

CC 3827  
11 03 09

**Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana**  
*October 27, 2009*

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Ron Webb at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, October 27, 2009, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Wooley.  
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Shyne.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Calvin Lester, Monty Walford, Michael Long, Bryan Wooley, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Joyce Bowman (Arrived at 3:01 p.m.). 7. Absent: None.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, October 12, 2009, Council Meeting, Tuesday, October 13, 2009, Amendment No. 1 to Council Proceedings, October 13, 2009, and the Special Meeting Minutes – Monday, October 26, 2009.**

**Amendment No. 1 to the Official Minutes for October 13, 2009 Council Meeting as published on October 20, 2009, in the Official Journal and for the Council Minute Book:**

---

Under the section styled "*The adopted ordinances and amendments follow*" insert Ordinance No. 105 of 2009: "An Ordinance amending the 2009 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget and to otherwise provide with respect thereto" in its entirety

---

Explanation: Ordinance No. 105 of 2009 was adopted, but was not published in the October 13, 2009, council meeting minutes. To correct the oversight the ordinance was published in the official journal on October 21, 2009. This amendment inserts the ordinance in the Official Minutes as kept in the Council Minute Book.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.**

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Mayor Glover: Hello Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, how do you do. At this point and time, I want to turn the proceedings over to Mr. Mike Strong who is going to

introduce to you my good friend and former Southfield classmate, Mr. Ian Webb who is going share with us some brief comments, here sitting next to Kim Mitchell as well concerning our energy efficiency advisory committee. So Mike, go ahead and take the mic.

Mr. Strong: Thank you. In our last Council Meeting, it came up on some things that were going on, and one of the things that we were talking about was our energy efficiency committee, and where we're going to go forth with the \$1.9 (million). So, you've been getting now - - - I think what you've just been sent, your second minutes of the meetings that were taken, I also sent you an invite to be able to get onto the webpage to be able to see everything that's taken place with the committee. And what I'm going to do today and Webb as the spokesperson for the committee, I asked him to come today to kinda give you a brief update of what's going on and what's taking place with the committee. So Ian?

Mr. Webb: My name is Ian Webb, and I tell you, I'm honored to be a part of this committee. I'm excited to be a part of it. I am a small business owner here in town and I'm not sure that I'll ever have too many dollars. I mean, I'll never make too many dollars probably in my life, and so I think the majority of that committee is probably in that same boat, and so we're excited about it, we're taking it very seriously. And I know that when we get finished with this project, when we submitted it back to you guys for approval and to the Mayor, I think you guys are going to be proud of what we do, and hopefully this is going to be a good example of how some citizens can participate in government. This is really a neat thing. So basically this grant is \$1.9 (million), the idea behind it is to reduce energy use, reduce fossil fuel emissions, improve energy efficiency, provide some economic growth jobs, creation and retention and leverage other public and private resources. The information I guess that she's handing out is information about whose on the committee, kind of a scope of what we're looking at, the following activities are eligible under the program, and that's probably in front of all of you right now, I won't belabor any of those points since you can read it. But we do have a couple of committee members here, there they are. Jeff Welbourne, and Jean Hammond and Lee Jeter. These folks are all on the committee with me, and they've all been coming to meetings. We've now had four meetings, all in a row, the fifth one is on Thursday, so we're making some good headway. I want to give you an example of some of the things that we've been talking about in these meetings, and how we think we can really leverage some funds that will be coming from the Department of energy. One of the things that we talked about a lot, and in fact we looked at probably about 25 activities just in the first round that we looked at it. We think that we can probably just from those 25, and we're not done looking at it, we think we can take that \$2,000,000 and leverage it to about \$25,000,000. And it's going to go something like this, and this is just an example. If this Government Plaza needs a new roof and HVAC system, and that's been estimated by somebody more knowledgeable than me, at about a \$2,000,000 project. If we take about \$200,000 of that grant money and use it as seed money for this project, that will reduce it to about \$1.8 (million). Through a number of different tax incentive programs, that technically the city is not eligible to get because we're a municipality. But if we were a private business, we would get about, we would be eligible through some solar technology, some geothermal technology for about \$960,000 in tax credits, but since we're a city, we can't do that. But there's a way to use blocker companies to recapture some of that, and the estimate that we've used at least in this illustration is we think that we can probably get about \$576,000, and that's 60% of that \$960,000 which is a conservative estimate. Which brings the total of that project down to about \$1.2 (million). If you conservatively estimate that we can have an energy savings of about 30%, we're talking

about maybe \$240-250,000 a year savings that we don't have to pay for utility bills here. That project will pay for itself in about 5 ½ years. We can take 10% of that grant money and turn it in about a \$2,000,000 we think fairly easily. And so that's kind of what we're working on, and there's all kinds of different ways that we think we can do this. We think that we can probably look at a lot of business development. The opportunity for audits and things like that. We can look at different financing opportunities for residences and small businesses. So it's an exciting project. And we're hoping that when we get done with this you guys are going to look at this favorably. I think we turn it back over to you guys on the 13<sup>th</sup>. And we'll go from there. If I can answer any questions, I will. I admit that I may need back up on it though.

Mr. Strong: And one thing too, is we have four (inaudible) categories.

Mr. Webb: And those are in your handout. They are and I have some of this information, Development of Energy Efficiency and Conservation Strategies, Residential and Commercial Building Audits, Financial Incentive Programs and Access to those. We talked a lot about technical assistance for small business and residents of the city who can sort of help them access all that good information and grant monies. All kinds of different - - - looking at different ways that we can work with. Alternative transportation and alternative energy sources. And I think it's one of those kinds of projects that only comes around once in a while that we can really look forward to and say this is a good way to transform our city.

Councilman Webb: Councilwoman Bowman had a question or comment earlier, did you or you didn't? She asked me earlier if you were related to me, and I told her no.

Mr. Webb: No, my parents immigrated to the United States in 1958, so we'd have to go way back.

Mr. Strong: One thing on this that is math, because this is turn into strategy, to get in and if the math pays for what we're having to do, to get it in to the Department of Energy for the time period that they want. December 8<sup>th</sup>, we have to have everything back into the Department of Energy. What our plan is, what our strategy is, then you have the three years on that point to be able to implement that plan, and that's where you start spending some of your \$1.9(million) that is going ahead and to begin leveraging that as much as 20-25 days to get it out of there. And so this is not going to be a short process. It's going to take time to go through. This part is very short on the time period that we have, but then we have the three years to actually spend and put the money up as 1.9 work. And then if we can leverage more, it goes on for years to come. Because this is not a project that is going to have the money, use the grant, and spend it and it's over with. This is going to live on. And that's what we wanted to see. So we think it's a good project, we think we've got a dynamic group of people that are working on this, and they're going to keep the consultants in tow to make sure they follow the rules that are set out by the Department of Energy.

Mr. Webb: And we think too that if we have a good (inaudible), we can repeat it. There's more money out there through the Department of Energy that we can access. So, we're looking at a promise of more money if we do it right.

Councilman Webb: Congratulations to you, and good luck.

Mr. Strong: And good luck to us, because (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you Ian, thank you members of the committee for the time and effort that you're putting forth. I want you guys to know that I have had the opportunity to be able to pass along a letter to Energy Secretary Stephen Chu, that is specifically detailing and outlining the work that you all are engaging in here in Shreveport. Had

a chance to follow up with them when we were up in Washington on last week, and so we look forward to be able to see some very positive outcome from the time, effort and energy that you all are putting forth. So thank you much and appreciate your time, appreciate your energy, appreciate your effort. And to give you a little more specifics about Ian's lineage from the website Mr. Chairman, his father is former President of Centenary, Dr. Donald Webb. So you all may have been related from somewhere across the big pond, but probably not too closely here on the U.S. side.

Councilman Webb: Your father was the principal at Waller Elementary, was he not at one time.

Mr. Webb: He goes way back.

Mayor Glover: Well thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you guys for coming today, appreciate your efforts. And also since Lee Jeter is here, I'll go ahead and give him a quick acknowledgement. He had a rather busy weekend Mr. Chairman, and members of the Council wrapping up the 39<sup>th</sup> and the 40<sup>th</sup> Fuller Center house, homes rather over on Alston Street in the Allendale section of Shreveport. And I know some of you had an opportunity to be able to view some of the work that's been done there, and it's just simply outstanding to be able to stand there on that street and look down Alston and just see home after home, after home, and family after family, after family who've been returned back to that community as stake holders, people who are now homeowners with a mortgage and who have a real commitment to not just the City of Shreveport, but to the Allendale community in particular. So believe we appreciate you and all the work that you and dozens of volunteers who were out there on this past Saturday put forth as a part of "Make A Difference Day." And there were folks all over Shreveport who were involved in outstanding activities. So we really appreciate your time, your energy and your effort as well.

Mr. Jeter: Thank you very much. And also Mayor, while I'm up thanking the Council for making it possible for the city of Shreveport to (inaudible) as everyone knows the property that we're working with is adjudicated property that was donated (inaudible) live on. We're ecstatic about the transformation that's really taking place in Allendale, and we're excited about what made it possible, and that was everyone coming together, the city, private sector, everybody working to make a difference. So we're very appreciative of that.

Councilman Webb: Before you leave, Councilman Shyne has a comment.

Councilman Shyne: Just before you leave, I'm not quite as fluent with the King's language as our Mayor and Dr. Webb who was president of Centenary, but I do want to let you all know like the Mayor said, you all are doing an extremely fine job. And what you all are doing is really making this the Next Great City of The South, because you all are building homes that people who work at McDonalds, or people who work at Burger Kings, or people who work at LSU (and I'm not talking about the doctors, or that group of people), but people like me and Joyce Bowman to buy a house. You know people who don't have any money.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Joe.

Councilman Shyne: And I almost included Dale Sibley, but I see Dale got another job now. But I really appreciate that, because that makes me feel good when you all build homes for people like that for people can afford them, and it gives them an opportunity not to spend all of their money on their house notes. So many of us are guilty of spending all our money on our house notes. But these notes are down where the people can pay for their houses and have a little

bit set aside so in case they run into a bump, they'll have a little something to get them across. And like the Mayor said, we are extremely of what you all are doing.

Mr. Jeter: Well thank you very much Councilman Shyne. Our mortgages are less than \$400 a month. \$350 a month. It's amazing that you said that about the people that can qualify for homes because we had a couple of your city workers out there last week who were doing some work for us on some infrastructure improvements, and a couple of them picked up some homeownership applications and that's what we really want to do. We want to make everyone have that right and opportunity to have a home. And we believe that is the American Dream, and it's been missing a good segment of the population, and we want to bring that to everyone. So we thank the city and everyone involved in that project and making it come to fruition, and we've got 20 more in Allendale, and then we're going to go across the rest of this city and continue to try to eliminate substandard housing and blight.

Councilman Shyne: I'm waiting on y'all to come to Mooretown now.

Councilman Long: I just wanted to acknowledge Paige Udell, wherever she is who served, there she right there. Another hard working member of our public and deserves some recognition.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, if I could just add to the Fuller Center discussion, not only do all the things that Councilman Shyne and Lee just referenced, and what I made mention of earlier, but when you also think about the fact that for each one of these lots, we talked about an average of anywhere from a minimum of \$300 to upwards of \$800-1,000 per lot per year that we go out and have to spend as a city to mow and be cleaned up and to keep these particular pieces of property in the condition that they end up being more (inaudible) to the community than what they already are. So, the idea that we no longer have to incur that expense, and we can end up putting it back on the tax roll and generate revenue there, and again, be able to create homeowners, is the best of all worlds when it comes to win/win scenarios. And so we're excited about it, and we're looking forward to doing more and more and more with Fuller and all the other groups that are out there, that were able to partner up with under similar circumstances, but Lee you guys are amazing. And I won't start calling names of the actual ministerial groups who are out there, don't want to leave anybody out, but it was without question an overwhelming show of Christian love and commitment on behalf of all the various churches who were there and involved, and the volunteers from across the city were very impressive to see as well, and we look forward to continuing to work with you all, and Mike in particular in addition to having - - - where's Mike Strong? Where did I lose Mike - - -, tell Mike to step in real quick, because I need him and we to have a quick conversation before Lee leaves. In addition to having some of our guys Mike who were out doing some of the work in conjunction with this project, pick up applications to become a part of the program. We also identified we've got some issues with some of the water lines along that block of Alston, that I want you to get a chance to spend a little time speaking with Lee about to make sure that we're being good stewards of these new homeowners in insuring that they have all of the proper infrastructure in place in order to have the good quality of life.

Mr. Strong: Water project on one section of it has already been bid, and going out. This one block, it will be change orders to add these houses onto that for this small two inch line also. So that's already a go. The sewer line is coming on to that, it will be right behind that. But we're already on the water and working on the sewer.

Mayor Glover: Amazing Mike, you must've read my mind.

Mr. Strong: I had Mr. Jeter call me before you did.

Mayor Glover: That works. Thank you much. Thank you Mr. Chairman. At this point, I'd like to Mr. Chairman, since you and I both served on the Healthcare Committee, wanted to have the opportunity since we have Darlene Sens, whose here with us to be able to come up and share with the Council as well as with the public, and especially with our employees, exactly what the status of what healthcare situation is, what the cost involved with that situation also is, and to let everybody know exactly where we are. Is Ms. Sens here, or have we lost - - - there she is. Alright. I think especially for our retirees, this will be some good news, and at worst a push for the regular employees.

Ms. Sens: Thank you. My name is Darlene Sens, I am here on behalf of United Healthcare, and we want to thank you for and along with the Healthcare Trust Fund Board for your confidence in United Healthcare for the next three years. What we were able to do for you, over the last five years is maintain your claim cost even with minor changes, we've been able to keep you at a relative trend of 5% for five years. It's about a percent and a quarter I think per year. This year, we're actually reducing your admin fees, guaranteeing them for two years. And then the third year, there is a slight increase. And one of the good things that we're able to do this year is bring the retirees, your Medicare retirees excuse me, back under the City's health plan. So, they will be shifting back to us on January 1<sup>st</sup>. It will be a Medicare supplement type plan, so that realistically, all they're paying - - - they'll have their Medicare, and United Healthcare will pick up what's left after Medicare. They will go back under the prescription benefit that they had also. So, I'm just here basically to thank you for your business, and to say I look forward to working with you going forward.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, want that you all to know that they have our business only as a result of a competitive process. One of the things that I have insisted upon since becoming Mayor was putting ourselves in a position, since one of the most frequent complaints that I know that I get from employees, and I'm sure that you all probably are the frequent recipients of them as well, and I think in many respects, it's probably symptomatic of the nationwide challenge we have as a whole, and we're not going to get involved I guess here at the local level, with something that they're battling on up in Washington, but without question, one of the things that I would consistently hear from members of our workforce was their complaints and issues with our healthcare system. And the one thing that we were able to afford them this year was an opportunity for the healthcare trust fund board to develop and RFP and put it out for competition. And we had a chance to be able to hear responses or receive responses from judging by the book of notebooks that I've got in my office, I think were some where, Rick - - - give me a correct number, or Charles. How many responses did we get? Eight? I've got a yard worth of responses upstairs that came in this year. And based upon the work of the Health Care Trust Fund Board, the decision, and I believe it was unanimous was to send it back to United Healthcare, because essentially, they represented the best deal, the best value that we were able to achieve in the environment that we're in. So, if I'm not mistaken, the rates this year will remain the same. Charles, for employees to also understand that there will be a slight reduction for our seniors, is that correct? Will be about the same for our seniors, and so even in this environment, we've managed to be able to not have the significant increase. Also think that the city is now paying a higher percentage of the healthcare premium than we have in previous years. With an effort moving toward trying to get us all the way up to 70% at some point. Or our finances will allow us to. (Inaudible) a perfect set of circumstances Mr. Chairman and

members of the Council, but one I think that's based upon as I mentioned the overall challenge that we faced with regard to healthcare as a whole in this country, and one that I think at least our employees will be at least somewhat satisfied with it, knowing that we've gone out and tried to get them the best deal that we could in the marketplace. The last think we want to finish with Mr. Chairman, a couple of things. 1) This weeks Council Meeting report with regard to the Community Development situation, obviously we know we have a court situation coming this Thursday that we will obviously reserve comment on since that particular situation at this point is a matter of litigation. But with regard to the five individuals as we refer to them as the five aggrieved, homeowners involved, I'm glad to report, that of those five, three of those have repairs that are substantially complete at this point. One, we will essentially have completed on next week. And unfortunately we have one individual who because of healthcare challenges, it is difficult to facilitate a time to be in here home to affect the repairs. But as soon as that can be ironed out, and we will be able to complete and resolve the repairs there as well, and so the five individuals that have been identified, we are well over half way towards getting those situations addressed, and I believe that we are this point somewhere approaching a cost of somewhere in the \$20,000 range. And obviously as the legal systems will allow, we will look to be reimbursed for those expenses by the actual contractors involved, but at this point, and correct me if I'm wrong Bonnie, we have made the payment for these particular repairs with discretionary HUD funding, and have gotten that authorization directly from HUD. And lastly Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, I know for those of you are who are fans of the NFL, you have witnessed various team members across the league take the field with their big and burly selves, some of the adorned with pink trimming. And if you hadn't been paying close attention, you probably didn't know exactly why they were wearing either pink shoes or pink wrist bands and what have you, but this month, October is Breast Cancer Awareness Month, and having had a mother who is breast cancer survivor and a wife who participated in the Komen March earlier this month, wanted to do my part as mayor and wear my pink to let all of those out there know that we are concerned, aware and committed to working towards the eradication of breast cancer. So that accounts for my pink today. I think a couple of folks got the memo, but I think I sent it a little bit late as well.

Councilwoman Bowman: You got on pink shoes?

Mayor Glover: I've been looking for pink shoes Madam Councilwoman, but it's real hard to get size 15, other than cleats. Now I could manage to find some cleats that were pink, but it's hard to get these Rockport Loafers in a size 15 and pink as well, but hopefully next year we may get a chance to sport those also. Thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you members of the Council.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I think our friend from the Shreveport Times came in a little late, I wouldn't ask you to tell him about what you said about houses again right now, but you might want to pull him over after Council Meeting and give him that information, because I'd like to read about it tomorrow morning in the Shreveport Times.

Mayor Glover: Will do it Mr. Shyne, in fact I'll tell 'em also to touch base with one of his colleagues, Kelsy McKinney who to her credit was there. In fact Kelsy McKinney and I, I think saw each other at three different places on Saturday at Make a Difference Day Activities. And so she was there, and I hadn't had a chance yet to fully review my Sunday Times, I'm not sure if the article made the paper, but I know he has at least one colleague who was a first hand witness

to a lot of the activities that took place over on Alston Street in Allendale on Saturday, and hopefully he'll get a chance to share that news - - -

Councilman Shyne: Not that, but the houses at Community Development that we've - - -

Mayor Glover: We'll give him the details on that also. Without question.

Councilwoman Bowman: Councilman Shyne, he's usually not late, he's out in the front watching it on the screen.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, okay. Smart.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes, he's real smart.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Mayor, I'm glad that the United Healthcare lady is here today, because healthcare has definitely been on my mind all year. As you know, I met with you earlier in the year to talk about the process that we were having for our healthcare, and I was glad when the (inaudible) came up for a Health Board meetings, I serve on that board, we were very upset that the talks were that there was going to be a 12% increase in the employees portion. And the board voted unanimous to change the percentage that the city pays versus what the employees pay, so that there would not be an increase to the employees. Especially due to the fact that they haven't gotten a pay raise in a couple of years. And the retirees haven't had a pay raise in eight years, and I don't see how you can just keep taking away from 'em. Healthcare I know is a big, big issue, as everyone knows what's going on nationwide with our healthcare, and we have the same - - - somewhat of a battle right here locally. And we have a lot of problems, in my opinion, sitting on that board, the way the process is going down. I was not happy with it, and I'm still not happy with it, and at this time, I wanted to invite Mike Kemp, and T. C. Morgan. If y'all want to come up please. I want you as a (inaudible) to enlighten us a little bit of some of the problems that we have. We've got in my opinion, we need to find a way to be more open and more accessible so that we can get the best thing for our money. Anyway, I want Mike to give us your name and address for the record, I'm going to let you talk a little bit please.

Mr. Kemp: Appreciate it. My name is Mike Kemp with Preferred Benefits Plus, 820 Jordan Street, Suite 580, Shreveport, 71101. Good Afternoon. I guess two weeks ago, when I addressed you all we were missing some information to try to finish to study to see if we could save money on the drugs part, the prescription side of your healthcare plan. We had bids come in from various other pharmacy benefit management companies, but we were needing the fees and costs from your existent incumbent pharmacy benefit manager. We received I think maybe one email today at about a little after 1:00 from the City Attorney, where she had forwarded something that had been sent to her about 24 hours previously from UHC. The day that we went through, and there were several attachments, there's probably about 10-12 attachments I guess, I don't remember directly. I tried to go through all of them before coming over here, and found no valuable information that we could use to complete our study. The one section of the UHC proposal, and I do have copies that actually shows, outlines the information that we need is blank, on this proposal anyway. It did say that it was an amended document, so I don't know if these fees have been provided to the city, and we just didn't receive them. But this is really the information that we're needing, documented on UHC paper. If you have a copy, I have enough that we can pass it around. And with the cost of a couple of projections, I was just going to show you based on the last 12 months claims experience, just concerning prescription drugs, is that the 12 months starting August of last year through July of this year was going to almost reach \$5,000,000 on the prescription claims. Of that five months included retirees before they went off or were pulled off of the Humana Group Medicare plan. If we projected the retirees back on the



plan, of course, it would be higher, so it's good news that I think that UHC just announced that they were going to be taking over that group Medicare. If the group and I didn't quite understand this, if the retirees are going to go back on your group drug plan, then the estimations of the medical projections are more like \$6,000,000 a year. Does everyone understand that? If you had the last 12 months were just for 12 months of retirees being on the self funded plan, then it would be more like \$6,000,000/\$6.2 (million) instead of \$5,000,000. So its substantial money when we're talking about possibly saving 10-20% of this cost through our study. The data that you have in front of you is really what we need to get. And I have an independent purchasers guide for shopping PBMs (Pharmacy Benefit Managers). This is printed by an independent nonprofit organization and what they basically state in here and I just want to share one part of it with you for our reference, is that this is a quote. "Upon a your request, a PBM should be able to provide you with the following information regarding your business practices or policies. Appropriate disclosure of pricing structure for PBM services including rebate structure, administrative fees, existence of pass-thrus on (inaudible) pricing in retail networks, as well as existence of other revenue related to the account. So you're the client, and you can require this from your Pharmacy Benefits manager. Any questions on what I went over, and what's necessary? We can have the numbers. I was really hoping I had this back last week, we can turn numbers around in 24 hours.

Councilman Webb: From what you're saying, you didn't get the information?

Mr. Kemp: I got that blank sheet. In among all the other attachments.

Councilman Webb: Who sent the information to you?

Mr. Kemp: That was sent from the City Attorney, from Ms. Scott, who received it almost exactly 24 hours before on Monday afternoon from the UHC rep.

Councilman Webb: Well I know talking to you earlier on about this, you were telling me that there were other people that were looking at trying to provide healthcare for the city, but they basically were throwing their arms up, because they were at the same dilemma. They couldn't get the information that they needed to provide a fair quote. And that's the reason why I say in my opinion, we have a flaw in our process, if we can't - - - if people are running, if they can't get the information. I don't know all the ins and outs about it, but there's something wrong with the process, if you're having a problem and other people are telling you they're having a problem, then we're just doing the same ole, same ole every year.

Mr. Kemp: And this pulls down to - - - I can't imagine any person with a city with decision making authority would sign off on a contract without given these variables in the contract. So these variables should be in the contract as part of the contract. All we're asking is before you sign a new contract, allow us to have that information. We've offered to sign a non disclosure agreement, we won't share it with any other vendors. We just want to spread sheet it and put it together because when we come out with our final numbers on savings which may be \$700-1,000,000 a year, we're going to make sure that the pharmacy benefit management company guarantees that in a contract. So, it's not pie in the sky.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Sibley, wouldn't that come through you all's office?

Mr. Sibley: That's really through the Healthcare Trust Fund Board, that we all sit on that governs that, and when Mr. Kemp and his group first came in and talked about his ability to save the city money, that was something that the Mayor, the Chairman both pushed, and we opened the door to get them in to do that evaluation. As I hear Mr. Kemp now, part of the issue has to do with information that some carriers feel is proprietary, and is not available to be submitted,

but you know they say that they need that information. So, a lot of these right now are legal issues between what the company says they won't or can't share, and what Mr. Kemp's company say they need in order to do that evaluation. So, as a part of reviewing the whole process on the Board, not only was the interest in doing an RFP on the basic coverage, which United Healthcare won that RFP, but also give these guys an opportunity to see if they could save the employees money on the drug plan. An apparently there've been some issues with legalities and what's confidential and what's proprietary as I appreciate is the real issue between I think the main coverage of United Healthcare and the study that this group is trying to do.

Councilman Shyne: Would United Healthcare be willing to work with them and trying to bridge that gap?

Mr. Sibley: I can't really speak for them Mr. Shyne, because honestly (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: Well I believe we got somebody back there from United Healthcare.

Mr. Sibley: The issues are a little bit too complex with all of us sitting on the side.

Councilman Shyne: Well I'm throwing it out hoping that she would hear it, and you would say for her to come on up here.

Mayor Glover: Essentially, Mr. Chairman and Mr. Shyne? Essentially what you're doing is asking one company to cooperate with another company to lose a proportionate percentage of his business.

Councilman Webb: Right, and I understand that.

Mayor Glover: Which is generally not what business is inclined to do. So, we'll let Ms. Sens and Charles detail for you all exactly the process that the Healthcare Trust Fund Board underwent that resulted in the eight responses that we got. So the allegations that there are those folks who chose not to, or could not, or did not engage this process and chose not to compete is one that I'm at a loss to understand and that there were eight companies that showed up and said that they wanted to provide healthcare benefits, pharmacy benefits for the City of Shreveport.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Mayor, I understand what you just got through saying. But I hope United understands that we're trying to get the best bang for our buck. And I would hope that they would be willing to work with us, and compromise on some issues, aspects, and in order to make sure that we get the best bang for our buck, and in the long run, this would be beneficial for them because then we're going to always keep them in mind because we're all working together to try to provide the best produce for the City of Shreveport.

Mayor Glover: Well we'll let Ms. Sens speak for United Healthcare.

Ms. Sens: The actual RFP that was released by the Healthcare Trust Fund Board actually requested a medical and pharmacy or stand alone pharmacy benefit. In that document, the sheet that was handed out to you, there is a section with PBMPs, yes sir. That information was included on the responses we issued to the city. At the same time, we received an RFP through Mr. Kemp's area, and we had provided historical claims data for an exercise that was to be conducted. At the time that both of these RFPs went out, our response was we wanted to issue a medical and pharmacy combined quote to the city through the city's RFP process. Which is what we did. But the information, you're right, that information is available to you, it was provided to the city. The reason it was redacted in the information that was released yesterday, is that that information is proprietary through United Health Pharmaceutical Services.

Councilman Webb: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Kemp: Part of the confusion was when we first met with the Administration back in April I think, and kicked this study off, we generated a totally separate RFP that had nothing to do

with the group benefit RFP. Our RFP came from the company that does the study transparent system was sent to UHC, I'm sure with Ms. Sens attention, and it was never responded to. So there's two separate RFPs. One that was generated from HR purchasing for the benefits, but our RFP, which they did supply the claims data, that we sent a request for. Our RFP was not responded to by UHC. So now you do have those fees filled in on your sheet, all we're asking is in our study, is we're going to just make all of the fees, expenses, revenue streams transparent for you the client for all the different PBMs so that you can make sure that you're getting the best deal for the city employees. And that's not to say that we can't, if UHC's fees are not the most competitive, that we can't work out a negotiated contract with your existing PBM right now. So, I'm just asking if now you do have this same sheet with the numbers on it, that's released to us, so we can finish our study. And the next time we meet, I can be presenting viable numbers.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I'm at a loss to understand - - - did I hear you say you issued an RFP?

Mr. Kemp: We just issued an RFP from Transparent Systems that does the study to the other PBM vendors.

Mayor Glover: On whose behalf?

Mr. Kemp: On the city's behalf. That was in the agreement that we initiated back, that I sent copies out on. Because there's no cost to the City of Shreveport for our study, when we talked about back in early - - - late April.

Mayor Glover: Charles? Did we do a separate pharmacy RFP, or was there a pharmacy benefits RFP that could have been responded to? Am I understanding that correctly, or incorrectly?

Mr. Madden: There was an agreement I believe that was signed by you - - -

Mayor Glover: I'm talking about with the actual, the official RFP that was issued, that the Board issued?

Mr. Madden: Yes, I'm sorry. Ask your question one more time?

Mayor Glover: Was there a pharmacy portion to the actual official RFP that was issued by the Board?

Mr. Madden: Yes.

Mayor Glover: And so anyone who was interested in just simply responding to the pharmacy portion of the City of Shreveport Healthcare program could have responded to the pharmacy portion?

Mr. Madden: Yes.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Kemp, did you all respond to that portion of the RFP?

Mr. Kemp: We didn't respond, we're just responding through Transparent Systems, because we already had our PBM's numbers coming in. We didn't respond to the RFP for all the benefits. No, we didn't.

Mayor Glover: So, there is only one official RFP that can be issued for the purpose of actually doing business with the City of Shreveport with regards to it's healthcare benefits, and that's through the RFP issued by the Healthcare Trust Fund Board? There was a portion of that RFP that dealt with the pharmaceutical benefits that you all did not respond to? Is that correct?

Mr. Kemp: Well we did not - - - we're not a PBM, we didn't respond to any of the City's RFPs. We were acting based on our agreement in good faith to market to the PBM vendors on just our study, nothing else. No planned design, benefits or anything. Just the PBM business alone. That's all.

Mayor Glover: And in order for us to be able to do that, would that entail for us to go out and ask business folks to be able to give to you all their proprietary information?

Mr. Kemp: No, I'd say that once the carriers I've talked to, when you have the bids in hand, and we feel like we're a contracted consultant based on our agreement to act in good faith, then we should have access to those numbers so that we can spreadsheet, and run 'em through our analysis and come back with you and show you exactly where the savings is.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Kemp. Mr. Chairman and members of Council, what we had coming out of the Healthcare Trust Fund Board that the Chairman serves on, that any number of city employees serve on was what we thought would be a fair level playing field, objective process. I mean there are any number of folks out there who've put themselves in the same position as Mr. Kemp is attempting to and say, once everything is done and settled, that we can come back and give you another look and show you some way in which you can go about saving some additional money. At this point, unless the Healthcare Trust Fund Board takes a vote, Mr. Chairman, that they want to go in that direction, then I think the process that we have engaged in at this point is one that's fair, it's objective, it's consistent, it's above board and it doesn't end up having different sets of rules for different sets of folks under different sets of circumstances. But I mean we certainly have appreciated the time we spent trying to learn more from Mr. Kemp, but ultimately my decision as Mayor was to support the unanimous decision of the Healthcare Trust Fund Board to go through the process that they went through and to support the outcome that we ended up coming up with.

Councilman Walford: If I can direct this, I don't know if it's to Mr. Madden or to the Administration, but clear this for me. We went out for RFPs, they could come back with the healthcare portion or the pharmacy portion, or both and United Healthcare came back with both. Is that correct? Just trying to understand.

Mayor Glover: They could come back with the healthcare portion, and they could come back with the pharmacy portion or both.

Councilman Walford: And then the Healthcare Trust Board looked at those and then made that selection?

Mayor Glover: Correct.

Councilman Walford: So, it's already a done deal? That's where I'm coming from.

Mayor Glover: The Healthcare Trust Fund Board has in fact by unanimous vote, voted to extend a three year contract to United Healthcare for both the healthcare, the pharmacy, as well as to bring the seniors, I'm sorry, the retirees back into the city's healthcare retirement program.

Councilman Shyne: Am I understanding that you all are acting as a consultant group?

Mr. Kemp: What's confusing is that we started this in April. We should've have had an RFP process and everything done maybe two months before your city's RFP went out. But we've been under numerous delays and delays. So now it looks like our RFP was mixed in or I was trying to do business after your results were in, that's not the case at all. We initiated this in April, and been getting delayed, after delay, after delayed, and now it's just that we're presenting, asking for the data, at the same time that you already have the other RFPs in. But our business was totally separate from the City RFP process.

Councilman Webb: You understand what he's saying Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Let me say this Mr. Chairman. Obviously I met with Mr. Kemp at your request, but now let me also say that there's any number of folks who approach me as Mayor, and I'm sure you all as individual council members who say look, give me the opportunity to be

able to show you this particular set of data, this particular perspective, and we can save you lots of money. And we sit, we listen, and we give them a chance to be able to make their case, but when it's all said and done, we have to have an objective process that we go through that makes the decision upon who it is that we're going to do business with. And in this particular case, it was the decision of the Healthcare Trust Fund Board, that we would establish this RFP process that would break down this situation to help healthcare, pharmacy or both, and respond based upon the specifics of the RFP. And if you're in the position to do that, fine. And if you're not, then we understand. To try and weave into that all of the other folks out there who have approached me and you all as individual council members who said, look, if you just let me sit here and walk you through this process and I'm going to show you how you go about saving X amount of extra dollars without being able to objectively determine how it is that we integrate them in a fair way into the process, then certainly do it in a way that doesn't end up putting other folks in the position to where they end up giving access to information that they have submitted, assuming that we have a fair objective, and above board process, is one that we just simply one that we chose not to engage in when it was all said and done. We thought that the best way in which to go about getting fair and maximum value for the employees of the City of Shreveport was to establish this RFP process, allow everyone would have a chance to be able to respond, evaluate those responses, and ultimately put it out to a vote by the Healthcare Trust Fund Board, and that was the decision that was made.

Councilman Shyne: Let me ask this Mr. Chairman. Maybe I'm lost somewhere too. Are you all in competition with one another? United Healthcare and - - -?

Mr. Kemp: No, we're just trying to take a very complicated piece of the business as you can see from the variables there, and make it transparent. But we're not a PBM. We're not a pharmacy benefit management company. We just provide a study to analyze all the different line items there compared, Petco, Express Scripts, Scriptcare to all the other PBMs.

Councilman Shyne: And you're acting more as a consultant?

Mr. Kemp: Absolutely.

Councilman Shyne: And not as a provider?

Mr. Kemp: Correct.

Mayor Glover: Some would say a broker Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Well yeah broker or consultant or - - -.

Mr. Kemp: For the record, most brokers here in town would not be analyzing in this detail.

Mr. Thompson: I just have a question. Was there ever an agreement between the Board and your group for you to act as the consultant or as the broker?

Mr. Kemp: Yes sir.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, if I may to try and answer some of these questions. When Mr. Kemp and his company first came in, the idea was as the Mayor said, we can offer you savings on your drug plan. And the way it was presented, the way I heard it and I think we all heard it about the same time, was what we do is, we take, essentially, I use the term broker. We deal with all these pharmaceutical companies, and the basic program that you have now with your provider is they deal with a limited number of companies. We deal with more companies. And the more companies we deal with, we think we can get you a better deal. Okay, sounds good. That's what the Chairman and the Mayor wanted, and they went on their way. At the same time, the pressure was on to get the Healthcare Trust Fund Board to do an RFP on our basic

provider that United Healthcare was doing. At some point those two processes crossed paths. One thing that Mr. Kemp has referred to today in terms of the RFP is something that quite honestly, I was not aware that there was an RFP aspect to what they were doing. It was presented as an independent study of the pharmaceutical plan. Part of the reason to help the Healthcare Trust Fund Board put the RFP out the way they did, was because of the discussion of their review of the drug plan, so they separated out. We'll do provider, we'll do drug, or we'll do both. But as Mr. Kemp indicated that was a separate process from the process he was doing. The agreement that Mr. Kemp refers to was a - - - I want to say a 'non-compete' or 'non-disclosure' agreement that they sent in order for them to do their study on behalf of the city, but it had nothing to do with the Healthcare Trust Fund Board because not only did they not okay that agreement, it was not directed to them. Because the Healthcare Trust Fund process was a separate process. This was an idea presented as I heard it to we think we can get you a better deal on your drug plan. Okay, go do it. No cost to the city, we do a study, we work with the companies, if we find you a better deal, we execute, the company pays us, you don't pay us, you execute a deal with the company and life goes on. That was the concept. And apparently, as I hear the problem they're having, as I hear Mr. Kemp is getting all the information they feel that they need in order to do that study, and that's something that's really outside of the city and the trust fund board. That has to do with companies like United Healthcare filling this proprietary information that I don't want to give up. And I think part of what Mr. Kemp is asking is, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, but part of what I hear is okay, city now you have the information, why don't you give the information to us so that we can complete the study. That's kind of what I hear you saying this afternoon.

Mr. Kemp: And as we look back, I think I sent you a copy of the agreement on one of the previous emails. But I believe the agreement, and I don't have a copy of it, but you might want to reference it, I believe it does say that we will go out for RFPs, just for the PBM business. I will say this, that if the information that you have with the numbers on that blank sheet are good strong competitive numbers, then I don't know why we're even talking. I don't know why UHC would release them quite frankly. If they were released and we come back with our study, and they are the strongest, most competitive dispensing fee, discounts, rebates all those different areas, I'll walk away, and I've done my job, and you and the confidence and the peace of mind of knowing that you've done due diligence for the city. But since there's been such vocal (inaudible) such as (inaudible) to provide that for us, being the incumbent pharmacy benefit management, you know I just don't understand why that wouldn't be forthcoming.

Councilman Shyne: I just have one other question. How can you complete a study without any data?

Mr. Kemp: We have the claims data, and we have already all of those fees from the other competing pharmacy benefit management companies, we just don't have it from the incumbent to compare it to.

Councilman Shyne: You just don't have it from United?

Mr. Kemp: Absolutely.

Councilman Shyne: But you got all the rest of them?

Mr. Kemp: Yes. And have had it in house for some time.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Councilman Webb: Before I let Councilman Wooley, I wanted to ask the gentleman with the had on, if he'd please remove it while in the Chamber. Thank you. Councilman Wooley, go ahead.

Councilman Wooley: I just wanted to ask the question, you keep referring to delays, what kind of delays?

Mr. Kemp: Well, we started this back in April. And I think that's what confused a lot of things. I put together an agreement. Transparent System, the company that does this study has been negotiated around in the past they've done this study, presented it to a client, and then the client negotiates with the incumbent pharmacy benefit management, and then we only get paid out of the savings if there are any savings, by the winning pharmacy benefit management company. So, I put together an agreement to act in good faith, and that agreement was delayed two months.

Councilman Wooley: Why?

Mr. Kemp: Just waiting to be approved. I made one change, and it sat for two months. Because we could have had this done two months ago.

Councilman Wooley: Okay. I have another question. Is that the lady right there?

Councilman Webb: Yeah.

Councilman Wooley: I saw you shaking your head. Why were you shaking your head?

Ms. Sens: No, I just wanted to clarify something. United Healthcare has been, it has been brought to your attention that maybe we did not provide information that was requested. The day that it was requested from a historical claims perspective, was given upon request. It takes us a few days to run that information. The RFP that you all - - - that Mr. Kemp is referring to was submitted on September 6<sup>th</sup>. We asked some questions. It clearly states that a winning candidate - - - that they would announce the winner on September 25<sup>th</sup>. Now this timeline follows the exact same timeline that the RFP was released from the Healthcare Trust Fund Board. So at this point, this did not look like an exercise to us. This looked like two competing RFPs that were released for your pharmacy benefit services. So that's why and I was shaking my head, because I don't want anybody to think that United Healthcare has been sitting on this since April. We didn't. When this process was officially sent to us, we provided the historical claims data, we responded to the city RFP that was submitted through the purchasing system and based on the other criteria in this document, with fees that have to be paid to Transparent Systems, it was more beneficial for us to leave the pharmacy with the medical as it is today.

Councilman Wooley: Another question. The agreement that Mr. Thompson referred to, who signed the agreement?

Mr. Kemp: You talking about our agreement to act in good faith?

Councilman Wooley: Yes sir.

Mr. Kemp: Mayor Glover.

Councilman Wooley: And I don't have the agreement in front of me, does it state that you were going to basically do a full study or report of all the - - -?

Mr. Kemp: It did, and you know I can resubmit that. I think I - - - I know it was emailed to each of you. It also protected us that at the end of the study, we could also act on behalf of as an agent to negotiate with UHC too. And for the record, the delays that I'm talking about didn't include UHC. They were delays up to the point of getting the RFP out.

Councilman Wooley: Well I guess the obvious question is can they give us the numbers? Can they show some numbers? Can you complete your study and (inaudible) out? Or is it a done deal?

Mr. Kemp: We can be back here in 24 hours.

Councilman Wooley: I mean I think that's the bottom line question.

Mr. Kemp: I mean I can email you the numbers in 24 hours if I can get the numbers, and I'll sign a non-disclosure or anyone will.

Councilman Wooley: So, question. Julie, is there a legal concern at this point?

Ms. Glass: I think Ms. Scott looked into the public records request. I have not researched whether - - - there are very few exceptions to the public records law, but I have not researched this particular question. I don't know whether she did before she submitted what she did submit.

Councilman Webb: Can you shed any light on that Ms. Scott?

Ms. Scott: The issue has been with a request to disclose information that United Healthcare has deemed to be proprietary and confidential in the proposal that they submitted to us. We contacted United Healthcare and requested actually sought their permission or consent to disclose the information. They did not consent to the full disclosure, and what they did consent to was the information that was emailed to all you today. This is not covered under Public Records Act, because as the public records states very clearly that this type of information is not included. What is covered however, is contractual relationship that the City has between United Healthcare or between the city and United Healthcare. When they submitted the information to us, they deemed that information to be proprietary and confidential, for whatever reason they had to do that. Once we accepted that information from them, then there was the implied contract between us and them that we would in fact hold that information as proprietary and confidential. The email that I sent to you all last week indicated that our concern in that we had to advise you legally on the ramification of releasing the information, and we weren't with United Healthcare and conversations with Ms. Sens as well as representatives of their legal department on disclosing the information, and again, what was sent to you earlier today as well as what was forwarded to Mr. Kemp was the information that we were authorized by United Healthcare to release.

Councilman Lester: Just real briefly, it seems like rather than sit here and spin our wheels, one or two things need to happen. Mr. Kemp, your company needs to file an action for declaratory judgement to determine whether or not United Healthcare, the information is proprietary or not. Because clearly we have a contract with United Healthcare that says this information is proprietary and because we have a contract with them, we are bound to honor that contract. At the same time, we have a contract with you that says if we honor your contract, you have to get certain information so you can do what you're contracted to do. Right now, it is under this particular scheme, it is impossible to satisfy both. If we satisfy what you have requested of us, then we've breached our contract with United Healthcare. If we breach our contract with United Healthcare, it's of no consequence, because they're (inaudible) and you already have the information from the other folks. So it seems to me that rather than ask the Council to get involved in this where clearly you have two contracts, two obligations that cannot be enforced, the question is whether or not the obligation relative to whether or not the information is proprietary has to be resolved, and that's not something that we can resolve, because clearly United Healthcare has made it clear that in their mind that information is



proprietary and based upon a contract which we all agree is in affect, because it's proprietary, we can't release it to you. So, let's just let you go to court, file your declaratory judgement to say that whether or not this agreement is valid, and whether or not this particular clause has merit is what we need to do, because all we're doing up here is spinning our wheels. I mean the relief that you're requesting, we can't give you. Because United Healthcare says that information is proprietary, and we have gone back to United Healthcare to say give us the information and they say that it's proprietary. So, what would we do? Break the contract with them in order to satisfy the contract with you? But if we break the contract with you, then that's of no consequence, because you already said that the information that you requested from the other folks, you have.

Mr. Kemp: I was thinking in years past you probably have employed a consultant to look at all your various quotes and spread sheet 'em or whatever. And I'm sure UHC's position then was not - - - I'm sure that was okay to release the information to that consultant at that time, and just seems like it's a different position. Unless - - - cause I know y'all've employed consultants in the past. I'm just afraid, you know the window of opportunity and maybe - - - I respect your position, that you can't make a decision by the time if we decide to go to court and all that, it would be too late. Because of the timeline and the window of opportunity.

Councilman Lester: But I mean at the same time, Mr. Kemp, it would be the same thing as if you were the incumbent and you had an agreement, as a matter of fact that the document that you gave us last Council Meeting, at the bottom says this is protected and privileged information. If we were to turn around and to give that to one of your competitors, you would have an action against us because you have breached the exact same confidentiality that you would expect of us, that we already have in affect with another contractor. So, I mean, what do you do? Do you split the baby by cutting his neck off and giving the mama the feet? I mean at what point do we break one contract to satisfy another?

Mr. Kemp: I don't have any other comments really. I appreciate y'all time, but - - -.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I would move to defer this, and maybe the Healthcare Board could work this out at a later date, because Councilman Lester and I generally agree on most things.

Councilman Lester: Even down to Haberdasheries.

Councilman Shyne: Right. And I do agree with him. I mean we're just spinning our wheels, and none of us have the legal authority to decide on what contract that we're going to break. And so I would truly ask for us to move on, if you all don't mind discussing this further at the Healthcare Board.

Councilman Webb: Any other comments Mike?

Mr. Kemp: I appreciate y'all's time.

Councilman Webb: Okay, thank you Mike.

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Councilman Webb: On a much happier note, I wanted to recognize our Council Clerk, Bea Johnson who just attended the Municipal Clerk Institute. She obtained enough credits to obtain her Municipal Clerk Certificate.

Councilman Shyne: Congratulations!

Mr. Thompson: That means she can't make anymore mistakes. Is that right?

Councilman Shyne: Now Bea, I'm not going to agree with Arthur on that, because we all make mistakes. Hear? I made one Mr. Mayor coming in here. I messed around and got a flat out there. So, you know I made a mistake.

*Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.*

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before we leave communications entirely, I'd like to - - - it's just been received as an email from Mary Ann Tice, I feel it is okay to go ahead and share it with all who are here that - - - assuming that you all have not received it, you will be receiving it very soon. But on this coming Thursday, this is something that will be of particular interest to Councilmen Shyne and Lester. On this coming Thursday at 2:30 in the afternoon, at the Century Tel Center, the Shreveport Regional Sports Authority will be announcing the official site of this year's Southwestern Athletic Conference Basketball Tournament, which will be here in the Shreveport/Bossier City area. It will bring the schools of the SWAC to Shreveport for almost a week of basketball games, that will be filled with all the pump and ceremony that goes along with that. Bands, cheerleaders, and good competition. Along with hopefully a significant number of fans having a chance to be able to come and spend time here in the Shreveport/Bossier area. And so we just want to send kudos out to Mary Ann and her staff and all the members of the Board of the Shreveport Regional Sports Authority for pulling off yet another successful event, followed by the most recent Women's Bassmaster's which was held here in Shreveport under very difficult circumstances based on the conditions of the river. So, kudos again to Mary Ann and all the folks at the Regional Sports Authority.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, thank you. I was looking at it at the same time. Mr. Chairman, I want you to see this too. I was looking at it at the same time you were looking at it, and I didn't know whether I was reading the right information.

Mayor Glover: You got it correct Mr. Shyne.

Councilwoman Bowman: I want to congratulate Mary Ann as well for the hard work. She's an extremely hard worker and it seems that she's been getting the shaft lately from a few folks, so I just want her to keep her head up and keep doing the good job, and you know maybe the other folks will get out of her way. Thank you.

## **Reports**

*Property Standards Report  
Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report*

Mr. Sibley: Briefly Mr. Chairman, just a couple of notes, 1) we told you the last time about the collections with delinquent checks (inaudible) and fees, and last month went about average, went about \$24,000 collected on that. We've received five bids on the EMS billings, and between finance and fire, they'll evaluate those proposals, and we'll give a report on that and obviously get with the Mayor and the Council on that selection. I've asked Don Shea to come down to talk about - - - there's an item of legislation on the agenda today regarding reducing the ticket, the booting trigger from three tickets to a 60 day period, and the one Mr. Walford wanted

in particular to get some information on how that may impact the collection activities on revenue. So, if Mr. Shea could come forward and speak to that Mr. Chairman if that's okay?

Mr. Shea: Thank you very much. I haven't had too much time to analyze this because I've barreled into the meeting after driving up from Baton Rouge this morning. So, this will be a little sort of general and generic, but in the category of offenders that have fewer than three tickets, the current threshold to get booted. Those that have one or two citations, we have 23,151 violators. And it represents a total of money outstanding to the city of \$847,364.

Councilman Shyne: And that current figure is what, \$800,000?

Mr. Shea: \$847,364, that means that there's about an average of \$36 per violator in the category of people that you can't really boot right now because they haven't received a third ticket. What does all that mean? Well that means that all those 23,000 would then be eligible for getting certified letters saying that you could boot them if you modified the ordinance as proposed. I think we have to make some assumptions about what's reasonable in terms of collections, however of that \$847,000, so let's just say that about 20% of that population is one time offenders, they're probably not coming back to Shreveport, they're from out of state or out of region, and they're going to be hard to find again here to clamp down on, therefore they wouldn't be inclined to pay up as a result of such a letter. So, let's discount that total by 20% for that reason. That means that we have about 18,500 offenders that we could really go after. And applying that \$36 per average outstanding due, that means about \$666,000 is reasonably collectible by the city. That's just on the back of an envelope since I came in the Chamber. Let's further assume that about half of that population of 18.5 would respond favorably to a certified letter and pay up. That means that we could collect a little over \$300,000 over the period of time it takes to send and receive responses to that many letters. And the other half of that population would probably not respond to the certified letter, and we would have to boot 'em in order to collect what's due to the city, based on the current rate of booting, it would take us four or five years to get all those boots done on that huge population of outstanding offenders. We could do it faster if we had more boots and we certainly could get more boots. But I believe that we will be able to under that analysis collect at least a couple hundred-thousand dollars more in the first year of reducing that threshold from three outstanding tickets to one ticket as long as it's (inaudible) days in arrears. And the other part of the good news is since we have started booting, I think people would be more inclined to take us seriously now that the first wave of boot notices. And we have gotten something in the neighborhood of 15% of the three or more ticket people already in the two months that we've booted. So, I think that's good success, and I'll say further that so long as that population - - - we keep pursuing them, that is the people that have three or more tickets now will probably recognize another couple hundred-thousand dollars in revenue next year over what we've done this year, and the thing that's really no surprise is because of all the activity and the attention to this issue over the last few months that we've started to see a significant increase in quarters in the meters. People are paying to keep from being booted. So, that amount of money will be up next year too, probably in the neighborhood of six figures. So, all of those are compelling reasons I think to take this action which is to make ourselves (inaudible) booting if they have as few as one citation provided they're 60 days in arrears.

Mr. Sibley: And Mr. Chairman, we would join Mr. Shea of the DDA in asking the Council to approve the change from the ticket limit to the time limit, as we think that would greatly enhance collections. Thanks Don. Just as a final note Mr. Chairman, we have a couple

of issues we're working on in terms of Occupational Licenses, particularly information in the district that Mr. Walford has requested, and also just a note the Risk Management Committee met today and has given approval to the City Attorney to go ahead and initiate discussions on the Airport Drive property that Mr. Walford was very interested in. And we'll have a report on that as soon as that process gets underway. And that's what we have for today.

**Public Hearing:** None.

**Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.**

Adding Items to the Agenda (*Clerk reads items into the record - public comments allowed on items proposed to be added, then items can be added only after unanimous vote [See Act 131 of 2008]*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 143 of 2009:** An Ordinance amending the 2009 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget and to otherwise provide with respect thereto
2. **Resolution No. 216 of 2009:** A Resolution ratifying the execution of a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with Olive Branch Ministries, Inc., in conjunction with the 3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Gentlemen's Cookoff and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Councilman Webb: Do we have anybody in the Chamber that wishes to speak in favor or in opposition to adding these to the agenda?

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, again as we indicated yesterday, as to item 1, the Community Development ordinance, we really ask the Council's positive consideration to add that. They have a timing issue. It's an award that they were recently advised of and they have to respond within a certain amount of time to get that \$1.6(million) for a homeless program.

**Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to add Resolution No. 216 of 2009 and Ordinance No. 143 of 2009 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Public Comments (*Comments on items to be adopted*)

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, we're coming to public comment and I know you have two people to speak at the end. One of those persons is Ms. Taylor whose sitting back there and she's blind, and I would like to impose on the Council to suspend the rules to let her come up so her people can take her back home.

Councilman Lester: If that was a motion, I will second it.

Councilman Shyne: Yes, that's right.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Lester to suspend the rules to allow two speakers on items not on the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote:**

**Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Shyne: Oh yeah Ms. Ashley, I'm sorry. I called her Ms. Taylor. I'm sorry. Could you help Ms. Ashley come up? And Mike, could you come up to the front too? Mr. Mayor and the Chief Administrative Officer, Mr. Dale Sibley who is a very dear friend of man and a Christian young man. Ms. Ashley really has an emergency, and you know I don't know whether you want to handle it in the open meeting, but I just want her to tell you all what it is Mr. Mayor and if you think you want to work on it, she'll stop and then somebody can get in touch with her and we can handle it where it won't be handled out in the open.

Mr. Sibley: I've actually spoken with Ms. Ashley, and it's an issue with her water account if I'm not mistaken. We'll get with Mr. Strong afterward if he'd like to speak with her, but I spoke with her I think last week, I had a message from her. She has a difficult situation that we need to address.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Shyne, if you will allow us to go ahead and we will get her with Mr. Strong right now. I'll take a minute to go and meet with Ms. Ashley as well, since I'm just getting a chance to get caught up on what's going on and we'll see what we can do, but we certainly don't want to make her situation any worse by having to have her stand here before the Council.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, you're my kind of guy. I like that. Ms. Ashley, I told you (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: You going to meet with her right now? Okay.

Councilman Shyne: Ms. Ashley, thank you for coming down, hear? God bless you.

Mayor Glover: Since I know this is the Council's Chamber, if I have Council's permission, I'm going to take her to one of the conference room.

Councilman Webb: Sure.

Mayor Glover: I don't want to do that without permission.

Councilman Webb: That's fine Mr. Mayor.

*Mr. Joey Hester: (9946 Loveland Ct)* Okay, O didn't know if the Council is aware of it, but the Marshal's office has been trying to work more to integrate itself into the City of Shreveport. The problem we're having right now is there are 10 Marshals that live inside the city limits, they all have marked cars, they all have post certification, they all carry firearms, they all have arrest powers. When we went to the Finance Division of the City of Shreveport to ask them about the Mayor when he stated on TV that the city police, deputy sheriffs and deputy marshals would get their property taxes refunded to them, when they live inside the City of Shreveport. When we went to the finance department, they said no, deputy marshals are not included. We brought up the point that I get a Shreveport city paycheck, I get Shreveport city insurance, I'm on the Shreveport city retirement plan, everything I have has Shreveport on it. All of us are post-certified, which is Police Officer Standard Training through the State of Louisiana. We don't understand why we're being excluded from that program. And I don't understand why. I mean if Council could really act on it today, we're part of the City of Shreveport.

Councilman Webb: Well I can't really speak for the Mayor as to exactly why, but it seems as I recall it was just an incentive to keep the city policemen, just another little incentive saying that they were underpaid to keep them on board.

Mr. Hester: Yes sir, it was.

Mr. Thompson: I think as a technical matter, it was tied to the pay plan of the police department, for the police officers. So that's where the provision is found. And the Marshals, I don't believe were a part of that pay plan.

Mr. Hester: I don't know when it was announced on the television, he said that it would be the Shreveport police, deputy sheriffs, and also deputy marshals.

Councilman Webb: I don't remember him saying the deputy sheriff.

Ms. Glass: What I wanted to add to that is that, it is not actually a tax exemption. The city does not have the authority to create it's own property taxes incentive. So, what we did was we just said it was additional benefit of pay to the Shreveport Police Officers as part of their pay plan. So since it's not a tax exemption, if we gave it to other entities, we would actually be paying them a benefit.

Councilman Webb: So what you're saying is if he has a \$400 a year tax payment, Marshal Caldwell would have to give them a \$400 raise to offset the \$400 tax as an incentive?

Ms. Glass: Well what I'm saying is that the city pays it out of city general funds to the police officers, so the question would be if they got it, it would need to come out of their (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: You understand what she's saying?

Mr. Hester: Yes sir, and reading through the Shreveport Police rules, it says tax rebate.

Ms. Glass: Correct.

Mr. Hester: Is the way they placed it into their guidelines.

Ms. Glass: Right, that's why it says rebate. It's not a tax incentive.

Mr. Hester: Yeah, it would be a tax rebate, and I guess it's my understanding is if I worked for the City of Shreveport and I've received all my benefits through the City of Shreveport, I don't understand why we weren't included.

Councilman Webb: Well, let me do this, pass this on to Marshal Caldwell that when his budget comes up, you know he'll be coming I'm sure to the budget session and we'll discuss that at greater length. And - - - I don't know what night is it Sharon?

Ms. Pilkinton: The 19<sup>th</sup>. I think, I don't have it in front of me, but I think it's November 19<sup>th</sup>.

Councilman Webb: Y'all probably know when it is, or got it marked on your calendar I'm sure when y'all are coming.

Mr. Hester: (Inaudible) drags me everywhere he goes.

Councilman Webb: So whenever he comes before us that night, maybe we'll have some more answers and a better explanation as to why. Alright? Thank you. Yeah, he's talked to me about that this afternoon, I saw him, and he told me you were going to be here about that.

Confirmations and Appointments:

*The Clerk read the following:*

**Historic Preservation Study Group:**

Willie Burton  
Susan Hardtner,

David Nelson  
Joanne Siglar

Willie Griffin  
Gary Joiner

Jean Sayres

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Walford to confirm the seven executive appointments to the Historic Preservation Study Group. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION  
TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES**

**RESOLUTIONS:** None.

**ORDINANCES:** None.

**TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

*The Clerk read the following:*

**RESOLUTION NO. 192 of 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING RICHARD SHANE BARTON & TAMMY MORRIS BARTON, LOCATED AT 2948 MOSS POINT RD., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, Richard Shane Barton & Tammy Morris Barton have agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Richard Shane Barton & Tammy Morris Barton be authorized to connect the building located at 2948 Moss Point Rd., to the water system of the City of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Councilwoman Bowman: I have a question. Is that in the northern part of the city?

Councilman Lester: It's actually in Cross Lake.

Councilwoman Bowman: Does it kinda butt up to my district?

Councilman Lester: We share Cross Lake.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, I know, but I just wanted to - - -

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, if I could, I had some questions about this last meeting, and at the time I couldn't get to my trunk fast enough, you were moving a little quicker than I was that day. One of the things that we have with the city ordinances is if you are on a certain point of the lake and at a certain contour line, you have to be a part of the city water and

sewer system, so that there is no negative discharges to the water system, and so I wanted to make sure that this is one of those scenarios and this is exactly what this is. That's why we're doing that.

Councilman Webb: How long has this residence been there?

Councilman Lester: Don't know how long they've been there, I know that the request to come from the city happened pretty recently. So, but you are required if you are at a certain point and distance and close proximity to the lake, you have to be part of the water and sewer system, you don't have a choice.

Councilman Webb: Okay, Mike's not in here is he?

Mr. Seaton: He's in the back.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**ORDINANCES:** None.

**REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION**

**RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING**

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 188 of 2009:** A resolution authorizing the execution of an amendment to the performance based energy efficiency contract with Johnson Controls, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed Oct 13, 2009*)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.**

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Sibley, is this the same thing we're going out for - - -

Mr. Sibley: This is the roofing project. And we're putting together a comparable numbers so if the Council postpones or withdraws it, we're still doing research to bring back to you on it.

**Substitute motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until the next regular meeting.**

Councilman Webb: Comments?

Councilman Walford: If you'd like it from the maker, I'd feel much more comfortable with the comparable information that they're getting for us.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

2. **Resolution No. 199 of 2009:** Declaring the city's interest in certain adjudicated properties as surplus and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/Lester, B/Walford, F/Shyne, and G/Bowman) (*Postponed Oct 13, 2009*)



**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Walford to withdraw Resolution No. 199 of 2009. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 200 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF THE 2010 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD) AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to regulation of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the City of Shreveport is required to submit an Annual Action Plan; and **WHEREAS**, the Annual Action Plan describes activities and programs that are aligned with the Strategic Plan, as outlined in the Consolidated Plan and outline proposed expenditures of the Community Planning and Development formula grant programs, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME), and Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG); and

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor is hereby authorized to submit the 2010 Annual Action Plan to HUD substantially in accordance with the attached draft which is filed for public inspection with the original of this resolution in the Office of the Clerk of Council on October 13, 2009.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that that Mayor is hereby authorized to execute and submit any and all documents necessary for the submission of 2010 Annual Action Plan to HUD.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NUMBER 202 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A DONATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND HARDERS PROPERTY, L.L.C., HEREINAFTER CALLED "OWNER" AND ACTING HEREIN THROUGH MARIE ROSENBLATH, MANAGER, FOR THE PRIVATE WATER AND SEWER MAIN EXTENSIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES SERVING NORRIS FERRY CROSSING UNIT 5 AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute on

behalf of the City of Shreveport a Donation Agreement with Harders Property, L.L.C., represented by Marie Rosenblath, Manager, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the draft of said agreement which was filed for public inspection with the original draft of the resolution in the office of the City Council on October 13, 2009.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1.**

#### **RESOLUTION NUMBER 203 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A DONATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND SIMON BUILDING & DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.L.C., HEREINAFTER CALLED "OWNER" AND ACTING HEREIN THROUGH STEVEN N. SIMON, MANAGER, FOR THE PRIVATE WATER AND SEWER MAIN IMPROVEMENTS AND RELATED FACILITIES TO SERVE THE BLUFFS SUBDIVISION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**BY:**

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Shreveport a Donation Agreement with Simon Building & Development Company, L.L.C., represented by Steven N. Simon, Manager, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the draft of said agreement which was filed for public inspection with the original draft of the resolution in the office of the City Council on October 13, 2009.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 204 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CERTAIN CITY-OWNED EQUIPMENT BY SCI-PORT DISCOVERY CENTER AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE**

**WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BY:

WHEREAS, the City desires to participate in programs which, directly benefit the citizens of the City by participating in wholesome activities which serve to benefit health if the entire community; and

WHEREAS, Sci-Port Discovery Center, desires to provide a benefit and fund raiser to support its educational efforts for people of all ages to explore and actively engage in the world of mathematics, science and technology by hosting a Holiday fund raiser, the "Holiday Affair On the Red" on December 5, 2009; and

WHEREAS, Sci-Port Discovery Center has requested the use of certain city-owned tables, chairs, and trash cans during the event; and

WHEREAS, the programs and services provided by Sci-Port Discovery Center provide to benefit the education of the community which serves a public benefit and serves a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the use of the equipment by Sci-Port Discovery Center on December 5, 2009 during the Holiday Affair on the Red is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the use of the equipment by Sci-Port Discovery Center is conditioned upon the execution of an indemnity and hold harmless agreement by Sci-Port Discovery Center in favor of the City of Shreveport in a form acceptable to the Office of the City Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 205 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RENEWAL OF AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND BKD, LLP FOR AN EXTERNAL AUDIT OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR JANUARY 1, 2009, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2009, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City is required by City Charter Section 4.28 to cause to have accomplished an annual financial audit of the City; and

WHEREAS, BKD, LLP agrees to conduct an audit of the City in accordance with the requirements of the City Charter and applicable law; and

WHEREAS, external audit firms are normally selected by the City for four years with one year contracts executed for each of those four years; and

WHEREAS, the engagement of BKD, LLP best meets the needs of the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened that it hereby authorizes the Mayor to execute a contract

between the City of Shreveport and BKD, LLP substantially in accordance with the agreement filed for public inspection in the Office of the Clerk of Council on October 13, 2009.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of the resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 206 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO MAKE APPLICATION WITH AND RECEIVE FUNDING FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE FOR PREDICTIVE POLICING DEMONSTRATION AND EVALUATION GRANT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the U.S. Department of Justice has invited the Shreveport Police Department to file an application for grant funds under the National Institute of Justice Predictive Policing Demonstration and Evaluation Program and

WHEREAS, the award, if approved will be for a total of \$67,689 and requires no cash match by the City of Shreveport. The funds will used to design and test a predictive model for tactical crime based on a group of leading indicators to be used for the prevention of tactical crime by district.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that it does hereby authorize the execution by Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, those grant documents necessary to apply and receive funding established within the program administered by the U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all Resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 207 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO MAKE APPLICATION WITH AND RECEIVE FUNDING FROM THE LOUISIANA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT THROUGH THE NORTHWEST LAW ENFORCEMENT PLANNING AGENCY FOR MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NARCOTICS TASK FORCE GRANT AND**

**TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement (LCLE) has invited the Shreveport Police Department and Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office to file a joint application for grant funds through the Northwest Law Enforcement Planning (NWLEPA) and

WHEREAS, the award, if approved will be for a total of \$139,485 and requires a cash match of \$34,871 to be split between the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office. The funds will support a Multi-Jurisdictional Narcotics Task Force comprised of law enforcement personnel from the Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office and the Shreveport Police Department conducting overtime narcotics enforcement activities within the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish and the purchase of three laptop computers and air cards for field reporting.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that it does hereby authorize the execution by Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, those grant documents necessary to apply and receive funding established within the program administered by the Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement through the Northwest Law Enforcement Planning Agency.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all Resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 208 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF AN NSP GRANT AGREEMENT WITH LOUISIANA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the Department of Community Development has been notified of the award of a \$15,000 Neighborhood Stabilization Program ("NSP") grant from the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency ("LHFA"); and

WHEREAS, the grant funds will be used for homebuyer education for prospective eligible NSP purchasers in connection with the Heritage Place Development, a twenty-six unit single-family detached affordable housing development proposed for the Allendale neighborhood.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular, and legal session convened that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport a NSP Subrecipient Grant Agreement substantially in accord with the draft hereof filed for public inspection in the Office of the Clerk of Council on October 13, 2009.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 209 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION TO AMEND RESOLUTION NUMBER 141 OF 2009 AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, Resolution Number 141 of 2009 authorized the acceptance, receipt and disbursal of loan funds in the amount of \$1,372,308.00 from the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency for purposes of development of Heritage Place, an affordable housing development in the Allendale neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, the reference to loan funds in the Resolution is incorrect and the funds will be provided to the City in the form of a grant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular, and legal session convened that Resolution Number 141 of 2009 is hereby amended to now read as follows:

\*\*\*

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular, and legal session convened that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to accept the receipt of a grant in the amount of \$1,372,308.00 from the Louisiana Housing Finance Agency for development of Heritage Place.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute any/all contracts, documents and other instruments on behalf of the City of Shreveport relative to receipt and disbursal of the funds and to disburse the proceeds of the funds to qualified persons for purposes of the project.

\*\*\*

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: And what is this again?

Mr. Sibley: It's the Heritage Plaza Project in Allendale, a \$1.3(million)

Councilman Walford: I think it changes from loan to grant instead of primary (inaudible)

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 210 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASING AGENT TO DISPOSE BY PUBLIC AUCTION OF SURPLUS VEHICLES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Agent, after consultation with various department heads, has determined that certain vehicles and items as shown in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof are surplus, obsolete are unusable for present and future city needs ; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 108 of 1980 authorizes the Purchasing Agent to dispose of vehicles determined to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to retain responsibility for the disposition of all vehicles having an acquisition value of \$10,000.00 or more; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to dispose of, by public auction, the vehicles and items described in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof, which have been determined to be surplus, obsolete or unusable for present and future City needs; and

WHEREAS, the City Council concurs in the finding that the vehicles and items described in Exhibit A are surplus and no longer needed for public purposes and that the acquisition value of said properties are greater then \$10,000.

NOW BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that the Purchasing Agent is hereby authorized to dispose of, by public auction, the surplus vehicles and items described in Exhibit A attached.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 211 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN ACT OF RELEASE OF A PUBLIC SERVICE RIGHT OF WAY ON A PORTION OF THE ABANDONED DREXEL STREET, LYING EAST OF DILLINGHAM AVENUE, IN SECTION 19, (T17N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport received a request from Ms. Dale Frances Haas to release a public service right of way on a portion of the abandoned Drexel Street, lying east of Dillingham Avenue.

WHEREAS, the Drexel Street dedication, lying east of Dillingham Avenue was closed and abandoned by Ordinance 130 of 1952.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport retained a public service right of way over the entire area of the Drexel Street abandonment for the future placement of public utilities.

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has no utility lines within this area and the City Engineer's Office, of the Department of Operational Services, has no objection to the release of this right of way.

**WHEREAS**, the major utility companies have expressed no objection to the release of this right of way.

**WHEREAS**, Lot 1, Southern Heights Subdivision, Unit 9 is located in a special Flood Hazard Area. Any addition or structure constructed on this lot must comply with the City of Shreveport's Flood Hazard Prevention Ordinance.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is hereby authorized and empowered to represent the City of Shreveport in the execution of an Act of Release of a public service right of way on a portion of the abandoned Drexel Street, lying within Lot 1, Southern Heights Subdivision, Unit 9.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Property Management Section of the Department of Operational Services is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this resolution and the Act of Release in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilman Long: Mike? Mike Strong? I think this is in my district. Are we just giving up a piece of nonexistent alley?

Mr. Strong: Pardon?

Councilman Long: Do you know what this is about?

Mr. Strong: Yes sir, this is an old abandoned Drexel Street that was there, and once they abandoned that part of the plat, we kept the utilities right of way there. There's nothing ever been put there. We have no plans of putting anything there. I think at one time, when this was going to be - - they were going to build something, they were going to have some utilities in there, and that's why they had a utility servitude. And what this does is we have no plans for it, and we have no objection and we're just abandoning (inaudible).

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

14. **Resolution No. 212 of 2009**: Accepting dedication for Concordia Place and East Wichita Street in Concordia Place Subdivision Unit 1A Plat and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long**



**to adopt.**

Councilman Walford: Mike went all the way to the back again, he ought to know that we're going to call him back up again.

Councilman Webb: He likes to exercise.

Councilman Walford: Mike Concordia place going to be a gated community. Is this the street within the gated community?

Mr. Strong: What this does is when they were putting the plat together, this is the plat. There is still one lot left in there to make it a complete section. But this is to accept the plat of the subdivision itself. So and the answer is yes. This has got the streets, the lots, the everything.

Councilman Walford: Does this obligate us to take care of the streets inside of the - - -

Mr. Strong: No sir, all this is, is to accept the plat itself. This is not anything to do with that, it's just - - -and it's going to be another plat coming in that will have, and I'm assuming it's going to be like Unit 1B. That's the other lot. And once they get all of that taken care of on it, then that'll come in and it'll fit in the one lot that's left out of this one.

Councilman Long: Mike, do you know whose doing this development?

Mr. Sibley: I think it's Douglas Construction.

Mr. Strong: The construction is through Community Development.

Mr. Sibley: And it's to promote the timing so they can pull permits and get going before that money is lost. So, they had to (inaudible) from the corner for a couple of lots.

Mayor Glover: This is very similar to the Shepherd Place Development up in the MLK area.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Mayor, you might want to explain to Mike that this is one of the few gated communities in - - - I'm trying to use a sophisticated word so Mike won't get the wrong impression, in one of our neighborhoods.

Mayor Glover: Well I think Mr. Shyne, I think it has been termed by the folks at HUD that Shepherd Place represents the first gated community in an urban community, I think by some reports in the country. It's an award winning effort, and this is one very similar to it, and we're looking forward to doing at least two more around the city as well.

Councilman Shyne: Now Mike calls those areas the 'Hood.' Now I didn't know whether I wanted to use that terminology or not.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Shyne, Mike might call 'em the hood, but Denny Fontaine would call 'em 'home,' where he learned the Blues.

Councilman Walford: Well reading this, it says that we're accepting the dedication for Concordia Place and E. Wichita Street, and it says where it is, is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport. So I'm a little confused on what we're accepting.

Mayor Glover: Well I will yield to Mike and to Bonnie for specifics, but it would be my understanding that as is the case with similar gated subdivisions, once the community itself is constructed, and these streets are built up to the codes and specifications that would be mandated by the City of Shreveport just as I was a part of along with Mr. Shyne, I think back in 1993, when we created the City's first gated subdivision, Acadiana Place, basically that the roads are constructed up to city standards and the city does in fact accept responsibility for the maintenance and upkeep of those roads. Now I'm not sure if that's was not included within Mike's explanation, and whether between he and Bonnie (inaudible)

Councilman Lester: That was the process that went into Shepherd Place, and when you look at what you're trying to do from a development standpoint, those are some of the additional incentives that cities have to do so that you get people to populate a lot of these areas.

Councilman Walford: Well I understand that, but right now there are no streets. There's dirt. The streets are laid out, but the streets are not built and brought up to city standards, so why are we accepting the street now?

Mr. Strong: Listen, let's do this. I was going to say we can either postpone and I'll find out something today or evening, and we'll come back to it, or whatever, because they are private streets. This was a plat acceptance is what I was thinking coming in here, and if they were going to go back, but it say public. So I do need to make sure of that.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, would the council have any objections to delay the vote on this item until later and let Mr. Strong get us clarification? Because right now, we're accepting a dirt road.

Councilman Lester: Well Mr. Chairman, just for what it's worth, we're accepting a dirt road for a project that we're building with a contract with specs that we designed.

Councilman Walford: Well it doesn't say that.

Councilman Lester: Well I just - - - make sure that's in the calculation. It's not a situation where Joe Blow that has no responsibility to us or (inaudible) whose building this. This is our project with our money. Much of it is coming from the federal government with a time sensitivity issue, and it is being built to our specifications with a contractor that has been tasked to do so. I understand the necessity to make sure all the Is are dotted and all the Ts are crossed, but 1) this is our project. 2) It is not unheard of because it was done in Shepherd Place and is being replicated in other places around the country.

Councilman Walford: But was it done in Shepherd Place before the streets were done?

Councilman Lester: Yes, yes, yes. Because when Shepherd Place came in, Shepherd Place was a little bit better than dirt, but not by much. So I mean as a process in getting all that done, that's the process that was done. So it's been replicated. So it's not completely unheard of.

Councilman Walford: I think Mr. Sibley's got an answer.

Mr. Sibley: Just a note. I would ask if the Council's inclined to not vote this one now, that we revisit it because of timing.

Councilman Walford: Yeah, I don't want to delay it. I was talking about delaying it within the meeting.

Mr. Sibley: We'll give Mike and Bonnie time to clarify that and bring it back.

**Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the end of the meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Walford: And please understand, all I'm looking for is a clarification. I'm certainly in support of the project, I just want to know what we're accepting.

Councilman Webb: Okay, lets go to 213.

**RESOLUTION NO. 213 OF 2009  
A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR A 15 FOOT WIDE DRAINAGE**

**SERVITUDE IN SOUTHERN HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION PLAT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the dedication for A 15 foot wide drainage servitude in Section 19, (T17N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the original plat reflecting the dedication for A 15 foot wide drainage servitude be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

16. **Resolution No. 214 of 2009**: Declaring the City interest in certain adjudicated properties as surplus and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/Lester, C/Long, D/Wooley, E/Webb, F/Shyne, and G/Bowman)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.**

Councilman Walford: Mr. Sibley: Have these been reviewed?

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir.

Councilman Walford: I'm certainly curious about one on here.

Mr. Sibley: Which one is that Mr. Walford?

Councilman Walford: 5804 Line Avenue. That would be at the Uptown Shopping Center. Do we have a piece of adjudicated property there? It could either be there or the next block.

Mr. Sibley: Mike?

Councilman Walford: I think. Am I correct Mr. Long?

Councilman Long: Yeah, I think you are.

Councilman Webb: Come on and have a seat on the front row Mike.

Mr. Sibley: We're looking at the second set of adjudicated properties, No. 214 that Mr. Walford is asking about 5804 Line?

Mr. Strong: Okay, and the question?

Mr. Sibley: Is that Uptown Shopping Center?

Mr. Strong: The 5800 Block? That's going to be right in there, yes.

Councilman Long: That's going to be the ATM kiosk in front of the parking lot.

Mr. Strong: I think that's what it is, because I know it's right there in that block.

Councilman Lester: Cause the taxes on it are only - -

Councilman Long: It says 1<sup>st</sup> American National Bank, is that the predecessor to Regions? 1<sup>st</sup> American which is also at one point (inaudible). Anyway, I would like to remove that from the list.

Councilman Walford: You want to postpone on this like we did on the other one?

**Substitute motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until the next regular meeting.**

Councilman Lester: Real quick discussion Mr. Chairman. This would be an appropriate time, and I'll direct this to the Mayor and the CAO. One of the things that I have asked for and I'm not saying that I'm not getting any assistance, because they are, and it is a large request. I've asked our IT folks and the folks in Malcolm Stadtlander's office to prepare us a map, city-wide that shows the parcels of adjudicated property that had been adjudicated beyond the three year period and beyond the five year period. Because as we know, those two time frames allowed the city to do some things differently relative to taking up property because many times that property's been abandoned, and we can turn around and offer it. I had a conversation, a very interesting conversation with Matt Bailey and the Mayor's staff relative to the SCORE Program in Baltimore, MD, where they're actually (inaudible) these properties going out to the market, and they're being very successful, getting them off the public rolls engaged in a local real estate market. So, what I would ask is - - -

Mr. Sibley: We'll follow up.

Councilman Lester: If you would follow up, because I think if we would get a map that shows what was going on city-wide and per city council district, that would give us a look so that maybe we could get involved in this and disperse with some of this property, and Mr. Shyne, we wouldn't have to spend that million dollars cutting grass. We can use that money on something else.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, in the way of discussion, Mr. Sibley, if we could. When I first asking the questions about revenue and city property, they ran me a list of adjudicated property, but it was before tax payment time, or late tax payment time. Would it be possible for the Administration to have IT run us and I'm assuming the other Council Members might like to have it by district, a list of adjudicated property that we could sit down and review? And maybe if they could annotate what's been over - - -?

Councilman Lester: Three and five.

Councilman Walford: Could we get that. It'll help with stuff like this. Now reading on this one, this is just the improvements on some (inaudible) property, not the property itself.

Councilman Long: Actually what we're discovering, it might have been a former tenant there. The Credit Union Services.

Councilwoman Bowman: Of course that's what it was, they moved across the street, across Pierremont, over by (inaudible).

Councilman Long: Yeah, but they're not the property owner.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, but it was their machine, because I kept using it.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, just one question on the adjudicated property. You know one of the things we mentioned, we were trying to come up with a kinda of screening process of what we're looking for. Is there any particular triggers that the Council would like for us to look for on this list. If y'all would let us know, either meeting or passed through the staff, we'll have a better idea of what triggers to look for when we look for on these list.

Councilman Shyne: Instead of using 'triggers,' could you use red flags. I'm scared of guns.

Councilman Walford Mr. Chairman, if I could address that. The ones we caught the last time were in the central business district. Actually, I think this list that we're asking for, maybe if we had it - - - it's going to be hard for you to know what in all the districts.

Councilman Lester: Having walked those streets a few times, certain address go - - - .

Mr. Sibley: So just getting that list and the maps together would probably satisfy - - - ?

Councilman Walford: It would certainly help. And I know I'll go through mine, and then I'll bouncing what I see here. Would you make sure that the list you provide us, since you're doing these by street address on here, or do they always have GEO? Make sure we can relate the two. The list against how you're going to put it on the agenda. So that we don't have a GEO number and not an address, or an address and - - - just so we can relate the two. But that would do it for me, if I just had that list, I would probably go over it with a highlighter, and watch over it the next year for any of them to pop up on the sale list.

Mr. Madden: Like with a GEO with no (inaudible).

Councilman Walford: Yeah instead of just putting none. A lot of them just say none. That would really help too if we knew where.

Councilman Lester: Cause about 70% of the database that just says none. You scroll and (inaudible).

Councilman Long: Mr. Chairman, that is the building and (inaudible) of the leased land in the parking lot right there by the light. When you go in the Uptown Shopping Center, there's that little ATM building right there. What is taxing is the actual building itself, and not the land that it sits on.

Councilman Walford: So, we're selling the building?

Councilman Long: Yes, correct.

Councilman Lester: Our interest.

Councilman Long: But I can tell you what happened. It's still in 1<sup>st</sup> American's name. When 1<sup>st</sup> American sold Regions, this was left off the deed somewhere. So this has got to be cleaned up. And it's already been adjudicated and some other people bought the tax interest because it's not on their inventory.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Strong is sitting on the front row for a change, so it must be important.

Mr. Strong: I was going to tell you on Concordia. They are public streets. It is a gated community and they will be built to city specs.

Councilman Walford: Okay, is it the appropriate time to go ahead and vote on this?

Mr. Strong: Yes.

**RESOLUTION NO. 212 OF 2009**

**A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR CONCORDIA PLACE AND EAST WICHITA STREET IN CONCORDIA PLACE SUBDIVISION UNIT 1A PLAT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the dedication for Concordia Place and East Wichita Street in Section 5, (T17N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Concordia Place and East Wichita Street be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS:** *(Not to be adopted prior to November 10, 2009)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 215 of 2009:** A resolution authorizing the Mayor's signature on a Permit to Encroach on a portion of Cross Lake below the 172 foot contour line, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 216 of 2009:** A resolution ratifying the execution of a cooperative endeavor agreement with Olive Branch Ministries, INC., in conjunction with the 3<sup>rd</sup> Annual Gentlemen's Cookoff, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to introduce Resolution No(s). 215, and 216 of 2009 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES:** *(Not to be adopted prior to November 10, 2009)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 141 of 2009:** ZONING: C-58-09: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances, by rezoning a tract of land located 300 feet west of Clyde Fant Parkway and 750 feet south of Stoner

Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, **from B-3, Community Business District to R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District** and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

2. **Ordinance No. 142 of 2009**: ZONING: C-60-09: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances, by rezoning property located on the east side of Youree Drive, 350 feet south of East Kings Highway, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, **from SPI-3(B-2), Commercial Corridor Overlay (Neighborhood Business) District to B-3, Community Business District** and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)
3. **Ordinance No. 143 of 2009**: An ordinance amending the 2009 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to introduce Resolution No(s). 141, 142, and 143 of 2009 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE** *(Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 66 of 2009**: An ordinance to adopt Chapter 25 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances establishing regulations relative to the conduct of oil, gas and other hydrocarbon well operations with the City of Shreveport, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Postponed October 13, 2009)*

**Having passed first reading on June 23, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley.**

**AMENDMENT NUMBER 1 TO ORDINANCE NUMBER 66 OF 2009**

**-Amend certain sections of Section 25-3 as follows:**

Sec. 25-3. Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the following definitions shall have the meaning ascribed in this chapter and be equally applicable to the masculine and feminine genders and the singular and plural forms of any of the defined terms:

\*\*\*

(6) "Oil" means crude petroleum oil, and other hydrocarbons, regardless of gravity, which are produced at the well head in liquid form by ordinary production methods."

\*\*\*

(9) "Public Building means any building used, designed or intended to be used for the purpose of assembly of persons for purposes of government administration, education, deliberation,

entertainment, amusement, or healthcare. Public buildings shall include, but are not necessarily limited to, theaters, assembly halls, auditoriums, armories, mortuary chapels, dance halls, exhibition halls, museums, gymnasiums, bowling lanes, libraries, skating rinks, courthouses, schools, restaurants, shopping malls, stores, and hospitals.”

\*\*\*

(14) “School means any public or private primary through post-secondary educational facility, and any state licensed day care facility.”

\*\*\*

(17) “Urban area” means the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport.”

**-Add new Section 25-5 to read as follows:**

Sec. 25-5. Applicability.

The provisions of this chapter shall apply only to those wells permitted by the State of Louisiana Office of Conservation after January 1, 2008.

**-Amend Section 25-6 to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-6. Appeals.

Any person aggrieved by a decision or determination in regard to any matter subject to the requirements of this chapter shall, within fourteen (14) days from the date of such decision is rendered, file a written request/appeal with the Comprehensive Environmental Board of Appeals for a review by the board of such decision.

Any person aggrieved by a decision or determination of the board shall have the right to appeal the decision to the city council by filing a written request for an appeal within fourteen (14) days of the date of the decision by the board. The city council shall conduct the hearing on the appeal in accordance with its established rules of procedure.

**-Amend Section 25-16 (b) to now read as follows:**

Sec. ~~26-16~~**25-16**. Abatement of Dust, Vibration, or Odors.

\*\*\*

(b) The placement of fill material shall not cause the release of dust and/or odor that may create a nuisance, or damage to any public property and no such material shall be placed in a floodplain or floodway identified by FEMA on the most current FIRM without the prior consent of the Director of Operational Services.

**-Amend Section 25-18 to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-18. Exhaust Fumes.

In order to prevent the creation of a nuisance, exhaust from any internal combustion engine or compressor, stationary or mounted on wheels, used in connection with the drilling of any well or for use on any production equipment shall not be discharged into the open air unless it is equipped with an exhaust muffler(s), or an exhaust muffler box, constructed of non-combustible materials sufficient to suppress noise and disruptive vibrations and minimize the escape of gases, fumes, or ignited carbon or soot. All such equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition according to the manufacturer’s specifications.

**-Amend Section 25-23(c) to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-23. Site Maintenance.

\*\*\*

(c) The street, street entrance and property on which a well site is located shall at all times be kept free of mud, debris, pools of water or other liquids, contaminated soil, high grass, weeds, brush, trash, combustible trash or other waste materials.



**-Amend Section 25-29(3)(ii) to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-29. Noise.

\*\*\*

(iii) seasonal and prevailing weather patterns, including wind directions.

**-Amend Section 25-30 to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-30. Explosives.

No person shall discharge or use any surface explosive charges within the City without having first obtained approval from the chief of the Shreveport Fire Department.

**Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 66 of 2009.**

Councilman Long: Well basically what we're doing today, we're going to mirror the state field order at this time, and just for purpose of moving forward so we have something on the books. Obviously down the road as things occur, we'll address them individually, or as they happen, and if it's a situation where we need to get some relief from the state, then we'll have to try to seek to get it, but if not, we'll just have to do the best we can to try to negotiate and work on behalf of whoever those citizens are being impacted by the particular operations at that time, so again, I just think for the purpose of moving forward, that we just mirror the state for now and just get it done. I'll say this, that one of the reasons that this took so long, is that we were attempting to try to actually impose some stricter requirements on this, but then every turn we'd get, we were pretty much told that we didn't have the authority, and if we did we would be challenged, so we just felt like at this point and time, the best thing we could try to do is work within the system, continue to work with the Office of Conservation, and the Department of Natural Resources to address issues as they come up, but in the meantime, we're just going to go with it as is for the time being.

Councilman Webb: What if we added a recent add-on (inaudible)?

Councilman Long: Basically the recent add-on is the agreement in line with the state field order.

Councilwoman Bowman: Has something else been added to this since yesterday?

Councilman Long: Not to my knowledge. Terri?

Ms. Scott: The amendments that you have for the most part, most of them are not substantive. Most of them were done to correct typos, or sentence structure. The substantive amendments that were added from what you saw yesterday, if you looked at the hyperlinked version of the amendments online, was in Section 25-4, the section on compressor stations. That was done to bring our ordinance more in line with the statewide order, or with the state order, and in Section 25a. Again, that brings the hours of operation of the work hours for the drilling operations in line with the requirements of the state order. We have also changed the requirements for noise for the same reason in Section 25-9. Those are substantive amendments. In section 25-30, Section 25-30 was already in the ordinance, the only change there - - -

Councilman Lester: (Inaudible) when she said Section 25-9 (inaudible).

Ms. Scott: 25-29, I'm sorry. In Section 25-30, when the ordinance was originally drafted, it required that permits for explosive charges be obtained from the police department. The amendment now requires that permits be issued by the Shreveport Fire Department. Again, as Mr. Thompson stated, we've added an affective section at the end of the ordinance that states

when the actual ordinance will become effective. And this will give operators more time to digest what the new requirements are and be able to react and respond to them. And so on December 1<sup>st</sup>, they will be in line with the requirements of our ordinance. The definitions, nothing was changed. The substance, again to correct typos. In Section 25-3, Section 25-6 was already included in the previous amendments that you saw, and the change there was to make the first appeals for any person who is aggrieved by the enforcement of this ordinance, the first appeal would now go to the City's Comprehensive Board of Appeals. Which is a board which has already been established, and if any person is aggrieved by a decision from the Board of Appeals, then they would have a right to appeal to the Shreveport City Council. In the previous draft, the first appeal would have gone to the City Council.

Councilwoman Bowman: In Section 25-30 dealing with the explosives, Bryan, did you know they were giving that to you? Chief Crawford, did you know you had a new assigned duty?

Chief Crawford: Yes ma'am, that's what I was just discussing with the Police Department, and we normally handle the permits for fireworks, we also handle permits for the movie industry coming in and doing explosives, so it only makes sense that we're also involved in this language of the measure.

Councilman Lester: I'm going to resist the urge to say 'dynamite.' My question is on Section 25-29, where it requires an operation to submit a noise management plan, if the Director of Operational Services determines that that plan is not sufficient, how does that process work?

Ms. Scott: They would be in the broad sense of the ordinance, they would be persons that would be aggrieved, so then they would have an opportunity to submit that appeal to the Comprehensive Board of Appeals. What we hope will happen, however, is if the first plan that is submitted to the Director is not a workable plan, as he deems it to be, then they will in fact work with him, get an operational plan in place that he can agree to and they can live with. Again, if not the applicant or the operator would have an opportunity to appeal to the Comprehensive Board of Appeals, and the membership on that board does in fact have persons of diverse environmental background, so they would be able to provide some input and assistance in developing a workable plan.

Councilman Lester: Okay, my next question is what would prevent one of these operators from just deciding that they don't want to participate in the city's process at all. And instead, just ignore completely, and just deal with the state?

Ms. Scott: This ordinance is as any other ordinance, any other city ordinance. So, there are penalty provisions, and any violation or any person who is determined to have violated the ordinance would be subject to criminal charges through Shreveport City Court with penalties up to \$500 as any other misdemeanor.

Councilman Lester: Okay, and from our constituents' standpoint, because understanding that there is some overlapping jurisdictions, obviously we have police power and responsibilities for those folks that we protect. But then there's also the state pre-emption in many ways in some of this stuff. My concern is making sure that citizens know what the process is relative to making complaints as opposed to putting them in a situation where our citizens are bounced back and forth between you know well, you've got this issue, that's a Baton Rouge problem, or no, this is a Shreveport problem. How have we addressed that in this particular legislation?

Ms. Scott: What we've tried to do is to be consistent with the statewide order in an effort that citizens won't be back and forth, that the city would have one set of regulations, and the

state would have another set of regulations. That almost invites- - , that will invite difficulties in enforcement, that will invite troubles with the operators, because they will basically say we're going to throw up our hands, we're not going to comply with the city's ordinance, we are going to take our problems and our issues and concerns to Baton Rouge. As far as a citizen, anyone who is affected by drilling or a drilling operation, there will be a sign prominently displayed at the drill site that notifies them first as to who the operator is, if they have a complaint, they can use the number and there is a requirement for a telephone number that is provided on the site throughout the operation. They can contact that number to take their concerns to the operator directly. I'm almost certain that if any person does have problems, they're going to pick up the phone and call members of the Council, call the Mayor's office and we will in fact refer those complaints to Mr. Strong, the Department of Operational Services will be primarily responsible for enforcing the ordinance.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Ordinance No. 66 of 2009 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Long: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to thank Jodee for all of her efforts in helping us get through all this, and there'll be more work down the road as we move into more the things that will impact in time. And like I say, it's not an issue right now, but in time, we will have to deal with infrastructure needs and other things relative to this process. So, this is just the tip of an iceberg that will come at some point and time. Many of us will probably not be sitting in those seats when that does occur, so hopefully we'll set the stage and foundation for future councilmen and administrations to deal with this situation.

2. **Ordinance No. 109 of 2009**: ANNEXATION TAG NO. 09-02: An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the city of Shreveport – a 31.7 acre tract of land, located on the east side of Linwood Avenue, just across from the Homalot Subdivision in Section 11, (T16N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley) (*Postponed October 13, 2009*)

**Having passed first reading on September 22, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: Councilman Lester. 1.**

3. **Ordinance No. 111 of 2009**: ANNEXATION TAG NO. 09-04: An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 10 acre tract of land being the Cypress Creek Estates Subdivision, located in Section 21, (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley) (*Postponed October 13, 2009*)

**Having passed first reading on September 22, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 5. Nays: Councilmen Lester and Bowman. 2.**

4. **Ordinance No. 112 of 2009**: ANNEXATION TAG NO. 09-10: An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 2.06 acre tract of land, located adjacent to the Southern Trace Subdivision in Section 29, (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley) (*Postponed October 13, 2009*)

**Having passed first reading on September 22, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 5. Nays: Councilmen Lester and Bowman. 2.**

5. **Ordinance No. 115 of 2009**: An ordinance amending the 2009 General Fund Budget and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: Just for the record, what is that for?

Mr. Thompson: It appropriates \$200,000 in insurance proceeds within the 2009 General Fund Budget for SPAR.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, it's an advance on the insurance for the SRAC building.

Councilman Webb: Okay, that's what I needed to hear, for the people out in the TV audience.

Councilman Lester: And I wanted to get that question on the record. Does this budget money that we have already received, or is this in anticipation of receiving insurance money.

Mr. Thompson: I think it's money we've already received.

Mr. Sibley: This is 115? Yes, this is the \$200,000 advance that we've received.

Councilman Lester: So, we've already received it.

Mr. Sibley: It's primarily to cover the demolition cost and overtime for police and fire.

Mr. Thompson: But there was a question earlier as to whether or not this money needed to be budgeted. So the Administration is not budgeting the money that they've received.

Councilman Lester: I just wanted to make sure - - - I mean budgeting the money that we've already received as opposed to budgeting money in anticipation of receipt, that was my question.

Mr. Sibley: Now we do anticipate more.

Councilman Lester: Right, but we're spending that what we already have?

Mr. Sibley: That's correct.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

6. **Ordinance No. 116 of 2009**: An ordinance amending the 2009 Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: And that's transferring \$1214 to Capital Projects to increase the operating reserves.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

7. **Ordinance No. 117 of 2009**: An ordinance amending the 2009 Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: And what are we moving here?

Mr. Sibley: Primarily SPD grant Mr. Chairman. Dwayne, you want to offer more details?

Councilman Webb: Like for our people in TV land to know what we're doing as well.

Cpt Huddleston: This is set up the budgets on a couple of them that y'all approved today, for us to accept that we didn't know we were going to get. As you know we're in our budget process, we guess on the amounts of the grants that we're going to get next year. We don't actually get 'em till September of next year, and then we come back when we know the exact amount and ask you to set up a budget.

Councilman Webb: Did we come out ahead this year?

Cpt Huddleston: Yes sir, yes sir, we did well.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

8. **Ordinance No. 118 of 2009**: An ordinance amending the 2009 Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, I believe they closed some capital projects and they're putting the money back in the Riverfront.

Councilman Webb: \$3,937.

Councilman Shyne: I'll vote for that.

Councilman Walford: Even though I tried to get that \$3,900 in the parking fund, they insisted (inaudible)

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

9. **Ordinance No. 119 of 2009**: An ordinance amending 66-141 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Health Benefits Plan, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: I think you discussed this earlier, it changes the percentages for employees and the city is paying the premiums.

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: Yeah, the employees will pay 33% of their healthcare cost, which is down from 40% in 2008, and it was 36% in 2009. So, we've lowered it 3%. And the retirees will pay 42% of their healthcare cost which is down from 50% in 2008, it was 45% in 2009.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

10. **Ordinance No. 120 of 2009**: An ordinance amending Section 90-273(d) of the Code of Ordinances relative to Traffic and Vehicles and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: I believe this is the one that says that the vehicle that has a ticket can be booted within 60 days.

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.**

Councilman Walford: Just very quickly, I think Don Shea said it best for us. It has the potential to bring us strong six figures in parking revenue which can go to purchasing parking. We certainly need to think about that in the riverfront and the festival area.

Councilman Webb: I have one question. Are we going from three to one ticket, or any ticket?

Councilman Walford: It says if you have not paid a ticket and it's gone over 60 days, you will have gotten a certified notice and everything, but what we have right now, Don left, but

as I understand it, some people just ignore 'em and stay shy of the three and then they'll go pay one if they get three. So they're still hanging out there with two, and don't get booted. I see Mr. Sibley nodding his head.

Mr. Sibley: That's exactly what he's saying.

Councilman Walford: So, they're playing games with us, and this just tightens up our parking and it would really help our collections.

Councilman Webb: Don't left?

Councilwoman Bowman: It says here "This ordinance would amend Section 90-273(d) of the Code of Ordinances to provide that a parking citation shall be paid within sixty (60) days of the date of issuance of the citation," I just wanted to make sure I read that right. Thank you.

Councilman Walford: Well it basically - - - it's saying any motor, well it says I guess "against which there is a parking citation, including costs and late payment penalties, which has not been paid within sixty (60) days of the date of issuance of the citation, shall be subject to removal, impoundment, detention or immobilization by the city or by a third party contracted to provide these service." It says after 60 days, it can go on the boot list. During that first 60 days, they're going to send you a certified notice, urge you to pay it.

Councilman Webb: For one ticket?

Councilman Walford: Sure.

Councilman Webb: Well I like the three tickets.

Councilman Walford: But they're playing games.

Councilman Webb: Yeah, I understand what you're saying, but - - -

Councilman Walford: There are 23,000 tickets outstanding, because they know they won't get booted, so there's no incentive to pay them. And they get the third ticket and they run and pay one of them.

Councilman Webb: But they never have more than two outstanding.

Councilman Walford: So they keep themselves with two outstanding. Didn't he say we've got 23,151 people with less than three? \$847,300. I would urge us to pass this, I think it would do great things for our parking revenue, and you've already heard Mr. Shea tell us that the meter revenue is increasing because people know that we're getting serious about our parking enforcement. And again, the fine money that comes in goes to purchasing more parking.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, and Webb. 4. Nays: Councilmen Wooley, Shyne, and Bowman. 3.**

11. **Ordinance No. 121 of 2009**: An ordinance authorizing the use of the Cargill Soccer and recreation facility by Caddo-Bossier Soccer Association/SU and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Not to be adopted prior to Nov. 10, 2009)*

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until the next regular meeting.**

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

12. **Ordinance No. 122 of 2009**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of

Blom Boulevard and Crabapple Drive as a four way stop intersection, and otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

13. **Ordinance No. 123 of 2009**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 2 of the Code of Ordinances by adding Article X relative to Budgets, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, this is the ordinance that states that you have to - - - whenever you create a capital budget, you have to put the scope of the budget in. That is what it's going to do, what the money is going to be used for - - -.

**Having passed first reading on October 13, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

*The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:*

#### **ORDINANCE NUMBER 66 OF 2009**

**AN ORDINANCE TO ADOPT CHAPTER 25 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES ESTABLISHING REGULATIONS RELATIVE TO THE CONDUCT OF OIL, GAS AND OTHER HYDROCARBON WELL OPERATIONS WITH THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO BY:**

WHEREAS, La. Const. [1974] art I ' 4 provides in pertinent part, that every person has the right to acquire, own, control, use, enjoy, protect and dispose of private property subject to reasonable statutory restrictions and the reasonable exercise of the police power; and

WHEREAS, La. Const. [1974] Art VI ' 17 further provides in pertinent part that a local governmental subdivision may adopt regulations for land use, zoning and historic preservation, which authority is declared to be a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport encourages the exploration, development and production of oil and gas within the city limits; and

WHEREAS, the exploration, development and production of oil, gas and other hydrocarbons in the City of Shreveport are activities which necessitate reasonable regulation to ensure that all property owners have the right to enjoy their property and its benefits and revenues; and

WHEREAS, the City is authorized to adopt ordinances pursuant to its police power to protect the health, safety and general welfare of its citizens; and



WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Shreveport deems it necessary, for the purpose of promoting the health, safety, and general welfare of the City to adopt Chapter 25 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances to adopt reasonable and uniform regulations for the conduct of oil, gas and other hydrocarbon well operations within the City;

WHEREAS, the City Council deems such regulations to be reasonable in order to protect the health, safety, and general welfare of the public, minimize the potential impact to property owners, protect the quality of the environment and encourage the orderly production of available mineral and gas resources found to exist within the City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, these regulations are intended to coexist without conflict with either state regulations on mineral drilling and production, and legal responsibilities of local government under FEMA (including with respect to floodplains and floodways), federal statutes and regulations, or other regulations (including but not limited to applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances) which address any subject matter of this ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular, and legal session convened that Chapter 25 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances is hereby adopted to read as follows:

#### CHAPTER 25

#### ARTICLE I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 25-1. Declaration of legislative intent, and purpose.

The City Council of the City of Shreveport deems it necessary, for the purpose of promoting the health, safety, and general welfare of the City to adopt reasonable and uniform regulations for the conduct of oil, gas and other hydrocarbon well operations within the City. The City Council deems such regulations to be a reasonable in order to protect the health, safety, and general welfare of the public, minimize the potential impact to property owners, protect the quality of the environment and encourage the orderly production of available mineral and gas resources found to exist within the City of Shreveport.

Sec. 25-2. Power not affected.

(a) Nothing in this chapter shall abrogate or impair the power of the courts or any department of the city to enforce any provision of state law, the Home Rule Charter or ordinances enacted by the city council.

(b) The imposition of any penalty hereunder shall not preclude the city attorney or other appropriate authority from instituting injunctive, mandamus, or other appropriate action or proceedings to correct or abate any violation under the provisions of this chapter.

Sec. 25-3 . Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the following definitions shall have the meaning ascribed in this chapter and be equally applicable to the masculine and feminine genders and the singular and plural forms of any of the defined terms:

(1) ABuilding@ means any structure used or intended for supporting or sheltering any use or occupancy.

(2) “Director of Operational Services” means the person holding the office of Director of the City of Shreveport Department of Operational Services in an interim or appointed capacity, or the person(s) designated by him to act for him.

(3) “FEMA@ means the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

(4) AFIRM@ mean Flood Insurance Rate Map.

(5) AGas@ means any fluid, either combustible or noncombustible, which is produced in a

natural state from the earth and which maintains a gaseous or rarefied state at standard temperature and pressure conditions and/or the gaseous components or vapors occurring in or derived from petroleum or natural gas.

(6) AOil@ means crude petroleum oil, and other hydrocarbons, regardless of gravity, which are produced at the well head in liquid form by ordinance productions methods.

(7) AOperator@ means the person listed as operator on the drilling permit issued by the Louisiana Office of Conservation for the drilling of the well.

(8) AProtected use@ means a residence, religious institution, commercial building, public building, hospital building, school or public park.

(9) APublic Building@ means any building used, designed or intended to be used for the purpose of assembly of persons for purposes of governmental administration, education, deliberation, entertainment, amusement, or healthcare. Public buildings shall include, but are not necessarily limited to, theaters, assembly halls, auditoriums, armories, mortuary, chapels, dance halls, exhibition halls, museums, gymnasiums, bowling lanes, libraries, skating rinks, courthouses, schools, restaurants and hospitals.

(10) APublic Park@ means any land area dedicated to and/or maintained for traditional park-like recreational purposes by a public body.

(11) AReligious Institution@ means any building in which persons regularly assemble for religious worship and activities intended primarily for purposes connected with such worship.

(12) AResidence@ means a house, duplex, apartment, townhouse, condominium, mobile home or other building or structure designed for dwelling purposes.

(13) Right-of-way@ means public right-of-way including, but not limited to, streets, easements, servitudes, and other property within the City of Shreveport which are dedicated to the use and benefit of the public.

(14) ASchool@ means any public and private primary through post-secondary educational facility, and any state licensed day care facility.

(15) ASite@ means any drill site or production site.

(16) AStreet@ means any public street, highway, road, sidewalk, alley, avenue, public parking area, or other public right-of-way, including the entire right-of-way.

(17) "Urban area" means with the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport.

(18) AWell@ means a hole or holes, bore or bores, to any horizon, formation, or strata for the purpose of producing gas, liquid hydrocarbon, brine water or sulphur water, or for use as an injection well for secondary recovery, disposal or production of gas, or other hydrocarbons from the earth.

#### Sec. 25-4. General Regulations.

(1) Site Access. No person engaged in the drilling or production of oil, gas, or other hydrocarbon substances or activities associated therewith within the City shall access a site or be permitted to enter on, into, or across any public park or any other property owned or maintained by the City, except from a public road or streets designated as either a truck route or commercial delivery route by the City, without prior approval from the Director of Operational Services.

(2) Drilling within Floodplain or Floodway. Drilling activities as well as activities associated therewith within any floodplain or floodway identified by FEMA on the most current FIRM shall recognize the legal responsibilities of the City to FEMA.

#### Sec. 25-6. Appeals.

Any person aggrieved by a decision or determination in regard to any matter subject to the

requirements of this chapter shall, within ten (10) days from the date such decision is rendered, file a written request/appeal with the clerk of council of the City for a review by the city council of such decision.

Sec. 25-7. Penalty for violation of chapter.

Any person violating any of the provisions of this chapter shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, shall be punished as prescribed in section 1-14 of this code. Each day the violation continues to exist shall constitute a separate offense.

Sec. 25-8 –Sec. 25-15. Reserved.

## ARTICLE II. OPERATIONAL STANDARDS

Sec. 25-16. Abatement of Dust, Vibration, or Odors.

(a) All drilling, production, compression and transmission operations shall be conducted in such a manner as to minimize, as far as practicable, dust, vibration, or noxious odors, and shall be in accordance with best accepted practices incident to drilling for the production of oil or gas or other hydrocarbon substances in an urban area. All equipment used in such operations shall be constructed, operated, and maintained so that vibrations, dust, odor or other harmful or annoying substances or their effect will be minimized by the operations carried on at any site or from anything incident thereto. Proven reasonable and feasible technological improvements in industry standards of drilling and production in an urban area shall be adopted if capable of reducing factors of dust, vibration, and odor that may create a nuisance.

(b) The placement of fill material may not cause the release of dust and/or odor that may create a nuisance, or damage to any public property and no such material shall be placed in a floodplain or floodway identified by FEMA on the most current FIRM without the prior consent of the Director of Operational Services.

Sec. 25-17. Lighting.

No person shall permit any light located on any site to be directed in such a manner such that it shines directly on a public street or roadway, adjacent property, or property within three hundred (300) feet of the site. To the extent practicable with due regard to considerations of public safety, all lighting shall be directed downward and internally so as to avoid glare on a public street or roadway, adjacent property, or property within three hundred (300) feet of the site.

Sec. 25-18. Exhaust Fumes.

Exhaust from any internal combustion engine or compressor, stationary or mounted on wheels, used in connection with the drilling of any well or for use on any production equipment shall not be discharged into the open air unless it is equipped with an exhaust muffler, or an exhaust box, constructed of non-combustible materials sufficient to suppress noise and disruptive vibrations and minimize the escape of gases, fumes, or ignited carbon or soot. All such equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition according to the manufacturer=s specifications.

Sec. 25-19. Electric Pumping.

Only electric prime movers or motors shall be allowed for the purpose of pumping wells after drilling. No electric power shall be generated on any site unless otherwise approved by the Director of Operational Services. All such equipment shall be maintained in good condition in accordance with applicable provisions of law and manufacturer=s specifications.

Sec. 25-20. Required Signage.

Any person engaged in any drilling operation shall prominently and continuously display a sign having a surface area of no less than two (2) square feet nor more than four (4) square feet at or

on the gate of such operation containing, at a minimum, the following information:

- (i) Well name and number;
- (ii) Name of operator and operator's twenty-four (24) hour emergency contact information;
- (iii) The emergency 9-1-1 number.

All signs shall be of durable material, clearly legible, and maintained in good condition and repair by the operator at all times.

#### Sec. 25-21. Venting of Gas.

No person shall allow, cause, or permit gases to be vented into the atmosphere or to be burned by open flame except as provided by law.

#### Sec. 25-22. Prohibited Discharge.

Except as otherwise provided by state law or regulation or private contract, no person shall place, discharge, deposit, cause, or permit, any oil, naphtha, petroleum, asphalt, tar, hydrocarbon substances or any refuse including wastewater or bring from any operation on a site, or the contents of any container used in connection with any operation on the site, into or upon any public right-of-way, alley, street, lot, storm drain, ditch, sewer or sanitary drain, any body of water, or any private property.

#### Sec. 25-23. Site Maintenance.

(a) Site operations shall at all times be maintained free of standing water, weeds, brush, trash, debris, contaminated soil, or other waste materials. A site may not be used for storage of pipe, equipment or other materials which are not intended for use on the well at such site.

(b) Neither the site, or the structures thereon, shall be permitted to become dilapidated, unsightly or unsafe.

(c) The street entrance and property on which a well site is located shall at all times be kept free of mud, debris, pools of water or other liquids, contaminated soil, high grass, weeds, brush, trash, combustible trash or other waste materials.

#### Sec. 25-24. Compressor Stations.

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person to operate, place, or locate a compressor station within the city of Shreveport except within those sections of the city wherein such operation, placement, or location is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance and other applicable law or regulations.

(b) Compressor stations shall be limited to structures which shall be enclosed so as to provide maximum practicable noise abatement. The operation of compressor equipment shall not create any noise that causes the exterior noise level to exceed the predevelopment ambient noise levels as measured within three (300) hundred feet of the compressor station building(s). The operator of the compressor station shall be responsible for establishing and reporting to the Director of Operational Services the pre-development ambient noise levels as provided in Section 25-29 of this chapter.

#### Sec. 25-25. Emergency Shut-Off Valve.

All wells shall have emergency shut-off valves clearly labeled as such, accessible to emergency response personnel having jurisdiction at the site. Access to the emergency shut-off valve by emergency response personnel shall be limited to those emergencies when the operator has failed to respond within the time frames established under its emergency response plan which shall have been provided to the city prior to commencement of drilling operations within the City.

#### Sec. 25-26. Fences and Screens.

(a) With the exception of the periods of drilling operations, operators shall install and maintain a permanent chain link fence with a secured gate on the site of any well which shall completely

enclose all production equipment. All fencing installed pursuant to the provisions of this section shall meet the following minimum specifications:

- (1) The fence shall be at least six (6) feet in height.
  - (2) Support posts shall be set in concrete and shall be imbedded into the ground to a depth sufficient to maintain the stability of the fence, provided however, temporary fence points shall not be required to be set in concrete so long as the stability of the fence is maintained.
  - (3) The chain link fence shall have a minimum thickness of eleven (11) gauge.
  - (4) Posts and rails shall be three-eighths (3/8) inch round steel bolt stock. Adjustable tighteners shall be turnbuckle or equivalent having a six (6) inch minimum take-up. Tension bars shall have a minimum thickness of one-fourth (1/4) by three-fourths (3/4) inch.
  - (5) A Knox padlock or Knox box with key shall be provided to the emergency response personnel having jurisdiction at the site to access the well site in case of emergency, with notification to the operator in accordance with the operator's emergency response plan which shall have been provided to the City prior to commencement of drilling operation within the City.
- (b) The Director of Operational Services may impose additional requirements relative to screening and fencing for good cause shown.

Sec. 25-27. Use of Public Water Supply.

No person may use a public water supply in drilling and production operations of an oil or gas well (specifically including fracturing operations) unless the operator has complied in all respects with regulations set forth in Chapter 94 of this Code as well as any water conservation regulations which may be imposed by the city, state or other regulatory authorities.

Sec. 25-28. Work Hours.

(a) With the exception of drilling, completion, and reworking operations, work on a site, access roads, pipeline, truck deliveries of equipment and materials associated with drilling and/or production, well servicing, site preparation and other related work conducted on the site shall be subject to the following regulations, except in case of an emergency, where the delivery of equipment is necessary to address the emergency or to prevent the cessation of drilling or production during such emergency:

- (1) Operations within 500 feet of a protected use: 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday - Saturday, but only with respect to a well for which a permit has been issued by the Louisiana Office of Conservation authorizing such activities.
- (2) Operations between 500 feet and 2,500 feet of a protected use: 7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m., Monday - Saturday.
- (3) Operations between 2,500 feet and 5,000 feet of a protected use: 5:00 a.m. - 10:00 p.m. Monday - Saturday.
- (4) Operations 5,000 feet or more from a protected use: 24 hours, Monday - Saturday.

Measurement of the distances shall be made from the well bore, in a straight line, without regard to intervening structures or objects, to the closest point of the building or property line of the protected use, or as otherwise measured under regulations established by the Louisiana Office of Conservation.

Sec. 25-29. Noise.

(a) Prior to the commencement of operations, the operator shall submit a noise management plan to the Director of Operational Services detailing how the equipment used in the drilling, completion, transportation, or production of a well complies with the maximum permissible

noise levels of this section. At a minimum, the noise management plan shall:

- (1) Identify operation noise impacts;
- (2) Provide documentation establishing the pre-drilling ambient noise levels as required in this section;
- (3) Detail how the impacts will be mitigated. In determining noise mitigation, specific site characteristics shall be considered, including but not limited to the following:
  - (i) nature and proximity of adjacent development, location, and type.
  - (ii) seasonal and prevailing weather patterns, including wind directions.
  - (iii) vegetative cover on or adjacent to the site; and
  - (iv) topography.

The operator shall be responsible for verifying compliance with this section and the noise management plan after the installation of the noise generating equipment.

(b) No well shall be drilled, redrilled or any equipment operated at any location within an urban area in such a manner as to create any noise which causes the exterior noise level when measured at the nearest protected use receiver/receptor's property line or one hundred (100) feet from the nearest protected use structure (as measured to the closest exterior point of the building), whichever is closer to the receiver/receptor that exceeds the ambient noise level by more than five (5) decibels during daytime hours and more than three (3) decibels during nighttime hours. Fracturing operations may not exceed the ambient noise level by more than ten (10) decibels during daytime hours; no fracturing operations shall be allowed during nighttime hours unless they exceed the ambient noise level by no more than three (3) decibels. Backflow operations may not exceed the ambient noise level by more than three (3) decibels during nighttime hours.

(c) The operator shall be responsible for establishing and reporting to the Director of Operational Services the pre-drilling ambient noise level prior to the commencement of initial operations at the site. This shall be based on measurements during a continuous seventy-two (72) hour time span, which shall include at least one twenty-four (24) hour reading during either a Saturday or Sunday. Once the drilling is complete, the operator shall be required to establish a new ambient noise level prior to the installation of any new noise generating equipment.

(d) The Director of the Department of Operational Services shall be permitted to make intermittent adjustments to the noise standard set forth in this subsection (a) in accordance with the following:

Permitted increase (oBA): Duration of Increase (minutes, in cumulative during any one (1) hour:

10 5

15 1

20 Less than 1

(e) The exterior noise level generated by the drilling, redrilling or other operations of all wells located with five hundred (500) feet of a protected use shall be continuously monitored to ensure compliance. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the operator.

(f) Acoustical blankets, sound walls, mufflers or other alternative methods may be used to ensure compliance. All soundproofing shall comply with accepted industry standards.

(g) The sound level meter used in conducting noise evaluations shall meet the American National Standard Institute's standard for sound meters or an instrument and the associated recordings and analyzing equipment which will provide equivalent data.

Sec. 25-30. Explosives.

No person shall discharge or use any surface explosive charges within the City without having

first obtained approval from the chief of police.

Sec. 25-31. Incident Reporting; Required.

The operator shall immediately report any fire or unauthorized release of flammable or hazardous materials on any property within the City to the chief of the fire department and all other state or federal authorities having jurisdiction.

Secs. 25-32—Sec. 25-40. Reserved.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **AMENDMENT NUMBER 1 TO ORDINANCE NUMBER 66 OF 2009**

**-Amend certain sections of Section 25-3 as follows:**

Sec. 25-3. Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the following definitions shall have the meaning ascribed in this chapter and be equally applicable to the masculine and feminine genders and the singular and plural forms of any of the defined terms:

\*\*\*.

(6) “Oil” means crude petroleum oil, and other hydrocarbons, regardless of gravity, which are produced at the well head in liquid form by ordinary production methods.”

\*\*\*

(9) “Public Building means any building used, designed or intended to be used for the purpose of assembly of persons for purposes of government administration, education, deliberation, entertainment, amusement, or healthcare. Public buildings shall include, but are not necessarily limited to, theaters, assembly halls, auditoriums, armories, mortuary chapels, dance halls, exhibition halls, museums, gymnasiums, bowling lanes, libraries, skating rinks, courthouses, schools, restaurants, shopping malls, stores, and hospitals.”

\*\*\*

(14) “School means any public or private primary through post-secondary educational facility, and any state licensed day care facility.”

\*\*\*

(17) “Urban area” means the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport.”

**-Add new Section 25-5 to read as follows:**

Sec. 25-5. Applicability.

The provisions of this chapter shall apply only to those wells permitted by the State of Louisiana Office of Conservation after January 1, 2008.

**-Amend Section 25-6 to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-6. Appeals.

Any person aggrieved by a decision or determination in regard to any matter subject to the requirements of this chapter shall, within fourteen (14) days from the date of such decision is rendered, file a written request/appeal with the Comprehensive Environmental Board of Appeals for a review by the board of such decision.

Any person aggrieved by a decision or determination of the board shall have the right to appeal

the decision to the city council by filing a written request for an appeal within fourteen (14) days of the date of the decision by the board. The city council shall conduct the hearing on the appeal in accordance with its established rules of procedure.

**-Amend Section 25-16 (b) to now read as follows:**

Sec. ~~26-16~~ **25-16**. Abatement of Dust, Vibration, or Odors.

\*\*\*

(b) The placement of fill material shall not cause the release of dust and/or odor that may create a nuisance, or damage to any public property and no such material shall be placed in a floodplain or floodway identified by FEMA on the most current FIRM without the prior consent of the Director of Operational Services.

**-Amend Section 25-18 to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-18. Exhaust Fumes.

In order to prevent the creation of a nuisance, exhaust from any internal combustion engine or compressor, stationary or mounted on wheels, used in connection with the drilling of any well or for use on any production equipment shall not be discharged into the open air unless it is equipped with an exhaust muffler(s), or an exhaust muffler box, constructed of non-combustible materials sufficient to suppress noise and disruptive vibrations and minimize the escape of gases, fumes, or ignited carbon or soot. All such equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition according to the manufacturer's specifications.

**-Amend Section 25-23(c) to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-23. Site Maintenance.

\*\*\*

(c) The street, street entrance and property on which a well site is located shall at all times be kept free of mud, debris, pools of water or other liquids, contaminated soil, high grass, weeds, brush, trash, combustible trash or other waste materials.

**-Amend Section 25-29(3)(ii) to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-29. Noise.

\*\*\*

(iii) seasonal and prevailing weather patterns, including wind directions.

**-Amend Section 25-30 to now read as follows:**

Sec. 25-30. Explosives.

No person shall discharge or use any surface explosive charges within the City without having first obtained approval from the chief of the Shreveport Fire Department.

**ORDINANCE NO. 109 OF 2009**

**AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 31.7-ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF LINWOOD AVENUE, JUST ACROSS FROM THE HOMALOT SUBDIVISION IN SECTION 11, (T16N-R14W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**TAG NO. 09-02**

**BY: COUNCILMAN**

**WHEREAS**, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

**WHEREAS**, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered



voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

31.7 acres, more or less: A certain area of land located in Sections 11 and 12 (T16N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and comprised of two separate tracts of land and a portion of the Linwood Avenue dedication. The first tract of land is 25.51 acres, more or less, and identified by Caddo Parish Tax Assessor's Geographic Number 161412-000-0051-00. It is described as the North 660 feet of the West 1683.8 feet of the South Half of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 12. The second tract of land is 5.00 acres, more or less, and identified by Caddo Parish Tax Assessor's Geographic Number 161412-000-0062-00. It is described as the West 5 acres of the North 10 acres of the East 30 acres of the South Half of the Southwest Quarter of said Section 12.

The combined legal description for this annexation is as follows: Commencing at the Southwest corner of said Section 12 run North along the common line between said Sections 11 and 12 a distance of 330 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence run West a distance of 40 feet to a point on the west right-of-way line of Linwood Avenue as dedicated on the plat for Homalot Subdivision, as recorded in Book 1600, Pages 461-463, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; thence run N 0°04'02" E along said dedication a distance of 989.44 feet; thence run East across the Linwood Avenue a distance of 70 feet to a point on the east right-of-way of Linwood Avenue (said point being 30 feet East of the Northwest corner of the SW/4 of the SW/4 of said Section 12); thence run N 89°38' E along the North line of the SW/4 of the SW/4 of said Section 12 a distance of 1306.04 feet to the southwest corner of Lot 38 of the Burnley Pines Subdivision, as recorded in Book 600, Page 63, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; thence run N 89°21' E along the south line of said Burnley Pines Subdivision a distance of 841.9 feet; thence run S 0°14' W a distance of 438.3 feet to a point 31 feet east of a fence corner and iron pipe; thence run S 89°21' W a distance of 493.8 feet; thence run S 0°14' W a distance of 220 feet to the northeast corner of Lot 21 of the Replat of Bonnydune Park subdivision, as per plat filed and recorded in Book 1200, Page 21, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; thence run S 89°21' W along the north line of said Bonnydune Park a distance of 1643.8 feet to the northwest corner of Lot 1 of said Bonnydune Park; thence run South along the East right-of-way line of Linwood Avenue, as shown on the aforementioned Bonnydune Park plat, a distance of 330 feet; thence run West across Linwood Avenue to the common line between Sections 11 and 12 and the Point of Beginning. Said tract containing 31.7 acres, more or less.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby assigned to Council District "D".

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, within ten (10) days of the final passage of this ordinance, with the Clerk of the District Court for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 111 OF 2009**

**AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 10-ACRE TRACT OF LAND, BEING THE CYPRESS CREEK ESTATES SUBDIVISION, LOCATED IN SECTION 21, (T16N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**TAG NO. 09-04**

**WHEREAS**, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

**WHEREAS**, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

10 acres, more or less: A certain tract of land located in the North Half of Section 21 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and being the Cypress Creek Estates subdivision as per plat filed and recorded in Book 6000, Page 44, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and further described as follows: Beginning at the Northeast corner of Lot 26 of the Wildoak Subdivision, as per plat recorded in Book 1500, Page 337, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, run thence S 00° 00' 48" W along the East line of said subdivision a distance of 672.26 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 74 of the Long Lake Estates Subdivision, Unit 6, as per plat recorded in Book 2200, Page 135, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish; run thence S 89° 59' 12" E along the North line of said Unit 6 a distance of 660.00 feet to the Northeast corner of Lot 79 of said Unit 6 and the Southwest corner of Lot 103 of the Long Lake Estates Subdivision, Unit 7, as per plat recorded in Book 2200, Page 235, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish; run thence N 00° 00' 48" E along the West line of said Unit 7 a distance of 618.64 feet to the Northwest corner of Lot 100 of said Unit 7 and a point on the South right-of-way line of Overton Brooks Road; run thence Westerly along the said right-of-way line a curve distance of 451.11 feet: run thence S 89° 58' 04" W a distance of 213.26 feet to the Point of Beginning. Said tract containing an enclosed area of 10 acres, more or less.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby

assigned to Council District "D".

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, within ten (10) days of the final passage of this ordinance, with the Clerk of the District Court for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 112 OF 2009**

**AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 2.06-ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCATED ADJACENT TO THE SOUTHERN TRACE SUBDIVISION IN SECTION 29, (T16N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**TAG NO. 09-10**

**BY: COUNCILMAN**

**WHEREAS**, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

**WHEREAS**, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

A 2.06 acres, more or less: A certain tract of land located in the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 29 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and identified by Caddo Parish Tax Assessor's Geographic Number 161329-000-0161-00, and be more fully described as follows: From the SW corner of Section 29 (16-13), run N 89° 32' 21" E a distance of 3,953.56 feet; thence run N 00° 09' 42" E a distance of 485.27 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence continue N 00° 09' 42" E a distance of 172.63 feet; thence run N 89° 51' 32" E a distance of 1,276.24 feet to the West right-of-way line of Norris Ferry Road; thence run S 00° 08' 07" E along said right-of-way line a distance of 12 feet; thence run S 89° 51' 32" W a distance of 812.77 feet; thence run S 00° 09' 42" W a distance of 161.92 feet; thence run N 89° 44' 59" W a distance of 463.39 feet to the Point of Beginning.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby assigned to Council District "D".

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, within ten (10) days of the final passage of this ordinance, with the Clerk of the District Court for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 115 OF 2009**

#### **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2009 GENERAL FUND BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2009 Budget for the General Fund to appropriate insurance proceeds and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 131 of 2008, the General Fund Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

#### **In Section 1 (Revenues):**

In SPAR, increase Internal Service Charges by \$200,000.

#### **In Section 2 (Appropriations):**

In SPAR, increase Contractual Services by \$200,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 131 of 2008 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 116 OF 2009**

#### **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2009 WATER AND SEWERAGE ENTERPRISE FUND BUDGET, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2009 budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 145 of 2008, the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase Operating Reserves by \$1,214, and decrease Transfer to Capital Projects by \$1,214  
Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 145 of 2008 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect with out the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 117 OF 2009**

#### **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2009 GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2009 budget for the Grants Special Revenue Fund, to appropriate new revenues and for other purposes.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Ordinance No. 142 of 2008, the 2009 budget for the Grants Special Revenue Fund, be amended and re-enacted as follows:

#### **In Section 1, under Fiscal Year 2009 Revenues:**

Insert "2009 JAG 2009 Grant, \$195,400."

Insert "2009 Multi-Jurisdictional Grant, \$53,100."

Insert "Predictive Policing Demonstration Grant, \$38,600."

Insert "2009 State Homeland Security Program Grant, \$69,100."

Insert "2009 Violence Against Women Act Grant, \$13,400."

Insert "JAG 2007 Grant Interest, \$6,000."

Insert "Federal ATF Grant 2008, \$20,000"

#### **In Section 2, under From FY 2009 Revenues:**

Insert "From 2009 JAG 2009 Grant, appropriate \$50,000 to Personal Services and \$145,400 to Other Charges."

Insert "From 2009 Multi-Jurisdictional Grant, appropriate \$30,000 in Personal Services, \$16,700 in Contractual Services and \$6,400 in Improvements and Equipment."

Insert "From 2009 Predictive Policing Demonstration Grant, appropriate \$30,000 in Personal Services and \$8,600 in Improvements and Equipment."

Insert "From 2009 State Homeland Security Program Grant, appropriate \$19,000 in Personal Services and \$50,100 in Improvements and Equipment."

Insert "From 2009 Violence Against Women Act Grant, appropriate \$8,300 in Personal Services, \$600 in Materials and Supplies, \$2,000 in Contractual Services and \$2,500 in Improvements and Equipment."

Insert "From JAG 2007 Grant, appropriate \$6,000 in Other Charges."

Insert "From Federal ATF Grant 2008, appropriate \$20,000 in Personal Services."

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 142 of 2008 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application

thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect with out the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable. BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 118 OF 2009**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2009 RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2009 budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 130 of 2008, the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase Operating Reserves by \$3,937, and decrease Transfer to Capital Projects by \$3,937  
Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 130 of 2008 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect with out the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 119 OF 2009**

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 66-141 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO HEALTH BENEFITS PLAN, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 66-141(a)(3) of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby delete in its entirety.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that Section 66-141 (b)(2) of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances is hereby amended to now read as follows:

Sec. 66-141. Contributions by participating employees and city; changes in benefits; administrative expenses, etc.

\*\*\*

(b) (2) For the year 2010 and thereafter, the percentages of contribution to the health care trust fund of the rate of contribution required for each eligible active employee coverage and for dependent coverage shall be as follows: the city shall contribute 67 percent; and the eligible active employee shall contribute 33 percent. In the event there are two or more alternative health care delivery programs, the city shall contribute an amount equal to 67 percent of the lowest rate for that tier or classification.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that Section 66-141(c)(2) of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances is hereby amended to now read as follows:

Sec. 66.141. Contributions by participating employees and city; changes in benefits; administrative expenses, etc.

\*\*\*

(c) (2) For the year 2010 and thereafter, the percentages of contribution to the health care trust fund of the rate of contribution required for each eligible retired employee for employee coverage and for dependent coverage shall be as follows: The city shall contribute 58 percent; and the eligible retired employee shall contribute 42 percent. In the event there are two or more alternative health care delivery programs, then the city shall contribute 58 percent.

\*\*\*

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

#### **ORDINANCE NUMBER 120 OF 2009**

#### **AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 90-273(d) OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO TRAFFIC AND VEHICLES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that Section 90-273(d) of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances is hereby amended and re-enacted to now read as follows:

Sec. 90-273. Impoundment or immobilization of motor vehicles.

\*\*\*

(d) Any motor vehicle parked upon a street, highway or public property, against which there is a parking citation, including costs and late payment penalties, which has not been paid within sixty (60) days of the date of issuance of the citation, shall be subject to removal, impoundment, detention or immobilization by the city or by a third party contracted to provide these services to the city.

\*\*\*

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 122 OF 2009**

#### **AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF BLOM BOULEVARD AND CRABAPPLE DRIVE AS A FOUR WAY STOP INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BY:**

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in legal and regular session convened to create and establish the intersection of Blom Boulevard and Crabapple Drive as a

four way stop intersection requiring that all traffic and vehicles approaching this intersection shall come to a full stop before entering the intersection.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.**

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.**

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 123 OF 2009**

#### **AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REENACT CHAPTER 2 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES BY ADDING ARTICLE X RELATIVE TO BUDGETS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Long

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Article X of Chapter 2 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby enacted to read as follows:

Article X. Budgets

Sec. 2-475. Scope of capital projects.

- a) When a new capital project is created or established by ordinance, the ordinance shall describe the scope of the project, the amount of the appropriation, and the funding source or sources.
- b) The funds appropriated and the scope of a capital project established by ordinance shall not be materially changed except by an ordinance adopted by the city council, unless the ordinance which established the scope of the project provides that the scope of projects therein may be changed by resolution.
- c) For projects where the scope of the project was described by resolution prior to September 1, 2009, the scope of the project shall not be materially changed except by a resolution adopted by the city council. For projects funded by general obligation bonds, no such change in the scope of a project shall be adopted unless it is consistent with the terms of the bond proposition.

Sec. 2-476. Closure of capital projects.

In accordance with Article 7 of the City Charter, appropriations for capital projects shall remain in force until the project is completed or the appropriation is amended by ordinance. When a project has been completed or a determination has been made that it will not be completed, the project shall be closed by ordinance. No funds remaining from the appropriation for a closed project shall be used for another project or another purpose until the funds have been appropriated by ordinance for that project or purpose.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.**

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.**

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**



1. **Ordinance No. 32 of 2008**: An ordinance to amend and reenact portions of Division 3 of Article V of Chapter 26 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Retained Risk and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced – March 25, 2008 - Tabled April 22, 2008*)
2. **Ordinance No. 24 of 2008**: Amending Chapter 42 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances to add Article XI relative to operation of a rendering plant or transfer center within the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced March 11, 2008 - Tabled May 13, 2008*)
3. **Ordinance No. 83 of 2008**: ZONING: C-35-08 Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of Hilry Huckaby, 1800’ south of North Lakeshore, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-3-E , Community Business/Extended District, to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District **Limited to “an office, equipment storage yard, with the addition of stockpile materials (wood chips/recyclable wood products” only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Introduced July 22, 2008 - Tabled August 26, 2008*)
4. **Ordinance No. 115 of 2008**: An ordinance requiring a permit for use of municipal property for business operations in the City of Shreveport; requiring the provision of certain information prior to issuance of such permit; and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Introduced September 9, 2008 - Tabled October 14, 2008*)
5. **Ordinance No. 166 of 2008**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 98 of the Code of Ordinances relative to vegetation, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced November 25, 2008 - Tabled January 27, 2009*)
6. **Ordinance No. 33 of 2009**: Authorizing the City to close and restrict vehicular access to Fannin Street from Baker Street to Douglas Street, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Walford) (*Tabled July 14, 2009*)
7. **Ordinance No. 64 of 2009**: ZONING: C-34-09 – Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances, by rezoning of property located on the northwest corner of Flournoy-Lucas Road and I-49, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A Residence/Agriculture District, to B-3, Community Business District with MPC Approval, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Mr. Thompson: We discussed it yesterday, this is a zoning ordinance that needs to be removed from the agenda. It was remanded back to the MPC. The MPC denied or recommended that it be denied and therefore it was not appealed, and there was nothing for the Council to vote on, and it should be removed.

**Motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to withdraw Ordinance No. 64 of 2009 from the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

8. **Resolution No. 179 of 2009**: A resolution to launch a Council investigation as authorized by Section 4.29 of the Charter and to appoint a committee to conduct the investigation; to retain the services of a special legal consultant or consultants as authorized by Charter Section 4.29 to assist in said investigation, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Tabled September 10, 2009)*

9. **ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

**BAC-57-09**: Property located at 4400 Hilry Huckaby III Ave, Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/Lester) (Postponed October 13, 2009)

**Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

10. **PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEALS**:

**HBO0700145** – 426 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Ms. Carolyn Miller*, 424 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA 71105 (F/Shyne) *(Tabled August 25, 2008)*

**PSD0700131**: 1530 Arlington, Good Samaritan Funeral Home, Inc., Shreveport, La (A/Lester) *Mr. Winnifred Jackson*, 2200 Laurel St, Shreveport, La (A/Lester) *(Tabled June 9, 2008)*

**PSD0900177**: 171 Ardmore, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) *Ms. Shelia Wharton*, 3215 Knight Street #147, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) *(Postponed October 12, 2009 until December 7, 2009)*

**PSD0900006**: 2006 Looney Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) *Ms. Niakia Cook-Jones*, 6777 Raspberry Lane, Apartment 1421, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *(Postponed August 24, 2009 until November 20, 2009)*

**PSD00900103**: 2860 Looney Street, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Mr. Reginald Mims*, 527 East 85<sup>th</sup> Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (D/Wooley) *(Postponed October 12, 2009 until November 20, 2009)*

**PSD0700058**: 557 Egan Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *Mr. Daniel Markulus*, 853 Place, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *(Postponed April 27, 2009 until November 9, 2009)*

**PSD0900204**: 1048 Rutherford, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *Mrs. Janet Hummer*, 5824 Fern Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71106 (C/Long) *(Postponed September 4, 2009 until November 9, 2009)*

**PSD0700214**: 2732 Logan Street. Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Willie Roberson*, 6915 Quilen Rd, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) *(Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009)*

**HBO0800039:** 7221 Bethany Street, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) *Mr. Ellis Pope*, 11085 Sandwood Drive, Keithville, LA 71047 ) (*Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009*)

**HBO0900005:** 241 Wichita Street, Shreveport, LA 71101 (B/Walford) *Ms. Deborah McCauley*, 241 Wichita Street, Shreveport, LA 71101 (B/Walford) *October 26, 2009 - Council voted to overturn the decision of the Property Standards Board of Appeals and remove this item from the agenda.*

**PSD0800336:** 1652 ½ Cross Lake Blvd, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Mr. Donald R. Pelham*, 150 Humming Bird Lane Blvd, Stonewall, LA 71078 (*Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009*)

**PSD0800344:** 2723 W. Jordan, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *Mr. Clarence Davis*, 906 N. Victory Drive, Houston, TX 77088 (*Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009*)

**PSD0900029:** 2639 West College, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Mr. Kevin Belcher*, P.O. Box 3313, Shreveport, LA 71133 (*Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009*)

**11. 2010 BUDGET ORDINANCES** (*To be adopted no later than Dec 15, 2009*) (*Introduced and Tabled October 13, 2009*)

124 Adopting the 2010 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

125 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Riverfront Special Revenue Fund and appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise provide with respect thereto.

126 Adopting the 2010 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

127 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

128 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Golf Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

129 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Airports Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

130 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

131 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Information Technology Service Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

- 132 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund, Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 133 Adopting the 2010 Budget funding contractual services provided to SPORTRAN by Metro Management Associates, Inc., and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 134 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 135 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Grants Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 136 Adopting the 2010 Debt Service Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 137 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Shreveport Redevelopment Agency Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 138 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Convention Center Hotel Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 139 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Downtown Entertainment Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
- 140 Adopting the 2010 Budget for the Downtown Parking Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

### **PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEAL:**

**PSD0800395:** 1730 Jackie Robinson Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) Ms. Chrisanna L. Freeman. (*Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009*)

## **REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES**

Councilman Walford: Our Riverfront B-4 Committee met, and discussed what our scope and mission would be. And I think it was unanimously agreed that we would focus on the possibility of the City assuming the operation and ownership of the Red River District.

Councilman Webb: Okay.

Councilwoman Bowman: I wanted to announce that we will have a Public Safety Meeting, 2:00 on Monday, November 30<sup>th</sup>, the place is to be announced.

Councilman Webb: Y'all will have to definitely remind me on that one.

Councilwoman Bowman: Right. Everybody's schedule just needed to coincide.

Councilman Shyne: Is that - - - ?

Councilman Walford: You'll be recovered for Thanksgiving.

Councilman Shyne: I know we're going down to New Orleans to scratch up some Jaguars.

Councilman Lester: Now, you can go, but whether you accomplish your goals is another story.

**CLERK'S REPORT:**

**Revised Staff Report** - Ordinance No. 64 of 2009: ZONING: C-34-09 – Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinances, by rezoning of property located on the northwest corner of Flournoy-Lucas Road and I-49, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A Residence/Agriculture District, to B-3, Community Business District with MPC Approval, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)  
(Remand – July 14, 2009)

Mr. Thompson: The only report we have, we've already taken care of it, Ordinance No. 64.

**THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting)**

**ADJOURNMENT:** There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:39 p.m.

---

*//s// Ron Webb, Chairman*

---

*//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council*