinda of what the problem stemmed from. And then we had a process where we said, we have rules that you have to follow if you're going to do this. And I think the issue became, they said, the rules weren't followed. When we first voted on it, we were told that the rules were followed. And now, something happened that the rules, they're suggesting now, and I guess have gone to court to find that the rules may not have been followed. I guess what my concern is while we skirt around it, legally and other little (inaudible), I never really understood what the big issue was. Is that the people wanted to keep Shreveport/Blanchard as their name, because the Shreveport and Blanchard Hwy had a significance to them? Did they not want to have, and I'm asking when we look at this again. Because obviously, this is going to cost us money as a city. You know putting up signs, taking down signs, and I hate that we get into that, because it paints a bad picture, but again, if we're not following what they say we should be following, that paints a bad picture. So, my concern is I don't really know what's at the root of this. I wish we did, but I think it keeps coming up, going down, coming up and going down, and we never are really dealing with what the central issue is. Because if the essential issue is and I don't remember, but I think it

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

was the rule about talking to a number of people, or whatever the case – you have to have a certain percentage of whatever the people that live on the street or whatever, and if that's the issue, then I think we need to be sure that we deal with that issue. And if we deal with that issue, and people – cause you know people don't have to justify I guess to us why they don't want it. But I heard in an initial conversation – what had nothing to do with Mr. Huckaby, we all liked him, and that could be true. That could be a lie, don't know. I don't think it's my job to discern that. But I do think that before we come back and deal with it again, I hope that we have all the "I"'s dotted and all the "T"'s crossed from both sides. I don't know, and I haven't had extensive conversation to find out. Maybe between now and the next time we can talk to somebody, or I can talk to somebody who was a part of those businesses who oppose or were the litigants in that particular case of what the real problem. My hope is that they'll be honest and say here's what the real problem was, and that we'll try to meet that. Because the worse thing in my opinion that can happen is to take down those signs and then you put back up other signs and nobody really knows what the story is. And it just looks bad, bad, bad. And everybody may not have legitimate points. I don't know. I just hope that before we move on as a municipality and start a habit of putting up, taking down that before we put up, we do it right. Before we take down, we think about all those things. So, I hope that we'll get that resolved before we come back and bowl down this alley again.

Councilman Lester: Without going into it and I'm not going to go into many of the guts because they do involve a matter under which we are currently in litigation. The commitment that I made was to have a conversation with Ms. Baker for just that reason. Because I know what my position is and I have articulated that and I know what I believe their position is, at least what has been articulated. But what has not happened is she and I, and I imagine that she represents the actual one of the litigants that's party to the litigation. We have not actually sat down across the table and said, "Calvin, this is where you are coming from, Ms. Baker, this is where I'm coming from." And so, I told her that lets sit down and do that. And I spoke with her on today and we're going to do that. Again, I'm not going to get into the nuts and guts of it, because there are some legal issues, and I would simply say, there is a reason why the signs aren't down. And I would just leave it at that. So, I move to pull it from the agenda and before we bring it back, I will certainly report to this Council, the substance of those conversations, and we will move forward. One way or the other.

Councilman Walford: And I commend Mr. Lester for doing that and taking away the burden of advertising and I will be more than happy to second when you put it back.

Councilman Lester: Not that the cost of advertising is so onerous that the big bad (inaudible).

Councilman Walford: It's more that the people have been coming. And I've gotten the phone calls, and I've had two attorneys calling me, so. I commend you for doing it this way and put it back on in two weeks later, you can move forward with it.

Councilman Lester: Right. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Carmody: Thank you Mr. Chairman, I appreciate it. But just to remind those persons of the Council that didn't serve with Huck during his last term, that we had a number of requests to change the names of different streets, and it got to a point where we were concerned not only from a public safety standpoint with fire and police and 911 and everything else, that we felt like that we needed to basically get the input from citizens of the community as to what direction the Council should have. And Huck was certainly behind it as well as the rest of the Council to try to get some direction on how we should do it. So, I applaud Mr. Lester for withdrawing it today, but I do think that we do need to have all our facts straight as to what was established as the proper process to consider changing names. And then to know what the City is required to do under those regulations and then following them.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, and not to belabor the point, but I'm very familiar with where Mr. Carmody is coming from and I'm even more familiar with what Mr. Huckaby. And I'm even more familiar with the actual instance in District F that led to the change in the ordinance. And I will leave it at that. And I'm sure you're familiar with that.

Councilman Carmody: Very much so.

Councilman Lester: Okay, so I know what –

Councilman Carmody: But it was only going to be a block.

Councilman Lester: Again, but I understand what the law reads, and I know why this legislative body, what the legislative intent was to change that particular set of rules. So, I'm more than familiar with that, and the man and the whole nine yards.

Councilman Green: Did it have anything to do with Coleman College?

Councilman Lester: I'm going to leave that alone.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

3. **Ordinance No. 83 of 2005:** An ordinance authorizing the purchasing agent to dispose of surplus real property and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *Claiborne Ave* (B/Walford) (Postponed - June 14, 2005)

Having passed first reading on May 24, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Hogan to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

4. **Ordinance No. 84 of 2005:** An ordinance amending the 2005 Capital Improvements Budget

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt.

Councilman Hogan: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask Mr. Strong to come forward please? How are you this afternoon.

Mr. Strong: Fine.

Councilman Hogan: I just wanted to ask you Mr. Strong, I know there's a lot of projects in town that are at the top of the list to be done, but could you explain to me how these projects were chosen?

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Mr. Strong: Engineering went through the process of looking at some of the projects that completed or was had excessive funding that was in excess and then how they did it. Now the actual process, I don't have that. I don't know how Engineering came up with the exact items, but I can get that for you.

Councilman Hogan: Okay, I would be interested to know, I noticed that it says it will affect every council district. And I don't see anything in my district on here. I don't understand the language, how it can affect all council districts. Because it talks about widening of a road, and I've got a road in my district for forty years, it's been needing widening. So, I'm just curious to know, I'm just trying to kinda get a little more information. And I would ask for a postponement until we can get more information on it.

Mr. Strong: What kind of information would you like sir?

Councilman Hogan: What you just said. The explanation as to how they are –

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Strong, you can stay there. I think if Councilman Hogan, if what Councilman Hogan just described was not actually- -he talked about a widening, but that was not to do a widening. That was taking money away from a widening that had been done before. It's not going to widen any streets. It is taking money on a widening project from '99 bond issue, I believe that has now been completed. And there was a balance in the widening project that was left that's being moved to another street project.

Mr. Strong: Which the key (inaudible) was getting into the Missouri Darien Terrace project.

Mr. Dark: Mr. Chairman, If I might, there are some things that affect your district, I think or at least Southern Hills in general, I'm not sure exactly where the line is out there, but there is the Mt. Zion Road bridge between Kingston and Linwood that I think is down to two lanes instead of four.

Councilman Hogan: That's Councilman Gibson's district.

Mr. Dark: And then there's one on Flournoy Lucas between again, between Kingston and Linwood, and I'm not sure if that's yours or Mike's.

Councilman Hogan: Between Kingston and Linwood?

Mr. Dark: Uh hmm.

Councilman Hogan: Well, the creek is the dividing line.

Mr. Dark: Okay, well, this is the bridge over the creek that's going to have some work done on it, so that's why your district's included and that's one of the new projects. There's really not a lot else in this one. We've got some bridge work on those two bridges, plus the Pierremont Road bridge over by (inaudible) that needs a little under pinning work done and then some paving work in Mr. Jackson's district, and then we're rearranging funding that was already in Mr. Jackson's district to provide for that money. So, that's why it says all. And we do this on Capital Projects normally anyway because the theory is that unless it's real specific, it really does affect most district. In this case, I think it probably affects almost all of it, but not all of it. So we would encourage you to go ahead and vote for this if you can.

Councilman Hogan: I appreciate the explanation.

Councilman Carmody: Along the lines that of the Pierremont Road, excuse me the Pierremont bridge over Bayou Pierre, we're allocating funds to help underpin that. In that we're I think almost at 12 inches below normal rainfall for our area, I know that in the past in talking to the Caddo Parish levy board about the situation with the Bayou Pierre canal south of Pierremont and north of 70th Street, where they were having problems with those slabs failing because of underground intruding and keeping them from being able to re-pour the concrete, can I ask you to make a note and to contact them about whether or not given the current climate and the lack of moisture in the ground as to whether or not some action can be taken now to address it. When we do have these periodic large rains, I always get calls from the residents that have been on either side of Bayou Pierre, that are quite concerned about the aggregate rock that is in the waterway, that the parish placed there, saying that they could not come back and replace the concrete surface. And maybe we can make lemonade out of some lemons if they can get in there and actually do the work now that there is no moisture in that area. Thank you Mr. Strong. Thank you MR. Dark.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

5. **Ordinance No. 85 of 2005:** An ordinance amending the 2005 Budget for the Police Grants Special Revenue Fund.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, and Green. 5. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Jackson. 1.

6. **Ordinance No. 86 of 2005:** An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of E. 85th Street and Panatela Lane as a stop intersection and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt.

Councilman Carmody: Mr. Chairman, before we vote, if you remember yesterday when the gentleman came to speak to us, he had said how frustrated he was living at the corner of 85th and Panatela, that the traffic there moved through there at high speeds. If you read the fact sheet, this is basically a determination by the Traffic Engineer that indeed a stop sign should be placed at this intersection which is exactly what this gentleman was asking yesterday. So, although Mr. Gibson is not here, I think that this would be a prudent thing for us to support.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

7. **Ordinance No. 87 of 2005:** An ordinance declaring the City's interest in certain adjudicated properties as surplus and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/Lester/C/Carmody)

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Councilman Green: Also Mr. Thompson, let the record reflect that a compromise has been met by Councilman Hogan and Councilman Jackson, that no money would be deducted from Councilman Jackson's check for Councilman Hogan having to operate this while he's out.

8. **Ordinance No. 88 of 2005**: An ordinance closing and abandoning a 15 foot wide parcel of land located behind lots 162 and 163 in the Forest Oak Subdivision located in the SW 3 of Section 21 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Green)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Jackson, seconded by Councilman Green to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

9. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2005**: An ordinance closing and abandoning a 20 foot wide alleyway in the 5800 block of Southern Avenue abutting lots 1 thru 11 and a part of lot 12 of Block AC@ and running adjacent to I-49 on the west side of the south side Park Subdivision located in Section 24 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Carmody)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Hogan to adopt Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

10. **Ordinance No. 90 of 2005**: An ordinance amending Sections 1179 of Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Hogan to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman. Councilman Hogan, was this is response to the –
Councilman Hogan: The lady that came to speak about the fence. Right, uh hmm.

11. **Ordinance No. 91 of 2005**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Section 26-243 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the Architectural and Engineering Selection process and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion fails for lack of a second.

12. **Ordinance No. 92 of 2005**: An ordinance creating Section 22-28 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport, Portable Storage Containers, that sets the rules for use, sets a fee for the licenses, and sets penalties for failure to abide by standards, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

13. **Ordinance No. 93 of 2005**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Section 3.01 of Ordinance No. 96 of 1980 relative to exemptions and exclusions from sales and use taxes and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

14. **Ordinance No. 96 of 2005**: An ordinance authorizing the use of the Cargill Soccer Association/SU and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Walford to postpone.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, before we vote, No. 97, I know that's in District G, I'm not sure about and seems like 96, I don't know – would be E.

Councilman Carmody: Actually Mr. Hogan's.

Councilman Lester: I appreciate the response to my prayer, (inaudible) has expanded my territory, but I don't think that this is what they were asking for.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

15. **Ordinance No. 97 of 2005**: An ordinance authorizing the donation of City-owned property at 2744 West Caperton Street to Derrick Holmes and Leroy Williams and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Walford to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

16. **Ordinance No. 98 of 2005**: An ordinance repealing Ordinance No. 27 of 2004: To rescind and cancel the servitude and encroachment authorized therein, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt.

Councilman Jackson: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Carmody, would you share with me what it was we are accomplishing here. I know at one point, we'd had a deal I believe with some folks had come in and talked about this subject I think. Some months ago? Could you tell me what we're doing, changing or whatever?

Councilman Carmody: Yes sir. I'm not sure if you were here for that work session. I believe that we covered it and actually SPAR had confirmed that the original applicant or the original request for the servitude, the person that was making the request had found that in order to comply with what the requirements were to put the utilities through were going to be cost prohibitive and that therefore, the Administration had said there was not a need to maintain that servitude.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

17. **Ordinance No. 99 of 2005**: An Ordinance to enact section 42-217 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances relative to license permits and business regulation and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Jackson to adopt.

Councilman Jackson: Yeah, I just wanted to get some more information on what exactly we were looking to accomplish. It suggests that we're dealing with second hand dealers, I believe it is and that now, they don't have to report transactions electronically, like pawn shops and places like that I'm assuming. So, then this just simply changes that so that people who steal stuff can't go to a pawn shop and then sell the stuff that they may have stolen or something with requiring a license (inaudible) police?

Chief Campbell: In reference to what that change does Councilman, is that we have found in many of our burglar reports that one of the hot items are play stations, and those types of games and stuff. And what this does, it brings a second hand dealers under the same requirements that they would have to report that. There's been a proliferation of businesses that purchase those types of items and there's no tracking system and there's no recording system. We're trying to close the loop hole for that so that we'll be able to track those as well as we would pawn shops.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

18. **Ordinance No. 77 of 2005**: ZONING B C-33-05: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the northeast corner of Shreveport-Blanchard Hwy., and Roy Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1H, Urban, One-Family Residence District to R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District and R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District, and R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (Postponed – June 14, 2005)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

19. **Ordinance No. 79 of 2005**: ZONING B C-35-05: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of Ford Street, 200 feet west of Allen Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-2, Neighborhood Business District, to B-3-E community Business/Extended Use District. LIMITED TO ASTORAGE OF INOPERABLE VEHICLES,= ONLY, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (Postponed – June 14, 2005)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

20. **Ordinance No. 94 of 2005:** ZONING B C-43-05: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances by rezoning property located southeast of the intersection of east 70th Street and Dixie Meadows Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District and B-2, Neighborhood Business District, to R-3, Urban, Multiple-Business District, to R-3, Urban Multiple-Family Residence District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Carmody)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Carmody, seconded by Councilman Jackson to adopt Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

21. **Ordinance No. 95 of 2005:** ZONING B C-45-05: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances, by rezoning property located on the southeast corner of Greenwood Road and West Bert Kouns Industrial Loop, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A, Residence-Agriculture District, to B-3, Community Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Jackson)

Having passed first reading on June 14, 2005 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Jackson, seconded by Councilman Carmody to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Carmody, Hogan, Green, and Jackson. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Gibson. 1.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 84 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2005 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2005 Capital Improvements Budget to transfer funds among capital projects and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 153 of 2004, the 2005 Capital Improvements Budget, be further amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Program C (Streets Improvements)

Decrease the appropriation for **Lakeshore Drive Widening (89C021)** by \$400,000. Funding source is 1999 GOB, Prop. 4 (Streets).

Decrease the appropriation for **Slack Industrial Park Roadway - St. Vincent to Ellerbe Road (89C023)** by \$211,700. Funding source is 1993-B GOB, Prop. 1 (Streets).

Decrease the appropriation for **Downtown Gateway Improvements (96C002)** by \$200,000. Funding source is 1999 GOB, Prop. 4 (Streets).

Increase the appropriation for **Lakeside Area Paving (96C101)** by \$400,000. Funding source is 1999 GOB, Prop. 4 (Streets).

Increase the appropriation for **Bridge Replacement Program (96C014)** by \$494,400. Funding sources are \$211,700 from 1993-B GOB, Prop. 1 (Streets) and \$282,300 from 1999 GOB, Prop. 4 (Streets).

Decrease the appropriation for **Greenwood Acres Paving (97C003)** by \$82,300. Funding source is 1999 GOB, Prop. 4 (Streets).

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 153 of 2004, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 85 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2005 BUDGET FOR THE POLICE GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously-adopted budget: and
WHEREAS, the City Council finds it desirable to amend the 2005 budget for the Police Grants Special Revenue Fund, to appropriate corrected carryover funds amounts and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 162 of 2004, the 2005 budget for the Police Grants Special Revenue Fund, be amended and re-enacted as follows:

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

2004 and Prior-Year Receipts:

Increase Project Safe Neighborhoods by \$9,200.
Increase Prior-Year DARE 2004 by \$17,900.
Increase Prior-Year AFIS 2004 by \$9,100.
Decrease Prior-Year Shreveport Enforcement Project by \$1,400.
Decrease Prior-Year Safe and Sober 2004 by \$5,000.
Appropriate Prior-Year HIDTA 2003 at \$400.
Appropriate Prior-Year Police Block Grant 2001 at \$400.

Fiscal Year 2005 Revenues:

Decrease Terrorism Prevention Program by \$15,000.
Increase Knock and Talk 2005 by \$6,600.
Decrease HIDTA 2005 by \$1,500.
Appropriate Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention 2005 at \$153,100.
Appropriate Solving Cold Cases With DNA at \$263,200.
Appropriate Federal JAG at \$258,800.
Appropriate Street Reduction of Crime at \$34,700.
Appropriate Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force at \$100,000.
Appropriate Fire Act Grant at \$28,400.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

From 2004 and Prior-Years Revenues:

From Prior-Year Project Safe Neighborhoods, increase Personal Services by \$9,200.
From Prior-Year DARE, increase Personal Services by \$29,300. Decrease Improvements and Equipment by \$11,400.
From Prior-Year AFIS, increase Personal Services by \$9,100.
From Prior-Year Shreveport Enforcement Project 2004, decrease Personal Services by \$1,400.
From Prior-Year Safe and Sober, decrease Personal Services by \$5,000.
From Prior-Year HIDTA 2003, appropriate \$400 to Personal Services.
From Prior-Year Police Block Grant 2001, appropriate \$400 to Materials and Supplies.

From Fiscal Year 2005 Revenues:

From Terrorism Prevention Program, decrease Contractual Services by \$20,000. Increase Improvements and Equipment by \$5,000.
From Knock and Talk 2005, increase Personal Services by \$6,800 and Materials and Supplies by \$400. Decrease Improvements and Equipment by \$400.
From HIDTA 2005, decrease Personal Services by \$1,500.
From Anti-Terrorism 2005, increase Personal Services by \$4,000. Decrease Materials and Supplies by \$1,000 and Improvements and Equipment by \$3,000.
From Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention 2005, appropriate \$153,100 to Improvements and Equipment.
From Solving Cold Cases With DNA, appropriate \$109,200 to Personal Services, \$400 to Materials and Supplies, \$148,600 to Contractual Services and \$5,000 to Improvements and Equipment.
From Federal JAG, appropriate \$129,400 to Personal Services and \$129,400 to Improvements and Equipment.
From Street Reduction of Crime, appropriate \$34,700 to Personal Services.
From Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force, appropriate \$72,300 to Personal Services and \$27,700 to Contractual Services.
From Fire Act Grant, appropriate \$28,400 to Improvements and Equipment.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 162 of 2004 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance, or the application thereof, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other sections of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 86 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF E. 85th STREET AND PANATELA LANE AS A STOP INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN GIBSON

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the intersection of E. 85th Street and Panatela Lane is hereby created and established a stop intersection, Panatela Lane shall stop for E. 85th Street.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 87 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES TO BE SURPLUS AND TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT TO SELL THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S TAX INTEREST IN CERTAIN SURPLUS ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has a tax interest in the herein below described properties which have been adjudicated for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the herein below described properties are not needed for public purposes and should be declared surplus properties; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received offers to purchase its tax interest in the herein below described properties as indicated below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the following described property is hereby declared surplus:

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the City Council of the City of Shreveport does hereby authorize the sale of its tax interest in the herein below described properties for an amount not less than the offer as indicated below.

Property No. 1: Legal Description - Lot 8, Block 17, West Shreveport Subdivision a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 15, Page 776 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#171402-077-0008-00) Municipal Address - 1323 Andrew Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$1,200.00 APPRAISED VALUE: \$6,400.00 DISTRICT A

Property No. 2: Legal Description - Lot 7, Boisseau Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 38, Page 97 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#181435-091-0007-00) Municipal Address - 332 Boisseau Street.

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$100.00 APPRAISED VALUE: \$600.00 DISTRICT A

Property No. 3: Legal Description - W/2 of Lot 1139, Cedar Grove Addition, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 3122, Page 442 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#171425-087-1146-00) Municipal Address - 153 East 72nd Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$400.00 APPRAISED VALUE: \$800.00 DISTRICT C

Property No. 4: Legal Description - Lot E, Griffin Oaks Subdivision, Unit 2, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 1300, Page 161 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#181416-043-0005-00) Municipal Address - 2015 Hawkins Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$400.00 APPRAISED VALUE: \$600.00 DISTRICT A

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport shall be authorized to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 88 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AND ABANDONING A 15 FOOT-WIDE PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED BEHIND LOTS 162 AND 163 IN THE FOREST OAK SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE SW/ 1/4 OF SECTION 21 (T17N-R14W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that the 15 foot-wide parcel of land located behind lots 162 and 163 located in the Forest Oak Subdivision located in the SW1/4 of Section 21 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown and as indicated on the plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, is hereby closed and abandoned, and be it ordained that utility servitudes be retained throughout the closed and abandoned street right-of-way.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that a certified copy of this ordinance be filed and recorded in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision of this ordinance or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 89 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AND ABANDONING A 20 FOOT-WIDE ALLEYWAY IN THE 5800 BLOCK OF SOUTHERN AVENUE ABUTTING LOTS 1 THRU 11 AND PART OF LOT 12 OF BLOCK "C" AND RUNNING ADJACENT TO I-49 ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE SOUTHSIDE PARK SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN SECTION 24 (T17N-R14W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that the 20 foot-wide alleyway in the 5800 block of Southern Avenue abutting Lots 1 thru 11 and part of lot 12 of block "C" and running adjacent to I-49 on the west side of the South side Park located in Section 24 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown and as indicated on the plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, is hereby closed and abandoned..

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that a certified copy of this ordinance be filed and recorded in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision of this ordinance or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 90 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 1179 OF CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that section 1179 of Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances is hereby amended to now read as follows:

Sec. 106-1179. Fences and walls.

(a) In any district except the B-4 district, no site obstructing fence, wall, hedge, or planting (except trees), shall be erected, altered, placed, or maintained in a required front yard setback that exceeds a height of three feet. A non-sight-obstructing fence (chainlink, for example), which does not exceed six feet in height, may be erected in the front yard setback, provided it is erected in compliance with the requirements below, as well as the building code. All fences, walls, hedges or plantings legally in existence on (the effective date of this ordinance amendment), but not meeting the provisions of this section shall be "grandfathered." Reasonable repair and maintenance may be permitted to preserve the viability of such fences, walls, hedges or plantings.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 92 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE CREATING SECTION 22-28, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, PORTABLE STORAGE CONTAINERS, THAT SETS THE RULES FOR USE, SETS A FEE FOR THE LICENSES AND SETS PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO ABIDE BY STANDARDS, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 22-28, Portable Storage Containers, of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport, is hereby created to read as follows:

Sec. 22-28. Portable Storage Containers.

(a) Portable Storage Containers (PSC) are containers used to move belongings from one location to another. These temporary storage units are hereby deemed to not be a building or structure as defined in Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances. These units are delivered to a location allowing the occupant or owner the time to load or unload moveable property into or out of the container at his/her own pace. The PSCs are then loaded onto a vehicle and transported to another location.

(b) Except with the written permission of the Chief Building Official of the City of Shreveport following a fire, natural disaster or other extenuating circumstance, at no time shall the PSC be left on site for more than fifteen (15) consecutive days. A new period of fifteen (15) days may begin after seven (7) days have lapsed. There shall not be more than three (3) fifteen (15) day periods at any occupancy in a calendar year. No more than one container may be on site at a time.

(c) The PSC must include a placard not to exceed one square foot in area, which is clearly visible from the right of way. The placard will include the container identification number, date of placement on the property, date that removal will be required and local telephone number of the lessor of the container.

(d) The PSC is limited to one hundred thirty (130) square feet and may not be more than sixteen (16) feet in length. The unit must be placed not closer than ten (10) feet from the front property line or five (5) feet from the side of back property line. They shall not be placed on the street or rights of way. Signs on the PSC may not advertise other than for the company providing the PSC.

(e) The Chief Building Official of the City of Shreveport will license the PSC provider for the sum of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) annually. Failure to move the container after fifteen (15) days or failure to comply with all of the prescribed rules may result in a fine of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per day per unit. Failure to pay the fine may result in the cancellation of the license to operate at the discretion of the City of Shreveport.

Secs. 22-29 – 22-50. Reserved.

* * * * *

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 98 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL ORDINANCE NO. 27 OF 2004; TO RESCIND AND CANCEL THE SERVITUDE AND ENCROACHMENT AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilman Thomas Carmody

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 27 of 2004, was adopted on March 23, 2004; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 27 of 2004, authorized a servitude and encroachment on a portion of C. Bickham Dickson Park to AEP/SWEPCO, provided certain conditions are met; and

WHEREAS, it has been more than one year since Ordinance No. 27 of 2004 was adopted; however, the conditions have not been met and the servitude has not been utilized; and

WHEREAS, it is desirable and necessary that Ordinance No. 27 of 2004, be repealed, and the servitude and encroachment be rescinded and cancelled.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that Ordinance No. 27 of 2004, is repealed, and the servitude and encroachment conditionally granted therein are rescinded and cancelled.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is authorized to execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, any and all documents necessary to carry out the action taken herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared severable and repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 99 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE TO ENACT SECTION 42-217 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO LICENSE PERMITS AND BUSINESS REGULATIONS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 42-217 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby enacted and now reads as follows:

Section 42:217: Secondhand dealers; defined, records of transactions

“(a) Every person engaged in the business of buying, selling, trading in, or otherwise acquiring or disposing of used or secondhand property, including but not limited to jewelry, silverware, diamonds, precious metals, furniture, pictures, objects of art, clothing, mechanic's tools, carpenter's tools, automobile hubcaps, automotive batteries, automotive sound equipment such as radios, CB radios, stereos, speakers, cassettes, compact disc players, and similar automotive audio supplies, compact discs(CD), digital video discs (DVD) electronic games and gaming systems, and used building components is a secondhand dealer.

(b) For purposes of this section, a "used building component" shall mean any object produced or shaped by human workmanship or tools that is an element of structural, architectural, archaeological, historical, ornamental, cultural, utilitarian, decorative, or sentimental significance or interest, which has been and may be used as an adjunct to, or component or ornament of any building or structure, regardless of monetary worth, age, size, shape, or condition, that is immovable property or fixture, including but not limited to bricks, siding, gutters, downspouts, lightning rods, chimney roofs, lights, chandeliers, stoves, tubs, sinks, faucets, faucet handles, toilets, bidets, showers, fans, furnaces, air conditioners, water heaters, sprinkling systems, shelving, countertops, cabinets, built-in speakers, shutters, trim, rafters, roof tiles, roofing, studs, foundation, barge boards, paneling, stairs, risers, banisters, wiring, plumbing, hinges, door latches, door knobs, medallions, mantles, flooring, carpet, tiles, molding, wainscoting, pavers, doors, windows, sills, transoms, joists, mailboxes, signage, fountains, decking, gates, fences, planters, landscaping, plantings or portions thereof, or component parts of immovable property of any nature or kind whatsoever.

(c) The following are not secondhand dealers for purposes of this section:

(1) Dealers in coins and currency, dealers in antiques, gun and knife shows or other trade and hobby shows.

(2) Persons solely engaged in the business of buying, selling, trading in, or otherwise acquiring or disposing of motor vehicles and used parts of motor vehicles, and shall not apply to wreckers or dismantlers of motor vehicles who are licensed under the provisions of R.S.32:771 et seq.

(3) Private residential sales commonly known as "garage sales" or "yard sales" as long as such sales take place at a residential address.

(4) Any bona fide charity possessing a valid exemption under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

(d) Secondhand dealers as defined herein shall file all daily records electronically to a law enforcement web site designated as an agent of the police department for the sole purpose of collecting such records, or in such other form as may be permitted by the Chief of Police.”

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provisions or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 77 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SHREVEPORT-BLANCHARD HWY., AND ROY ROAD, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1H, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO R-2, SUBURBAN, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, AND R-3, URBAN, MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, AND R-1D, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the northeast corner of Shreveport-Blanchard Hwy., and Roy Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from R-1H, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District, and R-3, Urban, Multi-Family Residence District, and R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District.**

TRACT 1: R-1H to R-2 :A tract of land located in Section 18, T18N-R14W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, more fully described as follows: Begin at the intersection of the E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated, with the NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N a distance of 546.83 feet along said E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated; run thence E a distance of 1,159.8 feet; run thence S a distance of 540.0 feet; run thence W a distance of 107.5 feet; run thence S a distance of 185.2 feet; run thence S

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

49°W a distance of 671.77 feet to a point located on the NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N41°22'30"W a distance of 825.0 feet along said NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway to the P-O-B. TRACT 2: R-1H to R-3: A tract of land located in Section 18, T18N-R14W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, more fully described as follows: from the intersection of the E R-O-W line of Roy Road as dedicated, with the NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N a distance of 546.83 feet along said E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated to the P-O-B of the tract herein described; continue thence N a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence E a distance of 700.0 feet; run thence S a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence W a distance of 700.0 feet to the P-O-B.; TRACT 3: R-1H to R-2: A tract of land located in Section 18, T18N-R14W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, more fully described as follows: from the intersection of the E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated, with the NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N a distance of 896.83 feet along said E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated; run thence E a distance of 350.0 feet to the P-O-B of the tract herein described; run thence N a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence E a distance of 600.0 feet; run thence S a distance of 700.0 feet; run thence W a distance of 250.0 feet; run thence N a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence W a distance of 350.0 feet to the P-O-B. TRACT 4: R-1H to R-3: A tract of land located in Section 18, T18N-R14W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, more fully described as follows: From the intersection of the E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated, with the NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N a distance of 896.83 feet along said E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated; run thence E a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence N a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence E a distance of 600.0 feet to the P-O-B of the tract herein described; continue thence E a distance of 349.8 feet; run thence S a distance of 213.67 feet to a curve curving to the left, having a Radius=216.72 feet; run thence along the arc of said curve a distance of 182.31 feet; run thence S a distance of 333.93 feet; run thence W a distance of 439.8 feet; run thence N a distance of 700.0 feet to the P-O-B. TRACT 5: R-1H to R-1D: A tract of land located in Section 18, T18N-R14W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, more fully described as follows: from the intersection of the E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated, with the NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N a distance of 896.83 feet along said E R-O-W line of Roy Road, as dedicated; run thence E a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence N a distance of 350.0 feet; run thence E a distance of 600.0 feet; continue thence E a distance of 349.8 feet; run thence S a distance of 213.67 feet to a curve curving to the left, having a Radius=216.72 feet; run thence along the arc of said curve a distance of 182.31 feet; run thence S a distance of 333.93 feet to the P-O-B of the tract herein described; continue thence S a distance of 763.05 feet; run thence S 49°W a distance of 900.0 feet to a point on the NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N41°22'30"W a distance of 250.0 feet along said NE'ly R-O-W line of the Shreveport-Blanchard Highway, as dedicated; run thence N49°E a distance of 671.77 feet; run thence N a distance of 185.2 feet; run thence E a distance of 107.5 feet; run thence N a distance of 540.0 feet; run thence E a distance of 230.0 feet to the P-O-B.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

- 1. Site development plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any permits.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 94 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED SOUTHEAST OF THE INTERSECTION OF EAST 70TH STREET AND DIXIE MEADOWS DRIVE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-3, URBAN, MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND B-2, NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS DISTRICT, TO R-3, URBAN, MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND B-2, NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located southeast of the intersection of East 70th Street and Dixie Meadows Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District and B-2, Neighborhood Business District, to R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District and B-2, Neighborhood Business District:**

A 9.50 acre (M/L) tract of land in Section 37, T17N-R13W, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and more particularly described as follows: From R-A to R-3: commence at the intersection of the S'ly R-O-W of E 70th Street and the Southern boundary line of Lot 6, Dixie Meadows Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, thence proceed E'ly along said S line for a distance of 1418.56 feet to the P-O-B; thence proceed E'ly along said S line for a distance of 38.63 feet; thence proceed N'ly for a distance of 572.24 feet; thence proceed W'ly for a distance of 150.00 feet; thence proceed S'ly for a distance of 48 feet; thence proceed E'ly for a distance of 110 feet thence S'ly a distance of 515.36 feet to the P-O-B.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

- 1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan. Any significant changes or additions shall require further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA

JUNE 28, 2005

AS AMENDED AND APPROVED ON JULY 26, 2005

2. Site development plan for the entire tract, and all portions thereof, shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any permits for these tracts.

3. The entire development shall be in strict compliance with requirements from both the City of Shreveport Engineering Department and the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (LA DOTD).

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

ORDINANCE NO. 95 OF 2005

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF GREENWOOD ROAD AND WEST BERT KOUNS INDUSTRIAL LOOP, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-A, RESIDENCE-AGRICULTURE DISTRICT, TO B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the southeast corner of Greenwood Road and West Bert Kouns Industrial Loop, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from R-A, Residence-Agriculture District, to B-3 Community Business District:**

A portion of the Phillip Hall tract of the partition survey of the Florence A. Jones Estate, located in Section 22, T17N-R15W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, said tract being more fully described as follows: Beginning at a set ½" diameter iron pipe marking the SE corner of said Phillip Hall Tract, run thence S84°21'14"W a distance of 534.60 feet to a set ½" diameter iron pipe, thence run N32°45'43"W a distance of 194.34 feet to a set 1/2" diameter iron pipe on the S R-O-W line of Greenwood Road, thence run N56°58'14"E along the S R-O-W line of Greenwood Road, a distance of 771.65 feet to a found 1" diameter iron pipe, thence run S01°03'14"W a distance of 531.52 feet to the P-O-B.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

UNFINISHED BUSINESS: None.

NEW BUSINESS: None.

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES:

Councilman Green: The Chair would like to appoint for the Civic Appropriations Committee, Councilman Theron Jackson, Councilman Jeff Hogan, and Councilman Calvin Lester. Mr. Thompson, would you also send them a letter to confirm that they have been appointed to that committee?

Mr. Thompson: Yes sir.

CLERK=S REPORT: None.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:56p.m.

James Edward Green, Chairman

Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council