

CC 3827
12 18 06

Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana
December 12, 2006

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Monty Walford at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, December 12, 2006, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Bowman.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Lester.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Shyne, Webb and Bowman. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, November 27, 2006 and Council Meetings, Tuesday, November 28, 2006, 8:00 a.m., Tuesday, 12:00 noon, and Tuesday, November 28, 2006, 3:00 p.m. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Shyne, Webb and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Mayor, do you have any communications?

Mayor Glover: Thank you Chairman Walford. I would just like to share with members of Council and the public in general, you know on yesterday we shared with you that we unfortunately experienced the loss of a city employee in an on the job accident for the first time, I think, since anyone can remember. I think we're trying to determine when the last incident was, and the best we could recollect was sometime back in the '70s. An account for the Pastor Douglas family has been established at the Shreveport Federal Credit Union for anyone who would like to help with the expenses related to his burial arrangements, and we don't know exactly at this point, exactly what account is named under, but we assume that if you went to either one of the two branches and asked for the Pastor Douglas account, and they would be able to direct you from there. So anyone who is interested in helping the family with the expenses of the arrangements, would be encouraged to make their donations there.

Councilman Walford: Does any Council Member have any distinguished guest?

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of things, if I might. First I want to take an opportunity to recognize, not going to call all their names, if they could just wave their hands. We have officials from Pittsburgh, the home office of the United Steel Workers Union here in the back, if they could just stand and wave, we appreciate the opportunity to sit down and briefly chit chat with them, and wanted to thank them for being here. If I could at this time, Mr. Chairman, Ask Ms. Elizabeth Glover - - - you want to do it here, or you want to do it in reports?

Councilman Walford: Ms. Elizabeth Gibson?

Councilman Lester: I was just - - - (inaudible). It's a Freudian slip.

Mayor Glover: I looked at my phone and the room at the same time.

Councilman Walford: I think it would be appropriate now Mr. Lester, if you'd like to have.

Councilman Shyne: I know how to scatter some things Cedric.

Councilman Lester: Thank you. Ms. Gibson, Gibson, Gibson, Gibson. But I'm proud to account Ms. Glover has a constituent.

Ms. Elizabeth Gibson: (*Asst City Attorney, City of Shreveport*).

Councilman Lester: Thank you Ms. Gibson. Mr. Chairman, Members, Council, if you could Ms. Gibson, give us an update on the, for lack of a better term, 'smell ordinance' that we've dealt with, and as I appreciate we've got some good news relative to moving forward with some prosecutions.

Ms. Gibson: That's right. And let me do a little background information for the new Council Members. After receiving numerous complaints about odor in the City of Shreveport, the City Council adopted in August of '06, the odor ordinance. It's entitled the emission of offensive odors. And as a result, we've received numerous odor complaint forms, that have been forwarded to me, and I've already filed 21 different charges, and the first arraignment date is December 19th of this year, like a Tuesday. And we have about 40 more that we're in the process of preparing.

Councilman Lester: And Ms. Gibson, to let the Council Members know, we had a serious issue in North Shreveport with a particular offender, relative to some odors that I'm quite you've smelled form time to time as you come into the downtown area. And I definitely want to thank publicly Councilman Walford, for taking the bull by the horns. It's a District A concern, but he's there a lot closer to it everyday, than I am. And as his business is almost literally right down the street, around the corner from it. And the Council passed that ordinance, and one of the issues that we were having was after we passed the ordinance, we still were not getting any response from the people out there, and we finally got some good responses. We've done some public service issues, and certainly if you live in that North Shreveport/Agurs area, and you have some problems and concerns, I believe you can download the form from the City's website?

Ms. Gibson: You can pull up the City's website, and on the homepage, scroll down to bottom right, and there's an icon entitled "Odor Complaint Form", or you can click on the City Department's icon, and then click on Department of Operational Services, and scroll down their homepage, and it'll be on the left hand side.

Councilman Lester: Okay, thank you.

Ms. Gibson: Or you can go to Department of Operational Services here in Government Plaza, if you don't have access to a computer, and pick one up.

Councilman Lester: And if all else fails, you can call Mike Strong, whose number is - - - no, I'm just kidding. We're not going to do that to Mike. But I just wanted to tell you we appreciate you and the City Attorney's office for working on that very, very serious problem, and I would suggest to the Council particularly in some other districts, you've had some industrial scenarios that have been not the most friendly corporate citizens. This is something that you might want to take advantage of and let some of your constituents know about. Thank you Ms. Gibson, and again, - - -

Councilman Walford: I believe there was a State Representative that was taking an active role in doing something about that.

Councilman Shyne: He's not in office now.

Councilman Walford: No he's not there anymore, but we hope that in his new job that he will continue to take an active role. Mr. Mayor, did you want to say something?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I happen to be well acquainted with that former State Representative, and he has been monitoring this situation as well, and I have been informed by the Department of Environmental Quality that the odor containment equipment supposedly is being installed as we speak at that aforementioned facility out in North Shreveport. And we should know sometime after the first of the year if their efforts will be successful. And as I have stated then, and I will state again now, if they are not successful in helping to deal with this problem, then we'll look to address at both a local and state level, because it is a nuisance and a problem that we have to address. So, I commend you all for your efforts.

Councilman Lester: And the next, if I might Mr. Chairman with your indulgence and Council, I'd like to call up Kim Mitchell and Sharon Swanson please, and of course, Bonnie Moore. You've heard us talk and you've heard me reference from time to time the Total Commitment Plan. I think we spoke about it on yesterday, well Total Commitment of comprehensive revitalization for the entire Allendale community. And a number of people, citizens, or people in Community Development, our consultants have worked very hard and met with members of Allendale community to come up with the beginnings of a comprehensive master plan for the development and revitalization of Allendale, and I'm very excited about where we are in this process. We did a community charette not very long ago, and we have a steering group of people in the community that live in Allendale, and certainly have some experts from around the city that have been engaged in terms of talking about how we can bring some different things into the area to bring it up. And they have produced the first part of that study, and I just wanted to take this opportunity to let Ms. Moore to kinda of engage Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Swanson in terms of giving us a report as to where they are in the process and letting Mr. Mitchell and Ms. Swanson kinda give us an update on what the program actually is. So at this time Mr. Chairman, I'd like to turn it over to Ms. Bonnie Moore.

Ms. Moore: Good evening. As Councilman Lester has indicated, we embraced the comprehensive initiative in the Allendale area. We chose Allendale because there was a lot of energy and charette going on in that area, and because of its proximity to downtown Shreveport. Because of the Galilee initiative and some other things that were going on there, and the strong faith based connection that's in the Allendale area. As a result of that, we have done a number of projects. We spent \$80,000,000 over the last ten years, and as you look all over Shreveport, you really can't tell that we've done much of anything. So we decided to target our neighborhood, our funds, our resources into one neighborhood to make a visible impact. As a result of that, we saw the need to develop a master plan. As the old adage says, if you fail to plan, then you fail. And so we saw the need for a plan. As a result of that, we engaged Morgan, Mitchell, Sutton and Hill. And the primary principles for that, Kim Mitchell and Sharon Swanson, and with that I'll turn this presentation over to them.

Ms. Swanson: (*MHSM Architects*) We've been working in the Allendale neighborhood for approximately the last six months to come up with a strategy for the Allendale neighborhood. As was mentioned, we have been working with the steering committee, that represents people who either live, work, in the Allendale neighborhood. The goal there is that whatever plans came up that were developed for the neighborhood, really had to be the responsibility of the people that live, worked or had an interest in the neighborhood. As was also mentioned, we did a what we called a neighborhood charette where we did an intense week long process in the neighborhood, holding numerous meetings. We held four meetings on October 25th, with what we called stake holders, and special interest groups in the areas of housing, economic development, education, basically the public realm in the arts, non profits and special services.

We had over 45 people attend those meetings. We also had a neighborhood meeting in the evening at the Lakeside Community Center, that over 60 people attended from the neighborhood. We took their input, went to work over a week, and have developed the planned concepts that we are here to present to you. We've developed those planned concepts and presented those back to those same four groups, which again, we had approximately 40 people attend and give comment on that, and we had another neighborhood meeting, in which the neighborhood enthusiastically embraced what will be presented to you here tonight. Where again, 40 people attended that evening neighborhood meeting. So, we feel like we got some very good input and participation from the neighborhood through our efforts and have built a lot of momentum amongst those individuals, and basically, they are excited and want to see something happen. That's their main concern and interest. We have been of course under contract with the Department of Community Development to do this planning work, and as with any contract, we've been tasked with doing specific items, this is just a brief list of that. We have finished with the charette and in January have a planned document available for review by the Planning Commission and come forward to the City Council. And where I want to begin with you is just kinda of briefly give you some background on Allendale's past. And of course, this is not it's long history, some of that will be contained in the document, but really wanting to show the level of decline that has happened in the Allendale neighborhood. In 1970, there were over 16,000 people living in Allendale. There are only 6,000 people living in Allendale in the year of 2000. That's two-thirds loss in the population in the community. And I would say that that figure on population has continued to decline from the year 2000, since there's been some substantial housing loss that has continued in the interim years. In addition, there's been about a 44% loss in the housing stock in the neighborhood. And I want to take a moment and just get out of the way and point you in the direction of these maps. The maps that you see here that is colored in green is all of the vacant property in Allendale. Not vacant residential property. Vacant cleared land in Allendale. So, basically it's a neighborhood that's been abandoned. It's housing stock, it's commercial structures, have just slowly over they years been coming down. And over here, you have a map of all of the adjudicated property within Allendale. And if you look at the list of adjudicated property owners, I will tell you that the adjudicated property owners come from all economic sectors of our community. Not just those who live in the neighborhood. And so we have a great challenge ahead of us and many opportunities. Allendale has many things going for it. I think as Ms. Moore mentioned, there is a significant faith based community that's been there for years, and still has a strong connection to citizens who live in other parts of our city. There is a great commitment to Allendale. It has a great resource in some of the parks and some of the spaces that exist in Allendale. A lot of non profit organizations are working there. The hilly terrain creates a unique character that doesn't exist in some of our, how you say 'very flat' parts of Shreveport. So, it's something that's an asset and can be built on. So, it does have a lot to build on and we will show you those ideas that we see as opportunities in the neighborhood.

Mr. Mitchell: Now one of the things that we talked about is we started this planning process with the steering committee is the need to make Allendale a neighborhood of choice and connection. That we can't subsidize a neighborhood, we have to find a way to make it sustainable. And one of the ways we see communities across the country doing that is embrace new principles. And they could be called smart growth, or new urbanism or any number of things. But some of those characteristics are on the slide that you see now about mixing use, mixing income, being compact in development, being walkable, strong sense of place, these are characteristics of those cities that are finding ways to renew and renovate their inner cities. And

so we felt that that was important to understand. But there was also this notion of having enough money to get things done. And the other thing that communities across the country are finding is that this saves money. This is an important direction for our community to move in, because roads cost less, utilities cost less, schools cost less. And these communities embrace these principles of smarter growth. So, the idea of moving to Allendale and renewing it is how do we find an opportunity to begin to mix income to attract this to make the neighborhood of choice. One of the early things we did with the steering committee was to look at the opportunities, and this map that you see before you now is our initial thought at going in and after driving every street of the neighborhood, and looking and validating what's there, and looking at maps, and a lot of other demographics than what Sharon just showed you was, here's some opportunities. We have places that we can develop linear parks along our drainage areas in that neighborhood. We have to the north, we have parts of Allendale that are surrounded on three sides, by natural wetlands, and bayous so you have an opportunity there for development parcels that could be desired any place in the country in terms of view protection for the long term. And we looked at some of the historic and where business is located now primarily, at the intersection of Pierre of Milam and the history of the Pierre corridor, and then work with the committee on how do we narrow down these opportunities, because we don't have the funds available to do a full plan for the full neighborhood. And not only that, we want to find an initial project because it's important that planning leads to implementation, so Bonnie in developing a contract with us that we've got to get some action coming out of this plan. And so, the steering committee looked at four distinct areas that we found to subdivide Allendale, and targeted one that is bound by Ford to the north, Murphy on the south, Norma on the east, and then Pete Harris on the west. And so, this was our - - - the area that the steering committee selected, and where we moved in terms of really organizing conversation amongst the neighbors, and resources outside of the community and broader Shreveport to figure out how we get this done.

Ms. Swanson: When we met with the neighborhood in their neighborhood meetings, what continued to come out from the neighborhood was that Allendale's character and what is unique about Allendale are the people in Allendale. People spoke frequently about in days past, and how everyone knew each other on the block, how everyone helped look out for each other's kids, how they gathered at drug stores, and met and passed the time, and they wanted to make sure that that spirit was retained. Basically, as we talked, often when you talk about revitalizing older neighborhoods, people think about gentrification, that's no longer going to be a place that I can belong. And they wanted to make sure that Allendale remained Allendale. And so we came up basically from our community meeting that the soul of Allendale is it's people and it's tradition of community. And that it's a community built around the neighbors, the churches and the schools. And so we basically are saying that throughout everything in the plan, that it's future should be built on this same foundation. And that the primary guiding principle in each project, plan, program that's developed, that it should come back to foster a spirit of community and to build neighborhood connections so that if we talk about a commercial street, how do we develop that commercial street in a way that it fosters community. Talk about improving schools, how do we improve schools in a way that fosters community in the neighborhood? So, that is the primary premise of all of the concepts that we are presenting in the plan. We worked with the steering committee in developing. They helped us to develop 15 guiding principles. Kinda of what you might call the 15 commandments, if you were to equate it to something. Because programs and projects change, but these guiding principles should not. And then the neighborhood helped to rephrase some of those and prioritize them. The order that they felt was

most important. So the next few slides are in the order that the neighborhood residents said were most important to them. Now I'm just going to go over a few of 'em briefly. But what I want to really point out is that first guiding principle. About supporting entrepreneurial activity, creating jobs for residents, and foster wealth building. That was the No. 1 priority above all of the rest, by a lot. Having neighborhood businesses that have left the neighborhood come back to the neighborhood and to be able to have jobs for the residents was of primary interest and concern to them. Next they understood that schools are centers of their community. That how the school system affect - - - that the school system affects their neighborhood, and will affect it's future. And so that it was critical that schools be addressed. Then leadership, safety, and having an attractive neighborhood that had it's own sense of place. Keeping Allendale alive. Those were their top five priorities. And again significantly so. The next - - - I'm not going to review all of them but the next ten, again are in the priority that they gave us, but do not get significantly get voted on as much as those first five. And we (inaudible) have developed what we're calling this neighborhood development model, a neighborhood of choice and connection. But we wanted to make sure is that we looked at the neighborhood comprehensively. Too often in the past, neighborhoods have been looked as how do we do a housing project in the neighborhood to improve the neighborhood. Well the neighborhood is more than just the houses in the neighborhood. It is indeed a community, and there are numerous factors that make up a strong community. And so we've identified these seven areas as part of the neighborhood model, housing opportunities and choices, having economic vibrancy and meaningful work in the neighborhood. Having a safe community, a healthy community. A place where your culture is celebrated. Where there is accessible quality education and strong leadership and (inaudible). So we have all of our programs and projects that we're going to present to the neighborhood fall under this full model. And it's a challenge to try to move forward on all of these funds. But we know that the patterns of the past have not been successful, and so our challenge is to indeed try to incorporate all of the seven characteristics into a comprehensive vision for the neighborhood.

Mr. Mitchell: Yet we really feel that those categories which are comprehensive in terms of how we renew and revitalize are really organizing conversation. And organization conversation around those topics and identifying those resources within Allendale and also outside of Allendale has really brought together some excitement and some ideas that we brought forth in the plan. And a friend says that you move in the direction of your conversations. And we really feel that continuing the conversations of these various groups related to this specific area of our city is very important for implementing in a way that the entire city learns and benefits from it. But in our strategies we saw that there is a need, and based on what the citizens said, in trying to make this to respect the people that live there. To make and improve their neighborhood that we needed several different strategies we came up with. Really four I guess. One would be an infield strategy on how we would do that. And we have a target area within the targeted area that we think is best suited for infield. We also wanted to build a commercial center. A small village-like commercial center if you want to think of it in that kind of connotation. And this location was best for that. And then looking at what we'll call a mixed use area, where we'd be a little bit more liberal in terms of combining residential and commercial, and we saw the area along what is targeted to be the I-49 inner city or as we'd like to think whether that happens or not, it might be a great opportunity to a green space that benefits Allendale and Ledbetter. And then another area where we saw a place for new housing. And we'll go over that. Sharon can tell you a little more about why we selected that area.

Ms. Swanson: In each of these, and we're not going to go over them. We tried to take what the people said in our neighborhood meetings, in our community meetings, and to take what they said and then come up with a planned concept or a project or a program that matched what they said. And basically what they wanted to see was that good quality housing that is available and helps out existing residences is important. That it's important that people of more means be brought into the neighborhood. And they also understood that they needed to get that population base back up in their neighborhood, if they were indeed going to attract the neighborhood commercial services that they were desiring. So that's primary concepts of what the neighborhood had said that they wanted in their neighborhood.

Mr. Mitchell: Yes, we looked at all this vacant property and adjudicated property, and what we saw was in this zone was that there was about 90 acres that could be used for infield projects. And they - - -but how do we get these kind of projects going, we felt - - - well there's some opportunities out there for groups that are doing projects, if we could give them a direction, could they come in here and participate. The housing authority in having to replace Jackson Heights, if we had them as part of an infield strategy, which is more consistent with what the federal government wants in terms of not isolating poverty, could we move in that direction to get a project through the housing authority that could fit, or maybe not be the entire allotment of housing, be a portion of that, that could here again provide an investment that would help us move forward. So as far as the rehab, we see some 260 units in the zone that we (inaudible) exist. And we have enough property that we could come in with another 166 housing units and just uplift that fabric and provide new housing. And with groups like Habitat, Fuller Center, Grace Project, the Housing Authority, The People of Praise, there's already a capacity that's there, that if we could get them toward implementing the plan, and make it easy for them to build their housing on these vacant lots. Bring the utilities in to help make sure the infrastructure was coordinated, then we began to really make an impact which is Bonnie said we spent \$80,000,000 in ten years and we can't really see what we've done. This would be more of a public and private way of getting at that. And then this village center area that we talked about which is about 61 acres. And we looked to see a drawing here that lets us look at capacity of that area, in terms of how much retail could we get, and think about a village center as a walkable area where we're looking at more of that kind of town feel in terms of buildings up to the street, living above commercial in this area. And we saw that living above commercial in that area, we could add 220 units, of apartments, or condominium type living. There's also - - - the VOA is willing to do a - - - and we had a talk with them, but someone suggested they're willing to do an inner city elderly housing. Elderly housing could go on second story, and be much more appropriate in an area where there's intensity of activity for our elderly citizens to participate. And then some characteristics of a mixed use area that we see in this some 46 acres that can be targeted along that I-49 or green space corridor to help build that capacity and that diversity. Then this notion of attracting those with more means in our city to live in Allendale. But what we learned from our citizens that a lot of their children have grown up and become successful and left the area, and they feel that they might be willing under the right circumstances to come back and live in Allendale, if there was the right type of housing available. We identified 38 acres where there is large amount of vacancy and adjudicated properties, that we felt could be assembled for such a project that was provided larger homes and larger lots. And just to emphasize that, these are little excerpts from the map that show vacancy in that area and the adjudicated properties. And you know this new housing probably more single family detached 50-80 foot lots, maybe 154 houses that we could bring into this area. But we'd still do it with the same character that we

feel ought to be brought into the neighborhood in terms of being closer to the street, more front porch oriented. More of these things that make a walkable communities that has become one of the primary markets throughout the country, and much more fluent areas trying to revitalize. We feel that Allendale is set up to do that, it was designed that way originally, and this would be a way of really bringing people to the inner city to create that mixed income neighborhood. And these are just some of the programs that we've talked about, and you can see the one highlighted at the bottom is - - - our current zoning doesn't allow us to do this. So one of the next steps is writing zoning regulations for the neighborhood that will allow this kind of development.

Ms. Swanson: But the residents of the neighborhood said, as I've said before, first priority that they want grocery back in the neighborhood. That they want a gas station back in the neighborhood. A bank, restaurants, drug stores, just basic neighborhood commercial services that once were there that are no longer there. They also wanted to see assistance for those existing businesses that are there struggling in the neighborhood, and for what they know are many of their unemployed residents. So once again, one of the responses to that is part of this village, a business district at the crossroads of Pierre and Milam, which is really the heart of the neighborhood and the traditional area of commerce for the neighborhood. And in this village center, it would accommodate approximately 190,000 square feet of commercial and office space. It would have that sense of a Main Street character that you might see similar to Texas Avenue or other small towns, because Allendale in and of itself is a small town. With once again, there being living space above the ground floor. One of the key projects that came out of our process was the idea of a small business incubator in the neighborhood. And of course, possible sites might be the J. P. Hendrix Elementary School, that the School Board owns and is vacant, or it could be vacant space in the village center. And when talking to some of the existing business in the neighborhood, they did say that they knew that there were small business services elsewhere in the community, but it was very, very difficult for them to access those services. Because of their situation. If they could bring them into the neighborhood that would be a great assistance to them. And in the initial conversation, Southern and BPC and others were interested in that possibility and began brainstorming ideas of how many of these things could work together in this area. And then also mentioning in terms of one of the advantages of J. P. Hendrix school is the kitchen there, and can you develop a special kitchen incubator that not only serves that neighborhood, but serves the whole community, and so has a broader impact than just Allendale. But again, a program in feasibility study is needed for that, and all of these collaborative partners need to be brought together to move that forward. Another idea is the possibility of a coop store. It might be perhaps difficult early on to attract a grocery store to the neighborhood, but one way is neighborhoods have taken control of their food needs is by developing a partnership and developing a cooperative store in order to bring those food services into the neighborhood. And with the amount of churches in the neighborhood, we think that that membership base of the churches that that's quite a feasible idea. And there's very successful models from throughout the nation for how to do that. Another idea that came out was the possibility of a call center. Call centers as you know employs a large number of people, but perhaps by being in an inner city location would have a more stable workforce. We know some of the call centers on the edges of town have had difficulty with transportation of many of their employees. But this was simply brought up as an idea that can be looked at and pursued, could still fit into this mixed use or urban character area, and it was mentioned that there are models out there of call centers where they're on the ground floor, and there is a residential buff, again to fit into urban neighborhoods. Just want to show you a couple of sketches of what this urban

center might look like. This is south of Milam on Pierre looking north, looking at the Pierre Milam crossroads, and this is a sketch of what that same stretch of road could feel like with the commercial on the ground floor, commercial and residential above. Wide sidewalks, that could accommodate outdoor eating and gathering places. This is also on Pierre looking towards - - - looking south towards Milam, actually from the George P. Hendrix school. And again, just a sense of what the character of commercial center could be and how it could really create a unique place for Allendale. You go into Allendale, you notice some of the stores there blocked off the facades of the second story. But they were immigrants and people who were working in the neighborhood, who had their stores down below and lived above. And so it's really going back to the way people (inaudible) the area back in the early days.

Ms. Mitchell: Safety was another one of our areas that we talked about. These are some of the comments that we heard from citizens, and then the planned response. When it comes to safety, we feel that the infrastructure and utilities are a part of that safety. And that's one of our number of things here that you can see for yourself, but the utility issue is one that in inviting them to these discussions and further conversations, having a plan is one of the keys to getting them to invest. Otherwise you pay for everything. And there are some benefits to them beginning to cooperatively work on a plan such in ways to share trenching, and other things that utilities can save money at the same time that we are getting an infrastructure upgrade that we need. And so, that's one of the things that we think is extremely important as to bring them to the table and find some strategy that will upgrade utilities at the same time that we're investing in private and public projects. And safety primarily being accommodated through the strategy of eyes on the street, which means that there's activity, which means that there's front porches. And all the signs for (inaudible) for design says that that's the way to make an environment safe is for people to see what's going on. And these are some of the programs that we're discussing through our discussions, and another issue was the talk of a healthy community. And what that means and these are some of the comments that we've heard from citizens about things to do in the neighborhood. Having parks and more activities for families. And planned, there is already some discussions among some of our non profits for a social services agency. The Hendrix school is also a candidate for that. And then this continuum for care and here again, looking at the feasibility for who can we bring to the table to help us determine how we're going to get these programs done and what facilities are actually needed. And the notion of linear parks, SPAR has been working on a plan. They've expressed support for linear parks in Allendale and then the streets being friendly.

Ms. Swanson: Once again, this is what we heard from the community that so many people interacting to preserving and keeping Allendale, Allendale is important. Again, the project and program sponsors a vacant Ritz Theatre in Allendale that is across from the C. C. Antoine Park, possibility of refurbishing that as a cultural center in the community. There is funds allocated both I believe, at the local and state level to move the C. C. Antoine House to the C. C. Antoine Park. We have that sited in the plan including a little expanded space around it. Also in looking at C. C. Antoine Park is now surrounded by fences with a ball field, that doesn't make that park very usable outside of the need for that ball field. But we know that it's a very important park and there's great desire for more activity in the park. So, we're looking at in the interim, can some of that land in the I-49 corridor, whether it becomes I-49 or not, but there is going to be a lengthy interim period. Could it become an open space perhaps for a low amount of money for ball fields there? In terms C. C. Antoine Park has an amphitheatre, and festival space and more community gathering spaces. This is also like in the Allendale area along Pierre

Avenue, but because of its natural terrain in the neighborhood, could create a little small green space in the amphitheatre abutting up to one of the drainage ways turning it into a linear park that also abuts that commercial district. This is the Ritz Theatre. And again, with development adjacent to it, and its refurbishment, could it become part of that village center, and become a community gathering place in the neighborhood.

Mr. Mitchell: One thing that our citizens understand is the connection between neighborhoods and schools. And we see that that's a real challenge for us. The Education System was invited to the table for these discussions. And the whole idea of connecting planning for schools to planning for our city is an important one. Because currently schools are looking where they think population is going to go to anticipate where they think the need is going to be. Based on this plan, we would say, let's go to the School Board and say look, we're about to add 1500 people here. This is something we're going to do. We have to be committed to it. The idea of total commitment is that it's going to happen. And if the School Board understands that 1500 people are going to be living here, and the 600 houses or something like that certainly that's going to begin to have an impact. But we think that that's an important issue, and as part of the plan and discussions, bring them to the table. We had principals come to the meeting and they had a lot of good things for us to say, that across the country you're seeing schools as centers of community, and new ways of paying that to redevelopment. St. Louis has one of the best examples in the country now where the investment in school was connected to neighborhood revitalization, and it had tremendous impact. And then strong leadership also an important part of the discussion, because we need leaders to get this done. And these were some of the comments that we had heard from citizens, and then some of the ideas about how to continue to build leadership, and the importance of communication that's one of the things heard over and over again, is we don't seem to know what's going on and the communication being so important.

Ms. Swanson: I'd just like to conclude by saying that we are in the process of developing a planned document that we should have out in the early to mid January. The intent would be some point after that to move the plan forward to the MPC for adoption, which would come back at that time to the City Council. And then from there, of course, there's need to keep the momentum going. I would say one of the things that's most exciting about all of this, and it's not even some of the plans, programs, and ideas. It was the number of people who came to meetings, sat around the table, started talking about an idea, and one idea fed off another. And at the end, they said this is great. We've not all been called around the table before. We really appreciate the fact that you've gotten us all in a room before to work on ideas, and they want to know when is it they can get around the table and meet again to start making some of these project ideas happen. Because they think if (inaudible) supportive in doing that, that they can make some of them happen. So it really was seeing that interplay amongst all these players and from the neighborhood residents that was really the most exciting. There were a lot of creative ideas that came forward as part of that process. So, I guess the challenge for the city and for those groups is to come together as partners, to figure out how to organize in order to implement these planned concepts, and then get to work doing feasibility studies for some of these projects, and redirecting existing resources, I think as Kim mentioned, in terms of even the utilities. You know the utilities every year spend money on maintenance. Well it's a matter of having to direct where those dollars go that you currently spend on a day to day, year to year basis, in order to make a difference in the neighborhood. So, with that, that's our future challenge, and some of those challenges will be laid out in the planned document. And so, I guess I would like to just

open it up. I know we've taken quite a bit of your time, and we appreciate your attention. If you have any questions of us.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Lester, the floor is still yours, and think I'll open it to the remainder of the Council.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman. And I just wanted to hit a few high points, and Ms. Moore, please come and stand with them please. I want to say I appreciate the Council's indulgence for that report. And I say that in all seriousness, a lot of times when we as Council Members have these ideas about things that we want to see done in our individual districts, it never goes beyond an idea, or something happens, and there's never any feedback to the Council on 'didn't you say you were going to do such and such'? We never heard what happened, and the reason why I wanted to take the time to do this is because this council or not this council in general in past budget years, has supported this effort in terms of getting us to this point. And I just wanted to let the Council know that a lot of work has been done, and we have produced a product that I think will help us bring this community back, and this neighborhood back. And we've gotten some state support, we've gotten obviously Council's support, we've gotten buy in from the School Board, the Administration, this Administration, the previous Administration's obviously through Ms. Bonnie Moore in Community Development and this Administration has manifested a desire to see some positive happen in Allendale. And I thank the Administration for that. I would be remiss if I didn't thank some of our state legislative delegations, obviously State Rep. Roy Burrell was a part of that, and State Senator Lydia Jackson was a part of that, both in word, deed and action, and financially. But to the people in the general public, they might be wondering you know, I live in Broadmoor, or I live in Cedar Grove, or I live in Spring Lake or Long Lake, why should I care about what's going on. I mean, most of the people here right now in our audience are here about one thing, and it's about money for their individual groups. And you ask this question, why does this matter to me? Well, I'll tell you why. The City of Shreveport and we look in the budget, we spend almost a million dollars every year in Code Enforcement cutting grass, and we spend hundreds of thousands of dollars boarding up houses. We send our police department to some of these areas, they are havens for miscreants and people that are just upsetting the public good. And if you saw on the map, when you look at Allendale, Allendale is Ground Zero for Shreveport's neglect of inner city communities. And it is something that we have. It's a neighborhood that in many ways, we, regardless of your socio-economic background, regardless of your race, creed or color, a lot of people have walked away from Allendale and turned their backs on it, and it's costing us as a city. And if we're going to be the type of city, 'The Next Great City of the South', as our Mayor says we can be, we're going to have to address this tremendous hole in the middle of town. I mean, we're talking about bringing people in to downtown and bringing people to the Riverfront, but soon as you get off I-49, the first thing that you see is Allendale, and if you get the wrong impression of what this city is about when you first come in the door, people aren't going to come back. And we have an opportunity to get it right. We have an opportunity to do something positive, and I would much rather spend, or I'd much rather see that million dollars getting spent in Economic Development, or splitting that million dollars seven ways and doing some more streets and things of that nature. You know when we bring people in, you bring housing. When you bring housing in, Commissioner, I ain't going to say that. Councilman Long spoke on yesterday about bringing people back into the neighborhoods, and getting people - - - or revitalizing and taking some of this adjudicated property off the rolls - - - that's off the rolls and putting it back on the rolls. Well, when you deal with housing, you do that. And housing mean

people. People mean sales taxes and this city runs on sales taxes. Make no mistake about it. So, people talk about bringing new markets to town, and businesses are running to Greenwood, and they're running to Minden and trying to find new customers. Well, their new customers are Allendale, if we can build those places up to be something nice. And we can't continue to be a city that's spread out, and continue to spread our doughnut hole. I mean, if we keep annexing any further, Shreveport is going to be in Caddo and Desoto Parish. The only thing that's stopping us is that bayou over there at the Parish line. So, we gotta do something with the inner city and the inner core. I'm very excited about the work that has been done, but I'm even more excited about the possibilities of the future. And we're brining people in at a good cliff and doing some good things, and I just wanted to take the time to tell Ms. Moore and her staff over at Community Development, I really appreciate the hard work they've done. Many of the meetings, most, if not all of the meetings that we had were after hours. I know, because we were there. And they would start at 5, and end at 7, 8, 9, 10. And they stuck it through. And they are definitely committed and that's why we call the project Total Commitment. Because it's going to take a total commitment from not only the city, and from the Council standpoint, but the Administration's standpoint and people to realize, if Shreveport's going to be the next great city, we all have to put all hands on deck, and many of those things are going on, and I just wanted to thank the Council, for giving us the opportunity to present our preliminary report thus far, and let the citizens of Allendale know that yes, the plan does exist. Yes, we're dead serious about what we're going to do. Yes, we're dead serious about bringing some new things and developing this neighborhood. And the work definitely does continue. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Davis, stand up one minute please. The reason why I'm asking Mr. Davis to stand up, I've been here a long time, I've seen a lot of programs, \$7-9,000,000 to redo shotgun houses, and I think Tom was on the Council with me during that time. Nothing was mentioned about Black contractors making any money out of this. That's the bottom line. We just spent about \$150,000,000 on a convention center and a hotel, and I'll ask Mr. Davis, I'll say, "Mr. Davis, how much money did you make?" Joe, nothing. I've asked other Black contractors, how much did they make. You know what they say? Nothing. I like what you have. I remember when Allendale had people walking the streets, and look like I saw Bishop Caldwell over there, and if he doesn't mind me saying this, look like he might have been one of young men who used to go up to Booker T. on Sundays and court with his girlfriend. I'm old enough to remember that. I'm old enough to remember when you could see people walking the streets in Allendale, Lakeside. When that was the heart of the Black community so to speak. But now when we go in and rebuild Lakeside and Allendale, I want to make sure that we got some Black folks in there laying some concrete Mr. Davis, doing some carpentry work, doing some plumbing work, doing some electric work, that's the bottom line. I used to have a saying, and I think when Councilman Huckaby was on the Council, it didn't matter if you came out, and you laid the street with gold, we just wanted to be the folks who were laying the streets. Because that's where the money is made. The other point that I wanted to make is you know as well as I do. People go to neighborhoods where they have good schools. Want me to say that again? I don't care what kind of houses you build over there, if we don't have a total commitment from the educational system, that Booker T. would be the same kind of school as Byrd and Captain Shreve, people are not going to move in that area. We know where White folks move to. They move to neighborhoods where you got good what? Good schools. Isn't that right Monty? If we don't make West Shreveport, if we don't make Ingersol - - -

Councilman Lester: Central.

Councilman Shyne: And Central, and other schools over there, if we don't go in there and have those schools - - - Those schools are performing below the state minimum. People are not going to move back in there. The other thing, Mr. Mayor, if we don't go in there and get those crack dealers out, I don't care if you build a mansion over there, nobody is going to move back in where a block away you got folks standing on the street selling crack. I like what you've got there. That looks good. But we gotta make sure that those are the three things that we have to incorporate in this plan. Bonnie if there is any money coming from the City, Mr. Mayor, if there is any money coming from the city, Council Members, if there is any money coming from the city, we gotta make sure that we let people make some money that have traditionally been left out of the main economic stream. I almost asked for an Amen on that Mr. Davis.

Citizen: I'll give you one.

Councilman Shyne: But we gotta make sure now that Booker T. and those other schools are the kinds of schools that people want to send their schools to. I remember when Booker T. used to produce doctors and lawyers. Judges. We got a couple of judges here, maybe three or four judges in town that finished from Booker T. , and I guess I'm dating myself, but I remember when I taught at Booker T., we had 3100 students at Booker T. I believe somebody told me that they might have 4 or 500 there now. And you hit it on the head. People are leaving Lakeside and Allendale. Because they don't want to be where you don't have good schools. And they don't want to be when you walk out the door, they look down the corner of the streets, you got 4 or 5 or 6 fellows out there selling dope. People are not going to move back in. It's going to be wasted money. I can drive through the bottoms, and I see \$7-9,000,000, I forget right now, of federal money that we got in order to redo those shotgun houses, Ms. Moore, cause you were not head of Community Development at that time. \$7,000,000, no Black folks made no money from it, and those houses are abandoned now. Wasted, wasted taxpayer dollars. I don't want to see that anymore. I want to see a comprehensive plan where we make sure that it's safe first of all. Nobody's going to live where it's not safe.

Citizen: Tell it like it is.

Councilman Shyne: And we gotta have some good schools and we gotta have - - - and I'm not going to use the word minorities, because minorities now take in so many people. I went down and looked at the Convention Center when they were building it, and I saw a lot of minorities. But they were Mexicans. I didn't see many folks down there who looked like me. But my tax dollars going to be paying for it. Am making any sense?

Citizen: A lot of sense.

Councilman Shyne: So, I want to see some people down there working making some money, working in Allendale to re-establish that look like me. That's got some blue jeans on, or got some concrete clothes on, or doing some plumbing work. And I want to make sure that the School Board put as much money into the schools over here, that they do in South Highlands, that they do at Byrd, that they do at Captain Shreve. And I don't know whether this is good or bad, but I sent my boys to Captain Shreve. The chemistry and the math that they wanted, they couldn't get it at Fair Park. I had to take them all the way across to Captain Shreve to get physics and chemistry, and these kinds of courses. So, I like what you have. It looks pretty, but I don't want you to think that I'm completely sold on it. Because I want to see a little bit more in there. Because I have seen what has happened. I've had people to come before me before, and I don't want to call this a dog and pony show, but with a dog and pony show. That looked show. I remember Huckaby talked about it, and some powerful man in town, I'm not going to call his name, because he's dead and gone now, and I hope God bless him. Huckaby was talking about

and they jumped on him and crushed him. Kinda making the same speech that I'm making now. But I can stand a little crushing. But that's what I want to see in this plan. God bless you and I love you.

Councilman Lester: I just wanted to hit one or two points and then - - - thank you. I will say this, and I understand what Councilman Shyne has said. I will say this. You know my grandparents used to say fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me. I can say unequivocally, and I will say to Councilman Shyne, the presentation that you got, believe it or not was the short version. You might not want to believe it, but the long version is fairly comprehensive. And we're talking about an hour and a half to be honest with. But all of those concerns that Councilman articulated were articulated almost verbatim by the residents. And let me say this, this was a program, this is not something that Calving Lester sat in a room with Ms. Moore and Mr. Mitchell, and Ms. Swanson, and dreamed up. No, no, no. These were people from Allendale who are there everyday, that live there, work there, play there, people that have been in Allendale 40-50 years, that know every corner, every street light. People that call me that can tell you whose left the house, the whole nine yards. And I can say without fear of equivocation, Councilman Shyne, all the issues that you addressed are part of that plan, and they have been articulated. And in fact, it got to the point that the mantra was we're going to rebuild Allendale, for Allendale, by Allendale. So we are on note as far as that is concerned. And let me say this in closing, so that I can maybe get into my house tonight. All of the schools in Allendale aren't academically nonperforming. I can say that West Shreveport is not in academic decline in terms of low performing. How do I know? Lets just say I have an interest in West Shreveport. Central Elementary is not, but certainly some of the challenges that Ingersoll and some of the other schools are facing is because of low turn out, but my wife wouldn't let me get back to the house if I let Joe Shyne say that her school was low performing when it wasn't, so. I love you Joe, but I gotta eat.

Councilman Shyne: And I don't blame you, but it's really still not where it ought to be.

Councilman Lester: Oh, I'm not saying that it is, but it's not one of those schools that's in academic remediation and fear of being taken over from the school - - - any school that - - - well, I'm not on the School Board so - - -.

Reports:

Convention Center and Convention Center Hotel (To include detailed personnel report from SMG)

Mr. Dark: Mr. Chairman, we provided you hotel information yesterday.

Councilman Walford: I'm going to suggest at this point that Mr. Dark had brought up the possibility of changing the reports for the Convention Center since construction is done, the Council might well consider going to perhaps a monthly or a quarterly report from the management (inaudible) booking, and certainly we could entertain legislation on that in a future meeting. Does any Council Member have any Property Standards question for Mr. Bowie?

Property Standards Report

Councilman Walford: Mr. Bowie, you escaped it.

Public Hearing: Ordinance No. 208 of 2006: Adopting the 2007 Budget for the Downtown Development District Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Councilman Walford: At this time, the public hearing is now open. Mr. Mayor, or Mr. Dark, is there a presentation from the Administration?

Mr. Dark: No sir it's not, other than to say this is required by State law because it is an operating budget. DDA's budget is about \$1.9 (million). Mr. Williams and Ms. Landry are here, at some point if you have questions at the close of the public hearing.

Councilman Walford: Okay at this point, I will ask is there anyone here to speak in favor of this ordinance? They're welcomed to come forward and speak. Okay, anyone opposed? Are there questions from the Council for Mr. Williams or Ms. Landry. If that's the case, the public hearing is now closed.

Confirmations and/or Appointments, Adding Legislation to the Agenda, and Public Comments.

Confirmations and/or Appointments: None.

Adding Legislation to the Agenda:

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 198 of 2006:** A resolution to recognize and congratulate Art Carmody, for capturing the 2006 "Lou Groza" Award presented to the nation's top place kicker at the Home Depot 2006 College Football Awards Show, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Bowman to add Resolution No. 198 of 2006 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

Public Comments (Agenda Items to be Adopted)

Mr. Jerry Edwards: (400 Edwards) I'm here today to speak in favor of the Meridian Court Subdivision which is on appeal to the City Council today from the MPC approval. The number for that application is SC-102-06. We talked briefly about this yesterday, so I won't go over everything. And I know we only have three minutes, so I'll just highlight the reasons why you should approve this application. First of all, as you well know, this application meets all the requirements in the subdivision ordinance. I represent Fairfield Property Management, and personally went through with the developer the Municipal Code and Subdivision ordinance to make sure it was in full and complete compliance with those provisions. Also, this subdivision represents an opportunity for homeownership for nontraditional homeowners. It also provides as Councilman Lester mentioned earlier about the Allendale revitalization, this subdivision provides an opportunity for additional population in the area, contributors to retail uses, possibly in the future for this neighborhood. Also, I highlight to you in a letter that I submitted to you and all the Council Members, that this subdivision complies and furthers the stated goals of the City of Shreveport and it's consolidated plan. This subdivision will have centralized on site

management, and the developers contractually obligated to make sure that this property is maintained. And they are not only contractually obligated but also committed to making sure that the property remains compatible with the surrounding communities. They are in the process, and I'm also helping them in this process of drafting restrictive covenants to go with this subdivision to ensure that it remains in compliance with the surrounding neighborhood. The lot sizes in this subdivision actually exceed the lot sizes of the adjoining communities, and there is no subsidy attached to this property. So, this is a very good proposal. It meets all the requirements in the code. The MPC thoroughly discussed this proposal and approved it. They cited for reasons for approval the immense effort put forth by the developer to meet the concerns of the neighborhood. As you are aware, some members of the neighborhood opposed this subdivision, and the developer met with them to meet those concerns. So, the developer is committed to maintaining this property. They're committed to this area, and I'm ready to answer any questions you might have.

Mr. John D. Washington: (3217 Redstone) I've live at that address for the past 32 years. I've lived not only as a resident, but as a taxpayer, private taxpayer. So, I just want to express my opposition to the proposed project that was approved by the Metropolitan Planning Commission. My observation of the developmental activities in the area where I live lead me to applaud Council Member Joyce Bowman, about her suggestion that a master planning process be developed, and I kept this article which is the editorial from the Times on November 21, 2006. Perhaps that needs to be done simply because in the numerous times that I've appeared before MPC and the Council regarding projects that are to be built in the area where I live, there is sort of a haphazard approach and I would certainly like to see something different done. In the 32 years that I've had to contend with proposals that have been developed or proposed to be developed for that area, none of them are compatible with the neighborhood where I live. Once such development is a place, and this may be a misnomer called the Pine Hill Estates. And when I appeared before MPC several months ago, I did share with MPC a list of the contacts with the Shreveport Police Department from the Pine Hill Estate, and I have that if anybody is interested in seeing that. There were 229 contacts with the police department from that one location in 2005. Now, I could imagine now why the Police Chief has said that he didn't have enough officers. Anytime you have one location that has that many contacts with the police department, I can imagine how it ties them up. Now there is everything listed here from fugitives to burglaries, to you name it, it's here. So, if this is the kind of continuous development that we are proposing for this general area, I think we have enough of that and we've had to continue with that for some time. And I believe that there is a connection between the Pine Hill Estates and the current person who is proposing the new development. When I moved to the area where I live 32 years ago, I would imagine that 90% of the persons who lived in that area were homeowners regardless to how modest their homes had been. And maybe somebody can help me understand why developers will not build individual homes that are immediately available for sale, instead of continuing to build "rental units". Now we call them lease purchase homes, and we have other fancy names for them. I'm just puzzled as to why the developers would build homes for sale, immediate sale instead of continuing to build rental units. I have several neighbors, at least three or four who are either retired from or still work for KCS Railroad. And I might add that I've been told that those folks make good salaries. I hope they don't mind me saying that. But there are people where I live who can afford to purchase homes, and I would certainly like to see someone propose developments where people can actually purchase homes, such as the

Shepherd Place development that the city Department of Community Development has been involved in and has developed. I think that would certainly be a plus for the area. I was informed by a professor at Southern University, that by her estimate, 90% of the persons at that institution commute. They don't live there. So I still don't understand why we continue to build rental units. So, I commend the Community Development department for the Shepherd Place development and projects like that. But finally, I just want to make one quick comment. This is regarding a personal experience, I hope you'll permit me the personal reference. When I was discharged from the United States Air Force, 35 years ago, I moved to return to Shreveport. Rented a house for two years, and started looking around for a home. I was living out in Cedar Grove at the time. So, we looked all over Caddo Parish and Shreveport, and finally looked around the area across the bayou from Captain Shreve High School. And even though there were plenty signs out there that one real estate company had, they told me in no uncertain terms that there were no houses for sale out there. So part of the reason why I live where I do live is simply I had to live where I could actually purchase a home because at that time, Shreveport was not what it is or what we think it may be today. So, I'm saying all that to say, that I would hope that after 32 years of paying taxes to the city, and trying to promote a wholesome community, and trying to contribute to the civic world being of this community that we would not continue to approve a project such as the one being proposed. Thank you very much. And there are some other persons from my community who are here. Do you permit them to stand?

Councilman Walford: If you would like to have them stand sir, I believe that would be fine.

Mr. Washington: Yeah, several of the neighbors are here I know who live in our neighborhood. And I think I can speak for them, they are opposed.. Stand up.

Councilman Shyne: John, it seems like retirement has really been good for you. You look so well rested.

Mr. Washington: It's not all bad Mr. Shyne. But I would like to be on the Council, so I can enjoy a check, and I won't have to pay parking fees.

Councilman Shyne: Just put a campaign together.

Ms. Irma Rogers: (1920 Michaud Street) Mr. Chairman, to our new Mayor, City Council Members, I have been adamantly opposed to this particular project for several months now, going on about two years I guess. There are questions about the management personnel that will be managing this particular site. There are questions about compatibility of this project to the neighborhood that's already in existence. I have brought some pictures that were given to MPC and others of what Meridian Court homes would look like. Approximately 14-1600 square feet, and they are talking about the lot sizes have been increased. Well lot sizes might have been increased, but not the actual sizes of the homes. These homes are not compatible to pictures of the homes that are in existence right now. 2600 square foot. This is the first home on the left hand corner of my street, Michaud. And it's not the only one. You can go on down the street. The next home to it, 3800 square feet. The next home, we can go to the other corner of Redstone, and you can see the compatibility of the size is not the same as what they're proposing in terms of just a simple square footage. We're not talking about lot size. I understand they've increased the lot size and downsized the project to about 27 or 30 homes now. But that's not what frightens me, what frightens me is the homes are not going to look like ours, they're not going to be compatible to what we have. My husband and I, and our neighbors spent our blood, sweat and tears trying to build, and as property homeowners, value we feel will certainly go down. Especially with the type program they're talking about lease to buy. We went into our

homes buying or building, one or the other. Not leasing to buy. And these things are so new. I'm also President of Martin Luther King Development Corporation. This lease to buy program is very new to us. You've got a 15 year lease on there, but we've not gone 15 years to see how this is going to work out just yet. We already have several of these houses in existence. To give you a few numbers, Rosenwald, 56 units plus 52 units on Rosenwald III and IV. You have Shepherd Place. Shepherd Place offers 26 homes in a gated community. Camelot and Wedgewood Apartments, approximately 88, there's going to be an extension of another approximately 80. North Point, 27 units. King Gardens, 38 units, that's a total of 367 units that have been built within the past three years that are offering housing as Fairfield Property is mentioning it's going to offer and build more housing in an area we already have quite a bit. And there is another project, I don't have the number, because they're just breaking ground at the end of Audrey Lane. We have quite a bit of housing started, but are we building the kind and types of homes that we want as Mr. Washington mentioned. That are going to be compatible to what we want for a good mixture. That is one of the biggest things that frightens myself and my neighbors. Not only that, the type of management. We were promised things. I found my handwritten notes. I dug, and dug, and dug, this is from September 9, 2005, when we met with Mr. Stanton Dossett, III, Mr. McSwain, the architect, Mr. Taylor, the project manager for Fairfield Management Properties concerning issues that we already had with Pine Hill Estates. That's the same project that Mr. Washington alluded to, about the numerous number of police calls to it, that's directly behind us. I have secured information from some of those tenants. There are things that make me feel - - -this is dated 3-30, 2006 and it offers a tenant approximately 3 or 4 days, one working day to remove the dog house, tiller barrel, metal building which is her outside storage unit, a truck tool box and a deck. All of that from her area, from her property, and she has until 4:30 on Monday, April 3rd. This is dated March 30th. And she had until that Monday to move all those things. A storage house, as you know or most of you homeowners, is something that you can't just dismantle overnight. You could, but you'd spend all night trying to do it. So, I don't think the kind of management that's shown itself to us is the kind that we want, even if they do try to build the project. I don't like it anyway, shape, form or fashion.

Mr. Alkamy Rogers: (1920 Michaud St) I want to talk to day on this subject also. I recently had a conversation with - - - I'll just go straight to the source, the City Planner for Shreveport, and I got a lot of disturbing answers from the City Planners. For one thing in 30 years, Shreveport has increased it's area by 400%. 400%, but guess what, the population increase here in Shreveport? Nonexistent. How do you explain that. 30 years, 400% increase in the growth of this city, but the population is nonexistent, no increase. Flat line. I was told that this is mainly because of bad management, and mainly because of the lack of the low income policy. A low income housing policy. The MPC does not have a low income policy. We're not here to stop development. We're not here to stop development. We're here to help manage community growth. That's what we're here for. It's been made apparent to me at times, from our Councilman. Councilman Lester has disapproved this, and he has disapproved other developments only to approve them in different locations. Because of his conversations with city planners who are the experts in this. When you have an illness, you won't go to a lawyer, or you won't go to a businessman, you'd go to a doctor. Go to the source. Go to your city planner to see what's wrong. I went to him. This is what he said. Strategic growth management is a necessary bipartisan ingredient of sound physical local government policy. Strategic growth management, that's what we need. We need to get that. He also said consistent affordable

housing policies ideally that will allow for mixed income neighborhoods that are economically sustainable and prevent concentration of household incomes that preclude supporting retail services without subsidy. The absence of discernable or consistent affordable housing policy has the practical effect of relegating concentrated developments of this type to locations that are located as far as practical away from any other developments. Concentrated low income housing, you're just building a cage. You're just building a cage. This leads to an increased burden on services in a variety of manners. You build this cage, guess what? You're going to pay for it. Everything you do has repercussions. Really it's simple to me. I'm a lowly architectural intern, but I do have some common sense. I know that city planners plan for the city. They develop strategic growth management, and that's who you need to listen to. Developers are businessmen, and lawyers, what they do? They develop land. They don't plan communities. They develop land. We need developers, but the city planners should be in charge of telling these developers, look this is the smart way to do this. And lastly, the City Council and the MPC. These - - - you guys, you're our leaders. I need you to take a long hard look in the mirror and ask yourself, where is our policy on low income housing. What are we going to do with this? Because I was told by the City Planner that, that is the problem. No one is willing to address this head on. He has developed numerous writings, but the MPC, the entity that votes on it, they're not listening to him. I need somebody in here to hold the MPC's foot to the fire, and get somebody in there that has a brain, and that has a degree in city and regional planning. If these members, if they don't understand what they're doing, they don't need to be there. They don't need to be there. Okay?

Mr. Ken Kreft: (157 Archer) Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, and Mayor Glover. God rest the soul of Pastor Douglas. I'm here really just to kinda modify our request I made four weeks ago during the public hearing on behalf of Lola May, the President of Shreveport/Bossier Neighborhood Association. We were founded 10 years ago with an initial \$5,000 infusion of money from the Bo Williams Administration. That has served us well. It's played down, we need about \$40 a month. We can submit this proposal to y'all through the Neighborhood Improvement Program, although it's not a project, it's really paying a young lady in monthly postage. And I want to thank the Mayor for his comments that he would like to speak to our group on a periodic basis. Really if we can get \$2100 for the four years, that is enough. That is \$300 per Council District. That is \$75 per district per year. For about half a penny per constituent. However y'all can help us, we appreciate it. Now, you'll back the balance of my time.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to suspend the rules to allow all public comments. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Ms. Rhonda Sanders: (1526 Corporate Drive) I'm here to speak in opposition of this rock crushing that has been, as I understand it, was requested to cease and dismiss working on Hearne and Hilry Huckaby, across the street from Greenwood Acres, north location. One of the things that I know is that it had gone before the MPC, came before the City Council, and once it came before the City Council, it was ruled that it was operating illegally, because there were no permits, and this has come before the Council numerous times. Well my question is at this point, who actually runs our city? Is it the City Council who is elected officials, or those that are coming and just running businesses at will. At some point somebody needs to make a decision

and do what I believe is the right thing. If we're going to be the next great city of the south, then people won't be able to come in and do pretty much whatever they want, when they want. I know this may be new to some of you, but some of you are aware that this has been going on. And has been operating. This particular company is not a new company. This company has been operating for a number of years, so they understand policy and procedures. But obviously they look at our City Council as to be something that's not in effect, because they're doing whatever they want to do, and no one has stopped them. So, my concern is at what point do we stop and hold people accountable for what they're doing on a continual basis.

Councilwoman Bowman: Ms. Sanders, who are the owners?

Ms. Sanders: Blount Bros.

Councilwoman Bowman: And when did this business - - -?

Ms. Sanders: It's been going on for almost a year that I know of. They're operating as a rock crushing that is directly across the street on Hearne Ave, right on the corner of Hearne Ave and Hilry Huckaby, right there on that corner, and it kind of sits to the back. They have trucks that are constantly coming out. When it - - - they've made all kind of so called adjustments, so they said they were going to do. But if you go down anytime during when the school is in, the trucks are still coming out. It's raining dirt is all up and down the street. Dump trucks everything. So, they're not stopping, they're actually conducting business as if they have a permit to be there. There's no permit. Zoning has come against them, the City Council has ruled against them, and they're still doing business.

Councilwoman Bowman: I still have another question, but I'd like to direct it to Councilman Lester. Naturally, I wasn't here during this time, but can you explain to me exactly what happened as far as the City Council's vote in reference to what Ms. Sanders is saying?

Councilman Lester: Sure. And if you will permit Ms. Bowman, what I would like to do is allow Ms. Sanders, Ms. Harris and Bishop Caldwell to have commentary, and then I'm going to ask Mr. Kirkland to come up and deal with it, but just to give you the brief procedural history, we had a scenario where Blount Bros was operating a rock crusher right there at Hilry Huckaby, and Hearne. It came to my attention, obviously it's in the district, and driving past it, that why is this rock crusher operating? Are they properly zoned? Well once we checked into it, we found out that they were not properly zoned, because it requires an industrial zoning to do a rock crusher and the area is zoned residential. So we had the city to institute policies and procedures and an action against them to let them know that they were in fact in violation and in order to continue to operate, they would have to make application with the zoning board to get the zoning change. They made application, they went to the zoning board, the zoning board denied it. It came to the Council, the Council denied it. Actually, it came to the Council first, the Council remanded it back to the MPC for a decision. Then the MPC approved a part of it with certain changes and stipulations and guidelines. That came back to the Council. The Council considered it, and rejected it summarily and said no, not even with the changes and alterations would we allow you operate. And so, as a procedural matter, that ended the conversation. Well, where the rubber meets the road was, there was a time period maybe about a week or so where it appeared that they were going to comply with the City's order in terms of not continuing to operate. They pretty much shut their program down. And in fact, you could pass by it and see where it seemed like they were moving away some of the building material and kind of returning the property to a position where it should be, i.e. a residential character, not building on anything, but just having an open space. And then I don't know exactly when it was, I think we voted, and Charles and maybe Mr. Thompson will refresh my memory, I want to say we voted in

October and maybe sometime in late November, we started to see this curve of them complying with what the City Council had dictated had changed. And that in fact, they were ramping up their activities again. And so, I received a phone call from Bishop Caldwell and he asked me basically what are you guys going to do? And I said about what? And he related to me the fact that you said that they could not operate a rock crusher, the City Council has spoken and it's pretty clear that they are in violation. But yet and still, they are still operating. So the question becomes what do we do when the City Council has said, this is not something that you have the ability to deal with, and yet, they persist on doing it. So obviously, I made a phone call to Charles Kirkland and requested them to take some action. Part of what - - - and after Ms. Harris and Bishop Caldwell, because they've sat through thus far, and I asked them to come back to this meeting, to let the Council know and kinda put it on our minds and hearts to ask the question, what is the response when you have someone that just refuses to comply with City Council action. So, I want to give them the opportunity to speak, but procedurally that's how we got from there to where we are right now, and so I'm going to finish with that. But I think we have two more people that want to speak on that issue, but procedurally that's where we are on that issue.

Ms. Josie E. Harris: (6209 Nottaway) Good afternoon to the Chair, Mayor and Council. Pretty much everything has been said, Mr. Lester brought you up to date on pretty much everything. What I do want to add is I do have some pictures for those of you who have not seen it. We have some pictures here, and this is just the way it looks, and has not been any changes as far as anything being removed. They're still operating, and we have a concern about that. Matter of fact, it appears as though they're doing more business now, than they were before because this (inaudible) stuff is starting to come closer and closer to the highway. And pretty much the same thing. That one too. It's just showing you how they're piling it up more and more, and this is the equipment. You may not be able to see it, that they are using. But we have a great concern about this concrete crusher being close to our church there. There are several different hazards that it can bring on. It has dust, and dirt, and we just do not want this to become a big operation. Of course though, like it was stated earlier, we don't understand why they're still operating when MPC has denied them. Also the Council has too, and they continue to operate. Is this sending a message to other citizens of the city? Even though you're not zoned to do a certain business, but you still can operate. Beside Ms. Sanders said maybe a year, they've been operating over there for much longer than a year without being zoned. They were just out there doing their own thing, without even being zoned. And the only way they were able to get caught, they were (inaudible) not that they volunteered, said well, it's time to get zoned, they were cited, and they were forced to apply for a zoning. And when they applied for a zoning, they were denied, and they continue to operate. Now we have a business, something similar to this on 70th Street. And I know some people that is directly involved in this. They complaining about the dust, and the cars. The cars just stay dirty. And inhaling this stuff - - - it's not good to inhale this stuff. So, since they are not zoned, we don't see any reason why they should continue to operate. We have a very nice facility in that area, and we would like to keep it for it to continue to be that way. Besides we do not want all this stuff in our neighborhood. We were just talking about revitalizing neighborhood. We want to keep our neighborhood good where people want to come back. If you see all this stuff stacked up and piled up, you're not going to want to come back to the neighborhood. So we're asking and we just have a concern about this. And we want to know what's going to be done.

Councilman Long: I just have a general question. If we have an illegal operation going on, legally, are they appealing the decision that we know?

Councilman Lester: I'm going to ask that question directly to Mr. Kirkland and allow him to bring us up to where we are.

Councilman Long: Okay, I'll wait.

Bishop Fred Caldwell: (7480 Greenwood Rd) Good afternoon everyone. To our honorable Mayor, we are very proud of you sir, and glad to be here under your administration, and to all that make up this Council. I'm concerned, I'm really concerned. And what I'm really concerned about is whether or not the rich and powerful are going to continue to take advantage of loopholes and not do what John Q. Public, cannot do. If John Q comes to the Council, and he's operating something illegally, then it seems like he's going to be shut down. But if you're rich and powerful, it's almost like the Council didn't say anything. And that's my concern. Second thing is that they have ramped up. They've got more debris over there now than ever before. And it's almost like nobody is going to tell them what to do. So, as I looked at what the Council had done which is voted it down, it's illegal, my question today is when are they going to move? When are they going to abandon the property? Will it be cleaned up and brought back down to zero? Or are they going to continue to operate over there? The city closes down I think at 5:00. These people are operating like whatever they want to do. We've got a lot of people at our church over there. We've got 122 acres of property right there in that intersection, and we're trying to be good citizens about it, but they need to go. The Council said they need to go. So, our question, our concern of our church and certainly in the community is when they're going to go, and whose going to make them go. And when are they going to clear that property back, bring it back down to zero. They don't have a permit to operate. They've been operating there for more than a year. They've been there for years. So, this has nothing to do with Mr. Blount's character. This has to do with breaking the law. And so if the City Council can't make him move, then I need to know who. Thirdly, the DEQ seem not be able to do anything with Blount Bros. So, we just want them gone, so we can have our neighborhood back.

Councilman Walford: If it please the Council, I have three more requests to speak on other subjects, but since this issue is in front of us, I'd like to have Mr. Kirkland come up if he could and let's see what we can do with this one unless there's an objection from the Council. Mr. Kirkland, would you shine a little bit of light on this for us? You're smiling like you have the answer.

Councilman Shyne: I thought you were calling my name when you said shine, I raised up.

Mr. Kirkland: Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, I actually invited Ms. Scott, the Acting City Attorney to come join me. Kinda like you, share extreme disappointment when any of our business operators and/or citizens refuse to abide by the decisions you have made. You are the government of our people, and I think any business that operates in Shreveport should abide by that decision, however, as you well know, the law allows anyone aggrieved by your decision to appeal that to the courts of law. That is what has happened in this case. I don't think Mr. Blount wasted what, Terri more than about three weeks before they filed lawsuits with the courts to overturn your decision. Unfortunately, unless we seek some sort of restraining order which Ms. Scott can speak far better than I, they can continue operating until the court speaks. And as you know, there's not just a (inaudible) for it. There's an Appeals Court, and if they've got enough money and enough time, or enough will, they can take it on to the U.S. or the Louisiana Supreme Court.

Councilman Shyne: Charles, please don't mention that hear? Please don't mention it.

Mr. Kirkland: They're friends of yours Mr. Shyne, as I appreciate it.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, you're right, you're right.

Mr. Kirkland: But with that, let me say that we are doing everything that we can. We being the legal department, and the MPC, and I know that to the extent that Mr. Thompson can assist, everything our city can do to stop these folks from continuing their illegal operation. I don't blame Bishop Caldwell, or any of these good citizens for continuing to be very upset and angry. I think Mr. Lester has summarized the entire operation extremely well. He's been primarily I guess the man whose been responsible seeing that something got done. But in any event, they had their day in court, which to me was here at your level, and our level at the MPC. You spoke, they should abide by it. The Blounts do a lot of business in this city. But I think the remark about sometimes folks think they're too big for the regular laws, I don't agree with it, and I know you don't either. But we have to require in our courts, rely on those judges to help enforce our law. They get elected too as you well know. Ms. Scott.

Ms. Terri Scott: (City Attorneys Office, City of Shreveport) Let me start by saying I echo Mr. Kirkland's comments with regard to this particular matter. But the Blounts have exercised their right to bring or to appeal the decision from the Council to Caddo District Court, and we're going to have to wait to allow that process to play out. Now, it is entirely possible that there may be some actions or some remedies that we can request of the court at the interim, and we'll take a look at the lawsuit and the posture of the litigation, and if we can do that, then we will do that.

Councilwoman Bowman: I don't know if I should address this to you Terri or to Charles, but since this is a legal matter now, this is in District Court, my question is as City Council, the governing authority, in the interim while this is in court, what can we do? Can we order to cease and desist right now? Or can we immediately shut them down until a decision is made?

Ms. Scott: No Ma'am. That's what the previous administrative hearing before the Council was for. It was for the MPC and subsequently for the Council to address the ongoing violation. At the point that the matter was appealed to Council, and Council decided that they would uphold the MPC's decision, and deny their request for rezoning, the matter was then - - - the lawsuit was filed in District Court, which affectively removed it from your hand. So, it's a matter for the Courts to decide at this point.

Councilwoman Bowman: So, in the interim, you're telling us that they are allowed to continue to illegally operate, while this is in the hands of the court?

Ms. Scott: Yes, but again, we'll take a look at that lawsuit to see if there are remedies that we can request from the Court pending a final judgement on the lawsuit. It may be possible to ask for injunctive relief against them to prohibit their continued operations. At this point, I'm not familiar with the lawsuit, but again, that's one of the first things that we'll do. Take a look at it to see if requesting an injunction is appropriate given this particular case.

Councilwoman Bowman: How quick can you do that?

Ms. Scott: As soon as it'll take me - - -this meeting is over and we get upstairs. We will have an answer for you as to what our possible remedies are, no later than probably tomorrow afternoon, but Friday, definitely at the latest.

Councilman Long: I was going to say, we could always file our own lawsuit, and seek the injunction.

Ms. Scott: Yes Sir.

Councilman Long: But I'll look forward to your recommendation.

Councilman Webb: Charles, I remember talking to Blount Bros. at another matter, about a year ago, with something, and seem like I remember this case, but seem to me like there was some talk about - - - they've been doing this for years, and they were grandfathered, he was saying or something. You know what I'm talking about? What he was in relation to or - - -

Mr. Kirkland: And I think they may have been claiming that Mr. Webb, but when you're listening to the one that's violating the law, they're not going to tell you that they're doing something illegal. In my experience, there were no rights established, it was not on our record, and again without going into all of the nuances of the law, I was certain that they were operating illegally, and improperly, and when they were told that, first by via letter and citation, frankly in my opinion, they should have stopped then. Now they claim that the City of Shreveport had been doing business with them, and a lot of other different shades to this I guess activity. But the bottom line clearly was that they were acting without proper zoning and without any permits, and they should have stopped operating when they were advised to do so. So, I can't really speak to what Mr. Blount or other representatives might have said.

Councilman Webb: They thought that they were grandfathered because they were operating before the guidelines or whatever were set for that?

Mr. Kirkland: All I can say is that those are legalities, that from my experience, I don't know what kind of legal advice they were getting, but I don't think they were getting good legal advice if they were being told that they were operating properly.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I appreciate - - - first of all, let me say this. I appreciate Bishop Caldwell coming down here to bring this issue out. He and I talked about it, and I suggested to him that this is something that's bigger than just he and I sitting down and talking about a problem that was facing the church. Because I think it highlights a larger issue which is once the Council has spoken, and we have said you're illegal, and you're not to do this, and someone continues to do it, it begs to question why do we even have a zoning board? Why do we even have an MPC? Why do we even vote on something? I mean clearly this guy is operating outside the confines of the zoning ordinances, and by his own admission, has been doing it illegally for quite some time, I mean at a certain point, it seems clear to me that he has absolutely no respect for the process. And let me tell you what bothers me. Just from a legal perspective. I don't understand how the burden is on the City to enforce it's ordinance, when the Blount Bros are in the wrong. I would think that if he would have filed a lawsuit, and I haven't seen it, he would have had to file an injunction against the City moving forward with it's action, and try to get some type of temporary restraining order against our moving forward claiming irreparable harm, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, and if that had been done, then one of the judges at the first JDC would have given us an opportunity to have a full hearing and he would have to prove his irreparable harm, that - - - I'm speaking to you Terri, you're a lawyer, I mean you know these things. Is that what he did?

Ms. Scott: Again Mr. Lester, I've not seen the lawsuit, so I really can't address the particulars of it, but I will do so, and if I may digress in response to one of the comments or the questions that Mr. Webb just raised concerning their belief or allegation that they are nonconforming. There is a provision in the law that requires notice to the department or the person authorized to receive it. And in this instance, that entity or that agency would have been the Metropolitan Planning Commission. There's nothing in the Planning Commission's records to indicate that they ever had notice that this business was operating, and consequently, if that notice was never received, then the operator does not have the right, although they may try to claim any nonconforming rights, with regards to their continued operation on that property.

Councilman Lester: Well, I would ask this Mr. Chairman, and I would ask because we as I appreciate protocol, we cannot direct the City Attorney to do anything. But what I'm asking the Mayor to direct the City Attorney and to direct the MPC to enforce this Council's decision that they are operating illegally, through whatever means necessary, and whatever remedies there are available to the City, including but not limited to, if we need to file an injunction against their operation. Because I just again I don't see how the Council says you cannot operate, and they decide to operate and they file a lawsuit. Because clearly, they do have a right to appeal our decision, but it's just like in a civil matter, you either have to file suspense of appeal (inaudible) of appeal, if you do suspense of appeal to suspend the action, you have to post some type of bond. If you do a (inaudible) appeal, that does not stop the actual action going through, you can still collect your judgement and move forward, and then after the fact, then we can decide whether or not that was the most cost effective thing to do. But I just don't understand how they have been told that they cannot operate, and simply because they file a lawsuit, that prevents us from doing, because the bigger question is and we're going to have these issues that come before us again and again, and Shreveport is a small community regardless of what we think and the people that deal with these issues are some of the same people that come back and forth, the word will go out that you know what? If you have an adverse ruling, in MPC and an adverse ruling on the City Council, don't worry about it, file your lawsuit and stall the process and do whatever you want to do. And I don't want that message to be sent out. Particularly - - - I mean, obviously I'm concerned because it's a District A matter, but the bigger issue is if that is the way we're going to handle the business and we're not going to aggressively enforce our ordinances, then I think that from a policy standpoint, it definitely weakens the entire process. So, I'm asking the Mayor to direct our City Council to take the appropriate action.

Mr. Kirkland: Mr. Lester, if I may, as you know as the City Council, you set policy, and you make legislation, and whatever your directives are as policy, the Mayor and all the rest of us, we try our best to carry out those directives. My suggestion Mr. Lester, you being a lawyer, you know this better than I, if you set a new policy or a directive, that immediate enforcement via legal actions will occur I suspect, that will change possibly the tone of our enforcement activity. And I say that, I've been here through about 4 or 5 Mayors, and a number of City Attorneys, and the general reaction of our city legal is to not seek injunctive relief because they don't want to expose the taxpayer to a possible loss and damages that might occur as a result of that. Now, again I personally think a more aggressive, hard hitting, legal action is what we need. But I believe that directive might well come from the City Council itself.

Councilman Lester: Well, I would just suggest and I appreciate that, I would - - - I don't want to get into the water of directing the City Attorney to do anything. I think that's something that the Mayor's office will do, and as a policy matter, I think the Administration can take the position that when the Council moves forward on these issues, our position as a city will be that we're going to do dot, dot, dot, dot, dot, dot. If in conversation with the Administration, if we need to do a policy, I don't have a problem passing an ordinance and from what I get on the Council, I don't think we'll have a problem with getting the proper support, but again, the bigger question is why should we have to tell you no, and then file a lawsuit to tell you no, after we've told you no. I mean, why do we need to spend the taxpayer's money twice after we've told you no. It just gets to be ridiculous.

Councilman Walford: If I may, I'm going to call a point of order here. We suspended the rules to hear from those folks, we called Charles up strictly for clarification. If we want to debate this, or take it up further, let's do it in our Councilmen's comments.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: I was about to say the same thing. But - - -

Councilman Walford: Well, that's great minds think alike Mr. Shyne, and so - - -

Mr. William Joyce: (3302 Centenary) You know, I make movies and television shows, and children's books, and I decided to stay in Shreveport. And so this past year has been really amazing for me, and I think for the City itself, the way the Arts have come so far. And motion picture business has come and fallen on our doorstep in such a dramatic fashion. I want to speak towards your continued support for Art Space and for the Arts in Shreveport, and for the Robinson Film Center, because both those entities, I think are (inaudible) to helping foster this new industry we have with the film industry. And that both seem to be dedicated to reaching out not only to this new energy, but reaching out to the community and to the educational system at large, so that our kids can get experience, and perhaps have a chance to work in this new industry. I feel that this industry will become as vital and as important as any of our past industries have - - - the oil business, you know business you know. I'm an Arts guy, business is kind of tough for me, but - - - has fallen into our lap, and I think Shreveport has done an absolutely stunning, amazing job. I've been so impressed and so proud at how hard the city has worked to make the film industry work here. It's making it easier for me to stay here. It's making it possible for me to not have to leave to do the movies and the television shows that I do. I may very soon be able to them here. So, your support for Artspace, the Arts Council, and for the Robinson Film Center is going to help a lot. And like I said, the way they are reaching out to schools, and to kids is going to help those kids find jobs in this industry and perhaps stay. So, yes the next great city of the south, this is one of the things that's going to help us a lot, and so I appreciate you listening to me, and I congratulate all the new faces up here, and the old faces as well.

Councilman Walford: Don't go away. Mr. Long?

Mr. Long: Happy Birthday.

Mr. Joyce: Thank you Michael.

Councilman Walford: Oh, and from the Chair as well.

Mr. Rob Broussard: (451 Sandefur) Council Members, Mayor, congratulations on the new members that have been elected. I've had some information come to me recently about my Councilman's run for office and some of this stuff that's been - - -was said in some of the brochures that he put out, and I'd like to ask him if he could clarify his relationship to Shamrock, L.L.C. and the people that were involved in that, in the prior election. And then second, I'd like to know if he's filed his final campaign contribution?

Councilman Walford: As a point of order sir, I'm going to tell you that your comments to the Council are welcomed, but you are not here to question the Council Members.

Mr. Broussard: Okay, but I just wanted to draw it out in the public, and see if we can't start questioning Council Members finances, and things that were said in writing.

Councilman Walford: I'm sure there's a forum for doing that, and you're welcome to speak here on any subject for three minutes, but not question the Council Members.

Mr. Broussard: No problem, thank you.

Mr. Joseph Parker: (10036 Smitherman) Congratulations.

Mayor Glover: Thank you.

Mr. Parker: How's it going?

Mayor Glover: Going well.

Mr. Parker: Good, good. I talked to you a few times before in the past, then Mr. Wooley. How's it going?

Councilman Wooley: Good.

Mr. Parker: I've had an issue in Shreveport in 2004 I was arrested four times. Two for harassing phone calls, and two criminal trespass charges that never happened. They were all four thrown out of court. I have (inaudible) - - - I've talked to lawyers and the Mayor, everybody, every senator, and I get no help at all from anybody. It's all for a no pro, that means thrown out of court in Shreveport City Courts. Since a very disturbing thing happened to me, and I was trying to get a meeting with the Mayor and the Police Chief together, and I haven't been able to do that in like two years. Can y'all talk at all or just - - -. So, it's four arrests, and I'm trying to understand a person - - - I've lived all my life, I'm 36 years old, and so this very disturbing thing that happened to me. And all four of 'em I proved never beyond a shadow of a doubt didn't happen, and two of them are actually false police reports made by Christus Schumpert. My back was broken when I was a child and I wasn't given medical care for it. And I've found out four years ago, that it was broken. Had to fly to San Diego in California, because a buddy of mine became an attorney. He said once you (inaudible), your doctors won't identify it, you have to go to state for it to be done right. So he flew me to California, and I found out I have two fractures in my back, and so I called Schumpert and tried to get a (inaudible) meeting with them, and they refused, and they lied to the police department that I came up there a couple of times, and I was arrested. And two harassing phone calls. Just Schumpert calling the police department and saying hey go arrest this guy, and they did that. Four times, four times, I proved it didn't happen. And after the complaint against the City Marshal's office, because I subpoenaed my (inaudible) constitution - - - I subpoenaed the people that had me arrested, the CEO of Schumpert, and they never showed. Four times going to court. I went twice and it's been thrown out, and no one (inaudible), so I'm almost positive the City Marshal's office did not arrest them for not coming forth. And I found this city I guess lawsuits are very corrupt, because I'm dealing with doctors, if they said something bad to me. See I was in a car wreck, and I was in the car wreck with a kid whose dad was very wealthy, owned a pharmacy company here in Shreveport, and I witnessed the kid's dad arguing with the doctor in the ER of Schumpert when I was only 15 years old. The other kid wasn't hurt, I jumped into the back of the truck, and he ran into a light pole, and my head went into the cab of the truck. Just crushed my spine, so I'm laying in the ER, my mother worked for 23 years.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Parker, your three minutes are up, and unless I have a Council Member to grant you additional time - - -

Councilman Shyne: So moved with one question in mind. One question I'd like to - - -

Councilman Walford: Do I have a second.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to grant Mr. Parker three more minutes.

Councilman Walford: You want a discussion?

Councilman Shyne: Right, I want a discussion before I vote. I'm trying to figure out, maybe some of the other Council Members have - - - I'm trying to figure out just what is it? Are you here because you want to get your record?

Mr. Parker: Oh God! Actually I want to talk to the Governor to be honest with you. It's the only opportunity to do this right now. Well, it's not funny, I'm on 120 mg of methadone, and 40 mg of Loratab. I couldn't walk right now. I've developed hunch back.

Councilman Shyne: Are you here because you want to get your record clear that they have charged you on some charges that have been thrown out, or are you here to tell us what has happened to you?

Mr. Parker: To tell you what has happened to me. I've already got that done. It's right here. No pro, no pro, no pro, no pro. Four times if you interested. Handcuffed and you know you didn't do anything wrong, and the detectives are like laughing.

Motion denied by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, , Shyne, and Bowman. 5. Ayes: Councilmen Wooley, Webb 2.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Parker, thank you very much, and I would say that if I may take a little freedom here. Your requests were to a previous Administration. We have a new Administration that's been in office for - - -

Mr. Parker: Alright.

Councilman Walford: For slightly over two weeks. I would suggest that you, if you want to deal with the Administration, or the Chief of Police, that you contact them, and I believe you will - - -

Mr. Parker: I'm good friends with Kathy, the Police Chief's secretary.

Councilman Walford: Fine, thank you very much Sir.

CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION

TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 173 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR THE FINANCING OF VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford.

Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 173 of 2006

Delete the resolution as introduced and substitute the attached resolution.

RESOLUTION NO. 173 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR THE FINANCING OF VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, sometimes referred to herein as "Lessee" is a political subdivision of the State of Louisiana (the "State") and is duly organized and existing pursuant to the constitution and laws of the State.

WHEREAS, pursuant to applicable law, the governing body of the Lessee ("Governing Body") is authorized to acquire and dispose of real and personal property, including, without limitation, rights and interests in property, leases and easements necessary to the functions or operations of the Lessee.

WHEREAS, the Governing Body hereby finds and determines that the execution of one or more lease-purchase agreements ("Property Leases") in the principal amount not exceeding \$6,500,000 for the purpose of acquiring and/or reimbursing the city for its acquisition of, the property briefly described below ("Property") and to be described more specifically in the Property Leases is appropriate and necessary to the functions and operations of the Lessee:

Brief Description Of Property:

Police Cars

Fire trucks and medic units

Garbage packer trucks

WHEREAS, the Lessee has paid certain capital expenditures in connection with the Property prior to its receipt of proceeds of the Property Leases ("Lease Purchase Proceeds") for such expenditures and Treasury Department and Internal Revenue Service Regulations do not allow the proceeds of a tax-exempt financing to be spent on working capital; and

WHEREAS, Chase Equipment Leasing Inc. ("Lessor") shall as the lessor under the Property Leases.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that:

Section 1. Cedric Glover, Mayor, acting on behalf of the City, is hereby authorized to negotiate, enter into, execute, and deliver one or more Property Leases in substantially the form set forth in the document presently before the Governing Body, which document is available for public inspection at the office of the Clerk of Council. Cedric Glover, Mayor, acting on behalf of the City is hereby authorized to negotiate, enter into, execute, and deliver such other documents relating to the Property Lease as he deems necessary and appropriate. All other related contracts and agreements necessary and incidental to the Property Leases are hereby authorized.

Section 2. By a written instrument signed by the Mayor, the Mayor may designate specifically identified officers or employees of the City to execute and deliver agreements and documents relating to the Property Leases on behalf of the City.

Section 3. The aggregate original principal amount of the Property Leases shall not exceed the amount stated above and shall bear interest as set forth in the Property Leases and the Property Leases shall contain such options to purchase by the City as set forth therein.

Section 4. The City's obligations under the Property Leases shall be subject to annual appropriation or renewal by the Governing Body as set forth in each Property Lease and the City's obligations under the Property Leases shall not constitute general obligations of the City or indebtedness under the Constitution or laws of the State.

Section 5. The Governing Body hereby certifies (a) that it adopted its Ordinance No. 163 of 2005 on December 13, 2005 (“Ordinance No. 163”) which included the adoption of the 2006 general fund budget for the City, (b) that part of the information presented to the Governing Body by officials of the City in connection with said Ordinance No. 163 was the Budget Book including its pages 145, 164 and 202 and in said pages of the Budget Book, the City described its intention to finance the acquisition of the Property with the proceeds of an equipment financing package, and (c) that the adoption of Ordinance No. 163 was and is intended to be a “declaration of official intent” to reimburse itself for Property expenditures for the purpose of establishing compliance with the requirements of Section 1.150-2 of Treasury Regulations as they relate to the acquisition and financing of the Property pursuant to the Property Leases.

Section 7. These resolutions and declaration of official intent shall take effect in accordance with Section 4.23 of the City Charter.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 173 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Resolution No. 173 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 179 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR’S SIGNATURE ON AN AGREEMENT FOR WATER AND SEWERAGE SERVICES BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the General Motors Corporation is operating a truck assembly plant in the west Shreveport Industrial Park, outside the boundaries of the City of Shreveport, but in close proximity thereto; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 246 of 1981 authorized the Mayor’s signature on an agreement for water and sewerage services whereby the City provided General Motors Corporation water and sewerage services at cost-of-service rates; and

WHEREAS, this original agreement entered into and effective in 1981 was for a term of ten years, with an option to extend the contract for an additional ten years; and

WHEREAS, the City has been continuously providing water and sewerage services to General Motors Corporation since this original agreement lapsed; and

WHEREAS, the City would like to enter into a new agreement setting forth the terms of water and sewerage services the City has been, and will continue to, provide.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that Keith Hightower, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized

and empowered to execute an Agreement for Water and Sewerage Services between the City of Shreveport and General Motors Corporation, substantially in accordance with the document filed along with the original copy of this resolution in the Office of the Clerk of Council on November 6, 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

3. **Resolution No. 184 of 2006**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into, or request the Authority to enter into, one or more Swap Agreements, all in connection with certain outstanding bonds of the City or the Authority and providing for other matters in connection therewith. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 185 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell or donate said properties as authorized in R.S. 33:4720.11 or R.S. 33:4720.25; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-294 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the property described herein and has not received any indication that it is needed for city purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the following described properties are hereby declared surplus:

Lot 12, Palmyra Subdivision Geographic Number 171330-030-001200

Municipal Address: 6706 Beckett Street

Council District "C"

Lots 14 & 15, Block 27, West Shreveport Subdivision Geographic Number 181435-026-001400

Municipal Address: 904 Kenneth Avenue

Council District "A"

The South 60 Feet of North 300 Feet of Lot 63 Geographic Number 181421-001-028700
Jones Mabry Subdivision, Unit No. 2, Less R/W

Municipal Address: 1940 Russell Rd

Council District "A"

Lots 384 & 385, Claiborne Subdivision Geographic Number 171411-057-038400

Municipal Address: 2649 Dupont Street

Council District "B"

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 186 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION RATIFYING CERTAIN ACTIONS AND SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND CHAPTER 106 RELATIVE TO ZONING FOR PROPERTY KNOWN AS SMITH'S CROSS LAKE INN LOCATED AT 5301 SOUTH LAKESHORE DRIVE ON NOVEMBER 17, 2006 AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilman Lester

WHEREAS, Smith's Cross Lake Inn located at 5301 South Lakeshore Drive held an event on November 17, 2006, to celebrate the completion of extensive renovations to the restaurant; and WHEREAS, prior to the event, the establishment requested permission to dispense and allow the consumption of alcoholic beverages in the parking lot at Smith's Cross Lake Inn during the celebration between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 10:30 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, Section 106-130(6) of the Code of Ordinances provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

WHEREAS, any special exception approval granted to the establishment for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing does not specifically authorize outside sales and/or consumption on the premises; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) of the Code of Ordinances makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the City wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-103(a)(5) of the Code of Ordinances provides that the City Council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licensed premises without a proper license; and

WHEREAS, prior to the event the administration agreed to authorized the alcohol related activities, and the Councilman from that district agreed to request the City Council to ratify the

actions of the administration, because of the isolated location of Smith's Cross Lake Inn and the lack of enforcement problems at this location; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this Resolution ratifies the decision of the administration to permit the dispensing and consumption of alcoholic beverages in the parking lot at Smith's Cross Lake Inn located at 5301 South Lakeshore Drive, on November 17, 2006, between the hours of 7:00 p.m. - 10:30 p.m. for activities associated the celebration of the new renovations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the decision of the administration to authorize the dispensing, and consumption of alcoholic beverages in the parking lot at Smith's Cross Lake Inn located at 5301 South Lakeshore Drive, on November 17, 2006, between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 10:30 p.m. for activities associated with the celebration of the new renovations is ratified, and the ordinances of the City of Shreveport which prohibited said acts are deemed suspended for the place, the date and times stated herein only.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Long: Is this just a formality that we're going through to satisfy them? I mean are they proposing another event at some point?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, they're not proposing another event. At the time that they requested this, there was no objection from the Police Department or from the Administration. So you're just ratifying the actions of the Police Department and the Administration.

Councilman Lester: And for the record Mr. Chairman, and Mr. Long, I was in the District, and I was made aware of it, but the problem was they needed to do it at a time when we didn't have a Council Meeting.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 187 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2007 DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PROGRAM OF WORK AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, Act 554 of 1978, which authorized the creation of the Downtown Development Authority, requires that a formal Program of Work for the DDA be adopted annually by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the DDA has prepared and formally adopted its Program of Work for the year 2007 and recommended its approval by the City Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that it approves the 2007 Downtown Development Authority Program of Work, as provided to the Clerk of Council with the original copy of this resolution on November 28, 2006.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

7. **Resolution No. 188 of 2006**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a banking services agreement and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to withdraw resolution No. 188 of 2006.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, I was just going to ask Mr. Thompson, I made a request from Finance Department relative to some documentary evidence relative to CRA requirements and things of that nature, and I didn't know if they had supplied that information to the Council Office as of yet?

Mr. Thompson: If it has been supplied, I haven't seen it yet, but we'll look for it.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

RESOLUTION NO. 189 OF 2006

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DONATION OF SURPLUS SHREVEPORT POLICE VEHICLES TO THE CULLEN, LOUISIANA POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO
BY;**

WHEREAS, the City desires to donate two Chevrolet pickup trucks to the Cullen, Louisiana Police Department which serves a public purpose and renders a public service; and,

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 315 of 1979, requires City Council approval of an agreement made and entered into by the City of Shreveport and any person or entity, whereunder such person or entity receives a donation in return for service which serves a public purpose; and,

WHEREAS, Louisiana Constitution Article VII, Section 14 provides for the donation of surplus properties between political subdivisions engaged in public safety activities as are the parties hereto; and,

WHEREAS, the Cullen Police Department has agreed to accept all responsibility, financial obligations and liability associated with the acceptance of this donation; and, WHEREAS the vehicles described herein are no longer capable of use by the Shreveport Police Department, and are hereby deemed surplus of the City of Shreveport; and, WHEREAS, this donation, under these circumstances, provides for the most cost effective way to dispose of the vehicles.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to execute an agreement between the City of Shreveport and the Cullen, Louisiana Police Department donating two Chevrolet pickup trucks.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank the Mayor and the Administration, I have a lot of cousins that live up there.

Mayor Glover: I've been authorized to express on behalf of Mayor Bobby Washington and all the good people of color, in the event that this item passes, to say thank you.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 190 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION AMENDING SECTION 2.2 OF THE CITY COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilman Shyne

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 2.2 of the City Council Rules of Procedure is hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows:

2.2 Term. The chairman and vice-chairman shall be elected annually at the second regular meeting in November of each year. Each shall serve a term of one year and until his successor has been elected and qualified. Both the chairman and vice-chairman may succeed themselves for any number of consecutive terms.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, I sense the Ti leaves, that this is going to pass, and that's fine, I just want my position to be know for the record. I appreciate the opportunity to speak. I think that this is the wrong thing to do as I stated yesterday. I think the opportunity for everyone to serve as Chairman, under the six month Chairmanship is a positive thing, because what will happen it will - - - it's been my experience that when people get an opportunity to sit in the Chairman's desk, their appreciation for our rules and procedures, as the flow of the meeting increases as opposed to decreases. I also think it's important, not only to us individually as Council Members, but to our individual constituents that they have an opportunity to have their representative serve as Chairman of Council if that is at their members choice. I understand that people have arguments to the contrary. I just believe that it is something that serves this Council well over the last four years. And I think that - - - I don't think that we should change it, and certainly I don't think that in our second meeting as a Council, we should change the rules of procedure that have been established for quite some time. I'm not saying that maybe in a year, that might be the case or in six months, but to summarily change the rules and procedures of the Council in the second meeting, begs the question how do we know that the present system doesn't work. At least give it a chance to work before we change. But again, I sense the Council's position, and I respect that. I just wanted to put my comments on the record.

Councilwoman Bowman: I certainly would like to go on record as to why I support this, and as I stated on yesterday, three of us have served on the Commission. Two of us had the opportunity to be President. We served for one year. And believe me, even after that - - - and I've had that opportunity twice. But that one year goes by so fast, and there are so many things that are left undone, so you can imagine what it's like for six months for that to happen. And then again, there have been some elected officials who choose not to serve as Chair. So, I think it's certainly a personal preference, and as stated on yesterday, regardless of who is elected or selected as the Chair, we the Council - - - all it takes is a motion, a second and four votes and that person is no longer the Chair. So, I don't see a major problem with it, and that's the way I intend to operate. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I probably have maybe a few more minutes of experience than Mr. Lester does down here. I think I have put about over a period of about 20 years or better, and I think Mr. Lester's probably been down here four. I've had an opportunity to serve both ways, and it was always a year, and when you leave here, and you go to a national meeting, and they ask you how long the Chairmanship is, and you say six months. They almost laugh at you. If it takes for you to sit in the Chair to understand what you're down here for, then you don't have no business being down here in the beginning. You serve as Chairman or Vice Chairman at the pleasure of your colleagues. Not because your constituents want you to come down here to serve as Chairman. Your constituents want you to come down here and represent them well, whether you're Chairman, or whether you're not Chairman. I think it makes us look bad having six months. I mean, you can check state-wide, nation-wide, chairmanships are from a year to two years, and then some even go further than that. I was here. I know the reasons why the Chairmanship was changed. And it was changed then in the first meeting. We didn't wait six months or a year. It was changed then in the first meeting, and it was changed because of a personal reason. And cause like I said on yesterday, I don't want to bring the Mayor in on this, but he was down here. And the reason why it was - - - for that reason, the person that it was

changed because of still served a year. So, I think we're doing the right thing, and of course, you can ask former council members who have served here, and I think most of them will tell you it's a whole lot better if you served a year. And it keeps us from being a laughing stock. When you look at the School Board, they serve a year. When you look at the Commission, they serve a year. Mr. Chairman, thank you.

Councilman Lester: Just briefly, and I'm through.

Councilman Walford: Go ahead Mr. Lester.

Councilman Lester: I have nothing but respect and regard for Mr. Shyne. Certainly I would dare say he is probably the Dean of the City Council when it comes to elected officials and serving. But with all due respect, I don't think that just because he was here for 16 years, and I've only been down here for four, means that his level of service and experience far supersedes mine. Just as a practical matter, we saw Sunday night that sometimes in certain situation, the teacher can be schooled by the pupil, i.e. 42-17, the Dallas Cowboys and New Orleans Saints, for what that's worth. In terms of the one year scenario, I would turn that argument around and not wanting to get combative, but if you can't make something happen, what makes you think that you can make it happen in a year. And I would think that given the fact that the sentiments of our community was to bring in new blood and get new things done. I think that will stick with that. Again, I have nothing but respect for Mr. Shyne, and you know the Council's going to vote the way they Council is. But I don't think that we should necessarily take our queue from other places, because other councils in other places aren't Shreveport, and certainly I don't think that the Council should be in the position of having to take its decisions on how it governs it's politics or governs itself from a procedural standpoint from any other governmental body, whether it be the School Board or the Commission or anything else. That's why the School Board is the School Board, the Commission is the Commission, and the Council is the Council. I just wanted to say that for the record. I have again, nothing but respect for Mr. Shyne. And if the Council's sentiment is to move forward and go back to the old system, I've stated my objection for the record, as I do sometimes in court. I just want to say the other side of the argument, that experience is a good teacher. And it is what is.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I move for the vote, and longevity teaches you something.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Shyne, if I may make one comment please procedurally on this. A change of the Council rules with procedure requires a two-thirds vote, just for the benefit of Council Members.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 191 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR HIDDEN HEAVEN DRIVE AND MELVIN NELSON DRIVE IN HIDDEN HEAVEN ESTATES PHASE I AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the dedication for Hidden Heaven Drive and Melvin Nelson Drive in Section 36, (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Hidden Heaven Drive and Melvin Nelson Drive be recorded in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 192 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A COMMITTEE TO SET CRITERIA FOR AND LIMITS ON THE DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS TO NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS FROM THE RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVEUNE FUND AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN MONTY WALFORD

WHEREAS, the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund is used to fund not-for-profit organizations which provide a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport needs to insure the not-for-profit organization which provide the greatest public benefit receives these funds; and

WHEREAS, revenues in the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund have decreased in recent years and will continue to decrease; and

WHEREAS, a committee is needed to develop criteria for and limits on the disbursements of funds from the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund; and to make specific recommendations to the Council concerning the disbursement of said funds.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that a committee be established to set criteria for and limits on the disbursement of funds to not-for-profit organizations from the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund; and to make specific recommendations to the Council concerning the disbursement of said funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the not-for-profit committee shall consist of persons appointed by the Chairman of the Council to include representatives of the following entities:

- three Council Members
- two citizens from the City of Shreveport
- one from the Administration

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that said committee should present a report to the Council on or before April 30, 2007, July 31, 2007 and October 31, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared severable and repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Mayor, I hope that one person from the Administration is someone close to your right hand right now. I think Mr. Dark would be a definite asset on that committee, as if he won't enough to do.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 193 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION TO ALLOCATE FUNDS TO SPECIFIC NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS FROM FUNDS BUDGETED IN "OTHER CHARGES" IN THE RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Monty Walford

WHEREAS, special appropriations are made by the City of Shreveport and then allocated to certain not-for-profit organizations which serve an overriding public purpose; and

WHEREAS, the City received proposals from not-for-profit organizations to fund projects and programs that are in the public interest; and

WHEREAS, the City Council wishes to specify the organizations which are to be funded in 2007.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that funds budgeted in the 2007 Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund budget for civic appropriations shall be allocated as follows:

<u>Organization</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Barksdale Air Show	\$ 8,000
Barksdale Forward	\$112,500
Biz Camp	\$ 60,000
Caddo Council on Aging	\$ 65,000
Caddo Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse	\$ 22,500
Caddo-Bossier Film Assistance Office	\$ 10,000
Centerpoint	\$ 30,000
December on the Red	\$ 16,500
First Step Services	\$ 11,400
Gingerbread House	\$ 20,000
Hilman House	\$ 9,000
Independence Bowl	\$100,000
LSU-S Center for Business Research	\$ 25,000
MLK Clinic	\$ 25,000
Multi-Cultural Center of the South	\$200,000
Multi-Cultural Tourism Commission	\$ 40,000

Neighborhood Investment Program	\$350,000
Northwest Louisiana Food Bank	\$ 5,000
Providence House	\$ 75,000
Robinson Film Center	\$200,000
Sci-Port	\$340,000
Shreveport Green	\$135,000
Shreveport Regional Arts Council	\$640,000
Shreveport-Bossier Community Renewal	\$ 75,000
Shreveport Regional Sports Authority	\$225,000
Volunteers for Youth Justice	\$ 19,000
Youth Council	\$ 10,000
Contingency	\$ 41,100

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman.

Amendment # 1 to Resolution No. 193 of 2006

AMEND THE RESOLUTION AS FOLLOWS:

Increase Shreveport Regional Arts Council by \$50,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 193 of 2006.

Councilman Lester: What I'm requesting is to give \$50,000 additional to Shreveport Regional Arts Council to go to their re-grant pool for the Black Cultural Arts Group. If you noticed in our budget, the - - - SRAC's budget was increased by a factor of \$200,000 from last year to this year. Last year when the budgets were cut, other organizations, i.e., when SRAC was cut, and what we did with those issues, the cut that SRAC received was the same cut that the re-grant pool to the Black Cultural Arts Coalition was done, and I just believe that as we increase our funding to the Arts, I think that increase should be equivalent.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Thompson, does that amendment specifically say to SRAC that, that money will go to the Black Art's Council? *The Clerk read the explanation:*

The amendment will increase the Shreveport Regional Arts Council allocation by \$50,000 which will be earmarked for the Black Cultural Arts Coalition bringing their total to \$150,000. This amendment has a companion amendment to the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund (Amendment #2) to increase the allocated funds in "Other Charges" by \$50,000.

Motion denied by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, and Webb. 4. Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Shyne, and Bowman. 3.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Resolution No. 193 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 198 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND CONGRATULATE ARTHUR “ART” CARMODY, FOR CAPTURING THE 2006 “LOU GROZA” AWARD PRESENTED TO THE NATION’S TOP PLACE-KICKER AT THE HOME DEPOT 2006 COLLEGE FOOTBALL AWARDS SHOW AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN LONG

WHEREAS, Arthur “Art” Carmody, a native of Shreveport, captured the 2006 Lou Groza Collegiate Place-Kicker Award, which recognizes the top place-kicker in NCAA Division 1-A college football; and

WHEREAS, Art Carmody, a junior and place-kicker for the University of Louisville Cardinals football team, captured the award because he made 20-of-23 field goal attempts, and all 57 of his extra point tries in 2006; and

WHEREAS, Art Carmody is one of the most accurate football place-kickers in the University of Louisville's history: For the 2004 season he made 12 of 15 field goal attempts, and 77 of 77 extra point attempts; and for the 2005 season he made 14 of 16 field goal attempts and 63 of 65 extra point attempts; and

WHEREAS, Art Carmody, is a native of Shreveport, and a graduate of Loyola College Prep, where he was a two-time All District and All City kicker.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the City Council recognizes and congratulates Arthur “Art” Carmody for capturing the prestigious 2006 “Lou Groza” Collegiate Place-Kicker Award, which was presented to him as the nation's top place-kicker during the recent 2006 Home Depot College Football Awards Show.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution shall be signed by each member of the Shreveport City Council, executed in duplicate originals and one original shall be presented to Arthur “Art” Carmody and an original shall be filed in perpetuity in the Office of the Clerk of Council for the City of Shreveport, Louisiana.

Read by title and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Councilman Long: I would like to acknowledge that this is Thomas Carmody’s nephew who is an exceptional kicker where he goes to school, and he got this very prestigious award here recently, and this resolution recognizes him and his accomplishments.

Councilman Shyne: Mike, I was told that he was trained under you. Is that correct, or is that just a rumor?

Councilman Long: No, that’s a rumor.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I'd just like to join in, in expressing my acknowledgement and congratulations to the young Mr. Carmody for his accomplishments at the University of Louisville, I believe is where he is a student, that he has been amongst the preeminent kickers in the country for the last several years. And I'm not sure if we have ever had an individual from the City of Shreveport who has won the best player award for his position. I don't recollect that in recent history. I know that often times, we don't pay attention to what kickers do, but they make a tremendous contribution in a football game for those of us who are great fans, whether we're Saints or Cowboys. We all know about the those who are quarterbacks and the running backs, and the linebackers and the other more glamorous positions, but this individual presentational honor means that this young man is the single best in his position in the entirety of the country. And if you think of all the hundreds of colleges out there right now, that field Division 1A football players to know that we have a young man from Shreveport. Loyola High School I believe who has become the cream of the crop is something that we ought to all be extremely proud of and beyond that, not only is he a great athlete, but he's a fine young man and a great student as well. And he brings honor to his family, and he brings honor this city. So, just wanted to join you all in expressing that.

Councilman Long: Councilman Shyne, growing up I did take his father around.

Councilman Walford: I'm very pleased to vote for this Mr. Long, but I would ask you if the opportunity arises, to have Mr. Carmody visit us in the Chambers and present him a copy of this resolution.

Councilman Long: I will see to that.

Mayor Glover: Be a little busy for a couple of months.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS *(Not to be adopted prior to Dec 26, 2006)*

1. **Resolution No. 194 of 2006:** A resolution authorizing the Mayor's signature on a land use and indemnity agreement with Jones Environmental, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)
2. **Resolution No. 195 of 2006:** A resolution authorizing donation of surplus Shreveport Police vehicles to the Red River Parish Sheriff's Department, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
3. **Resolution No. 196 of 2006:** A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a release for surface damages associated with the drilling of five (5) oil and gas wells located at the City of Shreveport's sludge field location along the Harts Island Road, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
4. **Resolution No. 197 of 2006:** A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a donation agreement between the City of Shreveport and W. R. Properties, Inc., herein represented by Weyman Oden, President, for the Water Mains, Sewer Mains and all related appurtenances serving Willow Ridge at Stone Lakes, Unit 7, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES *(Not to be adopted prior to Dec 26, 2006)*

1. **Ordinance No. 210 of 2006**: An ordinance declaring a certain adjudicated property to be surplus and to authorize the Mayor of the City of Shreveport to donate the City of Shreveport's tax interest in a certain surplus adjudicated property, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)
2. **Ordinance No. 211 of 2006**: An ordinance declaring certain adjudicated properties to be surplus and to authorize the Mayor of the City of Shreveport to sell the City of Shreveport's tax interest in certain surplus adjudicated properties, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb/G/Bowman)
3. **Ordinance No. 212 of 2006**: An ordinance repealing Ordinance No. 176 of 1998 that created a stop intersection at the intersection of Chateau Drive and Marseille Drive, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)
4. **Ordinance No. 213 of 2006**: An ordinance closing and abandoning a 10 foot-wide sewer sanitary easement located in the Beaux Rivages Subdivision, Unit No. 2 in the east ½ of Section 31, (T17N-R13W), Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Councilman Walford: If I may, for the benefit of the new Council Members, we quite often will move to adopt them in globo. So, I would welcome a motion.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to introduce Resolutions No(s) 194, 195, 196, and 197 of 2006, and Ordinance No(s). 210, 211, 212, and 213 of 2006 to lay over until December 26, 2006 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE *(Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers)*

1. **Ordinance No. 151 of 2006**: An ordinance authorizing the donation of City-owned property to the Housing Authority of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) *(Postponed November 28, 2006)*

Having passed first reading on September 12, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to withdraw. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Ordinance No. 154 of 2006**: Creating and establishing a speed limit of 45 MPH on Wells Boulevard from North Thomas Drive to Airport Drive and on North Hearne

Avenue from North Market Street to North Thomas Drive and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/.Lester) (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on September 26, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

3. **Ordinance No. 163 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 163 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease Taxes and Special Assessments by \$350,000.

Decrease Licenses and Permits by \$10,000.

Decrease External Service Charges by \$100,000.

Decrease Internal Service Charges by \$50,000.

Decrease Interest by \$50,000.

Decrease Fines and Forfeits by \$50,000.

Decrease Miscellaneous by \$960,000.

Decrease Prior-Year Fund Balance by \$299,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

In Office of the Mayor, decrease Materials and Supplies by \$1,500, Contractual Services by \$69,500 and Improvements and Equipment by \$1,500. Increase Personal Services by \$53,500.

In Public Assembly and Recreation, decrease Personal Services by \$60,000, Materials and Supplies by \$35,000, Contractual Services by \$10,000 and Improvements and Equipment by \$5,000.

In Finance, decrease Personal Services by \$50,000, Materials and Supplies by \$3,000 and Contractual Services by \$30,000.

In General Government, decrease Personal Services by \$35,000, Operating Reserve by \$769,000, Transfer to MPC Fund by \$10,000, Transfer to SporTran Fund by \$87,000, Transfer to Community Development Fund by \$10,000 and Transfer to Golf Fund by \$15,000.

In Police, decrease Personal Services by \$57,000, Materials and Supplies by \$130,000 and Improvements and Equipment by \$15,000. Increase Transfer to Fleet Services by \$75,000.

In Fire, decrease Personal Services by \$252,000 and Materials and Supplies by \$10,000.

In Operational Services, decrease Personal Services by \$100,000, Materials and Supplies by \$170,000, Contractual Services by \$35,000 and Improvements and Equipment by \$4,000.

In City Courts, decrease Personal Services by \$20,000.

In City Marshal, decrease Materials and Supplies by \$18,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 163 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt Ordinance No. 163 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

4. **Ordinance No. 164 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 164 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Program A (Building and Improvements):

Under "Projects", delete line 4 and substitute in lieu thereof the following:

4. Shreveport Convention Center Hotel and 400,000 46,908,300
Associated Improvements (03A004)

Under "Revenues", add a line reading as follows:

2005 Hotel Bonds (300,000)

In Program C (Street Improvements):

Delete the existing text and substitute in lieu thereof the following:

Projects:

1. Bridge Replacement Program (96C014) 250,000 1,801,000
 2. I-49/Murphy/Texas Ave. Corridor Imps. (98C007) (310,000) 290,000
 3. East 84th Street Paving (00C001) (145,600) 1,531,700
 4. Booker T. Street Paving (01C018) 100,000 790,000
 5. Turn Lane at Pierremont and Fairfield Ave. (01C022) 100,000 960,000
 6. Street Projects for Economic Development - 300,000 2,573,000
2001 Bonds (01C032)
 7. Turn Lanes - East Kings Highway at Bert Kouns 100,000 250,000
(06C002)
 8. 2007 CDBG Overlay (07C001) 300,000 300,000
- SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM C PROJECTS 694,400 8,495,700
TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM C PROJECTS 63,224,900

Revenues:

Community Development Block Grant 134,300

1999 GOB, Prop. 4 (Streets) 40,000

2001 GOB 250,000

2003 GOB 270,100

SUBTOTAL PROGRAM C REVENUES 694,400

In Program F (Sewer Improvements):

Delete the existing text and substitute in lieu thereof the following:

Projects:

1. SSO Abatement Program (98F004) (171,500) 7,344,000
 2. Cross Lake Watershed Sewer Improvements 188,700 380,700
(06F002)
- SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM F PROJECTS 17,200 7,724,700
TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM F PROJECTS 125,139,200

Revenues:

EPA Grants 17,200
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM F REVENUES 17,200

In Program L (Transit Improvements):

Under "Revenues", decrease General Fund by \$87,000 and increase FTA grant by \$87,000.
Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 164 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Ordinance No. 164 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

5. **Ordinance No. 165 of 2006:** An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Long.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 165 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease Estimated Fund Balance as of 1/1/2007 by \$110,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase Operating Reserves by \$15,000.

Decrease Contractual Services by \$125,000.

Decrease Transfer to General Fund by \$1,100,000.

Increase Transfer to Debt Service Fund by \$1,100,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 165 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Amendment # 3 to Ordinance No. 165 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$180,000.

Increase Transfer to Community Development by \$180,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt Amendment No. 3 to Ordinance No. 165 of 2006.

Councilman Lester: This is Phase II of the faith builders program as we discussed at some length on yesterday. What we're going to do is we're bringing in a national group of people to teach some people in the faith based as well as the non profit community how to be developers so that they can take advantage of some of the opportunities that are out there. Again, like I said, the completion of the program will be - - you will actually have projects that are bankable and actually have funding that will be in a posture to be constructive, both from an economic development standpoint, and from a housing standpoint.

Substitute motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the first meeting in January.

Councilman Long: The reason I'm asking for this, I'm not opposed to the concept of what they're trying to do, and I just don't have enough information right now, and I'm not comfortable voting on this money at this moment in time, and I'd like to wait.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Glass would you weigh in on this. This is an amendment to an ordinance that needs to be adopted today. You could always come back and bring a new ordinance which would amend this ordinance and any other ordinance that needed to be amended. But I'd like for Ms. Glass to weigh in or not as to whether or not we can postpone this.

Ms. Glass: Is this one of the annual budgets?

Councilman Walford: It's an amendment. Mr. Lester, would you consider withdrawing and re-introducing to - - -

Councilman Lester: I would ask the question of Mr. Long, when he says additional information, I would just want to know what additional information he was speaking of. Ms. Moore, I mean, I have copies of the actual program. When we talk about additional information, I'm just - - - when we talked about it on yesterday, I didn't have anyone here make any requests for any additional information, and had that been the request on yesterday after we went through a pretty thorough and as I appreciate a detailed information, I certainly could have provided that to any of the Council Members.

Councilman Long: I don't deny that, I just think that after further consideration and processing in the evening, I just felt like it was just - - - I did need some more information. I do apologize that I didn't consult with you earlier. But I just think that at this point and time, the best thing that we can do is just wait and lets gather all this up and make sure we're all comfortable doing this. Again, and I don't have a problem with the concept, I just want to make sure that the program is structured in a way that I think in a way that I think is beneficial and accountable. So when we go to my constituents and they say what did you do with that money,

well it's being utilized in a way that's good and will bring good results to that area. And I just want to make sure that's what happens.

Councilman Lester: Well, I will say this for Councilman Long's and the Council's edification. First of all the money is going to be directed to the Department of Community Development. We're not giving any money to any faith based organization or anything like that. And much like the Allendale Total Commitment Program that we went through in painstaking detail earlier, much like the Neighborhood Investment Program that we've gone through in painstaking detail. And much like some of the other budget amendments that we have not gone through in painstaking detail in terms of funding and things of that nature, when you say you have some concerns about making sure the money goes to the right places, I guess my question Councilman Long, and I'm just a little mystified, that if upon further reflection you had questions, I mean postponing it - - -I mean well - - -

Councilman Shyne: I agree with you, this is not a life and death situation. I think we could afford to vote it down and I think if we see the value of it later on, we could come back and always make an amendment.

Councilman Long: Would you withdraw your second? Then I withdraw my motion on the substitute.

Councilman Shyne: Right, we can vote it down. And come back - - -

Councilman Webb: How much money are we talking about?

Councilman Walford: \$180,000.

Councilman Shyne: That's a lot of money.

Councilman Lester: That's less than the \$200,000 increase in SRAC. It's less than several of the other budget amendments that we're voting for, that we're giving. I mean we're talking about - - - listen. If you don't believe in the propensity of the program, just vote no. I understand that everyone's district is different. But my district, District A and there are several other districts that have some serious problems in terms of redevelopment. And as I spoke on yesterday, and I made reference to and I think Ms. Moore made reference to the fact that we have had no less than 39 faith based organizations come through the Faith Builders Program, and as I made reference to about, 14 are actually doing bankable projects in every City Council District, including yours, and including mine. Again, if you don't want to do it, then that's fine. I'm just a little concerned that we would say that you would express hesitancy in spending money and sending money to the Department of Community Development that is going to put this program together as we outlined, and yet we had no conversation and no hesitancy about doing much greater sums of money in the Riverfront Development Budget and no conversation. It just strikes me as curious. Again, if this Council is saying that we don't want to do redevelopment, that's fine. Lets vote, we'll have a record, and we'll move forward.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I don't think we could compare this to SRAC in any fashion, form, or manner. I've been associated with this organization for many years, and they do a tremendous service for the City of Shreveport. The money that we give them, they probably triple that. They probably spend \$1,500,000 to \$2,000,000 so, take it from me this time from talking from longevity that the kind of enhancement that they bring to this community, it's no way in the world in a rational mind could compare \$180,000 that's going to this, to the money that we get from SRAC. And I want to comment you all, SRAC for doing an excellent job. For taking what we give you all and triple it. Sometimes you even do better than that. This is really what we need, because this is a part of drawing people to Shreveport. This is a part of making Shreveport the next great city of the south, we have to have what you all do in order for this City

to be the kind of city that it needs to be. So, I applaud you all, keep on working, and keep on doing what you all are doing. My hat goes off to you all.

Councilman Walford: I've got one Mr. Lester, and let me get my first debate in, it's merely a suggestion to you that because of the nature of this, and because of the fact that it can't be postponed, I would urge you to consider withdrawing it at this meeting, re-introducing it at the next meeting, so it could be acted on at the first January meeting. And if you are so inclined to do that, I would certainly second your motion. But otherwise I will yield. Technically, you've had two debates on this already. So, it's time to vote, unless you'd like to make a substitute motion.

Councilman Lester: Nope.

Councilman Webb: And a yea vote is what and a nay vote is what?

Councilman Walford: A yes vote, voting yes on the amendment that you want to give the \$180,000. A no vote, says that you do not want to adopt Amendment No. 3.

Motion to adopt Amendment No. 3 to Ordinance No. 165 denied by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 5. Ayes: Councilmen Lester, and Bowman. 2.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt Ordinance No. 165 of 2006 as amended (w/Amendment No. 1). Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

6. **Ordinance No. 166 of 2006:** An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006, was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 166 of 2007

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Under "Fiscal Year 2007 Funds", decrease Transfer From General Fund by \$10,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Under "2007 Revenues", in Codes Enforcement, decrease Materials and Supplies by \$10,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 166 of 2007. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt Ordinance No. 166 of 2007 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen

Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

7. **Ordinance No. 167 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on **October 10, 2006** was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman **Shyne**, seconded by Councilman **Long**.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 167 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Increase External Service Charges by \$10,000.

Motion by Councilman **Shyne**, seconded by Councilman **Long** to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 167 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

Motion by Councilman **Shyne**, seconded by Councilman **Wooley** to adopt Ordinance No. 167 of 2006 as amended.

Councilman Shyne: You think we could do like they do at the State Legislature sometime? Vote for our colleague?

Mayor Glover: Might just be a matter of a rule change Mr. Shyne. Some might end up with better voting records if we could do that.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

8. **Ordinance No. 168 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on **October 10, 2006** was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman **Long**, seconded by Councilman **Wooley**.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 168 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease City of Shreveport by \$10,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Personal Services by \$2,500, Contractual Services by \$5,000 and Improvements and Equipment by \$2,500.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 168 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilwoman Bowman. 1.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt Ordinance No. 168 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

9. **Ordinance No. 169 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Fleet Services Internal Service Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Walford.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 169 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Increase Transfer From General Fund by \$75,000.

Increase Transfer From Community Development Fund by \$7,100.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase Materials and Supplies by \$27,600.

Increase Contractual Services by \$54,500.

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 169 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt Ordinance No. 169 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

10. **Ordinance No. 170 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Golf Enterprise Fund appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 170 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease Estimated Available Fund Balance 1/1/2007 by \$25,000.

Decrease Transfer From General Fund by \$15,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Personal Services by \$15,000 and Operating Reserves by \$40,000. Increase Contractual Services by \$15,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt Amendment No. to Ordinance No. 170. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Ordinance No. 170 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

11. **Ordinance No. 171 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Airports Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: I want to tell the Shreveport Times I'm out of practice on voting, that's why I'm having this trouble.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

12. **Ordinance No. 172 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Police Grants Special Fund appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None.

13. **Ordinance No. 173 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Environmental Grants Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006, was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

14. **Ordinance No. 174 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Downtown Entertainment Economic Development Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006, was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: I see our DDA Director back there, and I wonder if he's a part of that Downtown Entertainment?

Mr. Williams: No sir.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

15. **Ordinance No. 175 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Downtown Parking Enterprise Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006, was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

16. **Ordinance No. 176 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Convention Center Hotel Enterprise Fund, appropriating the Funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Webb. *The Clerk read the following:*

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 176 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Increase 2005 Hotel Bond Proceeds by \$300,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase Contractual Services by \$300,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 176 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt Ordinance No. 176 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

17. **Ordinance No. 177 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Shreveport Redevelopment Agency Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006, was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following votes: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

18. **Ordinance No. 178 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

19. **Ordinance No. 179 of 2006**: Adopting the 2007 Debt Service Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006, was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance No. 179 of 2006

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase 2007 Requirements - Principal and Interest by \$1,100,000.

Increase the Operating Transfer - Riverfront Fund by \$1,100,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 179 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt Ordinance No. 179 of 2006 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

Councilman Walford: And the Chairman is going to ask the Staff is we could appoint a staff member to train my colleague here in pushing the button. Since he's pickin' on me.

20. **Ordinance No. 180 of 2006**: Adopting the 2007 Budget Funding Contractual Services provided to SPORTRAN by Metro Management Associates, Inc., and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 10, 2006, was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Long.

Amendment # 1 to Ordinance 180 of 2006

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease City of Shreveport by \$87,000.

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Transfer to Capital Projects Fund by \$87,000.

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 180 of 2006. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt Ordinance No. 180 of 2006 as amended.

Councilman Shyne: You know Mr. Chairman, I believe somebody on the Commission probably tore these things up.

Councilwoman Bowman: We blamed the Council.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None

Mr. Thompson: Congratulations Mr. Chairman and the Council, you have now adopted the budget.

21. **Ordinance No. 183 of 2006**: An ordinance creating Yield and Stop intersections within the City limits of the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

Having passed first reading on November 28, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

22. Ordinance No. 184 of 2006: An ordinance creating Stop intersections within the City limits of the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Having passed first reading on November 28, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Bowman to postpone.

Councilman Wooley: The reason being, I haven't had the opportunity to speak to residents of these neighborhoods where these stop signs are going into effect. I really want to see the necessity for these stop signs.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

23. Ordinance No. 191 of 2006: An ordinance declaring certain adjudicated properties to be surplus and to authorize the Mayor of the City of Shreveport to sell the City of Shreveport's tax interest in certain surplus adjudicated properties, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester/C/Long/F/Shyne) (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on November 28, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

24. Ordinance No. 192 of 2006: An ordinance declaring certain adjudicated properties to be surplus and to authorize the Mayor of the City of Shreveport to donate the City of Shreveport's tax interest in certain surplus adjudicated properties, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on November 14, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

25. Ordinance No. 204 of 2006: An ordinance amending the 2006 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue fund and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Disparity Study) (A/Lester) (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

26. **Ordinance No. 205 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Capital Improvements Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on November 14, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to table Ordinance No(s) 204 and 205 of 2006.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Chairman, just in for a way of explanation, the reason why I did not vote on 192, I don't think it's a conflict, but the donation involved with 192 is with my church, and so that's why I didn't vote.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

27. **Ordinance No. 206 of 2006**: An ordinance authorizing the Mayor to execute an amendment to the lease agreement with Cedar Grove Affirms Real Effort Neighborhood Association (C.A.R.E), and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

Having passed first reading on November 28, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Webb to postpone. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

28. **Ordinance No. 207 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on November 28, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

29. **Ordinance No. 208 of 2006**: An ordinance adopting the 2007 Budget for the Downtown Development District Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto

Having passed first reading on November 28, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

30. **Ordinance No. 209 of 2006**: An ordinance amending the 2006 Golf Enterprise Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on November 28, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

31. **Ordinance No. 187 of 2006**: ZONING – C-63-06: An ordinance amending Section 1 of Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on October 24, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, I want it explained. Which zoning ordinance are we speaking in reference to?

Mr. Kirkland: Well, interestingly enough, Mr. Webb, Ms. Bowman, and Mr. Long have already voted on this favorably in front of the Parish. It's just now coming before the City Council.

Councilman Shyne: So y'all gotta vote again.

Mr. Kirkland: This is to clear up confusion about a secondary residence on an individual's lot is not a mobile home park, and that's really what's it's doing. You remember now Ms. Bowman, I know.

Councilwoman Bowman: Right, but for clarification, our Ordinances were explained.

Mr. Kirkland: Exactly.

Councilwoman Bowman: And just reading this, you won't know what it's about, just looking at it. I can click on it, I know. Thank you Ron.

Mr. Kirkland: Did that answer your question?

Councilwoman Bowman: It did, thank you.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

32. **Ordinance No. 196 of 2006**: ZONING C-88-06: An ordinance amending Section 82-44 (e) of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances, the subdivision ordinance, relative to final plat approval, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 28, 2006*)

Having passed first reading on November 14, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

33. **Ordinance No. 197 of 2006**: ZONING C-89-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of Jewella at its intersection with Southside Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-3, Community Business District, to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District, Limited to “sheet metal fabrication sales and service, only” as described at the November 1, 2006 Public Hearing, which includes fabrication of mobile video surveillance monitoring stations (either self-contained in a vehicle or trailer type), and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)
(Postponed November 28, 2006)

Having passed first reading on November 14, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.

Councilman Webb: Question. What is the difference between B-3 and B-3-E?

Mr. Thompson: B-3-E is an extended use. It gives some uses that would not be in a B-3.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Kirkland, would you be willing to clear this up?

Mr. Kirkland: As Mr. Thompson said, it is an extended classification. What these folks really needed was I-1, Light Industrial planning and that’s not appropriate for that site. The church as you know (inaudible) owns this property have tentatively agreed to some very tight conditions Mr. Webb. And in order for them to go there, but we made certain that no outside storage and other things that wouldn’t be appropriate for a B-3 zone. An E allows that zoning class to reach into the next heavier class and pick up that light. It’s very conditional, very specific.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

34. **Ordinance No. 198 of 2006**: ZONING C-91-06: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF West College and Jewella Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R1D, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District, to R-1D-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District Limited to office and retail space only, and from B-1, Buffer Business District to B-2, Neighborhood Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Bowman) *(Postponed November 28, 2006)*

Having passed first reading on November 14, 2006 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 154 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH A SPEED LIMIT OF 45 MPH ON JACK WELLS BOULEVARD FROM NORTH THOMAS DRIVE TO AIRPORT DRIVE AND ON NORTH HEARNE AVENUE FROM NORTH MARKET STREET TO NORTH THOMAS DRIVE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, according to the minutes of the City Council, Ordinance No. 11 of 1968 was passed by the City Council on January 28, 1969; and

WHEREAS, it appears that there is a typographical error and Ordinance No. 11 of 1968 should really read as Ordinance No. 11 of 1969;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Ordinance No. 11 referenced above is hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED by the City Council of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that there be established a speed limit of 45 miles per hour on Jack Wells Boulevard from North Thomas Drive to Airport Drive and on North Hearne Avenue from North Market Street to North Thomas Drive and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

The adopted Budget Ordinances follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 163 OF 2006 (As Adopted)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 GENERAL FUND BUDGET, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts for the General Fund for the year 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

Taxes and Special Assessments	\$135,302,500
Licenses and Permits	8,456,100
External Service Charges	9,125,500
Internal Service Charges	4,504,000
Interest	75,000
Fines and Forfeits	3,589,000
Intergovernmental	1,809,900
Miscellaneous	12,211,700
Transfer from Riverfront Fund	3,300,000
Prior-Year Fund Balance	13,901,000
TOTAL	\$ 192,274,700

1. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated by department, out of the General Fund receipts for the year 2007:

Office of the Mayor

Personal Services	\$2,436,500
Materials and Supplies	33,200
Contractual Services	135,800
Other Charges	5,500
Improvements and Equipment	9,300
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	500
Subtotal	\$2,620,800

Public Assembly and Recreation

Personal Services	\$8,056,100
Materials and Supplies	950,200
Contractual Services	3,413,100
Other Charges	311,000
Improvements and Equipment	598,500
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	191,100
Notes Payable	448,200
Subtotal	\$13,968,200

Finance

Personal Services	\$4,442,000
Materials and Supplies	244,600
Contractual Services	1,360,700
Other Charges	0
Improvements and Equipment	131,700
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	10,500
Subtotal	\$6,189,500

General Government

Personal Services	\$3,487,500
Materials and Supplies	1,500
Contractual Services	342,000
Other Charges	2,427,000
Operating Reserves	12,015,000
Improvements and Equipment	0
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	250,000
Transfer to MPC Fund	958,500

Transfer to SporTran Fund	5,767,400
Transfer to Retained Risk Fund	6,749,600
Transfer to Community Development Fund	3,057,700
Transfer to Golf Fund	210,000
Transfer to Airports Fund	120,000
Transfer to Water and Sewerage Fund	750,000
Subtotal	\$ 36,136,200

Police

Personal Services	\$39,703,500
Materials and Supplies	1,775,700
Contractual Services	989,000
Other Charges	77,000
Improvements and Equipment	2,617,100
Transfer to Police Grants Fund	17,500
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	1,075,000
Subtotal	\$46,254,800

Fire

Personal Services	\$40,498,200
Materials and Supplies	1,379,300
Contractual Services	977,100
Other Charges	3,000
Improvements and Equipment	2,613,800
Subtotal	\$45,471,400

Operational Services

Personal Services	\$13,504,300
Materials and Supplies	2,751,600
Contractual Services	12,516,100
Other Charges	0
Improvements and Equipment	3,490,400
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	1,574,800
Transfer to Water & Sewer Fund	378,000
Notes Payable	2,000,100
Subtotal	\$36,215,300

City Council

Personal Services	\$ 1,077,400
Materials and Supplies	15,000
Contractual Services	204,300
Other Charges	0
Improvements and Equipment	26,500
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	2,000

Subtotal \$ 1,325,200

City Courts

Personal Services	\$2,486,800
Materials and Supplies	80,800
Contractual Services	81,700
Other Charges	0
Improvements and Equipment	0
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	2,000

Subtotal \$2,651,300

City Marshal

Personal Services	\$1,375,300
Materials and Supplies	54,700
Contractual Services	12,000
Improvements and Equipment	0
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	0

Subtotal \$1,442,000

GRAND TOTAL - GENERAL FUND OPERATIONS \$192,274,700

1. The General Fund Budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action of the City Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

2. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from General Fund receipts shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided in the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

3. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend and receive the funds herein authorized, excluding contracts for special legal counsel.

4. City Court Judges' Salaries - The salary paid to each City Court judge by the City of Shreveport shall be \$64,521 per annum for the year 2007.

5. City Marshal's Salary - The salary paid to the City Marshal by the City of Shreveport shall be \$83,836 per annum for the year 2007.

6. Donation of City Funds - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts involving funds appropriated herein. However, prior to execution, all contracts in which the City undertakes obligations or responsibilities over and above payment of funds herein appropriated must be authorized in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 164 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)
AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET,
APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE
PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the City Charter provides that the appropriations set forth in the annual Capital Improvements Budget remain in effect until they are amended or the project is closed; and

WHEREAS, 2007 appropriations are necessary only for those projects which are new or for which the appropriation is increased or decreased.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

Section 1 - Appropriations:

The following funds are hereby appropriated for the projects in the column titled "2007 Budget." For those projects listed herein, total project spending for all years shall not exceed the amount appropriated herein, unless such amount is increased by subsequent action of the City Council. For active capital projects which are not listed herein, but which have been previously appropriated in a Capital Budget ordinance, the prior appropriation shall remain in effect. The Director of Finance is authorized to expend same in accordance with law, to the extent that funds are available.

Program A - Building and Improvements

Projects:

2007	2007
CHANGE	BUDGET

1.Railroad Museum (95A004)	(343,600)	195,000
2.Shreveport Convention Center (97A004)	630,100	109,395,100
3.Downtown City Hall (99A002)	30,900	8,416,300
4.Shreveport Convention Center Hotel and Associated Improvements (03A004)	400,000	46,908,300
5. Festival Plaza Covered Pavilion (04A001)	400,000	1,040,000
6. Renovations for ADA (06A001)	1,000,000	1,422,800
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM A PROJECTS	2,117,400	167,377,500
TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM A PROJECTS		188,037,000

Revenues:

General Fund Revenues		250,000
Interest Earned		1,314,600
State Capital Outlay		56,400
Insurance Proceeds		45,500
Gain/Loss on Sale of Securities		900
Riverfront Development		750,000
2005 Hotel Bonds		(300,000)
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM A REVENUES		2,117,400

Program B - Recreation Improvements

Change the name of Project 94B003 to Ledbetter Heights/Allendale Park & Community Center.

Projects:

1. Ledbetter Heights/Allendale Park & Community Center (94B003)	1,200,000	3,045,000
2. Riverfront Park Extension		52,100 5,675,500
3. Independence Stadium Capital Repairs & Improvements (02B001)		72,500 447,400
4. Columbia Park Renovation (07B001)	100,000	100,000
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM B PROJECTS	1,424,600	9,267,900
TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM B PROJECTS		18,644,900

Revenues:

Private Donations		1,200,000
Other Funds		72,500
1996 GOB, Prop. 8 (Riverfront Park)		2,200
1997 GOB, Prop. 8 (Riverfront Park)		(200)
1998 GOB, Prop. 8 (Riverfront Park)		(500)
1999 GOB, Prop. 8 (Riverfront Park)		50,600
1999 GOB, Prop. 2 (Parks)		100,000
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM B REVENUES		1,424,600

Program C - Street Improvements

Projects:

1.	Bridge Replacement Program (96C014)	250,000	1,801,000
2.	I-49/Murphy/Texas Ave. Corridor Imps. (98C007)	(310,000)	290,000
3.	East 84 th Street Paving (00C001)	(145,600)	1,531,700
4.	Booker T. Street Paving (01C018)	100,000	790,000
5.	Turn Lane at Pierremont and Fairfield Ave. (01C022)	100,000	960,000
6.	Street Projects for Economic Development - 2001 Bonds (01C032)	300,000	2,573,000
7.	Turn Lanes - East Kings Highway at Bert Kouns (06C002)	100,000	250,000
8.	2007 CDBG Overlay (07C001)	300,000	300,000
	SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM C PROJECTS	694,400	8,495,700
	TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM C PROJECTS		63,224,900

Revenues:

Community Development Block Grant	134,300
1999 GOB, Prop. 4 (Streets)	40,000
2001 GOB	250,000
2003 GOB	270,100
SUBTOTAL PROGRAM C REVENUES	694,400

Program D - Drainage Improvements

Projects:

SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM D PROJECTS	0	0
TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM D PROJECTS		28,841,800

Program E - Water Improvements

Projects:

1.	60" Water Main - Amiss Plant to West College Street (06E001)	4,713,000	5,263,000
	SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM E PROJECTS	4,713,000	5,263,000
	TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM E PROJECTS		85,906,500

Revenues:

Water and Sewer Revenues	4,713,000
SUBTOTAL -PROGRAM E REVENUES	4,713,000

Program F - Sewer Improvements

Projects:

1.	SSO Abatement Program (98F004)	(171,500)	7,344,000
2.	Cross Lake Watershed Sewer Improvements (06F002)	188,700	380,700
	SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM F PROJECTS	17,200	7,724,700
	TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM F PROJECTS		125,139,200

Revenues:

EPA Grants		17,200
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM F REVENUES		17,200

Program G - Traffic Engineering

Projects:

SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM G PROJECTS	0	0
TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM G PROJECTS		6,286,400

Program H - Airports Projects

Projects:

1.	Rehab of Pavement & Taxiway Lighting Taxiway "A" (07H001)	420,000	420,000
2.	Regional Perimeter Road - Phase 1 (07H002)	787,500	787,500
	SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM H PROJECTS	1,207,500	1,207,500
	TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM H PROJECTS		69,934,200

Revenues:

Federal DOT Grant		1,092,500
Shreveport Airport Authority		37,500
Other Funds		77,500
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM H REVENUES		1,207,500

Program I - Fire Improvements

Projects:

1.	Construct Fire Station #22	75,000	1,565,000
	SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM I PROJECTS	75,000	1,565,000
	TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM I PROJECTS		7,180,000

Revenues:

2003 GOB		75,000
----------	--	--------

Program L - Transit Improvements

Projects:

1.	2004 SporTran Capital Improvements (04L001)	834,600	4,919,000
2.	2005 SporTran Capital Improvements (05L001)	(852,100)	2,014,600

3.	2006 SporTran Capital Improvements (06L001)	301,300	3,518,800
4.	2007 SporTran Capital Improvements (07L001)	6,907,300	6,907,300
	SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM L PROJECTS	7,191,100	17,359,700
	TOTAL - ALL PROGRAM L PROJECTS		22,442,800

Revenues:

General Fund	1,379,400
FTA Grant	5,946,300
NL-COG	7,500
Downtown Development Authority	(142,100)
SUBTOTAL - PROGRAM L REVENUES	7,191,100
GRAND TOTAL - 2007 CHANGE	\$ 17,440,200
GRAND TOTAL - ALL PROJECTS	\$615,637,700

1.No office, department, agency or individual shall be allowed to expend any funds or incur any obligations other than in accordance with the Capital Improvements Budget’s appropriations, as provided in Article 7 of the City Charter.

2.The Mayor be and is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend and receive the funds herein authorized.

3.The Mayor is authorized to transfer among projects within programs and funding sources an amount which will not increase or decrease any project budget total more than ten per cent (10%), provided, however, that at least one hundred twenty (120) hours, excluding holidays and weekends, prior to taking such action, the Mayor shall notify the members of the City Council of the proposed action, the reasons for the proposed transfer and the impact the transfer will have on the projects, by filing such notice with the Clerk of Council, who shall immediately forward copies of the notice to all members of the City Council and shall file the original of such notice with the current Capital Budget Ordinance. Thereafter, a special Council meeting to consider any proposed transfer of funds will stop any action on the designated projects. Provided, further, that funds may be transferred one time only to or from a project unless the prior transfer(s) has been included in a capital budget amendment.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance shall become effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 165 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)
AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE RIVERFRONT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO
BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has available in fiscal year 2007 certain funds as a result of gaming activity on its Riverfront; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has previously determined that the dedication of these funds to riverfront, downtown and economic development activity to be beneficial to the interests of the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts of the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund for 2007 are hereby established as follows:

Estimated Fund Balance as of 1/1/2007	\$1,853,000
Land Rent	855,000
Gaming Head Tax/Sam's Town	4,400,000
Gross Gaming Revenue/Sam's Town	1,000,000
Boomtown Casino	1,180,000
El Dorado Casino	5,250,000
Interest Earnings	130,000
TOTAL	\$14,668,000

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures and projects are hereby appropriated out of the Riverfront Special Revenue Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Personal Services	\$ 226,700
Materials and Supplies	1,700
Contractual Services	1,960,600
Other Charges	2,842,500
Operating Reserves	2,812,000
Transfer to General Fund	3,349,500
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	750,000
Transfer to Debt Service Fund	2,725,000

TOTAL

\$14,668,000

3. The Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4 Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from the receipts of the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

5 Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

6 Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 166 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has created the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, to account for certain funds spent on jobs training, housing improvement, codes enforcement and neighborhood development.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated Community Development Special Revenue Fund budget receipts for the year 2007 are hereby established as follows:

2006 and Prior-Year Funds:

Prior-Year CDBG Entitlement	1,189,600
Prior-Year HOME Program	1,477,600
Prior-Year HOME Program Income	600,000
Prior-Year HOPE Program Income	360,000
Prior-Year WIA Grants	617,900
Prior-Year SHMA Program Income	150,000
Prior-Year Riverfront Development Funds	261,000
Prior-Year Section 108 (SICED)	1,723,700
Prior-Year EDI Grant	827,700
Prior-Year Business Development Prog. Income	400,000
Prior-Year Rental Rehab Program Income	90,000
Prior-Year FNMA Grant	490,100
SUBTOTAL - 2006 AND PRIOR YEARS	\$8,467,600

Fiscal Year 2007 Funds:

CDBG Entitlement	\$2,687,400
Housing Program Income	250,000
HOME Entitlement	1,370,000
HOME Program Income	200,000
HOME ADDI Grant	22,500
HOPE Program Income	24,000
Federal Emergency Shelter	115,100
State Emergency Shelter	106,000
Basic Education Program Income	5,000
Transfer from General Fund	3,057,700
Codes Enforcement Ext. Service Charges	300,000
Business Development Program Income	200,000
Private Donations - PYHOS	7,000
Rental Rehab Program Income	12,000
SHMA Program Income	4,000
LAJET Grant	372,200
WIA Grant	1,570,300
SUBTOTAL - FY 2007 FUNDS	\$10,303,200

GRAND TOTAL REVENUES **\$18,770,800**

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Community Development Special Revenue Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Prior-Year Funds:

CDBG:

EDI Grant	412,200
SICED Grant	1,723,700
HOME Program	2,077,600

Workforce Development Grants:

WIA	617,900
-----	---------

Neighborhood Recovery Program	490,100
Loan Repayment Account	676,500
Misc. Programs (HOPE, SHMA, Rental Rehab)	600,000
Special Projects	400,000
CDBG Programs	1,469,600

SUBTOTAL - 2006 AND PRIOR YEARS \$8,467,600

2007 Revenues:

Administration:

Personal Services	\$ 618,400
Materials and Supplies	13,600
Contractual Services	90,600
Other Charges	0
Improvements and Equipment	0
Transfer to General Fund	60,000
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	300,000
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	2,800
Subtotal	\$1,085,400

Emergency Shelter Grants:

Federal ESG	\$ 115,100
State ESG	106,000
Subtotal	\$ 221,100

TOTAL - ADMINISTRATION \$1,306,500

Housing and Business Development:

Personal Services	\$ 552,900
-------------------	------------

Materials and Supplies	19,500
Contractual Services	83,300
Other Charges	0
Improvements and Equipment	8,000
Transfer to General Fund	123,000
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	4,300
Subtotal	\$ 791,000

Programs:

Business Development

Basic Education Program	5,000
Business Development Program Income	200,000
Subtotal	\$ 205,000

Housing

Housing Program- CDBG	1,800,000
HOME Program	1,345,700
HOPE ADDI	22,500
Paint Your Heart Out - Private	7,000
Misc. Programs (HOPE, SHMA, Rental Rehab)	40,000
Subtotal	\$3,215,200

TOTAL - HOUSING & BUSINESS DEV. \$4,211,200

Workforce Development:

WIA Grants	\$ 1,570,300
LAJET	372,200
TOTAL - WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT	\$ 1,942,500

Codes Enforcement:

Personal Services	\$ 888,600
Materials and Supplies	126,300
Contractual Services	926,100
Other Charges	765,000
Improvements and Equipment	75,000
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	54,500
Notes Payable	7,500

TOTAL - CODES ENFORCEMENT \$ 2,843,000

GRAND TOTAL - APPROPRIATIONS (2007) \$10,303,200

GRAND TOTAL - ALL APPROPRIATIONS

\$18,770,800

3. The Community Development Special Revenue Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action of this Council, be and is hereby adopted
4. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed by receipts of the Community Development Special Revenue Fund shall be transferred to the Capital Projects Fund as provided in the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.
5. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive the funds herein authorized.
6. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 167 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE WATER AND SEWERAGE ENTERPRISE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, sufficient revenues for the operation of the City's Water and Sewerage system are available from sources authorized by law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated water and sewerage receipts for the year 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

Estimated Available Fund Balance 1/1/2007	\$ 2,693,700
Water Sales	34,100,000
Sewer Charges	25,200,000
Other External Charges	477,000
Internal Service Charges	1,570,000
Interest Earnings	650,000
Other Income	45,200
Transfer from General Fund	368,000
TOTAL	\$65,103,900

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the receipts of the Water and Sewerage Fund for the year 2007:

Personal Services	\$12,361,900
Materials and Supplies	6,438,200
Contractual Services	7,712,700
Other Charges	22,925,500
Operating Reserves	1,408,100
Improvements and Equipment	1,561,300
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	4,713,000
Transfer to General Fund	4,132,500
Transfer to Retained Risk Fund	2,000,000
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	662,000
Notes Payable	1,188,700
TOTAL	\$65,103,900

3. The Water and Sewerage Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from receipts of the Water and Sewerage Fund shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

5. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

6. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 168 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION'S SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, State law requires that the Metropolitan Planning Commission provide staff support to the Caddo Parish Commission, the cost of which is reimbursed by Caddo Parish; and

WHEREAS, sufficient revenues for the operation of the Metropolitan Planning Commission available from sources authorized by law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts of the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund for the year 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

External Service Charges and Fees	\$ 221,200
Caddo Parish Reimbursement	153,000
Operating Subsidy - Transfer from General Fund	958,500
TOTAL	\$1,332,700

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund receipts for the year 2007:

Personal Services	\$1,228,000
Materials and Supplies	28,200

Contractual Services	55,000
Improvements and Equipment	17,500
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	4,000
TOTAL	\$1,332,700

3. The Metropolitan Planning Commission’s Special Revenue Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.
4. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.
5. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.
6. Dedication of Revenues - The funds collected as charges and fees pursuant to the zoning ordinances of the City of Shreveport and the Caddo Parish Commission, and the operating subsidy transferred herein from the General Fund are hereby dedicated to the operation of the Metropolitan Planning Commission for the year 2007.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 169 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE FLEET SERVICES INTERNAL SERVICE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has created a Fleet Services Internal Service Fund whose purpose is to provide funding for the maintenance of the City’s motorized fleet.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts of the Fleet Services Risk Internal Service Fund for 2007 are hereby established as follows:

Transfer from General Fund (Includes \$25,000 from City Marshal's accounts)	\$2,880,900
Transfer from Water and Sewerage Fund	662,000
Transfer from MPC Fund	4,000
Transfer from Community Development Fund	61,600
Transfer from Golf Fund	9,900
Transfer from Retained Risk Fund	1,000
TOTAL	\$3,619,400

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Fleet Services Internal Service Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Personal Services	\$1,560,700
Materials and Supplies	1,039,900
Contractual Services	912,000
Other Charges	0
Improvements and Equipment	17,000
Transfer to General Fund	70,000
Notes Payable	19,800
TOTAL	\$3,619,400

3. The Fleet Services Internal Service Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006 as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized, subject to the provisions of Section 26-174 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the settlement of claims against the City.

5. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007

ORDINANCE NO. 170 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE GOLF ENTERPRISE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, sufficient revenues for the operation of the City's municipal golf courses are available from sources authorized by law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

Estimated Receipts - The estimated Golf Enterprise Fund receipts for the year 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

Estimated Available Fund Balance 1/1/2007	\$ 220,400
External Service Charges and Fees	1,463,700
Interest Earned	10,000
Transfer from General Fund	210,000
Miscellaneous Revenues	3,300
TOTAL	\$1,907,400

1. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Golf Enterprise Fund operating receipts for the year 2007:

Personal Services	\$ 963,900
Materials and Supplies	322,200
Contractual Services	315,000
Other Charges	700
Operating Reserves	160,700
Notes Payable	50,000
Transfer to General Fund	85,000
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	9,900
TOTAL	\$1,907,400

2. The Golf Enterprise Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

3. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from Golf Enterprise Fund receipts shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

4. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

5. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 171 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE AIRPORTS ENTERPRISE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, sufficient revenues for the operation of the City's airports are available from sources authorized by law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated Airport receipts for the year 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

Estimated Available Fund Balance 1/1/2007	\$ 3,657,200
External Service Charges and Fees	9,487,400
Interest Income	183,000
Other Income	11,000
Transfer from General Fund	120,000
TOTAL	\$ 13,458,600

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Airports operating receipts for the year 2007:

Personal Services	\$ 4,931,600
Materials and Supplies	394,000
Contractual Services	1,737,200
Other Charges	1,801,700
Operating Reserves	3,681,500
Improvements and Equipment	154,300
Transfer to General Fund	275,800
Transfer to Retained Risk Fund	346,100
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	167,500
TOTAL	\$ 13,458,600

3. The Airports Enterprise Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from Airports receipts shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

5. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

6. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 172 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE POLICE GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport required the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City has created a Police Grants Special Revenue Fund, to account for the receipt of and expenditure of funds provided to the City by various agencies to assist in specific law enforcement programs.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that;

I. Estimated Receipts - The estimated Police Grants Special Revenue Fund for the year 2007 are hereby established as follows:

2006 and Prior-Year Receipts:

Prior-Year Federal Interoperability Grant	\$3,408,400
Prior-Year Solving Cold Cases with DNA	\$ 83,600
Prior-Year Federal Judicial Assistance Grant	\$ 90,000
Prior-Year Cameras Against Crime	\$ 171,900
Prior-Year Federal ATF Grant	\$ 11,000
Prior-Year Gun Crime Reduction	\$ 40,000
Prior-Year Anti-Gang Initiative	\$ 82,000
Prior-Year Reduction of Crack / Drug Houses	\$ 7,000
Prior-Year Knock and Talk 2005	\$ 1,600
Prior-Year Integrated Criminal Apprehension 2005	\$ 4,900
Prior-Year DARE 2005	\$ 58,700
Prior-Year AFIS 2005	\$ 192,500
Prior-Year Shreveport Enforcement Project 2006	\$ 60,000
Prior-Year OCDETF 2006	\$ 59,000
Prior-Year High-Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas	\$ 25,000
Prior-Year Terrorism Prevention Program 2006	\$ 153,100
Prior-Year Federal Justice Assistance Grant 2006	\$ 157,600
Prior-Year Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force	\$ 66,700
Prior-Year ICAP 2006	\$ 17,300
Prior-Year Safe Streets	\$ 22,500
 SUBTOTAL 2006 and Prior-Year Receipts	 \$4,712,800

Fiscal Year 2007 Revenues:

DARE Grant 2007	\$ 98,000
AFIS 2007	\$ 384,900
Shreveport Enforcement Project 2007	\$ 82,000
Org Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force 2007	\$ 79,000
High-Intensity Drug Task Trafficking Area Grant 07	\$ 30,000
Terrorism Prevention Program 2007	\$ 154,000
Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force 2007	\$ 80,100
ICAP 2007	\$ 27,900
Safe Streets 2007	\$ 30,000
Federal ATF Grant 2007	\$ 14,000
SUBTOTAL FY 2007 REVENUES	\$ 979,900
GRAND TOTAL REVENUES	\$5,692,700

II. Appropriations - The funds set forth herein are hereby appropriated out of the Police Grant Special Revenue Fund's receipts for the year 2007 and prior years:

From 2006 and Prior-Years Revenues:

From Prior-Year Federal Interoperability Grant, appropriate \$7,500 to Personal Services, \$159,200 to Contractual Services and \$3,241,700 to Improvements and Equipment.

From Prior Year Solving Cold Cases with DNA Grant, appropriate \$81,700 to Personal Services and \$1,900 to Materials and Supplies.

From Prior Year Federal Judicial Assistance 2005 Grant, appropriate \$90,000 to Personal Services.

From Prior-Year Cameras Against Crime 2006 Grant, appropriate \$26,300 to Materials and Supplies, \$57,100 to Contractual Services and \$88,500 to Improvements and Equipment.

From Prior-Year Federal ATF Grant, appropriate \$11,000 to Personal Services.

From Prior-Year Federal Gun Crime Reduction Grant, appropriate \$39,900 to Personal Services and \$100 to Materials and Supplies.

From Prior-Year Anti-Gang Initiative Grant, appropriate \$67,200 to Personal Services, \$900 to Materials and Supplies, \$5,100 to Contractual Services and \$8,800 to Improvements and Equipment.

From Prior Year Reduction of Crack / Drug Houses Grant, appropriate \$7,000 to Materials and Supplies.

From Prior-Year Knock and Talk 2005 Grant, appropriate \$1,600 to Personal Services.

From Prior-Year ICAP 2005 Grant, appropriate \$4,900 to Personal Services.

From Prior Year DARE 2006 Grant, appropriate \$47,300 to Personal Services and \$11,400 to Other Charges.

From Prior Year AFIS 2006 Grant, appropriate \$192,500 to Personal Services.

From Prior Year Shreveport Enforcement Project 2006 Grant, appropriate \$60,000 to Personal Services.

From Prior Year OCDETF 2006 Grant, appropriate \$59,000 to Personal Services.

From Prior-Year HIDTA Grant 2006, appropriate \$25,000 to Personal Services.

From Prior Year Terrorism Prevention Program Grant, appropriate \$153,100 to Improvements and Equipment.

From Prior Year Federal Justice Assistance Grant 2006, appropriate \$78,800 to Personal Services and \$78,800 to Other Charges.

From Prior Year Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force 2006 Grant, appropriate \$40,000 to Personal Services and \$26,700 to Contractual Services.

From Prior-Year ICAP 2006 Grant, appropriate \$17,300 to Personal Services.

From Prior Year Safe Streets 2006 Grant, appropriate \$22,500 to Personal Services.

SUBTOTAL from 2005 and Prior-Year Receipts \$ 4,712,800

From FY 2007 Revenues:

From DARE 2007 Grant, appropriate \$84,300 to Personal Services and \$13,700 to Other Charges.

From AFIS 2007 Grant, appropriate \$384,900 to Personal Services.

From Shreveport Enforcement Project 2007 Grant, appropriate \$82,000 to Personal Services.

From Org Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force 2007 Grant, appropriate \$79,000 to Personal Services.

From High-Intensity Drug Task Trafficking Area 07 Grant, appropriate \$30,000 to Personal Services.

From Terrorism Prevention Program 2007 Grant, appropriate \$154,000 to Improvements and Equipment.

From Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force 2007 Grant, appropriate \$53,400 to Personal

From ICAP 2007 Grant, appropriate \$27,900 to Personal Services.

From Safe Streets 2007 Grant, appropriate \$30,000 to Personal Services.

From Federal ATF Grant 2007, appropriate \$14,000 to Personal Services.

SUBTOTAL From FY 2007 Grant Funds \$ 979,900

GRAND TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS \$ 5,692,700

III. The Police Grants Special Revenue Fund budget presented to the Council on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action of this Council, be and is hereby adopted.

IV. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend and receive the funds herein authorized, and in accordance with the assurances contained in the Federal and State grants received by the City. It is further recognized that "Notices of Funds Available" have been received only through the close of the Federal and State fiscal years and that the availability of

funds beyond the Federal and State fiscal years is an estimate only. The Chief Administrative Officer or his designee shall not approve any allotment which would exceed the amount for which a "Notice of Funds Available" has not been received and the Director of Finance shall not approve any expenditure which is not in accordance with such allotment.

V. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

VI. Program Income - All receipts generated directly from activities funded from intergovernmental sources shall be deposited into the proper Special Revenue Fund in accordance with Federal or State Law.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 173 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7, Section 7.02 (a) of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has available in fiscal year 2007 certain federal and state funds granted to the City for environmental purposes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has created an Environmental Grants Special Revenue Fund to account for these grants: and

WHEREAS, 2007 appropriations are necessary only for those grants which are new or for which the appropriation is increased or decreased, since the original appropriations remain in effect until they are amended or the grant is closed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular, and legal session convened, that:

1. Appropriations: The following funds are hereby appropriated for the grants in the column titled "2007 Budget." For those grants listed herein, total spending for all years shall not exceed the amount appropriated herein, unless such amount is increased by subsequent action of the City Council. For active grants which are not listed herein, but which have been previously appropriated in a budget ordinance for the Environmental Grants Special Revenue Fund, the prior appropriation shall remain in effect. The Director of Finance is authorized to expend same in accordance with law, to the extent that funds are available.

Grant:	2007 CHANGE	2007 BUDGET
Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund	0	\$ 1,000,000
Brownfields Economic Development Initiative	0	\$ 1,000,000
Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (2001)	0	\$ 429,100
Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (2002)	0	\$ 300,000
EPA Clean Air Grant	0	\$ 400,000
Cross Lake Watershed Control Grant	0	\$ 100,000
Brownfields Job Training Grant - Katrina	0	\$ 200,000
GRAND TOTAL - 2007 CHANGE		\$ 0
GRAND TOTAL - 2007 BUDGET	\$3,429,100	

2. The Environmental Grants Special Revenue Fund budget presented to the Council on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action of this Council, be and is hereby adopted.

3. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend and receive the funds herein authorized, and in accordance with the assurances contained in the Federal and State grants received by the City. It is further recognized that "Notices of Funds Available" have been received only through the close of the Federal and State fiscal years and that the availability of funds beyond the Federal and State fiscal years is an estimate only. The Chief Administrative Officer or his designee shall not approve any allotment which would exceed the amount for which a "Notice of Funds Available" has not been received and the Director of Finance shall not approve any expenditure which is not in accordance with such allotment.

4. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

5. Program Income - All receipts generated directly from activities funded from intergovernmental sources shall be deposited into the proper Special Revenue Fund in accordance with Federal or State Law.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance shall become effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 174 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE DOWNTOWN ENTERTAINMENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS THEREIN AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has created a Tax Increment Financing (TIF) District within a portion of the Downtown Riverfront area; and

WHEREAS, the City has created the Downtown Entertainment Economic Development Special Revenue Fund to account for the additional sales taxes generated within the TIF District and to budget the receipts therefrom

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated Downtown Entertainment Special Revenue Fund receipts for the year 2007 are hereby established as follows:

Estimated Fund Balance as of 1/1/2007	\$ 87,900
Sales Taxes from the TIF District	\$ 175,000

TOTAL REVENUES	\$ 262,900
----------------	------------

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth herein are hereby appropriated out of the Downtown Entertainment Economic Development Special Revenue Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Transfer to Debt Service Fund	\$ 220,000
Operating Reserves	\$ 42,900
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS	\$ 262,900

3. The Downtown Entertainment Economic Development Special Revenue Fund budget presented to the City Council on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action of the City Council, be and is hereby adopted.

4. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend and receive the funds herein authorized, excluding contracts for special legal counsel.

5. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized, except in accordance with section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable,

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 175 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE DOWNTOWN PARKING ENTERPRISE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has created a Downtown Parking Enterprise Fund, whose purpose is to use funds collected from parking activities to promote improved parking facilities in the downtown area; and

WHEREAS, the revenues and expenditures of this fund need to be appropriated as a part of the City's annual budget process.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated Downtown Parking Enterprise Fund receipts for the year 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

Estimated Fund Balance 1/1/2007	\$ 83,100
External Service Charges	\$ 280,000
Fines and Forfeitures	270,000
Interest	6,000
TOTAL	\$ 639,100

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Downtown Parking Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Contractual Services	\$ 435,000
Operating Reserves	202,900
Transfer to General Fund	1,200
TOTAL	\$ 639,100

3. The Downtown Parking Enterprise Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from Downtown Parking Fund receipts shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

5. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

6. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 176 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE CONVENTION CENTER HOTEL ENTERPRISE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has created a Convention Center Hotel Enterprise Fund, whose purpose is to account for the revenues and expenses of the hotel.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated Convention Center Hotel Enterprise Fund receipts for the year 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

2005 Hotel Bond Proceeds	\$ 818,800
Interest Earnings	125,000
Revenues From Hotel Operations	8,232,300
TOTAL	\$9,176,100

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Convention Center Hotel Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Contractual Services	\$7,376,100
Other Charges	1,800,000
TOTAL	\$ 9,176,100

3. The Convention Center Hotel Enterprise Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from the Convention Center Hotel Fund receipts shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

5. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

6. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 177 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE SHREVEPORT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the City Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Shreveport Redevelopment Agency (SRA) is authorized by R.S. 33:4625 and the City's General Redevelopment Plan to acquire and to land bank vacant adjudicated property for future redevelopment projects and to acquire other property for current redevelopment projects in Redevelopment Areas, and the City is authorized by law and by contract with the SRA to provide funds for the acquisition of said properties; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts of the Shreveport Redevelopment Agency Special Revenue Fund for 2007 are hereby established as follows:

Estimated Fund Balance as of 1/1/2007	\$272,600
Miscellaneous Revenue	1,000
External Service Charges	500
TOTAL	\$274,100

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures and projects are hereby appropriated out of the Shreveport Redevelopment Agency Special Revenue Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Contractual Services	\$ 50,000
Other Charges	100,000
Improvements & Equipment	124,100
TOTAL	\$274,100

3. The Shreveport Redevelopment Agency's Special Revenue Fund budget as presented to the City Council on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4 Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed from the receipts of the Shreveport Redevelopment Agency Special Revenue Fund shall be transferred to the proper capital funds as provided by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

5 Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

6 Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance shall become effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 178 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FOR THE RETAINED RISK INTERNAL SERVICE FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has created a Retained Risk Fund whose purpose is to provide funding for property damage, workers' compensation and general liability claims made against the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts of the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund for 2007 are hereby established as follows:

Estimated Fund Balance as of 1/1/2007	\$ 7,000,700
Transfer from General Fund	6,749,600
Transfer from Water and Sewerage Fund	2,000,000
Transfer from Airports Fund	346,100
Interest Income	400,000
Insurance Subrogation	1,000,000
Miscellaneous Revenue	20,000
TOTAL	\$17,516,400

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Retained Risk Internal Service Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

Personal Services	\$ 3,282,800
Materials and Supplies	5,100
Contractual Services	29,500
Other Charges	8,466,000
Liability Reserves	5,731,000
Improvements and Equipment	1,000
Transfer to Fleet Services Fund	1,000
TOTAL	\$17,516,400

3. The Retained Risk Internal Service Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized, subject to the provisions of Section 26-174 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the settlement of claims against the City.

5. Donation of City Funds - No funds herein appropriated shall be donated, loaned or pledged, and no expenditures for such purposes shall be authorized except in accordance with Section 26-53 of the Code of Ordinances, as it exists now or may hereafter be amended.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 179 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 DEBT SERVICE FUND BUDGET, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has created a Debt Service Fund to provide for the payment of principal and interest on the General Obligation Bonds of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the authority to levy a special property tax whose proceeds are allocated to the Debt Service Fund.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts of the Debt Service Fund for the year 2007 are hereby established as follows:

Estimated Fund Balance as of 1/1/2007	\$40,556,900
Ad Valorem Taxes (27.82 Mills)	31,500,000
Hotel-Motel Tax Revenues	1,600,000
Interest Income	1,300,000
TOTAL	\$74,956,900

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the Debt Service Fund's receipts for the year 2007:

2007 Requirements - Principal and Interest	\$43,740,000
Less: Operating Transfer (Riverfront Fund)	(2,065,000)

Less: Operating Transfer (General Fund)	(8,464,900)
NET EXPENDITURES	\$33,210,100
Fund Balance 12/31/07	\$41,746,800
TOTAL	\$74,956,900

3. The Debt Service Fund budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Administration - The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will be effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 180 OF 2006 (AS ADOPTED)

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 BUDGET FUNDING CONTRACTUAL SERVICES PROVIDED TO SPORTRAN BY METRO MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES, INC. AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, Article 7 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport requires the adoption of a comprehensive operating budget for the year 2007; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport operates a public bus transportation system known as SporTran; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has contracted with Metro Management Associates, Inc., to provide management services for the operation and maintenance of the SporTran bus system.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Estimated Receipts - The estimated receipts, including operating subsidies, available for the operation of the SporTran bus system for the year beginning January 1, 2007 are hereby established and adopted as follows:

Operating Income	\$ 2,286,000
Government Grants and Contributions:	
City of Shreveport	\$ 5,767,400
City of Bossier City	755,000
State of Louisiana	419,000
Federal Transit Administration	2,717,000
Subtotal	\$ 9,658,400
TOTAL	\$11,944,400

2. Appropriations - The funds set forth in the following classes of expenditures are hereby appropriated out of the receipts produced by SporTran operations and operating subsidy receipts for the year beginning January 1, 2007:

Contractual Services	\$11,085,000
Transfer to Capital Projects Fund	859,400
TOTAL	\$11,944,400

3. The SporTran budget presented to the City Council by the Mayor on September 29, 2006, as amended by subsequent action by this Council, be and the same is hereby adopted.

4. Capital Transfers - All amounts authorized by the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance to be financed by SporTran receipts and subsidies shall be transferred to the Capital Projects Fund as provided in the Capital Improvements Budget ordinance.

5. Operating Transfers - All amounts authorized in this ordinance to subsidize SporTran operations shall be transferred to the SporTran budget as needed.

6. Administration - The Mayor, or any duly authorized representative, is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive the funds authorized herein.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or

applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance will become effective on January 1, 2007.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 183 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE CREATING YIELD AND STOP INTERSECTIONS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in regular and legal session convened that the following are hereby made and created yield intersections:

1. Horton Drive and Locust Street Yield to Locust Street
2. Alberta Avenue and East Dalzell Street Yield to East Dalzell Street
3. Cornwell Avenue and East Prospect Street Yield to Cornwell Avenue
4. Cornwell Avenue and East Topeka Street Yield to Cornwell Avenue
5. Joplin Street and Michel Street Yield to Joplin Street
6. Joplin Street and Rainwater Street Yield to Joplin Street
7. Joplin Street and Fulton Street Yield to Joplin Street
8. Cheatham Street and Wallace Avenue Yield to Wallace Avenue
9. Lyons Street and Wallace Avenue Yield to Wallace Avenue
10. Malcolm Street and Wallace Avenue Yield to Wallace Avenue
11. Rainwater Street and Wallace Avenue Yield to Wallace Avenue
12. Caroline Street and Wallace Avenue Yield to Wallace Avenue
13. Doris Street and Wallace Avenue Yield to Wallace Avenue

SECTION II: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in regular and legal session convened that the following intersection is hereby made and created a stop intersection:

1. Earl Street and Wallace Avenue: Earl Street shall stop for Wallace Avenue

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this resolution or application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of the resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith, including Ordinance No. 58 of 1972, are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 187 OF 2006

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2007 DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PROGRAM OF WORK AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, Act 554 of 1978, which authorized the creation of the Downtown Development Authority, requires that a formal Program of Work for the DDA be adopted annually by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the DDA has prepared and formally adopted its Program of Work for the year 2007 and recommended its approval by the City Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that it approves the 2007 Downtown Development Authority Program of Work, as provided to the Clerk of Council with the original copy of this resolution on November 28, 2006.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 191 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES TO BE SURPLUS AND TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT TO SELL THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S TAX INTEREST IN CERTAIN SURPLUS ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has a tax interest in the herein below described properties which have been adjudicated for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the herein below described properties are not needed for public purposes and should be declared surplus properties; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received offers to purchase its tax interest in the herein below described properties as indicated below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the following described properties are hereby declared surplus:

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the City Council of the City of Shreveport does hereby authorize the sale of its tax interest in the herein below described properties for an amount not less than the offer as indicated below:

Property No. 1 Legal Description - Lot 1542, Cedar Grove Addition, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 50, Page 389 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#171424-119-1542-00) Municipal Address - 346 East 67th Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$1,278.53 APPRAISED VALUE: \$3,750.00 DISTRICT C

Property No. 2: Legal Description - Lot 16, Blk. M, Mandel Addition, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 150, Page 52 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#171416-087-0016-00) Municipal Address - 4143 Mandeline Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$125.00 APPRAISED VALUE: \$800.00 DISTRICT F

Property No. 3: Legal Description - Lot 18, Blk. 0, Werner Park Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 150, Page 310, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#171414-132-0018-00) Municipal Address - 2610 Malcolm Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$3,000.00 APPRAISED VALUE: \$10,000.00 DISTRICT F

Property No. 4: Legal Description - W/2 of Lot 596 of the Jones Mabry Subdivision, Unit #10 a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 450, Page 405, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#181417-028-0654-00) Municipal Address - 2834 Willie Mays Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: \$200.00 APPRAISED VALUE: \$1,150.00 DISTRICT A

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport shall be authorized to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 192 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES TO BE SURPLUS AND TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT TO DONATE THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S TAX INTEREST IN CERTAIN SURPLUS ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has a tax interest in the herein below described properties which have been adjudicated for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the herein below described properties are not needed for public purposes and should be declared surplus properties; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received a request to donate its tax interest in the herein below described properties as indicated below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the following described properties are hereby declared surplus:

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the City Council of the City of Shreveport does hereby authorize the donation of its tax interest in the herein below described properties.

Property No. 1: Legal Description - Lot 48, Foster and Long's Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 9, Page 238 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#181436-009-0048-00) Municipal Address - 1450 Alston Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: NONE APPRAISED VALUE: \$2,000.00 DISTRICT A

Property No. 2: Legal Description -Lot 16, Whitworths Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 33, Page 106 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#181435-045-0016-00) Municipal Address - 1746 Alston Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: NONE APPRAISED VALUE: \$2,163.00 DISTRICT A

Property No. 3: Legal Description -Lot 26, Mt. Zion Subdivision, a subdivision in the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, as per plat thereof recorded in Book 33, Page 39 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

(GEO#181435-054-0026-00) Municipal Address - 1837 Alston Street

AMOUNT OFFERED: NONE APPRAISED VALUE: \$2,064.00 DISTRICT A

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport shall be authorized to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including an Act of Donation, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 196 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 82-44 (e) OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE, RELATIVE TO FINAL PLAT APPROVAL AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that Section 82-44 (e) of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances, the Subdivision Ordinance, is hereby repealed and reenacted as follows:

Sec. 82-44. Final plat.

Following a public hearing, if one is required, and within 60 days of a complete and accurate submission, the Metropolitan Planning Commission shall approve or disapprove the final plat. In the case of approval, the Metropolitan Planning Commission shall enter such approval on the plat by signature of its secretary or such official designated by the Metropolitan Planning Commission to sign documents on the Commission's authority. In the case of disapproval, the Metropolitan Planning Commission shall state the grounds for such disapproval upon its records. Within ninety days of the date of approval of the final plat for by the Metropolitan Planning Commission, a certified copy as recorded by the Caddo Parish Clerk of Court must be submitted to the Metropolitan Planning Commission office. **One A** ninety day extension of the approval may be granted by the Planning Director provided that a written request is received in the Metropolitan Planning Commission office prior to the expiration of the original approval period. **The Planning Director is authorized to grant a maximum of three (3) ninety day extensions to the original approval.** Failure to provide a certified copy of the recorded plat with the prescribed period will render the approval null and void, thereby requiring a new application. No

zoning certificates shall be issued until a certified copy of the recorded plat is received by the Metropolitan Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 197 OF 2006

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF JEWELLA AT ITS INTERSECTION WITH SOUTHSIDE STREET, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT, TO B-3-E, COMMUNITY BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO "SHEET METAL FABRICATION SALES AND SERVICE, ONLY" AS DESCRIBED AT THE NOVEMBER 1, 2006 PUBLIC HEARING, WHICH INCLUDES FABRICATION OF MOBILE VIDEO SURVEILLANCE MONITORING STATIONS (EITHER SELF-CONTAINED IN A VEHICLE OR TRAILER TYPE) AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the west side of Jewella at its intersection with Southside Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, **be and the same is hereby changed from, B-3, Community Business District, to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District, "sheet metal fabrication sales and service" only as described at the November 1, 2006 Public Hearing, which includes fabrication of mobile video surveillance monitoring stations (either self-contained in a vehicle or trailer type)**

A tract of land being a portion of Lot 3 of South Park Mall, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, being more particularly described as follows: Commence at the SE corner of said Lot 1 and run N0°40'41"E, along the west line of Jewella Avenue, a distance of 78.00 feet to the SE corner of said Lot 3 and the P-O-B, thence run N89°01'05"W along the S line of said Lot 3, a distance of 300.26 feet; thence run N0°58'55"E a distance of 262.00 feet to the P-O-B, said tract containing 78.486 square feet or 1.802 acres.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

- 1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**
- 2. No outside storage or display shall be allowed. This includes temporary off-loading and/or deliveries.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of

this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 198 OF 2006

BY:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF WEST COLLEGE AND JEWELLA AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, TO R-1D-E, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO OFFICE AND RETAIL SPACE ONLY, AND FROM B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT TO B-2, NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of Lot 1 and the west 10 feet of Lot 2, Blk., E. Edgehill Subdivision, and east 30 feet of Lot 2 and west half of Lot 3, Blk. E. Edgehill Subdivision, property located on the southeast corner of West College and Jewella Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District to R-1D-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District, limited to “office and retail space” only, and from B-1, Buffer Business District to B-2 Neighborhood Business District.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 206 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH CEDARGROVE AFFIRMS REAL EFFORT NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION (C.A.R.E.) AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Robertson

WHEREAS, Ordinance 114 of 2005 authorized the City to lease a portion of the old A. B. Palmer Community Center (500 Thornhill Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana) to C.A.R.E for an initial term of five (5) years with the option to renew the lease for three (3) additional terms of two (2) years each; and

WHEREAS, C.A.R.E. is a 501 (c)(3) Louisiana non-profit corporation whose purpose includes, but is not limited to, the development and revitalization of the Cedar Grove Community; and WHEREAS, the Lease Agreement requires C.A.R.E. to construct a partition wall in the interior of the leased premises to separate the leased premises from that portion of the property remaining under SPAR's authority, supervision and control; and WHEREAS, the City has determined that the reserved portion of the Leased Premises is not needed by City for a public purpose; and WHEREAS City desires to amend the Lease Agreement to permit C.A.R.E. to lease the entire building.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute an amendment to the Lease Agreement with C.A.R.E. substantially in accord with the draft hereof filed for public inspection in the Office of the Clerk of Council on November 14, 2006.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of the amendment authorized herein or an extract thereof, shall be filed and recorded in the conveyance records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all ordinances, resolutions, or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed

ORDINANCE NO. 207 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2006 GENERAL FUND BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2006 budget for the General Fund to transfer funds within existing budgets and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 163 of 2005, the 2006 General Fund budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

In Office of the Mayor, decrease Personal Services by \$30,000.

In Public Assembly and Recreation, increase Personal Services by \$230,000 and Transfer to Fleet Services by \$50,000. Decrease Contractual Services by \$30,000.

In Finance, decrease Personal Services by \$50,000.

In General Government, decrease Other Charges by \$30,000.

In Police, decrease Personal Services by \$40,000 and Transfer to Fleet Services by \$50,000.

In Operational Services, decrease Personal Services by \$50,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 163 of 2005 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 208 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2007 DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT BUDGET, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statutes 33:2740.38, is authorized to and has levied a special ad valorem tax on property subject to ad valorem taxation within the area of the Downtown Development District of the City; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority is authorized to expend these funds and such others as it may lawfully collect for activities which benefit the users and property owners of the City's downtown area; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority has approved its proposed budget for the year 2007 and has requested that the City Council approve said budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that the 2007 Downtown Development Authority budget is hereby approved and appropriated as follows:

Funds Available for Appropriation

Operating Budget

DDA Property Tax \$ 826,000

Interest Earnings 80,000

Other Income

Streetscape Contract \$240,000

Streetscape Misc. Income 13,800

Parking Services Contract 435,000

DSU Reimbursement 11,000

DSDC Reimbursement 25,000

Salary Reimbursement 55,000

Riverfront Security Income 245,000

Other Income 2,400

\$1,027,200

TOTAL REVENUE \$1,933,200

Appropriations for 2007

DDA Administration and Programming \$1,187,800

Debt Retirement 308,000

Parking Services 437,400

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS \$1,933,200

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance shall become effective on January 1, 2007.

ORDINANCE NO. 209 OF 2006

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2006 GOLF ENTERPRISE FUND BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2006 budget for the Golf Enterprise Fund to appropriate additional funds and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 173 of 2005, the 2006 budget for the Golf Enterprise Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Materials and Supplies by \$25,000. Increase Contractual Services by \$25,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 173 of 2005 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1. **Resolution No. 100 of 2006:** Authorizing the release of mortgages and cancellation of promissory notes executed by Shreveport Publishing Corporation (now Snap Property, LLC) in favor of the City of Shreveport in connection with the neighborhood improvement leveraging project and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Introduced June 13, 2006 – Postponed November 28, 2006)*

2. **Ordinance No. 122 of 2006:** An Ordinance to amend portions of Chapter 90 of the Code of Ordinances relative to traffic and vehicles and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) *(Introduced August 22, 2006 – Postponed November 28, 2006)*

3. **Ordinance No. 131 of 2006:** Amending certain sections of Chapter 38 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances relative to housing and property standards and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Introduced August 22, 2006 - (Postponed November 28, 2006)*

Mr. Thompson: On yesterday's meeting, there was not indication that any of these matters were to be taken from the table.

NEW BUSINESS:

PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEAL

HBO0600239 – 4133 San Jacinto Avenue (G/Bowman) Mr. & Mrs. Ricky Swift, 3020 Regent Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (B/Walford) *(Postponed December 11, 2006 until December 21, 2006)*

HBO0600281 – 2524 W. College Street Mr. Billy Draydon, 2536 W. College Street, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *(Postponed December 11, 2006 until December 21, 2006)*

HBO0600270 – 2711 Emery (G/Bowman) Mr. James Hartley, Jr. P.O. Box 708, Shreveport, LA 71162 *(Decision rendered December 11, 2006)*

MPC APPROVAL:

CASE NO. SC-102-06: Property located on the north side of MLK Drive approximately 830 feet west of Hilry Huckaby III Avenue. (Subdivision Request – No Ordinance Required) (A/Lester)

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.

Councilman Lester: Mr. Thompson, isn't this the scenario where we ask - - - my motion is to adopt, and I ask the Council for a No vote?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Lester, this is not an ordinance, so any motion you want to make, you can just make it straight up.

Councilman Lester: Good. Then I want to offer a substitute motion to overturn the ruling of the MPC.

Substitute motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to overturn the decision of the MPC.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Council Members, I appreciate the opportunity to speak on this issue. We have had a whole lot of conversation in the area regarding this particular subdivision. We have had this same group of people come to this Council a little bit more than a year ago, seeking something very similar. The only difference between what we have now and what was proposed back then was the number of houses. True, there was a change in terms of increasing the lot size, but the size of the houses are still the same. The Council back then, and I believe now should understand that the houses are out of kilter and are incompatible from a density standpoint as well as from a compatibility standpoint to other things in the neighborhood. The people that live immediately adjacent to this have homes that range in size from 2,000 square feet heated up until 3,000. And to put something that's 1600 or 1700 is going to seriously impact their property value in a negative way. The proponents of this mentioned that this is the low income housing financing project. It's something that brings housing to communities, and the fact of the matter is, yes it does. The fact of the matter is we've done a number of these developments in the Martin Luther King area, and I believe we're at the point where we've reached a critical mass. The people in the community have spoken relatively to this is not something that they want, and I would ask the Council to support me in that. I would simply say as to the Council, I don't necessarily have a problem with Louisiana Housing Financing Authority projects. We've done a number of them in our district. I think it has helped to bring people back to the district, and I think that it has helped to spur a lot of

growth in the Martin Luther King area, but in every situation, you have to ask yourself, is this housing development whether it be a for profit scenario, whether it be something that has subsidies or whatever, is the development going to bring up property values or are you going to keep the property values the same or is it going to change. In this particular instance I believe it's going to change property values and the property values are going to go down. I've met with the developer. I even, the last meeting that we had told the developer if he could find another place in the Martin Luther King area that was not next to an area like this, I would support him. There is historical precedence for this, and I don't want to get into it, but about three years ago, there was another developer that wanted to do a development on that very spot. And the Council said no, and my commitment was if you find another place for this development in the Martin Luther King area, I will support it 100%. The developer found another place, we built it. We're not land locked up there. We're not starving for development such that everything has to be in one place. We're blessed to have land a plenty and resources a plenty, and people are coming back. This is not a bad program. I think it's a bad program where it is presently proposed, and I would ask the Council to basically move forward in terms of supporting the people in the neighborhood, our constituents out there, and overturn the MPC. Thank you.

Councilman Long: Could I ask Charles Kirkland to come up please? Charles if you could give me a little background as to the MPC decision and why they decided the way they decided?

Mr. Kirkland: As Councilman Lester said, this site has a fairly long history. In fact, you may remember Councilman Lester, when you were first on the Council, a proposal was made, and that one was shot down, and then subsequent proposals, and all I can tell you is the Planning Commission has been wrestling with these types of developments, and by these type, these are as Councilman Lester referenced, that Louisiana Housing and Finance Programs. They're basically a 15 year lease, and then you've got to buy the home that you're in, and we have almost zero experience with that type of program. I think even, and I've seen the MPC Board Members wrestle with - - - can't these properties turnover possibly several times? And the neighbors around them, Mr. Washington and others are likely so, they don't have anything to base the experience factor on. The homes are relatively nice compared to what we've seen in the past. Essentially the board approved it this time, and this was about the third time up at bat, I believe it was, and these folks have worked hard. The Dosset and the (inaudible) families have looked trying to appease the neighborhood, but never once willing to give the neighborhood what they said they wanted. They said, we want some homes for sale from day one. And to me, that was sort of a turning point. Everyone of them even though they did reduce the total number in density, it was still all the rent for 15 years, and then you get to own it. And MLK, of course for what it's worth, has seen a lot of these type of developments proposed again, as Councilman Lester said, and we got some in other parts of the city that are working well. But that Planning Commission was worried and concerned about whose going to assure that these houses are painted and maintained, and a lot of other things that may on a rental program kinda (inaudible) fall by the wayside. But the Board on a split vote 5-3, did approve this last time at bat by these folks the reduced density, smaller development. The family has owned this site for to my knowledge 40-50 years or longer. I mean it's the Dosset and (inaudible) families of old Red River Corporation back from the 60s. So the site is still theirs and they're probably trying to develop it and trade it so. Is there anything else I can explain?

Councilman Long: Well, what's the proximity to the subdivision to the south or below it? Is it contiguous or?

Councilman Lester: They're contiguous.

Mr. Kirkland: Actually, it's to the north that Mr. Washington, and Mrs. Rogers and other families, they do have really nice homes that are larger. What you were told is exactly correct. There are some to the west that are perhaps lower cost homes than what Mr. Washington and Mrs. Rogers and them own, but the board did offer some buffering by reducing density a little bit, but again, it was still a judgement call and the board was basically responding to their efforts to try to still do the program, but on a reduced density.

Councilman Long: Do you think there's anymore wiggle room or a situation that this thing could be worked out? I mean with the developer possibly be - - - this might be the (inaudible) correct question, be inclined to put some owner-occupies out immediately to sort of enhance the neighborhood?

Mr. Kirkland: I think these folks have probably been to the bat, and the neighbors have as many times - - - my guess is they need a - - - in my recommendation, they need an up or down vote today. And then if they too plan to seek legal redress, they can. But regardless these are not easy ones. These are some of the toughest because we're talking about people who need housing in this community. And the young man who spoke to you earlier said, we don't have a housing policy.

Councilman Long: The total land area that this family owns in this immediate area, how much of the area is being utilized for this particular development?

Mr. Kirkland: All of the tract that's in this site. Now, they own other property in the general North Shreveport area. But this tract would be entirely consumed with this development. Alright Charles, thank you. Can I get somebody from the Fairfield Development to step up for a second. Tom Arceneaux, or Mr. Taylor. Tom, I saw you shaking your head.

Mr. Arceneaux: I understood someone might have some questions, so I'm just seeking direction from the Chairman.

Councilman Walford: I believe Mr. Long had some questions for you.

Mr. Arceneaux: There was a large portion of this tract that was taken out of the project as a result of the - - -

Councilman Long: So how much acreage is left over?

Mr. Arceneaux: Sir?

Councilman Long: So how much acreage is left over after the reduction?

Mr. Arceneaux: About half.

Councilman Long: Okay.

Mr. Arceneaux: I was just going to say, the information is that there is a 20 acre tract, the original proposal was for all 20 acres. This proposal is for half of that, 10 acres. That's why it's only 27 units.

Councilman Long: I understand y'all's point, and I also understand the neighborhood's point. And I'm just trying to see if there's any, and I realize there's been a lot of negotiation going on back and forth on this case for some time. I'm just wondering if there is any room left to try to do something to try to make it economic for the developers and satisfy the neighbors (inaudible), or should we just vote it up or down as it's been recommended here today to move forward, and then y'all either go back to Plan B, or come up with another - - - whatever.

Mr. Arceneaux: Mr. Long the direct answer to that is, the actual project is really not in front of me. This is a subdivision application. There is nothing in your subdivision ordinance that says that homes have to be of a particular size. There is nothing in your subdivision ordinance that says homes have to be owner occupied. This project in an already developed

subdivision could be built without your approval. But that's not what this developer has rested upon. This developer has said, this is properly zoned, it is the proper density. That means how many houses per acre, not how big are the houses. And as a result of that, this is really an application that, from our perspective, we don't believe you have a lot of discretion about. We believe that if you deny this application, you will act arbitrarily and capriciously, because it meets every requirement in the subdivision ordinance. And so what you're saying to the public if you do that is, we will hold you hostage to do what we have said you could do with your property, but we'll keep you from doing it, even though we told you this is the list of things that you have to do. You know, it's like Mr. Washington, there were lots of houses for sale where he wanted to live, he just couldn't buy any of them. And it was wrong then, it would be wrong today to tell a private property owner who meets all the requirements that the property owner cannot do what the law says he is able to do with his property. That's a fairly basic tenant and rightful tenant of American law, and it's a tenant that we urge you to apply today. I happen to live across the street from a house, a whole development of houses that have half the square footage of my house. And I don't think that they destroy the value of my property, and many of them are rented. We don't know. What we do know is this application for a subdivision, this is not a zoning case, Lady and Gentlemen, this application for a subdivision meets all of the requirements. That I submit to you with all due respect to my good friend Mr. Kirkland. That is why the MPC approved it, because it felt it was the right thing to do, because it felt it was the right thing to do, because the application meets all of the requirements. If we're going to start deciding hey, you meet the requirements, but you don't get the subdivision anyway, then I would suggest to you that it would be very difficult to encourage people to develop in Shreveport if they have to kiss one ring or another. Be glad to answer any other questions.

Councilman Long: One last question. I hear that there's mention of some on site management. Is that - - -

Mr. Arceneaux: There will be on site management, it requires a condition of the financing.

Councilman Long: Right, right. And then somebody I heard mention maybe the VOA might be involved.

Mr. Arceneaux: The VOA will be involved in that as well as Ms. Annie Johnson will be the on site manager.

Councilman Lester: If we are to subscribe to Mr. Arceneaux's position, then we should just vote to disband the Shreveport City Council, because I don't want to live in a position where a Council does not have the discretion to enforce and make policy as it relates to people's lives. We're talking about a development, we're talking about something that's an economic benefit to one group of people, but we're also talking about something that's going to be a quality of life issue to some other people. The MPC and this Council have voted against this development in one shape or form at least two times. The MPC and the City Council has voted against developing something somewhat similar a rental piece of property, a rental development on this property once before. I would suggest to this Council, that for us to do otherwise would be arbitrary and capricious. Now the law clerk that's going to read this MPC, when you have lawyers start talking about arbitrary and capricious, we're getting ready to deal with the blue page scenario. Mr. Arceneaux says if we make people kiss the ring, it's going to discourage development. I don't know. I would suggest to him, if he drives through the Martin Luther King community, there are not less than I believe four of these developments that have been done. So, it's not a matter of kissing the ring. It's not a matter of that at all. It's a matter of where do we

put development that both give the owners an opportunity to make money economically, but also give the people that are living next to them the chance to enjoy their quality of life. If we were to say that the rules are what the rules are, and that's a wrap, I mean what's the purpose of having a zoning board, or what's the purpose of having an MPC? I mean to carry his argument to it's logical conclusion, I have a car, and I can do what I want with my car privately what I want to do, and no one can tell me otherwise. That's not the basis of our system. And certainly this Council should always be sensitive to issues that when you have to juxtapose someone's right to make money and a piece of property that has laid dormant maybe 20 years, as opposed to someone who has live there and made an investment in terms of a home that's got a mortgage for 25+ years. When you also look at this scenario, and you know on it's face, I would say vote against it, but just to the south of this development, this same company has - - - Fairfield Property Management has - - - what's the name of the development Mr. Washington?

Mr. Washington: Pine Hill Estates.

Councilman Lester: Pine Hill Estates. Okay, and when these residents have talked to Fairfield Property Management because the other side of the story is just to the south, I guess southeast of the neighborhood, Pine Hill Estates is there. They're got a fence that's there, and people are walking back and forth through their neighborhood. They've asked them to put a fence up. They put a fence up, the fence is torn down, they asked Fairfield Property to re-erect the fence so that you can maintain some sense of security, because no one wants people just walking through their neighborhood that don't belong there. It's not even a Black or White issue, it's just people just traipsing through your neighborhood. Don't put the gat up, I don't care. I don't want to live in a city where someone's desire to make money is given more authority than what is the bedrock of any community, which is homeownership, which is the basis of the American dream. Now if we want to get to that point, then hey that's fine, but I would suggest to you that, that is one of the problems that we've had in this city, and I would suggest to you that in many instances whether regardless of what the Council District is, you have people that have this great idea to make money, and you have residents that say, well you know what, you can make the money, but do it somewhere else. We're talking about bending over and bending over backwards and trying to be accommodating. I've sat down with these developers on more than one occasion. The last of which was prior to this meeting, maybe what one week ago, maybe two weeks ago, and I laid out - - - I went to the Property Standards office, and I rolled out a map of the entirety of the Martin Luther King community, the whole Cooper Road, which has plenty of land, and they came up with an alternative site, I said that site wasn't going to work, because Shreveport, the City of Shreveport, we've done a program called Shepherd place which is the first gated homeownership project in the country, that's being replicated nationwide. Being funded by a Fannie, Freddie Mack, and a number of lending institutions. Another revitalization effort sponsored by Department of Community Development to show that they actually get some things done. But nevertheless I went to the extreme case of showing them at least three other places, that there is nothing there. That is literally pasture land, in the Martin Luther King community, and I might add, the other thing that you might need to understand when you're dealing with these deals, you're talking about geographic regions, but you're also talking about census tracts, and the land that I showed them is in the same census tract. So in terms of from an economic standpoint, would they have to do another impact study? No. Would they have to do another market analysis? No. All those things still ring true. I suggested how about here, I'll call the street out, I think it was at the end of Aline at the end of Russell Road. At least 20 or 30 acres that were out there. And offered in fact that if they had

issues and I showed them some adjudicated property, and offered that if there were - - - if they wanted to look at adjudicated property, that I would set down with them and the Shreveport Redevelopment Authority expropriate the adjudicated property to help them with the development. This comes down to whether or not we want to decide, let these guys make money, in a program and a piece of land that's been dormant for 20 years, or do we respect the neighborhood who time and time again, when other people have tried to do something here, they said no. Let's respect the neighborhood. I think it really is that simple. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Webb: In your meetings with Councilman Lester in the alternative locations he's been referenced to, what were y'all's thoughts of that?

Mr. Arceneaux: Our biggest thoughts were we don't own those. We own one that he did not like. We don't own the other ones.

Councilman Webb: That's the reason why you basically picked this site?

Mr. Arceneaux: From a time examination point, I'd be very concerned about adjudicated property, tax sales are notoriously invalid. So, I'd be very concerned about a significant investment that relied on adjudicated property without (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: Councilman Lester, I was just curious about, you said this land has been dormant for 20 years, anybody tried to put anything on it, or is there a reason why it's been that way, in your opinion, or - - -

Councilman Lester: Thank you. That's a good question. As I appreciate it, the company that owns this has done a business, their business model is to do housing projects and housing developments. I don't know why it was left abandoned. As I appreciate it and talking to Mr. Washington, and several members of his neighborhood association, and homeowners, they have attempted on more than one occasion to purchase the property from the property owners to enlarge and complete their subdivision. And they have been denied at just about every turn. They at one point wanted to put up the money to do that. You know all of this came about in terms of - - - if you want to - - - okay you can answer that question Mr. Washington, if you could. But all of this came about in terms of developing this particular piece of property because, and let me say this. Not maybe half a mile, we've done some other projects there, and you know at a certain point, you get to a critical mass, and how much of this do you want in one particular area right next to these people's homes. So, again I have nothing against Mr. Dosset, Mr. Taylor, or Mr. Edwards or that group, and I was absolutely honest and absolutely genuine when I said if they found another parcel of land,

Councilman Walford: Let me interrupt here. Mr. Lester you've had two debates, I want to make sure your question is answered, and then I'm going to stop you. Do you have anything else?

Councilman Webb: I do not.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Long you had a question?

Councilman Lester: Well I think Mr. Chairman with all due respect, I made a reference to Mr. Washington to answer a question as it relates to the property thing, developed at another time.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Webb?

Councilman Webb: Go ahead.

Mr. Washington: I just wanted to comment on the reason why perhaps the property has not been developed. There is a major gas transmission line that runs through that area and is very, very close to where this proposed project is. That was one of the things not noticed by

MPC, when this project first came to the forefront. They didn't realize that because I think - - - I raised that issue with them, and because they had platted all the lots of that entire 19 or 20 acre site over this gas transmission line, and I brought that to their attention, so they went back then and cut the project down to a smaller size. I have no idea why no one knew that that gas line was running through that neighborhood. So, I just wanted to share that with you.

Councilman Long: Mr. Kirkland, in the past zoning attempts to develop that property, what was their record with the MPC? Were they denied an appeal, were they approved an appeal at the time?

Mr. Kirkland: No, I would say they've lost three times and won once. I mean that's the summary over - - - the last developer I remember (inaudible) Calhoun was back about 4 or 5 years ago, there had been some discussions about the property many times over the years, but the market only turned around a few years ago, and this program was only available beginning a few years ago.

Councilman Long: So what I'm hearing is that the prior developing attempts were turned down by the MPC, and they appealed.

Mr. Kirkland: Yes, and let me just make a comment about that. As long as the Planning Commission has got a vote, I don't disagree with the argument that Mr. Arceneaux is making with one exception. If they have a vote, they can exercise their discretion as to whether it's good for this community or not. The same as in my opinion, you can do. Is that you vote it up or down based on what you think is best for this community. If it were not so, it should be strictly administrative, and you get it and you forget all these hearings, and the MPC hearings and forget the nine Commissioners, and forget the seven of you, and the twelve Parish Commissioners, they deal withes things too as the three of you know. So, basically the Planning Commission did not, in my opinion, vote simply to approve it because it was the right thing. They had already exercised the denial before. What they said was they, I think, recognize the developers efforts to try to make it as compatible as they could, and apparently convinced five of the Commissioners that they had, that it was okay.

Councilman Long: And so the vote was 5-3 you said?

Mr. Kirkland: Yes 5-3. One board member was absent due to illness.

Councilman Walford: Okay, to restate, Mr. Lester is asking to overturn the decision of the Metropolitan Planning Commission.

Motion denied by the following vote: Nays: Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb. 4. Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Shyne, and Bowman. 3.

Councilman Walford: The motion fails. Where does that put us Mr. Thompson?

Mr. Thompson: You need another motion. You don't have a decision.

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to remand MPC CASE NO. SC-102-06 to MPC. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Kirkland, what kind of time period, if it's remanded back to the MPC?

Mr. Kirkland: Without specific instructions on the motion, what we do is bring it back to the board. Of course the January 1st the next meeting, we will though certainly make attempts to

make certain that other property owners are notified again, Mr. Washington and his neighbors, my guess is Mr. Chairman is we'll present it to the board, but they probably will not act until their February meeting. But we look at whether or not we have time to get notices out, and of course we take into account this time of the year, the holiday season and all that, so my guess right now is February, the first Wednesday in February before the board could act on it.

Councilman Shyne: Probably March or April before it would come back to us.

Mr. Kirkland: I would guess we would send it right back to you. We tend to on remands. As soon as the board acts, we send it right back to you. And Mr. Thompson, y'all usually leave it on your agenda, do you not as a remanded case.

Mr. Thompson: We leave it on there so we won't forget it, but I think we have to put it back as a new matter when it comes back.

Mr. Kirkland: I would think, hopefully Mr. Shyne, of course the board has to act, but I would think you'd have it back perhaps mid February, or maybe your second meeting in February and I'm just speculating.

Councilman Shyne: Right, but in case the MPC would kinda maybe postpone it for a meeting or two, that means it would probably be March or April before it gets back.

Mr. Kirkland: It could although, they're up to speed on this one, and subject to new information, they're certainly going to consider a remand as something you want them to reconsider their action, and discuss it with the neighbors again.

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES: None.

Councilman Walford: Very briefly, on committees, I thank the Council for approving the Civic Appropriations Committee, if any of you would like to serve on that committee, please let me know today, and I will move forward with the last of the committee assignments. I'm still working on the assumption that this Council intends to create a Public Safety Committee, and will make the assignments as requested at our last meeting.

Councilman Shyne: In relation to your committees, could we ask Mr. Thompson, Mr. Walford, Mr. Shyne to draw up a resolution for the Public Committee?

Mr. Thompson: We'll have that for the next meeting.

CLERK'S REPORT:

Councilman Walford: It's awfully quiet over there.

Ms. Pierce-Johnson: I don't have a report Mr. Chairman.

THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting)
ADJOURNMENT. There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 6:53 p.m.

//s// R. "Monty" Walford, Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council

Clerk Note(s):

*** **The special meeting** to address an appeal for the revocation of permit to sell alcoholic beverages at **Phat Katz Lounge**, 4303 Greenwood Road, Shreveport, Louisiana, **has been rescheduled for December 11, 2006**. The meeting will be held in the Governmental Chambers, 505 Travis Street, Shreveport, Louisiana, **at 4:00 p.m.** or immediately following the regular Shreveport City Council Administrative Conference, whichever occurs later. (G/Bowman)

*** Items postponed until a specific date may be considered prior to the postponed date.