

CC 3827
06 18 07

Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana
June 12, 2007

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Walford at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, June 12, 2007, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Pastor Murphy Hunt.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Wooley

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long (Arrived at 3:06 p.m.), Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Wooley to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, May 21, 2007 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, May 22, 2007. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Wooley, Webb and Bowman. 5. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Long and Shyne. 2.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Councilman Walford: I see the Mayor is - - -

Mr. Dark: He's actually right outside, he'll be in, in just a moment.

Councilman Walford: If I may Mr. Dark then, I know that we have distinguished guests.

Mr. Dark: We have a room full of them apparently.

Councilman Walford: Absolutely. I'm pleased to see our BizCamp participants here again, and Mr. Mike Grandinetti, welcome back sir. And could I ask you to come to the podium please? Tell us about BizCamp.

Mr. Grandinetti: BizCamp, these young students have decided to spend two weeks going through what we call a mini MBA course. They're joining hundreds of other students throughout the country in taking time out this summer to learn the basics of business. In this two weeks, we'll have a business plan competition, I've given them a \$50 grant to start a micro enterprise, and the opportunity to experience the good parts and the bad parts of starting their own business. This is all part of the results of, now it's been eight years ago, that a visionary on your council, at that time Mayor Hightower and Representative (now) Burrell who started this vision of teaching in this community the concept of entrepreneurship. And for the newcomers, I see some familiar faces, and I know your recording secretary's daughter is actually again for the second year serving as an intern, volunteering her two weeks to help us with this camp. The power of entrepreneurship, I could go on, but I certainly won't because I think my students can say it best. But I do want to simplify it to this fact. That the economic vitality of any community is absolutely correlated to the number of young entrepreneurial thinkers within that community. And for the last couple of years, we've been actually growing entrepreneurs within the City of Shreveport. And if I (inaudible)concrete teaching entrepreneurship at different campuses, at Stanford University, the State of Washington, my original coming home is here in Shreveport.

And I brag of the fact that the City of Council has supported these efforts. Many Elders, City Councilmen, and governments, they give a lot of lip service to the concept of supporting young people in entrepreneurship, but the City of Shreveport, I'm proud to say has been doing it for a number of years. So, from me I want to thank you for the support, the vision that you've shared, and the hope that you've given these young people within your community to begin to dream of possibilities of having their own business and bringing their own business back to the City of Shreveport. I think that someone to say it best would be the actual students. I have a few students to say a few things to you, it won't take very long, but I think they tell the story best.

KeaJuantra Brisker: I am a newcomer of BizCamp 2007. BizCamp is a wonderful, wonderful camp. It's been only two days, and we have already learned basic characteristics of being an entrepreneur, characteristics of owning our own small businesses, and having business ideas, where we can just create our own things and pursue in a career. Mr. Mike is a wonderful, wonderful, wonderful teacher. He gives perfect speeches. I would also like to thank the teachers. The teachers really take us through a lot of positive things. If we feel that we don't know, if we feel that we need help with anything, they're there. And I'd like to thank you all today for allowing us (BizCamp) to speak on behalf of (inaudible). Thank you.

Kayla Andregg: I'm from the Shreveport Job Corps Center, and like she said, BizCamp really has helped us from giving us views on how to do a business. We've got great teachers, and they've taught us that we can get a job, but you can also make jobs. And I'd like to thank them and y'all. Thank y'all for giving us this chance.

Ryan Dotson: And I really appreciate that you let me come to BizCamp 2007. I also want to thank you for teaching me how to manage my money, and learn how to start my own business. I look forward to coming to camp for the rest of the two weeks. Thank you.

Mr. Grandinetti: Again, I (inaudible) the students to say that, and every year as I return and I see your great emblem there talking about the Union, the fact that these students have joined together as a family for these two weeks, and the fact that Justice is truly the entrepreneurship is the leveling ground for all people, and the fact that Confidence has you saw these three young people coming up and showing their confidence that they feel they can truly start their own business. So, we're living your motto here in the City of Shreveport. I do want to thank the teachers who are spending their two weeks and the Caddo Parish School Board who has supported these efforts. Would the teachers stand up please? And finally to the students, who compose this time in history our BizCamp 2007. Students please stand. And again, I truly want to thank our honorable Mayor, the City Council Members, our honored guests and any business community out there that supports our young people. So, watch out, they're coming. And we hope to be a part of your vision, and part to understand because my fourth step to my success or five steps is what people? What's my fourth step?

BizCamp 2007: Government.

Mr. Grandinetti: Government. We talked about the impact of government and being involved and how the government affects them in daily life. So you are truly an intricate part of our business camp. And so we truly are honored to be here, and the opportunity to explain and look forward to more support in the future. Again, thank you from BizCamp 2007.

Councilman Walford: Mike, don't go away. Mr. Mayor, I believe you - - - if I may turn it over to you, I believe you have - - -

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Thank you members of the Council. We definitely want to on behalf of the Mayor's office and the entirety of the City of Shreveport present a proclamation to this gentlemen and these outstanding young people as well as these wonderful teachers who've been involved in this effort and commend all of the leaders and the

visionaries behind BizCamp. We certainly know that helping young people to develop a healthy appreciation for entrepreneurship is a great way to help them become successful later on in life, understanding the focus, the drive, the discipline that's necessary to be successful as a business person is something that's invaluable no matter what pursuits they ultimately end up taking. So we commend you all for all the work that you've done, not only this year, but in years past, and in recognition of that, Ms. Gwen Campbell has a proclamation that she'd like to read and present.

Ms. Campbell: Mr. Mike? If I could have all of the BizCamp students to please stand.

PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, the citizens of Shreveport have benefited from free enterprise and the entrepreneurial spirit, and the City supports economic development, education and financial literacy in the community; and

WHEREAS, the City's economic vitality is directly related to the percentage of young entrepreneurs within our community; and

WHEREAS, Inner City Entrepreneur (ICE) Institute, Southern University of Shreveport (SUSLA), the National Foundation of Teaching Entrepreneurship (NFTE), the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish Schools are conducting BizCamp 2007, an intensive summer course that teaches youth how to start and run a business; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes Mr. Mike Grandinetti of Antelope, California, for his consulting services for six years to the BizCamp program; and

WHEREAS, BizCamp's goal is to foster the entrepreneurial spirit, entrepreneurial literacy, career and workplace readiness, basic academic skills development and exposure to lifelong learning;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that I, CEDRIC B. GLOVER, Mayor of the City of Shreveport, do hereby proclaim the week of MONDAY, JUNE 11, 2007, as:

“YOUTH ENTREPRENEURS: UNITED TO REBUILD AMERICA” BIZCAMP WEEK

in the City of Shreveport, and urge all citizens to commend the efforts of the pioneering students, their families, teachers and sponsors who made this event possible.

**CEDRIC B. GLOVER
MAYOR**

Mr. Grandinette: I do want to thank you for this recognition. We hold it with honor in the fact that you've proclaimed this week as we celebrate. We have a lot of work to do, we have a business camp, our business plan that we're in the middle of, so if you'll excuse us, we will excuse ourselves from the Chamber and get back to work.

Councilman Walford: Before you go, I had a message from Representative Burrell, that I would like to pass on to everyone extending his regret not being able to be here, but he's trying to get I-49 money, and I think that's an excellent excuse for missing.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I would want to say to the young people and to you, Mr. Mike and the teachers, the last Council Meeting, we had a long discussion about the Fair Share program and about opportunity, and a lot of people gave several of us some flack about standing up for opportunities for minorities, and for business development. This is why we fight for Fair Share. I mean, our time is pretty much at an end, but anything that we can do to make sure that your young people can have an opportunity to do business in this city and compete, nationally and globally, that's why we fight. And I just wanted to tell you, I really appreciate the things that you're doing. Teaching children, our young people at an early age the value of entrepreneurship. Because at the end of the day, that's what it's going to be all about. And I love the quote the young lady from Job Corps said, "You have two choices – either you can go get a job, or you can make a job". And I think that's something that we need to print that on a T-shirt and make that one of your mottos. So, thank you.

Mr. Grandinetti: Any other questions? If not, we're back to work, so excuse and good luck in the rest of your council meeting.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, while they're moving, as I looked out, I see some other Caddo Parish School teachers and Administrators, and it seems like some of them been around for a good little while. I see one smiling back there who spent a little time up in Pittsburgh, and decided to come back home.

Councilman Walford: As they move out, I'd like to call Ms. Bonnie Moore forward please? Welcome Ms. Moore, I believe you have some guests.

Ms. Moore: We do, and thank you so much for allowing us the opportunity to recognize and acknowledge our volunteers, our staff and our other departments who helped to make "Paint Your Heart Out" this year a success, of which many of them have been with us for the last 15 years. We're so grateful for their participation. I'd like to first acknowledge our staff. Would all of staff members who participated in Paint Your Heart Out, please stand? And this year, we have well over 700 volunteers. At any given year, we may have over a thousand volunteers. I'd certainly like to acknowledge the wonderful volunteers that took their time to give to others. And I'd like for those volunteers to please stand. I'd ask that our honorable Mayor come down and join us. I want to recognize Marcia Nelson who has coordinated Paint Your Heart Out probably for the last ten years. She's done a remarkable job. She continues to have the energy and the (inaudible) that it needs to make this happen, and with that I'll turn it over to Marcia, but before I do that, I do want to acknowledge our honorable Mayor.

Councilman Shyne: Are you going to give him the paint brush and tell him to go to work?

Ms. Moore: Yes. One of the things that the Mayor said to us when we did Paint Your Heart Out, is that he's a tremendous supporter of this program, and we did 68 houses this year, and he said he wanted us to do two times that next year. Mayor, we take your challenge. We want to give you this Paint Brush that says "Mayor Cedric B. Glover, Paint Your Heart Out 2007, The Next Great City of The South". And with that I'll turn it over to Marcia.

Ms. Marcia Nelson: Good Afternoon. I'm always excited about Paint Your Heart Out. It's become more than just my job to me, more like a ministry, and I take it to heart. And I'd like to introduce our Co-Chair person for the Steering Committee. Jean Parks, who is the Vice-President of Treasure at Chase Bank, and Joan Stewart, and I don't think she's with us today, she's an RN at the Feist Weiler Cancer Center. And they volunteer their time selflessly trying to help us put our program together, meeting with us once a month, and maybe sometimes more when we have called meetings. If there are any other members of the steering committee here,

I'd like for you to stand. We have (inaudible), Sharon Daniels, (inaudible) and Larry Anderson here. The other members could not be here today. But I'd like to also - - -

Mr. Thompson: Marcia, I think you missed one.

Ms. Nelson: Did I miss one? Lindsey (inaudible) and her daughter. I'm sorry, I didn't see you over there. And I'd like to name our sponsors for this year. Our major sponsor of course is the City of Shreveport, and we have Centerpoint energy who lets us use their warehouse as the command center. They have for the last 15 years. So they're platinum sponsors. Gold sponsors: Chase Bank, Capital One Bank, Banc Corp South Mortgage. Silver Sponsors: Sprint Communications, Samstown Casino and Hotel, Ivan Smith Furniture Company. Bronze Sponsors: BASF Corporation, and Barksdale Credit Union. Friends: Shreveport (inaudible) Chapter of Delta Sigma Theta, Delta Lambda Omega Chapter of Alpha Kappa Alpha, Theta Epsilon Zeta Chapter of Zeta Phi Beta Sorority, Diamond Jacks Casino and Resort and (inaudible). And we'd also like to give special recognition to Barksdale for having the most team participation for the last five years. For the last two years, about two years ago, we came up with an award called the Willie J.C. Critton Team Spirit Award. Mainly because Willie Critton who was one of our Co-Chair Person for about five years. And this award is a beautification award. It's a competition among the teams that would like to participate to do extra things around the house. It's not just painting, but planting flowers, mowing the lawn, they do a lot of different things. They make walkways, it's a big deal, and we have judges that go around and judge these houses, and they receive trophies for 1st, 2nd, and 3rd place. And we wanted to bring them to you today and let you see the winners. The 1st Place winner was BASF Corporation. 2nd Place was Fry Master, LLC, and also the 3rd Place winner was the Stoner Hill Actions Group. And we also have a young lady from Shreveport Job Corps that designed our wonderful logo for this year, I believe her name is Brandi. Brandi Johnson? We have a special gift for Brandi. Brandi, if you would come forward?

Councilman Shyne: We had one Council Member up here who almost fell out of his seat, when you said about Stoner Hill.

Ms. Nelson: Oh my God! Okay Brandi, we'd like to present you with a \$50 mall gift card for your design this year being worn by all of our volunteers. Thank you. There are 68 happy homeowners within the City of Shreveport, and next year, we intend to make it more with your help. Thank you.

Councilman Walford: Back to you Mr. Mayor. Do you have any communications or other distinguished guests?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before we allow these good folks to leave, I just want to take a moment to reiterate on behalf of the Administration, just how much we appreciate the hard work, the effort that they put into the Paint Your Heart Out Program. I think of Councilman Shyne will recall, this was an initiative that started back in the early '90s when he and I started on the Council together under the Beard Administration. It was initially headed up I believe by Lillian Priest, who was head of the department at that time, and the City of Shreveport in all of this region has just simply welcomed this particular effort with opened arms. It's right up there with our National Night Out Program in terms of the type of broad based community wide support that the people of this area show for it. It's a great way of being able to express your love and appreciation for your neighbors, and I just want to commend Marcia Nelson whose been involved right from the beginning along with Bonnie Moore and all the other folks involved with this effort for the time and the sacrifice that they put forth. And I can tell you, as those of you who have participated before, this is not an easy thing to do. The idea of going out and you take a paint brush and just paint a few strokes is not quite what you realize what you signed up

for when you get there. You end up having to put in quite a bit of effort to be able to do an excellent job for these wonderful senior citizens who end up being the beneficiaries of this effort. So, I want to thank you all for the effort and the time that you put into it. It's just a part of helping us to build a bigger and better community and look forward to bigger and better things in years to come. Thank you much.

Councilman Walford: Mayor, you don't hold it by the fat part, you hold it by the skinny part.

Mayor Glover: I'm a roller guy.

Councilman Walford: Anything else Mayor?

Mayor Glover: That's it Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Walford: Okay, with that, does any Council Member have any distinguished guests?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, I have one. I would like to recognize again my Pastor and thank him for doing the invocation today. That's Pastor Murphy Hunt at Morning Star Missionary Baptist Church.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, is Jerry Jones still in the audience? Or did Jerry leave? Jerry stepped out. Jerry is a former City Attorney for the City of Shreveport, and Jerry, we just wanted to recognize you as a former City Attorney and presently a good friend of Mayor Cedric Glover.

Mr. Jones: Thank you.

Mayor Glover: Always has been.

Councilman Shyne: And of Joe Shyne.

Mr. Jones: I hope it's more than that.

Councilman Shyne: The beautiful T-Shirts, they went back from purple and I need to ask Marcia what's the deal with changing the color scheme? I mean, Critton wouldn't let me sit up here and not say that question.

Councilman Walford: With that we move to Property Standards Report. Does anybody have any question about Property Standards? Whoa, look who came up here.

Reports: Property Standards Report

Councilman Shyne: I know you're looking for Wardell.

Councilman Walford: No, I thought Ms. Glover would be up here.

Mr. Jim Holt: You may have noticed that I found my spot behind that pole.

Councilman Walford: Just between us, it's not going to work. We know where you are.

Mr. Holt: Believe me between the phone calls I've had yesterday and today, I understand. First of all I want to take the opportunity to thank the Mayor and Tom for their confidence in me for this challenge. It certainly will be that, but I think we can make great strides with the help of all seven of you, and the Administration. As a matter of fact, we've began that process this morning in a meeting with Councilman Shyne and I think we've developed some ideas already that will help us tackle this adjudicated property issue, and we'll work diligently and continue with that effort throughout every Council district. I'll be meeting with each of individually over the weeks to come to identify your priorities and to act on those priorities. Several of you had specific requests from yesterday. Councilman Webb, I believe on Mackey Lane a weed abatement violation for that location was processed this morning. The vacant lot next to behind New London is an issue that I remember from Public Works as having to deal with for several years. A lot of people refer to it as City Property, the fact is, it's property that the Homeowner

Association turned into a park. The development was made, if I remember correctly, the plat indicates that maintenance will be the responsibility of the Homeowner Association. We're going to continue to iron out that issue, primarily with the City Attorney, and see who I can take to court. The big factor is it's needs to be cut, and we're going to get it cut now, even if we have to do it with a city crew. But the bottom line of that is we should not be stuck with something that they agreed on the original plat to maintain. And if we have to take 'em to court, we'll certainly do that. The vacant lot next to 5934 King Street, that was given to our grass crew to cut today. It should be done by the end of the day. Mr. Wooley, the lot between Circuit City and WalMart, Vintage Realty, I happen to run into one of those owners, she guaranteed me it'll be cut by tomorrow morning.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you.

Mr. Holt: Hollywood Heights Mr. Shyne, Dana Capers that is 4542, 4610 Bethume these structures it appears if I'm getting this right have been processed for demolition, and because of some legality this man having active military status, we're forbidden by the Federal Soldiers and Sailors Civil Relief Act, from taking any action against the man. We have tried to call him today to see if he is still active military, and we have not been able to make contact with him.

Councilman Shyne: Jim, I would appreciate it if you all would continue. I think he's been back for a week. I don't know whether he's off active duty, but I know when he's on active duty, that law keeps us from doing anything. But please continue to try to contact him because that's been an eyesore for a long, long time. And he has not done anything. And it's really a safety hazard, and like I say, drug dealing goes on there, Mr. Mayor if I'm using the right term, a little crap too. Is that what they still call it? A little crap shooting goes on there.

Mayor Glover: They were shooting dice on the Cooper Road Mr. Shyne, but I understand.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, well we won't say that. We're going to say shooting dice then, and if Deacon Davis don't mind me saying this, but I'm also told that a little prostitution is going on there. So, please whatever you all can do in order to contact him, I would appreciate it.

Mr. Holt: Ms. Bowman, you asked about the 100 structures that had been contracted this year. Actually it's 101 that's been sent to purchasing for demolition. 58 of those have been torn down, four are currently contracted out, and 38 remain in purchasing, waiting for contracts. We have one homeowner tear the building down himself. You asked about priorities. And the information I'm given right now, and I haven't had time to sit down and really talk to anybody about it, the priorities by the staff I'm told are set by your requests first, second by structures that have been burned and have to come down because of safety hazards, and third, those that we continue to get complaints on day after day after day. I don't know what's actually happened. I haven't been here long enough to see what's actually happened. My intention in meeting with all of you over the next few weeks is to let you set your priorities in your districts. You know more about your districts than I do. You know where the complaints come from, you know where the crackheads hang out, you know what causes problems, or as the Mayor said, you know where all the broken windows are, and that's going to be my stance. We're going to work with you on a continual basis, constantly. And we're going to listen to you about the phone calls you get. We certainly get phone calls that you don't get. And those will be processed in the same manner. But if there are some that we can expedite in any manner possible, and as Councilman Shyne and I discussed this morning, you know, I've been hearing about the legal problems with property standards since the mid '80s, when we had it under public works. I'm not beyond and I don't think anybody in the Administration is beyond doing anything it takes, even if it requires going to the State Legislature to change the laws to get these things torn down expeditiously. Because I certainly don't want to live next door to one, and there's no reason anybody else in the city

should. So, that's my direction, and if you think differently, please let me know. No. 7, Joe mentioned briefly about giving some of this adjudicated property away through a joint effort of the City and the Parish. I'm 100% on board with that, and I think there's a lot of other things you can do besides give it away. Things like satellite parks. Shelly may be cringing behind me, I don't know, but that comes to mind. Things like setting up temporary buildings for the CLOs and the inspectors to work out of. Chief Whitehorn might be cringing, because I certainly have not discussed that with him. There are numerous things that can be done with property that can make a neighborhood more attractive, and beginning the 23rd, we're going to bit off a piece of this that we haven't done before. We're doing a neighborhood cleanup in Mr. Shyne's district, and we're going to be sending in some of my people, will be Mike's people next week to clean up all the right of ways. On the week before that, they have the neighborhood cleanup. When we're sitting at the table looking at this book, and it's got all these red dots on it throughout this very small neighborhood. Everyone of these red dots represent an adjudicated property. That's not our responsibility. But we're going to pay a contractor some amount of money to go cut that thing at some point in time, whether or not we're going to get the product out of the money we spend, I've yet to see, but I'll be looking into. Tom, just put a whole lot of money back into property standards to clean up adjudicated lots. There's no reason in the world since we have possession through adjudication, that while I'm out there cleaning the right of ways, I can't run a tractor up on every one of those 25 lots and get it cleaned at the same time. That's exactly what we intend to do. This may be a test case, we'll see how it works. The word I've already given to the superintendent of streets is, when we leave each of those lots, they are to look no less than you would want right next door to him looking. That's to include edging. That's to clean around fences. That's to include herbicides. We asked the residents would it bother you if we just kill all the grass on those lots. They said no, we'd love it. We'd rather see dirt than we would weeds six feet high. We may look at that. You have to be careful with using that kind of stuff, and we don't want to get carried away. That's the kind of approach that we want to take. We want to get aggressive. We want to make things click a lot faster than they've been clicking, and we want to keep these continuous phone calls at your house from happening. So, that's what we want to see. And I look forward to working with each of you, and I'll be calling you within the weeks to come. Thanks.

Councilman Walford: Don't go away. I've got Ms. Bowman first, and then Councilman Webb.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, but what I have is not basically for him. I have Ms. Kimble with the Housing Authority.

Councilman Walford: Can I go to - - - yours was for - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Holt, I'd like to talk to you a little bit more, about the property on New London. I understand from the residents that the City has been cutting that piece of property for quite some time, and that there is a chain lock on it that only a city employee has to even get in.

Mr. Holt: I don't know if that's true or not. I know that when I started working with Wardell on that lot, 4 or 5 years ago, my recollection is that the plat we had pulled shows that the maintenance responsibility is with the Homeowners Association. That Association as it originally existed no longer exist. The people that own homes now didn't live there when the thing was built. And they throw their hands up and say we don't know anything about that agreement. And it's got us in a catch 22. Now, do you have the city cut it or you make these people suffer. And that's what's been happening. The city's been cutting it to appease the residents.

Councilman Webb: Okay, well we'll talk about it some more a little bit later, because I want to check that out.

Councilman Wooley: I just wanted to say I look forward to your leadership with Property Standards. And I know the little time I've been on the Council, you've proven yourself to be quite a leader, and so I just look forward to working with you on Property Standards, and I want to say I appreciate you.

Councilman Walford: Before we go to Ms. Bowman, I'd like to meet with you, but it would be either in your vehicle or mine as we cruise around my district. So, I'll get with you next week, if we can. Ms. Bowman.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir. This is still dealing with Property Standards, but I would like for Ms. Kimble with the Housing Authority to come forward please. I invited Ms. Kimble to come today as far as Property Standards are concerned especially because of the questions that I have had from so many of the citizens that I represent at various community meetings. And I really wanted you to explain the process as it relates to Section 8 Housing. So many of the citizens that I represent are concerned about their property standards in their community. And one of the main questions they wanted me to find out from you is do you all do any type of mentoring program for people for instance who have always lived in apartments or whatever, and never really lived in the house and don't know how to take care of the homes, the yards, or things of that nature. So, if you can just basically explain that, and I'll have it for the record to go back to them at my community meetings, and let them know your process and your procedures.

Ms. Donzetta Kimble: Good evening everybody, and I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you today. You do have problems. Everybody who has a house don't know how to keep a house, or keep a yard looking presentable in the neighborhood. We have had trainings, we've had housekeeping training, we've had how to get along with the neighborhood through Shreveport Green. So, we work with various organizations that come in and work with poor housekeepers, who really don't know how to clean house. But the application process and I'll get to that, we normally bring those organizations in on an 'as needed basis'. Everybody is not lacking the ability to keep a house. Okay. And we do inspect units once a year within your Section 8 Program as well as your Public Housing Program. And if there is a need to re-inspect that unit and make sure it is maintained and cleaned in an orderly manner, we will do so. And that's under your Public Housing System. Under your Section 8 system, we take applications on an as needed basis. In the month of April, we took over 3,000 applications. We now have a little bit more. In Public Housing, we have 800 applications on the waiting list. So, there is definitely a need for more housing in Shreveport. We bring the applicant in, we verify their eligibility according to income and family size. We also verify that income with the appropriate person that they are working for or receiving income from, such as Social Security. We have background checks that we do. We even prescribe to the magazine with all the criminals in it. So we won't let anybody slip through the cracks. We have credit checks, and we do previous landlord references. And of course if the landlord wants to get rid of a tenant, he's going to give you a glorified reference, and we are well aware of that. We have a pilot program at one time, well we actually went to look at the unit that they moved out of, to see what kind of housekeeper they were at that time before we would accept them on the program. According to our regulations, and it's 24 code federal regulations part 982, we have an agreement with the landlord. And we sign a half contract that guarantees that landlord a copy of an eligible resident who qualifies for Section 8 program, that we would be paying benefits on that residents behalf. Because based on their income, 30% of their income, they're responsible for the difference, and if the landlord is asking \$500, the

government will pay that difference. The application process is basically the same for both programs. When it comes to mandating things from the landlord, our agreement is with the landlord. The resident has the lease agreement with the landlord. So I think we spoke a while back, and the owner is responsible for screening those families, although we do screen them for behavior and the (inaudible) is not responsible for screening, but of course, we double dip it. We do it anyway. Because we're not sure whether the landlord is doing proper screening. And the liability, and they are responsible for the family's behavior and how they conduct themselves in your areas. It is very important that as an association or a neighborhood group, that you include those landlords. And normally they were previous owners, and now they've just leased those properties out. In some cases, they may not even be on our Section 8 program. Because normally - - - not normally, our landlords have decided not to in some cases to even continue on the Section 8 program because the government does not give repairs for damages after that resident moves out. That landlord is supposed to be monitoring that property on a regular basis, so that when signs his check that he receives each month, that that house is meeting HQ (Housing Quality) standards. Each year when we go and do our inspection, we write an inspection report on it. If that house is not meeting standards, it does not qualify until it does meet standards. If that landlord fails to do anything with that house to bring it up to par, then we will transfer that resident, and the next thing that resident pass the other criterias. If they're not the person who is actually damaging the unit, we will transfer that individual to another unit. But landlords are held totally responsible for that unit and the behavior of that resident. But we will work with you Ms. Bowman, as well as any other Councilman on issues. And I've gone out myself and taken a look at properties and as I said, no that property is not acceptable or the resident is not acceptable because of the fact they are the cause of the problem in the neighborhood. They are damaging things and they are causing confusion and calling the police all times of night. But the process for application is basically the same. We do a little extra, because we do spring cleaning for those applicants and we contract with Pendleton and Associates, I think Mr. Frazier is here, not Dr. Pendleton, but we do our best as far as giving those landlords an opportunity to take these people, screen them, decide if they want to sign a lease agreement with them, so that it would be on them at that time that they lease, and in accordance with that neighborhood. But again, if that's not happening, we will step in and have our inspector, as I indicated to you, I do have an inspector on staff to go out and take a look at the unit, and I also will do it if it's questionable whether or not it's a problem there, we will take care of it. Any questions?

Councilwoman Bowman: I just want to let you know how much I appreciate you coming down today. I didn't want to drill you about anything, I just wanted for the record to have something to give back to the citizens at the Community Meetings. Because believe me it has come up in practically every community meeting that I've attended.

Ms. Kimble: If at anytime you'd like for me to come your community meeting, I'd be more than glad to.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you I appreciate it.

Councilman Shyne: Not necessarily a question, but I guess Ms. Kimble and I go back about 25 years?

Ms. Kimble: About 25. Yes sir. Telling our ages now.

Councilman Shyne: Calvin was probably still in high school, So Calvin, I don't want you to get the wrong understanding. Ms. Kimble before you go, Hollywood Heights, you all have quite a few houses over there, and I think you heard what Jim, and I can't see Jim back there, I don't see as well as I used to, I used to could see around the pole.

Ms. Kimble: He's behind me.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, okay. Jim, I thought you had gone back behind the pole there. I was about to say I don't see as well as I used to. I used to could see around poles. When Larry English in school, I could see around, because I knew he was somewhere cutting up. But what I was about to say is Hollywood Heights is the next area that we're going to be looking at in my district. And we're looking at cleaning up neighborhoods a bite at a time. And we're looking at starting with those neighborhoods, that we can salvage and improve the quality of life in there without an extended period of time. So, sine you do have quite a few houses over in that area, I wish you would have someone who is your manager or whatever over in that area, if you'd like to tell them to get in contact with you, so we all could be working together in order to improve that neighborhood. It's really a good neighborhood, and Rev. Hunt can tell you, it used to be one of the best neighborhoods, and Mr. Mayor, I think you know, that was probably the first subdivision for African-Americans in the City of Shreveport. You see I'm not using that word minorities, because we got so many minorities now, you know. So, I'm going to say African-American. And just for historical reasons, not so much because it's in my district, and Pastor Hunt has a lot of members in his church that live in that area, but we want to make sure that we bring that historical neighborhood back to what it used to be. So, we want to work with you and your people in there to make sure that we all are working together to improve that neighborhood, and to improve the psychological impact. If people live in clean neighborhoods, just feel good about themselves. And matter of fact Pastor, they used to say - - - what is it, cleanliness is next to Godliness. So, since I'm a preacher's son, Mike, I can use those kinds of terms. But that's what we want to look at. We will be getting with you. Because we want to bring Hollywood Heights back up to what it used to be in its hay day. Back in the late 50's and early 60's, and I know that would make a former Jim Gardner feel extremely good, because I think he was the Mayor, wasn't he Mr. Mayor during that time? I think that was one of his jewels. I see we got Jerry Jones back there who is a historian. Jerry, am I kinda on point?

Mr. Jones: You are.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, so I know former Mayor, I know he would feel good about doing that and the citizens over there.

Ms. Kimble: I would like to let you know that it used to be 131, now we have sold four of those houses to residents. And we're now presently starting to invest \$3.4 (million) in renovation of those properties. So, I would welcome the help of the Property Standards Division and any other entities that would help us with the houses that don't belong to us.

Councilman Shyne: That's what we're going to do. Could you give Jim your telephone number, and he'll probably have Mrs. Glover and I told the Mayor that we weren't going to pull any shots, because Mrs. Glover being Mrs. Glover, but if you could get Jim your phone number, how he can contact you, and if you'd pass that on to Ms. Glover, I'd like to see a good working relationship between Property Standards and your office. And we'll make sure that we help because that's what we want to do.

Ms. Kimble: And we'll bring the neighborhood back. Appreciate it very much.

Councilman Lester: Good evening Ms. Kimble: I just real briefly afterward, I would like to get a copy. You mentioned the housing quality standards. If there were some way I could take a look at those standards. I asked that question because maybe about, and Councilman Walford can give me some ideas if I'm off, maybe about a year and a half ago Monty, we started talking about and enacted a renters code for investment property that's not owner occupied. And one of the ideas came from the fact a neighborhood association or one of the folks that has a house on the housing program mentioned that there are certain standards, at least minimum standards that you guys enforce, in terms of these are some of the things that houses have to have in order to

qualify to be put on the program. And I would like to take a look at your standards, to maybe copy those as a way to show what we are trying to do in terms of a renters code. And I'm very interested to know the information about the landlords. A lot of times people - - - it's easy to scapegoat folks because of their economic background or lack of means. I think that what we do need to do when we talk about these properties that are not owner occupied, we need to look at the landlords, and I'm really encouraged to hear you say that there isn't a certain responsibility that flows from the landlord. And particularly in a number of neighborhoods, I think it might even be beneficial, maybe not so much for public consumption, but I would like to know in certain neighborhoods where I've had some issues. I'll give you some examples, and this will be no surprise. Cherokee Highland Park, to find out where those Section 8 properties are and so that rather than us have battles between neighbors, then we can put some additional pressure on landlords to deal with some of those issues. Because as I see it, a lot of times, you know the tenants try to do certain things, a lot of times, the landlords are not there, these are not their properties anymore in terms of their homes, they've moved onto other areas. They don't want to sell the house, but as long as they can derive an economic impact, they could care less what's going on, so long as when they go to the mail box, there is something from the government. And as long as that keeps coming, they're fine, and they can let anything happen, because they're not there anymore. And I'm encouraged that you're here. I thank Councilwoman Bowman for her leadership in reaching out to you, because I think if we start to work together to maybe close that loop, to get the pressure placed on the landlords as opposed to always scapegoating the tenants, we can actually get something done. Because again, if the landlord is going to allow any and everything to happen at their property, it really doesn't serve us well fighting with the person that lives in the house. If they're not going to act right, if the landlord is going to allow certain behaviors to go on and derive an economic benefit. So, I really appreciate you coming. I really would like to take a look at a copy of those housing quality standards because I think you - - - it doesn't make sense for us to recreate the wheel. And I think we should at least start with what the federal government prescribes as a minimum basis for what we're trying to do with the renters code. So, I really appreciate that.

Ms. Kimble: We will very well provide it to you.

Councilman Walford: Ms. Kimble, if you would provide a copy to the Council Office, I have a feeling a number of us would like to see those standards.

Ms. Kimble: We do like to have contract also that goes between the housing authority and the landlord. We'll provide it to you. I do have my Chairman with me, Reverend Hunt, and I was requested to bring one of my members here, one of my bosses as I call them, and my attorney, Bob (inaudible). I have my contractor here somewhere. I think (inaudible) Frazier is in the room, oh there you are. Sorry.

Public Hearing:

The Chairman read the following:

PROPOSED ANNEXATION – Tag No. 06-07: Enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 61.210 acre tract of land located west of Southern Trace Subdivision in Section 31 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Councilman Walford: At this time the Public Hearing is now open. Is there a presentation from the Administration?

Mr. Dark: Yes sir, very briefly. You've described the summary of the annexation, we just want to let you know this one does meet all the requirements for annexation petition, and currently, I don't believe there's anybody living there. This is a proposed subdivision.

Councilman Walford: Okay. Do we have anyone here to speak in favor of the annexation ordinance?

Mr. Dan Ashley: (412 LaSalle St) I'm representing the developer on this tract. Be glad to answer any questions.

Councilman Walford: Questions from the Council? Thank you for coming. You got off very light that time. Does anyone wish to speak in opposition to this annexation ordinance? Okay, if not, then this public hearing is closed

Confirmations and/or Appointments, Adding Legislation to the Agenda, and Public Comments.

Confirmations and/or Appointments:

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, is it the wishes of the Council to add each one of these one by one, or can we - - - is it the wishes of the Council to add them all together?

Councilman Walford: Mr. Shyne, we're about to have the names read. These four were on the agenda three weeks ago. So, there are four confirmations today. At this time, we don't have any to add.

Councilman Shyne: I thought we were going to add the Chief of Police.

Mayor Glover: You have two to add.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, I believe the Mayor said that he just wanted to add those the agenda, but not to vote on them today.

Councilman Walford: That was my understanding.

Mr. Thompson: And we have them later on the agenda to be added.

Councilman Walford: Right.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, I can live with that.

The Clerk read the following:

Downtown Development Authority

Ms. Debra Wayt

Mr. Michael Parker

Mr. Michael Whitemaine

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Lester to confirm the executive appointments of Ms. Debra Wayt, Mr. Michael Parker, and Mr. Michael Whitemaine to the Downtown Development Authority. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

The Clerk read the following:

Shreveport Airport Authority

Mr. Keith E. Gamble

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to confirm the executive appointment of Mr. Keith E. Gamble to the Airport Authority. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say thank you to the Council Members, and also just technically looking at the agenda as it appears on the computer, Mr. Whitemaine's name is misspelled. Should be Michael. Didn't know if there was a technical difference or not.

Mr. Thompson: No, I think it's misspelled on the agenda, but I did, I think pronounce it correctly.

Mayor Glover: And the correct pronunciation is **WAIT**. Want to make that correction as well. Thank you Mr. Chairman. Thank you Members as well.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Mayor, I would like to echo your thanks. I was very pleased to have members appointed to the Downtown Development Authority. There is a great deal to be done and I would encourage you to get more names as fast as they come from the appointing organization.

Mayor Glover: We have more coming.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I appreciate you correcting the pronunciation and the spelling. I wanted to do it, but I was kind of afraid.

Adding Legislation to the Agenda

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 100 of 2007**: A Resolution to encourage the establishment of the Common Battlefield Airman Training Program at Barksdale Air Force Base and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to add Resolution No. 100 of 2007. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Resolution No. 101 of 2007**: A Resolution authorizing the Mayor's signature on an extension of Oil and Gas Lease between Sklarco, LLC and the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to add Resolution No. 101 of 2007. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Adding Confirmations:

1. Chief of Police Col. Henry L. Whitehorn, Sr.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Lester to add the executive appointment of Col Henry Whitehorn, Sr. to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

2. Shreve Memorial Library Board Ascension Smith

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to add the executive appointment of Mr. Ascension Smith to agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Public Comments (*Agenda Items to be Adopted*)

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to indulge on the Council for just for a few minutes. There are two gentlemen that I told and I think they're going to be out of town, and they had something else on the agenda, but I think I told them that we would be looking at voting on the Police Chief for today. And they wanted to make a few remarks before they left. If I could impose on the Council to suspend the rules and let them come up and make a few remarks, and then we can get back into our main agenda.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to suspend the rules to allow two speakers, Mr. English and Dr. Willie Bradford. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Larry English: (835 Prospect) First I want to thank you because I will be out of town in two weeks when you vote, and I very much wanted to address this very important issue. I wanted to say to the Mayor, like most of the citizens we waited on edge for you to make your appointment of the Police Chief. Because I think that this is the most important appointment that you are going to make. And I want to say personally, as a citizen, but also as a lawyer whose worked inside the criminal justice system, I was thrilled with your appointment.

Councilman Shyne: Wait a minute. You made me jump then.

Mr. English: Mr. Mayor has insulted me Mr. Shyne. I was thrilled with your appointment. I was thrilled with your appointment. I certainly as an African-American, I'm happy that you nominated a person of color. Because I think after 150 years, that's something that should have been happening, but that's not why I'm here to praise you. I'm here to praise you because you because you did two very important things. First of all you hired someone who has truly been a professional inside the law enforcement, and everybody that I have talked to all over the State has nothing but the highest regard for Mr. Whitehorn. Let me say something. I've never met Mr. Whitehorn in my life and wouldn't know him if he walked in here right now and said hello to me. The second one was, and I certainly understand that there was a lot of political pressure on you. You went outside the Department to hire someone who was also a professional. Shreveport is a big city now. It is standard across the country that no big city police department hires someone from within the department. You always bring someone from outside the department, because that person has to be able to come to the table and not be tainted by being a part of any cliques, or being tainted by knowing personnel so that he can deal across the board with the personnel issues. And I think in doing that you set a very, very high standard for the Shreveport Police Department. And I want to praise you and this is no knock on any of the individuals who are inside the department who were seeking the job. I'm quite sure they'd make great chiefs, but a police chief for a department this size should never ever be appointed outside the department. And so I wanted to say to you and to the Councilmen, now my (inaudible) to the council is that certainly we've got a lot of issues that the Shreveport Police Department needs to be addressing, is that leadership is long overdue. I would hope that the Lady and Gentlemen on

the City Council, as soon as the law allow, would adopt the Mayor's appointment, and allow him to begin his services. I want to say to you Mr. Mayor, finally going to my seat, I hope that once you and the new chief has been put in place, I would hope that you and the Council would address two issues. First of all, raising the salaries of the police officers. You get what you pay for. In order for him to be effective, he's got to be able to hire good people, and good people require that they be paid, and that you also raise the qualifications. Because they both go together, so that we can get the best men and women that we can in the department. And I will say this to you, but with the (inaudible) that Edwin Edwards would say, 'maybe the best thing I can do is stay away from you', but anything I can do, anything I can do in terms of once you get that process forward and the chief, you start dealing with the really tough day to day issues of dealing with the community and dealing with the criminal justice system, I pledge my support to you and the new police chief. And again I hope that the Council will quickly ratify that. Thank you.

Councilman Walford: Mr. English, I knew an attorney couldn't do it in three minutes. Go ahead and sit down, I'm not calling you back up here.

Mr. English: I tried, I tried.

Councilman Shyne: Evidently Larry, you must didn't vote for Monty last time, because I saw a 'NO' vote up there. Be on your Ps and Qs. Mr. Chairman, Willie Bradford - - -.

Mr. Willie Bradford: (No address given) I'm not going to be like the attorney. Thank you Mr. Chairman and Council for your indulgement. I too, it's vacation season and my family and I will be traveling out west for a few weeks, and we won't be here for the next meeting, for the vote. But I too come to express my support and ask for your unequivocal confirmation of the Mayor's nominee, Col Henry Lee Whitehorn as the Police Chief for the City of Shreveport. No doubt that the Mayor had a difficult choice, because there was a great pool of applicants that he was presented. But I have all confidence in the world that the Mayor will, given the necessary resources, fulfill his pledge when he said that he would make Shreveport a better, safer place to live, work and visit. The Mayor's commitment to Public Safety goes back to the late 1980s. At that time, the Mayor and I worked together in the MLK area with a group that we called "The Kingsmen". Remember that Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Absolutely.

Mr. Bradford: It was a grassroots all male group that presented a presence in the MLK community. At that time, the community was plagued with violence, drugs, gangs, and we came together. This was before Community Oriented Policing, this was before the Mayor was even elected to public office. And we came together and we made a presence in that community to help make the residents, children especially, feel safe in that community. And we feel because of our efforts as The Kingsmen, Operation (inaudible) was instituted. And the Mayor at that time was the President of the Martin Luther King Civic Club, and the (inaudible) was the collaborative effort of the Shreveport Police Department, The Sheriff and the State Police who came into the community, headquartered at the Martin Luther King Civic Club with the community support given by the Mayor to insure that the crime and killing and violence would be eradicated out of the community. So the Mayor has been on the grassroots level as it relates to public safety. And I'm here again to impress upon you that given the resources, and at that time, Col Whitehorn was a trooper with Troop G at the State Police and he had an intricate role in patrolling the Cooper Road and making sure that a lot of that criminal element would be suppressed. So, Col Whitehorn has the experience in spite of some comments that you may have heard as it relates to State Policing, and inner city policing, Col Whitehorn has all the experience in the world that would make him and qualify him to be a great chief in the next chief in the City

of Shreveport. I think given the Mayor's appointment with your confirmation and support, Shreveport in not too very long time will be again restored to safety that we all expect and deserve. I support the Mayor and I ask your confirmation of Col Whitehorn. Thank you very much.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Bradford.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Bradford, you and Mr. English - - - I'm kinda like the Mayor. You all surprised me today. But Mr. Mayor, I know we do appreciate that don't we.

Mayor Glover: We do indeed Mr. Shyne. Mr. Chairman and Members of Council, I'm generally not a gambling man, but I will be buying lottery tickets on the way home tonight. And I will add that I'm very touched that Mr. Bradford remembered our involvement with the Kingsmen, and in fact to add a bit more to that, Mr. Bradford was the individual who provided us with our first set of shirts and hats. As they were (inaudible) with Kingsmen Observers. And it was a very effective effort that brought together some good men to do some good work that helped to make the neighborhood better. So, I'm honored and I'm humbled.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I remember those days. I guess I was still on the council then, and I remember when you all came together, of course I'd forgotten about it until Mr. Bradford had mentioned it, but you and I had never talked about it since those days, because those were some very trying days on the Cooper Road, as we called it. I was a teacher up in that area for a long time, and I know what we had to deal with. And I know the good that you all did by coming together as a group of young men working with young men, and who was a part of that problem. So, I commend you and Mr. Bradford. I don't know if Mr. English was off in law school, since you all were trying to train him to do all the legal work for you all, but I commend you all for doing what you did, because you all made a difference back during that time, and we might be able to resurrect that idea in some of the communities.

Mayor Glover: Well, Mr. Shyne, we in fact intend to do just that. We believe that we've got some individuals out there and across this city that are going to be willing to join hands with members of this Council, and this Police Department and help to create that partnership that's necessary in order for us to be able to fight the challenges that we have. For those who are old enough to remember, while we have some challenges right now as far as our Public Safety is concerned, for those who remember Shreveport in the late 1980s and the early 1990s can remember the introduction of crack cocaine and gangs, and the numbers of drive bys and open air drug markets, and the - - - I know it was quizzical to see these young men walking up and down the street adorned in red and blue and fighting over those things and what have you. But it was a very difficult time period. But as Mr. Bradford stated, it also was a time that predated Community Oriented Policing. It predated comprehensive efforts to bring police together with community leaders, ministers across this city, across the MLK neighborhood in particular, who stepped forward. And work with young men. So that combination of law enforcement, government, the ministerial community, and civic leaders joining together to create that network to basically focus against that 1-3% of this city that happens to represent the criminal element is the partnership that we're looking to bring together to help us make our city better and safer.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I want to ask you a question.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Shyne, we are out of order. We suspended the rules to allow two speakers, so if I may, lets return to the agenda and move to Public Comments.

Councilman Shyne: We can do that and Mr. Mayor, I'll make the request from you later including Mr. Bradford working with you and (inaudible)

Mr. Ken Kreft: (157 Archer Ave) I'm here to speak in support of the real neighborhood improvement program, by Mr. Lester's original program in July it will be four years since the

Council adopted that resolution. But this move in property standards is long overdue. I think y'all really will invest the money requested by the Administration in this program. I like the idea of each of you ride around with the new man in charge and pointing out the problem. Earlier this week, I was a little disconcerted when I found out that a property that I knew had been vacant 28 years, when the city sent the City Marshal to arrest somebody in a vacant house, when she lives across town. I like the idea of going after the owner, but I thought for sure certainly a lot needs to be done because that property at 162 Ockley is an eyesore, and I'm sure they'll get to the bottom of it. So look at it really as the next true neighborhood improvement program. This kind of activity is needed. And the only other thing I think we do need Jim mentioned, I think we do think we need to go to the 2008 Legislature and get some laws to help us help ourselves. I don't think the laws are quite written in such a way that we can do it. We can do a lot on our own, but we will need to have some amendments at the state level and be in a regular session next year, that shouldn't be a problem. My final comment is in honor of the Commodore, instead of "Landslide Walford", you're now "Water slide Walford".

Councilman Walford: Okay. Moving right along.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, we missed that one, I guess you'll explain that one to us after the meeting.

Mr. Kirk Armitage: (2307 Douglas, Bossier City, LA) I am here this evening about No. 11 of New Business. I hope I'm not out of order on the way that I marked it, I was - - - it's the MPC Approval. I don't know if it's in line with - - -

Councilman Walford: Go ahead sir.

Mr. Armitage: Okay. I'm basically here to if there's any questions. We went through three weeks ago, a month ago with the MPC Approval on a piece of property that Mr. Tilley and I purchased a 20 acre plot at the intersection of Buncombe Road and Flournoy Lucas. We've purchased the property in December and are trying to get on with the development and everything. When we got the - - - we met with the residents of it before the MPC meeting, pretty much as agreed and have done everything that we thought was in line with getting it approved. Only one opposition that I know of. I talked with the numerous people at the MPC Meeting, Mr. Tilley couldn't be here today because of health reasons, but we purchased the property. I listened today on a lot of things with property standards, and trying to get new business and things in Shreveport, and that was our goal, was to come in, purchase the property, not thinking that we would have much opposition to bring it in. Our goal is to bring in modest affordable housing to the City of Shreveport, that was our goal. And basically we didn't submit any letters. I was here today in case there was any questions from you guys, to try to answer that prior to going into the meat of it.

CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION

TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 84 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND THE PARISH OF CADDO, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, La. R.S. 39:1701 et seq. authorizes the City of Shreveport and any other subdivision of the state or public agency thereof to expend public funds for the cooperative use of supplies and services under the terms of a cooperative purchasing agreement; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport and the Parish of Caddo desire to enter into a cooperative purchasing agreement under the terms of a contract the City of Shreveport entered into under the terms of public bid no. 07-019.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute an agreement between the City of Shreveport and the Parish of Caddo, substantially in accordance with the draft thereof filed in the Office of the Clerk of Council on May 14, 2007 and attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 85 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND THE TANGIPAHOA PARISH GOVERNMENT, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, La. R.S. 38:2212.1(f) authorizes both the City of Shreveport and the Tangipahoa Parish Government to enter into a cooperative purchasing agreement pursuant to the Louisiana Procurement Code La. R.S. 39:1701, et seq; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport and the Tangipahoa Parish Government desire to enter into a cooperative purchasing agreement under the terms of a contract the City of Shreveport entered into under the terms of IFB No. 07-026.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute an agreement between the City of Shreveport and the Tangipahoa Parish Government, substantially in accordance with the draft thereof filed in the Office of the Clerk of Council on May 11, 2007, and attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of

this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 86 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE GRANT DOCUMENTS WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the U. S. Department of Justice has invited the City of Shreveport Police Department to apply for grant funds for reducing community gun violence: PSN Gun Crime Reduction Program; and

WHEREAS, the award, if approved will be for a total of \$ 20,000, and requires no cash match by the City of Shreveport and

WHEREAS, these funds will be used to enhance PSN task force efforts to seek and execute outstanding warrants for gun related felonies and misdemeanor crimes. Partnerships between federal, state, local and community organizations will serve as the basis for a coordinated approach that will address the problem of gun violence through various enforcement initiatives for the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that it does hereby authorize the execution by Cedric P. Glover, Mayor, those grant documents necessary to apply and receive funding established within the program administered by the United States Department of Justice.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all Resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 87 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE GRANT DOCUMENTS WITH THE UNITED STATES U.S DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the U.S Department of Justice has invited the City of Shreveport Police Department and the Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office to file a joint application for grant funds under the Justice Assistance Grant Program; and

WHEREAS, the award, if approved will be for a total of \$273,930 and requires no cash match by the City of Shreveport. The funds will be split equally between the Shreveport Police Department and the Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office, with the City of Shreveport receiving \$136,965 and the Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office, receiving \$136,965.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport shall make a joint application to receive an award as part of the Justice Assistance Grant Program to prevent and control crime based on local needs and conditions. The funds received by the Shreveport Police Department will be used to address crime trends throughout the City of Shreveport through the use of personnel overtime. The Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office will use their funds for the purchase of law enforcement equipment such as vehicles.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that it does hereby authorize the execution by Cedric P. Glover, Mayor, those grant documents necessary to apply and receive funding established within the program administered by the United States Department of Justice.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all Resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 88 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE GRANT DOCUMENTS WITH UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the Department of Justice has invited the City of Shreveport Police Department to apply for grant funds for reducing gang violence through the PSN Anti-Gang Initiative Program; and

WHEREAS, the award, if approved will be for a total of \$ 50,000, and requires no cash match by the City of Shreveport and

WHEREAS, these funds will be used to enhance PSN task force efforts to combat gangs by building on effective PSN strategies and partnerships. Partnerships between federal, state, local and community organizations will serve as the basis for a coordinated approach that will address the problem of gang violence through various enforcement initiatives for the project. The City of Shreveport and the Shreveport Police Department will coordinate and administer the project, and agrees to provide personnel in an overtime capacity for work on the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that it does hereby authorize the execution by Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, those grant documents necessary to apply and receive funding established within the program administered by the United States Department of Justice.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all Resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I think you stated on yesterday, and I want you to know that I was pleased and I think the other members of the Council were pleased about making sure you keep us informed and keep us involved in the ongoing of the crime fighting effort. And again, if I could mention it at this particular point, I think probably what worked back during that time, I almost said the olden times, but I don't want to say that because it make you sound old, but I think we could initiate some anti-gang organizations you know within the different communities, and if you could maybe pull some of those persons out that's not as young as you all were that would have some wisdom in order to do that, I think would help. Because we got a lot of this Black on Black crime and Mr. Mayor, most of it seems to be young people. You know from I want to say from maybe 18-32, and we're going to have to do something to diffuse some of this hostility, some of this violence that's going on within our communities. And I'm glad to hear you say that you're looking at maybe resurrecting those ideas.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely Mr. Shyne.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

6. **Resolution No. 89 of 2007**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a Subordination Agreement with Shreveport Renewal Limited Partnership relative to the United Jewelers/Lee Hardware Project, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 90 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EMPLOYMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE MUNICIPAL FIRE AND POLICE CIVIL SERVICE BOARD OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport ("City") desires to retain the services of outside legal counsel to provide representation to the Municipal Fire and Police Civil Service Board of the City of Shreveport ("Board"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 8.03 of the City Charter, the City Attorney recommends that Danny Malone, Attorney at Law, be retained for the purpose of such representation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the Mayor, for and on behalf of the Board, is hereby authorized to execute a retainer agreement with Danyne Malone, Attorney at Law, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the draft thereof which was filed for public inspection, together with the original copy of this resolution in the office of the Clerk of Council on May 22, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO 91 2007

A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY AT SHREVEPORT FOUNDATION FOR THE GENTLEMEN'S COOKING CLASSIC EVENT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Monty Walford

WHEREAS, the Southern University at Shreveport Foundation will hold it's 8th Annual Gentlemen's Cooking Classic on Saturday, June 2, 2007 from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. at the Riverview Hall; and

WHEREAS, approximately 1,000 persons will attend the event from Texas, Arkansas, Mississippi and through-out the state of Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the event proposes to raise approximately \$17,500 this year to award Southern University at Shreveport scholarships to qualified students on an as needed basis; and

WHEREAS, the event will provide an economic benefit to Shreveport and said activity constitutes a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular, and legal session convened that the execution by Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, of the Southern University at Shreveport Foundation Gentlemen's Cooking Classic Agreement between the City of Shreveport and the Southern University at Shreveport Foundation, a copy of which is attached hereto, is hereby ratified.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

9. **Resolution No. 92 of 2007**: A resolution amending the pay plan for municipal police civil service personnel to provide certain incentive pay and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Lester: Actually I think it would be appropriate to turn it over to the Administration to give us some clarity. I unfortunately was traveling on yesterday and was not able to be at the Work Session. I understand that I guess that my first threshold question would be as we contemplate this, the sources of the incentives and something that speaks to the costs of some of those incentives. If someone from the Administration could speak on that.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Council. There's a handout I just had my staff give to you all's staff or Sharon, but I see she is just left the room, so I'm not sure if you all has received it. It should be headlined Living and Serving, a new program to serve you better. The projected costs of this, and Tom correct me if I misspeak, I think what staff worked up, I think if you will look at I believe it was 200 officers at an average of \$500 per officer, would put you roughly at \$100,000 on a \$100,000 home, if you doubled the price of the home, then obviously the price goes up. But that's the baseline projected expenditure. Now obviously if you have an officer who chooses to live within a CDBG eligible neighborhood, then the number is doubled. And the reason as to why the number is doubled is to - - - we know that those neighborhoods that are CDBG eligible are also the - - - in addition to having the characteristics that allow them to become CDBG eligible, they also unfortunately end up bearing the brunt of the vast majority of the crime that happens in the City of Shreveport. So then the intent there is to provide an added incentive to be able to incentivize or motivate officers to want to live in those areas. And the bottom line is that this is intended to be a public safety initiative. And that is why as you will see with the substitute measure that has been placed before you. We start with this initiative off restricting it specifically to officers who have vehicles marked with what I commonly refer to as 'stars and bars'. That's flashing lights, sirens and what have you. Because of the inherent deterrent effect, those particular types of vehicles provide with their presence in the neighborhood. On yesterday, Councilman Wooley specifically asked for some information regarding the (inaudible) of these types of efforts or whether or not other communities have done this before, and if you will find with what I handed out to you, and you should have a highlighted portion or a blocked portion on the front page, and this is from the Independent Missouri Police Department. And it reads that: *"The effects will be a higher law enforcement visibility on the streets of the neighborhoods where officers live, thus providing a deterrence to crime. To support that claim, a 1992 study conducted by the University of Peugeot Sound in Tacoma, Wash and published in Law and Order Magazine show that there is a direct correlation between higher law enforcement visibility and reduced crime rates. But it doesn't stop there. A recent study conducted by the East Peoria Illinois Police Department stated people tell us they are glad to have a patrol car in the area. Now if you go over to the next page, there is another quote that you should see that is highlighted as well, and it reads from a 1995 report from the Galloway Township Police Department in Galloway, New Jersey. And perhaps the most important to many people is that it saves tax dollars. And lastly, the last quote that you'll have, that's blocked off for you, but I would encourage you to read the entirety of what you have before you. It says: "Beyond the obvious benefits of higher visibility, the crime deterrent and tax payer savings, the*

take home car policy will actually put more marked police cars on the road, for service for very critical times. Officers who would in the past, leave the patrol car parked in the lot, and drive their non-emergency personal vehicle to and from work between shifts, will now drive marked patrol cars to and from work, and be responsible for answering calls anytime they are answering calls anytime they are operating the patrol car. So in addition to the scheduled shift of officers on patrol, there will also be in the living and serving program, cars on the roads conducting proactive work and being available for calls according to departmental policy. The same is even true if the officer is using the vehicle for a job related function on his scheduled days off. He or she is obligated by departmental policy to be susceptible for emergency calls and frequent violations that may be observed. In times of emergency, critical response times will be much quicker. The officer will be able to respond directly from home in a fully equipped emergency vehicle to go straight to the scene of any emergency.” So Members of the Council, from the crime deterrent effect that an initiative of this type has the ability to be able to impact on the City of Shreveport, to the cost savings that it provides, to the benefit of safety and security for the neighborhoods of this city, I offer this as an initiative, as an idea, as a concept that is another step towards helping us to make this a better and safer city. And as I mentioned to you all yesterday in the Work Session, I would hope that in years to come, that we would look to make Shreveport a haven for Police Officers, by extending this privilege not just to Shreveport Police Officers, but to any law enforcement personnel that happens to be post certified, and happens to be in possession of a marked police vehicle. Because the more of these vehicles that we have throughout our neighborhoods, especially in our high crime areas, I think the better we have the ability to be able to have police officers available. That presence there, the ability to respond in an ongoing presence. Councilman Wooley also on yesterday made reference to the fact that we may not have much of a chance to be able to convince those officers that presently don't live in the City of Shreveport to move into the City of Shreveport. And that may be a valid point. I would however, like to think that for those officers who may currently live outside the City limits of Shreveport, and who may be contemplating their next home purchase, that where Shreveport may not have been any consideration whatsoever, the fact that one would be able to get a take home vehicle. And No. 2, be able to receive a rebate of their Ad Valorem taxes, that that may put Shreveport into the equation as it heretofore may not have ever been for those officers who were currently outside. And I'd also like to believe for those officers who are here right now who may be evaluating their options, who may be looking at their next move, purchasing a larger home, that Shreveport may not have been on the radar, but that by providing this sort of incentive, that we may put it on there. And then in addition to that, for those officers who like me and like others who grew up in neighborhoods across this city that are CDBG eligible, who may be contemplating the purchase of their first home. They may be still single, but they want to stop running, they want to start that path to wealth, they may make the choice. And I've seen Council Member Bowman out recruiting already. Talking about the benefits and attributes of District G for young single officers who are contemplating homeownership for the first time. That those young men and women may consider moving into areas where we certainly need added and heightened law enforcement presence. And also young people to be role models for the young folks in those communities. So, for the price tag involved, for the cost savings in the long run when it comes to the operation and maintenance of our police vehicles, but then most importantly for the public safety benefit that I believe it can provide, I would ask the Council to support this measure.

Councilman Lester: I appreciate that. Just a few questions if I might. As I appreciate the incentive pay would only be applicable in those situations where they were homeowners. So, this would be a rebate based upon them being a homeowner within the city limits of Shreveport.

Mayor Glover: If they're not a homeowner, they're still eligible for the take home car.

Councilman Lester: Okay, okay - - - alright. Now, there is a last line, and I don't know if this was put in just by the lawyers to make sure that we don't have any problem, but line 3 indicates that eligibility for the incentive pay shall further be subject to internal police department guidelines. I don't know if there is someone from the department, if the Chief is here, but, come if you could Chief. Understand if what the Mayor is trying to accomplish relative to the rebates and the incentive, do you know of any internal police department guidelines that may be in conflict with what the Mayor is proposing?

Chief Van Zant: Not right off hand, I don't think there is. I can't recall a conflict right now.

Councilman Lester: Okay. So, let me ask this question. If I am a police officer and I'm not a - - - I don't have a take home car. Lets say I'm not in patrol, I'm not at the corporate level. Maybe I'm a Sergeant or something of that nature. I'm somewhat in a specialized unit. Does that officer in a specialized unit get the benefit of this program?

Mayor Glover: At this particular point, I also add that prior to this Council and this Administration coming on board, we had probably some hundred plus officers close to a hundred officers who were at the supervisory level in patrol division who would have otherwise been eligible for take home cars didn't have them, we're in the process of getting those cars to them. As this program attempts to move forward, the intent is to start it with those individuals who right now at the effective date would have marked patrol units, because that's where the benefit comes. For an individual who may be in some other area happens to be a police officer, but if you would see that car parked in their driveway, you would have no indication of whether or not that was a marked police unit, I mean an actual police officer who lived there, then the public safety effect - - - and that's why I add, and every time I've presented this idea and concept whether the law enforcement or to the public in general, and of course to you all as the Council, I always add that this is a public safety initiative. And the overall intent is to affect Public Safety. And so yes, those individuals who have marked units, are those who would be initially eligible. Part of what we have to work out on the back end is that what happens when an individual goes from a division where he's on patrol, may be assigned to some other division and loses the need for that vehicle, that's something I think we can work out as we move forward. But certainly is not sufficiently enough in my estimation to not warrant not moving forward. But then also, I want to add this, and this is something I said to the men and women of the department. And I think I mentioned this on Council on yesterday. I want the men and women of this police department to be first and foremost police officers. I'm of the opinion, and this may offend some of my folks who wear the badge, but I think we've got too many folks who have cars that are in non investigative positions. And when I say non investigative positions, obviously there's a reason as to why some of your detectives and your narcotics folks and other individuals need unmarked vehicles. You don't want to drive up and have everybody know, as we say in the hood, that 5-0 is coming. That's obviously acknowledged. But we have lots of other folks within this department who have no need whatsoever for an unmarked unit, who have them. I want them to be known to be police officers, and to be positioned to respond and react no matter what the circumstances may be when they have that vehicle. And I know my friend, (inaudible) cringes whenever I bring his name up, but this discussion came up in one of the roll calls. We have an individual who serves in Finance, and one of the officers whose not eligible for a take

home car asked me, Mr. Mayor, why is the head of Finance in the Police Department has a take home car, lives outside the city limits of Shreveport. I've never known a time or an occasion where the Police Department runs out of money at midnight and needs someone to drive in to bring some more. I couldn't argue with that. That's a point I think, is well made. And it's those types of individuals that I believe that if they want and justify a take home vehicle, unless there's an investigative need for it not to be a marked unit, then those individuals need to add to that law enforcement presence with that vehicle.

Councilman Lester: Well, I appreciate that, and that answers my question. And I will say I'm supportive of this. I think I don't see where this is that dissimilar from many of the apartment complexes around the area that offer accommodations for rent or even free units if the person that lives there has a marked unit. I know common practices in a number of those apartment complexes around town, they seek out members of the law enforcement community, whether they be Sheriffs or Marshals or whatever, if they have a marked unit, many times they serve as a courtesy officer and they get free unit. So certainly if we're going to try to get a greater presence of the police department in the city, which is something that I hear constantly from our constituents, then I think that starts at home, and certainly I think that this has the potential of bringing us back many times it's not a good thing when you always go backwards, but sometimes you move backwards in a philosophy to move forward. But back to the days where the policeman was a person was recognized in the neighborhood on the block and was a resource person for people to go to, and the whole beat mentality, where you have a greater connection between the police department and the neighborhoods is something would serve us well. And I think this is a very innovative idea. And again at the end of the day, it's only going to cost us something if they actually move it into the city, and certainly if they are willing to live in the CDBG areas, I think that's something that deserves an extra benefit. And you know it might serve to do two things. One, helps to obviously the community, but it also might give a level of sensitivity toward the police departments for the police officers, for the community in which they are attempting to police, to the point where many of the miscommunications that might be cultural or because this particular police officer grew up in an area completely dissimilar from the area that they are seeking to police. Maybe if they lived in some of those areas, they would have a level of sensitivity that says 'You know what? I can treat these folks as people.' Which is not saying that the police department doesn't. But anytime you raise each parties level of sensitivity towards the other, I think that's a positive thing. So, I commend the Mayor and the Administration for coming up with an innovative idea, and certainly I support it. I don't see why there's a reason why we wouldn't. I think the benefits far out weigh the potential cost. And certainly we're in situations where the State has always given incentive to first responders, and the fact as I appreciate it, Louisiana Housing and Finance Authority and the State has a very aggressive tax credit for teachers for first time homebuyers. So, I think this is a positive thing. So, I'm definitely supportive, and you've answered my question Mr. Mayor.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor and Chief, I was looking at Mike Strong back there, and Mayor you might as well tell him, he's in the wrong business. So, he can't get any free rent by driving a car home. If you switch over Mike, you might be able to do that.

Chief Van Zant: We don't want him.

Councilman Wooley: Mr. Mayor, thank you for the information on the study. Just a couple of things I want to highlight from yesterday. And please, I want to reiterate my stand as it regards to safety. I echo Councilman Shyne's comments yesterday that I believe that safety is the No. 1 concern in the City of Shreveport and for it's citizens. (Inaudible) whatever it takes to get the job done. I believe this Administration and this Council is going to do that. My concern

in doing this is when we have needs, and we have legitimate needs, we can all come up with many ideas, sometimes and I'm not saying this is one, sometimes we react out of desperation, sometimes we react because we haven't thought things through. I definitely think that the (inaudible) is good because the goal is to make our community more safe. People can feel at peace, so you know elderly people don't have to worry about going outside their door and worry about getting shot at or getting robbed, or like the woman in your district that got stabbed or shot at in a home invasion. And so, my concern is not the harping behind it as far as creating a safe environment or safe city. My concern is the consistency and the initiative and the price tag that we don't at this point, I believe (inaudible) clarity how much we're actually going to spend. And really on that note, not having a clear number, it is hard for me as a Council Member and as an elected official representing tax payers to not have a hard figure. Because someone may come to me and say, "Councilman Wooley, how much does that initiative cost you"? I mean how could I go and say, "well I don't know, I just voted yes." And so it's very, very important to me as an elected official and as a Council Member to have numbers, hard numbers. So I can, with confidence, stand before anyone and say, "yes I did vote for this initiative, and it's going to cost X amount of dollars, and I was willing to (inaudible) be a part of the city. I have the price tag, and I have the responsibility." And so that's the financial end, that's probably the biggest concern is not knowing how much it's going to cost us to go forth with this initiative. And of course, just to highlight yesterday, it is not to reiterate the entire dissertation I gave you yesterday on this, and as in regards to documentation, my concern with that is you have 1992 study, a 1995 study, and that's pretty outdated information. I would like at least some more information. I would like to see something more current. I know there's a reference here to a recent study, but it doesn't actually say when that study was conducted. It did say something about saving tax dollars. I assume as I read the context of this information, that maybe we were referring to the maintenance, which we didn't agree on yesterday, is well documented that take home vehicles actually save the city some money, versus them maybe swapping the cars out for different shifts. So, I think the take home car itself, I think is a great plan. But I think it ought to be more consistent. Obviously you have personal selection, and my concern is all the things that were mentioned today and yesterday how it would be great for CDBG neighborhoods, which I do have at least one in my district that I am very concerned about, and just got through doing a community walk through to let those people know, here I am and I'm here for you, and so is SPD, and we're going to get the job done as best we can. In saying that it would be good for those neighborhoods, and it would be good for the City of Shreveport. There's no doubt to have vehicles and police officers in uniform living in those neighborhoods would be a benefit. There's no question about that. However my concern is if we take this initiative, we don't know how much money we're going to spend, and then we don't know whether or not it's going to work. Granted, I've said running a city is like running a business, because obviously there's a budget, and there's things that you have to spend money on, but sometimes in business things are risky, but at this point especially not knowing how much money it's going to cost us, that's a real big risk. I didn't buy my truck in December not knowing how much it was going to cost me. I counted the cost, and I was willing to take the plunge. So, I did that. I think we need to do the same thing with this particular issue as well. Let me just read through this very quickly. I think the car itself should be the incentive. And I think consistency is my biggest concern, and the fairness of this initiative, you have some officers who live outside the city limits who've been on the force longer than some officers, who live inside the city limits, who've put in their blood, sweat and tears for this community. They won't get a chance per se to get a take home car unless it is that group that have unmarked vehicles, who right now live outside city limits. So,

we do have some of those. And I know the comment yesterday was (inaudible), but one is enough, because that's not fair to other individuals who live outside city limits who granted, I think one may live a few hundred feet or a hundred yards outside city limits. But you have some who live outside city limits and I don't know how far that is, but they have cars. And there are other officers who would drive a marked vehicle, that don't have cars. So there's some inconsistency I believe at the moment with initiative. Like I say, the heartbeat behind it, the goal of it is public safety. That's the No. 1 thing in this city. If we don't do public safety, we're not going to have a city. I don't care what else we do with this city, I don't care what we spend our money on. Tourist attractions, we're trying to get big business, supporting Barksdale and all those things we're looking to do which are great, if we don't have a safe city, we won't have much of a city in years to come. Because people won't want to be here, they just want to set up shop. So that itself is not the argument. My concern is if we don't know how much it's going to cost, we don't know how effective it's going to be, and it's inconsistent and I believe unfair, to all the officers who are a part of SPD. So at this time, especially in light that we just received this information as we started discussing this, I would definitely like to ask for a postponement. So we can further discuss this and research the information. Thank you.

Councilman Webb: If that's in the formation, I'll second it.

Substitute motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Webb to postpone.

Councilman Wooley: I would just ask the Council to agree with this, at least give it some more time to discuss this matter, and definitely go to the facts, and take the opportunity to look through some of the studies that have been presented to us and hopefully get some more studies that are more current since these are in the last decade.

Councilman Lester: Certainly I as one, I'm sensitive to what Councilman Wooley says relative to information. I have had scenarios where I was presented information in a fashion I didn't think was timely, and I asked for additional time to study so I could make an intelligent vote. So, I definitely want to be consistent with a Councilman's request. I think one of the things that's important as we learn to deal with each other is to have - - - we don't have to have tea and crumpets all the time, but we should have at least a certain threshold of respect when a Council Person has a legitimate issue. In terms of wanting to study something that they are being honest and fair and up front, and they're not just trying to engage in a stalling tactic just for the sake of stalling. And I take Councilman Wooley at his word that he would like to have additional time relative to study this issue. So, I don't have a problem supporting a postponement for that purpose. Certainly since I have been one that has asked for that and the Council has supported me, I don't have a problem with that. I would like to mention one thing though. If I could Councilman Wooley?

Councilman Wooley: Sure.

Councilman Lester: The issue with the inconsistencies with the granting of police cars is separate and apart from this initiative that the Administration has given. The Administration, and this Mayor, and no mayor controls specifically who gets which car, at the police department. Because that is a police function. I think you can separate that from the program. I think the program is one that does have tremendous amount of merit, and I think we all would agree that the benefit to everyone for us to have as many marked patrol cars in areas as possible. I would also say that I too have some concerns with the way that the police cars have been issued out. I've had an opportunity to speak with a number of officers, and there is a process as I appreciate it. But I don't know and I don't quite understand some of the logic between some of those

decisions. But having said that, I do still believe that we should separate the police policy of who gets the take home cars from the Mayor's position as it relates to this. And certainly there are some officers that as to use your example, that have been on the force for a number of years, that may not choose to take advantage of this benefit. And that is something that is completely at their province. Because at the end of the day, you know, we don't require the Police Department to live within the city limits. I don't believe so. Now if the Council moves to adopt an ordinance to change that policy, I think that would be something else. But as I see this initiative by the Administration, it is permissive in terms of giving a benefit to those officers who would choose to make a move inside the city limits. And hey, if you have a person who lives outside the city limits and has done so for a period of years, I don't think any incentive or anything else may decide to make them come in. I think what we're looking at and not trying to get into the Administration's mind, but I think this would be a program that would be more effective to those officers just coming out of the academy, and those younger line officers who because of the salary they make, often times as we alluded to earlier, live in apartments, live in condos, live in those types of scenarios, and they have not started that path toward homeownership. And I would suspect that if this project or this program were in effect, then that police officer that's new to the police force that decides to get married, now you have two incomes, and now they have the financial wherewithal to decide, okay, lets look at buying a house. This program could become a huge factor in terms of where they decide to live and where they decide to put down roots. And from that perspective, and understanding that many of those newer officers are the officers that are more likely to be on patrol, and those officers are more likely to be the people that we come into contact on a daily basis, anything that helps them and obviously gives them a benefit, but also helps to sensitize those folks to communities where they ordinarily wouldn't be, I think is a positive thing. But to the extent that I think, and again, I was not here yesterday, but I believe your questions are legitimate, I will support your motion for postponement.

Councilman Walford: Let me very quickly say that Mr. Mayor, I certainly support this legislation and will be voting for it, however, I will support Mr. Wooley in his postponement, and I don't think it'll have a serious negative impact on us because this doesn't become effective until January 2008. So, I don't believe two weeks will impact it.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before you vote, I do want to just add a couple of additional comments, and obviously respect the wishes of the Council. I want to yield briefly to Mr. Dark with regard to the budgetary number. Obviously there is some difficulty in some members grasping the concept of projected costs when it comes to these types of issues. So, I want him to shed a little light so that it will at least be that information out on the public record, and then I want to finish with one comment.

Mr. Dark: Mr. Chairman, the number that we had been trying to use, because we did know that Mr. Wooley wanted an approximate estimate of what it would be. As of right now, there's 175 officers with take home vehicles that live in the city. I used a round number just because that's easier to multiply, and I figured we might get some growth depending on some of the new people in the class and things like that. The property taxes on \$100,000 house, city property taxes are just over \$500 a year. You multiply those two together, you get \$100,000 a year. That's probably a ballpark number. The biggest number it might be is between \$150-200,000. The smallest number it be is \$75-100,000. For the purposes of what you're talking about, that's a reasonable range to give you. We're not going to go back at this point and try to figure out the assessed value of every household, of every police officer who might be eligible, because it's really not relevant. If you think this is a good idea, the price range we've given you is a reasonable number to decide at whatever point you want to vote on it. One thing I want to

do between now and the next two weeks is try to give you a little better idea of how many police officers take cars outside the city, and for what reason. And who might be at some disadvantage if we do it this way, because if we're being inconsistent, we want to try to fix that. But as far as your budget numbers, it's not going to change any between now and two weeks from now. This is as good a number as we can get without trying to look up every household and go from there.

Mayor Glover: This is not my first rodeo, I've been in this process a long time, so I can probably look at the back of some of your heads and tell what you're thinking. Much less when I look at you full frontal on. But I just want to always say, whether it's here as Mayor, or the time spent in the legislature, or the time I spent here on this Council, if you gonna construct an argument against this situation, you can do it with bricks or you can do it with sticks, or you can do it with straw. And some of the most interesting ones come when we make an effort to construct arguments out of straw. I hope that between now and the time that Council is ready to vote on this, that we will resolve whatever arguments, be they brick, stick or straw, so we can move forward with hopefully, and that's that I think will help to be about making Shreveport a better, safer place. You all have given great, great lip service, as have I to the people of this city, about what we want to do, about public safety, about what we want to do to make Shreveport safe. About what we want to do to make our neighborhoods safer. And we are now in the process of rolling out those series of efforts to do that. And I'm willing to sit and engage each and everyone of you, and the merits of what I propose as well as certainly am ready and willing to hear and take on your ideas, and support those that have merit, and frankly oppose those that don't. But I will say this, Councilman Wooley you specifically said that you walked the streets of the CDBG neighborhoods within your district. And I think you said, I quote, you said, "I'm here for you." Well, you've got to make that more than just words. If you're here for them, then you've got to be about helping to create a scenario where folks are willing to move back to Cedar Grove and move back to Cedar Grove, and move back to neighborhoods within your district that are greatly challenged. This is one idea to do that, I'm waiting to hear yours, and I'm looking forward to working with you and the rest of the Council to move forward with those.

Councilman Wooley: Mr. Mayor, I assure you I don't (inaudible) lip service to any of my residents or to anyone in the City of Shreveport. And just because I'm not (inaudible) go with a plan you present and basically to this Council, and to anyone else, it does not mean that I don't care about the residents that I represent. I do want to make that clear to anyone that's watching this and hearing this. Not saying that (inaudible) intent, and I made that quite clear in my explanation. The intent of this, the public safety of our city is Priority 1. Just because I don't go along with someone's idea off the cuff does not mean that public safety is not No. 1 with me. So, you know I regret that you feel at odds, or at least estranged from me at the moment, but I assure you that I am a team player, and that I look to what each and every Council Member, including yourself as the Mayor of our city. I made that quite clear during my campaign, I'm making it quite clear right now as a Council Member. And as I said, and I quote myself, "We're going to do everything it takes to make it happen for the City of Shreveport, but many ideas presented on many different issues. And sometimes those ideas are good, sometimes those ideas need to be worked on, and can be even better. And so that is my presentation today, and I definitely cannot make that decision when I don't have a cost factor. Ballpark figures and things of that nature - - - well, I'm in business and I can't make decisions on ballpark figures. I need to know exactly what it's going to cost us - - - that we can ask for. And I reserve the right as a Council Member to get those numbers and that's why I've requested them. So, thank you.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 93 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR AUDREY LANE AND UTILITY SERVITUDES IN KING OAKS UNIT NO. 3 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the dedication for Audrey Lane and Utility Servitudes in Section 17, (T18N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Audrey Lane and Utility Servitudes be recorded in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 94 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR AUDREY LANE AND UTILITY SERVITUDES IN KING OAKS UNIT NO. 4 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the dedication for Audrey Lane and Utility Servitudes in Section 17, (T18N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Audrey Lane and Utility Servitudes be recorded in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt Resolution No(s). 93 and 94 of 2007. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 95 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION SELECTING THE TIMES AS THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL FOR THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING JULY 1, 2007 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2008 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport is required by its Charter and State Law to select an Official Journal to publish minutes, ordinances, resolutions, budgets, official notices, advertisements, and other official proceedings of the City of Shreveport for the period July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008; and

WHEREAS, The Times has submitted the following proposal:

All printing of required legals in the Classified Section - \$0.27 per agate line (6.5 point);

All printing of required legals in the Retail Section - \$6.58 per column inch;

All other (non-required) ads in the Classified Section - \$1.88 per agate line;

All other (non-required) ads in the Retail Section - \$43.98 per column inch; Affidavits: \$20.00 each; and

WHEREAS, it is recommended that The Times be selected the Official Journal for the City of Shreveport to provide the services required by law for the prices described above.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the proposal of The Times is accepted and The Times be and is hereby designated the official journal of the City of Shreveport for the period July 1, 2007 and ending June 30, 2008 and the Mayor of the City is hereby authorized to execute an agreement with The Times under the terms and conditions described above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I want to make this clear that there is no conflict of interest with this vote, because the Shreveport Times has never indorsed me.

Mayor Glover: In that case Mr. Shyne I may have to defer to the City Attorney's office to give me some direction as to how it is that we might let the Chairman sign this contract, because they never failed to indorse me.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 96 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND CHAPTER 106 RELATIVE TO ZONING FOR THE AMERICAN LEGION POST #14 LOCATED AT 5315 SOUTH LAKESHORE DRIVE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilman Calvin Lester

WHEREAS, the Krewe of Elders will host a fish fry at the American Legion Post #14 located at 5315 South Lakeshore Drive on June 16, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the establishment desires to dispense, and allow the consumption and sale of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the establishment, between the hours of 12:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

WHEREAS, any special exception approval granted to the establishment for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing does not specifically authorize outside sales and/or consumption on the premises; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section Chapter 10-103(a)(5) provides that the city council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licensed premises without a proper license; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the American Legion Post #14, 5315 South Lakeshore Drive, on June 16, 2007 for a the Krewe of Elders fish fry.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened Section 106-130(6), 10-103(a)(5) and 10-80(a) are hereby suspended on June 16 2007 for the Krewe of Elders fish fry, between the hours of 12:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m., at the American Legion Post #14, 5315 South Lakeshore Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 100 OF 2007

A RESOLUTION TO ENCOURAGE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE COMMON BATTLEFIELD AIRMAN TRAINING PROGRAM AT BARKSDALE AIR FORCE BASE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY: COUNCILMAN LESTER

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport recognizes Barksdale Air Force Base as a very valuable asset for this community and this nation; and

WHEREAS, Barksdale Air Force Base is a candidate for the Common Battlefield Airman Training Program (CBAT); and

WHEREAS, if Barksdale Air Force Base is selected for CBAT, the program will train approximately 14,410 Airmen annually when the program is fully implemented, as well as add 600-800 permanent positions to Barksdale; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received and reviewed the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the CBAT Program dated May 2007, particularly as it relates to the potable water supply, local public transit bus service, public passenger air service, and landfill needs.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that it confirms that the City of Shreveport (Shreveport) supplies potable water for Barksdale Air Force Base (the base); Shreveport operates the local bus system that currently serves the base; Shreveport operates Shreveport Regional Airport which is located only 12 miles (20 minutes) from the base, and Shreveport operates the landfill which services the base.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Shreveport confirms that the aforementioned water system, bus system, airport and landfill have the infrastructure and capacity needed to meet the increased demands of the CBAT Program without any degradation in the level of services now provided to the base or the larger community.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Shreveport encourages the location of CBAT at Barksdale Air Force Base, and finds that CBAT will have a positive impact on Shreveport and the entire region.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of the Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not effect other provisions, items or applications of this Resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, this is a true no-brainer. I mean regardless of whether this program comes to the Shreveport side or the Bossier side of the Red River, it's going to have a tremendous economic impact for the area. So, contrary to popular belief, people in District A do understand the economic development and are patriotic.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS *(Not to be adopted prior to Jun 26, 2007)*

1. **Resolution No. 97 of 2007:** A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute an intergovernmental agreement and/or cooperative endeavor agreement between the City of Shreveport and the Village of Belcher, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

2. **Resolution No. 98 of 2007**: A resolution authorizing and providing for the waiver of permit fees for the construction of Red River Terminals, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
3. **Resolution No. 99 of 2007**: A resolution authorizing the execution of a cooperative endeavor agreement with Southern Black Softball Association, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
4. **Resolution No. 101 of 2007**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor's signature on an extension of Oil and Gas Lease between Sklarco, LLC and the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Resolution No(s). 97, 98, 99 and 101 of 2006 to lay over until June 26, 2007 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES *(Not to be adopted prior to Jun 26, 2007)*

1. **Ordinance No. 102 of 2007**: An ordinance repealing Ordinance No. 179 of 1973 which created a yield intersection at the intersection of Creswell Avenue and Oak Hill Drive, and to create and establish the intersection of Creswell Avenue and Oak Hill Drive as a Three-Way Stop Intersection, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)
2. **Ordinance No. 103 of 2007**: An ordinance amending and replacing Section 90-198, maximum limits on specific streets, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
3. **Ordinance No. 104 of 2007**: ANNEXATION – Tag No. 05-10: An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 35.02 acre tract of land located in section 12(T16N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)
4. **Ordinance No. 105 of 2007**: ANNEXATION – Tag No. 06-06: An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – a 22.61 acre tract of land located in Section 21 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)
5. **Ordinance No. 106 of 2007**: An ordinance showing closure and abandonment of the 5 foot wide utility servitude located I the rear of Lot 11, Hidden Trace Unit No. 5 of the S/E Section 28 (T16N-R13W), Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana and to otherwise provide respect thereto. (D/Wooley)
6. **Ordinance No. 107 of 2007**: An ordinance showing closure and abandonment of the dedicated 50 foot wide Laice Street running between Cross Lake and Dilg League Drive located in Section 4(T17N-R14W), Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Bowman)

7. **Ordinance No. 108 of 2007**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 66 of the Code of Ordinances relative to personnel by adding Article IV relative to donations of sick leave and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
8. **Ordinance No. 109 of 2007**: An ordinance declaring certain city-owned property to be surplus property; Authorizing the donation of the property to Shreveport/Bossier Community Renewal, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Ordinance No(s). 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108 and 109 of 2007 to lay over until June 26, 2007 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

1. **Ordinance No. 86 of 2007**: An ordinance amending Section 78-4 and to enact Article VIII of Chapter 30 of the Code of Ordinances relative to limited access gates, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. *The Clerk read the following:*

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 86 of 2007

Amend Section 30-203 to read as follows:

Sec. 30-203. Time for compliance; responsibility for compliance; enforcement.

- a) All new gated communities and gated commercial properties having electronic limited access gates for ingress and egress shall comply with this Article before the gate is placed into operation. The person or entity responsible for compliance with this section shall be the developer or builder of the gated community or gated commercial premises.
- b) All existing gated communities and gated commercial properties having electronic limited access gates for ingress and egress shall have 180 days from the date this ordinance becomes effective to comply with this Article on all electronic limited access gates. The person or entity responsible for compliance with this section shall be the person or entity responsible for maintenance of the gated access.
- c) The Division of Permits and Inspections of the Department of Operational Services shall be responsible for enforcement of this Article with regard to new gated communities and gated commercial properties. The Division of Fire Prevention of the Fire Department shall be responsible for enforcement of the Article with regard to existing gated communities and gated commercial properties.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Amendment No 1 to Ordinance No. 86 of 2007. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Ordinance No. 86 of 2007 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

2. **Ordinance No. 87 of 2007**: An ordinance creating and establishing a no through truck route on Creswell Avenue, between Kings Highway and Stoner Avenue, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Long: Tell me what you're trying to do here. You got trucks going down Creswell?

Councilman Walford: Yeah, this is on the part, more residential part of Creswell that's north of Kings Highway, south of Stoner.

Councilman Long: You've got 18-wheelers going through that area?

Councilman Walford: Yes, so I would appreciate a 'YES' vote on this one.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

3. **Ordinance No. 88 of 2007**: An ordinance amending the 2007 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

4. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2007**: An ordinance amending the 2007 Budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mayor Glover: Just wanted to say thank you to the Council for taking the steps to start this property standards initiative for the City of Shreveport. Thank you very much on behalf of the citizens.

Councilman Walford: Selfishly Mr. Mayor, I think it's going to benefit us all on this dais.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor I'm overly joyed to see you take this step. Like I say, you and I have been around, I don't want to say for a long time, but you know we've been around for - - - we've seen this gorilla and jump in one bag, and from this bag into another bag, and Tom has been around long enough to have seen the same gorilla. So, I'm hoping with the initiatives that you have brought will be to kinda cage this gorilla, and tame him some. Thank you.

5. **Ordinance No. 90 of 2007**: An amending the 2007 Budget for the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Lester: I'm excited about this portion of it, particularly because it's going to fund the demolition of approximately 100 more structures in and above those that we're targeting now. And again, there's been a lot of chatter out there about we've been talking, and we've been talking, we've been meeting, we've been meeting, when are you going to do something? Well, this Council and this Administration, I believe, as just appropriated about \$1,000,000 to deal with some neighborhood issues. So, if anyone has any questions as to whether or not this is going to be a do nothing and do nothing Administration or Council, I think the \$1.1 (million) hitting the streets should answer those questions in short order. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, on 92 I wish you would let Ms. Bowman make the motion since she grew up not too far from Bethume.

Councilman Walford: Well, I think we're on 91.

6. **Ordinance No. 91 of 2007**: An ordinance amending the 2007 Budget for the Police Grants Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Lester to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

7. **Ordinance No. 92 of 2007**: An ordinance changing the names of Bethume Drive and Bethume Place to Bethune Drive, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
(F/Shyne)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

8. **Ordinance No. 93 of 2007**: An ordinance amending Section 62-78 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the Department of Public Assembly and Recreation Fee Schedule, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

9. **Ordinance No. 94 of 2007**: ANNEXATION – Tag No. 06-07: An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 61.210 acre tract of land located west of Southern Trace Subdivision in Section 31 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes, did you talk to anyone with the Parish of Caddo in reference to this annexation before we did it? I forgot to ask you that.

Councilman Wooley: No, I did not.

Councilwoman Bowman: No one called you?

Councilman Wooley: No they did not.

Councilwoman Bowman: I'm going to leave it alone too.

Councilman Lester: You've raised an interesting point though.

Councilwoman Bowman: Really.

Councilman Lester: They call it fiduciary duty, but we're going to leave that one alone.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: Councilman Long. 1.

10. **Ordinance No. 96 of 2007**: ZONING – C-35-07: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the south side of East 78th Street, 360 feet west of Henderson, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1H, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-1H-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District, LIMITED TO “AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR AND PARKING OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AS DESCRIBED AT THE MAY 2, 2007 PUBLIC HEARING”, only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman

Wooley, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

11. **Ordinance No. 97 of 2007**: ZONING – C-39-07: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the south side of Edgemont, 325 feet east of Line Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-1, Buffer Business District, to R-2 (TH), Urban, One-Family Townhouse Residence District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

12. **Ordinance No. 98 of 2007**: ZONING – C-40-07: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the southeasterly side of East 70th Street, 2040 feet south of the Dixie Meadow Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-3 Community Business District to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District LIMITED TO “AN AUTO PAINT AND BODY SHOP”

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)

13. **Ordinance No. 99 of 2007**: ZONING – C-41-07: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF Bert Kouns Industrial Loop and Dean Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

14. **Ordinance No. 100 of 2007**: ZONING – C-42-07: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of West 84th Street, 270 feet north of Long Bayou,

Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-1D-E, Urban One-Family Residence/Extended Use District, LIMITED TO A COMMUNITY CENTER AND COMPUTER LAB” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

15. **Ordinance No. 101 of 2007:** ZONING – C-43-07: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the southeast corner of Hearne Avenue and Morningside Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-1, Buffer Business District, to B-2 Neighborhood Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Having passed first reading on May 22, 2007 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 86 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 78-4 AND TO ENACT ARTICLE VIII OF CHAPTER 30 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO LIMITED ACCESS GATES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Mr. Walford

WHEREAS, limited access gates in gated communities create difficulties in responding to emergencies by slowing and restricting emergency vehicles, which puts lives in jeopardy; and WHEREAS, in order to ensure that the Fire and Police departments have unfettered access for emergencies and/or patrolling the gated areas, it is necessary to have standardized entrances into these communities and structures.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Article VIII of Chapter 30 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby enacted to read as follows:

Article VIII. Limited Access Gates.

Sec. 30-200. Definitions.

- a) Electronic limited access gates. For purposes of this section, electronic limited access gates means electronically operated gates which limit access to persons having a key or code or other device allowing the opening of the gate for entry to the premises..
- b) Gated communities or gated commercial premises. For purposes of this section, gated communities or gated commercial premises means all commercial properties, housing developments, apartments and townhouse complexes, and all other residential communities,

which have electronic limited access gates for ingress into and egress from the community or commercial premises.

Sec. 30-201. Requirements.

a) Any gated community or gated commercial premises which have electronic limited access gates shall comply with the following requirements:

(1) The electronic limited access gate must have a receiver installed which makes the gate capable of being operated with an electronic tag reader which is compatible with the electronic tag transponder used by the City.

(2) The receiver shall be installed on the column or post near the electrical control box. The receiver shall be installed on the right hand side whenever possible.

(3) A battery back-up and manual override shall be provided to be used in case of power outage.

Sec. 30-202. Permits.

The Chief Building Official shall not issue a permit to any applicant for proposed construction, or installation of any electronic limited access gate without approval of the Fire Chief or his/her designee and in compliance with this ordinance.

Sec. 30-203. Time for compliance; responsibility for compliance.

a) All new gated communities and gated commercial properties having electronic limited access gates for ingress and egress shall comply with this section prior to occupancy or before the gate is placed into operation. The person or entity responsible for compliance with this section shall be the developer or builder of the gated community or gated commercial premises.

b) All existing gated communities and gated commercial properties having electronic limited access gates for ingress and egress shall have 180 days from the date this ordinance becomes effective to comply with this section on all electronic limited access gates. The person or entity responsible for compliance with this section shall be the person or entity responsible for maintenance of the gated access.

Sec. 30-204. Penalties.

Whoever shall be found guilty of violating any provision of this Ordinance shall, upon conviction, be punished pursuant to section 1-14 of the Code of Ordinances. Application of the penal provision of section 1-14 shall not prevent the enforced removal of prohibited conditions, either under the adopted fire prevention code or under other applicable provisions of state or local law.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that Section 78-4(j) of the Code of Ordinances is hereby enacted to read as follows:

Sec. 78-4. Requests to control vehicular access on residential streets.

* * *

(j) In addition to all other requirements herein, all parties granted encroachments under this section, specifically including but not limited to those already granted, shall provide access to the City of Shreveport Fire Department and Police Department as required by Article VIII of Chapter 30.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 86 of 2007

Amend Section 30-203 to read as follows:

Sec. 30-203. Time for compliance; responsibility for compliance; enforcement.

a) All new gated communities and gated commercial properties having electronic limited access gates for ingress and egress shall comply with this Article before the gate is placed into operation. The person or entity responsible for compliance with this section shall be the developer or builder of the gated community or gated commercial premises.

b) All existing gated communities and gated commercial properties having electronic limited access gates for ingress and egress shall have 180 days from the date this ordinance becomes effective to comply with this Article on all electronic limited access gates. The person or entity responsible for compliance with this section shall be the person or entity responsible for maintenance of the gated access.

c) The Division of Permits and Inspections of the Department of Operational Services shall be responsible for enforcement of this Article with regard to new gated communities and gated commercial properties. The Division of Fire Prevention of the Fire Department shall be responsible for enforcement of the Article with regard to existing gated communities and gated commercial properties.

ORDINANCE NO. 87 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH A NO THROUGH TRUCK ROUTE ON CRESWELL AVENUE BETWEEN KINGS HIGHWAY AND STONER AVENUE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Creswell Avenue between Kings Highway and Stoner Avenue is hereby created and established as a No Through Truck Route and it shall be unlawful for trucks exceeding fifteen thousand (15,000) pounds gross vehicle weight to use any portion of Creswell Avenue between Kings Highway and Stoner Avenue except for local pickup and delivery.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 88 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2007 GENERAL FUND BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2007 budget for the General Fund to appropriate additional funds and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, **BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 163 of 2006, the 2007 General Fund budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Increase Taxes and Special Assessments by \$1,100,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

In General Government, increase Transfer to Community Development Fund by \$800,000.

In Police, increase Personal Services by \$272,000 and Improvements and Equipment by \$28,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 163 of 2006 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 89 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2007 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, to adjust appropriations and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 166 of 2006, the 2007 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Under "Fiscal Year 2007 Funds":

Increase Transfer from General Fund by \$800,000. Appropriate Transfer From Retained Risk Fund at \$275,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Under "2007 Revenues":

In Codes Enforcement, increase Personal Services by \$100,000, Materials and Supplies by \$6,000, Contractual Services by \$600,000, Other Charges by \$275,000, Improvements and Equipment by \$89,000 and Transfer to Fleet Services Fund by \$5,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 90 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE RETAINED RISK INTERNAL SERVICE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2007 budget for the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund to transfer funds to the General Fund and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 178 of 2006, the 2007 budget for the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Liability Reserves by \$275,000.

Appropriate Transfer to Community Development Fund at \$275,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 178 of 2006 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 91 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE POLICE GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it desirable to amend the 2007 budget for the Police Grants Special Revenue Fund, to appropriate new revenues and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 172 of 2006, the 2007 budget for the Police Grants Special Revenue Fund, be amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

2006 and Prior-Year Receipts:

Decrease Prior-Year Solving Cold Cases With DNA by \$9,500.

Decrease Prior-Year Federal Judicial Assistance Grant by \$41,000.

Decrease Prior-Year Cameras Against Crime by \$8,300.

Increase Prior-Year ATF Grant by \$19,400.

Decrease Prior-Year Anti-Gang Initiative by \$9,300.

Decrease Prior-Year DARE 2005 by \$4,300.

Increase Prior-Year AFIS 2005 by \$4,300.

Increase Prior-Year Shreveport Enforcement Project by \$11,400.

Increase Prior-Year Terrorism Prevention Program 2006 by \$6,700.

Decrease Prior-Year Federal Justice Assistance Grant 2006 by \$75,100.

Decrease Prior-Year Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force by \$17,900.

Increase Prior-Year ICAP by \$3,900.

Increase Prior-Year Safe Streets by \$6,800.

Fiscal Year 2007 Revenues:

Appropriate Federal Justice Assistance Grant 2007 at \$274,000.

Appropriate PSN Gun Crime 2007 at \$20,000.

Appropriate PSN Anti-Gang Initiative at \$50,000.

Decrease Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force 2007 by \$10,000.

Decrease ICAP 2007 by \$7,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

From 2006 and Prior-Year Revenues:

In Prior-Year Solving Cold Cases With DNA, decrease Personal Services by \$9,500.

In Prior-Year Federal Judicial Assistance Grant, decrease Personal Services by \$45,300 and increase Improvements and Equipment by \$4,300.

In Prior-Year Cameras Against Crime, increase Contractual Services by \$28,000. Decrease Materials and Supplies by \$7,700 and Improvements and Equipment by \$28,600.

In Prior-Year ATF Grant, increase Personal Services by \$19,400.

In Prior-Year Anti-Gang Initiative, decrease Personal Services by \$9,300.

In Prior-Year DARE 2005, decrease Personal Services by \$6,700. Increase Other Charges by \$2,400.

In Prior-Year AFIS 2005, increase Personal Services by \$4,300.

In Prior-Year Shreveport Enforcement Project, increase Personal Services by \$11,400.

In Prior-Year Terrorism Prevention Program, increase Improvements and Equipment by \$6,700.

In Prior-Year Federal Justice Assistance Grant, increase Personal Services by \$1,800 and decrease Other Charges by \$76,900.

In Prior-Year Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force, increase Personal Services by \$8,800 and decrease Contractual Services by \$26,700.

In Prior-Year ICAP, increase Personal Services by \$3,900.

In Prior-Year Safe Streets, increase Personal Services by \$6,800.

From Fiscal Year 2007 Revenues:

From Federal Justice Assistance Grant 2007, appropriate Personal Services at \$137,000 and Contractual Services at \$137,000.

From PSN Gun Crime 2007, appropriate Personal Services at \$20,000.

From PSN Anti-Gang Initiative, appropriate Personal Services at \$42,500, Materials and Supplies at \$900, Contractual Services at \$2,400 and Improvements and Equipment at \$4,200.

In Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force 2007, decrease Personal Services by \$10,000.

In ICAP 2007, decrease Personal Services by \$7,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 172 of 2006 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance, or the application thereof, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other sections of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 92 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE TO CHANGE THE NAMES OF BETHUME DRIVE AND BETHUME PLACE TO BETHUNE DRIVE, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilman Shyne

WHEREAS, Bethume Drive and Bethume Place were publicly dedicated in the Hollywood Heights, Unit 1, Subdivision, as per plat filed and recorded in Book 700, Pages 331 thru 335, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, Bethune High School (now Bethune Middle Academy) is also located in the Hollywood Heights, Unit 1, Subdivision; and

WHEREAS, Bethune High School, Bethume Drive and Bethume Place were named for Mary McLeod Bethune (an educator, racial justice activist, New Deal government official, etc., who lived from 1875 to 1955), but because of a typographical error, the streets are spelled "Bethume" rather than "Bethune" on the plat filed in the conveyance records of Caddo Parish; and

WHEREAS, although the official name of the street between Waters Place and McDaniel Drive is Bethume Drive, and the official name of the street between McDaniel Drive and Hollywood Avenue is Bethume Place, the entire street between Waters Place and Hollywood Avenue is now known as (and signed as) Bethume Drive; and

WHEREAS, the entire street between Waters Place and Hollywood Avenue should be changed to Bethune Drive for the reasons given herein.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that Bethume Drive and Bethume Place from Waters Place to Hollywood Avenue in the SE/4 of Section 17 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, be and the same are hereby renamed and changed to **Bethune Drive**, as shown and indicated on the plat attached hereto and made a part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the procedures and proscriptions contained in Sections 78-451 and 78-452 of the Code of Ordinances are waived.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that to comply with LSA R.S. 18:201, a certified copy of this resolution to be furnished to the Registrar of Voters for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this ordinance be filed and recorded in the official records of the District Court of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of the ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared severable and repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 93 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 62-78 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ASSEMBLY AND RECREATION FEE SCHEDULE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 62-78 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby amended to now read as follows:

Sec. 62-78. Fee schedule.

A fee schedule for activities, rentals and uses in the department of public assembly and recreation is hereby established as follows:

Aquatics

Pool Admissions– Southern Hills

Querbes, Bill Cockrell (Westside) \$1.00
Pool Admissions-All others \$.50
Waterslide-Southern Hills \$1.00
Waterslide- David Raines & Querbes \$.50
Swim Pass-30 Admissions \$20.00
Pool Membership (Individual) \$40.00
Pool Membership (Family of 2) \$75.00
Additional Family Member \$10.00
Swim Lessons \$40.00
Pool Rental Southern Hills
First Hour \$100.00 plus lifeguard costs
Each Additional Hour \$ 50.00 plus lifeguard costs
Pool Rentals All Others
First Hour \$ 50.00 plus lifeguard costs
Each Additional Hour \$ 30.00 plus lifeguard costs

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or application and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 94 OF 2007

AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 61.210-ACRE TRACT OF LAND LOCATED WEST OF SOUTHERN TRACE SUBDIVISION IN SECTION 31(T16N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

TAG NO. 06-07

BY: COUNCILMAN

WHEREAS, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

WHEREAS, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

A tract of land located in the North Half (N/2) of the Northeast Quarter (NE/2) of Section 31, Township 16 North, Range 13 West, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, more fully described as follows: Beginning at a found iron pipe marking the Northeast corner of said Section 31, run thence South 00° 49' 11" West a distance of 1,376.55 feet to a found concrete monument; run thence North 89° 35' 36" West a distance of 1,713.91 feet to a found iron rod; run thence North 00° 37' 51" East a distance of 343.78 feet to a set iron rod; run thence North 89° 32' 23" West a distance of 599.67 feet to a set iron rod on the East right-of-way line of Wallace Lake Road; run thence N 00° 37' 51" East along said East right-of-way line a distance of 688.68 feet to a set iron rod; run thence South 89° 25' 58" East a distance of 922.00 feet to a set iron rod; run thence North 00° 37' 51" East a distance of 350.00 feet to a set iron rod; run thence South 89° 25' 58" East a

distance of 1,396.11 feet to a found iron pipe and the Point of Beginning. The said legal description contains an enclosed area of 61.210 acres, more or less, as shown on the attached plat.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby assigned to Council District “D”.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, within ten (10) days of the final passage of this ordinance, with the Clerk of the District Court for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 96 OF 2007

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF EAST 78TH STREET, 360 FEET WEST OF HENDERSON, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1H, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, TO R-1H-E, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO “AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR AND PARKING OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT AS DESCRIBED AT THE MAY 2, 2007 PUBLIC HEARING”, ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of Lot 761 of Cedar Grove Addition, Lot 762 and E/2 of Lot 761 and Lot 760 Cedar Grove Addition, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, located on the S side of East 78th Street 360 feet W of Henderson, **be and the same is hereby changed from R-1H, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-1H-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to “automotive repair and parking of construction equipment as described at the May 2, 2007 Public Hearing”, only.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

2. Approval is granted for a period of five years.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 97 OF 2007

:AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF EDGEMONT, 325 FEET EAST OF LINE AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT, TO R-2 (TH), URBAN, ONE-FAMILY TOWNHOUSE RESIDENCE DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of the east 114 feet of the N 94.9 feet of Lot 18, Southern Heights Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana property located on the south side of Edgemont, 325 feet east of Line Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from B-1, Buffer Business District, to R-2 (TH), Urban, One-Family Townhouse Residence District.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

2. A side yard variance shall be required prior to the issuance of any building permits.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 98 OF 2007

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEASTERLY SIDE OF EAST 70TH STREET, 2040 FEET SOUTH OF DIXIE MEADOW ROAD, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-3 COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT, TO B-3-E, COMMUNITY BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO “AN AUTO PAINT AND BODY SHOP”, ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of rezone Lot

1, 70th Street East Subdivision, 4th Filing, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, located on the SE'ly side of East 70th Street, 2040 feet S of Dixie Meadow Road, **be and the same is hereby changed from B-3, Community Business District, to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District, "limited to an auto paint and body shop", only.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with a revised site plan showing the height and location of the screening fencing. Additionally, it shall be clearly noted on the revised site plan where vehicles awaiting repairs are to be stored, and an eight foot solid fence shall be required to screen said vehicles.

2. All landscaping shall be done to ordinance standards, with the type of materials and location to be reviewed and approved by the Zoning Administrator. Any significant changes or additions shall require further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 99 OF 2007

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF BERT KOUNS INDUSTRIAL LOOP AND DEAN ROAD, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, TO R-3, URBAN, MULTIPLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of the N 252.02 feet of the S 767.02 feet of Lot 28 of Dean Terrace, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, located on the SE corner of Bert Kouns Industrial Loop and Dean Road, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-3, Urban, Multiple-Family Residence District.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted at the Public Hearing with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 100 OF 2007

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST 84TH STREET, 270 FEET NORTH OF LONG BAYOU, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D, URBAN, ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, TO R-1D-E, URBAN, ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO A COMMUNITY CENTER AND COMPUTER LAB ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of Lot 1, Bayou Place Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, located on the north side of West 84th Street, 270 feet north of Long Bayou, **be and the same is hereby changed from R-1D, Urban, One Family Residence District, to R-1D-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District, limited to “a community center and computer lab,” only.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

- 1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**
- 2. Community center and computer lab shall be for the use of the tenants of Bayou Place and Bayou Pointe Subdivisions only.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 101 OF 2007

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF HEARNE AVENUE AND MORINGSIDE DRIVE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT, TO B-2 NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of the E. 88.6 feet of the W. 98.6 feet of Lot 1, Blk. 8, Morningside Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, located on the SE corner of Hearne Avneue and Morningside Drive, **be the same is hereby changed from B-1, Buffer Business District, to B-2, Neighborhood Business District.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I might be a little bit out of order, but Mr. Mayor, that's why I want Hollywood Heights improved so we can (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: That'll work Mr. Shyne.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

Mr. Thompson: There was some communication from Mr. Lester concerning one of the items that's under Unfinished Business.

Councilman Lester: That's correct Mr. Chairman. I'd like to move to bring Ordinance No. 122 of 2006 off the table for consideration at this time.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Webb to remove Ordinance No. 122 of 2006 from the table. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Ordinance No. 122 of 2006**: An Ordinance to amend portions of Chapter 90 of the Code of Ordinances relative to traffic and vehicles and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Introduced August 22, 2006 – Tabled - November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on August 22, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Green, seconded by Councilman Lester.

Councilman Lester: Really briefly, I sent some information to the Council relative to this idea of allowing us to make running the red lights a civil penalty, so that we can bring in some folks to help us with the traffic safety issues. It was something that was considered quite some time ago, as a matter of fact, at the last Council. And we moved to postpone it to allow the new Council to deal with it. As I appreciate it, this is something that is being done in a number of jurisdictions around the state. New Orleans, Jefferson Parish, Baton Rouge, and in fact our Downtown Development Authority has contemplated doing some of the same things, using some of the same technology to help the public safety issue. So, this ordinance merely allows us to follow the lead of a number of municipalities within the state to deal with this issue.

Councilwoman Bowman: I have some questions for Councilman Lester. For instance, I have two sons and if they use my car and run a red light, then the ticket comes to me?

Councilman Lester: Yes.

Councilwoman Bowman: I don't know if I like that. I just - - I feel that as a parent, and I'm speaking I'm sure for a lot of parents who allow their kids to, and my kids are not really kids

anymore, but I'm sure they get behind the wheel in my car and might go through a light or two, and then the ticket is going to come to me because the car is registered to me.

Councilman Lester: It would not be that much dissimilar from any moving violation that was created by your child while operating your vehicle affecting your insurance coverage. It would not be anything dissimilar as lets say your child was in an automobile accident, heaven that would happen, but using the hypothesis, they wouldn't sue your child, they would sue you because you are the owner of the vehicle, and your insurance would be covering it. So, I mean I understand where you are concerned as it relates to the child issue and the minor, but I think it would just raise the issue again from a parenting standpoint and God knows sooner rather than later, I'm going to have to face that issue myself. But I think that's just - - - right yeah, I'm not looking to forwarding to buying a blue and a pink car too. But you know - - - anyway I'm digressing. But no, that is an issue, but I think again the violation would go to the operator of the vehicle itself, and I think that would just be something that you would deal with from the home site. Those issues would be few and far between. I think that it would help us with the whole idea of traffic safety, and a number of those instances when you talk about those large mega intersections or even some of the smaller ones. And I want to talk to Mr. Strong a little bit relative to this whole issue about stop signs and stop lights and what happens when people run those, or what happens when kids are trying to cross the street, and people are not really paying attention to those stop lights. I mean sometimes, I know it's telling on myself, but the statute of limitations has run, at a certain point, at a certain time in the evening, when I got my first permit, you know, I mean hey - - - it's 2:00 in the morning, and I don't see a red and white sign, or I don't see a City of Shreveport, I run a stop sign or a stop light, and if people become to get into those habits, that could lead to a lot of accidents. And so, this gives us an opportunity to kinda police those issues, excuse the pun, but I think your concern is a legitimate one, but I think it does arise to the level of maybe preventing the program. But it is a legitimate issue.

Councilwoman Bowman: Because under here it says, *(d) An owner who fails to pay the civil penalty timely shall be subject to a late payment penalty of \$25.00.* I'm the owner of the car, and if my kids got the ticket, I'm not going to pay your tickets, so you're going to charge me \$25.00 or somebody's going to charge me, the company, the \$25.00 for late payment penalty. And that's something I didn't do, and I wouldn't feel responsible for. So, how do you - - - how would they address that.

Councilman Lester: Well, I think it would be no different than if someone were to commit a misdemeanor or a tortuous or criminal act in your vehicle if you gave them the implied or expressed authority to use your vehicle, in the commission of that particular act, you would ultimately be responsible I mean it's not so dissimilar again from if anything that's considered to be under your ambit of control, children, vehicles or anything like that, then that would be something that you would be responsible for. I think just talking it all the way through, I think that the only way that you would have some relief from that, and as I appreciate it, there would be a process to deal with those, because I mean you're talking about a civil penalty if you protested, you do have an opportunity to deal with that from that perspective. If that person didn't have or was not authorized to have your vehicle, then it could be dealt with that way. I mean, for instance if somebody took your car and ran a stop sign, and then you got this ticket in the mail and you say, 'well, wait a minute, I couldn't have done this cause my car was stolen on such and such day.' Then that's something that could be dealt with at that particular time, and yet it still allows for any individual to come before the people giving the tickets and the court system to make their case.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, one more thing. *The amount of the civil penalty shall be _____*. And I see up here where there is another blank. Who would fill in the blanks? What do we know about this?

Councilman Lester: I think what happened with that and I'm glad that you brought that up, it would allow the City Council still has the ability to put what the penalty is going to be. This ordinance simply allows us to deal with it in a civil scenario versus a criminal scenario. But before it's implemented, this would have to be passed in order for us to then come back and say, 'okay, we determined that the first violation' - - - that would be my opinion, but.

Ms. Glass: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry Mr. Lester, I didn't realize you were going to bring this up today. If you remember we talked about the penalties are actually written into the - - - well the sections are written into the ordinance. But we have blanks for the amounts, because we had discussed that before and then it was tabled. So, we have blanks in it for the penalty amounts right now. So, we either need to do some amendments to put that in, and I think Ms. Scott and some other people have some other issues broader than that. But I know that we definitely need to fill the blanks in there.

Councilman Lester: Well, if the City Attorney's office has some questions about the blanks then, I move to postpone. And we'll deal with that.

Substitute motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to postpone.

Councilman Shyne: This is to the City Attorney. My concern is a little bit broader than that. I wondered if this would be challenged in court to be constitutional or unconstitutional, what is your - - - I almost said what is your ballpark, what is your guesstimation on that.

Ms. Scott: Don't want to guesstimate Mr. Shyne. I'll give you a lawyers answer, that as currently written, that is possible. That if adopted as currently written, even by supplying the information on the civil penalty, that the ordinance would be open to challenge. There is a possibility. There are some issues that we would, and some information that we would like to provide to the Council form the City Attorney's office that you may need to look at before you give further consideration, and whether or not to adopt this ordinance, there are at least three issues that we think may be problematic for us.

Councilman Shyne: And I'm not an attorney, but of course Calvin I have practiced a little law before, and the Mayor can attest to that. But I think we are maybe getting into an area that we don't need to really get into. I kinda agree with Joyce, and my ideas are kinda down the same line. I think if you - - - I think your child or whoever it is needs to be held responsible. If they commit an incorrect act, if they break the law, I think they need to be held responsible. And I've been a proponent of that as a teacher. If your child acts up in my class, I'm not going to put the responsibility on Mamma, I'm going to put it on Calvin. Now there was a time, I could have walked Calvin down to the gym and he would have been able to see what the result would be, and when he got home, he would have gotten another one. But - - -

Councilman Lester: It happened.

Councilman Shyne: Right, but I truly, you know I think the idea might be alright, concept might be alright. Maybe I'm just not that progressive at this particular point. Maybe I'm a little bit from the old school, and I believe whoever commits the infraction, ought to pay for it. I don't think - - - cause sometimes Jerry could get a ticket, and Mamma might not know about it. And I don't think Mamma should - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: That's happened.

Councilman Shyne: Well, I didn't know that happened, but I'll say I got two boys, and Jacobe has gotten a ticket at one time, and Mayor I didn't know about it. I mean, he's walking around with it in his pocket, and I had to get over to Bossier that morning and pay it.

Ms. Scott: Mr. Shyne, that's one of the things, that's one of our concerns such of which is that it creates the presumption of the vehicle is in fact the person who is operating at the time, that photograph is taken.

Councilman Shyne: That's exactly right. And I really don't think that's a good law. Now, I'm not a lawyer, but I don't really, but I guess I'm a lawmaker, but I just don't really think that at this particular time, that this is a good law.

Ms. Scott: And that's one of the reasons that we ask that you postpone it. That way we can provide you with some additional information. Let me guide you in this decision.

Councilman Shyne: Cause that could be challenged, and that could be - - -

Ms. Scott: There is the possibility.

Councilman Shyne: Right, because I know some folks who would.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I do want to add this if you don't mind. This is an area that the Administration has been studying as well, and finally there may be some potential merit. I recently discovered that it's an effort that also Mr. Lester and the previous Council and previous Administration has been given some consideration to. I will add that in terms of again, focusing on public safety of two things. No. 1, an effort like this has the potential to be able to free up police officers right now who we end up assigning to traffic duty. One of the things that we heard during the course of the Town Hall Meetings and across the City was that there was a need for more patrolling of people who speed through red lights and what have you. One of the things that an effort like this has the potential to do based upon the research that I've done is to allow us to be able to not have to assign officers to red light duty. The other thing that's potentially possible as well was the technology, and it's amazing. The kind of things that can be accomplished here, it is possible to be able to take pictures of both the vehicles, the license plate as well as the driver. But now, there are some folks who would not be so comfortable the notion of the picture of being taken of who is actually driving the car, and maybe not because of whose actually driving, but who may be in the passenger seat. But it is something that I think the Council will give or have an open mind to because it is something that I think at least bears exploring, bears discussing, and bears having you all having the opportunity to see exactly what it is that could be potentially available to Shreveport. And I would note that I think Lafayette, just on last week became another city inside the State of Louisiana that has in fact begun an initiative along this line.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Thompson.

Mr. Thompson: I'm not aware of anything else under this section.

1. **Resolution No. 100 of 2006:** Authorizing the release of mortgages and cancellation of promissory notes executed by Shreveport Publishing Corporation (now Snap Property, LLC) in favor of the City of Shreveport in connection with the neighborhood improvement leveraging project and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Introduced June 13, 2006 – Tabled - November 28, 2006)*

3. **Ordinance No. 131 of 2006**: Amending certain sections of Chapter 38 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances relative to housing and property standards and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced August 22, 2006 – Tabled November 28, 2006*)
4. **Ordinance No. 204 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue fund and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Disparity Study) (A/Lester) (*Introduced November 14, 2006 – Tabled December 12, 2006*)
5. **Ordinance No. 205 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Capital Improvements Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Introduced November 14, 2006 – Tabled December 12, 2006*)
6. **Ordinance No. 13 of 2007**: ZONING APPEAL – C-101-06: Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, the City of Shreveport by rezoning property located on the south side of Bert Kouns Industrial Loop 1500 feet east of Ellerbe Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A, Residence Agriculture, to B-2 Neighborhood Business District, with PBG (Planned Building Group) approval, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley) (*Remanded to MPC February 13, 2007*)
7. **Resolution No. 184 of 2006**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into, or request the Authority to enter into, one or more Swap Agreements, all in connection with certain outstanding bonds of the City or the Authority and providing for other matters in connection therewith. (*Tabled February 27, 2007*)
8. **PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEAL - HBO070006**: - 1901 Perrin St., Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) Rev. Wilbert L. Malone, 7819 Gideon Street, Shreveport, La 71106 (D/Wooley) (*Postponed April 9, 2007 until October 8, 2007*)
9. **Ordinance No. 73 of 2007**: ZONING APPEAL C-33-07: Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance by rezoning property located on the southeast corner of West 84th Street and St. Vincent Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from I-2, Heavy Industrial District, to R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley) (*Remanded TO MPC April 24, 2007*)
10. **Resolution No. 51 of 2007**: A resolution supporting the Employee Free Choice Act, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled May 8,, 2007*)

NEW BUSINESS:

Councilman Webb: Under New Business, I'd like to plead to the council to suspend the rules to allow five people to speak on SC-18-07. They didn't understand the protocol and didn't fill out a card. You got a copy of their names in front of you?

Councilman Walford: No, you've got the - - -

Councilman Webb: I'll pass it on to you and you can call them up here.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to suspend the rules to allow five speakers. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Ray Gillespie: (6473 Buncombe Road) Mr. Armitage proposed to put a trailer park in next door to me, and I think it's a little too many trailers to be put on such a small area. He said he got 15 acres, and he wants to put 29 mobile homes – manufactured homes. And I think that's a little bit too many in that area. We probably would have a sewerage problem with it.

Ms. Lou Hayes: (6750 Flournoy Lucas Road) Which is the property just across the Buncombe Road. This property that we're speaking of is in the L. O. Romagosa Estate, Lot 3, I'm lot 5 across the road. I have some acreage there. My entire family lives on my place. I have woods, that I'm sure that the children would love to ride their 4-wheelers or their bicycles in. I have a pond, and I just don't really care to have all of these people trespassing. I hope you understand that.

Councilman Webb: I know Gregg Tilley is the developer of this, had a meeting with the neighborhood, Saturday ago, maybe a month ago.

Ms. Hayes: I was not invited.

Councilman Webb: I was wondering if you were at the meeting.

Ms. Hayes: No sir I wasn't.

Councilman Webb: Okay.

Ms. Hayes: Anything else?

Councilman Webb: No.

Mr. Gene Green: (6469 Buncombe) Our concern is, I submitted a letter, and it pretty much states everything that - - - I guess for this annexation. There are two things. I don't know whether you all ever been out there, but it's right at a railroad track and it's right at a busy section. All of Woolworth Road, all of the garbage trucks run through there, come through there all the time going to the dump. The traffic is heavy. You can't even get out your driveway. Now if you put 29 homes in there, we're going to have a problem. Also he said that he was going to put a fence next door to the Gillespies, but no fence against the railroad tract. When it rains there, the property there goes down, and the water over there is going to divert over to us. It's going to be a flood area. I don't know what he's going to do, he won't even say what he's going to do with the sewerage or the garbage. You know the sewerage septic tanks. It's a lot of things that he proposed to do, that he's reneged on. He said he met with a lot of us, which he didn't meet with me. There are sometimes that I look at this property. Have you all ever been out there? You should look. You should look at it, because it's not a good area. It is so much traffic in that area, and if he puts roads where he's talking about putting in there, right at this railroad track, there is no arms out there now at this track to let down that I can remember. There might be, but there have been accidents with the traffic there now. To add more, it's going to be a catastrophe.

Councilman Wooley: I just have a comment. Councilman Long was just showing me, you say that Peach Street is located at the juncture of a Y intersection, this case is not acceptable by the City Engineer's office due to traffic issues. I just wanted to bring that to everybody's attention. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Ms. Delores Gillespie: (6473 Buncombe Road) Yes, I'm against it too. It's right next to our property. That's a narrow front. I'll be looking right my kitchen window and there won't be nothing but trailers. Another thing, it brings a lot of crime in. He's going to have one road in and one road out. That's going to be very dangerous. If there's a (inaudible) or whatever, I

don't think that's a good idea. And another thing it's just like the others have said, it's a lot of traffic there. We have to turn around, we can't back out on that road because it's so bad. We nearly got hit before until we learned to turn around and go out. I'm against it, and he has said things he was going to do, and then he's changed it. So, it makes you wonder if he's going to do what he really ends up saying like - - - in other words, like one time he said the homes would be 90 something to 125. Then when it come up to the meeting, the last meeting, he said from 89-100. So, I mean he keeps changing and everything, but I wish y'all would reconsider it.

Councilman Walford: With that, we will go back into our regular session.

ABO APPEALS:

Ms. Laura Goins, 516 Sophia Lane, Shreveport (D/Wooley) *Mia's Pub*, 2109 Market Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) ***Decision rendered – June 11, 2007.***

Mr. William Edmiston, 8601 Millicent Way, #186, Shreveport, LA (D/Wooley) *Noble Savage Tavern/Stray Cat*, 417 Texas Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) ***Postponed until June 25, 2007***

MPC APPROVAL:

The Clerk read the following:

ZONING – SC-18-07: Property located on the NE corner of Buncombe Road and its intersection with Flournoy-Lucas Road. (Subdivision Request – No Ordinance required) (E/Webb)

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Wooley to uphold the decision of the Metropolitan Planning Commission.

Councilman Webb: I wonder if I could get Roy to come up please? Roy, were you at the meeting that Mr. Tilley had with the residents?

Mr. Jambor: Not with the neighborhood, although we received a report on that meeting.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I know I talked to some people out at the plant where I work that attended the meeting. I understand that there was only one person at that meeting that was in opposition of it, but can you give us a little bit of detail on what the MPC did and how they went about approving this, and what exactly it's going to do?

Mr. Jambor: And it was deferred several times, for example, Mr. Wooley's comment about the location of the driveway. That was based on the original plat that they and actually it was rezoning case that went with it also. Through negotiations and the comments of the public at the hearing, basically they were revised it to accommodate all of the complaints about the development. The road was moved in a manner that Engineering Department, City of Shreveport determined that it would be safe, and they would easily accommodate the traffic. There was a minor reduction in the density. The sewerage for example is going to be individual, what we call 'gray water' systems', which the size of the lots easily accommodate this kind of systems. It's city water. He is actually providing a detention pond as anybody would in a situation like this, that will actually improve the storm drainage that is of concern of the neighborhood. To our knowledge, he's done his best to accommodate and has not reneged on

anything that we are aware of. Now having that said, we wouldn't even record information like the proposed value or the cost of the homes, much less - - -

Councilman Webb: And he's going to put in 29 homes. Is that correct?

Mr. Jambor: Well, I'm looking at the case files. I don't know if that is the original number or whether that's the final number. That is the number that's shown on the plat in front of you. I know there was originally a reduction in the number to make the original rezoning request unnecessary. If you give me a minute, I can verify, if he's still here - - - the plat that they submitted the last time.

Councilman Webb: How many acres are we talking about?

Mr. Jambor: 20 acres. When you take out the common space, you're looking at basically 1 acre lots.

Councilman Shyne: Explain that sewerage system. You call it a what?

Mr. Jambor: Well, we call it a 'gray water system'. Ones that basically recycle the water for irrigation purposes. The brand name, Cajun Air, Jet Air, you probably heard over the years.

Councilman Shyne: Is that a real good system?

Mr. Jambor: Absolutely. We certainly (inaudible) things like oxidation ponds which are high maintenance items and have a tendency to cause problems that people aren't necessarily aware of. When that type of system (inaudible) the owner is immediately aware of it and does something about it. It reduces the burden on the city water system. It makes it available for irrigation system (inaudible) absolutely no health hazards whatsoever.

Councilman Shyne: I was really asking for information, because I really wanted to know and I really wanted to know if it would be safe, because - - -

Mr. Jambor: Quite frankly, that system was designed and manufactured by a Shreveporter. And has been sold nationwide.

Councilman Long: Is some of this property in the Parish as opposed to the city limits?

Mr. Jambor: Sir, I think it's all in the Parish. I found that - - - I mean all in the City.

Councilman Long: I'm looking at the plat, and it's confusing.

Mr. Jambor: It is. The city limits in lots of locations, aren't real straight and orderly and all that, and that's one of them. But if we've titled the case correctly, we've done the research, that wouldn't be a "C", in that case it would be a "P".

Councilman Long: Is it the intent of the developer to sell these or to rent them out?

Mr. Jambor: He intends to sell them. It is a mobile home subdivision, not a trailer park so to speak.

Councilman Long: Well, I mean are we talking manufactured homes as opposed to mobile homes?

Mr. Jambor: Absolutely, and he did bring photographs and show us the types of homes that we're talking about, and they do in fact meet the (inaudible)

Councilman Long: Are there any subdivision covenants that restrict certain activities, or certain display of yard art or other - - - ?

Mr. Jambor: I know he discussed those at the neighborhood meetings and crafted covenant restrictions to answer some of their objections. Once again, we would not typically be a part to those. And unless there was some compelling public interest, wouldn't not ever be involved in asserting those rights. That would be up to the individual parties to those covenants or anybody a third party that thought they were impacted to enforce those covenants.

Councilman Long: Okay, by the way, your map is off. It's half acre lots

Mr. Jambor: Okay, once again, I'm not 100% sure I've got the correct plat in my packet.

Councilman Long: But if you do the math, it'll come up about ½ acre per lot.

Mr. Jambor: Yeah, I mean that's with the staff report says, but I thought he increased the size of the lot.

Councilman Long: Well, if he does that, it'll decrease the density of the subdivision based on 29, and 19.64 gives you .667. Factor out common areas, leaves you about ½ acre per lot.

Mr. Jambor: Right, but once again, I'm not 100% convinced that's the correct number of lots.

Councilman Long: We don't know what the correct number of lots is?

Mr. Jambor: Well, if you give me a minute, I'll verify that. Like I said, I thought there was discussion on decreasing the number.

Councilman Long: I tell you, I'm not comfortable voting on this with all this information being incomplete. We have some folks here who expressed their concern about it, I'd be willing to remand this back to - - - I'm going to put forth a motion to remand it back to you guys to get clarification on all these issues before you bring it back to us.

Mr. Jambor: I can do it in about five minutes.

Councilwoman Bowman: Second it.

Substitute motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Bowman to remand SC-18-07 to Metropolitan Planning Commission.

Councilman Long: Do we want to remand back or is a postponement of the appeal? What would be the better solution here?

Mr. Thompson: Just postpone.

Councilman Long: Let's postpone that subject to getting information on this.

Substitute motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Bowman to postpone until June 26, 2007. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES:

CLERK'S REPORT: None.

THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting)

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before you bang the gavel, because I want this on the record. I want to on behalf of the Administration, on behalf of the City of Shreveport, thank all of the individuals across Northwest Louisiana, who joined us on last week, last Tuesday, a week ago today, for Northwest Louisiana Day down at the Legislature. It was very eventful, and very beneficial. We even had one of the members of the Council who had a hard time recognizing based on the fancy suit he was wearing, who joined us, Councilman Long was a part of our hearty band, but we were some 60+ strong, and had an outstanding presence at the Capitol and a very warm and hearty reception at the reception that was held later that evening. And hopefully it will end up bearing some fruit before it's all said and done. I want you all to know as well, we'll journeying back to Baton Rouge on tomorrow to meet with the Governor to further discuss in particular I-49 north, and I hope that our efforts are going to result in some significant sum of money that's going to end up being set aside for the city to get those 36 miles constructed. And lastly Mr. Chairman, I think you received an email, but I want you to know that, and the rest of the Council, that your intrepid Chairman will be in charge of the City this weekend, as I will be

out of State unfortunately, starting on Friday afternoon, and will not be returning until Sunday Morning. So, as of Thursday afternoon, I will leave you in the capable hands of Chairman Walford.

Councilman Walford: Wait a minute. What is the unfortunately? I'm not sure how that works.

Mayor Glover: Well, that's only based upon - - - I know that sometimes you have to (inaudible) into things that you might not otherwise be when I'm here, so.

Councilman Walford: I'll never forget that one. Before I bang the gavel, Councilman Lester would like you to stay put for SRA meeting, and with that we are adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 7:25 p.m.

//s// R. M. Walford, Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council