

Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana
August 26, 2008

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Joe Shyne at 3:08 p.m., Tuesday, August 26, 2008, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Wooley.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Walford.

Councilman Shyne: Is Councilwoman Bowman here?

Councilwoman Bowman: Here, but maybe y'all need to pray for her.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I thought maybe you had something to do with that. There you go. Check her temperature out. Have a doctor in the house? A Pastor in the house? If you don't have a doctor in the house, the next salvation or maybe I should have said the preacher first Tom, and then go to the doctor next.

Councilman Walford: I stayed at the Holiday Inn Express a while back.

Councilman Shyne: That's not going to do Joyce any good. Joyce been staying at a Holiday Inn Express since her house (inaudible) right.

Councilman Walford: You've seen those commercials?

Councilman Shyne: Right. Madam Clerk, would you?

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Absent: None.

Councilman Shyne: I'm sorry Bea, you may have to listen very closely, cause I think Councilwoman Bowman has a sore throat.

Ms. Johnson: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: I don't know whether it was a softball game, or a baseball game, or football practice or something.

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, August 11, 2008 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, August 12, 2008. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Shyne, thank you so much Mr. Shyne, honorable members of the Council. As I said to you on yesterday, I wanted to start today's communications off by asking that we stand and offer a moment of silence in honor and recognition of the

passing of a great friend of mine. I know a great friend of probably everyone on this Council, as well as enumerable folks from across the City of Shreveport, the late Commissioner Hershel Brown. Mr. Chairman, at your direction, we will have that moment of silence. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: I'm sorry Mr. Chairman, being the son of a Methodist Preacher, country Methodist Preacher, it just comes natural for me to say Amen at the end of a prayer.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman I also mentioned that I would on today give you all just kind of a brief synopsis on the trip to Detroit that was taken by myself and Parish Administrator Woody Wilson, along with Councilman Ron Webb, as well as Gov Bobby Jindal and Secretary of Economic Stephen Moret. It was scheduled for the 15th of this month. A week ago this past Friday, and while it was portrayed by some sources that the intent of our effort was to go and to convince the folks at General Motors to change their mind with regard to the decision to suspend the second shift at the plant. What we were in fact seeking to do was to talk about how it is that we would work in conjunction with General Motors to craft a future for GM Shreveport that would encompass not just the current product lines that are being produced out there, but that would work with them, be their partner. To transform that plant which has received well over a billion dollars in investment in the last half decade. But how we work with them to position it to be the General Motors Plant of the future. Recognizing that the need to be as flexible as possible, as versatile as possible, as sensitive to the consumer as possible, we wanted to let them know that unquestionably they had a City, a Parish, and a State that was well positioned and eager to commit to working with them to ensure that General Motors' presence in Shreveport would last for decades to come. And I'm very pleased to state that our discussions were extremely productive. I think there is a common vision that is coming together. We learned quite a bit in listening to Mr. Troy Clark who is the head of General Motors, North America and what they see as the current challenges as well as the future opportunities for General Motors, and they heard from us exactly what it is that we feel that we can bring to the table to ensure that we put ourselves in a position to be a part of the GM family for the long term. There was a commitment to follow up on a regular basis communicating one to the other on every 4-6 week time frame. It was also suggested that we would avail ourselves of an opportunity to be able to visit and interact further with leadership at General Motors, specifically with regard to the General Motors Technology Center within Warren, MI. Also that there are two plants within the General Motors system right now that currently represent the GM of the future, as far as manufacturing is concerned. I think one is the Springhill Plant in Tennessee. And Mr. Webb, you might be able to correct me on that, and the other one might be the Lansing River Plant. I think it's the one that currently has the ability to be able to make more trucks and cars all in the same facility, which is part of the golden objective is in terms of General Motors Shreveport to make it as flexible and as indispensable as possible to the future plans and goals of General Motors. So we look forward to working with them in the months to come, to achieve the goals of keeping General Motors in Shreveport for a very long time. We followed that meeting up with a meeting here at the local level with both the Acting General Manager out at GM as well as the leadership of the UAW, to kind of give them a briefing and update on the visit itself, as well as to listen to any concerns or any problems that may be right now affecting those workers both at General

Motors as well as involving the suppliers as well. So I'm proud to also say that everything appears to be going very smoothly in that direction at this particular time. Also mentioned to you all on yesterday, that we spent the first half of yesterday in Baton Rouge meeting with members of the Governors staff discussing the future of the film industry in Louisiana. We feel very confident that we have a very excellent opportunity to be able to at this point, craft an agenda, and a strategy that both the Mayors of Louisiana was a meeting of the Louisiana Conference of Mayors, along with members of the Governors Economic Development staff to talk about the impact and benefit of the film industry at the local level. And there was a commitment all the way around that we work as expeditiously as possible to try and get a good vision in front of the legislature possibly as early as January, and certainly at the latest in the regular session of this upcoming legislative session. And lastly, I just want to send out a couple rounds of kudos to James and Louise Burton, the folks involved with the International Music Festival, International Guitar Festival rather, sponsored by the James Burton Foundation. And it was here in Shreveport this past weekend. Just simply unparalleled participation from every sector of the city and the region. One of the few sellouts I've seen in the Municipal Auditorium in recent years. The entirety of the General Admission section was sold out. It was headlined by Emmylou Harris. Other folks like Delbert McClinton in attendance, John Goodman the actor, also singer was there as well. And did a great deal to add to the overall quality of life for the City of Shreveport. So I commend all the folks who supported and participated in that effort as well. And lastly, I want to wrap up by saying that I know Charles Kirkland is here with the Metropolitan Planning Commission. He and I have been having some discussions in recent weeks concerning the status of the Master Planning process, and Charles for our collective sakes, I won't share with the Council some of the comments that were shared from the Administration's side. But I think you've got some comments you'd like to share with the Administration and the Council today concerning the status of Master Planning. So Mr. Chairman and Members of the Council, if you would, I would like you to extend some time to Mr. Kirkland to be able to give an update on the status of the Master Planning process.

Mr. Kirkland: Thank you Mayor. Thank you Council. Some of you might be saying finally, but maybe you ought to hear the report. We think it's a good one. There's been a lot of work going on behind the scenes, not with the Planning Commission, but with the MPC staff. I think you've heard me say before, in fact I know you have is that we on the Planning Commission staff and on the MPC Board, want to as much as possible do this as best it can be done. It is so important to this city and this parish that we do an excellent job of preparing a Master Plan for our future direction. We have a whose said repeatedly 'The Next Great City.' The Next Great City only happens if you have a plan that you follow. One of the most difficult things, now there are no books on how to plan. There are all kinds of articles, all kinds of ways to do this, and frankly the staff and the MPC has looked at a lot of cities, been to a lot of conferences, read a lot of books, read a lot of articles. But Shreveport, LA is unique. It always will be, and what we have to do is try to fit our city with what will work, and the most important part of the entire planning process is how do we reach the people. As you probably well know, even as an elected official, rarely do you have the young people, and rarely do you have the school aged children and others involved with you in the future planning of our city.

There are folks who come only when there's a (inaudible) in the ditch, or there's a problem, or there's somebody mad about something that might be a zoning issue or whatever, but the ones that are working hard, that are out there doing what they need to be do, educating their children. In other words, the (inaudible) almost say 90% of this city and parish, those people, you don't hear from them at all, and what we want to do is reach those people to let them know that their input is crucial to this planning process. Roy Jambor has spent many, many hours at home and at the office working out methods of how to do this. How do we communicate well. We've got all our RFQs that are going out this week, that will begin to answer that question, once we hire those people. We will be putting in front of you, if we haven't already started, not only are the RFQs that are going out that list also the components of what will be in this preliminary consideration of the Master Plan. But he also has a timeline. Some people call it 'critical path,' in fact that's the first thing I called it, and Roy says it - - - what is it Roy?

Mr. Jambor: We just don't use that word anymore.

Mr. Kirkland: Well that's an old word. Well the Mayor and I used it, but I guess he and I are on the same page. But regardless, there is an important sequence to all this happening according to a timeline. We project out about a year, and Roy is going to speak further to that. One of the more other difficult things has been a way to get your hands around your entire community. And that is through planning districts. Now this concept has worked in other cities, in other governing agencies. You don't use Council Districts, you don't use Parish Districts, political boundaries, but you do use what make sense in terms of trying to plan. And we know that as you try to form those, and I don't know how many times Stephen has put those together and taken them apart, and even as late as last week, we had some people, and Tom, and I know others, we (inaudible) we made some changes already. We will continue to make a lot of changes to these districts. We've got 16 of them now. Stephen is going to tell you more about them in a minute, but what we want to do is get down to, if there's anyway to do it to 7 or 8. I don't know if - - - remember the planning are 308 square miles, so that if you have a number that's easier, and lest costly to develop a plan. But we would go into those districts and intensely work back and forth for the citizens and business owners and others in those areas. We are already to go and I know that you have been eager for this to happen, and frankly that's one of the best signs I have seen in years, not only having a Mayor sitting over here who is a champion of this process in getting it done, but to have you as Council also saying we want it done, having 12 Parish Commissioners, 11 thank God that Hershel was there too, but 11 Parish Commissioners now are saying the same thing. And the Administration of the Parish, Woody Wilson ran on the platform of Planning. That's almost unheard of. And so to me, and it's almost intimidating to know we've got for the first time that I can remember in almost 30 years that many elected officials ready to say lets do it. And I'm glad to hear it, and I compliment you and the Mayor's office and others for opening this window and saying let's get it done. Now with that I'm going to ask Roy to make a few comments about his part of it.

Mr. Jambor: Yeah, the packaged that's been handed out to you includes as Mr. Kirkland said, it includes two RFQs and one RFP. Those are actually ready to be issued. And they are specifically mentioned in what you might refer to as the timeline or the schedule that's been passed out. That's basically a 12 month schedule with very specific tasks and subtasks listed on there that will be addressed very quickly in the process. I

don't expect you guys to decipher this in its entirety. Just be aware that it's there for your disposal, and if you should have any questions, or need any clarification, just call us. There's a lot of data and reports that are linked to these things. We can print you out as much detail as you want, but I guess the key thing that this represents is our intention to monitor and manage this process throughout. One of the reasons that it's done in this manner is that it allows us to track term, resources, money as we go along and recognize where these certain critical dates come up and if at whatever point these dates get challenged for whatever reason by either the performance of the consultant or lack of capacity, allows us to address those things fairly easily, and in what I might call deliberate manner that they can be addressed and without jeopardizing the overall completion date that we anticipate. One of the reasons that it really kinda projects out just for 12 months is not that we have no idea of what goes behind that, but what it does is project out to what you might consider to be the preliminary draft stage. It includes a 30 day public response time, in order that we can get feedback on that, and it's basically where you go from there to a great extent is determined by the reaction to that. And as a result, even though we have some idea about what goes on beyond that for the matter of convenience, we just decided not to publish at this point. Like I said, the RFQs are there in their infinite detail. These are the final copies as they are ready to be - - - gone out. They include deadlines for the responses which are in fact reflected on the schedule. Like I said, feel free to call us at whatever point and time you have questions.

Mr. Kirkland: Thanks Roy. The other thing I'd like to mention. There've been some comments in the newspaper about closed loop insinuating meetings held behind closed doors. I think most of you know the MPC of Shreveport and Caddo Parish is one of the most open public agencies in this city and parish. Bar none we publicize our meetings 72 hours, not 24. We are an open body, we've been meeting in public session for the last three months, 3rd Wednesday of the month is an open session. We've invited everybody to come to those meetings. It's not a public hearing, it's a meeting to talk about the Master Plan. At the last meeting, we had one citizen here, a recent appointee to the ZBA, Alan Berry. The time's no media was here. Now they know this, and as much as that, they still criticize the process. What I'm saying folks, if anybody that you know wants to have something to say to the MPC about this entire process, because we're going to do one thing well, we're going to listen. And we're going to do our best to respond to what our citizens want, ask them to come to those meetings. We invite you or any other constituents. We said the same thing to the Parish Commission. That's what it's all about. All the sunshine, the sunlight it can get. Because when this process is finished, if our citizens don't say to us that it's our plan and they own it, then we will not have succeeded. And in that regard, I'm going to ask Stephen to give you a few comments about the planning districts.

Mr. Stephen Jean: The planning districts were prepared to actually provoke discussion and I'm happy to report that that's already been successful. We've had several comments every time that we've presented this. This set includes, what's in front of you includes just the planning on this at this time. There has been some desire by the Parish to look at the entire parish, and I'm sure there'll be more discussion about that. Just want to make sure that this is not instant stuff. This strictly just the starting basis for discussion. The number of these (inaudible) these boundaries and what these boundaries are, we expect vigorous debate in that regard. As Mr. Kirkland alluded to, it

would be more practical to have a fewer number of districts. This is just the way it came it when we first looked at it. Once we begin to do this, we make - - - after we have some of this initial comment, we'll have this available for general public comment. Eventually consultants and staff will meet with citizens to divide the common issues within a specific district. When that occurs, what we may have happen is we may have the districts collapse together, we may have them separate. Because what we're looking for is common issues that the citizens find to be something in common that they can get behind. Often times, what might happen is during this course of time that we begin to look at it, we may find that two districts have extremely similar issues. That means that those districts may need to collapse together. We may find another district that has a lot of differences, and maybe it needs to be split. So, as we go through this process, it'll evolve. We are at this point and all the presentations we've done to date, is we are encouraging everyone to give us comment. If you want to check the map and draw lines on it, you want a written comments or email, whatever you're most suited to do including my card with the documentation to each one of you so you can give me a call, you can send me an email. Whatever comments you want to have, this is the purpose of putting this out there is to solicit some type of response. The methodology that we utilized when we did this was we looked at - - - and you may or may not be familiar with traffic analysis zone, the U.S. Census defines the traffic analysis zone as a special area delineated by state and local transportation officials for tabulating traffic related data especially journey to work, place of work statistics, and it usually consist of one more census block, census groups or census tracts. It's a very analytical boundary that we thought would be a good basis so that we could start to look at these different areas. Also we looked at locations of natural and manmade geographic boundaries, locations of major transportation systems, major industry, common infrastructure issues, development type of density, locations of historic places and structures, locations of potential hazards and environmental concerns, common economic issues, for example blighted buildings, closed businesses or even accelerated growth in certain areas of the city as an issue. What is not included in this and I have not had any analysis of how these fall within Council, or Commission, or School Board districts, fire districts, water/sewer districts, voter precincts, T-Bone districts, none of that analysis has taken place. This is strictly to look at it for common issues for planning. So, if you have any questions, concerns, or comments, from you or (inaudible) to get with me, and I'll be glad to record those for consideration as this evolves. Thank you for your time.

Councilman Webb: I don't have any questions, I just have a comment. I just want to say I think y'all are doing a wonderful job in trying to move this forward and I think there's a select few people out there that are sending a bad message to people that everything is being done behind closed doors when it's not. And the opportunity is there, it's unfortunate that a lot of people want it done, but they don't want to get involved in doing it. And that's the world that we live in today. And I think that everything is going well, and I think y'all are giving everybody more than ample opportunity to voice their opinion. And if they have an opinion, they need to voice it. This is the time to do it.

Mr. Kirkland: Well we thank you very much. We just hope that people who have something to say will take advantage of the at least once a month public meeting to come forward and tell us what they want to tell us. And let us know ahead of time, and we'll make sure that they have plenty of time to do that. But it won't be long now,

because once the consultants are out, we will be out in these districts. And let's say there's a time on this to making the changes. We want to hopefully do that within the next 60 days. So, if you have changes, or if you think some of your constituents do, please get back to us on that fairly quickly. Because we will have to assign the consultants to be out in these areas working on the plan. So with that we appreciate, I do, and I know the MPC Board does more than I could possibly tell you how proud I am of our city to be willing to undertake this, and I think the environment for how we're going to do it. The open arms and the open ears to listen to our citizens. And as you know our city, and I know it from going to other cities, and I think you and the Mayor and others do, we've got a real challenge in our city. We've got people that believe that their thoughts or their comments don't mean anything to government. It's not that you don't listen to them, or that we don't. But they also don't believe that they have anything to say. And we've got to change that. We've got to try to get them involved. And as I said earlier to me a lot of these younger people who will own the city, who will be sitting where you sit, those are a lot of the folks we want to get as well as our senior citizens and others who typically will come out. So, I won't belabor my remarks today, but I want to say to you, either fax us, call us, come to the meetings, whatever, we want to listen to what you, especially you, have to say. Any questions? Otherwise we'll sit down.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you Charles, we appreciate it.

Mr. Jambor: I may be the only one that picked up a bad copy, but if you don't have three solicitations in your folder, let us know.

Mr. Kirkland: We'll just get you a new one.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Charles, thank you Roy, and thank you Stephen. Mr. Shyne and Members of the Council, I just want to simply wrap up Mayoral Communications by expressing a warm Shreveport or extending rather a warm Shreveport congratulation to Mr. Kendrick Ferris. A young man who is a native of this city, who grew up in the Stoner Hill section of town, graduated from Byrd College, attended college at LSU Shreveport and has become one of the eminent weight lifting athletes in the entire world. As we all know he recently competed in the Olympics in Beijing, China. He also was one of the first individuals to be welcomed before this Council and receive a Mayoral Award of Excellence. And while he did not achieve either the gold, silver or medal winner in his division, he did in fact finish in the Top 10. I believe he was No. 8 at the Olympics which is still without question an outstanding accomplishment. So on behalf of everyone in the Mayor's office and all the folks in the City of Shreveport, we want to extend to him a hearty congratulations. And I've also in conjunction with Dr. Vince Marsalis the Chancellor of LSU Shreveport and staff and faculty there, we have decided to establish September 9th, which is two weeks from today as Kendrick Ferris' Day in the City of Shreveport. And we will be asking Kendrick and his wonderful mother, who is staffed here at Caddo Parish and works here in this building to once again join us so that we along with the good folks out at Shreveport and others from around this area have an appreciation for all that Kendrick has accomplished. And let him know just how much we love him. So we look forward to having him as a part of these proceedings on two weeks from today. Also on that same day, I have invited our new Chancellor, Dr. Daryl Dawkins to be our guest here. He will be a part of a 2:00 p.m. reception in his honor on the second floor in the Mayor's

office. I would certainly invite each of you all to come and participate, and if you all are so inclined, we would certainly welcome him to come and offer some comments before this body and the rest of the City of Shreveport. Dr. Dawkins is in his second week as head of the Caddo Parish School System, and he was gracious enough to invite myself along with other members of the Administration to come and be a part of his 'Hop On The Bus' effort on the first day of school. And had the opportunity to visit three schools in the Parish with a host of city leaders, and just an excellent way for a new leader to indicate that not only does he support the professionals at the central office, but that he knows that the real learning takes place in the classroom. And so I will look forward to having a chance to hear from him and obviously from the Mayor's office, we're going to be working with him, shoulder to shoulder to help push the Caddo Parish School System as high and as far as they can go. And we also look forward to supporting the different students in the different schools around this area as well, and also Council Members, I will in the not too distance future, be signing up what will be an annual occurrence as long as I'm the Mayor of the City of Shreveport and probably even extend thereafter, my membership and all of the various school PTAs across the City of Shreveport. And I certainly thank you all, if you are so inclined to join me in particular for those schools within your districts. We know we need those teachers working in conjunction with the parents supporting the efforts of the students for Caddo Parish to be able to achieve and ascend to the greatness that it's destined to be. And so thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you Members of the Council, and we look forward to the rest of the meeting.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, we thank you for that invitation, and I would suggest to my colleagues, that if you all really think a lot of Dr. Dawkins, come with some gifts in your hand. I'd just like to take a moment to introduce and ask our Caddo Parish Corner to come forward and give us a word or two, if it's nothing but a blessing.

Dr. Todd Thoma: Thank you Mr. Shyne. I did not come prepared, and I was not on the agenda, and was asked to speak and I'll be very brief. Appreciate the time to come up here. I appreciate the support that everybody's given the Coroner's office here in Caddo Parish. I'll give you a brief update. I took over 5 months ago, and it's been a daunting 5 months as you can imagine. We have made a lot of improvements. We've done a lot of things that I ran on, the platform that I ran on. As of March 24th, we started doing our autopsies locally, instead of sending them out of state. We've been doing those at LSU Medical Center for the last 5 months. We've also been able to recruit and take a little bit of a leadership role in North Louisiana and recruit other parishes in North Louisiana to use local resources and local transportation services also. We have reinstated the Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners for forensic nurse examinations for child abuse cases and rape cases locally which has been very favorably received by both the DA's office, the Sheriff and the Police Department. We've set up protocols that we allow to QI and look at performance improvement, which ways we can improve those situations. Those have gotten much better. The office itself is a little antiquated, so I've spent a lot of my time in the last five months bringing us up. I walked into 75 file cabinets full of records and I've been able to archive those, set up an electronic file system, bring us up into the year 2008. We're now doing electronic medical records. I'm also proud to say that I'm in the office everyday. And we have had no outstanding death certificates. I do Monday's death certificates on Monday, and Tuesday's on Tuesday. So everything seems to be going well. I appreciate the support of the City,

and Council, and if you have any questions in particular that you'd like to ask me at the Coroner's office, I appreciate the time addressing you folks.

Councilman Shyne: This is not a question, and then I will turn it over to Monty. I would just like to, if it's alright with the Council, I'd like to extend on behalf of the Council, an opportunity for you to come before this Body at least twice year, and maybe kinda give us an update.

Dr. Thoma: I would be happy to come anytime I'm invited.

Councilman Shyne: Well we really appreciate this, because the Coroner's office has been one office that I guess Charles and I been around longer than anybody except Monty Walford and Ron Webb, and you know we've never had this kind of information and we've never had the Coroner be accessible to this public body, and Doc, we just want to let you know that we really appreciate it.

Dr. Thoma: Well I appreciate y'all. And once again, we're open and anytime I can come by, I'd be happy to discuss anything with you.

Councilman Walford: Just one question. We're about to go into the budget process. Will all this efficiency, by any chance, lower our bills?

Dr. Thoma: I'm hesitating when I say that. I'm working on a budget right now. We actually came in under budget for last year, if that's what you're asking. But I think the big savings comes from what we've passed on to the City and the Parish. What's happened is using local resources, we were able to save about \$300-350 per autopsy. Somewhere around \$300 per transportation that's passed onto the city in local resources. So, those are the things that don't directly come out of the Coroner's budgets. My budget is basically an operational budget, but the money that's saved is the money that's passed on as costs that I deemed reasonable and unavoidable and passed onto the city. We've also looked at the numbers, if you look, we do about 4,000 death investigations a year. But we're running about 12-13% autopsy rates prior to my taking office. We're now down around 9%. So not only are we saving per autopsy report, we're doing less autopsies. We're doing a little more streamlined investigations. The Coroner's job is to make sure that there's no crime involved and no public health risk. So, it's not necessarily the Coroner's job to determine cause of death on every person that dies in Caddo Parish, but it is his job to make sure that there's not crime or no public health risk. So we're streamlining it and not doing quite as many, so I think you'll see the savings that way.

Councilman Shyne: Doc, we appreciate it, if there are no other comments, we appreciate you just kinda dropping by. And next time, you don't have to just drop by, you'll be invited. Any communications from Council Members?

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, I have a special guest, I'd like to bring him up real quick. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, we've got a good friend of mine, a United States Veteran, Councilman Walford would appreciate, he wears the colors of the Army, a 27 year veteran. Viet Nam, Panama, Germany, Korea. Graduate of Southern University Law Center and Undergrad. Also a of the members of one of the greatest organizations of men every created. But I digress.

Councilman Shyne: What is that, what is that organization?

Councilman Lester: That would be the Omega Psi Phi Fraternity, Inc., thank you for asking.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, send me some water here please. I just got strangled.

Mayor Glover: I thought you were barking Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Lester: Right. Col Willie Banks is here from Leesville, and he wanted to come and introduce himself just really quickly to the Council, and I would ask him to come forward if he would.

Mr. Banks: Thank you Mr. Chairman, Lady and Gentlemen of the Council. Mr. Mayor, it's a pleasure to be here. I rise sole for the opportunity to introduce myself to you formerly. And allow you an opportunity for you to put a name to the face, and a face to a name that I hope is spreading like wildfire throughout the community of Shreveport. And although I am a candidate for Congress, I'm not here to campaign, but I would make a brief request and an observation. The observation is this. The majority of the people that I'm talking to is not aware that there is a September 6th Primary. The request that I would simply make is your help to let people know that there is a September 6th Primary, and that we're in the midst of early voting at this moment. And I can tell you that 80% of the people that I talk to from your citizenry as well as well as mine, are not aware of that fact. I thank you for the opportunity to appear. It's a pleasure every time I'm here in the city, and I've been here quite a lot of late, and I always come and visit the second best major military installation in this state, Barksdale. I'm from the area of Ft. Polk. I thank you all for the opportunity to appear, and you will see a lot of me on your local TV stations and walking and running around in this city. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you Col.

Mayor Glover: Thank you for your service.

Councilman Shyne: Any other Council Members have any quests? If not Mr. Holt.

Reports: Property Standards Report

Councilman Shyne: Jim, before you get started, and I don't want to forget anything, but we got a call from Mr. Olden. I missed it the other day on TV, I think it's 425 Harrison Street where I'm told that there was a dog found in - - -

Mr. Holt: He evidently called the Mayor's office also. I just got an email about it, and it was written up for demolition (inaudible) this month. It's ready to go as soon as we can get to it legally.

Councilman Shyne: That's what I wanted to pass on to you Jim.

Mr. Holt: That one you gave me earlier Mr. Shyne, that man was issued a citation to Court on August 13th. So that'll be coming to a head pretty soon.

Councilman Shyne: What we had talked about earlier?

Mr. Holt: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: Out at the store?

Mr. Holt: No sir. The one from Mr. Ferdinand.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, okay. Thank you.

Councilman Walford: Yesterday we had some discussion actually more after the meeting I think regarding some stores that didn't have a policy of keeping the premises clean. Were you part of that?

Mr. Holt: Dollar Mania on Hollywood? We're sending an inspector back out to issue citations.

Councilman Shyne: Would you also (inaudible) Kings Hwy between Highland and Creswell.

Mr. Holt: Dollar General?

Mayor Glover: That's a Family Dollar.

Councilman Walford: Would you check that one as well please, have the inspector check that one as well?

Councilman Lester: Well since you opened that Pandora's Box Mr. Walford, there's a similar facility on Martin Luther King Drive, whose name has been previously mentioned that you might want to have our inspector go take a look at.

Mr. Holt: The one we're familiar with?

Councilman Lester: Oh yes sir. Apparently there's, there's - - - I mean, don't get me wrong, we appreciate the fact that they have located a store in the neighborhood, but there's an axiom that says 'cleanliness is next to Godliness.' And it's not very Godly to keep that amount of trash over there. Particularly in that location because it's right next to Alphonse Jackson Headstart Center, and I don't want to have anymore of those issues where our kids have to deal with that trash.

Mr. Holt: Our best luck in dealing with that particular institution is to contact their corporate office, and let them deal with their general managers. I mean, we've tried the easy way as I said yesterday, and if that doesn't work, then we can certainly give the manager a citation to court, which we don't mind doing. But the better step is to go up the ladder to their bosses and we'll do that.

Councilman Shyne: And Jim with the Dollar Mania store on Hollywood, please lets follow through on that. They guy was extremely hostile and he kinda implied that it didn't matter what we were trying to do to clean the city up, that was not a part of his agenda. His agenda was to run the establishment and to sell his goods. And he wasn't basically concerned about what happened on the outside and I was trying to explain to him that all of that was a part of his property. And you know he needs to keep it clean. They make good money. Jim we appreciate it, we thank you. We'll move on.

Public Hearing: None

Adding Items to the Agenda, Confirmations and/or Appointments, and Public Comments

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Thompson, I believe on yesterday, in order for us to abide by the new laws that were passed by the Louisiana State Legislature, we have some items to be added to the agenda, some legislation to be added to the agenda, and - - -

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Shyne that was Act No. 131 of 2008 added some new procedures for the Council to follow when items are to be added to the agenda. In accordance with those procedures, I will read the resolution into the record. I will then give a brief explanation as to why the resolution is being requested that it be added. Today, we have two. I'll read both of those and give the explanations. And then after that, the law requires that any person, that you give the opportunity for anybody to speak in opposition to or for adding those items to the agenda, and then once that's completed,

and the motion is made to include the reasons for adding them, then it must be approved by a unanimous vote of all of the Council Members present. So with that explanation, I'll proceed if that's okay Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: That's okay with the Council.

Adding Items to the Agenda

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 216 of 2008**: A resolution declaring the intention of the city of Shreveport (the "City") to proceed with the issuing of not to exceed Eleven Million and No/100 (\$11,000,000) dollars Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Airport Cargo Facility Project) Series 2008C-AMT subject for the purpose of (i) the acquisition, construction and equipping of a 57,000 square foot cargo freight facility at Shreveport Regional Airport, (ii) funding a debt service reserve fund, if required, (iii) amending and/or reintegrating an existing swap agreement if determined to be appropriate and feasible, and (iv) paying the costs of issuance thereof and further providing with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we ask that this be added as Resolution No. 216 of 2008 to replace the existing Resolution No. 216 of 2008, and placed under 9A on the Agenda's Second Reading and Final Passage so that it can be adopted today. The difference between this one and the first one I read is this one authorizes the hiring of professionals. The purpose for adding both of these is that they were not completed by the Bond Attorneys at the time that our agenda was printed and I believe that the Administration would agree that it's necessary that we proceed with these two matters.

Councilman Walford: Do we have access to the existing resolution No. 216 of 2008? I'd like to see it before we vote.

Mr. Thompson: It's already on the agenda. The differences between the existing 216, and this one is that the - - - we had to change it out because the title is different. The title is not complete.

Councilman Shyne: Basically, it's the same resolution with the title?

Mr. Thompson: It does the same thing. The title was not complete. Mr. Lad Shemwell is here to answer any questions. Mr. Weems Mr. Chairman, we learned that his father died and he's not here, but representing the firm is Mr. Lad Shemwell if there are any questions.

Councilman Shyne: We'd like to send our condolences to Attorney Ron Weems.

Mr. Lad Shemwell: Thank you very much, I'll pass it along.

Councilman Shyne: I believe you have Ms. Jackie Scott? Jackie, come around, let us see you.

Mr. Lad Shemwell: She's co-bond counsel on his matter.

Councilman Shyne: And a very astute attorney. I've heard a lot of good things about you.

Councilman Walford: Is this a refunding? Or is this the original \$9,000,000 that suddenly jumped up to \$11,000,000.

Mr. Shemwell: This is the original \$9,000,000.

Councilman Walford: And we're not refunding or swapping? There's no swap agreement involved?

Mr. Shemwell: There may be, and this is the reason why. We had originally attempted to put the refunding and the cargo facility together in one group. Upon recommendation of the State Bond Commission, we split those two issues out and the refunding, the ordinance is on the ordinances is up today. We split away from the cargo facility, which was originally \$9,000,000. The cost of the project was going to be \$10,800,000 but it was going to be funded from some of the Airport Authority reserve. So, the reason the swap language is in there is we have an existing swap with regard to the refunding - - - the bonds that are being refunded, the 2007 Series A & B. And if it's deemed advisable by the financial advisor for the city, we may use that swap on a variable rate, and depending on how this Council votes obviously, on a variable rate issue for the cargo facility, if it will provide protection with regard to a variable rate. Obviously, we've got to get the - - - determine whether or not the cargo facility will be a variable rate or fixed rate issue. But we wanted to provide ourselves with the flexibility that if it was a variable rate issue, that we could use the existing swap instead of terminating it over on the 2008 Series A & B, and use it for this cargo facility. So that's the whole reason the swap language is in the - - - even though it's new money, that's why the swap language is in there.

Councilman Walford: And how did we get from \$9,000,000 to \$11,000,000?

Mr. Shemwell: The cost of the project was always \$10,800,000. And due to - - - I'm assuming due to the increase - - - we don't expect it to go over \$10,000,000, but we wanted the flexibility of the not to exceed \$11,000,000. The Shreveport Airport Authority is intending to use some of its reserves. To date, there've been \$3,800,000 funds expended on this project. And we would like to be able to reimburse the Airport Authority and the City out of the Bond proceeds for the funds that have been expended.

Councilman Walford: This one I will have to defer to the Administration over there. We had a \$9,000,000 proposal with Bond Counsel in place for months on the agenda for this project. How did we get from there to here?

Mayor Glover: I can answer at least part of that. The proposal that was on the agenda, correct if I'm wrong Lad and Jackie, was a taxable bond issue.

Mr. Shemwell: That's correct.

Mayor Glover: This one is non taxable, tax exempt. So the cost involved just between those two I think equates to about \$3-6,000,000. I can't give you the exact number, over the life of the debt.

Mr. Shemwell: Over the life of the debt. This, now we're now going through the LCDA, which is obviously increased costs, but it is a tax free issuance, which over the long haul will cost less money. The pay out will be less because it's a tax free issuance.

Councilman Walford: The discussions we had when we had a meeting in the Mayor's conference room on this was that they would not go tax exempt, because it limited the possibilities, if I understood right, of businesses that could locate in there over the life of the bonds.

Mayor Glover: And Lad, I think that was probably, I'm not sure if I was in that meeting.

Councilman Walford: You weren't.

Mayor Glover: But one of the reasons as to why we didn't move into that direction was because there was a lack of understanding by the previous Administration, and the previous group that was handling this. It was their understanding that the costs involved a for profit business. That it had to be a taxable issue. When in fact the research of the current group of folks, this bond counsel group and the current financial advisor determined that that was in fact not the case. And that that could in fact be issued, tax exempt and in fact save us the additional expense of having to issue a taxable deal. I wasn't in that particular meeting, so I'm not quite sure how it was communicated, but I do know that that accounts for at the very least one of the reasons why we've chosen to go in a completely opposite direction from what had been on the agenda, and what had been previously recommended. Cause that was not something that was offered by this administration.

Mr. Dark: Lad, doesn't this one have a debt service reserve?

Mr. Shemwell: We provided for it if required, and it's going to depend on, for lack of a better word, how this shakes out as to whether a debt service reserve is required. So there is a provision in there for a debt service reserve, and part of those funds are there in case that is needed. But if we do not end up having to have a debt service reserve, then those bonds obviously, we won't expend those funds.

Mr. Dark: That's about 10% of the cost of issuance normally, so that's going to be part of it.

Councilman Walford: Is this the last time that this would come before this Council, or does it come back in an Ordinance form?

Mr. Shemwell: This will come back in Ordinance form. We will have the preliminary reading today of the resolution to hire professionals, and the resolution of intent to apply to the LCDA and the Bond Commission. The hiring of professionals will require a second reading and an ordinance will come back as well. So there will be an actual ordinance.

Councilman Walford: So on 216, you can't tell us yet if it's fixed or variable rate. So, it will come back to this Council before a final decision is made?

Mr. Shemwell: Yes. Before the ordinance is - - - what we are asking for is the ability to go fixed or variable. The way the resolution reads is it's fixed or variable. It depends on we're dealing with financial institutions trying to find out whether they can. This was originally done through Region's. That letter of credit was originally done through Region's Bank, and we're waiting on what they come back with, as to whether we would recommend fixed or variable. And obviously it's y'all's final decision as to whether it would be a fixed or variable rate issuance.

Councilman Walford: But this is one of the two that we're still considering Region's Letter of Credit on?

Mr. Shemwell: This the one that they had actually committed to.

Councilman Walford: About a year ago?

Mr. Shemwell: Alright, I think that's all I've got Mr. Chairman, thank you.

Mayor Glover: This is not the one that forced the city to have to hand over it's deposits and first born and what have you?

Mr. Shemwell: That's correct.

Councilwoman Bowman: Excuse me Mr. Chairman. Monty, you asked a question, I stepped out a minute. Is this the one that went from \$9,000,000 to \$11,000,000?

Councilman Walford: Yes. Oh, I do have one more question. Is this going to require the full faith and credit of the City of Shreveport instead of just the Airport Authority?

Mr. Shemwell: The pledge that we intend to give on this is Airport revenues. As you know right now of the other issuance that's out there, there's a issuance on the refunding which we have pledged lawfully available funds which include the funds of the city. In order to issue the \$11,000,000 the cargo facility, they will rely initially on the revenues of the Airport Authority, and usually, there will be a backup pledge of the lawfully available funds, but I'm not as of right now, because we haven't determined whether it's going to be a - - - whether Regions is going to issue the letter of credit or how that's going to work. There's not been a finalization of whether it will require the lawfully available funds pledge.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman I believe at this point, I would ask if there is anyone that would have any comments on adding this piece of legislation to the agenda. And we would not all raise our hands at the same time. I don't see any.

Mr. Thompson: Alright. Mr. Chairman, I believe that there are no public comments, no one raised his or her hand as to whether - - - saying that they wanted to comment on this. Mr. Chairman, I believe we're finished. I would ask that you would take two separate motions on these. One would be to add Item No. 1 and place it under 9A on the agenda for Second Reading and Final Passage. And it would replace the existing Resolution No. 216 of 2008.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Wooley to add Item No. 1 and place it under 9A on the Agenda for Second Reading and Final Passage, and it would replace the existing Resolution No. 216 of 2008.

Councilman Shyne: For adoption today, correct?

Mr. Thompson: It could be adopted today.

Councilman Webb: We've got to have a unanimous vote?

Mr. Thompson: Correct.

Councilman Webb: We've got one out of the Chamber.

Councilman Shyne: Mike, we got so used to Mike taking care of you know - - -

Ms. Glass: Mr. Chairman, the statute says a unanimous vote of those present. So, I think it would be okay.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, well lets go, lets go, lets go. I knew better not to listen to Mike, you know how it is.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

Councilman Shyne: Ms. Scott, I see we had an additional member of you all's team just come in. Was that because it was hard for her to find a parking space, or she's just late today?

Mr. Thompson: I believe that was a unanimous vote of all of the Members present in the Chamber.

Councilman Shyne: I think it was too Mr. Thompson.

Mr. Thompson: The second is the item No. 2. *The Clerk read the following:*

2. **Resolution No. 217 of 2008**: A resolution declaring the intention of the City of Shreveport (the "City") to hire professionals in connection with the issuing of not to exceed Eleven Million and No/100 (\$11,000,000) Dollars Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Airport Cargo Facility Project) Series 2008C-AMT subject for the purpose of (i) the acquisition, construction and equipping of a 57,000 square foot cargo freight facility at Shreveport Regional Airport, (ii) funding a debt service reserve fund, if required, (iii) amending and/or reintegrating an existing swap agreement, if determined to be appropriate and feasible, and (iv) paying the costs of issuance thereof and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Walford, I'll accept a motion from you.

Councilman Walford: I don't have one Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Webb: I'll move on the motion.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, Item No. 2 will not be voted on today, it will lay over for two weeks.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to add Resolution No. 217 of 2008 to the agenda.. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

Confirmations and/or Appointments

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, the next item on the agenda is Confirmation and/or Appointments, and I don't believe that we have any today. The Mayor submitted some appointments, but they will be on the next agenda.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, I don't have any on the agenda for today. Is that - - - Mr. Mayor, I'm thinking that you all don't have any to add.

Mayor Glover: There are some to be added. None to be voted on today.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, what do you have to be added.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we - - - it's not necessary to add them. The rules say that if you receive them, then you can vote on them in two weeks, and they have been received today. But because of the new rules and everything that we have to go through, unless there is a reason to add them to the agenda, we would encourage the Council to just receive them today and they will be on the agenda at the next meeting.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor is that all right with your office?

Mayor Glover: City Council Meeting Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you. Thank you. We're both learning. Councilman Webb.

Councilman Webb: Thank you. Mr. Mayor are we still looking for names for the DDA?

Mayor Glover: Yes.

Councilman Webb: I spoke again, he called me in fact, Lee Underwood with Balar and Associates. He has a couple of businesses downtown. And he shows a strong interest in serving on the Board. And he said he would have a resume in your office by Friday. And I wanted to let you know about that.

Mayor Glover: Okay. One of the names that you will get represents one of the City Council positions, but I think we have a couple of other different sources that we can pass a name along too, to have it recommended from one of those.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, to try to satisfy everybody with the - - - would you inquire as to whether or not the Mayor would like for me to read his appointments into the record?

Councilman Shyne: Well he said this is a Council Meeting, go head on and read them into the record then.

The Clerk read the following:

Subject to your confirmation, I do hereby appoint the following persons to the Board, Commission, Committee or Position indicated below:

*Caddo Bossier Port Commission Earnest Baylor, Jr.
Downtown Development Authority Shereaz Henderson*

Mr. Thompson: And it's signed by Mayor Glover.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, any comments at this point? If not - - - Yes.

Councilwoman Bowman: Have two years passed? Has it been two years already?

Mayor Glover: That wouldn't be applicable under these circumstances.

Councilwoman Bowman: Really?

Mayor Glover: Yes Ma'am.

Councilwoman Bowman: According to what - - - never mind. Go ahead.

Councilman Shyne: No Councilwoman, we want you to - - -.

Councilwoman Bowman: According to what I've read in the AGs opinion, and any boards or with other municipalities or governmental entities, if that person has served, then it has to be two years before they can - - - that's even for employees, can do anything with the City or the Parish.

Mayor Glover: That's with the Body that you were a member of. For instance if you were being a member of the City Council, serving on the City Council and you were elected to another body or resigned, moved on to another job, the prohibition would mean that you would not for a period of two years be able to come back and do business with the City of Shreveport. With Mr. Baylor's case, that would apply in his case to the

Louisiana House of Representatives. It wouldn't even apply to the Louisiana State Senate or to the State Administration. It would just prohibit him from being able to have any type of contractual relationships with the Louisiana House of Representatives.

Councilwoman Bowman: I'll take your word for that Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: But we'll double check. I've learned to listen to the woman as I've been told over the years, so I trust the wisdom that comes from you Councilwoman Bowman, so in an abundance of caution - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Well that's very kind of you, but - - -

Mayor Glover: We will check and double check. We certainly would not want to err in any way.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I have a question that I hope you will be as kind to me as you were to Councilwoman Bowman.

Mayor Glover: You shouldn't assume that Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Well, I'm hoping - - - that's why I said I'm hoping. Mr. Baylor, is he still serving on the SPAR Council?

Mayor Glover: And has indicated that if necessary he would forego that if that were an issue. But that would not be necessary for him to do so. In fact he served as both the State Representative and as a member of the SPAR Council for the entirety of the time he served in Louisiana House of Representatives. But if in the event that it were an issue, he has indicated that he would be willing to (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: I'm not saying that there would be a conflict, but I would hope that we would try to get as many of our citizens as possible serving on one Board where we wouldn't have to double up with another member. But I'll get you some more information on it, but there is a - - - Mr. Roland Antoine who I think you probably know who has been a past coaching educator here in the Caddo Parish School System in the City of Shreveport, who I think would do an excellent job and bring quite a bit of experience in working with programs of the nature that we have in our SPAR program, and I would like to say this at this particular juncture. I'm glad to see that we have that educational component, which is a big part of our SPAR program now. Which I think should have been a part of it years ago. And I'd like to commend you and your administration for doing so, and I would hope that you would keep this as a priority. Because education and the human resource is the most valuable resource that we have, although we talk about the Haynesville Shale and Mike, how much money we're going to make out of it, and how rich we're going to get, but the main resource is our human resource. So, I like that. But I would hope that the Administration would look at maybe, and I'm going to vote for Mr. Baylor to be on the Port Commission, but I would hope that we would not let him hold down two boards, when we have other members that we can put on there.

Mayor Glover: Your point is well made and well taken Mr. Chairman. I would however note and despite the fact that I do know and respect Mr. Antoine, I do believe that Mr. Baylor's appointment to the SPAR Council is from the Council District D spot. And - - -

Councilman Shyne: It's not an At-Large?

Mayor Glover: No, I don't believe it's an At-Large. I think he is the individual who represents Council District D. And although may will some influence, I'm not sure if you speak for Mr. Wooley, so I would have to yield to Councilman Wooley.

Councilman Shyne: I do sometimes, but not now, maybe when we're out having lunch, he might let me speak for him to order him a good bowl of Red Beans and Rice.

Mayor Glover: Understood.

Councilman Shyne: But at this particular juncture, I would not. But if we were at a restaurant, where we were ordering food, or maybe if we were ordering a snow cone, I might order him a strawberry and I might get a grape. But I thought maybe it was an At-Large position. But I will talk with Councilman Wooley about that. Mr. Thompson, I believe we're at a point where we can move on. I believe we're at Public Comments at this time.

Public Comments (*Agenda Items to be Adopted*)

Mr. Ken Alexander: (10270 Stonehedge) And I'm the Chairman of the Fuller Center for Northwest Louisiana, the Fuller Center for Housing. And I just wanted to come and put a face in front of the Councilmen. Thank you for having me. Council People, the Council Women, and our Mayor. We have a building blitz that's going to be starting on September 22nd. And there's a resolution that is for an amendment today, it's very important. It's No. 208 on your agenda. And we're at the, I guess you call it D-Day. But we have 300 volunteers coming in for that week to build three houses. We wanted to build nine, but we didn't think that we'd have the lots available. So this resolution, y'all were amending that and passing that in favor of - - - I'm not sure about all the details, would afford us an opportunity to have those lots. We have to have - - - use a 30 days to 45 days to put in a foundation to get ready for the volunteers to come and frame up the houses. We've got 33 houses down there, and (inaudible) we basically try to offer decent affordable housing to those who are less fortunate, and their down payment's sweat equity. They have a 0% mortgage, work well with the - - - we partner with the Community Renewal International and the VOA, and we just want to - - - y'all've been great to us, and we want to make sure we have a place to put these foundations. We need to start tomorrow, we needed to start yesterday. But it takes a lot of time to put the plumbing in. And sometimes we have to redo the old existing infrastructure that's already there. So, I'm here to plead with you and to encourage you to help us here to help rebuild the communities. We don't want to stop here. We've got about 30 more houses to build, and then we want to move to another distressed neighborhood in our city. We wanted to jump start that one and get some community growing and bring in some businesses and grocery stores and things like that. This particular project that you're voting on today, this issue has been going on for over two years, and you know we've been working on this blitz for over one year. And if you ever wanted to see some houses built fast, you just need to come down there. I don't know where we're going to build them. I guess we're gonna - - - maybe they can donate a lot to us or something. I don't know. But we need your help seriously in the passing of this resolution. There was one more thing I wanted to talk about. Well actually, that's all I have. I just wanted to encourage each one of you, and I really appreciate all

the things that the Mayor has done and the City. It's been a much better and easier transition with this Administration, than with the previous one. So we thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Ken, just before you go to your seat, I appreciate you coming up. And you know I've just been excited with the Fuller Center has been able to do, and especially, the cost of the houses that you all have been able to make available to the people in these neighborhoods who really need it. Now, it was floated around on the Council yesterday, and I want to get this clear, cause I'm for the project. I'm going to vote for it. I've been down there to see what's going on, and I was just flabbergasted. It was said that where you all were building was in the same tract where I-49 is coming. Now, I just don't think that you all would be building a tract where I-49 would be coming, but I couldn't dispute that, because I really don't know. I mean, have you all heard anything about that?

Mr. Alexander: No sir, I'm not privy to that information or know nothing about that. They just have to move I-49. No, I'm teasing. I have heard nothing about it.

Councilman Shyne: I know you teasing.

Mr. Alexander: Move it over a little bit. But seriously I don't think we are in that corridor. I believe we're on the northwest side of Allen, so we have two houses that we're building right now on the northeast side of Allen, but I don't think we're going to be in that corridor. I think we're going to miss it. However, I'm not sure about that.

Councilman Shyne: I wouldn't think that you all would invest the kind of resources that you've invested in a place, and you know within, you know I'm going to say within 10 years. Cause you know I-49 is coming through forever, and it hadn't made it yet through the city. So, I'm going to say the next 10 years. And I just couldn't see you all invest the kind of resources that you invested and then in 10 years, I-49 would coming through there. I've seen and I've worked with some of the people there, and they tend to be a little bit sharper than that. So I'm just- - - and I'm glad that you came today.

Mr. Alexander: That has never come up. I think that was probably looked into before they started by Millard Fuller and the group that was in here from America's Georgia. But we will definitely double check that.

Councilman Shyne: Please do, cause it was kinda floated around, and I don't know is it anybody else that would want to raise that particular issue?

Councilman Webb: I do. I was going to wait til the appropriate time, cause I don't think he can answer the question.

Mr. Alexander: I probably can not.

Councilman Shyne: Well you might want to take it back, I mean you know.

Mr. Alexander: I'll take it back to (inaudible) our Executive Director.

Councilman Shyne: Right and I don't think they'll kill the messenger. Ron, you want to give it to him?

Councilman Webb: Well as I asked in yesterday's meeting, if this was going to be in the corridor of the future I-49 as proposed. Hopefully in our lifetime, it may happen. I had concerns if they built the houses in those particular blocks, then we would have to look at replacing the people to somewhere else. Now, I got an overhead from 'em today, and looking at this, from Pete Harris, the way I look at this, it's one or two blocks, one on, the first block west of Pete Harris and possibly part of the second block.

But once you get up to Allendale, anything west of Allendale doesn't look like it would be at all interfering with the corridor.

Mr. Alexander: You mind if I take a look at that?

Councilman Shyne: I tell you what, why don't I give you mine and Ron keep his. Ron got better eyes than I have.

Mr. Alexander: And the I-49 is in the red?

Councilman Webb: Yes sir.

Mr. Alexander: Okay, I see Allen Ave. It looks like we've - - - I don't know, I just have to see, because I'm not sure what streets are what, because they have some streets that are in there.

Councilman Webb: Bonnie might be able to answer that.

Mr. Alexander: I believe that - - -

Councilman Shyne: Bonnie, we don't want to put you on the spot Bonnie, but would you please come up?

Councilman Webb: I'm certainly not against (inaudible)

Mr. Alexander: What's the easement on each side?

Councilman Webb: But I do have a problem if we're going to build it right slap where hopefully we'll have an I-49.

Mr. Alexander: Right, some of these houses I just have to really see, because some of these houses could very well be in there that we've already built. Because you've got two houses right in here, which is right west of Pete Harris, that's by the green circle, right below (inaudible), you've got two houses there, that will miss it. But these that come up through here when it starts going northwest, right there in front of the power plant, the lake there, I guess they use for cooling, it's hard to say. I don't - - - it might go right through some of our houses.

Councilman Webb: Bonnie, you have a copy of this map. The green circle, what are those green circles?

Councilman Lester: The interchange.

Councilman Webb: Those are interchanges?

Councilman Lester: Yes sir.

Councilman Webb: Proposed interchanges.

Mr. Alexander: I'm not sure what the easement is on each side of I-49 that they're going to need, but - - -

Ms. Moore: Let me just say, we've put some monies in this project. And anytime we put dollars into a project, we have to do a feasibility analysis. One of the questions we asked was if this was going through this corridor, and the answer was yes at the time. And we were working with Shreveport Bossier Community Renewal. And I understand that Mac McCarter did some research in the area and he felt comfortable that even if this happened, it would be 20 years from now, and he didn't feel comfortable that would happen. But that was the answer, the response back from our question relative to this a couple of years ago. I did send you an email indicating that, cause I wanted to clear that up. There is no designation at this point. The study will determine any alternatives for the route at that time, or if the route will occur at all.

Councilman Shyne: It might not go through.

Ms. Moore: It may not even occur at all. And so, for that purpose we provided you with this map that was the proposed route some years ago. This could very well be the route, but it is not a designation at this point.

Mr. Alexander: And at that time, we'll just have to build some more houses for those people and the houses will belong to them. And so they will be able to buy and sell at that point with the State or Federal Government, whoever they do deal with. Thank you.

Councilman Lester: Yeah, I just wanted to say something. I'm glad for you to be here, I represent that area. You have an excellent executive director in Mr. Myles. We go back to literally peas and carrots, 7th and 8th grade.

Mr. Alexander: He said y'all used to fight a lot.

Councilman Lester: Well, yeah. But I will say Mr. Chairman and members, it is quite clear that the proposed inner city corridor for I-49, the Fuller Center Housing in fact is through there. Other people can say it, but it would just be like someone determining where the corner of Broadway and Hollywood are or, the corner of Youree Drive and 70th Street. If you're there a lot, you know, and you make it your business to know, and in fact the Fuller Center Housing is not only within the proposed path since 1973, it is in a study area. But the bigger issue is not so much where the housing is today, the question is, is it going to benefit the neighborhood long term? And I think the facts have gone out that the Fuller Program will bring people back to Allendale. It is working to help repopulate the area, which is going to help us re-stabilize our school system. Stabilize our neighborhood from an economic development standpoint. And I don't think that we should necessarily be so worried, and concerned where the houses are going to be in a 20 year span of time, much like you dealt with Interstate 20 going through other parts of town, there are provisions that are made for that. There are provisions that are made when the city widens streets. You take easements, you settle people's property, and you move forward. But the better question is does Allendale have 20 years to wait for someone to do something about the decay and decline that has been so pervasive in the area? And I would submit that no, you don't. And the fact that the Fuller Center is building houses there is a good thing. The fact that you have organizations like People of Praise that are doing some things there. That's a good thing. The fact that you've got - - - Rev. E. E. Jones at Galilee Church, Galilee City. They're doing some good things. So, I think Mr. Beard and the Beard Foundation and the family for being willing to think outside the box and take what was a negative and turn that into a positive in terms of taking some properties that were objectionable, the shotgun houses, and doing something with it, that's going to give long term growth for the betterment of that neighborhood, and I fully support that. And have in years past. I don't know, we've had some issues, myself and the Fuller Center. I mean it's been documented. I've been a straight shooter with that. But just as you have said, there is something to be said that with the new administration versus the old, I can say that there's been a change at the Fuller Center. And that has been a positive also. So, I think all of the changes that have happened on both sides of the isle have inured to the benefit of the citizens of Allendale. And I'm very excited about what you guys are going to do, and we're hoping that the more building that's done, whether it's you or any other person that endeavors to spend their money or any other type of program to bring people back into the Allendale/Lakeside community, will hasten the day that we can have some of the things

from an economic development standpoint that other people don't. And so Mr. Chairman, I thank you for your concern and your support. And I certainly thank you Councilman Webb, for looking out for the long term interest of the city, certainly from an interstate perspective. But I would submit to you that the folks in Allendale have pretty well spoken that we support these types of efforts. And if it means that in 20 or 25 years, the Federal Government has to give these people some checks, so they can move to another bigger place in Allendale, I think that's going to be a good thing. But certainly, we don't want to wait 20 years on what may or may not happen. So thank you for coming, thank you for your work, and we'll go from there. I appreciate the Council for listening to my thesis. I'm sure that my sartorial spender in terms of my worst pales in comparison, than I learned the Chairman. He gives us lectures on many subjects, his knowledge base is wide and deep, and so I'm sure he will be enlightening us very shortly. But thank you for listening.

Mr. Alexander: Thank you and God bless y'all.

Councilman Shyne: And thank you Mr. Alexander.

Mr. James S. Moore: (652 Robinson) Good afternoon, I'm here on behalf of Highland Restoration Association, 520 Olive Street. I am on the Board of Directors for Highland Restoration Association, and because when Mike could have gotten involved with us earlier, but we didn't want to miss the chance for our voice to be heard. I'm here to speak about an item on the Agenda, D97, which has to do with - - - it's our understanding that it would be a repeal of the ban on door to door sales. And our board has discussed this and asked me to come before you today, to express our objection. We don't want door to door sales in our Highland Neighborhood. And we polled the board, and discussed among ourselves and a number of our members have - - - they don't want unsolicited vendors or sales personnel coming to their doors. And I just wanted to take a brief moment of the City Council's time to urge you not to repeal the ban on door to door sales.

Councilman Shyne: Let me give Councilman Webb an opportunity to - - - is that yours?

Councilman Webb: Yes, I'm the one that has it on the agenda. I don't have any questions for him. And he's entitled to his comments.

Councilman Walford: I'll just thank Mr. Moore for coming and tell him that I agree with him wholeheartedly. If that doesn't make my position clear enough, I'm going to vote no.

Mr. Moore: That's clear.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I know the Council is going to deal with the status of this particular piece of legislation. I just want to publicly take an opportunity to thank Mr. Moore for his time and dedication to the Property Standards Board.

Mr. Moore: Well I appreciate that.

Mayor Glover: Had a chance to meet with him and the other members of the Board, I think it was week before last.

Mr. Moore: Yes sir.

Mayor Glover: And just want him to know publicly as well as the rest of his members just how much we acknowledge the hard work that they do, the dedication that they've shown, and the big part of this city moving in the direction that it is, is because of the time and the effort is because of the time and the efforts that individuals like

yourself Mr. Moore and your fellow Board Members put forward. So we thank you, and I know that you spend a lot of time with Jim Holt and the rest of the folks on his staff. But you all are the ones who are volunteering your time. So, I appreciate all that you do and all that you've done.

Mr. Moore: Thank you. It's a pleasure and we hope that we're contributing to a better Shreveport.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely. Without question, you are.

Councilman Walford: Well, I was just going to add, I don't think the Mayor knows how much you do behind the scenes to help make Highland better. And I for one really appreciate it. You might even get the ditch walls fixed.

Mr. Moore: They're working on it now. We had a close call with this last heavy rain, but they're working on it as we speak. Appreciate your help in that.

Ms. Bea Cernigliario (6934 S. Lakeshore) This is about my fourth or fifth time to speak on this, so I don't have anything really new to say. But last time I mentioned the gunshots coming from that area on a regular basis, and a man stood up and said it was probably him shooting snakes. I don't know why he's shooting snakes at 2:00 in the morning, and I hope he doesn't shoot through my kitchen window like he did my neighbor's if that was him doing the shooting. People down there in those RVs (inaudible) drinking and you can hear. You know how sound travels on water, and they get to arguing and sometimes, there's gunshots following. There's been tournaments down there in the past and it's just not big enough. This property was never zoned for RVs. Looks like Barron's would want that little point that their customers put their customer's trucks and trailers whenever they launch their boats. Because there's just hardly any room down there for that. The City has already zoned this property and they need to follow their own zoning laws or no one will follow the laws. And I appreciate your time.

Ms. Glenda Lenox: (7048 S. Lakeshore) I've lived out there 17 years now, and the lady previous to me mentioned that it's never been zoned for that. It was used for that un-policed, un-patrolled, and was never inspected for the use it was being used for illegally. So, the Zoning Administration never enforced that when the original decision was made to have that type of zoning, there were less residents out there than there are now. I'm just eight-tenths of a mile down the road. It took me three months to get executive approval from the MPC to build a home, my home, the slab was cracked. I didn't have any choice but to build a home. I have a little over half an acre, and it took me three months to get permission to build on the land that I own, because I would have two kitchens on a little more than half an acre, and they want to change the zoning for Southern Kitchens, a third of an acre or less, I just don't understand. I don't understand the thinking on that, there doesn't seem to be any consistency with it, and I don't understand how it's going to work with EPA regulations of being a fuel farm there. Camping site there, there's already a 'No Camping' ordinance on Cross Lake, so if that's going to be breeched, then what's that going to open us up to out there? It is the only municipal water supply for Shreveport, and I know that y'all've done (inaudible) studies about water pollution prevention. I don't know that there's any requirements being made on the site to comply when there's a spill or something like that. I haven't heard anything like that. When I wanted to build my house, I had to present all kinds of plans and drawings and put my money forward to do that, not knowing if I was going to get

approval or not. So, I don't understand. I haven't seen any proposals. I am in possession of a letter that's dated today from the MPC about Ms. Luker wanting to comply with their request. So, is it a done deal, or is there actually going to be a vote.

Councilwoman Bowman: Joe, can I see that? Cause this is the first time I've heard of it. From the MPC?

Ms. Lenox: No, it's to the MPC.

Councilman Shyne: Ms. Lenox, I can guarantee you that as long as Councilman Walford is a part of this Council, nothing is a done deal.

Councilman Wooley: Even if it was a done deal, it would be undone.

Councilman Shyne: Nothing is a done deal.

Ms. Lenox: We've turned in positions. It seems like as residents our voice hasn't been heard. Maybe it's clearly (inaudible) the other side. They turn in a petition with 152 people on it, only 52 I think of those people actually reside in the area. Everybody that's been here to speak actually lives in the area. I just don't think it's in keeping with anything. I doubt it's in keeping with the Master Plan for the City. It's certainly not going to make a greener, cleaner city.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir, just for clarification. When you mentioned this was from the MPC - - -

Ms. Lenox: No, it's to the MPC from Ms. Luker. I was never made aware of any in any of the past meetings or leading up to this were these items discussed prior to because I've never heard of it.

Councilwoman Bowman: If I'm not mistaken Mr. Chairman, this was - - - some of this was discussed. Is Charles still here or Roy?

Mr. Dark: He's in back.

Mr. Kirkland: Actually I walked in from the back, what was the question?

Councilwoman Bowman: Have you seen this letter from Ms. Luker to the MPC? It says to the City Council Members. But those are some of the things that she's agreed to. apparently, this is the first time I've seen that.

Mr. Kirkland: Well, the meetings were all publicized, every one is a public meeting. And since this was deferred several times at the MPC level, a number of property owners, I believe you were one of them, came forward and said, 'well, how will we know when the Board will decide?' Well, frankly we didn't know when the Board would decide, because a lot of the information was in responsibility of the applicant and others to provide to the MPC. (inaudible) was the staff took names of people and made phone calls and notices and what not, especially when we felt like the Board had said finally, we're going to vote one way or the other. And so, I'm sorry you weren't - - - but we have a list of everybody who had spoken and had given us names and addresses and all that. And so, you were probably the only one out of dozens of people who were involved. I mean we have lots of people show up both FOR and AGAINST. Its not like - - - and they're public record, notices of the agenda. So I don't know why you weren't involved. Anyway.

Ms. Lenox: Can I have my copy back?

Councilwoman Bowman: It's her's thank you. Where is the Council's copy?

Ms. Lenox: Will this matter be decided today then? Is this the day?

Councilman Walford: It could be.

Councilman Shyne: I think councilman Long wants to make a statement.

Councilman Long: Can I ask you a question Charles, please?

Councilwoman Bowman: That's the first time I've seen that.

Mr. Kirkland: Those guys are testing my agility with those cameras.

Councilman Long: That's correct.

Councilman Shyne: Let us make a copy of that right quick, I'll run and - - -

Councilman Long: Well, they were actually going to present that copy here in a minute. She made some comments about when she built her house relative to the sewerage and other issues with that. I mean, are there sorts of requirements similar to what is proposed here at this RV location, I mean, are they lesser or greater, or what's - - -

Mr. Kirkland: DOS or Mike Strong would be the best ones to speak to that as far as water and sewer requirements. I don't know that they are different, but Mike would certainly know if they are for commercial versus residential.

Councilman Long: Yeah, I'm just a little curious now.

Councilman Shyne: You know curiosity killed the cat.

Mr. Strong: Councilmen, I wasn't paying attention.

Councilman Long: Ms. Luker brought up some issues concerning when she built her house on Cross Lake. That there were some very stringent requirements for relative to your sewerage and other wastewater hookups and installations. I mean are those - - - the type of RV connections, that are - - - they are playing around with here, do similar requirements apply to that?

Mr. Strong: Are you inside the City and have water and sewer?

Ms. Lenox: No.

Mr. Strong: See, what you're looking at is a different situations of falling under the State criteria as it would be for the way they would handle a mechanical sewer system. What this has is a - - - they were connected to the city sanitary sewer, but it's in a location that's incorrect, and part of the agreement is they're going to have to move it away from the 172 foot contour. And that's already been decided.

Councilman Long: Right, I'm aware of that, I just didn't know if there were any other issues besides that.

Mr. Strong: No, there's different issues on how it's handled.

Ms. Lenox: My point in saying that wasn't that they have to comply in the same way, it was just there's been no evidence presented that I've seen of how that's going to work. I mentioned it at that last meeting. I didn't see how logistically that would fit with the boat ramp, the fuel farm, the small access road, thank you. And I just - - - I guess my point to that was I had to provide a lot more documentation for my one individual family dwelling, and agree that in six months I would tear down my other dwelling, which was falling down anyway, so that I wouldn't have two kitchens on the same property. And I'm outside the city, and I'm further out of the city than this property is. My bottom line is, it's a residential area. Once you change a zoning, we're stuck with it, we're the ones that live there and invest our money and our properties, and that's it for us. You have the power to do that and I would just implore you to put yourselves in our shoes. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Are there any questions for Ms. Lenox before she leaves?

Ms. Lenox: Can I ask a question? Has anyone been to the site? The people who've been to the site, do you agree with me? I'm not allowed to ask? Okay, sorry.

Councilman Shyne: I mean, you're not allowed to poll the Council at this particular point. They will let you know shortly, their feelings.

Dr. Suresh Kumar: (7020 S. Lakeshore) Again, respected Mayor, Chairman and Council Members. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak again. Last time, a few of the Council Members had asked what is the possible plan and how is it possible that the Barron's Landing as well as (inaudible) owned by the same person. Because always one business can put (inaudible) together in only a little (inaudible). Now I have a few drawings to explain what are the logistical complication, but before that, I would like to ask Mr. Kirkland, there was a complaint made by me and obviously my wife on 6/6/08 regarding a zoning violation by Barron's Landing for a parking violation, use of a special parking for the commercial in front of someone resident on S. Lakeshore Drive. And I have not received any response for that and I think there is no work done to find out if Barron's Landing (inaudible) has 148 (inaudible) where their customers can park. And I think this question has to be answered before this meeting goes vote for it. If there is any kind of resolution or any decision has been made on this. And I think this is a time I will ask Mr. Kirkland to answer this question, and because this is very important part of the whole issue for us. We are trying to put three things together in one small place.

Councilman Shyne: Ms. Bowman, this is in your area, and - - -.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir it is, and I'd like to have his question answered if possible.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, Charles, come forward.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Chairman, just as a Point of Order. We're in Public Comments. This would be more appropriate as we debate the issue itself and ask questions.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Chairman, this is the third - - - everything else we do basically is by executive privilege. And even other Council Members who have been here before who can give us parliamentary procedure, but yet use it to their own advantage when the time is necessary for them to use it. So, at this particular time, Mr. Kirkland, can you please answer that question?

Mr. Kirkland: I'll try. As I appreciate it, Dr. Kumar you're wanting to know about the parking at Barron's Landing. Let me say first, Barron's Landing as we know it, and you know it, is a separate site. This site is the point. The point is not actually part of Barron's Landing. Now it's owned by the same people, and it's also proposed a use that could be consider very similar to a use at the boat landing. However, the boat landing has been there for many, many years as is this point site is commercially zoned property. The only property in front of the MPC and in front of you is this point, not Barron's Landing itself. Any problems there, and Michael you were asking earlier before the Council Meeting, but what about the parking grounds on Barron's Landing? We can certainly take a look, but I'm not aware that we've ever cited them for a violation. There've been a number of (I'll call them issues) with Barron's Landing, the boat operation itself, the fishing pier and all that over the years, but this point is a separate piece of property and they're asking for strict specific zoning which relates to it only. It meets the requirements of the ordinance, and if you approve it or if you don't approve it, we will also look at what they do use it for as B-1. But if you approve it, the site that's proposed for other purposes of the RVs and the boat trailers. So, even though I know

Dr. Kumar has issues with Barron's Landing, a lot of those neighbors too, over the years have had lots of issues with Barron's Landing as contrasted to this site. But I don't know that the Barron's Landing, in fact I'm reasonably sure - - - it's not in front of us today, nor was it in front of the MPC. It's a factor, like any other that you can use to weigh what decision you make. But we're not aware at this point of a parking violations.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to thank you for allowing that to be - - - because we have postponed this several times, and we just need to hear it all out and get to the bottom of it, and move on.

Mr. Kumar: This is a picture of pointing to the point, and this is the sign that shows, it says, 'Rental Customer Only' for the parking for the boat. If they launch their boat, if they come in those things, this is a picture posted by Barron's Landing for to go through us to point to park and use their parking spot. So they have a displaying sign there using the point as a parking place for years in past. So, this is a part of Barron's Landing. That's No. 1 point I want to make. No. 2 is when I complain about the parking violation, then I presented a few of the pictures, and one of the pictures is over here, which is in front of my property. This is residential property being used as a commercial property and this has never been addressed. And (inaudible) times we have made phone calls, but I'm yet to see anything happen over there.

Councilman Shyne: Now are all those your vehicles?

Dr. Kumar: I'm not that rich sir. But these are all customers, they go to the Barron's Landing who would park over there to launch their boat and those things.

Councilman Wooley: You said you made multiple phone calls. Who did you make the phone calls to?

Dr. Kumar: Ms. Nancy. She picks up the phone for the MPC. And here is the original complaint. Also I have a copy of it, which also has ticket receipt. And I'll give you this eventually. Now the second time I want to come back to is a plat. Now this plat has - - - if you add 97 + 41, it's only something like 139 feet facing the lake as a triangle, and it's 47 feet x 158 feet and 149 feet (inaudible) of somewhere 12,500 square feet. Now, just to remind and to refresh our memory that 1 acre is 43,000 square feet. Now is such a small space, if they are proposing to park (inaudible) now if they have to follow the U.S. Regulation, they have to be 30 feet behind the 172 contour line. 172 contour line is already a 12 feet behind the waterline right now. And when they do that, the land is going to (inaudible) decrease, and the three side is land. Now if you go and park this RV park, they don't have - - - if they put up 20 feet or 15 feet in between the space, they don't have a space to park (inaudible) RV parks. They're there to comply with all the city regulations. Now the third point I want to make here is this is an old picture, the smallest mountain that we see here are those (inaudible) and last three years (inaudible) now (inaudible) when I moved there, there used to be a trailer park and if you can count this, there are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and maximum 6 of them. Now these are violations because these are on 172 contour line and these are (inaudible). If you bring them in the center where this is the area, (inaudible) because they have to move the 30 feet inside their sewer line to get a hook up, there's not much land left over here. Now either this land will be used for RV park or this will be used for their parking (inaudible). I have here a city regulation and I think this is from Mr. Kirkland's office, I got it faxed. Section 106-1137, Specification for (inaudible) and Operation. I just want to make one point here on

this. It just shows us that 15 feet behind the property line (inaudible) street or residential zoned property, nobody can park their RV park or trailer or other things. Now there is other ordinance which is says Section 106-1338, Required Parking Spaces. This shows here that for travel or trailer park or (inaudible) park or as well as (inaudible) goes for the (inaudible), there is one-third trailer space. Now to use one-third less space, if Barron's Landing has a 140 parking spot, to have a 140 parking spot, this is to show you for the 20 parking spot need 6,000 square feet of space. And for 140 parking spot, you need at least one acre of land. (Inaudible) her own customer are there to launch a boat is another issue, because launching a boat is you're bringing up a truck with a trailer, you need a (inaudible) line out there. Now the total here is less than one-third acre, is only 12,500 square feet. And this is the land that is of question Now if you go ahead and approve this, these will be violating our own rules. The city will be violating their own rules. I think this question has to be answered and planned before we go ahead and approve this. I think the MPC has to go revisit everything. Make sure that they haven't left out this spot. Make sure that (inaudible) the Barron's Landing might have to bring down maybe two or three of their piers, and it will cut down their rental spots to comply with all the (inaudible) because there's not land there. And that's the thing every time I am telling you is that, and I'm trying to request you and I'm trying to bring in all the rules and regulations.

Councilman Wooley: And Dr. Kumar, before I ask this question I didn't want to stop if you have more to say, do you have any more figures or numbers to present in relation to the acreage versus the spots and so forth?

Mr. Kumar: I have a few of those things. This picture here shows that this is 172 contour line. You can see it's almost like 12-15 feet away from the waterline, the contour line is. Now from there you have to have 30 feet behind the sewer connections to comply with the U.S. regulations. Now how much of the space is left over in 12,500 square feet to park seven RV park and yet entertain all the customers.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you. Charles, I guess my question, maybe questions is going to be in reference to his figures, numbers the acreage versus the parking spots, possible violations to our own rules. Can you respond to that?

Mr. Kirkland: Let me try to say this as nicely as I can. Dr. Kumar has and all due respect to him, has been looking for every possible snake under a rock or whatever you he can find to oppose this, because he's very opposed to it, and we respect that. He's made good arguments, but his arguments are beginning to lose any credibility as far as I hear him say, because we do not have Barron's Landing under any citations. We have only this point under a citation, because at one point, the picture he showed of the, I won't call them RVs even, some of them were appearing to be living units, 5,6,7 of them that have been there for years. And only when they moved out did we then say, you don't have proper zoning to put more back in. You've got improper zoning. That really is keyed this whole thing up. That site meets parking requirements. Anything at Barron's Landing as it's own operation, again you folks are going to have to vote on that, and you can weigh that if you choose. But the setback issue, we made certain because there were a number of questions I'll say about, and this question Dr. Kumar brought up earlier about setbacks and requirements, we went straight to DOS and said, guys you tell us if the applicant's site plan will work. And the response was finally after a number of changes, it does work. And they blessed it before we put it in front of the

MPC Board as something legitimate. Because had it not been for those setback requirements or whatever, we would have then advised the Board and the Board would move forward. Either that or they would have denied it. So Mike Strong again, his folks had a piece of it, and sorry there were also the ingress/egress issues of who had the right of way and all of that. And again, I respect Dr. Kumar's position of opposition. But here's an alternative for you to think about just one second, (inaudible). If it's just B-1 that stays up, they can park trucks, cars, trailers out there all day long legally. So the only thing really at this site are the RVs for short term usage. So I guess that was really the rationale the MPC used is it's not necessarily that unattractive looking at RVs versus trucks and trailers or boats anyway. But regardless, I don't think you can mix the two together, because only one of these is a cited violation, and that's the point.

Councilman Wooley: I see, thank you.

Dr. Kumar: Can I have permission to speak one? I think the answer is still wrong answer. I understand that the question is about point, not about the Barron's Landing, but does the Barron's Landing have a 140 parking rental stall, has any parking spot for that? If there is, and I think that question has to be answered and it has to be investigated before approval, because these pieces are connected. There is no extra parking spot for them, and that's why I showed that they are using that place to park over there in past and presently. So we cannot separate the Barron's Landing is separate from the point. Obviously physical, but the use is same. It has been used in past and presently. I think Mr. Kirkland has to give us a better answer and (inaudible) area and the parking plan what they have before any decision is to make.

Mr. Bob Terrell: (7260 Buncombe) Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to say this. I've never been as humiliated as I was two weeks ago, when I heard some of the accusations that I heard come out of this meeting. And no validity to them at all. Now I understand about Barron's Landing. I've had a boat there since the late 70s. I'm pretty familiar with what goes on out there. I want to be careful with what I say. Right next door to Ms. Luker is a hotel. Dr. Kumar is running a hotel there for a bunch of workers that came in the state from all over the country to work. I heard accusations last time about possible pedophiles. We have a lot of children that come out to - - -

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Terrell, let me and I think you started off with saying that you were embarrassed about the accusations and I declare if you're not going to come on with a whole bunch of them too.

Mr. Terrell: Oh no. I'm just - - -

Councilman Shyne: Hold it, hold it, hold it, hold it, hold it, let me finish, let me finish, let me finish. I think there's a little difference, or maybe I'm hearing it wrong, but it's a little difference between accusations and criminal statements. Now if there's a pedophiles, I mean it's a difference between you saying that he's running a hotel, and you're saying that there is a pedophile out there.

Mr. Terrell: Hold it, let me finish, let me finish. If so, I would tell you to report that to the Chief back there. So, you know those kinds of accusations I would advise you to stay away from, the others I can grin and bare it

Mr. Terrell: Sir, what I said, the last time it was hearsay, it was hearsay. That was insinuating that we were doing something wrong. Now, what I said about a hotel, he's complaining about the parking. Eight trucks from Dish Network parked in his front

yard with a boat. No problem with that, we haven't complained. But apples to apples, there's got to be some justification here. That's all I have to say.

Mr. Frank Carroll: (7564 S. Lakeshore) I had prepared some comments here to repudiate a lot of the extraneous accusations that Dr. Kumar has brought in the past. But after Mr. Kirkland's comments, I'm not going to make these statements because he is key to the issue here. The only thing at issue is the point. Not wild dogs running loose, it's not somebody turning the gate upside down. That has nothing to do with this. Now I've been at almost every hearing since this started, and I have heard repeatedly from the MPC and from this Body, please settle this difference outside of this room, please come to some agreement. Well I witnessed one attempt to do that. About June 10th. Dr. Kumar had a practice of - - - he claims that 2 or 3 feet of space on the front of yard of his house as lakeside property. Just happens to be right beside the boat ramp at Barron's. So he goes usually on Friday afternoon or Saturday mornings elsewhere, launches his boat, brings it around and parks it on that piece of property. This creates congestion and some problems with the boat launchers. Nothing has ever been said about this, never been challenged. He left it there one weekend, and Monday it rained, and the boat sank. Now I came by there Tuesday afternoon, the mariner is closed on Tuesday. And Dr. Kumar's out there trying to pump the water out of his boat to float it, to get it back to wherever he launched it. The ramp has a lock to get in. I called Ms. Luker and I said, 'Look, Dr. Kumar's boat has sunk here, it's right by the ramp. What do you think we ought to do, or what do you want to do?' She said, 'Unlock the ramp, and let him back his trailer down there and pull his boat out,' which I did. Now his response to that act of kindness and friendship was nothing but rebuttal when we get up here, all he wants to talk about is how bad the situation is at Barron's. And he does not want to deal in good faith, and he does not want to settle this. He thrives on nothing but chaos and trouble. Thank you very much.

Councilman Shyne: Well Frank, we're going to try our best to settle this one in a short while. So just take your seat there, and we're going to try to come to some kind of punctuation to this. Mr. Luker?

Ms. Tonya Luker: (7016 S. Lakeshore) I would just like to take this time to thank you to hear from me again, I appreciate the time that you've devoted to it. But this is just about the rezoning. Barron's is a B-3. The point is a B-1, we're asking for B-1 with E, with an exception. We have done due diligence on the properties, we are constantly working on them. We are by no way finished or where we'd like to be at this time. Again, I have to say that Barron's is an ideal partner for Shreveport as far as tourism and economic development. The tourism is not small business, and I would like to be a part of that growth, and of course be in accordance with what the law says. So my deal is I'd just ask for you to support this and like I say, it'd be great if we could get a decision today. We have gone through many, many meetings and a lot of accusations which I have chosen not to address and I choose not to address them today. I just would like to say that Dr. Kumar has no integrity, and still sells his lies to the neighbors. Eight of his supporters have come to me, not solicited saying that if they would have known what Dr. Kumar was saying, they would have never signed those agreements. They also said they've played to distance themselves from him. Now these were people I did not know, that came to me. So what I'm saying is that Dr. Kumar - - - well let me just put it this way. You know you can put your boots in the oven, but that doesn't make 'em

biscuits. And I'm just saying that the things that he's saying doesn't make it factual, and I thank you for your time.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Chairman, put your boots in the oven what?

Ms. Luker: But that doesn't make 'em biscuits.

Councilwoman Bowman: Alright, I heard that. I've got one question for you. This letter?

Mr. Luker: Yes.

Councilwoman Bowman: You just did this today?

Ms. Luker: Yes, but those were requirements placed upon us by the MPC.

Councilwoman Bowman: So, you gave them out before the Council even had a chance to see them or what? Cause I hadn't seen it.

Ms. Luker: You hadn't seen it?

Councilwoman Bowman: No.

Ms. Luker: My attorney was to make sure that we all had them, and that's a part of his program, or his presentation. But I was told that, and I speak of the Council would need to know that we are agreeing to what the MPC asked us to do. And I just wanted to show proof that I would do it. I put my name on that. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Chief, the Fire Chief. I hope you heard that. You can put your boots in the oven, but tell all your firemen that they're not going to turn out to be biscuits hear? Alright. And tell them if they need somebody to show 'em how to cook some good biscuits, they can call on me, I'm an old biscuit eating boy. Jeff Westmoreland.

Mr. Geoff Westmoreland: (428 Ontario/333 Texas St, Suite 2350) Thank you Mr. Chairman, Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council. I am counsel for Ms. Luker, and Sportsman Lakefront RV Park. Let me just get to the matter of this letter. I don't want the cart to get before the horse. The letter that Ms. Luker signed was drafted by She and I because there was some concern as to whether she had actually agreed to all the stipulations that the MPC had placed on her to get the zoning. And that letter was to be presented by me today as part of my discussion. I provided a copy to Mr. Long, and somehow it got out there. I don't know how, and I'm not accusing Mr. Long or casting dispersions on him, but my point is - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Go on and accuse him if you want to.

Mr. Westmoreland: I won't do that, but my point is this letter is, I think all of you have copies of it now, is that correct? And I'm going to enter it into the record here as Luker Exhibit I with the date 8/26/08 on it, but the point is the numbers 1 through 5 are all things the MPC required of my client before they would sign off on the zoning assuming it got approved. My client has to pour a hard surfaced driveway out to the point on that dirt road. Quite costly. My client has to put hard surfaced pads for the seven RV sites as required by the MPC. Quite costly. My client has to locate the sewer, water and any other utility hook ups off the 172 foot contour line. 30 feet off of there, those hookups have to move 30 feet off. Again, quite costly. We're talking about a licensed plumber. Erect a 6 foot solid screening fence on the common property line between the point and Dr. Kumar's property. She's agreed to do that. Limit the parking to seven RVs, and all RVs to be parked above the contour line. She's agreed to that. No. 6, she has agreed to even though it wasn't required by the MPC, and we've been through this, she's agreeing that the RVs will be limited to 30 day stays and the contracts

those people will sign will be 30 days. The reason this document was prepared was just so that you would know, so that we could lay out to you all the requirements by the MPC. Those were requirements that were set by the MPC after extensive consultation with the Department of Operational Services, with Mike Strong's office, and that's what they said was required. And so, I'd like to put this into the record, because this is my client saying that she plans to do these things. At a great cost to her. One thing that I would note about this 30 foot off the contour line. Dr. Kumar, I disagree with in a lot of respects, but I have to just flat out say, he's inaccurate in at least one respect today. This was our site plan issued to the MPC for the point. While it's drawn a little roughly, it is drawn to scale, and you see that there are seven sites here. These are how the RVs will be. The hookups where these little dots are, are where existing hookups are, where they've been for many, many years. We're only going to use seven hookups and we're going to move them 30 feet off the contour line. However there is no law that says that the mobile homes have to be parked 30 feet off the contour line. So that is inaccurate. So the mobile homes can be parked right up to the contour line just the hookup has to be off the contour line. And I've confirmed that through MPC staff. The mobile homes only have to be above the contour line, they don't have to be 30 foot off. So, this whole concept of if there's not enough square footage, I think you heard Mr. Kirkland say, they looked at it, they measured it, it will hold the proposed work that the site requires. These properties are separately owned. One company that Ms. Luker owns, owns the point, and another point that she owns, owns Barron's Landing. Let's also reiterate because another misstatement was made by a lady earlier. This is not necessarily a residential site, this is a mixed use site. This property has been zoned B-1 and B-3 since before a lot of these homes moved in, including Dr. Kumar. These people have moved into a mixed use site. And so they've all got to live together in a mixed use site. But the fact of the matter is, the point is not Barron's Landing, they're separately owned. One is a B-1 and the Barron's Landing mariner is actually a B-3, which is a pretty high zoning to be right near residential. So we need to be accurate about how we're describing this property. All of the sewer hookups and all of the water and all that is going to be done to code. There will be no all these allegations about stuff going into the lake, it's just you know, it's red herring, it's not going to happen. All this has to be checked by the city before we even get our permit. Parking, it takes one car to pull an RV. There's plenty of parking out here for seven RVs and seven cars. Okay? The parking issue with Barron's Landing, the mariner itself, are not at issue here as Mr. Kirkland reminded you of that, and I remind you of that again. All these calculations about 140 spaces and all this stuff, again it's not the issue. It's not what we're here about and it's a red herring. I know there has been some discussion about a one year possibility on the zoning, and then a relook. I just want to address that for my client. We went through almost six months with the MPC over this. We are working on this project now eight months. Eight months of her having to, unfortunately pay me to get up here almost every month or every two weeks. The allegations by people that she has not put her money or her time and her money into this, that falls short. She has filed the same applications, even more so. We spent six months in front of the MPC, we're now in our fourth meeting before you. We've beat this thing to death. We've worked it to death, and we've done everything that we can do within the constraints of this property and the way it's built and the way it's been developed over the years. We agreed to all these things the MPC

asked her to do, and she is going to spend a lot of money black topping a road, black topping RV sites, getting a plumber out there to move all these hookups to code. Building a privacy fence along this line here, 149 feet long. Because the code requires it. So, all we're asking is that you give her the opportunity to recoup those costs, and with all due respect to any ideas that have come forth I just don't think the year provides her that safety net. The MPC has looked at this, this will work on this site, and unfortunately, this has become a little bit of a mud slinging contest. But the point is this works on this site. And it's turned into a mud slinging contest about a lot of things that are totally irrelevant. The fact of the matter is this is going to enhance the property, and it's going to enhance the area around it. There have been no evidence of any of the concerns bearing out and so we ask you to approve it and approve it for a long enough period of time that my client can recoup the money that she's going to have to spend to get this up, to do all the things the MPC has asked. And I think that's what I want to leave you with. She has done everything she's been asked to do. And she's spent every dollar she's been asked to spend, and she is going to spend more. And she has not tried to back out of any agreements, or say that she was not going to do something. She's done everything that's been asked of her and is willing to spend the money to invest in this property.

Councilman Webb: I've been looking at the letter here, and have y'all put a dollar figure yet on what these four items are going to cost?

Mr. Westmoreland: I don't know if we have. Have we gotten quotes on that? No. But you can figure out what black top is going to cost to run that road. I'd say no less than \$50,000. I couldn't see how you could do it for less than \$50,000. I'd like to enter this into the record. This is Luker Exhibit I. This is the letter my client has signed indicating that she would agree. I just wanted to let you know where that (inaudible).

Mr. Thompson: Mark it as such.

Mr. Westmoreland: I did mark it as such, and also there is a letter from a lady who could not be here today. I will mark it as Luker Exhibit II, and put it in the record.

**CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION
TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES**

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES

RESOLUTIONS:

RESOLUTION NO. 195 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION REJECTING BIDS RECEIVED FOR IFB# 08-036, DOS ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS AND CALL CENTER AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, four (4) bids were received as a result of solicitations for DOS Environmental Affairs and Call Center, IFB #08-036; and;

WHEREAS, the City has rejected these bids in accordance with La R.S. 38:2214 B, because all bids were over budget and revisions to the specifications are required;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the bids received on IFB #08-036 be rejected;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 209 of 2008

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC., LOCATED AT 9513 WEST ROCHEL, TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9513 West Rochel, to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 5. Nays: Councilmen Walford and Bowman. 2.

RESOLUTION NO. 210 of 2008

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING KATHLEEN ELAINE PRESLEY PAINE & MEGAN ALEXANDRA PAINE, LOCATED AT 5551 JEFFERSON PAIGE RD., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Kathleen Elaine Presley Paine & Megan Alexandra Paine have agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Kathleen Elaine Presley Paine & Megan Alexandra Paine be authorized to connect the building located at 5551 Jefferson Paige Rd., to the water system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

ORDINANCES: None.

REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 198 of 2008:** Establishing the “Emergency Relief Fund” Program, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Councilman Shyne: I would ask my colleagues to please lets give us a positive vote, and we will in turn work out the details at a later date. And I’m hoping that we don’t ever have to use the funds. And the funds are set aside for emergencies, and I really hope that my City Council District won’t ever have to use them, but there are persons that do need help from time to time, and if we are truly our brother’s keepers, and if we truly want to be The Next Great City of the South, I think that we need to put some safety nets in place from time to time, because when we have severe rains, our systems do become overloaded and we do have people that need a little help, so I would appreciate it if we could get a positive vote.

Councilman Webb: Who seconded the motion?

Councilman Walford: I don't think we ever got a motion.

Councilman Shyne: I'm sorry, you're right, I spoke before we got a motion. It's moved by the Chair. Could we get a second?

Councilman Wooley: Second.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion failed by the following vote Nays: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, and Webb, 4. Ayes: Councilman Wooley, Shyne and Bowman. 3

RESOLUTION NO. 200 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SHREVEPORT AIRPORT AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE A PERMANENT RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENT WITH SOUTHWESTERN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the Shreveport Airport Authority has been requested to grant a permanent right of way and easement to Southwestern Electric Power Company in order to provide electrical services for lots 140 – 146 at the Shreveport Downtown Airport; and

WHEREAS, the location of the proposed right of way is shown on the drawing marked "Exhibit A", attached hereto and made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, Section 18-33 of the Code of Ordinances authorizes the Authority to alienate immovable property only when specifically authorized to do so by the City Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Shreveport City Council in due, regular and legal session convened, that the Shreveport Airport Authority is hereby authorized to execute a permanent right of way and easement with Southwestern Electric Power Company over and through a part of the property shown on the attached Exhibit "A".

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict hereby are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 201 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR'S SIGNATURE ON A PIPELINE CROSSING CONTRACT BETWEEN KANSAS CITY SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY AND THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, as part of the sixty (60) inch water transmission main improvements project, the City of Shreveport will install a sixty (60) inch water transmission main that will cross under the Kansas City Southern Railway Company (KCS) bridge located on Milam Street; and

WHEREAS, KCS requires an executed Pipeline Crossing Contract before it will allow installation of the sixty (60) inch water transmission main; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized and empowered to execute a Pipeline Crossing Contract between KCS and the City of Shreveport, substantially in accordance with the document filed along with the original copy of this resolution in the Office of the Clerk of Council on July 24, 2008, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 202 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL HAS REVIEWED THE 2008 INTERNAL “MUNICIPAL WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION REPORT” CONCERNING THE NORTH REGIONAL AND LUCAS WASTEWATER PLANTS, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By:

WHEREAS, the state permits under which the North Regional and Lucas Wastewater Treatment Plants operate require an internal survey form to be completed annually and submitted to the City Council for review; and

WHEREAS, forms for the past year have been completed by City administrative personnel, after consultation with engineering and operational personnel, and are attached hereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, as follows:

That the City Council acknowledges that it has reviewed the attached survey forms, and that the recently completed capital projects at the North Regional and Lucas wastewater treatment plants, in conjunction with ongoing lift station and sewer main capital projects and ongoing system maintenance, are intended to maintain compliance with the conditions of the plants' permits.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items

or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilman Walford: As part of mine, I would ask that we waive the test. I see a positive sign coming from Mr. Dark so, we won't have a test on it today Mr. Chairman.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1.

RESOLUTION 203 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT A DONATION FROM THE AGURS BUSINESS ASSOCIATION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, the Agurs Business Association wishes to make a cash donation to be used for the erection of the Firefighter Memorial which will be located at the Dallas W Greene Fire Station; and,

WHEREAS, the donation is valued at ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00); and,

WHEREAS, Shreveport City Code Chapter 26-187 requires City Council approval for acceptance of any donations valued in excess of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00)

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to accept the donation referenced herein and execute any necessary documents to complete the donation.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION 204 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE FEE SCHEDULE FOR THE EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION OF THE SHREVEPORT FIRE EPARTMENT

AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Section 46-32 of the Shreveport City Code authorizes the City Council of the City of Shreveport to adopt a fee schedule for emergency ambulance and medical services, and to adopt future amendments by resolution,

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport Fire Department wishes to amend the fee schedule for emergency ambulance and medical services and increase the Base Rate and Loaded Mileage Fees for emergency transports to reflect the increased cost of providing these services, and,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the Shreveport Fire Department fee schedule for emergency ambulance and medical services be amended as follows:

Base Rate Fees

Phase I (effective October 1, 2008) Seven hundred dollars (\$700.00)

Phase II (effective January 1, 2009) Eight hundred dollars (\$800.00)

Phase III (effective October 1, 2009) Nine hundred dollars (\$900.00)

Loaded Mileage Fees

Eight dollars (\$8.00) per mile

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1.

7. **Resolution No. 205 of 2008**: A resolution amending the Pay Plan for Municipal Police Civil Service Personnel and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Bowman to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Councilman Wooley: At this time, I'm going to move to postpone this pay proposal. The simple reason is that what is before us today is simply Part I of an overall comprehensive plan, there was another phase or there is another phase to this plan that I will be presenting at a later date, however I think it would serve the Council well to present the entire plan, which is actually all inclusive of everyone on the Police Department. So I think it would serve us better if we wait and get an opportunity to share that information in case you were not privy to it. So I appreciate the opportunity to postpone. And we can now have further discussion.

Councilman Walford: I'd like to commend Councilman Wooley for the motion to postpone. I think we would be much better served and I hope that he will continue to postpone until we have a draft budget and look at 2009, and look at this in terms of the total year's budget. But I commend him for postponing on this, and I certainly will vote yes.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 207 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT (THE "CITY") TO HIRE PROFESSIONALS IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUING OF NOT TO EXCEED TEN MILLION AND NO/100 (\$10,000,000) DOLLARS LOUISIANA LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS (SHREVEPORT AIRPORT SYSTEM PROJECT) SERIES 2008A-AMT SUBJECT AND NOT TO EXCEED EIGHTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO/100 (\$18,500,000) DOLLARS LOUISIANA LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS (SHREVEPORT AIRPORT SYSTEM PFC PROJECT) SERIES 2008B-AMT SUBJECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFUNDING CERTAIN EXISTING INDEBTEDNESS OF THE AIRPORT SYSTEM, FUNDING A DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUND, IF NECESSARY, FUNDING FEES, IF ANY, RELATED TO THE TERMINATION OF AN EXISTING SWAP AGREEMENT ASSOCIATED WITH PRIOR BONDS IF DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE AND FEASIBLE, PAYING THE COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, by prior resolution, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City") became a participating political subdivision and member of the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority (the "Authority"); and

WHEREAS, the City has previously issued its \$7,680,000 Airport System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2007A-AMT Subject and \$14,540,000 Airport System PFC Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2007B-AMT Subject (collectively, the "Prior Bonds"). The Prior Bonds were used to currently refund the then outstanding \$7,390,000 Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A and the then outstanding \$14,000,000 Airport System PFC Revenue Bonds, Series 1997B; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to proceed with a financing through the Authority by the issuance of revenue refunding bonds (Shreveport Airport System Project) Series 2008A-AMT Subject in an amount not to exceed Ten Million and No/100 (\$10,000,000) Dollars and (Shreveport Airport System PFC Project) Series 2008B-AMT Subject in an amount not to exceed Eighteen Million Five Hundred Thousand and No/100 (\$18,500,000) Dollars (collectively, the "Bonds") in a manner and structure to be determined by a related ordinance, for the purpose of (i) currently refunding the outstanding Prior Bonds;

(ii) funding a debt service reserve fund, if required, (iii) funding fees, if any, with respect to terminating an existing swap agreement associated with the Prior Bonds, if determined to be appropriate and feasible, and (iv) paying the costs of issuance, all of which shall collectively be known as the "Project" or the "Refunding".

WHEREAS, in order to achieve the Refunding, the City, desires to engage professionals to assist in the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE City Council of the City of Shreveport (the "City"), in legal session convened, acting as the governing authority thereof (the "Governing Authority"), that:

Section 1: Employment of Bond Counsel. The City hereby finds and determines that a real necessity exists for the employment of special bond counsel in connection with the issuance of the Bonds, and accordingly, Weems, Schimpf, Gilsoul, Haines, Landry & Carmouche (APLC) of Shreveport, Louisiana and Washington and Wells, Attorneys at Law, of Shreveport, Louisiana are hereby employed as Bond Counsel and Co-Bond Counsel, respectively (together, "Bond Counsel"), are hereby appointed and employed to do and perform comprehensive legal and coordinate professional work with respect to the issuance of the Bonds. Said Bond Counsel shall prepare and submit to such officials of the City for adoption all proceedings incidental to, and shall counsel and advise the Mayor and Governing Authority on the issuance and sale of the Bonds. The fees of Bond Counsel shall be contingent upon the sale, issuance and delivery of the Bonds. The fees to be paid to Bond Counsel shall be an amount less than the Attorney General's then current Bond Counsel Fee Schedule as negotiated and other guidelines for comprehensive, legal and coordinate professional work in the issuance of revenue bonds applied to the actual aggregate principal amount issued, sold, delivered and paid for at the time the Bonds are delivered, together with reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred and advanced in connection with the issuance of the Bonds, said fee to be payable out of Bond proceeds.

Section 2: Investment Banker. An Investment Banker or Bankers shall be appointed and employed as investment bankers/underwriter in connection with the Bonds, any compensation to be subsequently approved by the City and the Authority and to be paid from the proceeds of the Bonds and contingent upon issuance of the Bonds.

Section 3: Underwriter Counsel. The Boles Law Firm, APC of Monroe, Louisiana and Jacqueline Scott, Attorney at Law, of Shreveport, Louisiana (together, "Underwriter Counsel") are hereby appointed and employed as Underwriter Counsel in connection with the Bonds, any compensation to be subsequently approved by the City and to be paid from the proceeds Underwriter Counsel are authorized and directed to prepare necessary documents appertaining thereto and to present them for further action by this Council of the Bonds. The fees to be paid for such services, together with reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred and advanced are contingent upon issuance of the Bonds and the

Section 4: Financial Advisor. Grigsby & Associates, Inc., of San Francisco, California is hereby appointed and employed as financial adviser in connection with the Bonds and any Swap Termination, any compensation to be subsequently approved by the City and the Authority and to be paid from the proceeds of the Bonds. The fees to be paid for such services, together with reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred and advanced are contingent upon issuance of the Bonds.

Section 5: Counsel to the City. Terri A. Scott, City Attorney for the City of Shreveport, shall serve as counsel to the City in connection with issuance and delivery of the Bonds.

Section 6: Other Professionals. The Project has been necessitated by significant increases in interest payments on the Prior Bonds. Should it be necessary to engage other professionals, the Authorized Officers (as defined below) shall be entitled to engage such professionals provided the contracts employing such professionals shall be promptly submitted to this Council.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Governing Authority hereby authorizes and directs its Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Finance, and/or Clerk together with such other officials of the City (the “Authorized Officers”) to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out the provisions of these resolutions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of these resolutions or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 208 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NUMBER 100 OF 2006 AND RESOLUTION NUMBER 158 OF 2007 RELATIVE TO THE RELEASE OF MORTGAGES AND CANCELLATION OF PROMISSORY NOTES EXECUTED BY SHREVEPORT PUBLISHING CORPORATION (NOW SNAP PROPERTY, LLC) IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT IN CONNECTION WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT LEVERAGING PROJECT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Resolution 100 of 2006 and Resolution 158 of 2007 authorized the City of Shreveport to release the mortgages and cancel the promissory notes executed by Shreveport Publishing Corporation (now SNAP Property, LLC), in favor of the City of Shreveport in connection with the Neighborhood Improvement Leveraging Project for certain property listed in the attachments to the Resolutions subject to certain terms and conditions; and

WHEREAS, a condition of the release and cancellation was that the Borrower, SNAP Property, LLC, provide evidence of redemption of all SNAP property adjudicated to Caddo Parish and/or the City of Shreveport or sold at Parish or City tax sale prior to execution of any document or instrument by the City authorizing the release or cancellation of any document or instrument pursuant to authority contained in each Resolution; and

WHEREAS, a number of the properties identified in the Attachments were sold in 2005 for non-payment of City and/or Parish taxes in 2004 consequently, the three (3) year right granted by law to a property owner to redeem the property(ies) has expired. NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Resolution 100 of 2006 and Resolution 158 of 2007 are hereby amended to delete the requirement that the Borrower, SNAP Property, LLC, provide evidence of redemption of all SNAP property sold at Parish or City tax sale for which the three (3) year redemptive period has expired prior to execution of any document or instrument by the City of Shreveport authorizing the release or cancellation of any document or instrument pursuant to authority contained in the each Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 211 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION REJECTING BIDS RECEIVED FOR IFB#08-042, 2008 GENERAL FUND ASPHALT OVERLAY PROGRAM AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, two (2) bids were received as a result of solicitations for 2008 General Fund Asphalt Overlay Program, IFB #08-042; and;

WHEREAS, the City has rejected these bids in accordance with La R.S. 38:2214 B, because all bids were over budget;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the bids received on IFB #08-042 be rejected;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Dark, I assume that we're just going to automatically go back out for re-bids, correct?

Mr. Dark: Mike is going to have to look and see what we can get for our money. I think that's the biggest question we have with asphalt prices as high as they are. You guys have seen lists earlier that clearly are not going to be the ones we can use anymore, and so I think that's kinda the next step right now.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 212 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION DECLARING A CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTY TO BE SURPLUS, WHICH WILL AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT TO SELL THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S TAX INTEREST IN THIS ADJUDICATED PROPERTY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has a tax interest in the herein below described property which has been adjudicated for the non-payment of City property taxes; and WHEREAS, the herein below described property is not needed for public purposes and should be declared a surplus property; and

WHEREAS, La. R.S. 33:4720.41 et. seq. authorizes a municipality to sell adjudicated property to an adjoining landowner if such landowner undertakes open, notorious, continuous, uninterrupted, peaceable, public, and unequivocal possession of and maintains the adjudicated vacant lot in accordance with all relevant state and local laws for a period of one year; and

WHEREAS, Code of Ordinances Section 26-300 provides that the sale price for such sales shall be one dollar and other good and valuable consideration; the real consideration for such sales is the purchaser's effort, labor and expenses in maintaining the property for a full year; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received an application pursuant to the above cited laws from an adjoining landowner to purchase its tax interest in the herein below described properties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the following described property is hereby declared surplus:

Property No. 1: Legal Description - Lot C, Block 4, Resubdivision of Broadview Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 600, Page 497 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 8115 Best Drive, Shreveport, LA 71129 (GEO#171535-007-000700). City Council District E.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Shreveport does hereby authorize the sale of its tax interest in the hereinabove described property for one dollar and other good and valuable consideration; the real consideration for such sale is the purchaser's effort, labor and expenses in maintaining the property for a full year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that this property is surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301(1)(d), therefore the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilman Lester: My question is this. The Beaird Company that we're dealing with in terms of our facility out in Southeast Shreveport?

Councilman Long: Where are you seeing that?

Councilman Walford: I'm lost.

Mr. Dark: I don't think so.

Mr. Strong: Councilman you're talking about for the water distribution?

Councilman Lester: Yes.

Mr. Strong: That's the location for doing the expropriation would be probably correct.

Councilman Lester: Okay, and so and maybe if we're not on this, I'll withdraw. I don't know, I think I've gotten ahead of myself.

Councilman Shyne: I think you have.

Councilman Lester: I'll save that question for a second.

Councilman Shyne: He probably have answered it.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 213 OF 2008

BY:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT IN CONNECTION WITH THE SOUTHEAST SHREVEPORT WATER DISTRIBUTION IMPROVEMENTS - PHASE 2, PROJECT NO: 05-E009, PARCEL NOS: U-5A & 5B, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Southeast Shreveport Water Distribution Improvements - Phase 2, Project No: 05-E009; and

WHEREAS, the properties described in the legal descriptions, and more fully shown on the plat maps attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

WHEREAS, all attempts to amicably acquire necessary property rights to the properties comprising Parcel No: U-5A & 5B have failed; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that servitude across, under and through this property be owned by the City of Shreveport for the installation of water distribution lines; and.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and he is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the properties described and attached hereto as Parcel No: U-5A & 5B, to be acquired in permanent utility servitude for use by the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Did that answer your question?

Councilman Lester: Well that was the first part. That was question No. 1. But the B part of that question, and I guess that would be to Ms. Scott, our City Attorney. Is this parcel adjoining the city's facility in terms of Beairdco, how does all of that lay out in terms of what we've got going on with those guys?

Ms. Scott: From what I understand Mr. Lester, and it's really not a whole lot on this one. I understand that it is adjoining property, however, the Beairdco facility has that name simply because we were dealing with the successors or the predecessors of that organization, when the lease was originally executed. The facility right now as you know is operated by Mr. Egans and is under the name of (inaudible) Holding. So, it's just a reference to a name.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 214 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION AMENDING SECTION 1.8 OF THE CITY COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE RELATIVE TO AGENDAS AND THE ORDER OF BUSINESS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilmen Shyne

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Sections 1.8(a)(5) and 1.8 (a)(20) of the City Council Rules of Procedure are hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows:

1.8 *Order of business.*

(a) At administrative conferences and regular meetings of the council the following order of business shall be followed:

* * *

(5) At one meeting per calendar year, presentation by the Mayor of his annual report on the condition of the affairs of the city as required by Section 5.02(g) of the City Charter;

* * *

(20) The council resolves itself into a committee of the whole for communications and miscellaneous matters:

- (i) Approval of the minutes of the committee of the whole
- (ii) Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the mayor
- (iii) Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by city council members
- (iv) Communications of the mayor
- (v) Communications from council members
- (vi) Public comments, in accordance with section 1.11 of the rules of procedure
- (vii) Miscellaneous matters

* * *

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed. *The Clerk read the following:*

Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 214 of 2008

Amend Section 1.8(a)(5) to read as follows:

- (5) (i) Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.
- (ii) Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.
- (iii) Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 214 of 2008.

Councilman Long: Would we be doing this under the Committee of the Whole, or would we be doing this as normal?

Councilman Bowman: The time is there, otherwise we would go by the - - - do you mind?

Mr. Thompson: No, it's your amendment.

Councilwoman Bowman: The time is there, otherwise if we went by what was already there, everything would be in the Committee of the Whole.

Councilman Long: Right. So in other words the notion, the idea that we were going to split into two parts is (inaudible)

Councilwoman Bowman: That's what it is.

Councilman Walford: I would urge a NO vote on the amendment. The discussion yesterday, the concern was if we had a Council Member's distinguished guest in the audience, that they would have to wait so long. And to be quite honest, if any of us have a distinguished guest that we need to do is ask for a suspension of the rules to bring that guest up. But I thin the resolution without the amendment really accomplishes what we were trying to accomplish, and then again, if we want an exception to it for anyone that we have in the audience to recognize, we simply ask for and grant each other a suspension of the rules to do it. So, I'm going to vote NO on the amendment for that reason.

Councilwoman Bowman: Trying to fix this so that we could at least try to be fair. I'm not here to stifle any of the Council Members, and I'm not here to stifle the Mayor. My job is to and what I really like to do as far as this amendment is concerned, is to see people that need to be recognized, recognized. And I don't want to take that away from them and put it at the end of the meeting. The problem to start with was that we were giving, or that it was too much time being used. Okay, then to try to fix it where in my opinion, it would be fair, I put the 30 minutes on there and now that's not good enough. So, it's rare that I do this, but I urge the Council Members to support this by voting YES.

Councilman Webb: I understand exactly where Councilwoman Bowman is coming from, and as I said yesterday, I didn't want to deny people coming down to get recognition, and I don't think it would be fair for them to get so, that recognition. And so I think what Councilwoman Bowman was trying to do was to limit and at the beginning of the meeting, so that it doesn't drag out so long. And I'm going to support that.

Councilman Lester: I want to make one clarification. I understand philosophically where Councilwoman Bowman is coming from. I do agree that she is attempting to be fair with this. I personally don't think that we need legislation to deal with this. I think that what we need to do both from the Mayor's side and from the Council's side, is to exercise a little bit more discretion. But I don't think there is a legislative answer to that. And so my vote of NO is not reflective on what I think is a reasonable compromise, I just don't think that we need to be limited at all. And so my vote is NO on this, but my vote on the ordinance is well as well. So I just wanted to explain that for what it's worth.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 5. Nays: Councilmen Lester, and Walford. 2.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt Resolution No. 214 of 2008 as amended.

Mayor Glover: Before the actual vote, I have a couple of questions. No. 1 with the passage of Councilwoman Bowman's amendment, I wanted to ask exactly how it is that time will be counted and determined. Because Mr. Chairman, since you have a tendency to be a bit verbose on occasion, I want to make sure that 15 minutes before (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: I do (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: Doesn't end up being compromised by inclination on the Chairman to maybe overstep or take up or use up any of that, that may be allotted to the Administration. So, will there be a method that will be used to insure that we know exactly how that ends up being accounted for?

Councilman Shyne: We will either let Mr. Thompson, or Mr. Dark keep the time, and we'll make sure that when we're talking that none of the Council Members will say a word. And I know that's going to be pretty hard for the two of us, but I think if we pray about it, and have a come to Jesus meeting about it, I think we can probably and I would hope that that's what we would have in mind. But the time would be kept by I think Mr. Thompson, or Mr. Dark or anybody that you would see fit to keep time, so that we can make sure that - - - and for the Council's 15 minutes, we'll let Bea do that. Does that sound reasonable Councilwoman Bowman? Or did you have another suggestion?

Councilwoman Bowman: No sir, I'm through suggesting for today.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, well Mr. Mayor, that's about as fair as I can - - -

Mayor Glover: The second question Mr. Shyne, goes to I believe it's Sub (paragraph) V of the resolution, which states that one meeting per calendar year presentation by the Mayor of his annual report on the condition of the affairs of the city as required by Section 5.02, Section G of the City Charter?

Ms. Glass: Mr. Chairman, that section in the main resolution is now superseded by the new Section 5 that's in the amendment. So the portion relative to that now states, Communications of the Mayor relative to city business, other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Mayor Glover: That does not mean that one meeting per year, that we will have the State of the City Address during a regular Council Meeting? That's not what that says, correct?

Ms. Glass: That is correct. That is not in there any more.

Mayor Glover: That's the clarification I was looking for. But the Charter does require that it be done at a Council Meeting, doesn't it?

Mr. Dark: No.

Ms. Glass: I'd have to look that up. But the section as written now, would if the charter requires it, would certainly allow it to be done in the Council Meeting.

Mayor Glover: Say that again please?

Ms. Glass: The section as written now, if the charter requires the State of the City Address to be done one per year in a Council Meeting, then it would be able to be done under the section as now written. Because that would fall under Communications of the Mayor relative to city business.

Mayor Glover: But I don't think that the Charter calls for it to be during a City Council Meeting, is it Mr. Thompson? Or do you - - -

Mr. Thompson: That's my recollection, but we will look at that, and we will communicate with your office about that.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Shyne and Members of the Council.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 5. Nays: Councilmen Lester, and Bowman. 2

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, the Item No. 1 that was added, was to replace Resolution No. 216 of 2008, and it also placed it at this point on the agenda. *The Clerk read the following:*

The following resolution was offered by _____ and seconded by _____.

RESOLUTION NO. 216 OF 2008

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT (THE "CITY") TO PROCEED WITH THE ISSUING OF NOT TO EXCEED ELEVEN MILLION AND NO/100 (\$11,000,000) DOLLARS LOUISIANA LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY REVENUE BONDS (SHREVEPORT AIRPORT CARGO FACILITY PROJECT) SERIES 2008C-AMT SUBJECT FOR THE PURPOSE OF (i) THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPPING OF A 57,000 SQUARE FOOT CARGO FREIGHT FACILITY AT SHREVEPORT REGIONAL AIRPORT, (ii) FUNDING A DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUND, IF REQUIRED, (iii) AMENDING AND/OR REINTEGRATING AN EXISTING SWAP AGREEMENT IF DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE AND FEASIBLE, AND (iv) PAYING THE COSTS OF ISSUANCE THEREOF AND FURTHER PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, by prior resolution, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City") became a participating political subdivision and member of the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority (the "Authority"); and

WHEREAS, the City desires to proceed with a financing through the Authority in an amount not to exceed Eleven Million and No/100 (\$11,000,000) Dollars in a manner and structure to be determined by subsequent resolution, to finance the cost of the acquisition, construction and equipping of a 57,000 square foot cargo freight facility at Shreveport Regional Airport and further providing with respect thereto (the "Project").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport (the "City"), in legal session convened, acting as the governing authority thereof (the "Governing Authority", that:

Section 1: LCDA Approval. The City is authorized to proceed with the financing through the Authority in an amount not to exceed Eleven Million and No/100 (\$11,000,000) Dollars, represented by the issuance of the Authority's bonds on behalf of the City (the "Bonds") to provide funds to finance the Project.

Section 2: Structure of Financing. The structure, terms and conditions of any such borrowing shall be determined by subsequent resolution of the City Council, provided however, that the term of said borrowing shall not exceed twenty-five (25) years from

the date of issuance and will bear interest at either a variable rate not to exceed twelve per centum (12%) per annum or a fixed rate not to exceed ten per centum (8%) per annum. The Governing Authority authorizes the authorized officers of the City to convert from a variable rate to a fixed rate when determined feasible by the City.

Section 3: State Bond Commission Application. The Governing Authority hereby authorizes and directs that application be formally made to the State Bond Commission, Baton Rouge, Louisiana (the "SBC") for final approval of the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority for the benefit of the City within the parameters set forth above.

Section 4: SBC Swap Approval. By virtue of applicant/issuer's application for, acceptance and utilization of the benefits of the Louisiana State Bond Commission's approval(s) resolved and set forth herein, it resolves that it understands and agrees that such approval(s) are expressly conditioned upon, and it further resolves that it understands, agrees and binds itself, its successors and assigns to, full and continuing compliance with the "State Bond Commission Policy on Approval of Proposed Use of Swaps, or other forms of Derivative Products Hedges, Etc.", adopted by the Commission on July 20, 2006, as to the borrowing(s) and other matter(s) subject to the approval(s), including subsequent application and approval under said Policy of the implementation or use of any swap(s) or other product(s) or enhancement(s) covered thereby.

Section 5: Official Intent. This Resolution is an adoption of an official intent of the City acting by and through the Authority toward the issuance of its Bonds as contemplated herein in accordance with the laws of the State and the United States Treasury Regulations, Section 1.150-2(e). The Bonds are not expected to exceed an aggregate principal amount of \$11,000,000. Reimbursement of expenditures of the City from proceeds of the Bonds, if any, will be for reimbursement of expenditures from the City's general fund and the City' Airport Authority Reserve Fund.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Governing Authority hereby authorizes and directs its Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Finance, and/or Clerk, individually, together with such other officials of the City to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out the provisions of these resolutions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of these resolutions or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 5. Nays: Councilman Walford. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, for the record and for the staff that I think is still up in the office, Mr. Walford voted NO.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS: *(Not to be adopted prior to September 8, 2008)*

1. **Resolution No. 215 of 2008:** A resolution authorizing the Mayor to request the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of the State Mineral Board, to lease certain mineral interest owned by the City of Shreveport and to execute all documents relative to same; To ratify and approve the submission of the request and the application to the State Mineral Board; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 217 of 2008:** A resolution declaring the intention of the City of Shreveport (the "City") to hire professionals in connection with the issuing of not to exceed Eleven Million and No/100 (\$11,000,000) Dollars Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Airport Cargo Facility Project) Series 2008C-AMT subject for the purpose of (i) the acquisition, construction and equipping of a 57,000 square foot cargo freight facility at Shreveport Regional Airport, (ii) funding a debt service reserve fund, if required, (iii) amending and/or reintegrating an existing swap agreement, if determined to be appropriate and feasible, and (iv) paying the costs of issuance thereof and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Resolution No(s). 215 and 217 of 2008 to lay over until September 8, 2008 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES: *(Not to be adopted prior to September 8, 2008)*

1. **Ordinance No. 100 of 2008:** An ordinance amending the 2008 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
2. **Ordinance No. 101 of 2008:** An ordinance amending the 2008 Budget for the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
3. **Ordinance No. 102 of 2008:** An ordinance amending the 2008 Budget for the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
4. **Ordinance No. 103 of 2008:** An ordinance amending the 2008 Budget for the Fleet Services Internal Service Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

5. **Ordinance No. 104 of 2008**: An ordinance amending the 2008 Budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
6. **Ordinance No. 105 of 2008**: An ordinance amending the 2008 Budget funding Contractual Services provided to SPORTRAN by Metro Management Associates, Inc., and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
7. **Ordinance No. 106 of 2008**: An ordinance amending and replacing 102-127 of the Code of Ordinances for the City of Shreveport pertaining to SPORTRAN fares and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
8. **Ordinance No. 107 of 2008**: An ordinance amending and Replacing Ordinance No. 203 of 1987 pertaining to a Stop Intersection at Business Park Drive and Quimper Place, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
9. **Ordinance No. 108 of 2008**: ZONING C-56-08: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of Greenwood Road, 460 feet west of Marston, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D Urban One-Family Residence District, to B-2, Neighborhood Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)
10. **Ordinance No. 109 of 2008**: ZONING - APPEAL C-59-08 An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the east side of Line Avenue, 150 feet north of East 72nd Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana from R-1H, Urban, One-Family Residence Use District to B-2, Neighborhood Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)
11. **Ordinance No. 110 of 2008**: ZONING C-60-08: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the southeast corner of Linwood Avenue and West 69th Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-2, Neighborhood Business District to B-3 Community Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)
12. **Ordinance No. 111 of 2008**: ZONING C-61-08: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the northeast corner of Linwood Avenue and West 70th Street, Shreveport, Louisiana, Caddo Parish, Louisiana from B-2, Neighborhood Business District to B-3 Community Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Resolution No(s). 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106,

107, 108, 109, 110, and 111 of 2008 to lay over until September 8, 2008 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 5. Nays: Councilwoman Bowman. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE *(Numbers are assigned Ordinance Number)*

1. **Ordinance No. 69 of 2008**: Ann ordinance authorizing the Mayor to execute an act of donation of City-Owned property to Urban Housing of America, Inc., Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Postponed August 12, 2008)*

Having passed first reading on June 24, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

2. **Ordinance No. 90 of 2008**: An ordinance amending the 2008 Capital Improvements Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

3. **Ordinance No. 91 of 2008**: An ordinance amending the 2008 Budget for the Airports Enterprise Fund and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

4. **Ordinance No. 92 of 2008**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Peach Street and Peach Tree Circle as a yield intersection and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(A/Lester)*

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

5. **Ordinance No. 93 of 2008**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Avocado Drive and Willie Mays Street as a stop intersection and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

6. **Ordinance No. 94 of 2008**: An ordinance enacting Section 42-3 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances relative to licenses permits and business regulations and to otherwise provide with respect thereto

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long.*The Clerk read the following:*

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 94 of 2008

Amend section 42-3(a) to read as follows:

(a) No person owning or operating a business which engages in the retail sale of gasoline and/or diesel fuel, and no agent, associate, employee, representative or servant of any such person shall activate a fuel pump for the purpose of allowing a person to place fuel into any vehicle or container, except an aircraft, without having first received payment in full for the fuel to be dispensed.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 94 of 2008. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt Ordinance No. 94 of 2008 as amended.

Councilman Walford: I'm going to vote NO on this, I frankly think that it's not government's place to tell a business how they have to collect money. I realize that drive offs are causing our crime statistics to be off, but are we next going to ask grocery stores to require a deposit before you go in because there's shoplifting there? And are we going to ask Target and WalMart to put money up front? This is ridiculous. Government should not be telling private enterprise that they have to collect their money first. This is the wrong way to get crime statistics down. Lets do that with our Police Department, but not by burdening business. If a person or a business does not want to collect money up front, and wants to risk losing, that certainly is their prerogative as a business owner or operator. So, I'm voting NO and I would urge a NO vote on this. This is Big Brother doing the wrong thing.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to call Chief Whitehorn to come up.

Councilman Shyne: I hope those stats will help to convince - - - okay

Councilman Walford, after you read these stats, I think you will - - -

Councilman Walford: It won't change my mind, no sir.

Chief Whitehorn: The last page is the one that really reflects the numbers. You should have a chart on the last page similar to this. Do you not have that?

Councilman Lester: I don't think we have that Chief.

Chief Whitehorn: You don't have that?

Councilman Lester: No sir. You can put it up on the overhead.

Councilman Shyne: It says Gas Drive Offs?

Chief Whitehorn: I apologize, I thought it was all attached.

Councilwoman Bowman: Chief, as discussed in our public safety meeting, and that's where this was presented first, and it was amazing to most of us who attended the meeting that there were that many. It was also reported to us that in this instance, this particular one who has the majority listed, and I'm gonna go on and call the name. Murphy Oil, USA, which is out at the various WalMarts although not affiliated from my understanding, with WalMart.

Chief Whitehorn: That is correct.

Councilwoman Bowman: Our Police Department is called each and every time this occurs, am I correct?

Chief Whitehorn: Yes Ma'am you are correct.

Councilwoman Bowman: That is the reason that is the reason that this legislation was put out here, is because each and every time, they are called to come regardless. And then this particular owner does not want to be responsible for the gas drive offs, yet, they have to call us and report that someone did it. Am I correct?

Chief Whitehorn: That's correct. I made a call to the corporate office to try to cooperate/get some cooperation with the ownership to try to help us solve this problem. One of the biggest thing that we try to do is prevent crime from happening. I do understand that it's our job to respond, but if we can prevent it, that's what we would like to do first. And this is a crime that can be easily prevented by requiring that pre pay, and so that's why we suggested this ordinance, to try to not only help us on our numbers, but to prevent crime from happening.

Councilwoman Bowman Okay. Thank you Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to make sure that the City Council Members understood what was going on.

Councilman Lester: Chief, I appreciate you coming forward with this. I don't think that you brought this forward with an idea of trying to reduce your crime statistics administratively. If there was some way that we could pass a law to eliminate crime, I certainly would love to be the guy that offered that legislation. I could go down in a blaze of glory. But that's not going to happen. I think when I look at your analysis, and remembering the conversation that we had in the Body of the Public Safety Committee, I liken this to the whole scenario that we have with faulty alarms. If in fact someone drives off, and your guys are called, the patrol officer doesn't have a choice on whether or not to respond, they have to respond. And as I appreciate, the biggest offender has taken the position, not just in Shreveport nationally, that they don't want to require people to pay the money up front because they believe that if people have to pay up

front, they will patronize less of their other services, i.e. if they have to pay for gas up front, they'll pay for the gas, and they are less inclined to get a Coke, or Pepsi or cigarettes or things of that nature. So it's not that they are concerned with Big Brother, someone in the confines of the company made a business choice, and some accountant told them if you make them pay ahead, then we lose more money. And in my mind, I'm not concerned about their bottom line, I'm concerned about our officers having to repeatedly come out on these calls, and in one case, 144 calls, that are not prosecuted, and me personally, I would much rather see that patrol officer dealing with a real criminal issue, patrolling my neighborhood, and patrolling some of these other neighborhoods, catching people that are doing other burglaries, than to have to continue to come out over and over and over because someone drove off, and didn't decide to pay their gas. And so if we can prevent a crime in one respect or even more than that in my mind, create a better situation with response times in other deals, and stop a needless drain of police department resources by virtually just merely requiring people to pay the money up front, that's something I would much rather do. Because what you haven't done is calculated what the loss of man hours is in this hunt. And listen, one particular company of the 209, one company is 144. And so the question is, the 209 calls that your guys have to answer expeditiously, that aren't prosecuted, how much lost time are we having from doing an investigation, or doing some field work or responding to other issues. And if we can resolve this by saying you know what you gotta pay your money up front, I don't see that as being a Big Brother thing, I think that's a prudent administration of our police resources, and I applaud you for that. And again, as to the Big Brother, I mean, we tell people how fast they can drive. We tell them they have to wear helmets. We have a zoning board that tells you what you can do with your personal property and your business property. We have an MPC that ruled, and we're going to vote on someone who has a business in a particular area, Councilwoman Bowman's district, in just a minute on how they can use their own private property. So, the idea that suddenly the government has turned into this Big Brother, this big monster that's overburdens some. I don't think it actually holds weight. Again, I applaud you for being proactive, because again in my mind it's a matter of what is the effective use of police resources, and I don't think it's an ineffective use of police resources for those men and women who have to go out and on 209 calls cause somebody drove off, when we can just require them to pay up front. Not saying that drive offs won't occur at that point, but I would imagine that that number would decrease significantly, and much like we've done with the alarm situation, it helps us in other ways, so I'm going to be supporting you Chief, because I think this is an intelligent situation.

Councilman Shyne: Before we get to Councilman Webb, Councilman Lester, I think your thesis this time was extremely good, cause you might have convinced Councilman Walford to change his mind.

Councilman Lester: I appreciate that. You are better than some of my professors on your grade. So I know if I passed your inspection, then I must have done something pretty outstanding.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Chairman, I agree somewhat with what Councilman Walford said, and I'd hate to see us have to regulate what businesses would do. You would think they would take it upon themselves to do what they need to do to collect. Man, looking at this chart, this just puzzles me, and I don't see where we would be

taking away from Murphy Oil customers buying a Coke or a pack of cigarettes. I know the way those particular facilities are set up, and when you look at these other stores, it's no where near the volume, and it's obvious they have a little better system. I don't know how they keep an eye on their customers, but I witnessed people drive off before, not knowing they didn't pay ahead of time, but it's a shame that we have to do this, but I'm in agreement, that maybe we're going to take the pressure off the stores. Now they don't have to say well we're making it mandatory, they can say it's now a city ordinance that takes the pressure off them. But that's the thing that bothers me. If we have to go out there each and every time that we have a call, that the man hours that we're losing for something that we obviously aren't going to catch the thief in most cases, so once people get regulated that you've got to pay up front, it's going to be a way of life, and that's what's happening in our real world, things aren't like they used to be. And it's a shame that it's going to have to come to this. But I think I'm going to have to support it just for that reason.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Walford, did you want another bite at the apple?

Councilman Walford: No, I'm a businessman, I don't like being told by government and I'm sure others don't either.

Councilman Webb: I agree with you there, but I understand.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you Chief for bringing this to us today. If we implement this today, well if it passes today here's the question I want to ask, because personally, I don't want to see us having to waste time and money responding to calls like this. However, if they call the police department, are we still obliged to answer the call?

Chief Whitehorn: Yes sir, we will still answer the call even if this goes into affect. But we will have the ability to take some enforcement action either on the perpetrator or on the business to prevent it from happening or continuing to occur.

Councilman Wooley: I guess and in saying that, if you pass this law, how is it going to decrease? I guess I don't want to use the word scare tactic, but I guess how will we know the level of effectiveness we're going to get by implementing this, because if they still call you out, we're still going to go. So if Murphy Oil calls us 144 times in the next 6 months or year, we're still going to respond and still use up those resources which I personally don't want to see us have to use. I'd rather see those officers in the neighborhoods patrolling the streets or answering other major calls.

Chief Whitehorn: What we've been told is they won't do it on their own, require their customers to prepay. However, if it's an ordinance, they will amend their rules, and make it a prepay. So based on that information, and the other locations, I would imagine that we would see if not 100% reduction which is what I would like to see, but at least 80-90% reduction in these gas drive offs. Because not only are you tying up one officer, in many cases, you're tying up two or three. Because the call goes out with a BOLO on the vehicle that we're looking for. And now officers are now looking for that vehicle.

Councilman Shyne: Chief, I've always said that you're the professional, and I go along with you.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 5. Nays: Councilmen Walford and Wooley. 2

7. **Ordinance No. 95 of 2008**: An ordinance amending the 2008 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Walford: Did you move to withdraw?

Councilman Shyne: No, this is a move to - - - since you killed the first piece of legislation, and it was designed to help those people who need help in our community. We always say that we are our brothers' keeper, and you know we always running to prayer meeting, and we always running to church, but it seems like when it comes to helping people, we want to go the other way. And this is why I was saying on yesterday, I didn't want to waste the time of Ms. Bonnie Moore and Mr. Thompson working out a detailed plan and the Council was not in favor of it.

Motion failed by the following vote: Nays: Lester, Walford, Long, and Webb. 4. Ayes: Councilmen Wooley, Shyne, and Bowman. 3.

8. **Ordinance No. 96 of 2008**: An ordinance amending the 2008 Community Development Special Revenue Fund and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion failed by the following vote: Nays: Lester, Walford, Long, and Webb. 4. Ayes: Councilmen Wooley, Shyne, and Bowman. 3.

9. **Ordinance No. 97 of 2008**: An ordinance amending Chapter 42 of the Code of Ordinances relative to itinerate vendors and to otherwise providing with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone until October 28, 2008.

Councilman Webb: I was approached by Mr. Lawrence from AT&T in reference to this, and thinking out of the box, as I've heard the expression here for the last couple of days, there's a lot of things about this, I myself have problems with. But being pro-business, I would like to at least let them come forward with their reasons for what they're wanting to do. They have a presentation they would like to give the Council. They still don't have all their ducks lined up in a row. And it's some very interesting stuff. And I think it would be nice if we could at least let them come forward and

present all the facts. And if the Council so chooses to deny their request, then I don't have a problem, but I think we at least owe them the opportunity to come forward with a pretty good size business venture that you might be willing to change our mind about after you hear it. And so that's all I ask for is just for a postponement and to give them an opportunity to come and present their case.

Councilman Lester: I was just going to say, I applaud Councilman Webb's being open for business. And I think that as Council Members, if we have an issue that we want to bring before the Council, the least that we owe each other from a respect standpoint is to allow it to have the light of day without any predisposition or any judgement. Having been on the wrong end of that, I certainly would not dare be hypocritical and just vote something down simply without hearing. So I applaud him for at least bringing it to the table, and I think that we should allow the folks from AT&T to at least make their presentation and go from there. So, I applaud you Councilman Webb.

Councilman Shyne: I applaud Councilman Webb also, but I'm kinda like the gentleman who came up earlier, and kinda like the Mayor said on yesterday, if it's going to apply to his district, and not mine, I'm for it. If Mike (inaudible) last year, I think he had some water and sewerage workers that were working out on Broadway. And the next thing he knew Mike, I think one of them got robbed. So, we got some areas where if you're going around selling from door to door, it might increase our crime stats. So, I'm probably leaning to vote against this.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

10. **Ordinance No. 98 of 2008**: An ordinance making certain findings with respect to the issuance of the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds on behalf of the City of Shreveport of not to exceed Ten Million and No/100 Dollars (\$10,000,000) (Shreveport Airport System Project) Series 2008A-AMT subject and not to exceed Eighteen Million Five Hundred Thousand and No/100 Dollars. (\$18,500,000) (Shreveport Airport System PFC Project) Series 2008B-AMT subject to refund certain existing indebtedness of the airport system, funding a debt service reserve fund, if necessary, funding fees, if any, related to the termination of an existing swap agreement associated with prior bonds if determined to be appropriate and feasible, paying the costs of issuance of the bonds, approving the forms of and authorizing the distribution, execution and delivery of the preliminary, if any and final official statements; approving the form of the trust indenture, authorizing the execution and delivery of the loan agreement, a bond purchase agreement and other documents, certificates or contracts required in connection therewith; and authorizing the Mayor and the Clerk of the City to do all things necessary to effectuate this ordinance

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 98 of 2008:

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 98 of 2008. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Long, and Webb, Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilmen Walford, Wooley, and Bowman. 3.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Ordinance No. 98 of 2008 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Long, and Webb, Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilmen Walford, Wooley, and Bowman. 3.

11. **Ordinance No. 99 of 2008:** An ordinance authorizing the Purchasing Agent to dispose of surplus real property and otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilman Long: I did get a call from one realtor who is concerned about the minimum bid price. Is that an issue, or do we? I don't know, whatever. I just got a complaint about it.

Mr. Dark: Well let me - - - that property if I'm not mistaken was appraised several different ways. As is, or in it's highest and best use, which would be combined with other uses, and that's the appraisal that we're using for the bid at this point.

Councilman Long: What were the other appraisal amounts?

Mr. Dark: I couldn't tell you that, and I don't know if Mike's got it here, but I know that was the deal, there were three different appraisals. One of them was pure stand alone, and one of them was as combined, which is what we assumed would happen. You can't just do anything with the site like it is. Got somebody who wants to develop there and (inaudible) pay it.

Councilman Shyne: Are we maintaining the mineral rights?

Mr. Dark: Yes sir.

Councilman Walford: That's what I was looking at.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

12. **Ordinance No. 70 of 2008:** ZONING APPEAL: C-17-08 An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of South Lakeshore Drive 285 feet west of Shorewood and 350 feet north of South Lakeshore, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana from B-1, Buffer Business District to B-1-E, Buffer Business District Extended Use limited to retail spaces for 7 RVs and Boat

Trailers as an accessory use to Barron's Landing only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Bowman) (Postponed August 12, 2008)

Having passed first reading on June 24, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long. The Clerk read the following:

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 70 of 2008

In Section II, after stipulation No. 1, add the following:

2. The zoning established by this ordinance shall remain in effect for one year from the date of adoption of this ordinance. After that date the zoning shall revert to the zoning in effect prior to the adoption of this ordinance, unless the applicant has reapplied and received a continuation of the zoning. The application fee for said reapplication shall be waived.

Councilman Shyne: Who is the author of the amendment?

Mr. Thompson: That was Mr. Long

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 70 of 2008.

Councilman Long: Mr. Chairman, I'm presenting this in the hopes to try to reach some sort of a compromise situation between the two parties here. I realize there's a lot of friction and contentiousness relative to this zoning case, and it's unfortunate that it's occurred, but in all fairness to the property owner, I think they're making reasonably a good faith effort to try to do the right thing with that property, in the same breath, I think that by doing this on a one year sort of a probation period would give them an opportunity to perform and do right. And my guess is there'll be probably minimal complaints or valid complaints that can be experienced here, but that's what my attempt to do here is, to try to reach some sort of a compromise. So, y'all vote however you think you need to on this issue.

Councilman Shyne: I just want to ask this question. Suppose they sank quite a bit of money in it. I mean, you know.

Councilman Long: Well I mean, there's a possibility of maybe moving it to two years, cause with two years effectively, what would that truly do in this scenario. I'm open for suggestions.

Councilman Shyne: I'm just asking, I'm ready to vote.

Councilman Walford: I'm not sure we accomplish anything with one year. I am more than prepared to vote to uphold the MPC. I think all that we do with one year is put off what apparently is a difficult decision, and we put the applicant, whose been approved by a unanimous MPC vote, in a real bad spot. I don't know if I would want to invest the kind of money that they're investing, cause the Council wants to eventually put off a decision for a year. I commend my colleague. He's trying to find a compromise, but I really think that on this one, that it's time to move ahead and uphold the decision of the MPC. And I would actually urge a NO vote on the amendment. Let's don't go with the one year. I don't think it serves well here, I think you're making an excellent

(inaudible) Mr. Chairman on the 'what if they spent a lot of money?' They're already telling us to comply with the MPC requirements, they're going to spend a lot of money. So, I would urge us - - - let's vote NO on the amendment, and let's vote to uphold the MPC on this one.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Walford, we agree this time. We disagreed on helping poor people, but this time we agree.

Councilman Walford: So, I'm voting NO on the amendment. Thank you there Mr. Shyne.

Motion failed by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Ayes: Councilman Long. 1.

Councilman Shyne: And they're ready to tackle a tough decision of not putting it off.

Councilman Walford: And at this time, I would make a motion to uphold the decision of the MPC.

Ms. Glass: Mr. Chairman, normally the way we do these, since there's an ordinance on your agenda, it's a motion to adopt.

Councilman Walford: My motion would be to adopt the ordinance.

Councilman Walford: And I may need Mr. Kirkland to help me here, if I may Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: I'm sorry, cause Charles you had a question a few minutes ago, and I got sidetracked here with Monty.

Councilman Walford: Are the items that are on this list stipulated as part of the approval from the MPC?

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt with the stipulations that were indicated.

Mr. Kirkland: Let me, if I may, for a point of clarification. I just confirmed - - - the MPC requirement was that the pads had to be hard surfaced, and any internal drives on the property would have to be hard surfaced. But there's a large piece of this property from almost the public road right of way to the site, that is subject to what ever DOS requires on hard surface. Mike just confirmed for me that they do not, that y'all don't require a private property owner to hard surface that (inaudible) right? Accordingly, if it's approved, the Barron's Landing folks or the owner of the property does not have to hard surface. I wouldn't want you to vote today and perhaps be misled on that requirement. Now they may choose to voluntarily hard surface that public portion Ms. Bowman. Which is (inaudible), but that's not going to be required by anyone, unless you want it to be.

Councilwoman Bowman: When this started months and months ago, Dr. Kumar contacted me, and I told him at that particular time that I would support him, and I want the record to show Dr. Kumar, that I'm sticking with that.

Councilman Shyne: Councilwoman Bowman, I think I told you months ago, that I would support you, and I'm sticking with that.

Councilman Walford: Well I thank you Mr. Kirkland. I think that clears up something. But I think what we're seeing, although it's not - - - I'm getting like some of those who were addressing us. She's willing to do, according to the letter to the MPC, more than is actually required. And I certainly can respect Ms. Bowman's position. But I'm usually consistent in supporting the MPC and the ZBA. And I would urge a YES vote on this one.

Councilman Long: So, if I'm hearing correctly, all the stips as presented in this exhibit that Mr. Westmoreland are the stips that will apply in this situation?

Councilman Walford: If I read it right, in the decision that was made and what we're hearing from Mr. Kirkland, a hard surface is not required. But she's agreeing in this letter to do it. The other five items are required.

Councilman Long: I think No. 6 on this list is a relatively new item.

Mr. Kirkland: You can add those to it, but those are new. The MPC requirements are more or less as I stated. Site improvements, but that space on the publicly owned property was not required to be hard surfaced. But if you had this, what's been presented to you by letter today, then I think they would be required to go by in order to have the approval. Councilman, we would just want a copy of that.

Ms. Glass: We need to type a written amendment to add those things.

Mr. Kirkland: Yeah, that would work.

Ms. Glass: I mean, we could do that, but it would take a little while. I don't even have it.

Councilman Shyne: Can we use that as the amendment?

Ms. Glass: We can't use this as an amendment, but if you give me just a few minutes, we can put it an amendment form.

Councilman Walford: Can I make a motion to suspend the rules and hear the next case?

Councilman Shyne: Sure, Monty, sure.

Councilman Walford: Can we just leave my motion hanging?

Mr. Thompson: We'll need to go back to the amendment.

Councilman Shyne: What Monty will do is, he'll withdraw his motion., and we'll come back to this particular item on the agenda.

Mr. Strong: Can I just say one thing that when you are involved with the issues below the 172, requiring them to do something, they may have to do something else, if they're adding into the 172, they may have to take something out to equalize that, so understand that.

13. **Ordinance No. 83 of 2008**: ZONING: C-35-08 An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of Hilry Huckaby, 1800' south of North Lakeshore, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-3-E , Community Business/Extended District, to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District **Limited to "an office, equipment storage yard, with the addition of stockpile materials (wood chips/recyclable wood products" only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) *(Postponed August 12, 2008)*

Having passed first reading on August 12, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to table. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 70 OF 2008

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF SOUTH LAKESHORE DRIVE 285 FEET WEST OF SHOREWOOD AND 350 FEET NORTH OF SOUTH LAKESHORE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT TO B-1-E, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT EXTENDED USE LIMITED TO “RENTAL SPACES FOR 7 RV’S AND 7 BOAT TRAILERS AS AN ACCESSORY USE TO BARRON’S LANDING” ONLY. AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of South Lakeshore Drive 285 feet west of Shorewood and 350 feet north of South Lakeshore, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, be and the same is hereby **changed from B-1 Buffer Business District to B-1-E, Buffer Business District Extended Use limited to “rental spaces for 7 RV’s and 7 boat trailers as an accessory use to Barron’s Landing” only.**

Said tract of land legally described as follows: A certain parcel or portion of land located in Section 34,T18N-R15W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and being more particularly described as follows: From the SE corner of Section 34,T18N-R15W, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, run thence W along the S line of said Section line a distance of 260 feet; thence run N68°E a distance of 91.5 feet; thence run N 380.2 feet to the 172 foot contour line of Cross Lake; thence run along said contour these two calls: S86°45’W a distance of 54.4 feet; S83°15’W a distance of 150 feet to the P-O-B of the tract herein described, thence continue along said 172 foot contour of Cross Lake these four calls: S83°15W a distance of 41.4 feet; S79°15W a distance of 97.7 feet; S0°15’W a distance of 47.52 feet; S60°15’E a distance of 158.58 feet; thence run N a distance of 149.5 feet to the P-O-B.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with a revised site plan showing hard surfaced pads, landscaping, and fencing. This plan shall be submitted to and approved

by the Planning Director. Any significant changes or additions shall require further review and approval by the Planning Commission. No permits shall be issued until the revised site plan and/or replatting of lots has been approved by the Planning Director or the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 70 of 2008 relative to Zoning Case No. C-17-08:

In Section II, after stipulation No. 1, add the following:

2. Hard surface driveway shall be poured into the entrance of the property as required by the MPC;
3. Hard surface pads shall be poured for the seven RV sites as required by the MPC;
4. The sewer, water and any other utility hookups shall be relocated above the 172 foot contour line of Cross Lake as required by City Code and in accordance with applicable City Ordinance;
5. A 6 foot solid screening privacy fence shall be erected on the common eastern boundary lines between the property and Dr. Kumar's adjacent property;
6. The number of RVs present on the property shall be limited to seven RVs per the MPC ruling and all RVs shall be parked above the 172 ft contour line;
7. Stays of the RVs shall be limited to a maximum of 30 consecutive days

ORDINANCE NO. 90 OF 2008

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2008 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2008 Capital Improvements Budget to provide additional project funding and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 160 of 2007, the 2008 Capital Improvements Budget, be further amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Program E (Water Improvements):

Increase the appropriation for **60" Water Main - Amiss Plant to West College Street (06-E001)** by \$1,000,000. Funding source is 2005 URB.

In Program H (Airports Improvements):

Change the name of Project **07-H001** to **Rehab Runway 14-32 and Lighting on Taxiway "A" South** and increase its appropriation by \$7,665,000. Funding sources are Federal Aviation Administration \$6,935,000, State Grant \$365,000 and Shreveport Airport Authority \$365,000.

Increase the appropriation for **Part 150 Noise Update (07H004)** by \$3,031,600. Funding sources are Federal Aviation Administration \$3,000,000, State Grant \$15,800 and Shreveport Airport Authority \$15,800.

Establish a project entitled **Jet Bridge for ASA (08H001)** and fund it at \$192,500. Funding source is Shreveport Airport Authority.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 160 of 2007, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 91 OF 2008

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2008 AIRPORTS ENTERPRISE FUND BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2008 budget for the Airports Enterprise Fund to appropriate additional funds, to transfer funds among expenditure categories and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 165 of 2007, the 2008 budget for the Airports Enterprise Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Increase Other Funds by \$22,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$704,500. Increase Materials and Supplies by \$30,000, Other Charges by \$50,000, Improvements and Equipment by \$454,000 and Transfer to Capital Projects Fund by \$192,500..

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 165 of 2007 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 92 OF 2008

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF PEACH STREET AND PEACH TREE CIRCLE AS A YIELD INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in

legal and regular session convened to create and establish the intersection of Peach Street and Peach Tree Circle as a yield intersection. Peach Tree Circle shall yield to Peach Street.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or

applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or

applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 93 OF 2008

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF AVOCADO DRIVE AND WILLIE MAYS STREET AS A STOP INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in

legal and regular session convened to create and establish the intersection of Avocado Drive and Willie Mays Street as a stop intersection. Avocado Drive shall stop at Willie Mays Street.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 94 OF 2008

AN ORDINANCE TO ENACT SECTION 42-3 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO LICENSES PERMITS AND BUSINESS REGULATIONS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 42-3 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby enacted and now reads as follows:

Section 42-3. Prior Payment for Gasoline and Diesel Fuel

(a) No person owning or operating a business which engages in the retail sale of gasoline and/or diesel fuel, and no agent, associate, employee, representative or servant of any such person shall activate a fuel pump for the purpose of allowing a person to place fuel into any vehicle or container without having first received payment in full for the fuel to be dispensed.

(b) The owner shall not be guilty of a violation of this section when there is evidence:
1. that there was a written and publicly posted policy communicated to all agents, associates, employees, representatives, or servants requiring compliance with this section and any violation would result in termination,
2. that the agent, associate, employee, representative or servant who activated the pump was immediately terminated.

(c) Penalties. Whoever violates the provisions of this section shall be fined not more than two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00).

Upon conviction for a second offense occurring within twelve months of the first violation, the violator shall be fined not more than five hundred dollars (\$500.00)

Upon conviction for a third offense occurring within twelve months of the second violation, the violator shall be fined not more than five hundred dollars (\$500.00), or imprisoned for not more than six months, or both.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provisions or item of this ordinance or the application

thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 94 of 2008

Amend section 42-3(a) to read as follows:

(a) No person owning or operating a business which engages in the retail sale of gasoline and/or diesel fuel, and no agent, associate, employee, representative or servant of any such person shall activate a fuel pump for the purpose of allowing a person to place fuel into any vehicle or container, except an aircraft, without having first received payment in full for the fuel to be dispensed.

ORDINANCE NO. 98 OF 2008

Offered by Councilman _____ and seconded by Councilman _____:

**AN ORDINANCE MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS WITH RESPECT TO THE
ISSUANCE OF THE LOUISIANA LOCAL GOVERNMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY
OF SHREVEPORT OF NOT TO EXCEED TEN MILLION AND NO/100**

DOLLARS (\$10,000,000) (SHREVEPORT AIRPORT SYSTEM PROJECT) SERIES 2008A-AMT SUBJECT AND NOT TO EXCEED EIGHTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS. (\$18,500,000) (SHREVEPORT AIRPORT SYSTEM PFC PROJECT) SERIES 2008B-AMT SUBJECT TO REFUND CERTAIN EXISTING INDEBTEDNESS OF THE AIRPORT SYSTEM, FUNDING A DEBT SERVICE RESERVE FUND, IF NECESSARY, FUNDING FEES, IF ANY, RELATED TO THE TERMINATION OF AN EXISTING SWAP AGREEMENT ASSOCIATED WITH PRIOR BONDS IF DETERMINED TO BE APPROPRIATE AND FEASIBLE, PAYING THE COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS, APPROVING THE FORMS OF AND AUTHORIZING THE DISTRIBUTION, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF THE PRELIMINARY, IF ANY AND FINAL OFFICIAL STATEMENTS; APPROVING THE FORM OF THE TRUST INDENTURE, AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT, A BOND PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS, CERTIFICATES OR CONTRACTS REQUIRED IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND THE CLERK OF THE CITY TO DO ALL THINGS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THIS ORDINANCE.

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority (the "Authority") is a political subdivision of the State of Louisiana established for public purposes including the issuance of bonds and refunding bonds pursuant to Chapter 10-D of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended (La. R.S. 33:4548.1 through 4548.16) (the "Act") and Chapter 14A of Title 39 (the "Refunding Act"); and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, a municipality and political subdivision organized and validly existing under the laws of the State of Louisiana (the "City" or "Governing Authority") is a participating political subdivision and member of the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the City previously issued its \$7,680,000 Airport System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2007A-AMT Subject and \$14,540,000 Airport System PFC Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2007B-AMT Subject (collectively, the "Prior Bonds"). The Prior Bonds were used to currently refund the then outstanding \$7,390,000 Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 1997A-AMT Subject and the then outstanding \$14,000,000 Airport System PFC Revenue Bonds, Series 1997B-AMT Subject; and

WHEREAS, the Prior Bonds have experienced an increase in interest rates which impact the profitability of the Airport System; and

WHEREAS, the City has requested that the Authority issue its revenue refunding bonds to refund the Prior Bonds, to fund a debt service reserve fund, if required, provide funding for fees, if any, with respect to terminating an existing swap agreement associated with the Prior Bonds, and pay the costs of issuance related thereto, all of which shall collectively be known as the ("Project" or the "Refunding"); and

WHEREAS, the Act, the Refunding Act and other constitutional and statutory authority empowers the Authority to issue revenue refunding bonds to provide funds to the beneficiary thereof to allow it to refinance, including but not limited to refunding bonds previously issued for the construction and acquisition of public infrastructure, economic

development projects and public works **of all types including public facilities, including the Airport System; and**

WHEREAS, the City is authorized to borrow money repayable from income and revenues as well as other lawfully available funds derived by the City from the operation of the Airport System to finance the refunding of the Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Bonds will be issued in accordance with the Act and the Refunding Act; and

WHEREAS, the Bonds will be issued pursuant to a Trust Indenture dated as of September 1, 2008 (the "Indenture") by and between the Authority and Regions Bank, as Trustee (the "Trustee"); and

WHEREAS, the proceeds of the Bonds will be loaned by the Authority to the City pursuant to a Loan Agreement dated as of September 1, 2008 (the "Loan Agreement") by and between the Authority and the City; and

WHEREAS, the Authority has or will adopt a resolution on authorizing the issuance of its Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds in two series, to wit, (i) its not to exceed Ten Million (\$10,000,000) (Shreveport Airport System Project) Series 2008A-AMT Subject, and (ii) its not to exceed Eighteen Million, Five Hundred Thousand (\$18,500,000) (Shreveport Airport System PFC Project) Series 2008B-AMT Subject (the "Bonds") pursuant to the Act and Refunding Act for the purpose of refunding the Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the State Bond Commission (the "Commission") granted its approval of the issuance of the Bonds and authorized the Authority to proceed with the Refunding for the City through the issuance of the Bonds and approved the execution of the Loan Agreement by the City; and

WHEREAS, in consideration of the loan by the Authority pursuant to the Loan Agreement, the City will agree to make payments of principal and interest which will be sufficient to pay or reimburse payment of the costs of issuance of the Bonds and principal of, interest and other charges relative to the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, all consents and approvals required to be given by public bodies in connection with the authorization, issuance and sale of the Bonds as authorized by the Indenture and as required by the Act and/or Refunding Act, have been or will be secured prior to delivery of the Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the City now desires by the adoption of this ordinance (this "Ordinance") to acknowledge and approve the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority and the execution and delivery of (i) the Indenture, (ii) the Loan Agreement, and (iii) all other documents, certificates and contracts ancillary thereto and required in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby, to authorize the sale and delivery of the Bonds to Morgan Keegan & Company, Inc. and Loop Capital Markets, L.L.C. (collectively, the "Underwriters") in accordance with a Bond Purchase Agreement (the "Bond Purchase Agreement") among the Authority, the City and Underwriters, to authorize the use and distribution of any official statements, along with any supplements and/or amendments thereto, together (the "Official Statement") with respect to the Bonds, and to provide for the execution of all instruments, documents and certificates in connection therewith.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana (the "City), acting as the governing authority (the "Governing Authority") of the City, that:

ARTICLE I

Definitions, Findings and Interpretation **SECTION 1. Authorization of Bonds.** For the purposes of financing the Project, the Governing Authority hereby approves and acknowledges the issuance by the Authority on behalf of the City of not to exceed (i) \$10,000,000 of its Revenue Refunding Bonds (Shreveport Airport System Project) Series 2008A-AMT Subject, amount subject, and (ii) \$18,500,000 of its Revenue Refunding Bonds (Shreveport Airport System PFC Project) Series 2008B-AMT Subject, amount subject (the "Bonds"). In connection therewith, the Governing Authority hereby authorizes the Authority to distribute the Official Statement, subject to such changes as may be approved by bond counsel to the City and Authority. The details of the Bonds and the other provisions of their issuance, security and payment shall be set forth in the Indenture, said Indenture to be substantially in the form submitted at this meeting and filed with the official minutes of the City, with such additions, omissions and changes as may be approved by bond counsel to the Authority and the City. The form of Indenture is hereby approved. The Bonds will be issued only as fully registered bonds in the denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple of \$5,000 in excess thereof. The Bonds shall mature not later than 25 years from the date of issuance, and shall bear interest at a fixed rate not to exceed seven per centum (7%) per annum, all as provided in and subject to the terms and conditions of the Indenture.

SECTION 2. Indenture/Loan Agreement. The forms and terms of the Indenture and the Loan Agreement are hereby approved substantially as submitted to the City and filed with the official minutes of the City, all of the provisions of which are hereby made a part of this Ordinance, with such additions, omissions and the changes as may be approved by bond counsel to the Authority and the City. The City authorizes the pledge of (i) Lawfully Available Funds (as defined in the Loan Agreement) and (ii) general airport system revenues, and with respect to the Series 2008B Bonds only, the passenger facilities charges. The City further authorized the execution of any documentation required to evidence such pledge and security interest.

SECTION 3. Bond Purchase Agreement. The Bonds shall be sold and purchased pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Bond Purchase Agreement. The Mayor and the Clerk of the City or any other officer of the City authorized to act by the Mayor, are hereby individually and/or collectively authorized and directed, for and on behalf of the City, to execute the Bond Purchase Agreement reflecting the City's approval and acceptance of the terms thereof and of the sale to the Underwriters of the Bonds. The use, execution and distribution of the final Official Statement are hereby approved in such form as may be approved by bond counsel to the City and the Authority.

SECTION 4. Additional Authority. The Mayor or the Mayor's certified representative and the Clerk of the City, individually and/or collectively are hereby authorized and directed, for and on behalf of the Authority, to do all things necessary, on the advice of bond counsel to the City and the Authority, to effectuate the sale and delivery of the Bonds and to implement this Ordinance, including the publication hereof as required by the Act and/or Refunding Act, the execution of agreements, documents or certificates necessary to issue and deliver the Bonds, including but not limited to agreements (i) providing for amending, modifying, reintegrating and/or terminating the existing swap agreement, (ii) providing for a debt service reserve, if and when the Bonds are issued in a fixed rate mode, together, and (iii) evidencing the security interest granted by such

Loan Agreement. Said officials are hereby further authorized and directed to approve for and on behalf of and the in the name of the City, any changes, additions or deletions in any of the documents, instruments or certificates referred to in this Ordinance, provided that all such changes, additions or deletions, if any shall be approved by bond counsel to the City and the Authority and consistent and within the authority provided in the Act and/or Refunding Act.

SECTION 5. Trustee. The Governing Authority hereby approves Regions Bank, Baton Rouge, Louisiana to serve as Trustee under the Indenture.

SECTION 6. Miscellaneous.

(a) **Publication of Ordinance.** A copy of this Ordinance shall be published in the minutes in accordance with Section 4.16 of the City Charter.

(b) **Ordinance to Constitute Contract.** In consideration of the purchase and the acceptance of the Bonds by those who shall hold the same from time to time, the provisions of this Ordinance shall be a part of the contract of the City with the holders of the Bonds and shall be deemed to be and shall constitute a contract between the City, the Authority, the Trustee and the holders from time to time of the Bonds. The provisions, covenants and agreements herein set forth to be performed by and on behalf of the City shall be for the benefit, protection and security of the holders of any and all of the Bonds.

(c) **Filing of Ordinance.** Upon the issuance of the bonds, a certified copy of this Ordinance shall be filed and recorded as soon as possible in the Mortgage Records in the Parish of Caddo, Louisiana.

(d) **Severability.** In case any one or more the provisions of this Ordinance shall, for any reason, be held to be illegal or invalid, such illegality or invalidity shall not affect any other provision of this Ordinance and this Ordinance shall be construed and enforced as if such illegal or invalid provisions had not been contained herein or therein.

(e) **Governing Law.** This Ordinance is a contract made under, and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Louisiana applicable to contracts made and to be performed entirely within such State.

SECTION 7. Ordinance Supercedes Prior Resolutions and Ordinances. The General Bond Resolution (Resolution No. 211 of 1997), Amendment No. 1 thereto (adopted December 9, 1997), and all subsequent resolutions and ordinances with respect thereto, are amended and superceded to the extent inconsistent with the terms and conditions of this Ordinance. The Indenture and Loan Agreement, in the form delivered to this Governing Authority, together with such changes thereto as Bond Counsel deems desirable, shall govern the terms and conditions of the Bonds and the General Bond Resolution and all amendments previously adopted are hereby repealed, effective upon payment of the Prior Bonds and henceforth all subsequent bonds utilizing airport revenues as security shall be issued in accordance with the Indenture.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 98 of 2008:

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

ORDINANCE NO. 99 OF 2008
AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASING AGENT

TO DISPOSE OF SURPLUS REAL PROPERTY AND OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has acquired certain real property;

WHEREAS, the property listed herein is no longer needed for public purposes and should, therefore be declared surplus and excess; and

WHEREAS, Section 26-292 of the Shreveport Code of Ordinances provides that the sale of surplus property shall be by competitive bid after public notice.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the Purchasing Agent be and is hereby authorized to advertise for bids for the following surplus real property owned by the City of Shreveport, and commonly known as the existing Mt. Zion Road right-of-way, that extends westerly from Ellerbe Road, just south of the Inner Loop Expressway to the westerly line of Section 38 (T17N-R13W) in southeast Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, more fully described as:

That certain tract of land lying in irregular Section 38, (T17N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and being more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the intersection of the North right-of-way line of the proposed Mt. Zion Road Extension and the southwest line of irregular Section 38, from which a concrete monument in the said southwest line of irregular Section 38 bears N 38° 51' W 267.38 feet; run thence S 38° 51' E, with the southwest line of said irregular Section 38, a distance of 111.65 feet; run thence with the South right-of-way line of the proposed Mt. Zion Road Extension; S 84° 37' E a distance of 5.47 feet; 253.80 feet, more or less, along the arc of a 4° 06.89' curve to the right, the long chord of which bears S 78° 59' E a distance of 273.37 feet; run thence N 17° 43' 54" E a distance of 70.0 feet, more or less, along the new westerly right-of-way line of Ellerbe Road; run thence N 17° 52' 20" W a distance of 20.0 feet, more or less, to the northerly right-of-way line of the proposed Mt. Zion Road Extension; run thence with the said northerly right-of-way line a distance of 279.53 feet, more or less, along the arc of a 3° 53.48' curve to the left, the long chord of which bears N 78° 59' W a distance of 289.08 feet; N 84° 37' W a distance of 83.35 feet to the place of beginning and containing 25,878 square feet, more or less, and as shown on the attached plat.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the City of Shreveport reserves unto itself all oil, gas and other minerals and mineral rights, whatsoever, in, on or under the above described property to be transferred.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the City reserves the right to reject any and all bids and waive any formalities.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the above described property is offered on an "as is where is" basis without warranty of title or recourse whatsoever.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute any and all documents necessary to carry out the sale of the above surplus property.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provisions or items of this ordinance or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid

provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1. **Ordinance No. 204 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue fund and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Disparity Study) (A/Lester) (*Introduced November 14, 2006 – Tabled December 12, 2006*)
2. **Ordinance No. 205 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Capital Improvements Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Introduced November 14, 2006 – Tabled December 12, 2006*)
3. **Resolution No. 51 of 2007**: A resolution supporting the Employee Free Choice Act, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled May 8, 2007*)
4. **Ordinance No. 122 of 2006**: Amending portions of Chapter 90 of the Code of Ordinances relative to traffic and vehicles and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Tabled September 11, 2007*)
5. **Ordinance No. 32 of 2008**: An ordinance to amend and reenact portions of Division 3 of Article V of Chapter 26 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Retained Risk and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled April 22, 2008*)
6. **Ordinance No. 24 of 2008**: Amending Chapter 42 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances to add Article XI relative to operation of a rendering plant or transfer center within the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled May 13, 2008*)
7. **Ordinance No. 40 of 2008**: Amending and reenacting Section 26-211 of the Code of Ordinances relative to City Contracts, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford) (*Tabled May 27, 2008*)
8. **Ordinance No. 58 of 2008**: ZONING - C-25-08: Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of N. Market, 3,322 feet north of Martin Luther King Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District to B-1, Buffer Business District and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Tabled July 8, 2008*)
9. **PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEALS:**

- HBO0700145** – 426 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Ms. Carolyn Miller, 424 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA 71105 (C/Long) (*Postponed February 25, 2008 until August 25, 2008*) **DECISION RENDERED August 25, 2008**
- HBO0700081** – 1062 Dalzell Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) Mr. Stanley W. Burke, III, 8848 Youree Drive, Shreveport, LA 71115 (D/Wooley)(*Postponed July 21, 2008 until September 8, 2008*)
- HBO0700137** – 1919 Walnut Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester); Mr. Warren Reddix, 4463 Lakeshore Dr., Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Bowman) (*Postponed February 25, 2008 until August 25, 2008*) **DECISION RENDERED August 25, 2008**
- PSD0700065** - 2513 Dupont Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford); Mr. David Bates, 2509 Dupont Street, Shreveport, La 71103 (B/Walford) (*Postponed July 7, 2008 until September 22, 2008*)
- PSD0700243** - 129 Stoner Ave, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford); Ms. Maria Orellana, 909 Anthony, Bossier City, LA 71112)(*Postponed August 11, 2008 until November 10, 2008*)
- PSD0700170** – 610 Terrell Drive (D/Wooley); Mr. Bobby Player, 3110 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA 71103 (G/Bowman) (*Postponed August 11, 2008 until September 22, 2008*)
- PSD0700347** – 4129 Theo Street/4709 Norton (F/Shyne): Mr. Johnny Myles, 1041 Sheridan Street, Shreveport, La 71104 (B/Walford) (*Postponed May 12, 2008 until November 10, 2008*)
- PSD0700058:** 557 Egan Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) Mr. Daniel Markulus, 853 Place, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) (*Postponed June 23, 2008 until September 22, 2008*)
- PSD0700214:** 2732 Logan Street. Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) Willie Roberson, 6915 Quilen Rd, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) (*Postponed July 7, 2008 until September 22, 2008*)
- PSD0700431:** 2827 Summer Grove, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Rickey Herren, 1683 Kuehler Ave, New Braunfels, TX 78130 (*Postponed June 9, 2008 until September 8, 2008*)
- PSD0800139:** 1837 Looney, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) Mr. Cleon R. Turney, 101 Silver Oak Drive, Round Rock, TX 79664 (*Postponed July 21, 2008 until Sept 22, 2008*)
- PSD0700131:** 1530 Arlington, Good Samaritan Funeral Home, Inc., Shreveport, La (A/Lester) Mr. Winnifred Jackson, 2200 Laurel St, Shreveport, La (A/Lester) (*Tabled June 9, 2008*)

PSD0800194: 2031 Ice Cream St., Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/Lester) Mr. Roosevelt Hicks, Jr., 1704 Bonnie Street, Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/Lester) (*Postponed August 11, 2008 until October 27, 2008*)

NEW BUSINESS

PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEAL

HB00800029 - 326 E. Prospect St., Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) Ms. Agnes Taylor, 235 E. Stoner #8 Shreveport, LA 71101 (B/Walford) **DECISION RENDERED August 25, 2008**

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES

Councilman Long: Actually Mr. Chairman, I do have a report.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Chairman, you're moving too fast.

Councilman Webb: I'm proud of him.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well wait, that's a good thing sometimes.

Councilman Shyne: Ron is proud of me. So, if I can make Ron proud of me, if I can listen to Monty, I'll be okay.

Councilman Long: The Audit and Finance Committee did meet. We'll probably soon have the audit report for 2007 for the City of Shreveport. However, we do have one issue hanging out there with the Shreveport Home Mortgage Authority. Who for some reason can't seem to get us any information from whence to conduct an audit. So, I'm urging the Administration to and the City Attorney to seek whatever legal remedies we can in order to get that situation rectified as soon as possible. But other than that, the audit is a clean audit.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Long I was hoping that you would put that in the form of a suggestion to the Administration and not in the form of an order. Tom has a tendency not to respond to well to an order.

Councilman Long: It's a strong request.

Councilman Shyne: Okay then since it's a strong request. Mr. Mayor, I don't like to see any orders coming from the Council to the Administration while I'm chairman. I want to make sure that we show some compassion.

Councilman Long: Well actually Mr. Chairman, the request is to the Shreveport Home Mortgage Authority. And about the only way I can conduct that is through our friends in the Administration.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Shyne, I can assure you that the Administration and Mr. Long and other members of the Audit and Finance Committee are without question on the same page regarding this matter.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Chairman, just to remind everyone of the Intergovernmental Meeting to be held on the 12th of September at Independence Stadium, and it starts at 11:30 and of course, Sharon, did anyone give you anything from the Council as far as the agenda is concerned?

Ms. Pilkinton: Nobody from the Council.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Chairman, I'm making this announcement, but you ought to know who you put on that committee.

Councilman Walford: Me and one more, who was the other one.

Councilman Lester: Not me.

Councilman Webb: Not me.

Councilman Walford: I know the Chairman and I are on it, but I can't remember the third one.

Councilwoman Bowman: But anyway thanks.

Councilman Walford: I do not remember.

Councilman Shyne: Councilwoman Bowman, it was brought to my attention that it might have been Rick.

Councilman Walford: No it wasn't brought to your attention.

Councilman Shyne: But I thought I saw Rick out at the meeting.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, I have a committee announcement. Property Standards, September 4th, 2:00 p.m. in the Mayor's Conference Room. We're going to take up the renters code. We've got some assignments that we've been working on and we're going to continue the process. Mike Strong's guys have worked on some things relative to the list of things to be inspected, and what have you. And we've got some reports coming from our legal team. So we're going to continue to start pressing on, on that. So, if any member wants to come, we really would appreciate your support and participation. That's Thursday, September 4th at 2:00 p.m.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Lester, I wish you would notify the group that came down.

Mayor Glover: Interfaith.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, Interfaith. Please would you notify?

Councilman Walford: Mr. Shyne, I assure you, the Interfaith folks will be following this, and they will be there.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, but I just wanted to make sure.

Councilman Lester: Yeah, but since you put it so nicely I couldn't help but to adhere to your request.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you, thank you. And if Monty had been wise, he would have stayed out of it. Okay, are we ready on the amendment?

Ms. Glass: Almost.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, let's go to - - - and this is going to be short.

Councilman Webb: We hadn't gotten the Clerk's report.

Councilman Shyne: I thought Mr. Thompson - - - Art, I'm sorry. Art and I are cousins too.

Mr. Thompson: I'm afraid to give one now.

CLERK'S REPORT

The Clerk read the following:

Letter of Appeal: - CASE NO. C-59-08 Mr. Jerome Howard, 255 E 73rd Street, Shreveport, LA Property located on the east side of Line Avenue, 150 feet north of East 72nd Street. (D/Wooley)

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Thompson, thank you for that fine report. Let's go back to - - - I think the amendment is ready. Correct?

Ms. Glass: Yes, I think the Clerk wanted me to read it so we can all be clear on it. *Ms. Glass read the following:*

Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 70 of 2008 relative to Zoning Case No. C-17-08:

In Section II, after stipulation No. 1, add the following:

2. Hard surface driveway shall be poured into the entrance of the property as required by the MPC;
3. Hard surface pads shall be poured for the seven RV sites as required by the MPC;
4. The sewer, water and any other utility hookups shall be relocated above the 172 foot contour line of Cross Lake as required by City Code and in accordance with applicable City Ordinance;
5. A 6 foot solid screening privacy fence shall be erected on the common eastern boundary lines between the property and Dr. Kumar's adjacent property;
6. The number of RVs present on the property shall be limited to seven RVs per the MPC ruling and all RVs shall be parked above the 172 ft contour line;
7. Stays of the RVs shall be limited to a maximum of 30 consecutive days

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 70 of 2008. Motion approved by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne, 6. Ayes: Councilman Bowman. 1.

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt to Ordinance No. 70 of 2008 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Wooley, and Webb. 4. Ayes: Councilman Long, Shyne and Bowman. 3.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, lets move into the - - - resolve ourselves into Committee of the Whole.

THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting)

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 7:02 p.m.

//s// Joe Shyne, Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council