



Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana

December 14, 2010

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Oliver Jenkins at 3:02 p.m., Tuesday, December 14, 2010, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman S. Jenkins.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman McCulloch.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference (November 22, 2010), City Council Meeting (Nov 23, 2010 – [8:00 A.M.] [12:00 Noon] and [3:00 P.M.]), Special Meeting (November 29, 2010). Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mayor Glover, do you have any awards, or recognition of distinguished guests?

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Members of the Council, as you all may have heard me say, on previous meetings, anyone who takes time from their busy schedule to come down here and to attend one of these meetings in person, I consider to be a special guest, so we thank all of them who are assembled, and certainly all those who are watching via television and the internet. However, we do have one particular individual today that I do intend to recognize with your indulgence Mr. Chair.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Please do.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I want to ask that Mr. Shawn “Boss Man” Boston join me here at the podium along with his lovely mother as well.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, would you repeat that name again please?

Mayor Glover: Shawn “Boss Man” Boston.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Mayor Glover: And for those who didn't know, now you know, in the immortal words of “Biggie”. Mr. Chairman, and members of the Council, Shawn “Boss Man” Boston starting cutting hair some 22 years ago. He began by cutting his own hair, because he made sure he could cut his own before attempting to cut someone else's. It's always good advice I think probably for any person starting off in that profession. His work was outstanding, and he developed a real passion for the skill, and he (inaudible) to be exciting and he gained confidence and began to give haircuts to neighborhood children and others at school. And in June of 1992, some 18 years ago, Shawn formally enrolled in barber school. And he quickly soared to the top of the class here at our local Louisiana Technical College. And he graduated a licensed barber in December 1993, completing his particular course in record time, and part of how he managed to accomplished that is that he never missed a day. His sharp skills and creative flare caught the attention of one of Shreveport premier barbers, our dear former Chair and Council Member's husband, one of the true master barbers of our region, Mr. Jerry Bowman, who was here several weeks ago with Mr. Boston as well. And he offered Shawn an opportunity to begin his barbering career in one of those legendary barber shops here in Shreveport, Jerry's. He became a member of Jerry's team and he gained valuable experience and insight under the tutelage under Jerry Bowman, and was there for 9 years. Shawn's entrepreneurial skills manifested itself and in May of 2002, he opened his own barber shop becoming the first ethnic barber shop in a local mall. Success was tremendous and Shawn “Boss Man” Boston was the first barber shop to win the coveted prize of Minority Business of the Year here in Shreveport. Following his dream, Shawn “Boss Mann” Boston is the proud owner of Boss Man's Barber's World, a booming Shreveport business that is known throughout the state, and now across the nation. Today, he has established the Louisiana Barber Apprenticeship program and Barber College that allows student barbers to attend school and work in a barber shop and gain knowledge from experienced barbers. Taking barber industry to another level is Mr. Boston's passion. His over the top imagination has led him into the arena of fierce competition, some of these you may have seen even on television, and he has been involved in various hair battles and barber shows across the country. This year, in Shreveport's 6th Annual Ultimate Barber and Cosmetology Battle, now in its 6th year drew a crowd of more than 500 to the Shreveport Convention Center and showcased some of the best talents within a 300-mile radius. Some call it a small version of Atlanta's Annual Bronner Brother's Hair Shoe which draws thousands from across the country. But Shawn “Boss-Man” Boston's vision is that it will one day rival the Bronner Brother's show. He is currently organizing the National Barber League, his own Barber team, and was recently recognized by one of the leading publications within the hair care industry the country's barber of the year, which is quite an accomplishment for a young man from right here from Shreveport. So, in recognition of Shawn and all that he has achieved, it is my great privilege to present Shawn and his mother, these Mayoral Executive Mayor pins, and to present to Shawn Mr. Chairman and

Members of Council, this Mayor's Award of Excellence. Now please Shawn, if you would have a word for the Council and for the City of Shreveport.

Ms. Boston: First of all giving honor to God who made this time and event possible. I want to thank the Mayor, thank all the Council Members for giving me an award. He mentioned that I just opened up Boss Man Barber College now. My plans are to train young men to become entrepreneurs and own their own business eventually. So, I just wanted the ultimate goal and it finally happened. So, now we're just taking it a day at a time, and enrolling young men and women to become successful barbers. Thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I'd like to just say, I greatly - - - it sounds like a tremendous accomplishment as well as I think that's a great entrepreneurial spirit that we can use more of in this town. And I greatly want to extend my gratitude for doing that and your forward looking approach to raising awareness of maybe a new group of economy here for Shreveport. So appreciate that.

Mrs. Boston: I just wanted to thank Mayor Glover and thank you all for honoring my son. I'm so proud of him, he's my baby. And he has really been successful. And he goes all over the place putting on hair shows and then he wins the trophy, (inaudible) and then you know whatever goes along with it, a little cash money. So I'm really proud of him and thank you Mayor Glover, and thank you all members of the Council.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Do we have any further comments at this point?

Mayor Glover: Just a couple Mr. Chair. I want to take a moment to express appreciation for all of the various folks who were involved in this past weekend's "Christmas In The Sky" event. I know that some of you had an opportunity to attend, some of you could not. I know that there were literally hundreds of individuals from across the region, some who came from across the country to be a part of this wonderful effort that helps to match up private dollars with the public dollars that the City of Shreveport has vested into the stewardship of the Shreveport Regional Arts Council of the year, helping them to leverage those dollars in ways and manners that end up reaping so much value and so much benefit for the people of Shreveport in general, our children in particular. And so, just simply want to express to Pam Atchison and to all the others. I won't start calling any the names at this point and time, because once you start doing that, you don't call 'em all, you might slight or offend some. But I'll start with Pam and all the others know who they are. Not the least of which are members of our staff here at the City of Shreveport within the department of Parks and Recreation who help and assist in terms of facilitating the set up of that overall effort. But it's one again that allows us to be able here in Shreveport to stand up and arts effort that many people believe to be beyond the capability for a community of the size and scope and level of wealth of the City of Shreveport. But because you managed to be able to bring the public together with the private and for wrap around that, a whole lot of sweat equity was used up being able to accomplish a great, great feel here. And so it was a great party, but most importantly, it raised a great deal of money, and that money will help to do a great deal of good throughout this region, and help us not only in terms of making Shreveport a more interesting, more desirable, place to live, but also to make us more attractive to those folks who are not yet here. Lastly, Mr. Chairman, I want to ask

that we would take a moment of silence for an individual who passed away on this past Sunday. The fact that I am privileged and honored to be able to sit here and serve as the mayor of the City of Shreveport and having been honored to served in public office for the last 20 years, have been able to live a life where largely my success and failure has been based upon the quality of my effort and the talent that I brought to the table is largely attributable to those folks who came before me, who were willing to take on a system that, at that particular time, was not necessarily fair or equitable, but who understood that this country was founded on an ideal that makes it unique in all of the world and if they were determined to see us live up to and to ultimately do all that we could in our efforts to fulfill our commitment to that ideal. One of those great warriors passed away this past Sunday. She represents one of the last few of those folks who were a part of that community here in Shreveport, that list is one that is very short. It includes names like Harry Blake, Dr. E. Edward Jones, Mamie Love Wallace, and one who has now since passed away is Ms. Bernice Smith. She died this past Sunday morning at a place where she loved doing something, that gave her great pleasure, and if I had to write my own ending, I could not think of a better way or a better time, or a better place to pass away. She passed away in Sunday School, at the Galilee Baptist Church. And so Mr. Chair, if you would, I'd ask that we all stand and give a moment of silence.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, before you do that, I've never asked this before, but could I say a word about Bernice?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Please do.

Mr. Thompson: Bernice Smith died on Sunday December 12, 2010, at her beloved Galilee Baptist Church. She was 83 years old. I was not surprised when I learned of her death. Bernice was always young at heart, but there was no spring in her step the last time I saw her, or playful sass in her voice when I last talked to her on the phone. Upon learning of Bernice's death, I began to list her best qualities, the things we will all miss. I listed: strong, independent, brave and wise. She was like Deborah, who is described in Chapters 4 and 5 of Judges in the Old Testament. Bernice, like Deborah, was a wise, strong, independent woman willing to go to war for her people. Bernice Smith went to war and proved her mettle during the Civil Rights movement, and as a foot soldier in the political arena thereafter. While Bernice was a fighter, she was never bitter, mean or unforgiving. Her goal was to insure that this nation provides equal opportunities for all citizens.

And, as I am wont to do in my desire to be intellectually honest with myself, I decided to list the undesirable traits of Bernice Smith. But, I could only think of one. I wrote: She was loyal to a fault.

If you were her friend, Bernice would stand beside you and publicly defend you even when you were wrong – especially when you were wrong. She would give you hell in private, but she would fight for you in public. She would never give up on you - she would never let you down. I looked at my list again, and I struck the phrase, "**she** was loyal to a fault", because being loyal to a fault is no fault when **she** is your friend. On December 12, 2010, this City, State and Nation lost a very valuable citizen, and I lost a loyal friend.

Mayor Glover: Thank you very much Mr. Chairman. And Mr. Chairman, if you would afford me one indulgence, Arthur, if you would, I'd love a copy of that. I know it'll end up being a part of the minutes, but would love to still have a copy before hand, and thank you for sharing. And in the spirit of Bernice Smith, someone who is certainly one of her fellow travelers in the Octogenarian Club is here with us Mr. Chairman, and I'm sure she has a card filled out to speak. But I would not want her to - - - especially on today, to wait until the end of the meeting, so if it is okay with you, and while I know she will fuss at me and probably Ms. Moore, and maybe even some of you, I'm going to ask you if you would to bring Ms. Lucy Green up, and allow her to speak at this time.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Be glad to recognize Ms. Lucy Green at this point.

Ms. Lucy Green: Praise the Lord, thank you Mayor. To all of you all, I'm just thankful to be here. And I sure like to see it. It's the same old soup, just warmed over. I've been coming here ever since April on the same thing, and if I wasn't in need, I wouldn't be coming. And I am in need of this Mr. Mayor. I've been begging for help, and I can't get it. I signed up in 2008 asking would they please help me do a little something on my house. They said yes, but they never come. Then they told me, say they'd rather tear it down, build me another one than to fix on it. Well you got to fix on something before you build. And I'm just thankful that I've been there as long as I is. And if I could do it myself, I'd do it myself. So, I worked on that lot next door to me for over 10 years. I cleaned, cleaned out the shores and everything, people come by ask me who my City Council. I say me. I said I voted for him, but I never see him. And when you call him, they put the answer machine on. I don't talk to robots. I talk to folks. God give me a mouth to talk. If you can talk for me to vote for you, you can talk to me when I need help. I helped you up on the ladder. Why? Don't help me. So, I'm in need. Okay, they taken the lot from me. I signed up for adjudicated. I had to pay my notarizing, all the papers I had to have notarized and everything. Didn't know the lady under me, I had done signed up. Ms. Linda, she made out my deeds, she called me, she say I made out your deeds, I want everything spelled right on it. I gave it to her, she say who else name you want on it? I say my daughter. She got all her social security, put all that on. I'm out there pulling limbs, and working hard when the lady in the back did nothing but looked on. What did Mr. Lester and them do? Put mine on the bottom, put hers on the top, and give it to her, when she did nothing. So, I got so much stress on me, I need to be in Brentwood. How the city help strip me, and I asked her, I say well, they told Mr. Lester told me say, well, won't you get - - - if you see another piece get it? I say what I want with my head here, and my body over yonder in New York, when I just cleaned up this place right around here, understand? So I came down here the last time, I asked them, I say well, keep down confused, I say give me that piece right across in front of me. Mr. Lester said alright. I haven't heard from him, they ain't' sent me a letter or told me nothing. I'm down here to see who am I? I'm a senior citizen, I'm 83 years old. I'm not shamed to tell it. Every time I say it, Mr. Shyne would laugh.. But I'm still here. You keep living, you'll be just like me. You'll be my age. If you don't want to be my age - - -

Councilman Shyne: Joyful smile.

Ms. Green: Leave on now. But I'm thankful to God. I worked hard at Holiday Inn. I fed all the policemen, Don Bruce, Lt. (inaudible), all of them. I took care of them. So now, I'm down here to City Council. They don't answer me on the phone. I need help. I need help.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, if you would - - - Ms. Moore? Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, as Mrs. Green referenced, this is a matter that she's had before the City since April of this year, if not longer. It has to do with a piece of property that was adjacent to her home that she was desirous of getting as a result of the adjudicated property program, the \$1 lot program, and unfortunately, there were some problems that developed and I don't want to assess blame at this point on anybody, because it's not yet clear exactly how and what transpired, but as it ultimately turned out, one of her neighbors ended up positioning themselves to be able to get access to the property before she was able to do so. And because that took place, the ability to go back and reverse that transaction is not something that was possible to be done by the administration. It involves the folks also at the Parish level because it's adjudication that they place at both the Parish and the City level. And so there's lots of problems that go back and forth in terms of the resolution of that. In addition to that particular effort, Mrs. Green has sought assistance from us with regard to addressing some of the problems with her home. As has become the policy of the department, always has been, but one that has more particularly adhered to at this time, an evaluation is done of the home to determine whether or not it is, I think the terms are feasible and non-feasible, in terms of the Limited Repair Program. And basically, it's a cost/benefit analysis matrix that it's gone through. Those particular dollars of \$15-40,000 that might be utilized to do some of the limited repair if the determination is made that even the investment of those dollars was still not effectively address the problems and challenges that you have in your home, then it's deemed to be non-feasible. Essentially means you don't have enough limited repair dollars to be able to address all of the issues and problems that exist with your home. It would not be a wise or prudent investment. At that point and time, it was deemed to be non-feasible. The only other option that's available to the city to try to assist in this situation, and do know that we don't have dozens of these across the city, we have dozens alone just within the MLK neighborhood. We have dozens alone that's within Allendale and Lakeside. We literally have hundreds if not thousands all across the City of Shreveport of folks who find themselves in this situation. But once it's deemed to be non-feasible, the only viable option available, is to basically reconstruct the home. And we do have the limited ability to be able to do new construction of an individual who meets the types of requirements that Mrs. Green does. But what that requires is that the old home on that particular site and that particular location, is demolished and a new one is constructed. We've had some ongoing discussions between Mrs. Moore and Mrs. Green about that possibility. There has not been a clear ability to resolve the willingness on the part of Mrs. Green and her family for us to move forward in that direction, and Mrs. Green is still not, at this point, happy or content with our efforts. But Mrs. Moore is the one who is involved in this effort on an ongoing basis and she's in a position to be able to give you some more specific information in terms of where we are and what we've done.

Ms. Moore: Good evening. The mayor is indeed correct. We have been working with Ms. Green ongoing to resolve her matter and assist her as best possible. The regulations however will not allow us to repair a house, and then come back and tear it down. We've had policies and procedures that dictate how we do this. We have deemed her house infeasible, meaning that we cannot put excessive dollars in her house and then it does not come to housing quality standards. Therefore if the rehab value exceeds 65% of the appraisal value, then it is our policy that we must reconstruct that home. We've made that option available to Ms. Green. Ms. Green has pondered over it for some time, and at one point, she'll say no, and then she'll say yeah. She has since said

yes. However there is an issue that needs to be resolved before we can move forward with that. Ms. Green and her family are very much aware of what that issue is, and we have given her verbal notice of that and written notice of that. And we're pending a decision on that. We do as the mayor indicated have hundreds of houses that are also in need of repair. We can't continue to hold that spot for Ms. Green. We have gotten other non-profits to come out and assist Ms. Green as well. Recently, the Fuller Center repaired a gas leak for her. That was an emergency, and we're extremely grateful to them for that. The city added a small amount of dollars in there, as much as the regulations would allow us to do to also assist with that gas leak.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And what specific issue are you talking about? Is it inappropriate for you to tell us what that issue is?

Ms. Moore: I'll share it with you after council.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay.

Mrs. Green: Excuse me. They came out and they asked me if I was - - - when I asked them to help me. And Ms. Moore came out, she sit and talked with me. She explained that they couldn't repair, it'll be so much. And I sit on my chair, and she set on the couch. I agreed with her that I would let 'em tear it down. She said well Mrs. Green, this spring, we will build you a new house and tear that one down and what not. I agreed with it. But I was needing help then. I said help me a little before it gets cold. Well, when she came out, and told me that I agreed for her to - - - them to tear it down. I never seed Ms. Moore no more. She never called me or nothing until I was here, and explained, and she came out. She said Ms. Green, why didn't you call me? Business is just business. She told me, say this spring, we would tear it down, build you a new house. This spring come, summer come, winter was right around the corner. Nobody called me or nothing. So, I'm here. I give Ms. Moore the best respect, I give my mayor the best respect, I give all of you respect. But I was needing help, and nobody come and help me. Now if you say you going to tear it down, I've got boxes and things stacked up where I was going to be fixing up my stuff, I got sick there. Pneumonia in both sides. I was in the hospital. I called my mayor on my sick bed. He talked down there to me. I explained things to him, but I need help. I live there, and I was sitting looking at the TV and I seed Ms. Moore. She got a - - - she showed all the beautiful houses that they had built. I sat there and I cried like a baby. I said well, once this spring done come, summer done come, winter right around the corner, nobody helped me. When I called, I calls to talk, (inaudible) the voice mail, I don't talk to voices. I talk to people. You understand. I'm human. I'm senior citizen. I pay my tax bill right here I gotta pay. 2009, they charged me \$33.74 every month for water when nobody lived there but me. I don't drink the water, I don't wash there. I ain't got no grass there. Because the city - - - those people come out there and sprayed. I don't have no grass. My yard is bald as this.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Ma'am, do you have heat in your - - -?

Mrs. Green: I only have a little space heater. When the man come out there and inspect, I said well look darling, can I have a little heat and things? He said yes Ms. Green. He said you got a grant,

you can heat and things. I had a little gas heater here, I been burning, my electric bill came yesterday, almost \$200.00. So I only have a little gas heater.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Ms. Moore, based on the conversation that we had just this afternoon, I guess maybe I need to ask Ms. Green. Have you actually agreed to have your house torn down?

Mrs. Green: I agreed to Ms. Moore. She sit on my couch, (inaudible) I said well okay. But I was needing help. She say well Mrs. Green, I'm going to come out and take you and show you some of the houses that we built.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Let me ask you this. Are there - - - is there going to be a problem with them tearing your house down?

Mrs. Green: It wasn't no problem, but didn't nobody come.

Councilwoman McCulloch: There was no other person involved in the tearing down of the house?

Mrs. Green: Well my husband's name is on there. But I paid for the house, and he don't live with me, but still I wear his name.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So it's not going to be a problem with his name being on the house and having it torn down?

Mrs. Green: No, it's not. But like I say now, I'm not young. I'm old. What I look like - - - I gotta go now packing up and moving and all like this. Just pack up a little something around there and help me. I'm senior citizen like everybody else.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So, you wanted patched up or torn down?

Mrs. Green: Either way. What can I do now?

Councilwoman McCulloch: But I want to know from you, what do you want right now?

Mrs. Green: I want them to kinda come there and fix something on it - - - Mr. Mayor, could you come out to my house? You is the mayor of the city. I'm not ashamed to ask, cause if I didn't need help.

Mayor Glover: I've seen and know Mrs. Green's house very well. The last time she was here, part of what she needed some assistance with was some work on her gas system. Mr. Shyne and Mr. Webb were on the council at that time. I think it was probably some time in October timeframe, maybe or so, I think that Mrs. Moore just made reference. We've managed to be able to help facilitate that through our work with the Fuller Center, and so there actually is work that is being done by the City, by Mrs. Moore, in conjunction with other non-profits to try and address some of the issues and challenges that Mrs. Green is referencing. But as has been established, there are histories involving the ownership status of the home that Mrs. Green has just made reference to

that still have to be resolved in order for us to be able to move forward with the actual reconstruction of the home. Also as Mrs. Moore has just made reference, we cannot go in and spend our folks up on the 8th floor will insure. Is it 8 or the 7th?

Mr. Seaton: 7th.

Mayor Glover: On the 7th floor insure that we can not and should not go out and spend dollars on a home to where as Mrs. Moore has just explained, if it's non-feasible, it means that we're simply putting good money after a bad problem. And that the only ultimate way in which you can legally and effectively and ethically address that is to say that you cannot go and continue to patch and to fix and to do that which does not make sense from an investment standpoint that ultimately you have to go about the route that we're trying to pursue with Mrs. Green, but still have some problems because of some of those issues.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I appreciate that, and I appreciate also that there is some sensitivity issues that are related to the ownership and/or some issues with the property. And I do want to say that we recognize that you have some struggles here, and we should be doing something to help, but I do believe Ms. Moore is the correct person to provide that for you, and just so there's no confusion here, we want you to keep working with Ms. Moore to rectify this the best way possible, and we absolutely would be happy to hear from you again if she isn't able to satisfactorily move forward with what you'd like to be done. I think there's some ramifications that need to be considered, and I don't think - - - I think she appreciates that, and I don't believe she needs any guidance from us or the Mayor at this point. It's fairly clear what her task is at this point.

Mrs. Green: Well, what I'd tell her. I respect Ms. Moore. Ms. Moore is somebody. And I give her the best respect. I talk to her, when I talk to her she's got a nice personality. First time I met her, she seemed like a nice person. I respect her. I goes to her to talk to her. And I said this, this morning - - - out of all these from 2009, this is 2010. I paid all of them water bills and things before I come down here. I said this morning, if the city give me my money back or what not, I could pay for somebody to come in and fix something. I ain't got long here.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Ms. Green, we recognize what you're saying, and I think you've made it very clear to us what those problems are. And we do appreciate you coming down and making us aware of that and please continue to work with her to satisfactorily resolve this problem.

Mrs. Green: She told me that they was coming out tomorrow. And I said well Ms. Moore, God bless you. I said the Lord hears my prayer. I said, I just talked about it, but I'm going down to the City Council, I got some explaining to do. I said, this is my mayor, and I give him the best respect. And I say the property across there, nobody called, nobody write - - - I'd like to know something, you would too.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I appreciate that and I appreciate you coming down here as I said. I think Ms. Moore can satisfactorily work through the problem, and you let us know in the future if it's not working out appropriately.

Mrs. Green: I'm quite sure it will. Mayor, I appreciate it. And if you have some spare time, I get our mail, I voted for you, and I feel like this. I'm not shame to say it. If you can put something in my door, you can put something in my mailbox for you to get on top, and (inaudible) - - - think about me.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. Well thank you very much for your time today.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Appreciate your indulgence and the council's indulgence. The last two things I want to wrap up with is that we're joined here on the front row today by the distinguished Rev. Calvin Austin, who is the Chair of this year's Martin Luther King observance. It is an effort that we have joined in with the ministerial alliance here in Shreveport over the last several years to help to facilitate and certainly I want to welcome him here. I don't know if he intends to make any expressions or comments, but certainly is nice to see him here, and we look forward to getting another outstanding program that the ministerial alliance will join with the City of Shreveport to help facilitate and lastly, I was remiss in not recognizing one of our distinguished federal judges. It's always said that Mayors and politicians in general should stay in good side of federal judges, so Judge, the senior Judge for the Western District, Judge Tom Stagg was here. There he is on the front row. Just want to take a moment Mr. Chairman to always stop and recognize someone of Judge Stagg's statute, and certainly appreciate you being here. He's here I believe on a zoning matter, and that's a clear indication that no matter how high you may sit, and how much power you may possess, we're all citizens, and it's a delight to see him down here, engaged in the city process. Thank you Mr. Chair.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you. For the record, that was more than 15 minutes today, but we've given you some indulgences today. Okay.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman O. Jenkins: First of all, I was remiss yesterday in not wishing Tonya a Happy Birthday, so I want to go on record as doing that. So hopefully she's listening.

Councilman Webb: She's listening.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, you beat me to it. I had planned to ask her to come around in front and I was just going to ask us to do maybe a verse of happy birthday, since Tonya does so much work.

Councilman O. Jenkins: We would be happy to let you lead us in that happy birthday. There she is.

Councilman Shyne: Come on around Tonya. Come on around.

Councilman O. Jenkins: You don't get this chance but every 365 days. Okay.

Councilman Shyne: Well I guess that cuts the song out then. I'm a little hoarse anyway.

Councilman O. Jenkins: She spared you and the rest of us. Okay, any other Council Member recognitions or distinguished guests?

Councilman Shyne: I'm sorry Mr. Chairman. My good friend, he likes to refer to himself as a good ole country boy from Bienville Parish. Mr. Mayor, you know who I'm talking about, you know one of them good ole country boy from Ringgold, LA, where they found a whole lot of natural gas down there. And I don't know Mr. Mayor whether it's a rumor or not, but you and I might be able to borrow some money from him pretty soon, because you know they've been finding a whole lot of natural gas down there, the President of the local chapter of the NAACP. Lloyd, you want to stand and wave? Okay. Thank you for coming down.

Councilman Webb: Another elected official, Charlie Caldwell, our City Marshal is here with us today.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mayor, do you have any communications?

Mayor Glover: We have no additional communications at this time.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just before we go into the next piece of business, and we start with reports, I just wanted to publicly point out that I had asked Mr. Seaton, to prepare a presentation for our November 28th meeting to give us some background on both the new garbage fees and what those revenues are going to go towards, and some of the background and/or contracts with respect to both the garbage service for Bossier as well as the recycling service in that fee. We're just using it as a formal way to spread more of more transparency, of where those resources are going. And so, I'm publicly doing this that we have talked about it. And I intend to or at least my intent if it's in a power point type of presentation, then we could hang it somewhere for more information for the voters and citizens, I should say.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Seaton, while you are working on that project, and I don't know if I'm not certain if it really accommodates what I'm about to ask. You know I would like to know, I've been entertaining a thought of amending that ordinance that deals with the garbage fees, not the recycling fees that if we exempt citizens that were 65 years and above, if we exempt them from the garbage collection fee, what would that do to the revenue. I'm not 100% certain if we would know that without actually being able to identify. Let me be straight. Residential rate payers is a better word to use instead of citizens, but residential rate payers who have obtained the age of 65 and above, if they were exempt from paying that fee. What would that do to the revenue? But it may take some research to determine how many people meet that.

Mr. Seaton: That's the key right there Mr. Jenkins. If we had that number, we could answer that very easily, but trying to determine which addresses would qualify for that and which don't, that would be the difficult part.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well I tell you what, let's just proceed with what the Chairman has asked for, because I'm interested in seeing that number. But keep somewhere, maybe in the back of your mind that something like that may come down the pipe as to exempting our residential rate payers who are senior citizens, who are on a fixed income, and a process by which one can apply or verify that they are 65 and above, and ask for the exemption. And then maybe at that part, there could be some determination of what it may do to the revenue that's been forecast so far. Just kinda keep that in the back of your mind right now. I'd hate to see you go through all that, and this could be an opportune time to look at that too. But I don't want to hold up the Chairman's request, but just kinda keep that in the back of your mind. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And that brings up a good point. I certainly would like to encourage citizens to mail in respective questions that they now have with regard to either of those fees to their respective city councilman or the City Council Office, and/or the Administration so we can try to get a large share of those answered in this quasi form, if you understand where I'm trying to go with that. And I have some that I will forward to you. But I think it's just one more opportunity to you know respond to some of the various constituents' concerns.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, a couple of things. And to Mr. Jenkins and other members of the Council. If you have some ideas with regard to the exemption notion that you could say, pass along to us, because one of the things that occurs to me is the logistics of how you manage to facilitate an effort like that, and then we'll do some asking around of some of the Municipal Associations and what have you, other cities as to whether or not there's any sort of template that we might look to follow. Because I think as you just acknowledged, one of the questions that immediately comes to mind, how do you make that work? How do you identify them and facilitate that just from a monthly billing standpoint? But we certainly, and that's what ends up giving you I think the number of whether you're exempting 5%, 10%, 15%, 20% or what have you, but if there's some ideas, some areas, some other cities that have some models that any of you may have come across, certainly pass it along to us, because we'd like to be able to reach out to them. And then the other thing I'd like to ask Mr. Chairman, that you all work with us in determining as a part of this presentation process, and that is whether or not currently the revenues that we receive from the outsource (inaudible) people across the river is sufficient to cover the city's cost. I think that's a determination that this administration needs to make in conjunction with this Council. Because if we're not at the very minimum breaking even, then it's a situation that we need to look for what our options are and to seek to address whatever means may be available to us.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And it's certainly our intent or our hope for those numbers to be brought out in that presentation. So - - -

Councilman Webb: Mr. Mayor, when does their contract expire, do you know?

Mayor Glover: 2013.

Councilman Webb: So we really can't get anything until then, correct?

Mayor Glover: Contracts are always drawn in ways that afford the individuals involved to be able to address issues and problems that may exist, and that's one of the things that I know that the previous Council, their questions came back and forth. One of the things that I said I believe we need to do, and I think the Chair is of similar mindset, is that, that's a question that we need to answer. Because even some of our citizens who think that we are - - - who've asked us that question. You know we've looked at anecdotally. I think we need to put some hard - - - some pin to paper, and come up with some hard reliable quantifiable numbers that we can use to ultimately ask the question, but also get a good answer as well. And then see where we are from there.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. Reports, Mr. Holt would you come forward please?

Reports:

Property Standards Report

Mr. Holt: Good evening Mr. Chairman. Councilman Jenkins, we have attempted to contact Ms. Pat Wilson concerning 1626 Murray Lane. Our records indicate there are no violations at that location since 2005. We have left messages for her to call us back. And we cannot proceed until she do so.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, thank you Mr. Holt. I'll try to reach her, because she left a couple of numbers for me also. 1) I didn't want to give out because I thought it was her cell number, so I didn't want to just give out her cell number in a public forum that way. But I'll try to reach her because for some reason, she thought that someone had contacted her, had given her like 30 days to get it done, and she didn't feel like she had enough time to get it done.

Mr. Holt: She could have called me or Ms. Dorothy Farnell, either one, and we'll get it straight for her.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And we thank you so much.

Mr. Holt: Councilman Webb, I believe we've identified the lot that you - - -(inaudible)

Councilman Webb: 3208.

Mr. Holt: (Inaudible) west of that church?

Councilman Webb: Correct. West of the church, the mailbox is 3208, but there's another mailbox about 3 foot from that, that does not have an address on it, which actually belongs to that house.

Mr. Holt: Only at first glance, it appears to be in the parish. The city limits come around the church and take it in, it's the parish on both sides. I'll verify that for you in the morning.

Councilman Webb: Okay.

Mr. Holt: Other than that, Mr. Chairman, we'll be glad to take any concerns the Council may have.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Council have any other concerns? Thank you very much for your time today Mr. Holt. Mr. Seaton, do you have a revenue collection plan and implementation report?

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report

Mr. Seaton: I'd like to ask Mr. Madden to come up, but I believe a handout is being passed out to you, our revenue collections comparison. Looking at certain revenues comparison for the full amount last year, and a partial amount this year.

Mr. Madden: And yesterday, we talked about giving you copies. This is the last report that we presented to the previous council as far as what we did for the revenue collection. It varies from month to month so far as what we did. The one we did last year, the council gave the revenue department two additional revenue folks to try and collect revenue and basically what we're trying to do is show that we're collecting more revenue and that they're paying for themselves.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, any questions from Council?

Mr. Madden: And as far as in the future - - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: We need to go through that and we'll let you know what we expect in the future. Thank you very Much.

Mr. Seaton: Mr. Chairman, we'd also like to note that I believe as council members, you should be receiving monthly statements each month. The front seven pages of that, the summaries of revenues and summaries of expenditure reports, those are posted on our city website, something we started doing this year trying to increase transparency. If you have any questions on those reports, just let us know.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'm sure once we've reached a full month of maturity on this council, we'll start getting that report. Okay, thank you. Surety and Bond forfeitures report, Ms. Scott?

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (First Regular Meeting of the Month)

Ms. Scott: Good afternoon Mr. Chairman, members of the Council.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Good afternoon.

Ms. Scott: What's being handed out to you now is basically background information on the authority for collection of judgments that have been forfeited, it's called judgment or bond forfeitures. There's a state statute that directs that any defendant who fails to appear in court as promised, if they are subject to a bond, then the court may order after the prosecutor's office makes a requisite showing it. In all of our analogy throughout this report will be in terms of the City Prosecutor's office. But once the defendant fails to appear in court, then there's a process in the statute that provides that the bond that has been promised or posted for the defendant's appearance will be forfeited. One of the things that we noticed, and let me point out by way of

history, the City Marshal provided a report to the previous city council back in September 2009 indicating that 300 or so thousand dollars had not been collected. Unbeknown to our office, that first, that we had a role in collecting that money, and as far as what the City Court procedures were previously in collecting that money. Once that report was made aware to us, then we started looking at what our role and responsibility as the City Prosecutor's office was for collecting the money. What that report does is to highlight some of the procedure that's required and that is involved. In October of 2010, the city council passed a resolution requiring that our office provide a report to you on the first Tuesday, or during the first council meeting of the month that highlights our efforts toward collecting the money. Part of our efforts in collecting the money have been complicated by the fact that there is an argument to be had by some attorneys in town at least, that the collection process that was being used prior to February of 2010, was not in conformity with the state law requirements for collection of the judgments. In particular, we have six lawsuits that are currently pending in Shreveport City Court and/or Caddo District Court, whereby there is a challenge being made to the process and procedure that is used to collect on the judgments. One of the issues or the allegations that's being made in the petition is that the statute provides that the Clerk of Court, after there is a judgment by the court ordering the forfeiture on the bond, that the Clerk of Court shall send notice to the defendant, and to the commercial surety notifying them of the judgment and sign an affidavit that has to be filed into the record. Prior to February 2010, the notices were actually being sent by the Marshal's office. There was a young lady in the Marshal's office who was commissioned, I believe also as a Deputy Clerk of Court. However, all of the notices were being sent from the Marshal's office. After February 2010, that function and that role is now being performed by the Clerk's office as per the statute. So again, that's one of the allegations in the lawsuits that we are currently involved in right now, that our procedure was not in accordance with state law, and at least if you are a plaintiff's attorney, your argument is that because of the failure of the procedure, those judgments that were obtained were invalid. We will know once we get a judgment, or once there is a decision by the court whether or not the procedures that were used pre-February 2010, are in fact valid, and more so, whether or not the money that is represented by those judgments can actually be collected. Included in that report, you are told that as of yesterday, December 13, 2010, approximately \$412,000 is outstanding on the judgments that have been rendered on the bond forfeitures. Breaking that amount down, \$97,247 of that is represented by post February 2010 judgments. Those are amounts on the judgments that have been ordered after the first office actually started sending the notice up to the current date. There is about \$314,000 or so in pre-February 2010 judgments or that's represented by the pre-February 2010 judgments, and to date, we've collected approximately \$18,322 on the judgments. This is post-February 2010. There is an outstanding balance now on that \$94,000 of approximately \$78,000 or so. The statute sets up a process that says when you can actually collect the money and what the process is for doing that. Currently, we have 23 cases that are pending, whereby we are asking the court to revoke the right of approximately 23 sureties that would prohibit them from writing bonds out of Shreveport City Court. Coupled with that, we're now working with the finance department to make sure that the information that is coming out of city court as far as the judgments on those bond forfeitures as well as the information that is sent to the police department is accurate and current so that defendants, (not defendants I'm sorry), so that sureties who have not paid either their licensing fee or that are subject to the judgments again are no longer permitted to write bonds in Shreveport City Court. The next process that we will do is, and the statute allows us to send all of that information to the insurance commissioner's office.

We've sent one stack to the insurance commissioner's office, and we're getting ready to send another rather large stack that's represented by this \$97,000. The insurance commissioner's office by statute is authorized to collect the money for us as represented by those judgments. The reason we're deciding to utilize the insurance commissioner's office is first and quite honestly, they have a bigger stick than we do. The other thing is that because of the dictates and demands on the prosecutor's office staff, there are three attorneys that are assigned to the prosecutor's office who are in court everyday during the week. And because of the demands on their time, we thought it more expedient and more beneficial in terms of a return to us on some of the money that's outstanding who actually allow the insurance commission's office to collect the money for us. Now the amount that is represented at any time will change, because there are judgments rendered daily out of Shreveport City Court forfeiting the bonds. By the same token, since November of this year, the prosecutor's office sent out approximately 56 letters to commercial sureties and local bondsmen informing them that there were outstanding judgments and bond forfeitures. That is what is represented by the \$18,322 that has been collected to date, where they've actually come in and made the voluntary payments. One of the things that has been missing thus far in this process has been a process, a systematic process whereby we actually go out and enforce the collection on those judgments and actually collect the money. The other thing that we're going to do in addition to asking the insurance commissioner's office to collect on the judgments for us is that going forward, in addition to these monthly reports, you will get a person in our office who is now primarily responsible for monitoring and collection on those judgments. We've gotten tremendous assistance from the courts as well as from the City Marshal's office and our finance department in trying to help us implement a process that will help hopefully reducing the amount that is outstanding. But again every time a bond is forfeited, that increases the amount that is outstanding. Every time and each one of those is represented by a single case. So for example, if a notice is sent out to XYZ Surety Bond Company, as was the case when our notices were sent out in November, that may represent 20, 30, or some other number of actual judgments that were sent out. Because it is represented by each defendant, and each bond that was written on that defendant. So, bottom line is there is money out there to collect. We are working to collect it and we hope that next month, we'll be able to bring you a report that shows well in excess of \$18,000.

Councilman Webb: Who reports to the jail that the bonding company is not eligible to write any more bonds until their - - -

Ms. Scott: The Marshal's office is doing that right now, but again with the involvement of our finance department, one of the things that Chief Shaw and I have discussed is making sure that there are open lines of communication such that the jail is aware on a day to day basis, moment to moment if it's possible as to if a bondsman's status has changed. Again, the Marshal's office has been excellent in monitoring that process, but we need to step up and make sure for ourselves that we're doing everything that we can to notify the folk in the jail when bondsmen are no longer eligible to write those bonds. And that's been the step that's missing in this process as well.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Did you say when you expected to hear from those court cases that are pending or any expectation?

Ms. Scott: I don't right now, because the suits - - - there are 23 of them, they have actually not been filed, the writs have been prepared, they've been signed by the prosecutor, but to my knowledge, they have not been signed by the court as of yet. The expectation however is that they will be done this week. And by the way as we go forward and present these reports to you, if there is a particular format, or a particular information that you would like to get the information in, if you would let us know, right now, we would just try to give you a highlight of the overview of the process, but again as I said before I think your interest is in the actual numbers that's out there that's collected. But at any time, if you'd like a list of the sureties or a breakdown of the bondsmen who write under their bond or the individual bondsmen and the amounts that they owe, then just let us know, we'll be happy to provide that information to you as well.

Councilman Webb: When this all started about a year ago I guess, well I know I brought it up in a council meeting, that was one of the things I was looking at, was there were several bondsmen that owed a huge amount of money, or maybe they do ten times more business than the other bonding companies do, but it seemed like the number never went down and maybe the new case comes and the old case goes, and (inaudible), so you can't even look at it like that, but that's when I found out that we were the ones that were responsible and I was - - - I didn't see where we were doing anything to try to correct it, so I think we're headed down the right road.

Ms. Scott: And you are correct.

Councilman Webb: So hopefully in the future the bondsmen will know if they're going to operate in the City of Shreveport, they gotta pay their money.

Ms. Scott: And the way the statute is set up, it's a shared responsibility. We have a responsibility along with the Clerk's office, and the statute details what each organization or each body's responsibility is. And again, I'll be the first to admit publicly to you, that prior to Marshal Caldwell bringing the report to our attention the Friday before he brought it to you, we were not aware that the money was not being collected, but we're doing everything within our power to make sure that it is collected.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Sounds like you've put some procedures in place to make sure that is in affect. Thank you very much. There is not public hearing for today, lets move on to adding items to the agenda.

EMS Transports Report (Second Regular Meeting of the Month)

Public Hearing: None.

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Adding Items to the Agenda (Clerk reads items into the record - public comments allowed on items proposed to be added, then items can be added only after unanimous vote [See Act 131 of 2008])

Councilman Jenkins: Mr. Thompson, is there any legislation to be added today?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, there are some items to be added to the agenda, but before we get to that, yesterday we discussed hyper-linking to the electronic agenda, all of the resolutions that require the various reports, and so at your leisure could look at them and decide if you want to continue them, or if you wanted to alter them in some way the requirements, or change it up in any other ways. So we just put that information there for you. In the transition from the last council to this council, the appeals from the Property Standards Board were not linked to the new agenda. So at this time, we would like to ask you to put those items on the agenda. None of those items will be considered today, but we need a formal motion to put those items on the agenda, and if I could, I'd like to just read them.

PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEALS:

HBO0700145: 426 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Ms. Carolyn Miller, 424 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA 71105 (F/Shyne) (Tabled August 25, 2008)*

PSD0900006: 2006 Looney Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) *Ms. Niakia Cook-Jones, 6777 Raspberry Lane, Apartment 1421, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) (Tabled April 26, 2010)*

PSD0800400: 5318 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Mr. Darien Kirkendoll, P.O. Box 8703, Bossier City, LA. (Postponed October 25, 2010 until November 22, 2010)*

PSD0900275: 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) *Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Walford) (Postponed November 8, 2010 until January 10, 2011)*

HBO1000030: 153 Merrick Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *Mr. Benjamin A. Cornier, P.O. Box 44021, Shreveport, LA 71134 (Postponed November 8, 2010 until November 22, 2010)*

PSD1000086: 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) *Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Bowman) (Postponed October 25, 2010 until January 11, 2011).*

CAB1000828: 3135 Idledays Dr., Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/Lester), *Mr. Leroy Carey, 3135 Idledays Drive, Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/Lester) (Postponed October 25, 2010 until November 22, 2010)*

PSD1000150: 2717 Lakeshore Dr, Shreveport, LA (G/Oliver) *Ms. Gwendolyn C. Williams, 6410 Dianne Street, Shreveport, LA 71119 (A/McCulloch) (Postponed November 22, 2010 until January 10, 2011)*

Mr. Thompson: Those are all of the items that we have.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Do I have a motion?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, under the state law, you need to ask whether or not any citizen would like to make any comments concerning any of these items.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Is there anyone here present who would like to speak in favor of or in opposition to these items being added to the agenda? Seems like there is - - -

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Everson to add the property standard appeals to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, O. Jenkins Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Public Comments (*Comments on items to be adopted*)

Mr. John Hatter: (9045 Ellerbe Road, Suite 103) I'm the attorney for Chris and Doris Butts. Fortunately, I saw all y'all the last time, that's the wonderful thing about this transition that y'all do, because y'all heard all this the last time, I'm not going to go over it. Apparently we had a little glitch in the appeal process on this matter that the applicant didn't get notice.

Mr. Thompson: That was not these particular council members the last time you were here. That was the old Council.

Mr. Hatter: That was the old council, but they were here.

Councilman O. Jenkins: We were sitting together.

Mr. Hatter: They didn't vote on it, but they sat and heard my comments. This was a house in Mr. Oliver Jenkins' District C, where a gentleman wants to build out a garage onto the front over the setback lines. I think Mr. Long, when he was the councilman said he had gone by and looked at it, and felt like it was not appropriate for the neighborhood. I'm not going to rehash everything. You did it. You voted to overturn the MPC on that, and uphold our appeal. We appreciate that, and we ask that you do it again. I'm not going to take anymore of your time, unless y'all have any questions.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Any questions from the council? It is linked. All of the documentation is linked. Questions? Thank you for your time.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry. I think it's in your district, right?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Correct.

Councilman Shyne: Will you make some comments on that when it comes up?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I will, I will make some comments on that. Didn't I have some- - - I don't seem to have a comment sheet from somebody who was appealing or speaking in favor?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Tom Collingsworth was the person who appealed it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And you have to (inaudible) again. Okay. Just to confirm there's nobody out here to present the other side of this particular issue. Okay, next.

Mr. Brady King: (4005 Chauvin Lane Monroe, LA) I guess I'm here today before the council and the mayor because I am President of King Restaurant Group. We currently and manage two restaurants, one in Monroe and one in Ruston. Others in our group, Copeland's Franchise also in Monroe are here today because we had requested a variance for alcohol service extended hours for a parcel in the Pierremont Mall, and before we went to the Planning Commission, we had a neighborhood function that (inaudible) many in the neighborhood were concerned about the restaurant, or at least had some potential concerns. I thought we addressed most of those. Some of those concerns appear to be related to activities that took place before we ever even thought about coming to Shreveport, garbage pick up and things of that nature. We then proceeded to go in front of the panel, or your Zoning (ZBA), made our statement there. Again, we thought we addressed the concerns of the neighbors, at that point it was trash pick-up, location of dumpsters, extended hours, particularly as it relates to a - - - it's called a patio, but it's an additional 700 square foot annex that we're proposing to build onto the mall. It's got roof material. The walls are going to be masonry and covered with some type of stucco, I believe we've presented to the council pictures renderings of it. Again, it's a patio in name only. It's essentially a closed in space with some windows that would remain open, particularly if you accommodate smokers. Mr. Chairman, I forgot to ask, and not being familiar with your - - - what's my time limit?

Mr. O. Jenkins: It's three minutes, but if you're providing worthwhile comments, it's likely that we'll grant you an extension.

Mr. King: So anyway we have now been in front of you with the appeal, we have spoken with Judge Stagg, and I know he's very able and capable of speaking for himself. As I understand it, the remaining concern is extended hours on the patio. And the Zoning Commission had agreed to 10:00 closing, Monday through Thursday, but allowing us to stay open until 12:00 on Friday and Saturday. And again, I know that Judge Stagg will be able to articulate his position. My understanding is that they had a good turn with that. We have proposed today, in fact I spoke with Judge Stagg, closing the patio in this respect for Friday and Saturday, not seating any additional patrons out there after 10:00. My concern was however, just the deadline, I've got to close the patio, then I'm going to ask people to stop their meals. So, we tried to accommodate their concerns about noise, etc., so they would stop service, stop seating people on the patio at 10:00. We will allow people to come out to smoke a cigarette, but not serve them if they're not at the table. I think the council's received our menus, you can see the price points. I hate to say it's pricy, but it's not cheap. And so I don't believe our patrons and our customers that would be out there enjoying the patio and a quiet evening away from the kids with a glass of wine are going to create a disturbance, is the concern of the neighbor. But to try to alleviate that, again we've I guess agreed to come back off of what the variance provided by the Planning or the ZBA was, and to say look, we will not seat anybody out there after 10:00, ask them to finish their meal at their leisure, and then if no further seating, with the caveat that someone comes to us who wants to smoke, which we've got to accommodate them, they'll be able to smoke in this area. With that I'll be happy to answer any questions that the council might have.

Mr. Thompson: Do you happen to have those changes in writing?

Mr. King: Yes sir, we have provided Judge Stagg on November 14, 2010 a letter. I don't believe it will be a problem. Judge, do you have any problem?

Judge Stagg: I just want to know when is it my turn to talk.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yes sir, we'll give you that opportunity.

Judge Stagg: I'm down there on the agenda, according to what I have in the paperwork.

Councilman O. Jenkins: No, you should be available - - - I'm not sure if you filled out one of these sheets, but I certainly expect that you have something to say, and I certainly intended to give you that opportunity today.

Mr. King: I would ask that this be provided to the council and labeled as 'Exhibit' if there's such a thing.

Ms. Anne Dixon: (7332 Seabrook Cr) I don't think there's a whole lot I can add to what Mr. King had to say other than he has been very committed to making sure that he satisfies the concerns of the neighborhood. I think we've done that. He spoke with Judge Stagg this morning along with Keith Avant, whose the broker of my firm. I was not available, and I believe that we've come to terms with it. This is going to be white tablecloth, upper scale restaurant. We do not anticipate there being a problem with beer drinking and noise after 10:00 at night under any scenario inside the restaurant or outside. He just is asking that he be given the same playing field that the other restaurant in the mall has been given. If you'll compare the drawings of his outdoor dining area to perhaps Giuseppe's and that's where we've been compared. There's no comparison. Giuseppe's is a concrete slab with a three inch wrought iron fence around it. This structure is going to have solid walls on the back side and part of the way up on the south side, a covered roof, a big fireplace that's going to create a sound barrier, so he's gone to great lengths to ensure that he does everything that he can to create a very nice outdoor dining area, but also to recognize that there is the potential for some noise to overflow into the neighborhood. So - - - and I believe that letter's been presented. So any questions?

Judge Stagg: (4847 Camellia Lane) Since 1951 and I don't wish to have to move. Mr. Chairman is there an ability to show this?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I believe there is. And - - -

Councilman Webb: Here she comes now, she's going to help you out.

Judge Stagg: We don't have a big argument with Mr. King. In the Planning, in the Zoning Board, there was an item 4. And it said Hours of operation shall be from 12:00 midnight inside the restaurant, 10:00 p.m. Monday through Thursday for the patio, and until 12:00 midnight Friday and Saturday. So they won't be out on the patio after 10:00 except on Friday and Saturday. At a

quarter to 3:00 this afternoon, I got a letter from Mr. King's representative and the mall's representative, Mrs. Avant: Thank you for your time today. Mr. Brady King is agreeable to closing dinner and bar service at 10:00 every night on the patio of their restaurant at Pierremont Mall, however, we would like to clarify that. If a party is seated for dinner prior to 10:00 that they would be allowed to finish their dinner. No patron would be seated on the patio past 10:00, but it would be available to smokers. If this satisfies your concern and meets with your approval, we're requesting you forward this to Arthur Thompson, notifying that the concerns have been met and request that our case be removed from the agenda of today's meeting. It's a reasonable compromise Mr. Chairman. The problem is that from the corner of the mall where they plan to put a brick wall, masonry wall, it's 62 feet to the fence, and another 60 feet to my neighbor's bedroom window. The same with the house next to hers. My house is across on the other side of Camellia Lane opening to where the noise would be. There's no provisions for those people who live on Southfield. Mr. Brenner on one end and others going towards the east. The Wine Country patio doesn't have that problem. The entire mall structure buffers the sound from their patio, from the adjoining neighbors. I have to admit, I was one of the people who built Pierremont Mall, and I was the Pierremont Mall manager until I got to be where I am today. I know how noisy it gets at night. We have some hot shot car drivers. If they are restaurant customers, they're going to be on the south side of that mall, and the cars starting, the doors slamming, and some hot shot drivers, we're going to hear those folks after midnight. And we're going to hear the cleanup crew cars when they go. It's going to noise up the neighborhood. Inside the mall, the restaurant's going to be as welcomed as the birds in the spring. But the noise on the patio isn't acceptable to the neighbors, and the letter which I will file with Mr. Thompson, says that they're not going to serve people on the patio after 10:00. If folks are already out there, they can finish their dinner, that's a fair compromise. And if somebody wants to go out there to smoke a cigarette, though it's a damn fooled thing to do, you don't make a lot of noise smoking a cigarette. That's it Mr. Chairman. I think that that these people will shut down on Friday and Saturday and not stay open until midnight, that the matter should be removed from the calendar as having being properly compromised. And I thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Judge Stagg, just one question from me if you would. Is that sentiment shared fairly well across the rest of the neighbors, that you have all agreed to this compromise?

Judge Stagg: (Inaudible) wrote you a very nice letter.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I did and (inaudible).

Judge Stagg: I just checked this letter with Mr. Sartor, and he approved. This is the lady whose bedroom is 100 or so feet from that noise, and she says it looks alright to her, if they will not begin business on the patio past 10:00. Now if that takes an amendment, or a change in what the Planning Commission did in their item 4, I would welcome this to be made a public record that they're not going to use the patio as original dining after 10:00 on Friday and Saturday.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, let me talk to the Clerk of Council for us. We need to at this point, change the actual MPC proposed ordinance, or what's the - - - where do we go from here?

Ms. Glass: Mr. Chairman, the way you would normally do that would be, you'd be modifying the decision of the - - - I think this one was the ZBA. I think by amending stipulation No. 4 to state that - - - you know we have some concerns, we can write this as a motion to amend the - - - to modify the decision of the ZBA. One concern that I have is I'm not sure how it can be enforced. How a police officer or a zoning inspector would know what time people get seated. If all the parties are in agreement with it and Council doesn't think it would be a problem, we could write it that way and (inaudible) - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: If we have future problems, then we'd have to - - -

Ms. Glass: Well then you've already granted them the right to operate in that way. I'll sit here and try to write a motion for you, and if we can think of a way to make it a little bit tighter, we'll see what we can do with that.

Judge Stagg: Mr. Chairman, if the city council would simply say, this patio is not available after 10:00 everyday of the week, the neighbors are not going to fuss at the smokers. The neighbors are not going to call the police and say they're out there raising hell on an LSU football night, but that this restaurant charges a lot of money for what they serve you. It's not going to be a bunch of kids out there paying \$40 for a T-Bone steak. This is a high class, white table cloth and napkins (inaudible). It's not going to be a bad place to eat. I just hope that their prices leave off the noise back there, and I thank the council for (inaudible).

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you very much Judge for coming down today.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, you and I might not be able to afford that \$40 T-Bone.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Apparently maybe the judge can, (inaudible) at this point.

Mr. John Lyles: (5726 Marina Bay Dr) Good afternoon Mayor Glover and the City Council. I'm representing over 2,000 local tennis players along with the friends of the Southern Hills Tennis Center that's in the audience today. Please stand. We ask that you consider funding \$200,000 to renovate four unsafe and unplayable tennis courts in the Southern Hills Tennis Center. I've passed out a few pictures. Hopefully there's one for each of you and also the Mayor. I think the last time we were out reviewing the courts, we did get four of those courts redone. The USTA local community tennis association host over 15 Louisiana State Tennis Championships in the Shreveport/Bossier City area. The result of just under \$16,000,000 of economic impact. We'll be hosting the 2011 and 2012 Louisiana State Championships for two divisions with an estimated economic impact of just under \$2,000,000. Because of the unplayable courts at Southern Hills, we cannot host the (inaudible) championship that brings in over 2,000 tennis players and their families to the Shreveport/Bossier City area over two weeks. With a significant economic impact that should be twice of what we have experienced with the state championships. The sectional championships brings players in from nine states of the southern section, which are Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi, Alabama, Kentucky, Tennessee, South Carolina, North Carolina and Georgia. As also a note that the Shreveport area is only one of 15 in the nation that received a \$50,000 grant from the USTA National to be a pilot for the 10 and under tennis. And this is played

on a 36 or a 60 foot court for small children, and it's similar to your basketball as to why you're using an 8 foot net above for the small children. We lower it to smaller court, smaller rackets, smaller balls, and we need a place for those programs to be implemented. So I appreciate you giving us the opportunity to speak this afternoon, and hope you can find a way to refurbish the four courts at the Southern Hills Tennis Center, so we can bring more tennis players to our hotels, restaurants, in the Shreveport/Bossier area. Thank you, we wish you all a very Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year.

Councilman Webb: Thank you and thank the others for coming down to speak on this, and I do want you to know that it is included in the bond proposal, and hopefully we'll get a favorable vote this afternoon.

Mr. Ken Krefft: (157 Archer) I'm still upset Navy beat Army Saturday. (Inaudible) maybe next year.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Not me.

Mr. Krefft: At this point, I encourage y'all to move it along to pass Resolution 219 of this year, calling for the bond issue and the other accompanying resolution for the election next April. It's been a long process, it's been a long time since July of '01. It's a lot of money. KTBS did a poll last night, and I don't know how it came out. Did you think it's too much? Did anybody see that? I didn't see it, but that was the logon question and do you think \$375,000,000 is too much? And Mr. Stonefire from (inaudible) is running around saying how our taxes, our bond is - - - our milages are higher than other cities in the state. You know some of the facts are not all that they seem, but we get around a lot better too. We have a lot better roads, a lot better drainage than some of these other cities. I've driven to New Orleans and Baton Rouge and some of those cities. Maybe they need better safety and better traffic movement. The only two concerns I have from what I've seen, is we've got to do the fire trucks some kind of way. I don't know, I said to somebody yesterday Mr. Chairman and the Mayor, and said feel free to forward the \$250 for recycling and a \$250 fee for garbage trucks. Maybe he's not crazy saying another \$1 for firetrucks. I mean, garbage will stink if it's not picked up, and recyclables will sit. But a house burning down doesn't wait. We've got to have those trucks some kind of way. I agree with the Chairman that bonds may not be the way to do (inaudible). Y'all certainly have your work cut out for next year to come up with funding for that. And then finally, I do appreciate leaving it as three separate things. When we did our little thing and Rick was there, and we did a little poll at our meeting of November 30th about 80% of those who voted prefer the three separate. A few people like it all in one, but just - - - I'm glad it's going to be three separate propositions, and look forward to getting a good campaign going early next year, and making this thing a three yes votes. Go Army!

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman. Mr. Collingsworth, you asked about him a minute ago was up in the Council's office apparently at the time that his name was called. He does not want to speak, but he sent a letter and he wants to make sure Council Members hear his letter. And in order to make sure, I would ask if I could just read it to you and as a part of the record for this case. This is on Case No. BAC-85-10: North side of Arthur at its intersection with Roma. Dear Mr. Thompson Shreveport/City Council: We received notice of your decision to re-hear the case listed above and

are writing in regards to this matter. We request that you uphold the decision made by the Zoning Board of Appeals and approve the variance for the following reasons: There is a total of forty feet from the homeowner's house to the property line. Legally, we are allowed ten feet to build on; however, we are requesting a variance on in the amount of 6 feet on the front yard. The statement has been made by Mr. and Mrs. Butts that their view will be blocked by the building of my client's garage. However, the houses located on Arthur St., numbers 278, 280 and 282 are on a curve and already block each other's view from different directions. According to Louisiana law, we are allowed to add on to the house in the front; the code grants 30 feet from the front property line. This statute gives the legal right= to build, even though this would cause any views the neighbors may have or state they have to be blocked. Furthermore the homeowner, Mr. Triperinas, is the owner and manager of Yeero! Yeero! A Greek restaurant located one block away from his home. Therefore we he does not wish to move and build a new home with a garage, since he is so close to where his business is located. Planned urban developments have houses located 15 feet from the street. We will be 39 feet from the street. In addition, we will be adding to the tax base by adding to the house. Not only is a garage to be built, but the whole exterior of the house is being remodeled to incorporate the garage to the house. Our purpose is not to inconvenience the neighbors or cause unnecessary problems; the homeowner desires by having the garage, to be able to protect his automobiles and other property from being damaged and make his yard and home a more comfortable, safe and convenient place to live. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. We look forward to your decision.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you very much Mr. Thompson. Any other public comments at this time? I don't believe we have any confirmations or appointments today.

Confirmations and Appointments: None.

Mayor Glover: Excuse me Mr. Chairman. We do not have any today, we did recognize the length of this meeting and did not want to add to it. We are now approaching 20 minutes to 5 and still have not gotten to the bond issue portion (inaudible) I do know that we intend at the next meeting to have a list of appointments available for Council consideration, and we look forward to a conversation with you all between now and then to facilitate that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: We would absolutely welcome any of those as they go forward here. It's certainly an issue for many people and we appreciate that. There are no items under the Consent Agenda to be introduced, or at least that's my understanding. Mr. Thompson, would you proceed.

CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION

INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES

RESOLUTIONS:

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 268 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING B. KENNY TAYLOR, INC, LOCATED AT 9538 MAZANT LN., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, B. Kenny Taylor, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that B. Kenny Taylor, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9538 Mazant Ln., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 269 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DEBORAH C. BOYD, LOCATED AT 9546 MAZANT LN., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Deborah C. Boyd has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Deborah C. Boyd be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9546 Mazant Ln., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 270 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING B. KENNY TAYLOR, INC, LOCATED AT 9554 MAZANT LN., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, B. Kenny Taylor, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that B. Kenny Taylor, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9554 Mazant Ln., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 271 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHAD JOSEPH BOYD & CARLA LENERT BOYD, LOCATED AT 9444 MILBANK DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Chad Joseph Boyd & Carla Lenert Boyd have agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Chad Joseph Boyd & Carla Lenert Boyd be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9444 Milbank Dr., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 272 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC, LOCATED AT 9463 MILBANK DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9463 Milbank Dr. to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 273 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC, LOCATED AT 9475 MILBANK DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9475 Milbank Dr. to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 274 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC, LOCATED AT 9522 MILBANK DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9522 Milbank Dr. to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 275 of 2010

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC,
LOCATED AT 9560 ROCHEL DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER
SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH
RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9560 Rochel Dr. to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

RESOLUTION NO. 276 of 2010

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC,
LOCATED AT 9573 ROCHEL DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER
SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH
RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9573 Rochel Dr. to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt Resolution Nos. 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, and 276 of 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING:

The Clerk read the following:

Amendment No. 1a to Resolution No. 219 of 2010

Amend the Resolution as follows:

Delete the last “WHEREAS” clause and the NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED” clause and substitute the following:

“WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, now desires to accept and approve said report as herein provided, with certain exceptions, and to let the citizens of the City know for which projects the proceeds of the bond will be budgeted; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the “Governing Authority”), acting as the governing authority of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the “City”), that:

SECTION 1. This Governing Authority hereby accepts and approves the Citizen’s Bond Study Committee Report with certain amendments to the list of projects contained in “Attachment A”. A copy of “Attachment A” (with amendments) is on file with the Clerk of Council and available for public inspection. In order to provide funding for the capital improvement program set forth therein, the Governing Authority will (in accordance with the recommendation of the Citizen’s Bond Study Committee) submit to the voters for their consideration propositions authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds. Upon approval of each bond proposition by the voters, the proceeds thereof shall be applied, to the extent feasible, to the specific projects related to said proposition as set forth in “Attachment A (with amendments)” in the Citizen’s Bond Study Committee Report. The Governing Authority does hereby commit and pledge itself to so budget such bond proceeds for said respective projects.”

Amend Attachment A as follows:

Increase the following project for Proposition No. 1:

Increase City Wide Sewer Rehabilitation by \$2,700,000 (from \$21,005,000 to \$23,705,000)

Increase (Decrease) the following projects for Proposition No. 2:

Decrease New Property/Evidence Facility by \$6,800,000 (from \$6,800,000 to \$0)

Decrease Downtown Unit HQ by \$200,000 (from \$200,000 to \$0)

Decrease New Crime Scene Facility by \$1,000,000 (from \$1,000,000 to \$0)

Establish Property/Evidence/Crime Scene Facility by \$5,000,000 (\$0 to \$5,000,000)

Decrease 8 Fire Engines by \$4,000,000 (from \$4,000,000 to \$0)

Decrease Relocate Station 14 by \$2,700,000 (from \$2,700,000 to \$0)

Decrease Relocate Station 15 by \$2,700,000 (from \$2,700,000 to \$0)

Decrease Fire Station Renovations by \$2,100,000 (from \$2,100,000 to \$0)

Establish Fire Department Public Facilities (Stations) and Equipment (Pumper) Capital Projects by \$5,400,000 (from \$0 to \$5,400,000)

Increase Tennis Courts Renovation by \$500,000 (from \$250,000 to \$750,000)

Establish Sunset Acres Recreation Center Addition by \$250,000 (from \$0 to \$250,000)

Establish Huntington Park Golf Course Renovation/ADA Compliance by \$2,500,000 (from \$0 to \$2,500,000)

Increase (Decrease) the following projects for Proposition No. 3:

Increase Sidewalk Repair Program by \$700,000 (from \$2,300,000 to \$3,000,000)

Increase Neighborhood Street Program by \$14,000,000 (from \$7,000,000 to \$21,000,000)

Decrease Traffic Signal System (ITS) by \$2,000,000 for (\$4,000,000 to \$2,000,000)

Decrease Ravendale at Old Mooringsport Road by \$850,000 (from \$850,000 to \$0)

Increase City-Wide Drainage Improvements by \$1,300,000 (from \$1,700,000 to \$3,000,000)

Add \$2,700,000 to the “subtotal for Sewer Projects” and to the Total for Proposition 1”. Subtract \$3,000,000 for the “subtotal for Police Projects”, subtract \$6,100,000 from the “subtotal for Fire Projects”, add \$3,250,000 to the “subtotal for Public Assembly and Recreation Projects”, and subtract \$5,850,000 from the “Total for Proposition 2”. Add \$11,850,000 to the “subtotal for Street Projects”, add \$1,300,000 to the “subtotal for Drainage Projects”, and add \$13,150,000 to the “Total for Proposition 3. Add \$10,000,000 to the “Total for the New Bond Issue”.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Amendment No. 1A to Resolution No. 219 of 2010.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I will be supporting the adoption of Resolution 219, amended by 1A. I want to take this opportunity to commend the chairman for your leadership in this effort. I also want to take this opportunity to commend my colleagues here on the council. It's a lot of give and take to get to the point that we are. Certainly want to commend the citizens committee that studied the bond. I do believe after all has been said and done, that we have looked at this as thoroughly as possible to make sure that we are meeting the critical needs of our city and the future growth and development of our city. There are some items that will need to be addressed, but some of us firmly believe there are some better ways to do it. It's not that they are not important, but we just think there are some better ways to do it. I also think that by moving forward with Resolution 219 as amended by Amendment 1A that we are leaving room to deal with the issues that are still out there. I think it's critical that our citizens understand that there are issues that have been shared with this council, and in fact publicly that we need to be prepared to address. We cannot be serious about the growth and development of this city, talking about building more residential neighborhoods, or more commercial developments on a system that's antiquated, and I'm speaking in terms of our water and sewer system. And there are legal issues that have to be addressed, and we want our citizens to understand that we take this matter very seriously. We are not putting - - you know I see that they speak of it in terms of debt, but I speak of it in terms of an investment in the future, and the growth and development of this city. As our mayor said, we want this to be the next great city of the south. We cannot just sit by and allow it to just crumble underneath. What this ordinance will also do, is not only address some of those so-called non-glamorous issues under the ground, but we tried to make sure that we address some of the issues above the ground. We feel our citizens deserve and are entitled to good streets and drainage, and we also tried to address a lot of our quality of life issues with SPAR, and we also attempted to address public safety issues through our police department and our fire department as well as making sure that our finance department has the technology it needs to build with a growing city and keep up with what we're doing here. I am hoping that our citizens will support this when it gets on the ballot. I'm sure that they have been looking at it. I'm positive that they're going to pick it apart, and I welcome that myself. Cause I think when you get to the bottom of it, you'll realize that 99% of what your fellow citizens suggested through their study, stays in place. Only a small amount of that was changed or amended for the better in our opinion by the Council, because that's what you sent us here to do. To tweak it and to make sure that you know we're addressing all the issues that are coming up. So I just wanted to make those comments Mr. Chairman, and I thank you for the opportunity to speak on this matter.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to congratulate you as the chairman and Mr. Mayor, you and the administration. I guess I've been down here a long time, and I've seen a whole lot of bond issues put together. And this one was done in a very professional manner. When I used the word 'compromise' because I heard Congressman Boehner the other night saying compromise was a weak term, I'll use the words 'common ground'. I appreciate how you were able to find a common ground, where we all could come together Mr. Mayor, and show our unified support behind this because this bond issue is strictly a bread and butter issue. I've seen a lot of bond issues and Mayor, I think you were a part of probably one or two where we did some work that was really not a lot of bread and butter. But we had gotten to the point where our infrastructure had just began to crumble. Because we really had not spent the kind of money that we needed to spend on our infrastructure for the last 25 or 30 years. So I want to congratulate all the council members, you in particular as the Council Chair, and Mr. Mayor, your office in finding common ground. Now I might get back to compromise later on, but I'll use the term common ground now in making sure that we all come together on one accord. Thank you again.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Let me say this before we go any further. I certainly didn't intend to take credit because frankly, the Vice-Chairman is certainly one of the drivers that came up with the original ideas and he deserves a great deal of credit. I want to make sure that it's clear in everybody's mind that this is a motion that is a resolution or a proposal by all of the Council Members and it wasn't drafted by the Chairman nor the Vice-Chairman. But I do think it is a good solid (inaudible) going forward with many of the things that we need to do with the future of this city.

Councilman Webb: I agree with everything that's been said here, and the best tour that we took, I wished there was a way, and I know it's not feasible, but I wished there was a way that every citizen in this city could take a ride on the bus and go see what we saw. And this thing would pass with flying colors.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And we really should commend the administration, because that was their idea as well as the Mayor's himself, and Mr. Seaton. It was a great idea because certainly we got an appreciation of what was above ground, and we knew that what was below ground was even worse, so I'd say it was a very useful tool. And it certainly brought home the message to those of us on the council. Are there any other discussions?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to offer before you all take your vote, I want to start by saying thank you to the citizens committee that came together to initially study this matter, to the department heads who put together the various projects for consideration. That list was well over a half-million - - - half-billion dollars rather in potential projects. The initial focus and scope of this effort started in August of last year with me proposing to the council that we give consideration to a proposition of somewhere between \$115-125,000,000 because I just simply projected at the time, that that was about the level of the scale that was feasible. Knew the need was greater, but felt that we needed to get started. Obviously we didn't move forward on that effort. Moved forward on a different track that was again the 'common ground' as Mr. Shyne put it, that was reached between the previous council and the administration, that's gotten us to this point, to where we are today. I know in my conversations with the Vice-Chair about the proposition. He and

I had said we were going to talk in detail. And I said look, the only thing I need to know is that there's going to be something that we're going to agree to move forward on, and I know that there is. Because you can take that \$165,000,000 figure and you could overlay it over any portion of half-billion dollars in projects that were proposed as long as they included a significant portion for sewer and those other things that we're facing with regards to the Department of Justice. I said there's no way that we can go wrong. Obviously, we're not going to be able to do everything that we'd like to do. That's never possible, but any significant percentage of that list of absolute needs is something that's going to end up being of great benefit to the citizens of the City of Shreveport. And specifically, the thing that you all obviously also already understood was that we needed to somehow figure out how we end up giving a significant boost and increase to the amount of dollars that we would end up directing toward our streets. Because while we have an appreciation and many have an appreciation and many have an appreciation for those things under ground like our water and sewer lines and the way in which they are impacting our city now, and certainly have the potential they can have in the future, how our citizens drive on our streets and they think about those potholes and those fissures, and those cracks, that they hit everyday, and they want to make sure that of everything else that we do down here, that we include a significant portion of these dollars to go towards upgrading those streets. You all have accomplished that and with the amendments that you all have offered. And I want to commend you for it. Again, with regard to the bus tour, credit is something that we don't focus on much in the administration. I learned a long time ago there's nothing you can't get done if you don't mind who gets the credit. And so that's the same attitude we have with regard to presenting this to our citizens. We want to make sure that as we transition from a consensus building effort in terms of decision making that we also end up recognizing that the ultimate fate of this rest in the hands of our voters. That education process that all of us has gone through is one that we're going to have to figure out how to effectively mobilize, energize and engage our citizens as well between now and the 2nd of April. We look forward to that because we've got a very compelling case to present to them. And we're going to welcome that opportunity to be able to help them get on whatever version of the bus that we could help to make available to them, so that they can understand exactly what the needs and challenges are as well. So again, thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you department heads, thank you citizens committee, and thank you council members for your efforts to work and we look forward to going forward.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 219 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING AND APPROVING THE 2010 CITIZEN'S BOND STUDY COMMITTEE REPORT, DESIGNATING PURPOSES FOR WHICH PROCEEDS OF BOND PROPOSALS WILL BE BUDGETED, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILWOMAN BOWMAN

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 13 of 2010, The City Council established a Citizens' Bond Study Committee to consider the needs and priorities of the City for capital improvement projects to be funded through a General Obligation Bond Election; and

WHEREAS, after extensive meetings and citizen input, said Committee has submitted its report and recommendations concerning the capital improvement program, together with a recommendation that the foregoing be funded through \$165,000,000 of general obligation bonds; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, now desires to accept and approve said report as herein provided and to let the citizens of the City know of which projects the proceeds of the bond will be budgeted; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "Governing Authority"), acting as the governing authority of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), that:

SECTION 1. This Governing Authority hereby accepts and approves the Citizen's Bond Study Committee Report, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of Council and available for public inspection. In order to provide funding for the capital improvement program set forth therein, the Governing Authority will (in accordance with the recommendation of the Citizen's Bond Study Committee) submit to the voters for their consideration propositions authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds. Upon approval of each bond proposition by the voters, the proceeds thereof shall be applied, to the extent feasible, to the specific projects related to said proposition as set forth in "Attachment A" in the Citizen's Bond Study Committee Report. The Governing Authority does hereby commit and pledge itself to so budget such bond proceeds for said respective projects.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt Resolution No. 219 of 2010 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Resolution No. 247 of 2010**: A resolution ordering and calling a Special Election to be held in the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, to authorize the incurring of debt and issuance of bonds therein, making application to the State Bond Commission in connection therewith, and providing for other matters in connection therewith. (*Postponed November 23, 2010*)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to remove Resolution No. 247 of 2010 from the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 265 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION #194 OF 2010 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENT) WITH LOUISIANA MIDSTREAM GAS SERVICES, L.L.C., FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF AN UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC LINE AND RELATED FACILITIES ON CITY PROPERTY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Section 4.17 of the Charter of the City of Shreveport contemplates the adoption of a resolution prior to the Mayor's execution of any contract and/or agreement in which the City of Shreveport is a party and/or has an interest.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has been requested to grant a Right of Way and Easement to **LOUISIANA MIDSTREAM GAS SERVICES, L.L.C.** in association with the installation of an underground electric line as shown on the attachment hereto as Exhibit A.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that it hereby authorizes the Mayor's signature on the abovementioned Right of Way and Easement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 266 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A DONATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND KNICELY PROPERTIES, HEREINAFTER CALLED "OWNER" AND ACTING HEREIN THROUGH CHARLES A. KNICELY, MANAGER, FOR THE PRIVATE WATER AND SEWER MAIN EXTENSIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES TO SERVE NORRIS

FERRY CROSSING UNIT #6 AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Shreveport a Donation Agreement with Knicely Properties, represented by Charles A. Knicely, Manager, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the draft of said agreement which was filed for public inspection with the original draft of the resolution in the office of the City Council on November 23, 2010.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 267 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROMISSORY NOTE AND MORTGAGE IN FAVOR OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ASSUMED BY MACADOO SRO LIMITED PARTNERSHIP THROUGH AN ACT OF SALE AND ASSUMPTION RELATIVE TO THE MCADOO HOTEL; AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS RELATIVE TO THE AMENDMENT OF THE PROMISSORY NOTE AND MORTGAGE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, McAdoo Limited Partnership was awarded a Neighborhood Improvement Leveraging Program (“NILP”) loan in January, 1988 by the City of Shreveport in the amount of \$250,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the loan funds were used for rehabilitation of the McAdoo Hotel located at 1002 Texas Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana (“the property”); and

WHEREAS, the loan was evidenced by a mortgage and note on the property in favor of the City of Shreveport (collectively “McAdoo debt”); and

WHEREAS, loan payments were deferred for fifteen (15) years. The first payment was due on July 1, 2003; and

WHEREAS, in 2008 no payments had been made. Macadoo SRO Limited Partnership (“the partnership”) formally assumed the McAdoo debt agreeing to perform all the covenants and conditions contained in the loan documents originally executed by McAdoo Limited Partnership in 1988; and

WHEREAS, the amount of the unpaid loan and interest on the note is \$355,250.00; and

WHEREAS, the McAdoo Hotel currently serves the homeless and disabled of our community and is in desperate need of repair; and

WHEREAS, the partnership have secured Affordable Housing Tax credits in

conjunction with state and federal historic restoration credits to perform a \$3.5 MM (hard cost) rehabilitation of the McAdoo Hotel. The city’s existing \$250,000.00 is included in this transaction; and

WHEREAS, the partnership is requesting a modification of the NILP loan seeking an amendment to the Promissory Note and Mortgage to allow for a cash flow note; and

WHEREAS, the amendment to the Promissory Note and Mortgage to allow for a cash flow will preserve the much needed affordable housing for the disabled and homeless and result in payment of the loan.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that:

the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute and deliver,

for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport any and all documents relative to the amendment of the promissory note and mortgage by Macadoo SRO Limited Partnership after review and approval of such documents(s) by the Office of the City Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or

the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof

in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 277 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION ORDERING AND CALLING A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, STATE OF LOUISIANA, TO AUTHORIZE THE INCURRING OF DEBT AND ISSUANCE OF BONDS THEREIN, MAKING APPLICATION TO THE STATE BOND COMMISSION IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "Governing Authority"), acting as the governing authority of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), that:

SECTION 1. Election Call. Subject to the approval of the State Bond Commission, and under the authority conferred by Article VI, Section 33 of the Constitution of the State of Louisiana of 1974, Sub-Part A, Part III, Chapter 4, Subtitle II, Title 39 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended, the applicable provisions of Chapter 5, Chapter 6-A and Chapter 6-B of Title 18 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended, and other constitutional and statutory authority, a special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City on SATURDAY, APRIL 2, 2011 between the hours of six o'clock (6:00) a.m. and eight o'clock (8:00) p.m. in accordance with the provisions of La. R. S. 18:541, and at the said election there shall be submitted to all registered voters qualified and entitled to vote at the said election under the Constitution and laws of this State and the Constitution of the United States, the following propositions:

SHREVEPORT PROPOSITION NO. 1

SUMMARY: AUTHORITY TO ISSUE NOT EXCEEDING EIGHTY-NINE MILLION NINE HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$89,970,000), OF 20-YEAR GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, FOR THE PURPOSE CONSTRUCTING, ACQUIRING, AND/OR IMPROVING THE WATER SYSTEM AND THE SEWER SYSTEM AND APPURTENANCES THERETO AND ACQUIRING THE NECESSARY LAND OR RIGHTS THEREIN, EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS THEREFOR, SAID BONDS TO BE PAYABLE FROM AD VALOREM TAXES.

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), incur debt and issue bonds, in one or more series, not exceeding the amount of Eighty-nine Million Nine Hundred Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$89,970,000), to run twenty (20) years from date thereof, with interest at a rate or rates not exceeding nine per centum (9 %) per annum, (the estimated millage rate to be levied in the first year of issue is 7.231), for the purpose of constructing, acquiring, and/or improving the water system and the sewer system and appurtenances thereto, and acquiring the necessary land or rights therein, equipment and furnishings therefor, which bonds will be general obligations of the City and will be payable from ad valorem taxes to be levied and collected in the manner provided by Article VI, Section 33 of the Constitution of the State of Louisiana of 1974 and statutory authority supplemental thereto?

SHREVEPORT PROPOSITION NO. 2

SUMMARY: AUTHORITY TO ISSUE NOT EXCEEDING FORTY THREE MILLION EIGHT HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$43,850,000), OF 20-YEAR GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING, ACQUIRING, AND IMPROVING PUBLIC FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT FOR PARKS AND RECREATION, PUBLIC BUILDINGS, THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, FIRE DEPARTMENT, AND FINANCE DEPARTMENT AND ACQUIRING THE NECESSARY LAND OR RIGHTS THEREIN, EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS THEREFOR, SAID BONDS TO BE PAYABLE FROM AD VALOREM TAXES.

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), incur debt and issue bonds, in one or more series, not exceeding the amount of Forty Three Million Eight Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$43,850,000), to run twenty (20) years from date thereof, with interest at a rate or rates not exceeding nine per centum (9 %) per annum, (the estimated millage rate to be levied in the first year of issue is 3.524), for the purpose of constructing, acquiring, and improving public facilities and equipment for parks and recreation, public buildings, the police department, fire department, and finance department and acquiring the necessary land or rights therein, equipment and furnishings therefore, which bonds will be general obligations of the City and will be payable from ad valorem taxes to be levied and collected in the manner provided by Article VI, Section 33 of the Constitution of the State of Louisiana of 1974 and statutory authority supplemental thereto?

SHREVEPORT PROPOSITION NO. 3

SUMMARY: AUTHORITY TO ISSUE NOT EXCEEDING THIRTY-ONE MILLION ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$31,180,000), OF 20-YEAR GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING, ACQUIRING, AND/OR IMPROVING THE STREETS, HIGHWAYS, BRIDGES, AND DRAINAGE SYSTEMS AND APPURTENANCES THERETO AND ACQUIRING THE NECESSARY LAND OR RIGHTS THEREIN, EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS THEREFOR, SAID BONDS TO BE PAYABLE FROM AD VALOREM TAXES.

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), incur debt and issue bonds, in one or more series, not exceeding the amount of Thirty-one Million One Hundred Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$31,180,000), to run twenty (20) years from date thereof, with interest at a rate or rates not exceeding nine per centum (9 %) per annum, (the estimated millage rate to be levied in the first year of issue is 2.506), for the purpose of constructing, acquiring, and/or improving the streets, highways, bridges, and drainage systems and appurtenances thereto and acquiring the necessary land or rights therein, equipment and furnishings therefore, which bonds will be general obligations of the City and will be payable from ad valorem taxes to be levied and collected in the manner provided by Article VI, Section 33 of the Constitution of the State of Louisiana of 1974 and statutory authority supplemental thereto?

SECTION 2. Publication of Notice of Election. A Notice of Special Election shall be published in "The Times", a daily newspaper of general circulation within the City, published in Shreveport, Louisiana, and being the official journal of the Governing Authority, once a week for four consecutive weeks, with the first publication to be made not less than forty-five (45) days nor more than ninety (90) days prior to the date fixed for the election, which Notice shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as "Exhibit A" and incorporated herein by reference the same as if it were set forth herein in full.

SECTION 3. Canvass. This Governing Authority, shall meet at its regular meeting place, Government Plaza, Shreveport, Louisiana, on TUESDAY, APRIL 12, 2011, at THREE O'CLOCK (3:00) P.M., and shall then and there in open and public session proceed to examine and canvass the returns and declare the result of the said special election.

SECTION 4. Polling Places. The polling places set forth in the aforesaid Notice of Special Election are hereby designated as the polling places at which to hold the said election, and the Commissioners-in-Charge and Commissioners, respectively, will be the same persons as those designated in accordance with law. Pursuant to La. R.S. 18:425(A)(2) the City Council hereby requests the board of election supervisors to conduct this election with one commissioner-in-charge and two commissioners at each precinct polling place, or the minimum number of commissioners allowed by law.

SECTION 5. Election Commissioners; Voting Machines. The officers designated to serve as Commissioners-in-Charge and Commissioners pursuant to Section 4 hereof, or such substitutes therefore as may be selected and designated in accordance with La. R.S. 18:1287, shall hold the said special election as herein provided, and shall make due returns of said election for the meeting of the Governing Authority to be held on Tuesday, April 12, 2011, as provided in Section 3 hereof. All registered voters in the City are entitled to vote at said special election and voting machines shall be used thereat.

SECTION 6. Authorization of Officers. The Clerk of Council of the Governing Authority is hereby empowered, authorized and directed to arrange for and to furnish to said election officers in ample time for the holding of said election, the necessary equipment, forms and other paraphernalia essential to the proper holding of said election and the Chairman and/or Clerk of Council of the Governing Authority are further authorized, empowered and directed to take any and all further action required by State and/or Federal law to arrange for the election, including but not limited to, appropriate submission to the Federal Department of Justice under Section 5 of the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.

SECTION 7. Furnishing Election Call to Election Officials. Certified copies of this resolution shall be forwarded to the Secretary of State, the Clerks of Court and *Ex-Officio* Parish Custodian of Voting Machines in and for the Parishes of Bossier and Caddo, State of Louisiana, and the Registrars of Voters in and for said Parishes, as notification of the special election herein called in order that each may prepare for said election and perform their respective functions as required by law.

SECTION 8. Employment of Bond Counsel. The City hereby finds and determines that a real necessity exists for the employment of bond counsel in connection with the issuance of General Obligation Bonds, (the “Bonds”) and any election associated therewith (the “Election”) and accordingly, The Boles Law Firm, APC (“Bond Counsel”) is hereby employed to do and perform comprehensive legal and coordinate professional work with respect to the Election and the issuance of the Bonds. Said Bond Counsel shall prepare and submit to the City and, where necessary, the Parish and State, for adoption all of the proceedings incidental to the Election and the authorization, issuance, sale and delivery of the Bonds, shall counsel and advise this City as to the Election and issuance and sale of the Bonds. The fee of Bond Counsel with respect to the Election shall be hourly in accordance with the Attorney General’s fee guidelines for hourly work. The fee of Bond Counsel in connection with the issuance of the Bonds is hereby fixed at a sum not to exceed the maximum fee allowed by the Attorney General’s fee guidelines for general obligation bonds, plus “out-of-pocket” expenses; provided, however, that said fee shall be contingent upon the sale, issuance and delivery of the Bonds.

SECTION 9. Approval of Legal Fees by Attorney General. A certified copy of this resolution shall be submitted to the Attorney General of the State of Louisiana for his written approval of said employment and of the fees herein designated as required by law.

SECTION 10. Application to State Bond Commission. Application is made to the State Bond Commission for consent and authority to hold the aforesaid special election as herein provided, and in the event said election carries for further consent and authority to issue, sell and deliver the Bonds provided for therein, and a certified copy of this resolution shall be forwarded to the State Bond Commission on behalf of this Governing Authority, together with a letter requesting the prompt consideration and approval of this application.

SECTION 11. Declaration of Intent. Prior to the delivery of the Bonds, the Issuer anticipates that it may pay a portion of the costs of the projects from the General Fund or other moneys available to the Issuer, which Bonds will be issued for the respective purposes set forth in the proposition. Upon the issuance of the Bonds, the Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse any such expenditures of other available funds from a portion of the proceeds of the Bonds. Any such allocation of proceeds of the Bonds for reimbursement will be with respect to capital expenditures (as defined in Reg. 1.150-1[b]) and will be made upon the delivery of the Bonds and not later than one year after the later of (i) the date such expenditure was paid or (ii) the date on which the Project was placed in service. This Section is intended to be a declaration of official intent within the meaning of Reg. 1.150-2.

SECTION 12. SBC Swap Policy. By virtue of applicant/issuer’s application for, acceptance and utilization of the benefits of the Louisiana State Bond Commission’s approval(s) resolved and set forth herein, it resolves that it understands and agrees that such approval(s) are expressly conditioned upon, and it further resolves that it understands, agrees and binds itself, its successors and assigns to, full and continuing compliance with the “State Bond Commission Policy on Approval of Proposed Use of Swaps, or other forms of Derivative Products Hedges, Etc.”, adopted by the Commission on July 20, 2006, as to the borrowing(s) and other matter(s) subject to the

approval(s), including subsequent application and approval under said Policy of the implementation or use of any swap(s) or other product(s) or enhancement(s) covered thereby.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 278 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION DECLARING CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTY TO BE SURPLUS, WHICH WILL AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SELL THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S TAX INTEREST IN THESE ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment "A6" for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the properties described in Attachment "A6" are not needed for public purposes and should be declared surplus properties; and

WHEREAS, LA R.S. 47:2202(B) and Code of Ordinances Section 26-301. authorize a municipality to sell adjudicated property to an adjoining landowner who has maintained the adjudicated property in accordance with said section for a period of one year; and

WHEREAS, Code of Ordinances Section 26-301 provides that the sale price for such sales shall be one dollar and other good and valuable consideration; the real consideration for such sales is the purchaser's effort, labor and expenses in maintaining the property for a full year; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received applications pursuant to the above cited laws from adjoining landowners to purchase its tax interest in each of the properties described in Attachment "A6".

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment "A6" are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Shreveport does hereby authorize the sale of its tax interest in each of the aforesaid properties for one dollar and other good and valuable consideration; the real consideration for such sale is the purchaser's effort, labor and expenses in maintaining the property for a full year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301(1)(d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED. that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 279 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF SECTIONS 90-277(b) AND 90-332(b) OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO COLLECTION OF PENALTIES FOR LATE PAYMENT OF CERTAIN PARKING INFRACTIONS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN EVERSON

WHEREAS, by contract, the Downtown Development Authority, Inc., ("DDA") is charged with the responsibility for management, operation and enforcement of the City's downtown parking program; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport ("City") implemented two (2) amnesty program in October and November, 2003 which suspended the collection of late fees for certain parking infraction as a means to reduce the number of outstanding parking violations; and

WHEREAS, the amnesty programs were extremely successful; and

WHEREAS, approximately \$26,065.00 was collected in October, 2003 from overdue parking infractions; and

WHEREAS, because of the success of the 2003 amnesty programs, DDA has recommended that the City utilize a similar program for collection of currently outstanding parking infractions; and

WHEREAS, suspending the effects of Section 90-277(b) and Section 90-332(b) of the Code of Ordinances will potentially increase revenue to the City from uncollected, overdue parking infractions which is a public purpose.

NOW, THEREOFE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 90-277(b) and Section 90-332(b) of the Code of Ordinances are hereby suspended beginning at 8:00 a.m. on the effective date of this resolution until 4:00 p.m. on December 30, 2010 in regard to collection of a fine or penalty for late payment of any parking citation issued pursuant to Section 90-277(b) or 90-332(b) of the Code of Ordinances.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 280 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2011 DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY PROGRAM OF WORK AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Act 554 of 1978, which authorized the creation of the Downtown Development Authority, requires that a formal Program of Work for the DDA be adopted annually by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the DDA has prepared and formally adopted its Program of Work for the year 2011 and recommended its approval by the City Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that it approves the 2011 Downtown Development Authority Program of Work, as provided to the Clerk of Council with the original copy of this resolution on November 17, 2011..

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this

resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'd like to say one thing. It is a good plan of work. I spent some time looking at it yesterday and through the website, and it's worthwhile seeing on the website. It's well. I also should commend the new director down there about a Christmas list that she put out in a press release, that I thought was certainly worthwhile and we can see that some of her expertise will be multifaceted in her approach to the DDA. And we greatly appreciate that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Chairman, I do think that Christmas was quite unique. Quite unique.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS: *(Not to be adopted prior to December 28, 2010)*

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 281 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the employment of Special Legal Counsel to represent the City of Shreveport, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 282 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the execution of an amendment to the cooperative endeavor agreement with Shreveport Regional Arts Council, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
3. **Resolution No. 283 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept a donation from Willis Knighton Health Systems, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to introduce Resolution No(s). 281, 282, and 283 of 2010 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES: *(Not to be adopted prior to December 28, 2010)*

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we're down to introduction of ordinances, but 186 should be removed from the agenda. A motion would be in order at this time to remove 186 from the agenda.

The Clerk read the following:

10. **Ordinance No. 186 of 2010**: An ordinance amending Certain sections of Chapter 38 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances, the Property Standards Ordinance, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to remove Ordinance No. 186 of 2010 from the agenda to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 177 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

2. **Ordinance No. 178 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the General Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

3. **Ordinance No. 179 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the Metropolitan Planning commission's Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

4. **Ordinance No. 180 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*COPS UHP Grant Increase*)

5. **Ordinance No. 181 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 General Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

6. **Ordinance No. 182 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Capital Improvements Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

7. **Ordinance No. 183 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

8. **Ordinance No. 184 of 2010**: An ordinance amending Certain Sections of Chapter 38 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances, The Property Standards Code, and to Otherwise Provide With Respect Thereto

9. **Ordinance No. 185 of 2010**: An ordinance granting to the Southwestern Electric Power Company the right, privilege, and franchise to acquire, construct, erect, maintain, repair, reconstruct, and operate a system of electric power lines, wires, transformers, communication cables,, and other related and necessary or desirable appurtenances in, under, over, across, through, and along any and all of the present and future streets, avenues, alleys, thoroughfares, roads, highways, sidewalks bridges, and public properties of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana for the purpose of transmitting and distributing electric power to the city and it inhabitants and any other person or persons, firms, and corporations for a term of twenty-five years, regulating the use of

streets by the company and repair and restoration of the streets disturbed by construction; Providing for compensation to be paid to the City; Providing that this franchise shall not be exclusive; Providing the company's obligations to furnish efficient service; Providing for indemnity by the company to the city; Providing for conditional forfeiture in event of default by the company; Making miscellaneous provisions relative to this grant of franchise; Providing for acceptance by company; Providing a severability clause; Providing an effective date, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

11. **Ordinance No. 187 of 2010**: ZONING - C-72-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, The City Of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of Havana Street 180 feet south of Martin Luther King Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA., from **R-1H, URBAN, ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT** and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)

12. **Ordinance No. 188 of 2010**: ZONING - C-79-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, The City Of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of Youree Drive at its intersection with Albert Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA., from **SPI-3(B-1), COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR OVERLAY (BUFFER BUSINESS) DISTRICT TO SPI-3-E (B-1), COMMERCIAL CORRIDOR OVERLAY/EXTENDED USE (BUFFER BUSINESS) DISTRICT LIMITED TO RETAIL SALES OF CLOTHING, FURNITURE, AND GIFTS FOR BABIES AND EXPECTANT MOTHERS" ONLY**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Jenkins)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to introduce Ordinance No(s). 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 187, and 188 of 2010 to lay over until the next regular meeting.

Councilman O. Jenkins: A little discussion here first before we go to vote. On No. 182, may want to relook at that based on some of the potential proposals in the bond. Only because there's one at least with regards to SSES. And after we do the discussion next time, but make sure that that is in line with everything that is in that - - - just a recommendation at this point to make sure we are lined up, and we don't have duplicated efforts.

Mr. Seaton: It is not duplicated, it is still needed.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. Any other discussions? Councilman Jenkins.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I did make some comments yesterday on No. 178, but I have talked with some members of the MPC, and maybe when it comes around for final adoption, I may amend it. I believe that they need to go ahead to be able advertise these positions. But if they amend it in some way, those funds shouldn't be expended until we've had a chance to really look at the master plan. We can wait until that come back around for final. I'm just reminding. Thank you Mr. Chair.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 167 of 2009**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances relative to alcoholic beverages, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Postponed November 23, 2010*)

Mr. Thompson: There was some discussion yesterday about either postponing or tabling this ordinance so that it could go to the Public Safety Committee.

Having passed first reading on December 21, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to table. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Ordinance No. 168 of 2009**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinance relative to alcoholic beverage permits, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Postponed November 23, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on December 21, 2009 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to table. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

3. **Ordinance No. 03 of 2010**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Alcoholic Beverage Permits and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed November 23, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on January 12, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to table. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

4. **Ordinance No. 94 of 2010**: An ordinance amending Chapter 22 of the Code of Ordinances relative to demolition delays and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford) (*Postponed November 23, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on June 8, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Mr. Seaton: Mr. Chairman, is that the Property Standards Board or the Property Standards Committee?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Property Standards Committee. Thank you for pointing that out.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

5. **Ordinance No. 168 of 2010**: An ordinance creating and establishing a No Parking Zone between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday on either side of the 9400 and 9500 blocks of Chaparral Lane, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb) (*Postponed November 23, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

6. **Ordinance No. 169 of 2010**: An ordinance creating and establishing a No Parking Zone between the hours of 7:45 a.m. to 8:15 a.m., and 2:30 p.m. to 3:15 p.m., Monday through Friday on the east side of Blom Boulevard between Francais Drive and Garfield Drive, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb) (*Postponed November 23, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

7. **Ordinance No. 170 of 2010**: An ordinance adopting the 2011 Downtown Development District Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Apparently there's good rationale it had to do with the decrease in revenues, and that's why they had to (inaudible). Okay.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

8. **Ordinance No. 171 of 2010**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 58 of the Code of Ordinances by adding Section 58-29.1 relative to the use of certain vehicle brakes and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

9. **Ordinance No. 172 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the General Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to withdraw this ordinance.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Corbin, tell him that's to withdraw and not to retreat. He's an Army guy, so - - -.

Councilman O. Jenkins: By the way I want to make sure it's clear that I am not an Army guy. So that we never go down that road - - - I am not an Army guy. As someone said, I work for a living.

Mr. Thompson: Bea, what are we going to say about that.

Ms. Johnson: Who Ah!

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

10. **Ordinance No. 176 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Downtown Development District Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

11. **Ordinance No. 58 of 2008**: ZONING - C-25-08: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of N. Market, 3,322 feet north of Martin Luther King Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District to B-1, Buffer Business District and

to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Introduced May 27, 2008 – (Postponed November 23, 2010)*)

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2008 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

12. **Ordinance No. 174 of 2010**: ZONING C-67-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by re-zoning property located on the SW corner of Claiborne Avenue and St. Vincent Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-2, Suburban, Multi-family Residence District, B-1 Buffer business District and B-2 Neighborhood Business District to B-1, Buffer Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

13. **Ordinance No. 175 of 2010**: ZONING C-73-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance by re-zoning property located on the NW corner of East Preston and Knight Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A-E, Residence-Agriculture/Extended Use District, and R-A, Residence-Agriculture District to B-1 Buffer Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Jenkins)

Having passed first reading on November 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.

Councilman O. Jenkins: This is the building that Petro Hawk is intending to build on the corner of Knight and (inaudible). Any further discussion? Lets vote.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 58 OF 2008

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF N. MARKET, 3,322 FEET NORTH OF MARTIN

LUTHER KING DRIVE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the west side of N. Market, 3,322 feet north of Martin Luther King Drive, legally described as 2.5 acres, the N/2 of Lot 13, Green Acres Subdivision, Unit #1, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, be and the same is hereby changed from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District to B-1, Buffer Business District.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Site development plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any permits

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 168 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH A NO PARKING ZONE BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 7:00 AM TO 9:00 AM AND 2:00 PM TO 4:00 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY ON EITHER SIDE OF THE 9400 AND 9500 BLOCKS OF CHAPARRAL LANE

AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in

legal and regular session convened that it shall hereafter be unlawful for anyone to park any vehicle between the hours of 7:00 am to 9:00 am and 2:00 pm to 4:00 pm Monday through Friday on either side of the 9400 and 9500 blocks of Chaparral Lane.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or

the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or

applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts

thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 169 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH A NO PARKING ZONE BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 7:45 AM TO 8:15 AM AND 2:30 PM TO 3:15 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY ON THE EAST SIDE OF BLOM BOULEVARD BETWEEN FRANCAIS DRIVE

AND GARFIELD DRIVE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in

legal and regular session convened that it shall hereafter be unlawful for anyone to park any vehicle between the hours of 7:45 am to 8:15 am and 2:30 pm to 3:15 pm Monday through Friday on the east side of Blom Boulevard between Francais Drive and Garfield Drive.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or

the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts

thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 170 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING THE 2011 DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT BUDGET, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statutes 33:2740.38, is authorized to and has levied a special ad valorem tax on property subject to ad valorem taxation within the area of the Downtown Development District of the City; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority is authorized to expend these funds and such others as it may lawfully collect for activities which benefit the users and property owners of the City's downtown area; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority has approved its proposed budget for the year 2011 and has requested that the City Council approve said budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that the 2011 Downtown Development Authority budget is hereby approved and appropriated as follows:

Funds Available for Appropriation

2011 Revenues

DDA Property Tax \$732,000

Interest Earnings \$2,500

Streetscape Contract \$250,000

Streetscape Miscellaneous Income \$13,800

Parking Services Contract \$336,862

Parking Miscellaneous Income \$2,400

DSU Management Contract \$15,000

DSDC Management Contract \$30,000

Unwind Downtown \$14,000

Lunch Trolley Reimbursement \$14,400

TOTAL REVENUE \$1,410,962

2011 Appropriations

DDA Administration and Programming \$964,700

Bond Principal and Interest Expense \$107,000

Parking Services \$336,262

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS \$1,410,962

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance shall become effective on January 1, 2011.

ORDINANCE NO. 174 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SW CORNER OF CLAIBORNE AVENUE AND ST. VINCENT AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-2, SUBURBAN, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, B-1. BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT AND B-2, NEIGHBORHOOD BUSINESS DISTRICT TO B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the SW corner of Claiborne Avenue and St. Vincent Avenue, legally described as all of Lots 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, and the east 7.26 feet of Lot 79, Foster Terrace Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District, B-1, Buffer Business District, and B-2, Neighborhood Business District to B-1, Buffer Business District :**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted at the public hearing, with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission. Note that all of the City Engineer's requirements shall be met prior to the issuance of any permits.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of

this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 175 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NW CORNER OF EAST PRESTION AND KNIGHT STREET, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-A-E, RESIDENCE-AGRICULTURE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, AND R-A, RESIDENCE-AGRICULTURE DISTRICT TO B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the NW corner of E. Preston & Knight Streets, legally described below, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-A-E, Residence-Agriculture/Extended Use District & R-A, Residence-Agriculture District to B-1, Buffer Business District.**

Part of Sections 9 and 10 of Township 17 North, Range 13 West, located in Caddo and Bossier parishes in the State of Louisiana, being more accurately described as follows: Commencing at the Northwest corner of Section 9, Township 17 North, Range 13 West, and run THENCE South 28 degrees 10 minutes 01 seconds East for a distance of 4414.40 feet To an existing iron corner; THENCE South 51 degrees 20 minutes 29 seconds East for a distance of 329.92 feet to an existing iron corner; THENCE South 89 degrees 21 minutes 44 seconds East for a distance of 1555.16 feet to an existing iron corner, marking the northeast corner of Government Lot 9 of fractional section 9; THENCE South 89 degrees 21 minutes 44 seconds East for a distance of 760.88 feet to a point on the northerly right-of-way of East Preston Avenue; THENCE North 47 degrees 09 minutes 14 seconds East for a distance of 130.07 feet along said northerly right-of-way to the point of the beginning; THENCE North 42 degrees 50 minutes 46 seconds West for a distance of 800.00 feet; THENCE North 47 degrees 09 minutes 14 seconds East for a distance of 300.00 feet; THENCE South 42 degrees 50 minutes 46 seconds East for a distance of 178.05 feet; THENCE North 47 degrees 09 minutes 14 seconds East for a distance of 500.00 feet to a point on the westerly right-of-way of Knight Street; THENCE South 42 degrees 50 minutes 46 seconds East for a distance of 446.95 feet; THENCE along a curve to the right having a radius of 175.00 feet and an arc length of 274.89 feet, being subtended by a chord of South 02 degrees 09 minutes 14 seconds West for a distance of 247.49 feet; THENCE South 47 degrees 09 minutes 14 seconds West for a distance of 625.00 feet continuing along said right-of-way as it becomes East Preston Street back to the point of beginning; containing 12.50 acres, more or less.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

2. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of building permits.

3. A subdivision plat that defines the building site shall be submitted to and approved by the MPC.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO 176 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT BUDGET, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statutes 33:2740.38, is authorized to and has levied a special ad valorem tax on property subject to ad valorem taxation within the area of the Downtown Development District of the City; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority is authorized to expend these funds and such others as it may lawfully collect for activities which benefit the users and property owners of the City's downtown area; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority has amended its budget for the year 2010 and has requested that the City Council approve said budget amendment.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 158 of 2009 is hereby amended, and the 2010 Downtown Development Authority budget is appropriated as follows:

Funds Available for Appropriation

2010 Revenues

DDA Property Tax \$729,000
Interest Earnings \$2,500
Streetscape Contract \$250,000
Streetscape Miscellaneous Income \$13,800
Parking Services Contract \$336,862
Parking Miscellaneous Income \$2,400
DS Reimbursement \$54,288
DSU Management Contract \$15,000
DSDC Management Contract \$30,000
Unwind Downtown \$13,000
Lunch Trolley Reimbursement \$5,265
Program Assistance (Cheseapeake) \$100,250
Prior Year Cash Carry Forward \$85,912
TOTAL REVENUE \$1,638,277

2010 Appropriations

DDA Administration and Programming \$1,033,121
Bond Principal and Interest Expense \$265,894
Parking Services \$339,262
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS \$1,638,277

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, those are all of the items under that section to be taken up, we're now under Unfinished Business. There are several items that were added to the agenda that no action needs to be taken on any of those today.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS: None.

NEW BUSINESS:

Mr. Thompson: We're now under New Business.

The Clerk read the following:

ZONING APPEAL: BAC-98-10 – *King Restaurant Group* Property located within Pierremont Mall, 4801 Line Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins)

Councilman O. Jenkins: Stand by a moment while I read the proposed amendment. I guess what I wish to do is read the amendment?

Ms. Glass: It's actually in the form of a motion, so if that's the way you want to go, you would just make that as the motion.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to modify the decision of the Metropolitan Shreveport Zoning Board of Appeals by amending Stipulation No. 4 and adding Stipulation No. 8 to read as follows:

Hours of operation shall be to 12:00 midnight inside the restaurant, and to 10:00 p.m. for the patio provided if the party is seated for dinner prior to 10:00 p.m. on Friday or Saturday, the party may remain on the patio until they finish their dinner, but in no event later than 12:00 midnight. The patio may be used for smoking until 12:00 midnight.

This approval shall be for a period of one year. The applicant shall re-apply for approval to continue prior to the expiration of one year from the date of this decision.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Motion to adopt as amended.

Ms. Glass: No, your motion modified it, so that's all you need.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay.

The Clerk read the following:

ZONING APPEAL: BAC 85-10 – *Anthanasios Triperinas*, located at 280 Arthur, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins)

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to overturn the decision of the ZBA.

Councilman O. Jenkins: This property, the way it's located, if you look at the map, there on the curb on the street and where they're intending to go, this structure protrudes even more significantly than their requirement suggest by only saying 6 feet just on the way the actual geometry of this house is. At the time of taking over for Councilman Long, I received numerous neighbors' complaints to overturn and as you all know, Councilman Long worked with me and the neighbors as well, and they all agree that this would be inappropriate for the neighborhood in question. Though I certainly appreciate Mr. Triperinas' contribution to the city and the community, I'm afraid those need to be governed by the benefit of the overall neighborhood.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

PSD1000061: 9025 Hilton Dr, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Mr. Jim Bruce, 9045 Hilton Drive, Shreveport, LA 71118 (E/Webb) (*Postponed December 13, 2010 until February 7, 2011*)

PSD1000128: 2900 West Maple Street, Shreveport, LA (G/Jenkins) Mr. William Herbert Edelen, III, PO Box 8888, Shreveport, LA 71148 (*Postponed December 13, 2010 until January 10, 2011*)

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, I believe those are all of the matters that we have under New Business to be taken up at this time. We're now under reports from Officers, Boards and Committees.

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES

Councilman O. Jenkins: Are there any reports from Officers, Boards, or Committees. And as I don't expect there to be any, I'd like to at least mention at this point some of the committees that were discussed today in terms of who will be seeing some of these resolutions and their respective members, on Public Safety Committee, Councilman Everson, Councilman Jenkins, and Councilman Shyne. Naturally Police Chief, Fire Chief, and Councilman Webb is - - - this is the Public Safety Committee?

Councilman Webb: What Jenkins is that?

Councilman O. Jenkins: That would be Councilman O. Jenkins. The Property Standards Committee, Councilman Everson, Councilman McCulloch, and Councilman Shyne were the two mentioned today. Just before any of those are that are interested in following up. Ms. Pilkinton, what can I do for you at this point?

Ms. Pilkinton: If any Chairman would like to have a committee meeting, please contact me and let me know, and I'll get the agenda together and also a time and a place that will correspond with everybody's schedule.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Excellent. I don't believe that we have committee chairmen necessarily at this point. So if somebody absolutely wanted to for us, we'd be happy to get in contact with you. Any other comments on boards and committees from the rest of the council? Mr. Thompson, is there a Clerk's Report?

CLERK'S REPORT

Mr. Thompson: I'd just like to mention one thing. We've had a request from one Council Members, Mr. Sam Jenkins for us to look at modifying the voting agenda and equipment so that it would automatically queue. Council members whenever they wanted to speak in the order that they asked to speak, and the Chairman could view that and then he could call them in that order without looking around from side to side to see who has his hand up. That will cause us to do some modification, it's not hard. We're doing some now anyway because the equipment isn't working the way that it's supposed to be working. It is done by the Parish Commission. So, if it's something that the Council or the Chair wants us to do, we'll be happy to make those (inaudible) or ask the administration to - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: As long as it is, from my perspective in the discussion, as long as there's no significant expense incurred, I'm happy to see us move forward with that. I do feel agile enough that if (inaudible) just the seven of us. But let us look into that if possible.

Mr. Thompson: That's all I have Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Is there a motion for the Council to resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins for Council to resolve itself into Committee of the Whole. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (*Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting*)

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:22 p.m.

//s// Oliver Jenkins, Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council