



## **Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana**

*November 13, 2012*

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Sam Jenkins at 3:07 p.m., Tuesday, November 13, 2012, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Everson.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Shyne.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch (Arrived at 3:09 p.m.), Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins (Arrived at: 3:12), Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Corbin to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, October 22, 2012 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, October 23, 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman O. Jenkins. 1.**

**Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.**

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Councilman Everson, I understand that you have some distinguished guests that you'd like to recognize.

Councilman Everson: I do. I would like to start out today by recognizing Gregory Kallenberg with the Louisiana Film Prize Festival.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Please come forward Sir.

Mr. Kallenberg: (*Executive Director of the Louisiana Film Prize*) I'm also here with the Louisiana Film Prize team, Sabrina Adsitthis is , Ian Summers, the festival coordinator. Nathan Pizar who is working with us on the festival and another festival central and also would like to recognize a good friend of the festival in the audience who is has moved beyond us, a bright light, Kathy Melancon. I first wanted to thank the Council and the Mayor, Shelly Ragle, Katherine and everyone at SPAR for all the support you guys gave us. Its really because of you and your financial support and your logistical support why we were able to be as successful as we are. Also wanted to kinda report to you guys the numbers from this year's Louisiana Film Prize. Again, this was the inaugural year of the Louisiana Film Prize. It's the first time we've done it, so while our expectations were low, Shreveport showed up and made this thing an incredible success. During the production phase of the Louisiana Film Prize, we had 84 crews, 84 film crews come in town. 50% of them were from out of town. We employed or through the film projects 650 people were employed in Shreveport. About half of those were from out of town. And when you tally up just the production part of the Louisiana Film Prize and what it was able to yield as far as production goes, it was \$2.1(million) worth of economic development done for the City.

Councilman Everson: What was that number again?

Mr. Kallenberg: \$2.1(million). So again, just kinda blowing our expectations out of the water. From there, we chose the top 20 films. 10 were from out of town, 10 of 'em were from in town, and those 20 films showed at the Robinson, the Capri and at Art Space on Louisiana Film Prize Weekend which took place October 4-6. And again our expectations were very low. We expected to sell between 800 and 1,000 tickets. The final numbers were 1744 tickets were sold. About 400 of those people were from out of town and there was an additional \$500,000 worth of economic development during the Louisiana Film Prize weekend. We are incredibly indebted to you guys. We again, just want to thank you deeply for what you guys did for us, and we really appreciate all the effort. I'm also here to announce that we have put on the docket, Louisiana Film Prize 2013. We're going to be kicking it off in January. The production phase will go from January to July, and then October 4-6, was the only time we could find an away LSU game that Shreveport would leave the town for. And so we're going to be having it that weekend, and we're hoping that you guys will be joining us again. And again, thank you so much for all you did.

Councilman O. Jenkins. Thank you. Great job.

Councilman Everson: I know Councilman Jenkins (Oliver) did get a chance to go to the festival, and for those of you who may not have gotten a chance to go, you'd have been very proud of the City of Shreveport to be supporting a festival like that. It was

exciting, it had a lot of people from out of town, and you know it showcased our downtown, it showcased our creative culture of the city in a way that was very, very impressive. So, congratulations for the great work that was done there. And I'd also like to now recognize somebody who played a part in the Louisiana Film Prize Festival that is here today on another matter and that's Kathy Melancon.

Ms. Kathy Melancon: *(127 Dianna Drive)* Thank you for giving me a call Jeff and letting me come in and speak. I appreciate it. Working with the film prize was so exciting and being able to bring that to the community was a great thing. Thought I was going to get a little rest after that, but low and behold, got a phone call three day's later from Tipitina's Foundation. Mr. Roland Von Kurnatowski has called me and asked me to help him market or promote a new event in Shreveport. Its called Instruments A Comin'. Instruments A Comin' has been going on for over 10 years in the City of New Orleans, the greater area of New Orleans, and they have raised \$2.5 (million) in band instruments for over 75 schools. This is the first time, that they've come outside of the New Orleans area and is actually in the Shreveport/Bossier area which we are very excited about. Their plan is to bring the Kix Brooks Concert November 24<sup>th</sup>, Saturday following Thanksgiving to the Municipal Auditorium. The exciting news is 100% of all ticket sales will go to buy band instruments for Shreveport and Bossier City Schools, making a huge difference in our community and assuring that we have more musicians in the future. Ticket sales start at \$30 and go to \$100. We're hoping that we really do well with that. We're asking for your help in the sponsorship if possible. I have packages available, and the other thing is for every ticket purchased, every person that goes to the concert is going to receive a really cool Fats Domino CD. So I've got one for everybody today. So, I'm going to leave you with some information on the event, and I hope you guys will consider it. Thank you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you so much. Appreciate it. Certainly want to salute you all for coming out and making that presentation to Huntington High School with \$20,000 worth of instruments to that band. Its much needed and much appreciated. Thank you so much

Ms. Melancon: *(Inaudible)* is that they went to State competition two weeks ago, and came in 2<sup>nd</sup>, *(inaudible)* using those instruments. You'll notice in the Sponsor Package because we're really going to push ticket sales, the number of tickets per sponsorship has doubled.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well make sure you get Councilman Oliver Jenkins one of the sponsor packages.

Councilman O. Jenkins: She gets hers to me, *(inaudible)*.

Ms. Glass: I don't want to be a Grinch, but I'm not so sure that the Fats Domino CD is really promotional of an event. Normally, you're allowed to accept things that have little or no resale value and have promotional logo on it. I'm sorry. I'm sure its something you weren't aware of.

Ms. Melancon: It is not a CD for sale, it never has been for sale. It's a Tipitna's promotional item that their foundation.

Ms. Glass: And then if it's a promotional item, there's evidence that you find that it's a promotional item, then its certainly exempt from the - - - it would be the ethics code gift provision. So I did not know that it was related to promotion.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And maybe if you gave her one, then (inaudible).

Councilman Everson: Julie, thank you for speaking up on that, because that is a great thing for us to know, because you know it can - - - if you don't pay attention to those rules, but it does certainly have the Tipitinas Foundation logo on it, and it is - - -.

Ms. Melancon: And like I said, at the concert, every ticket purchaser, everyone that comes in the concert will receive one of these. Thank you very much.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yes, I wanted to have Mr. Louis Clark to come up and speak with Council at this time. Oh no, the young man in the blue shirt. I got the names mixed up. Come up and speak to the Council at this time. I said Louis Clark. Sorry about that. Okay, give your name, state your address.

*Mr. Benny Ray Johnson: (2713 Montana)* And I had a concern about the landfill, the Russell Road landfill. And I was hoping that we could get more done because it is an entrance to our community, and so many times and I travel that way every day, we have so much junk. And a lot of it I do report, but I think we ought to have more done about observing and picking up stuff that the trucks drop off. And then plus at certain times of the year, it's a hazard because when the big trucks come out, it's like clumps of dirt, and its just a muddy situation. I'm just hoping that something could be done.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I actually had him to come, because I get calls all the time. Been getting calls for two years concerning the Harrelson Landfill. And its real political. But usually when my constituents call me, I don't have an answer as far as how we would address the issue with Harrelson's landfill. I have to really be honest about it. The owner of that landfill has highly supported me, but at the same time my constituency has some concerns about the heavy mud build up that's causing possible damage to the street. A year ago, it was concerns about odors coming from the landfill,

and now we're back to square one again. Prior to me being elected to this council, it was addressed with the prior council. Back to square one again. You know there are complaints about debris or junk that's coming from the vehicles when they're hauling to and fro.

Mr. Johnson: It's like an obstacle course.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Right, so I don't have any answers. It's been real political for the last four or five years as far as I know, but the most that I can do in order for my constituency to know that I hear them and I'm concerned about their concerns is I have them come before the Council and present it before the council so that the record will reflect that their voices are being heard concerning this matter.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is it possible Mr. CAO that this gentleman can speak with someone in the administration who could possibly look into what the nature of the complaints are all about, and see if it's anything that's - - -

Mr. Sibley: We'll do that. I know as Ms. McCulloch pointed out, that there are some larger issues with the landfill itself, but in terms of debris and mud and those kinds of things, because (inaudible) and again I think this is a situation that I think that was grandfathered and things like that. But ordinarily, even with those with the oil and gas industry, there were provisions that require them to cleanup and do certain things with the streets. I'll get with MPC and our guys in public works, SPD, and we'll see what we can do. Because I've driven up and down and seen the mud and stuff on the road up there. So we'll check it out.

Mr. Johnson: Even though it's dry now, but coming through there, it's like a rumble strip.

Mr. Sibley: Right, I've seen it up there before.

Councilwoman McCulloch: It's causing streets to decay too I believe.

Mr. Sibley: What we'll do is check and see what enforcement mechanisms we have to deal with them on that, and I'll get back with you and we'll get back with Mr. Johnson.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I want to first of all start by commending Councilwoman McCulloch for 1) acknowledging that the folks around this situation are political supporters of hers, but still having the integrity and the political courage to still address the issues and challenges that end up being put upon her constituency. The one thing I can say in full disclosure and transparency is that they're not political supporters of mine. Because it has represented and it does represent a long standing problem and

challenge, not just for the MLK area, but I believe for the city as a whole. I think Dale summed it up very well, and that we will this coming week, starting tomorrow, have the folks in the Metropolitan Planning Commission as well as the City Attorney to insure that all things are in order with regards to where the DEQ has last left this situation at the State level, but then also from a law enforcement standpoint to make determination as to whether or not there are any violations that are taking place there along Russell Road or any of the other adjoining roadways that are being caused and can be directly attributed to either the folks there at the landfill or the trucks that are serving it. So, Councilwoman McCulloch, we'll get a report back from Dale or staff at some point before the end of the week.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thank you Mayor Glover.

Councilman Shyne: I might be a little bit ahead of somebody Mr. Chairman, but I believe Mr. Willie Henderson, I'd like to recognize Mr. Henderson, and I want Oliver to hear this. Oliver, Mr. Henderson is the former Assistant Superintendent, and he was my boss at one time. So you know he has one problem in the system.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I remember that.

Councilman Shyne: And it was me. So, Willie, I just wanted to recognize you and let you know that when you with the school system, we all thought that you did a fine job. And so glad to have you down here and I don't know whether you all are down here with something special, but the rest of the people that are with you are very special people too. I wanted to recognize Willie, because Willie and I worked together a long time in the Caddo Parish School System.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well, he certainly kept himself in good shape, because he looks several years younger.

Councilman Shyne: Willie, you hear that huh? Now you see the tough time I have down here with Oliver.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Councilman Shyne. I certainly was going to recognize that group there. The very distinguished constituents of District G. And I see Mr. Brooks is here also, Mr. Smith and others that are down here, and we're glad to see you down. We always want you to come down and be a part of the meeting. If there's anything that's on your mind that you want to share with us, please fill out one of the sheets and give it to the young lady, in the - - - I'm calling it blue, but it may be teal, aqua or some color that's around her neck. And we'll certainly take up any matter that you all would like to have us discuss. But it is good to see all of you down and of

course Mr. Henderson is also a former member of our school board. So, its always good to see you still involved and coming down and being actively involved with our city government. Does any other Council Member have - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: Actually, I wanted to acknowledge not only my church member, but my God-mother's brother in law, Mr. Fred Moore that's a part of that group today. Good to have you here Mr. Moore.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Chairman, just one other. I see Mr. John Washington sitting back there who was one of Mr. Henderson's lieutenants. Who he could depend on, he could lean on for years. And Mr. Henderson, I don't know how you got him down here, but John, we're so glad to see you down here, hear? And John, you look well.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Mr. Shyne, I taught John Jr. by the way, John Washington, Jr.? Yeah, I taught him.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. I'm sure the Mayor is going to add to this, but I would say that its been a fabulous what I would call a five-day Veterans Day extended weekend. A lot of great things happened in the City of Shreveport to include services at various churches that have specific dedicated Veteran ceremonies or services, one being Broadmoor Baptist did a great job. A mixed group, multi-denominational service with Zion Baptist Church providing the choir in the background with some very inspirational music and the good folks here in attendance obviously all of us are clear at what happened yesterday - - - change that, Sunday, with a great parade despite the inclement weather, several schools I noted had programs dedicated to Veterans Day, and I thought it was a great activity (inaudible) getting the community get behind a program like that. I'll tell you an interesting statistic that you know is kind of indicative of where we are in a society. In WWII, 11% of our United States was serving in the military. Today, less than .4% are serving in the military. And though it's a different size conflict, and clearly our priorities have changed, it does speak a lot that the community is still going to get behind those fewer people in service and still respecting and appreciate their service. So I thought it was fantastic.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We certainly want to salute you also our colleague here on the Council, retired Marine Corps. And we also want to salute Commissioner Ken Epperson who had the lead role in pulling together many of the activities that occurred over the Veterans Day weekend. So Ken, if you're listening, I want to congratulate you for a job well done. Does any other Council Members have any recognitions of distinguished guests? Alright hearing none, Mr. Mayor, Mr. CAO?

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Mr. Sibley: I'll yield to the Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Members of the Council. We'll combine both recognitions and communications in one. Want to first of all join the Council in offering Ken appreciation. I'm not sure if Gregory Kellenberg or Kathy Melancon are still in the Chamber, but I certainly want to join the Council in expressing great thanks and appreciation to them for the great work with LA Film Prize. As we have said all the long, one of our objectives here is to continue to try and figure out how we grow and cultivate and develop an indigenous Shreveport Northwest Louisiana based and driven film industry. Knowing that New Orleans and Baton Rouge have obviously come back in full vigor to offer greater competition to us in terms of attracting film productions to Northwest LA. In places like GA and the other 40+ states that follow LA are offering these incentives are also competing as well. And so we feel ourselves to be well served to figure out how it is that we manage to be able to use opportunities like LA Film Prize and obviously developments like the Millennium/Ledbetter Studios, to develop a Shreveport-based indigenous home grown film industry that can continue to employ, diversify and grow the creative industry here in Northwest LA for years to come, and without question, Jeffrey's vision and leadership in this area has sent us, we believe, great strides that are toward achieving that goal. So thanks and kudos to him for his work also. Kathy mentioned my good friend, a person whose been great to Shreveport, New Orleans native Mr. Roland Von Kurnatowski. For those of you who don't know Roland, he's the gentleman who helps to fund and insure that the Tipitinas Music Co-op is there in the 700 block of Texas. Continues to be a strong growing viable operation that helps to continue to cultivate again the career class here in Shreveport and North Louisiana. He also the last year has purchased significant real estate there in the 600 block of Texas and has a great vision in conjunction with the things that are happening right there at Southern University with the expansion of its nursing schools to do some wonderful things that will continue to grow and develop our downtown. His decision to bring the instruments incentive effort to Shreveport is one that we think will end up helping to continue to help and grow and advance the arts in Shreveport and Northwest LA. So I want to continue to express thanks and appreciation to him and then without question, to follow the lead that was offered by both the Chair and Councilman Oliver Jenkins. It has simply been a wonderful five-day, plus Veterans Day celebration here in Shreveport. Want to expressly say thanks to Commissioner Ken Epperson for the leadership that he offered in helping to put forward the Veterans Parade that took place out at the Louisiana State Fair Grounds this past Sunday, but as Councilman Oliver Jenkins mentioned, there were countless others at churches, at schools, I believe Centenary, Southern, groups all across this region took the time to honor our Veterans,

we say thank you, and we appreciate it and of course we know that the freedoms that we enjoy today are only made possible by the sacrifice of many like the CAO Dale Sibley, and like Councilman Oliver Jenkins. So in particular, we say thank you to all of those veterans who are employed by the City of Shreveport. Also want to make a point that I think that we have a significant anniversary that's going to come regarding our military's presence here in the Shreveport Northwest LA area, coming in the first quarter of next year. For those of you who don't realize it, next February will mark four score years that the Barksdale Air Force Base has been opened and operating in the City of Shreveport. That facility opened in February of 1933, and will celebrate 80 years of operation next year. So we look forward to joining with our neighbors across the river, all across this region in helping to celebrate 80 years of protecting the United States of America, making the world safer and certainly we'll be praying and working toward at least another 80 years that that particular base will be present here. Lastly Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, want to thank you all for the hours plus of your time that you gave us earlier to re-initiate and re-advance the issues of streets here in Shreveport. Talking about as I mentioned during our discussion right along with people asking about the airport and why can't we get low cost carriers and cheaper fares, the question that usually follows that is "What are you going to do about the streets I have to drive on to get to the airport?" And so I thank you all for the time that you've given us. We look forward to advancing the discussion and the dialogue further. Without question, think that it's one of the most important things that we have before us in this city as we detail to you, and that discussion, we're talking about a problem that's approaching a half-billion dollars in terms of our challenge. We've taken some steps toward addressing the problem, but what we have before us right now is inadequate to effectively offer the kind of relief, that improvement that our citizens are desiring. So we look forward to being able to work with you all through the infrastructure committee and then the council as a whole, to advance what we hope will be measures that will give us some improvement. I guess if I had one disappointment, to express that. While it was a posted meeting of the council with all members present I think except one, we have no one from the (inaudible), so I guess the next time, I'll make sure we invite a puppy along and maybe get a little bit of a budget. So folks can know that streets are important too for the City of Shreveport. Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Council.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Mr. Mayor, did you have any additional communications?

Mayor Glover: No, we'll wrap all of them in one Mr. Chairman. Pending anything else that comes from the CAO.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to - - - I don't know, ask a question or bring an observation to the Mayor and to the Administration. I was a little shocked

when I got the letter from the Mayor giving an executive order to the Engineering department to give Mr. Tim Larkin the go ahead to do some work in that area that we had been discussing. I kinda thought that the MPC said that every thing would cease until we got the report back from the state. I think the City Council kinda went along with them, and then I picked up the newspaper, I want to say, last Friday, and I see where the Federal Judge, John Walters had kinda said to hold up a minute on the request that was made by Mr. Larkin and your executive order to the Engineering Department to go head on and let him start. Do you have any information? Or is there any information that you could give us on that, because I was really surprised. I really didn't know that a lawsuit had been filed.

Mayor Glover: Why certainly Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: I mean an injunction.

Mayor Glover: Is Mr. Shyne finished or should I wait for him to wrap up?

Councilman Shyne: You don't hear me saying anything, do you Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: I was just making sure Mr. Shyne. Thank you Mr. Chairman. Mr. Shyne, if you will review the information that was provided to you, hopefully by Council Staff. What you had was a letter that came from Mr. Larkin to me and to the Engineering Department asking whether or not there was anything that would prohibit him from being able to proceed with the construction of his road? And I made a point to ask staff to review and research that question in detail, and provide the answer and the response that was included in the correspondence that I sent to the Council as well as provided to Mr. Larkin. Mr. Shyne, I don't think that there's any doubt whatsoever that you and I and certain members of the council are of different minds with regard to the Esplanade/Larkin situation. This is one that I have held since the very beginning, that both the discovery of the route with the extension of 3132, as well as the developer of Esplanade Subdivision are not issues or matters that are mutually exclusive. That they both can be facilitated and essentially accommodated, in that the City of Shreveport can receive the benefit of having continued substantial residential and economic development impact this city. And at the same time, we can discover through the identified processes that are already laid out how it is that the potential routes are (inaudible) for the extension of 3132 goes forward. And so in response to those questions that were asked of us as to whether or not Mr. Larkin indeed has the capacity to move forward based upon the fact that this is a situation that is his own private property. And whether or not he could also request and be permitted to have that particular road inspected to determine whether or not it was up to city standards, was something that was allowable and was permissible, and again I thought to be not only

allowable, but also appropriate and necessary. And further more with regard to the action that's been taken by the court at this point, it's my understanding that there is a hearing to be held on this coming Monday, the 19<sup>th</sup> I believe where I believe there's the legal standard will be and correct me if I'm wrong, I don't play a lawyer on television often, but I think irreparable harm will be the standard that will have to be met in order to, for that particular injunction, to become public. I assume that Mr. Larkin's counsel will be in a position to be able to effectively articulate his position. Those folks in opposition I'm sure will attempt to do the same, and I have every reason to believe that Judge Walter will make a decision based upon what he thinks is appropriate under the law. And I'm willing to leave it at that.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, you know generally when the MPC makes a ruling that you can or cannot do something on your private property, basically, this is the rule of law. Basically it has been when the City Council has would make a ruling, basically it's even if it is on your private property, it's kind of the rule of law. And I was just wondering now if the judge would say let's hold up for a while, I'm wondering if the administration or the city would over rule the ruling of the federal judge, or would we adhere to the ruling of the federal judge?

Mayor Glover: Well now Mr. Shyne, you referenced the MPC, but now I believe that Mr. Kirkland has been quoted as saying that Mr. Larkin has every right to build his road. Both the Council's legal staff as well as the City Attorney herself have both researched the question and they both have (inaudible) that Mr. Larkin has the right to build his road. Obviously my experience with the federal court system is quite limited. But no doubt that whatever it is that Judge Walter will decide on next Monday will have significant impact with regard to what happens going forward with regard to the development of Mr. Larkin's road. But even with that, I'm sure both sides will feel free to make themselves available to the appellate process that's even available at the federal level. So I'm more than comfortable allowing this situation to move forward through the courts that as it stands right now, I look forward to hearing the argument that would be offered next Monday with regard to why it is that the injunction would be put in permanent place and ordered. But again, going back to yesterday (inaudible) has come from the MPC, as I said, even the Director himself has said that Mr. Larkin has more than the right and the authority to build the road that he's seeking to build on his private property, and I believe not to play lawyer any effort ultimately to prevent him to do so either by the MPC, the City of Shreveport or any other entity of government would amount to a taking of his property and as such it would ultimately make that particular entity potential responsible for the due compensation that would go along with that.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, just one more point, and I'm going to see. You know Mr. Mayor, generally the Executive Director abides by what the MPC Board

rules. I really didn't realize that the Executive Director could override whatever the MPC Board comes up with. In that case, we have an MPC Board who really doesn't matter what they say, if the Executive Director says something else, then that means that we'll have to go along with what the Executive Director says. I was always under the impression that that's why you had an MPC Board, so people would come before the MPC Board. Their cases would be heard and the MPC Board's - - - the ruling was Mr. Larkin, you cannot build your road, but you cannot build your road at this particular time, until we get the information back from the state on where 3132 is going to go, because I don't believe you or Mr. Larkin neither one, now if you all do, tell me. I don't think you all know where 3132 is going. Now if you all do, you all are privy to some information that the rest of the citizens do not have. Do you all know where the road is going?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Shyne, I would offer to you a couple of things in response to what you just said. 1) I don't think that Mr. Kirkland has in fact over-ruled the MPC. I think what Mr. Kirkland in fact did do was express an opinion based upon his perspective as the Executive Director. I do not believe that he is empowered to be able to override a court order decision by the MPC. However, that vote of the MPC does not negate the fact that he still has obviously offered his opinion and made a statement with regard to what he believes Mr. Larkin's rights to be. With regard to the other factors that you have just mentioned, the State Department of Transportation has obviously already provided Mr. Larkin with the ability to be able to connect his road to Flournoy Lucas. I believe Mr. Eric Kalivoda and others have gone on record numerous times Mr. Shyne stating that Mr. Larkin's road is completely compatible with any conceivable scenario that would entail the extension of 3132. I think what we have in this situation frankly, is that you have folks who have been I guess to put it mildly, intimidated by some of the forces who have assembled themselves in this situation and from my perspective, just simply decided not to make decisions that probably were reflective of what the facts are. That's a decision that I in my capacity as Mayor chose not to do, and so that's why I've taken the actions I have.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to end it here, but I don't think anybody has been intimidated. I mean you know, I can't see that. I can't see anybody on the MPC, I don't believe anybody on the City Council has been intimidated. I know I have not, and I would not make those statements about the citizenry group who is pursuing - - I think the name of that group is 3132. They are tax paying citizens who have the right to come down and to oppose because they are concerned about 3132. We need 3132 as a city in order to grow. It's going to be a part of our economic engine. We just got quite a few jobs out at the Port. If the Port is going to continue to grow, we've got to make sure that the Port is accessible to our main roads and main highways. As a matter of fact, the citizens voted for that, I want to say about 15 years ago. 3132 was never

completed out to the Port and the positions of some of the business people in the community and you know these guys who filed and who made those decisions, I don't think you can intimidate them. And they're concern about the growth of the entire city, and not just about one subdivision. So, that was my point for making this, and I had some people who called me who were concerned about it. I told them I really didn't know, I would raise the observation to the Mayor and see what was his thinking on it, but that's what democracy is all about. Mr. Chairman, thank you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Mr. Shyne, you've certainly been a champion of that position, and - - -

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman?

Councilman S. Jenkins: One second Mr. Mayor. You've certainly been a champion of that position and certainly when you feel the need to address it, feel free to do so.

Councilman Shyne: I appreciate that.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, in fact I want to thank Mr. Shyne for giving me the opportunity to make a reference to one of the things of my communications I failed to get to since it's on the agenda for introduction and this gives me an opportunity to say first of all thanks and appreciation to Matthias Jaeger and the folks at Benteler Steel for their decision to come to Shreveport with their \$900,000,000 plant bringing with it almost 700 jobs averaging over \$50,000 per year. You'll end up with well over 2,000 jobs that will end up being provided indirectly as a result of this decision and that particular facility is going to be built out at the Port. It took a collective effort. The folks at the City and certainly at the Parish, the Red River Waterway Commission, the State Department of Economic Development to come together to help make this effort happen, and we did so in competition against over 100 other locations throughout the U.S. The good news is Mr. Shyne is having been a part of those discussion, negotiations and helping to shape and bring this thing to fruition. I can tell you that the one thing that did not come up from the Benteler side was any concern about the current infrastructure that's in place with regard to the ability to be able to effectively, efficiently and safely access the Port of Shreveport/Bossier. And so while I understand your point, you certainly have a right to it with regard to access to the Port. That was an issue that was not in anyway viewed as being negative for the City of Shreveport, in fact from all reports I've gotten their thought in terms of our available infrastructure with regard to the Port that it was all excellent, and very forward looking of the city and the state to have the current assets in place and that anything else that would be added certainly would be welcomed, but would not in any way diminish the future of our ability of that operation or any potential for expansion in the future.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, just because it didn't come up now, lets don't play us cheap and say its not important. 3132 is very, very important. So lets don't say that it's not important to the growth of the Port and that's why you need people. You see I had to prick your mind, so you could remember that. So that's why you need people who are going to ask questions, who are going to be concerned and who are going to make sure that we look at all sides. Mr. Chairman, thank you again.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright thank you sir. Alright, did that wrap up our communications Mr. Mayor and Mr. CAO?

Mayor Glover: Yes it does Mr. Chairman, thank you much.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

## **Reports**

Councilman S. Jenkins: We're going to move now into reports, and what I'd like to do, I believe we have on here a special report coming from Mr. Neuner on the Council's investigation into the financial advisory services committee. We're going to ask him to come forward at this time and make a presentation. Councilman Corbin if you have a manner in which you wish to go, we'll certainly turn it over to you at this point.

Councilman Corbin: I think it would be good just to let Mr. Neuner make his presentation. Certainly, he has been patient so far in this meeting. And lets let Mr. Neuner make his report and then if there's any questions that any council members have for clarification, we can do that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright sir.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

## **Reports**

The Neuner Report - Council's investigation of Financial Advisory Services Agreement(*Resolutions 281 of 2011 and 71 of 2012*)

Mr. Neuner: Thank you. I'll be brief. Let me first say what we did not do with this report. Reports I think generally speaks for itself. We did not issue any subpoenas, we didn't go to Mr. Grigsby office and look at his records, but we asked Mr. Grigsby to

furnish us and to furnish the (inaudible) CPA firm with records to document the work that he did. And the majority of the findings that we made pertain to lack of documentation. We're not saying that the work wasn't done. But we don't have - - - he was not able to furnish us with a backup for the work to show the actual hours put in or the days put in. The contract as we said showed days, but he was charging by the hour and normally you would show the days you worked and the hours. Up until this moment, we have not received any of that information from Mr. Grigsby. I've read anecdotally comments he made in the paper that he's got all his records, if we want to look through 'em. But when we asked him for him, was give us your detailed time records and expenses and as of this moment, we've received nothing. I would also mention that subsequent its mentioned in our report, at the time we issued the report, I think October 19<sup>th</sup>, there was scheduled to be a hearing on October 17<sup>th</sup> in Illinois that could impact on Mr. Grigsby ability to act as a financial advisor. That hearing was postponed to December, and I have an article from the bond trader magazine, which I'll share with the Clerk to share with you, it was just issued last week. And it references and I think this kind of sums up the problem that we had with our audit with Mr. Grigsby and our review of his work. And this is quoting this Bond Trader Magazine, said that the audit that Illinois did also faulted the company for not keeping records on its contract with a subcontractor who was paid \$50,000 to help with investment analysis and did not keep proper records of splitting event tickets provided to ISAC Officials. The Chicago offices also accused of not keep proper documentation of office emails and communications. The Grigsby firm was paid a contingent fee for its work of \$256,000. The point of that is, is that it seems to be a pattern of not properly documenting the work of Mr. Grigsby's firm performed. Again, we're not saying the work wasn't done, we just don't have the backup for that work. With regard to this \$677,333 in expenses that we questioned, the vast majority of that has to do with improper documentation. About roughly \$450,000 of that amount which is work that he could not provide us documentation for. Some of the other things that we found, and this was also found in Ms. Glass' opinion that she provided an Internal Auditor and we agree with her that there was an \$81,500,000 General Obligation Bond issue, and he charged us as if it was three separate bond issues which allowed his fee to be \$53,450 more than if he had charged just for the one bond issue. And it was one bond issue, but there's three different issues the voters voted on, but it was only one bond issue. So again we agree with the City Attorney's opinion that the City was overcharged \$53,450 on that. Also in year 2008, the contract had a maximum fee for core service of \$180,000. In 2008, they overcharged the city \$50,083 for those core services. And there were some other findings detailed in the report, and these are just kind of exemplary of what we found. You know we also found significant negative regulatory and criminal matters. And there was a criminal matter in 1999 where Mr. Grigsby and some others down in Miami actually went to trial and Mr. Grigsby and the others were acquitted in

the trial, but as we noted in our report the judge who acquitted them still made a finding that there was substantial evidence of greed and public corruption, but not to the level that he found that violated the federal law that they were charged with. So that just raises questions and concerns. In 2011, the firm paid a \$7500 fine to acceptance, waiver and consent for inaccurately computing the firms net capital. Again, in 2011, they paid a \$12,500 fine through acceptance, waiver and consent for not reporting municipal securities purchase and sales transaction in the appropriate time required by the MSRB rules and for failing to have sufficient written supervisory procedures. 2012, they were temporarily suspended by FINRA for failure to pay fees to FINRA for the annual assessment. I've already mentioned the 2012 Illinois action and then in 2012, he requested termination of his registration as a broker/dealer firm which he voluntarily did. This does not prevent him from acting as a financial advisor but one of the benefits that was originally touted when he became the city's financial advisor was that he had broker/dealer registration, and he doesn't have that. In an overall, going forward whether its Mr. Grigsby or any or some other firm, we would strongly recommend that a project manager consistently monitor, be assigned and be held accountable for monitoring the performance under the contract, as per the contract. We should require detailed lists and verifications including receipts of expenses prior to payment. To require documentation accounting for work performed prior to payment. There were errors both against Mr. Grigsby and against the city that were made (inaudible) a lot of the bills that the numbers just didn't add up. In net amount was it looks like an overpayment by the city I think of \$8900 which we recommend that you ask for immediate reimbursement of that. Its just an accounting error, but the error was made both ways. It wasn't just always in Mr. Grigsby's favor, sometimes the errors, you know he undercharged you. But the net affect was about \$8900 overcharged. We would also recommend, this is a best practices. There was a memo prepared by Ms. Washington on the people that submitted proposals under the RFP. Originally Mr. Grigsby's group was not one of the groups and then they were subsequently added. The analysis didn't have a real objective grading scale, it was just a subject. And we think the city would be better served if it used an objective analysis and assigned categories and grades, and you could look and see how people stacked up, and you wouldn't have to take the one with the best grade, but you could rate people, I think, more fairly that way. So again, with regard to the overcharges and the documentation, we think the \$8900, you should seek immediate reimbursement for that, because its an accounting error. I would seek full and adequate records to verify these past payments. We had previously asked for 'em. I think the Internal Audit Department could ask for them also. And the Internal Audit Department did a very good job on its own and our findings just followed theirs, we just went into a little more in dept. There were no receipts, there were no time records in their stack of documents that they provided us. And you know also you should seek full and adequate records for services that possibly were excluded

from the scope of the contract that he charged for. And I would continue to monitor this Illinois action which is now set for hearing December 19<sup>th</sup> to see what happens in Illinois with regard to Mr. Grigsby. Be happy to answer any questions.

Councilman Shyne: I'll make mine short. Lets say if I worked for you, and I'm working by the hour, would you pay me if I didn't document the hours that I had worked?

Mr. Neuner: No sir.

Councilman Shyne: I'm glad to hear you say that, because I know I wouldn't pay you. That's just not good business. Second of all, I do see some greed in what took place, and whether we can actually pinpoint it or not, from listening to you and from reading the other report, I could really see some greed in there. And I think you mentioned Ms. Washington, was our Director of Finance in the beginning, and it seem like that you had said that she had put together some information and of course Grigsby firm was not in there.

Mr. Neuner: Not the original request for proposal that was submitted I think on April 29<sup>th</sup>, but on the May 3<sup>rd</sup>, she provides the memo and added Grigsby into it. The memo is an exhibit in our report and it's the documents that the city produced to us. It goes through each firm and kinda gives what I call a subjective, here's the good and the bad, we used to do business with these people for years, but they're very (inaudible) and too conservative, and these people have this experience and that experience. So it made an effort to analyze the qualifications of the people being considered. But it was what I would call subjective effort. And I'm not sure she was asked to do anything other than that.

Councilman Shyne: Well, could I use the words 'to justify'? You know sometimes you analyze things and you analyze it from the angle that you're trying to justify in order to do something over here. And we do do that. We analyze, but we justify what we're doing and it might not be the right thing to do or we might not be getting the best (inaudible). I'm just you know, I feel that being a part of - - - not necessarily being a part of the administration, but a part of the legislative body, that would let that happen with taxpayer's money. Now if that was Willie Henderson's personal money or my personal money, I'd be raising all kind of sand. It's just not good business practices. And if you - - - anybody had a - - - Willie, I don't know whether they still do it or not, but you know they used to have Business Education in the high school. And those are the kinds of simple things that you teach in high school. And when you start dealing with taxpayer's money and especially that kind of money, it ought to be somebody in place Mr. Sibley, because I don't know whether you and I'm sorry, you were not CAO during that time, so that kinda leaves you out. Of course I know the Mayor hears me,

but it ought to be somebody in place that's going to double check that. And of course our Finance Director, I don't know whether he was Finance Director at that particular time or not. But that's what people elect us and pay us for. To make sure that we do business like business is supposed to be done. And I appreciate the report that you've given. I would hope that the administration would take it and look at it and make sure that the corrections that are in there, if we do it, I would hope that the council members from now on would make sure that we double check. Because maybe I'm a part of it for not double checking the administration. But you know how it is sometimes, sometimes when you start asking questions Willie, they think you're causing problems or they think you're a trouble maker. You know, but you're not. You just want to make sure that things are done right. And when you come down here, it's not about friendship, it's about you doing the right thing for the people who elect you to come down. Money is too tight now. Money is too hard for cities to be making those kinds of mistakes. So Mike, I appreciate what you've done by making sure that we got some reputable people to do this investigation, and Mr. Sibley, and of course Oliver, I don't mean no harm by saying this, I hope that we don't come up with the same justification that maybe I shouldn't say this, but I'm going to say it anyway, that we would not come up with some of the justifications that the Republican Party is coming up with because they lost the race. We need to identify this, look at it and make sure we make tough and hard decisions. Because we're dealing with taxpayer money. These people who are sitting out in the audience, we're dealing with you all's taxpayer dollars. We don't want to take your dollars and just fluke it away. We want to make sure we spend it right and we want to make sure we don't get somebody with some greed that's going to beat us out of some money. I don't want to do business with people who are going to do that. Even if I make a mistake. I've been in the store sometimes and I've made a mistake and overpaid somebody, they say wait just a minute Joe, you gave me a dollar too much. And I always go back and do business with those people again. But I don't do business with somebody who takes the dollar and grin at me on the way out the door. So I appreciate the report that you've given. I hope the council members the same thing. And Mike, I want to commend you for doing an excellent job as the Chairman. Thank you. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And Mr. Neuner, certainly appreciate a very comprehensive and clear report. Its great to have it all in one package for us, and you know for those who are interested in general public, their opportunity to see some of the data you uncovered are clearly lined out. Beneficial just for them to get an appreciation how difficult it can be to actually do a comprehensive evaluation like that. And I just wanted to ask (inaudible) at this point. We mentioned that \$53,000 differential (inaudible) between, when the whole thing started, that was kinda one of the first things in question acknowledged by both the administration and the council on some issue and

interpretation of where that contract stood. Did we - - - where did we stand on actual payment of that particular invoice? Did we pay it all, or did we stop payment on it before (inaudible) I guess?

Mr. Sibley: If I recall correctly Mr. Jenkins, I think that invoice had already been paid. So what we directed Charles to do, if you recall from the Internal Audit, there was some reconciliation because of that finding so what we directed be done was to go through any additional payments due if you will and make sure that that \$53,000 I think \$53,000 was accounted for. Not necessarily in sending them a direct bill, but in account against any subsequent payments that were due after that. So that's all a part of this final reconciliation that we're trying to do based upon the findings that initially came up in the Internal Audit Report, so there wasn't a direct payment or bill sent to 'em until we finished going through all the numbers so we know ultimately - - - for example, now the \$8900 numerical error needs to be accounted for, so that's what we're trying to do.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I was curious just on that particular aspect, if that had been reconciled in terms of maybe what we owed him and then we just didn't pay on. Had that been reconciled or are we still in that process.

Mr. Sibley: We're still in that process. One of the things we wanted to do Mr. Jenkins, was also wait until this report came out to make sure that there were things for example that Mr. Neuner indicated, they went a whole lot deeper and even the numerical errors that turned up, we want to be sure and cover all those things, because ultimately actually Mr. Grigsby has not done any other billable work since then, so we're still reconciling (inaudible) Internal Audit, there were things that had not been billed for, things that are not underpayments, overpayments so we're trying to go through that whole process now.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Chair and thank you Mr. Neuner for as Councilman Oliver Jenkins has just said, a very thorough report. I want to go back just a little bit to our Internal Audit Staff and the work that they did in their initial report which you were able to bill off of. A clarification for those of us up here on Council, this investigation started with the first work that was done under Mr. Grigsby's contract with the city and went through the 2011 bond sale. So we went up to the first round of bonds that were sold a little over a year ago. What I hope that we will do is Audit and Finance Committee has accepted this report that we will continue to look at this and make recommendations to the Council and to Administration of how we move forward with this. I also don't want to get into a debate about 'he said' and 'she said' or any of that, but it interesting that with our initial Internal Audit and with Mr. Neuner's report, we can't seem to get documents yet. Mr. Grigsby's telling people now that he has all the documents, but we still have seen nothing. So I hope that the work that y'all are

doing, that this can be expedited, but that I think (inaudible) would be prudent to all of us is in the next couple of weeks to come up with some time frames and some milestones to move this forward and reach a point where everybody is happy.

Mr. Sibley: And I think Mr. Corbin, part of the problem, because as you indicated during the Internal Audit process, we kinda got the response about - - - the Grigsby Firm has submitted a lot of information. I think it's a matter of how do you interpret that information. As Mr. Neuner pointed out, there is a lot of information there. What form its in, or what format its in, it's a challenge, because as the report indicates, its not a question of whether or not the work was done. It's the manner of documentation of that work. So, what we're going through whether it's the same process of asking for that stuff, much of which was sent during the Internal Audit process, but trying to somehow connect that to the actual words of the contract and the actual requirements of the contract.

Councilman Corbin: And I think some of the simple things as far as even travel documentation. You know if I need to go back and recreate a credit card receipt or hotel bill or an airline ticket, its pretty easy in today's internet and technology age to do that. So I'm not going to be extremely of we can't get stuff like that.

Mr. Sibley: You know and that's the (inaudible) for example, one of the things that they just sent us some - - - apparently a lot of their historical records are archived. So they've contacted their archiving agent, company, whatever, and now we're starting to get some of that information to go back and look at, you know what was done on particular days in terms of particular projects, so hopefully through all that information, we can come up with documents that actually fit with what the contract calls for in terms of trying to reconcile where that number actually ends up being in terms of what they may owe or what we may owe them.

Councilman Shyne: Just before Mr. Neuner speaks again, Dale, you know anybody who does that kind of work knows how to document what they do without you having to have to guess at it and try to read between lines. If you've been doing something for 20 years or 15 years or even 5 years, Mike you ought to know how to document what you're doing without you giving me a lot of stuff that I have to guess at. Because when you start giving me a lot of stuff that I have to guess at, I'm not going to pay you. And Dale, I would hope that in the future whoever we do any bonding with or whoever we do any work with, that we would make them present us with an invoice that's standard. So we can understand and they can understand because a lot of times when you start giving me a lot mumble jumble, you know I'm going to think that you don't know what you're doing. Or I'm going to be suspicious of it. You know my mama and daddy always taught me, if you don't understand it be suspicious of it. So especially when

you're dealing with taxpayers money. Now I'm not going to tell you how you need to handle your own money, but especially when we're dealing with taxpayer's money. We need to be up front, we need to get invoices, we need to be able to document what this person has done before we cut a check and I would hope that the finance director would hear me.

Mr. Sibley: He has that directive already Mr. Shyne. The good thing about it is the same recommendations that were made in the Internal Audit report were echoed by the Neuner report and on those administrative matters in terms of the invoicing and documentation, those changes have been made. You know certainly the situation here is going back into a situation that's already happened and trying to deal with that, but going forward, the changes in the contract (inaudible) whether its with Grigsby or whomever, the way its documented, appointment of a project manager giving them specific direction on what type documentation needs to be there, that has been fixed. It's a matter of trying to go back and look at this particular situation that's kinda already passed.

Councilman Shyne: I would hope so Mr. Sibley, and I would hope I believe Councilman Oliver Jenkins said it, I would hope that we would hold up on any payment that we would have to him, and if he doesn't present us with some documents, I think we need to get together have a little prayer meeting with him.

Mr. Sibley: Understand Mr. Shyne

Councilwoman McCulloch: Mr. Sibley, I asked a question at our last committee meeting and of course it was explained to me that we weren't here to compare and I was just concerned because you know in talking with some of my colleagues it was important that we do an external audit. And my question was, was there significant difference in the Internal and External audit and of course, we weren't there to compare. I guess my concern is based on what was presented in the last committee meeting, and what's being presented here today, I'm not a mathematician, but I'm understanding that we have over a million dollars or so in money that's not justified at this time, and hopefully when based on Mr. Grigsby presenting documentations that are not detailed, can this council expect at the end of the day that he would be able to justify over half million dollars?

Mr. Sibley: I don't know if the term justification is (inaudible).

Councilwoman McCulloch: You know he's presented documentation as a part of - - - finding out or putting a label to what its for.

Mr. Sibley: Well again, I don't know if justification is the word, because as the Neuner Report notes, the work was done, it's a matter of documentation and what type of documentation. You know part of what came up during the Internal Audit process is there are some issues in terms of how the city administered the contract in terms of what was asked for. Goes back to Mr. Shyne's point (inaudible). Part of what the firm indicated is we were simply following the directives of the Project Manager at the time. This is what we were told to submit. So it's a matter of the documentation and I think the number as Mr. Neuner part of the biggest number is about \$370-400,000 not that work wasn't done, but how it was documented is the challenge. So that's what we're dealing with is the documentation and whether or not that documentation is sufficient to satisfy the words of the contract. That's the process we're going through now and at some point our expectations we'll end up with things that are documented, things that are not and we'll have a number to affix to that.

Mr. Neuner: A couple of quick points. First Mr. Freddie Smith who is in charge of the governmental unit of the Postlethwaite & Netterville worked with us on this and he was very complimentary of the city's Internal Audit Department and the work that they did and thought it was excellent work. But with regards to numbers, Ms. McCulloch is asking about during the period from 2008 to 2011, \$1.22 (million) was paid to the Grigsby firm by the City of Shreveport. Of that amount, \$677,000 is currently being questioned for further documentation.

Councilwoman McCulloch: That's what I'm looking for.

Mr. Neuner: Additionally, and this goes beyond the scope of our report, but \$63,000 has been paid to Mr. Grigsby's firm by the city this current year, 2012. Now with regard to the record that Mr. Shyne was asking about, the contract says that you're supposed to give a monthly accounting of working days diverted to city matters, core services and/or special services. That was not done. We never saw that record.

Councilman Shyne: The contract said that he was supposed to?

Mr. Neuner: Yes sir. That's the attachment to Exhibit C of the contract. It also said that he was supposed to provide a detailed list and where applicable verification for out of pocket expenses being requested for reimbursement, and then copies of original receipts where applicable. And with Mr. Grigsby on David Yellot who is a CPA with Postlethwaite & Netterville firm actually talked to Mr. Grigsby on June 25<sup>th</sup> of 2012 and Mr. Grigsby told him that they did not keep detailed receipts for travel, such as hotel or airfare that was billed to the city under the contract. He also stated they do not utilize a system or manual records where they're working on a deal to keep track of hours. And it would seem to me that's in contravention of the contract he signed. It is

my opinion that that's not in compliance with the contract that he signed with the city which required receipts and detailed records and how many days you worked. Now if that's the way he normally did business, that's fine, but at least with regards to the City of Shreveport, he was supposed to keep these records per Exhibit C, and that at least at this point, we haven't seen those records although we've asked for them. And you know we quit asking when he said they don't have 'em. You know? At that point, it wouldn't do any good to subpoena them or anything else. So I just wanted to clarify that point.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I hope the Mayor is listening cause this is not a question to the Mayor, but you know I don't see how we could do business with somebody who do business that sloppy. I mean I wouldn't want to do business with somebody at my house that did business that sloppy. I mean its just, this is just sloppy business practice. I'm appalled to be a part of it.

Councilwoman McCulloch: When did the contract start?

Mr. Neuner: The contract - - -

Councilman Shyne: That was before you all got on the council.

Councilwoman McCulloch: That's what I wanted the record to reflect.

Mr. Neuner: It was signed and revised in April of 2007.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And we were elected in 2008. Alright thank you.

Councilman Shyne: But Ron and I were here because the administration was answering. I mean that's just really if he had done business with the Caddo Parish School System, you'd been fired years ago.

Mr. Neuner: And let me - - - I stand corrected, the actual contract date is December 1, 2007 was when it was signed.

Councilman Shyne: That's just sloppy. I mean we get somebody to deal with millions of dollars, millions of taxpayer dollars, and this is how they do business. Mike, lets don't do that anymore, hear?

Councilman Corbin: I'm with you.

Councilman Shyne: Please, lets don't do that anymore. If we're going to get somebody whose going to do business, I mean whose going to handle millions of taxpayer dollars,

and this is how they do business in such a sloppy manner. Willie again, if he had done that in Caddo Parish School System, I'd been bringing you lunch to you somewhere.

Mr. Neuner: I'll leave this with Mr. Thompson to share with the council. This is that article that just came out November 2<sup>nd</sup> on the Illinois proceedings.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you sir, we appreciate it.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before you move on if you don't mind. I think there are some things that would be appropriate for the Administration to respond to. I think Dale has done a good job of addressing some of the issues that were expressed. Obviously we look forward to having an opportunity I believe potentially as early as the next Council Meeting if the Council will accommodate to offer a response to what Mr. Neuner has offered. Will also thank Mr. Neuner and his colleagues for his work and also want to express appreciation for bringing I guess being as forthright and as honest as he has been. Because obviously they have gone to great effort in investigating and reviewing and digging through Mr. Grigsby's work and his past. And I guess certainly this is in no way intended to diminish any of the issues that we're dealing with I think that just simply gives it some context. And that is if you take the issue that has been raised and put them within the context of the manifold things that have come to bear regarding so many of the other financial service organizations that the City of Shreveport and other governmental entities have done business with over the past five to six year, I think I would take the set of circumstances that we are dealing with here. I think the most relevant point to be made here as Mr. Sibley, I think has done a very good job of pointing out, and that is its not a matter of whether or not there was work done, because without question there are Council Minutes and other things that will document the work that the Grigsby firm has done. I also think I remember Mr. Corbin referencing at some point during the course of this dialogue that its not a question of the quality of work that Mr. Grigsby has done. Because if that is a point that obviously at some point I think we will raise and establish just for the record, without question Mr. Grigsby and his associates have done an outstanding job of helping the City to deal with and address some very significant challenges that the city faced as we came on board some six years ago. I also would make the point that this is the first administration since Mr. Neuner makes reference to the RFP process that we made use of in terms of being able to have my financial advisors available for consideration. The first administration that we've been able to document that even had any kind of an RFP process for the selection of a financial advisor. Previous mayor, previous administrations not only here, but around the country have simply made a choice, made the designation and forwarded that for consideration to the Council. So we in this particular situation at least opened up this process to give us an opportunity to find out what potential group of professionals might be out there and available to us to make use of. I think its also

extremely relevant to make reference to one of the points that Mr. Neuner discovered within the contents of his findings and that is that at one point, the contract calls for \$180,000 maximum in service for that year. Obviously that's something that would be in fact that we'll establish. I guess the egregious sin was that the city needed \$50,000 more in services that year, than what the contract called for. The fact that that was not addressed and accounted for within the context of that calendar year, we will obviously go back and address. But its not reflective Councilwoman McCulloch as you seem to be concerned about of work being compensated that was not done. Its just simply how it is that you end up being able to effectively establish the work that was done, and ensuring that it meets the appropriate standards in terms of the industry standards for financial services regardless of how it is that it actually only ended up being applicable with regard to the contract. But that's where as we have mentioned in past where changes need to be made. We acknowledged those changes. It's a process that has been underway since the initial work of the Internal Audit report and we are continuing in that effort. Would also go back to the point that Mr. Neuner made in referencing the fact that Mr. Grigsby was subject to interaction. And again, it comes up for a second time today Mr. Shyne to interaction with the federal courts in 1999. As he stated, this particular case for Mr. Grigsby, he was twice acquitted. But I think its not only relevant to reference the fact that unlike I guess many who find themselves coming across the federal system, that Mr. Grigsby was twice acquitted, in this particular case just and last year, Mr. Grigsby was compensated by the Miami Dade Government, I believe a sum approaching \$400,000 in settlement of lawsuits that came about as a result of the actions from the 1999 trial. Further evidence of the fact that not only was he acquitted, but ultimately at least partially compensated for some of the fallout that came about as a result. Again, these are just simply some observations based upon the things that I've heard and notes that I've taken, and as I stated earlier, we look forward to having the opportunity before Council to be able to give you a more detailed and more comprehensive response the report that's been offered. Thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you members of the Council.

#### Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Does any member have any questions or concerns relative to Property Standards?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Actually I just have a name and a number that I can give her. I mean if you can take this down Mr. Farnell. It's on Maple Street, and matter of fact, its very simple, but I have to bring it to you. The complaint is actually concerning a house next door that's rental, vacant and the yard guy that's maintaining the yard is mowing the yard and the trash from that yard is blowing over into the complaint - -the person that's complaining, her yard. I don't know that we have anything in place to

address that, that she said she actually approached the guy, the yard guy about the trash and debris that was coming from the yard that she was maintaining, and she asked him if he was going to actually remove it, and he told her that it wasn't her job. I have her address. Its 1962 Maple, and I'll give you her first name which is Marilyn. But the complaint is coming from 1958 Maple Street. Also on that same street, I don't have names, but I did get a phone call last week regarding something pertaining to a private fence being put up that's causing some problems on Maple Street. If I'm able to intercept that name and address, I will. But I wasn't familiar with that, but its on Maple Street. You know Maple Street has had it going on for the last two years, so. But its on Maple Street if you'll contact Marilyn, and I'll give you on tomorrow the other young lady's name that called in with her complaint as well concerning the private fence. Seems like it's a distraction of some sort.

Ms. Farnell: I'll look into those and refer them if I need to, but I'll look into those.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, before we go any further, I hate to do this, but I see Mrs. Fuller whose with us who was a long time educator in the Caddo Parish School System. I won't say how long Mrs. Fuller, Willie you know how ladies are about how long. I can tell you how long Willie worked. Willie worked for a long time. We're just glad to have you down and of course one of the people who shaped the Caddo Parish School System into being one of the best in the state. Glad to have you down Mr. Fuller.

Councilwoman McCulloch: She is also the widow of the late honorable (Inaudible) Fuller, Sr., that was principal at J.S. Clark that actually brought my husband on as principal up until he was deceased. So glad to have you here Ms. Fuller.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Welcome down and its good to see you. Absolutely.

Councilman Shyne: And Willie, you see I didn't say how long she had been working. You know how ladies are.

#### Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No.114 of 2009*)

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, I wanted to be sure and let the Council know that on the Revenue Collection, that this is the year when sales tax has been trending the way we don't want it to trend, but the guys in compliance upstairs, Mr. Bowie and his guys if you noticed on the other revenue were actually up. (Inaudible) up in absolute dollars, but we're up over what was budgeted for 2012, and that does very well. I think we're up in property tax, we're up in occupational licenses. A lot of the insurance requirements, EMS collections etc., so I know we always talk about the bad news with

sales taxes, but the guys upstairs and in finance are doing a good job, because our overall other revenues are actually up for the year, and I hope you guys noted that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I noticed there's still a little disparity overall, but its trending in the right direction.

Councilman Shyne: Dale, if its not going right, our Chairman there has a lot of money so he's going to go (inaudible).

Councilman S. Jenkins: I wish it was so. Alright. As I said those reports are coming in electronically, and we are receiving those reports. I guess we move now to Public Hearing.

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

EMS Transports Report (*Res 239 of 2010*)

Master Plan Committee Report (*Res. No. 132 of 2012*)

**Public Hearings:** Proposed 2013 Budget Ordinances

Mr. Thompson: I believe the Administration has a short presentation.

Mr. Sibley: Yeah, what we did Mr. Chairman if you don't mind. Since the Public Hearing is for the public, we just thought we'd put up a few slides to just to remind everybody of some of the overall numbers. Let me note first that Brian actually had a bit of a medical procedure that he's still recovering from and I'm trying to fill in. Alright while we're doing that, let me just give a couple of notes for the public's general information. You know the city's budget process basically begins every August and an overview was presented to the Council last month that included a very detailed look at the budget. We've had three sets of departmental budget hearings that have been noticed by the Council Staff. There are three remaining hearings beginning tomorrow night so the public is welcome to attend any of those. The final vote, the budget has to be passed by December 15<sup>th</sup>, the final vote is scheduled for the December 11<sup>th</sup> Council Meeting, so the public is certainly invited to attend those. If they'd like to look at the budget in more detail, it is available on the website. The ordinances are on the Council agenda, they can be linked and all of the information is there. And just as a reminder the budget overall if you look at this slide it shows that the budget overall if you look at this slide it shows that the overall operations budget (inaudible) about \$453,000,000, with about \$450 (million) in capital for a total of \$94,000,000. That top part gives you the breakdown between General Fund and the other funds that the city has. This slide we

thought just to remind the public that overall in terms of General Fund, we're operating at almost 6% less. We have a \$3.2 (million) reserve which is 1.5% of our expenditures. Not enough, not where we'd like to be, but that's about minimum we can go in this particular year. As we noted over and over again, sales tax estimates are down. That is a major source of revenue for the city and we're looking at an overall decrease of 3.6% in expenditures and the final slide, just for the public to note, again we're talking about reduced spending overall in General Fund. These are some notes just as we thought. There was a 2.5% increase in healthcare rates for the city and our employees. That's the number we had to accommodate. No funding in this budget for major vehicular purchases. No funding for raises for our employees. We did give police and fire their standard 2% longevity increase, and our Riverfront Fund is actually going down. So the contribution to the General Fund there is down also. And with that being said, Mr. Chairman, we're willing to commence with the public hearing, but we wanted to just remind everyone of the general numbers that we're dealing with on this budget. Thank you very much Sharon.

Councilman Webb: We're taking part time applications Sharon.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have anyone here who wishes to speak in favor or who are opposed to the 2013 budget ordinances? Okay Mr. Krefft, are you going to speak on this matter now? Please come forward.

*Mr. Kenneth Krefft: (157 Archer)* Thank you Mr. Chairman. (speaking in French, unable to translate) I'm glad to see you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I've got to work on the French. It took me a moment to figure out where he was going with that.

Mr. Krefft: Okay, we're talking about MPG, we're talking about as Mr. Shyne mentioned, Municipal Performance Governmentally, or Mayor, President and Governor, all term limited. The reserve is too low. It has to be increased. The way to do that is to bite the bullet and do a 1% tax on cars, trucks and trailers for two years to keep the bond rating and to structure the language so the Bond Commission so that anyone of you can prevent it's reoccurrence, and if all seven of you in two years want to continue it, it takes a two-thirds vote of the citizens of Shreveport. Its been done in Tennessee, Indiana and other states. Its Municipal Government and till Benteler Steel gets on board, you need more money for the reserve. You can't do it with property taxes. Okay if Oliver wants to buy \$60,000 Hummer, he's going to have to pay \$600 more. But you do it for two years and it ends. You don't continue this to suspend it for 16 years like the state did on the penny. You don't do it on food and prescriptions, and utilities and drugs, you don't do it on appliances, you do it on new car sales, vehicles,

trucks and trailers. You get enough, you'll get the reserve up to about 5 ½ -6%, you keep your bond rating. You've got to raise money. But you make sure it doesn't go on after '13 and '14, cause you won't need it then, cause you've got a new steel plant on board with a billion dollar payroll. Year increase the 9315<sup>th</sup> subobject by about \$240,000. It's a little low. Internal service charges, you don't just limit it to City Engineering, you do it in SPAR, you do it in Police, you do it in Fire. Anytime the Police Chief, Fire Chief, Shelly puts timing on a project. You debit the project, you credit the General Fund. That needs to go up. You increase the sales tax a little bit. About \$480-5000,000. 1% is a little very conservative. It ought to be about 1.2% of it. The Dog Park. If you really want it, you amend the Capital Budget. I'm in favor of the restrooms at Riverview Park first, but that's just me. But if you really want to Dog Park, you don't do a resolution, you amend the budget. If the Mayor vetoes it, you override, and if he won't sign it, then 10 days later, it's law. I don't know why y'all hadn't thought of that. Its simple. Let the Red River Waterway money be used for the Riverfront, you know for downtown for the rest rooms. You all like rest rooms. And thank you for the new ones at A.C. Steere. The Fire Department really needs to push tap lights. Its still said that people like candles for a light source, and we need air raid sirens. We're going to have our Katrina/Sandy/Albert Pike. We had a scare Sunday night. Friend of mine in Benton called me. He knew I'd get a kick out of the sirens going off in Benton. We need 'em here eventually. The Police Department really needs to inspect go around, not to penalize people, but for safety. We've got a lot of expired inspection tags. I saw one today, April of '08 at Wal Mart in Shreve City. You know, lets enforce that and make people get those tags. Now on the thank you side the NIP, we appreciate y'all even if its down. Next year will be our 10<sup>th</sup> year for Broadmoor Neighborhood. And E. Kings Hwy Duck Pond, District C and Querbes Lift Station and Querbes Sewer (inaudible), wish more people would come to these hearing tomorrow that Dale mentioned. I guess I've been a public of one at the last three. Nobody seems to care. I'm also probably a 'Stop 3132' coalition of one. Can a person be a coalition by being one? I don't know. But if you think about it, we first recommended this 30 years ago in '82. And then we got it, but now, Flournoy Lucas has been five-laned. I drove it yesterday. It's going to save a trucker about 4 or 5 minutes if its complete. You're going to be spending about half-million to save a second in time. You're concerned about money? Regardless if Tim Larkin and the Mayor and Esplanade and Twelve Oaks or anything else, at this point and time, is it worth the money to put up what the tin man called the hypotenuse of a right triangle is the square root of sum of the squares of the other two sides. Just go down Flournoy Lucas. The Mayor is right. Benteler didn't bring it up. I know somebody who was personally there at the discussions, and she assured me it didn't come up. They have access to the Port. That money would be better spent on a new Jimmy Davis Bridge than saving a few minutes to spend \$80,000,000 is not a good buy. If you're really concerned. Anyway, you go back over the years, and whether

it's the Pirates, the Crawdads, the Storm, the fairgrounds field which we ought to sell, the thrill hill traffic circle that I spoke against, we built it, we removed it in another \$18-20,000 down the tube. If you're serious about saving money at the time, we should make wise decisions. We must be better stewards of our money and your consideration is welcome. And finally, as I look at you, all of you, I'm going to start with Mr. Sam, little humor. You're R2 D2; Oliver is Luke Skywalker because of the (inaudible); Joe is Chubaka because he's always got your back like Chuey did. Jeff is Hans Solo, young, little brash; Michael is CP30, very analytical, always figuring it out like the little android. Ron is Yoda the elder statesman you know; Rose is Princess Lea, save me, save District A, you know save my streets. And of course I won't say who the dark side, who Lord Vader is, he's recuperating at Schumpert.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Now whose district are you from?

Councilman Webb: Oliver's.

Councilman Everson: Luke Skywalker

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there anyone to speak in favor of the 2013 budget? Is there anyone who wish to speak in opposition to the proposed 2013 budget? Okay, then this public hearing is now - - -

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? Before you close?

Councilman S. Jenkins: You want to speak in opposition to the 2013 budget?

Mayor Glover: No sir, I just wanted to say to Ken, 'Ken, I am your father.'

Councilman S. Jenkins: That was a good one. Our public hearing is now closed. Let me do this. Let me move to - - - I want to do the Public Comments. We have a few people sitting here, and I want to see what we can do here under public comments.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

*Mr. Louis Brooks: (6629Birdwell Drive)* I'm here to represent our Twilight Meadow and the surrounding area of our District G. And we are here to speak in opposition of the annexation of moving or transferring or putting District G in with District A of any manner. We are hoping that you all would consider your findings and how you're going to make the playing according to the census to make these changes. And we're hoping that you'll leave our district as it is in District G.

Councilman Shyne: You mean your neighborhood.

Mr. Brooks: Our neighborhood in District G. So that's why we are here to get some insight on the plans of introduction or what you plan to do to the city, moving our district out there in our area.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Let me just explain, what we're going to be doing today, we're going to introduce a plan, because we've got to have every thing done by December 31<sup>st</sup>. I have on the agenda to introduce Plan 8 which has been on the website, been made very (inaudible) and you are correct. The precinct at Twilight Meadows is in, Precinct 83 (inaudible) plans would be moved into District A. But what I want to say today we will not be voting on anything today, except to introduce this ordinance. We're going to have a public hearing at our next Council Meeting. What day is that? That's going to be the 27<sup>th</sup>. And we're going to have a public hearing where the public can weigh in on what's being proposed, and all of that is going to take place before we do any kind of final vote. If you're correct Mr. Brooks, under the various plans that I've seen, that precinct due to reapportionment will come out of G and will be going into A.

Mr. Brooks: Well now are they going to move any other districts?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Any other precincts?

Mr. Brooks: Yes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes. Unfortunately what occurs - - - fortunately or unfortunately I guess it depends on how you look at it, it occurs every 10 years when they do the census, it depends on where the population has grown. If it has grown more in some districts like what has occurred with us, or if it has decreased in some districts, you will end up having to move some of the precincts around to get what's called an ideal population. We're trying to get to right now is about 28,000 people in every Council District. And a lot of it depends on where those precincts are located when they begin to move them around. Like they wouldn't take Precinct 83 and dump it all the way over to F.

Mr. Brooks: Okay, well say for instance like if you have - - - where are your boundary lines will end up? From like 70<sup>th</sup> Street or you go all the way over to Bert Kouns or where are your boundaries running (inaudible)?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Under Plan 8, that's being introduced and I intend to introduce it today, I don't know what's going to happen with it, but everything north of Jefferson Paige, where you all are going up to the lake and up to North Shreveport - - -

Mr. Brooks: Lakeshore and all of that'll be in too?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right all of that will be going into District A. District G, at west side will be the south side of Jefferson Paige and going all the way out to Bert Kouns in some respects. But I just want to say to everybody that's here today, nothing is final is going to be decided today, and there's been a lot of meetings taking place. We had one big meeting out at Independence Stadium, I think that was during the summer. But I have taken upon myself to meet with any number of different organizations from the NAACP to the ACLU to the National Action Network to the Democratic Executive Committee. I mean I've been meeting with a lot of organizations that I know that this is very important to. And there are a lot of goals that need to be met depending upon your view of the city. It's a majority African-American city. And those Council Districts in my opinion, need to reflect that by Numbers. What ends up happening sometimes, there's (inaudible) be involved as to why some of the precincts have to be moved around the way they are. I hate to lose precinct 83. I mean personally I would love to see you there. I know that I have seen many different configurations to try to get you there, but a greater good is involved here. You move one thing one way, you could be affected five or six other ways another way. It may not be in the city's overall best interest. Now there is a Plan 9 that is out and I'm saying this not only for the persons who are here, but for those who are listening by way of television or internet, that plan has just been formulated sometime last week. I really saw it as a map for the first time on yesterday. I'm hoping that that would - - - that Plan 9 would now be put on the internet so that the public can access that. I believe that the public needs an opportunity to become familiar with what Plan 9 offers as well as the statistical information that Plan 9 offers.

Mr. Brooks: Okay, well that was our concern and we'll just get ready for the 27<sup>th</sup> then.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right come back down and lets talk about it some more and in the meantime, you know how to reach me. You know its some kind of way to keep you in District G, I'd love to keep you there.

Mr. Brooks: Okay, thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Now if you wind up in District A, I don't mind sharing you with District G.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I appreciate you all coming down today. Let me apologize if this took a little bit longer than you thought. Because when you first came down I did ask, did you want to speak? And I thought maybe you didn't want to, so if it inconvenience you in any kind of way, I apologize for that. Okay? The Council will

now recognize Mr. Dana Morris? I know he was here earlier, and he may have left. You're here to speak on his behalf? Okay, come on up, I'm sorry. I believe you'll be speaking on a zoning issue.

*Ms. Angel Pugh: (7706 South Fork Drive)* I've been working with Blue Beacon International as their real estate agent, and Mr. Raspberry on getting this land rezoning for a Blue Beacon Truck wash. We've been through the MPC, there's been a site plan I believe approved by the MPC. There was a little bit of concern that they wanted some upgraded landscaping. Mr. Morris wanted me to relay to you that they are completely on board with that, and we'll do whatever the code requires.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, I spoke with Mr. Morris on a couple of occasions. I have visited that location. It is in my district in District G. I'll say to you what I said to him. I don't see any problem with what's being proposed. I looked over everything. I went out there on I want to say, I believe that was Monday, just to eyeball it for myself. Don't look like you're infringing upon anybody's residence or anything like that.

Ms. Pugh: I think its actually going to really improve that corner. That Jack 'N the Box is also part of this. That'll be scraped. And the corner will be developed. And I've seen several Blue Beacons in my travels from here to Florida and they're very, very nice facilities.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well it is my intent to recommend to my colleagues when this comes up to pass it. So I just want you to know that.

Ms. Pugh: Thank you very much.

Councilman Everson: 157 on the agenda?

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't have the number circled, but its C-58-12.

Councilwoman McCulloch: You all won't be changing oil and that kind of stuff, they'll just be washing the trucks?

Ms. Pugh: That's correct.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to recognize Mr. Raspberry as one of the No. 1 families in the City of Shreveport. My daddy knew some Raspberrys back in Arcadia/Bienville Parish. I don't know whether they're related to you all or not, but they're all very fine people and I've been knowing Mr. Raspberry for a long timer and of course, I hope Mr. Raspberry doesn't mind me saying this, but the first time I ran for

City Council, he had a lot of property in my area, and we went out and took a look at it and Mr. Raspberry, its good to have you as one of the first citizens in the City of Shreveport and whether you knew it or not, you've always been kind of a mentor to some of us that were here in Shreveport. Appreciate having you come down.

Ms. Pugh: He's been very helpful to me in putting this deal together, and he's worked really well with Blue Beacon and I appreciate him too.

Councilman Shyne: Good experience working with him, and that's something you can't buy. You can't buy experience.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think y'all have done a good job and let Mr. Morris know, welcome to Shreveport and welcome to District G.

Ms. Pugh: I certainly will, thank you.

### **Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.**

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 209 of 2012**: A Resolution granting preliminary approval for the City Of Shreveport (The "City") to issue, sell and deliver not exceeding Four Million Dollars (\$4,000,000) Utility Revenue Bonds for the purpose of restructuring the City's obligations with respect to the \$8,510,000 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Taxable Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Biosolid Disposal Project), Series 2008, previously issued in conjunction with the City's acquisition of facilities used for biosolid disposal and otherwise providing for other matters in connection therewith.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay on resolution no. 1, is there any person present who wish to speak in favor or in opposition to adding this legislation to the agenda?

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, if not, I so move.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Second.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to add Resolution No. 209 of 2012 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote:**

**Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

2. **Resolution No. 210 of 2012**: A Resolution to approve the permit to demolish the Performing Arts Center at First United Methodist Church (500 Common Street) in accordance with Section 22-3 of the Code Of Ordinances and to otherwise provide with respect thereto

Councilman S. Jenkins: As the second resolution concerning the Performing Arts Center at the United Methodist Church, is there any person present who wish to speak in favor or in opposition to adding this legislation to the agenda?

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, if not, I so move.

Councilwoman O. Jenkins: Second.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to add Resolutions No. 210 of 2012 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Confirmation and Appointment: None

### **Consent Agenda Legislation**

#### **To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:** None.

**Ordinances:** None.

#### **To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:**

*The Clerk read the following:*

### **RESOLUTION NO. 200 2012**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING J&J EXTERMINATING COMPANY OF SHREVEPORT LOCATED AT 9458 PAXTON ROAD TO CONNECT TO THE**

## **WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, J&J Exterminating Company of Shreveport has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that J&J Exterminating Company of Shreveport be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9458 Paxton Road to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: On the map there, is it just across the street? Is that?

Councilman Corbin: Is there anyone here from Engineering?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I mean what I'm saying is (inaudible) the map, because the map is not very clear to me. It does look like it's adjacent to the city, (inaudible) just because its separated by road and maybe the water main is on the other side of that road. But I'm not sure about that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have anybody that can clarify that for us?

Mr. Sibley: No, Ali would probably and he's not here. Robert's here from Engineering, but I think that's out side of his area. You're trying to determine exactly where - - -

Councilman Corbin: Where the main is.

Mr. Sibley: Robert or Malcolm, could you contact upstairs. If you could hold up on that one? Mr. Corbin until we get staff (inaudible).

Councilman O. Jenkins: I mean I'm just saying I'm assuming its there, but the way the thing is written, there is no - - - I just want to be consistent in what we've done with everybody else. I don't have any - - - reticence and I certainly don't mean to over rule, but if that's your understanding, I'm happy to support it.

Councilman Corbin: This map is not as clear as what we've seen in the past. I know they're having some technical issues (inaudible)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do you want to pass on it, or do you want to proceed.

Councilman Shyne: Some of us have voted on it already and I think Mike I think we could get the information to him and I don't know whether his one vote would stop it or start it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Councilman Oliver Jenkins, (inaudible) to your vote?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well I'm happy to abstain if that's what you're - - - I just (inaudible) of being consistent.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Abstained: Councilman O. Jenkins. 1.**

Ordinances: None.

Regular Agenda Legislation

Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading

*The Clerk read the following:*

## **RESOLUTION NO. 189 2012**

**A RESOLUTION ENGAGING LEGAL COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT (THE "CITY") IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ORDERING AND CALLING OF A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN**

**THE CITY ON DECEMBER 8, 2012 TO AUTHORIZE THE RENEWAL OF THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF A ONE-FOURTH PERCENT SALES AND USE TAX THEREIN AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, by prior resolution adopted on October 9, 2012, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City") ordered and called a special election to be held on in the City on December 8, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to engage legal counsel to assist with the election.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, acting as the governing authority thereof (the "Governing Authority"), that:

Section 1: Employment of Legal Counsel. It is recognized, found and determined that a real necessity exists for the employment of Legal Counsel in connection with the election; accordingly, The Boles Law Firm, APC, located in Monroe, Louisiana, is hereby employed as legal counsel to perform comprehensive, legal and coordinate professional work with respect to the election. Counsel shall (i) prepare and submit to the City for adoption the proceedings incidental to the election; and (ii) counsel and advise the City with respect to the election. The fee to be paid Bond Counsel shall be an amount equal to the Attorney General's then current Bond Counsel Fee Schedule for hourly rate work and other guidelines for comprehensive, legal and coordinate professional work, together with reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses incurred and advanced in connection with the election, said fee to be payable by the City.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council hereby authorizes and directs its Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Clerk and any such other officials of the City (the "Authorized Officers") to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out the provisions of these resolutions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or application and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 190 OF 2012**

**A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE USE OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT BY OLIVE STREET MINISTRIES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City desires to participate in programs which, directly benefit the citizens and neighborhoods of the City by participating in wholesome activities which serve to benefit the community; and

WHEREAS, Olive Street Ministries desires to provide a wholesome activity open to the community and to benefit its students by hosting a Fall Community Day; and

WHEREAS, Olive Street Ministries has requested the use of certain city owned equipment; and

WHEREAS, the program sponsored by Olive Street Ministries will be open to the public and includes ancillary events such as a gentlemen's cook-off and family activities and games which will be open and free to the public and which serves a public benefit and serves a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the use certain city-owned equipment by Olive Street Ministries on November 2-5, 2012 during the Fall Community Day is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the use of the equipment by Olive Street Ministries is conditioned upon the execution of an indemnity and hold harmless agreement by Olive Street Ministries in favor of the City of Shreveport in a form acceptable to the Office of the City Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

## **RESOLUTION NO. 191 OF 2012**

### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASING AGENT TO DISPOSE BY PUBLIC AUCTION OF SURPLUS VEHICLES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Agent, after consultation with various department heads, has determined that certain vehicles as shown in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof are surplus, obsolete are unusable for present and future city needs ; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 108 of 1980 authorizes the Purchasing Agent to dispose of vehicles/items determined to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to retain responsibility for the disposition of all vehicles having an acquisition value of \$10,000.00 or more; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to dispose of, by public auction, the vehicles described in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof, which have been determined to be surplus, obsolete or unusable for present and future City needs; and

WHEREAS, the City Council concurs in the finding that the vehicles described in Exhibit A are surplus and no longer needed for public purposes and that the acquisition value of said properties are greater then \$10,000.

NOW BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that the Purchasing Agent is hereby authorized to dispose of, by public auction, the surplus vehicles described in Exhibit A attached.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

## **RESOLUTION NO. 192 OF 2012**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN ACT OF RELEASE OF A 5-FOOT DRAINAGE EASEMENT IN LOT 35, MONROVIA PLACE SUBDIVISION, IN SECTION 18 (T17N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, Resolution 77 of 1979 was passed by the City Council and accepted a plat that dedicated a 5-foot wide by 30-foot long drainage easement on Lot 35 of the Monrovia Place Subdivision. The plat was filed and recorded on April 3, 1979, under Instrument No. 789478 of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport received a request from John R. Bowman & Associates, Inc., who represents the current owners, to release the aforementioned drainage easement to allow for the re-subdivision of the property to permit the construction of a new residence, and

WHEREAS, John R. Bowman & Associates, Inc. has made provisions on the new re-subdivision that make this drainage easement no longer necessary, and

WHEREAS, the Office of the City Engineer has approved the provisions of this re-subdivision and has no objections to the release of the drainage easement, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is hereby authorized and empowered to represent the City of Shreveport in the execution of an Act of Release of a 5 foot drainage easement on Lot 35 of the Monrovia Place Subdivision as shown on the attached plat.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Property Management Section of the Office of the City Engineer is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this resolution and the Act of Release in the official records for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I seconded that because I want to make sure that that project is done in Councilman Jenkins' district.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well, that's might fine.

Councilman O. Jenkins: He's looking at some property over there.

Councilman Shyne: No, no. Wait a minute. Oliver no now, no.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 193 OF 2012**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN ACT OF RELEASE OF A 6-FOOT UTILITY EASEMENT IN LOT 57, PIERREMONT PARK SUBDIVISION, IN SECTION 19 (T17N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, on March 15, 1946, Pierremont Park Subdivision dedicated a 6-foot wide utility easement in the rear of Lot 57, to the public. The plat was filed and recorded in Book 450, Pages 369-379, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport received a request from John R. Bowman & Associates, Inc., who represents the current owners, to release the aforementioned utility easement to allow for the re-subdivision of said Lot 57 and an adjacent tract, to permit the construction of a new residence, and WHEREAS, AEP/SWEPCO has already relocated the power poles and moved their utilities to provide for the proposed re-subdivision, and

WHEREAS, the Office of the City Engineer has approved the provisions of this re-subdivision and has no objections to the release of the utility easement, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is hereby authorized and empowered to represent the City of Shreveport in the execution of an Act of Release of a 6-foot utility easement on Lot 57 of the Pierremont Park Subdivision as shown on the attached plat and made a part hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Property Management Section of the Office of the City Engineer is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this resolution and the Act of Release in the official records for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

## **RESOLUTION NO. 194 OF 2012**

**A RESOLUTION ORDERING AND CALLING A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, STATE OF LOUISIANA, TO AUTHORIZE THE CONTINUED LEVY OF SPECIAL AD VALOREM TAXES THEREIN, MAKING APPLICATION TO THE STATE BOND COMMISSION IN CONNECTION THEREWITH AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the Governing Authority"), acting as the governing authority of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), that:

SECTION 1. Election Call. Subject to the approval of the State Bond Commission, and under the authority conferred by Article VI, Sections 27, 30 and 32 of the Constitution of the State of Louisiana of 1974, the applicable provisions of Chapter 5 and Chapter 6-A of Title 18 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended, and other constitutional and statutory authority, a special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City on April 6, 2013 between the hours of seven o'clock (7:00) a. m., and eight o'clock (8:00) p.m., in accordance with the provisions of La. R. S. 18:541, and at the said election there shall be submitted to all registered voters qualified and entitled to vote at the said election under the Constitution and laws of this State and the Constitution of the United States, the following propositions:

#### CITY OF SHREVEPORT TAX CONTINUATION PROPOSITION NO. 1

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), continue to levy a 1.12 mill tax on all the property subject to ad valorem taxation within said City, for a period of five years beginning with the year 2013 and ending with the year 2017, being a continuation of the tax approved by the voters on July 19, 2008, for the purpose of improving, repairing, and maintaining the streets of the City of Shreveport, title to which shall be in the public?

The estimated amount reasonably expected to be collected from the levy of this tax for one entire year at the time it is proposed is \$ 1,644,851.

#### CITY OF SHREVEPORT TAX CONTINUATION PROPOSITION NO. 2

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), continue to levy a .83 mill tax on all the property subject to ad valorem taxation within said City for a period of five years beginning with the year 2013 and ending with the year 2017, being a continuation of the tax approved by the voters on July 19, 2008, for the purpose of operating and supplying recreational facilities and appurtenances and maintaining the same, title to which shall be in the public?

The estimated amount reasonably expected to be collected from the levy of this tax for one entire year at the time it is proposed is \$ 1,218,952.

#### CITY OF SHREVEPORT TAX CONTINUATION PROPOSITION NO. 3

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), continue to levy a 1.12 mill tax on all the property subject to ad valorem taxation within said City for a period of five years beginning with the year 2013 and ending with the year 2017, being a

continuation of the tax approved by the voters on July 19, 2008, for the purpose of continuing the salary and wage schedule of City employees and for no other purpose?

The estimated amount reasonably expected to be collected from the levy of this tax for one entire year at the time it is proposed is \$ 1,644,851.

#### CITY OF SHREVEPORT TAX CONTINUATION PROPOSITION NO. 4

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), continue to levy a 1.12 mill tax on all the property subject to ad valorem taxation within said City for a period of five years beginning with the year 2013 and ending with the year 2017, being a continuation of the tax approved by the voters on July 19, 2008, for the purpose of police and fire personnel and allowance for uniforms and equipment for said departments and for no other purpose?

The estimated amount reasonably expected to be collected from the levy of this tax for one entire year at the time it is proposed is \$ 1,644,851.

#### CITY OF SHREVEPORT TAX CONTINUATION PROPOSITION NO. 5

Shall the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City"), continue to levy a 1.69 mill tax on all the property subject to ad valorem taxation within said City for a period of five years beginning with the year 2013 and ending with the year 2017, being a continuation of the tax approved by the voters on July 19, 2008, for the purpose of providing funds for the City's portion of pensions, employee life insurance and hospitalization plan for City employees?

The estimated amount reasonably expected to be collected from the levy of this tax for one entire year at the time it is proposed is \$ 2,481,962.

**SECTION 2. Publication of Notice of Election.** A Notice of Special Election shall be published in "The Times," a daily newspaper of general circulation within the City, published in Shreveport, Louisiana, and being the official journal of the Governing Authority, once a week for four consecutive weeks, with the first publication to be made not less than forty-five (45) days nor more than ninety (90) days prior to the date fixed for the election, which Notice shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as "Exhibit A" and incorporated herein by reference the same as if it were set forth herein in full.

**SECTION 3. Canvass.** This Governing Authority, acting as the governing authority of the City, shall meet at its regular meeting place, Government Plaza, Shreveport,

Louisiana, on TUESDAY, APRIL 23, 2013 at THREE O'CLOCK (3:00) P.M., and shall then and there in open and public session proceed to examine and canvass the returns and declare the result of the said special election.

SECTION 4. Polling Places. The polling places set forth in the aforesaid Notice of Special Election are hereby designated as the polling places at which to hold the said election, and the Commissioners-in-Charge and Commissioners, respectively, will be the same persons as those designated in accordance with law.

SECTION 5. Election Commissioners: Voting Machines. The officers designated to serve as Commissioners-in-Charge and Commissioners pursuant to Section 4 hereof, or such substitutes therefor as may be selected and designated in accordance with La. R.S. 18:1287, shall hold the said special election as herein provided, and shall make due returns of said election for the meeting of the Governing Authority to be held on Tuesday, April 23, 2013 as provided in Section 3 hereof. All registered voters in the City are entitled to vote at said special election and voting machines shall be used thereat.

SECTION 6. Authorization of Officers. The Clerk of Council of the Governing Authority is hereby empowered, authorized and directed to arrange for and to furnish to said election officers in ample time for the holding of said election, the necessary equipment, forms and other paraphernalia essential to the proper holding of said election and the Chairman and/or Clerk of Council of the Governing Authority are further authorized, empowered and directed to take any and all further action required by State and/or Federal law to arrange for the election, including but not limited to, appropriate submission to the Federal Department of Justice under Section 5 of the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.

SECTION 7. Furnishing Election Call to Election Officials. Certified copies of this resolution shall be forwarded to the Secretary of State, the Commissioner of Elections, the Clerks of Court and *Ex-Officio* Parish Custodian of Voting Machines in and for the Parishes of Bossier and Caddo, State of Louisiana, and the Registrars of Voters in and for said Parishes, as notification of the special election herein called in order that each may prepare for said election and perform their respective functions as required by law.

SECTION 8. Application to State Bond Commission. Application is made to the State Bond Commission for consent and authority to hold the aforesaid special election as herein provided, and in the event said election carries for further consent and authority to levy and collect the special taxes provided for herein, and a certified copy of this resolution shall be forwarded to the State Bond Commission on behalf of this

Governing Authority, together with a letter requesting the prompt consideration and approval of this application.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I wanted you and the Vice-Chairman to handle that since you all know a lot about the bonding issues.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't know about that one.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 195 of 2012**

**A RESOLUTION OF FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF STATE PROJECTS NO. 713-09-0015/H.006049 BUNCOMB ROAD BRIDGE OVER AIRPORT DITCH, AND NO. 713-09-0016 ROY ROAD BRIDGE OVER LOGAN BAYOU, CADDO PARISH AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, a final inspection of the State Project No. 713-09-0015/H.006049 entitled Buncomb Road Bridge over Airport Ditch, and No. 713-09-0016 entitled Roy Road Bridge over Logan Bayou, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, was made on October 18, 2012; and

WHEREAS, all phases of work under the contract with F. J. Burnell, Inc. concerning the State Project No. 713-09-0015/H.006049 entitled Buncomb Road Bridge over Airport Ditch, and No. 713-09-0016 entitled Roy Road Bridge over Logan Bayou, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, are final.

WHEREAS, the State of Louisiana requires a resolution of completion, satisfaction and acceptance of said work by the City of Shreveport.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport will maintain the off-system bridges along Buncomb Road and Roy Road within the city limits of Shreveport, Louisiana.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the City of Shreveport does hereby accept the State Project No. 713-09-0015/H.006049 entitled Buncomb Road Bridge over Airport Ditch, and No. 713-09-0016 entitled Roy Road Bridge over Logan Bayou, Caddo Parish, Louisiana as satisfactorily completed in accordance with the contract with F. J. Burnell, Inc., improvements to the off-system bridges on Buncomb Road and Roy Road within Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that City of Shreveport will now maintain the work of the project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor or his designated representative for the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute any and all documents pertaining to the final acceptance of this project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall take effect immediately.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repeated.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 196 OF 2012**

**A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR DUMAINE DRIVE AND PERDIDO CIRCLE IN ST. CHARLES PLACE SUBDIVISION UNIT NO. 13 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal,

and regular session convened, that the dedication for Dumaine Drive and Perdido Circle in Section 29, (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Dumaine Drive and Perdido Circle be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

## **RESOLUTION NO. 197 OF 2012**

### **A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR 15 FOOT UTILITY SERVITUDE IN CHATEAUS AT MONTCLAIRE AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal,

and regular session convened, that the dedication for 15 foot utility servitude in Section 34, (T17N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for 15 foot utility servitude be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 198 OF 2012**

#### **A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR WILLIAMS WAY IN GIBSLAND BANK COMMERCIAL SUBDIVISION AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal,

and regular session convened, that the dedication for Williams Way in Section 17, (T16N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Williams Way be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 199 OF 2012**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON FEBRUARY 10, 2013 RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AT 4041 FERN AVENUE FOR MARILYNN'S PLACE, MARDI GRAS CELEBRATION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Oliver Jenkins

WHEREAS, Marilyn's Place located at 4041 Fern Avenue will host a Mardi Gras Celebration on February 10, 2013 between the hours of 12:00 noon and 12:00 midnight; and

WHEREAS, the establishment desires to dispense, and allow the consumption and sale of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the establishment, between the hours of 12:00 noon and 12:00 midnight; and

WHEREAS, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

WHEREAS, any special exception approval granted to the establishment for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing does not specifically authorize outside sales and/or consumption on the premises; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-103(a)(5) provides that the City Council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licensed premises without a proper license; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of Marilyn's Place, 4041 Fern Avenue, on February 10, 2013 for a Mardi Gras Celebration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened Sections 106-130(6), 10-103(a)(5) 10-80(a) and 10-172 are hereby suspended on February 10, 2013 for a Mardi Gras Celebration, between the hours of 12:00 noon and 12:00 midnight, at Marilyn's Place, 4041 Fern Avenue.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Jenkins, no hard feelings hear?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Oh, that's alright. Before (inaudible) that its not an alcohol and its outside of District B, but in one occasion some fun in District C (inaudible) time of the year to have that.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: Councilman Shyne. 1.**

## **RESOLUTION NO. 209 OF 2012**

**A RESOLUTION GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL FOR THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT (THE "CITY") TO ISSUE, SELL AND DELIVER NOT EXCEEDING FOUR MILLION DOLLARS (\$4,000,000) UTILITY REVENUE**

**BONDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF RESTRUCTURING THE CITY'S OBLIGATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE \$8,510,000 LOUISIANA LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY TAXABLE REVENUE BONDS (SHREVEPORT BIOSOLID DISPOSAL PROJECT), SERIES 2008, PREVIOUSLY ISSUED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CITY'S ACQUISITION OF FACILITIES USED FOR BIOSOLID DISPOSAL AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.**

WHEREAS, by prior resolution, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City" or "Issuer") through the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority (the "Authority") caused to be issued \$8,510,000 of Taxable Revenue Bonds in 2008 (the "Prior Bonds") in conjunction with the City's acquisition of a biosolid disposal facility (the "Facility"); and

WHEREAS, the City desires to proceed with restructuring the City's obligations with respect to the Prior Bonds through an issuance in an amount not to exceed Four Million and No/100 Dollars (\$4,000,000) in a manner and structure to be determined by subsequent ordinance; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide debt service reductions and savings to the City, the City desires to restructure the Prior Bonds, pursuant to La. R.S. 39:1430 and Chapter 14-A of Title 39 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended (collectively, the "Act"), and other constitutional authority through the issuance of direct bonds of the City; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Act, and subject to the approval of the Louisiana State Bond Commission, the Issuer desires to accomplish the restructuring through the issuance of not exceeding Four Million and No/100 Dollars (\$4,000,000) Utility Revenue Bonds, in one or more series of the Issuer (the "Bonds"), to be payable as provided in the Act; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer desires to make formal application to the Louisiana State Bond Commission for approval of the Bonds in connection therewith.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, acting as the governing authority thereof, that:

Section 1. Preliminary Approval. Application be and the same is hereby formally made to the Louisiana State Bond Commission for consent and authority for the City of

Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "Issuer"), to issue, sell and deliver not exceeding Four Million and No/100 Dollars (\$4,000,000) Utility Revenue Bonds, in one or more series of the Issuer (the "Bonds"), to bear interest at a fixed rate or rates per annum not exceeding four percent (4.00%) taxable or three percent (3.00%) tax-exempt, to mature no later than ten years from the date of issuance, all in the manner provided for by the Act, and other constitutional and statutory authority supplemental thereto, for the purpose of (i) restructuring the Prior Bonds; and (ii) paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds which Bonds shall be secured by and payable solely from utility system revenues, after payment of the reasonable and necessary costs and expenses of operation of the system.

Section 2. State Bond Commission Approval. A certified copy of this resolution shall be forwarded to the Louisiana State Bond Commission by the Issuer's bond counsel, together with a letter requesting the prompt consideration and approval of this application.

Section 3. Publication; Peremption. This resolution shall be published as soon as possible in the official journal of the Issuer or in a newspaper of general circulation within the Issuer. For a period of thirty (30) days from the date of such publication, any person in interest may contest the legality of this resolution, any provision of the Bonds to be issued pursuant hereto, and the provisions made for the security and payment of the Bonds and the validity of all other provisions and proceedings relating to the authorization and issuance of the Bonds. After said thirty (30) days, no person may contest the regularity, formality, legality or effectiveness of this resolution, any provisions of the Bonds and the validity of all other provisions and proceedings relating to their authorization and issuance, for any cause whatsoever. Thereafter, it shall be conclusively presumed that the Bonds are legal and that every legal requirement for the issuance of the Bonds has been complied with. No court shall have authority to inquire into any of these matters after said thirty (30) days.

Section 4. Effective Date. These resolutions shall become effective in accordance with law.

Section 5. State Bond Commission Swap Policy. By virtue of Issuer's application for, acceptance and utilization of the benefits of the Louisiana State Bond Commission's approval(s) resolved and set forth herein, it resolves that it understands and agrees that such approval(s) is expressly conditioned upon, and it further resolves that it understands, agrees and binds itself, its successors and assigns to, full and continuing compliance with the "State Bond Commission Policy on Approval of Proposed Use of Swaps, or other forms of Derivative Products Hedges, Etc.", adopted by the Commission on July 20, 2006, as to the borrowing(s) and other matter(s) subject to the

approval(s), including subsequent application and approval under said Policy of the implementation or use of any swap(s) or other product(s) or enhancement(s) covered thereby.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council hereby authorizes and directs its Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Finance, Clerk and such other officials of the City to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out the provisions of these resolutions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of these resolutions or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

## **RESOLUTION 210 2012**

**A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PERMIT TO DEMOLISH THE PERFORMING ARTS CENTER AT FIRST UNITED METHODIST CHURCH (500 COMMON STREET) IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 22-3 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**BY COUNCILMAN JEFF EVERSON**

WHEREAS, on October 10, 2012, application was made to the City to issue Building Permit No. BSC1200215 to demolish the Performing Arts Center at First United Methodist Church, located at 500 Common Street (the building); and

WHEREAS, Sec. 22-3 of the Code of Ordinances requires a demolition permit involving a structure in the Downtown Development District to be delayed 180 days in an attempt to secure an alternative purchaser/use, unless the issuance of the demolition permit is approved by the city council by resolution; and

WHEREAS, when any application for a demolition permit is delayed pursuant to Sec. 22-3, the Chief Building Official shall, within 30 days, notify the Director of the Downtown Development Authority of the application; and

WHEREAS, the Director of the Downtown Development Authority has been notified and has inspected the building and reviewed it's history with the help of historian Lane Callaway and has determined that the building is not architecturally significant, nor significant in time and place; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority does not believe a delay of 180 days to attempt to secure an alternative purchaser or use is needed, and that a city council resolution allowing the work to proceed is appropriate in this case.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that Building Permit No. BSC1200215 to demolish the Performing Arts Center at First United Methodist Church, located at 500 Common Street is approved, in accordance with Sec. 22-3 of the Code of Ordinances.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chief Administrative Officer is authorized to cause the Chief Building Official to issue said permit provided all other conditions and requirements have been met.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, if you will, I don't know if Mr. Corbin still wanted to ask, but Ali Mustapha has made it down from Engineering in the event you needed that (inaudible).

Councilman Corbin: He gave us the map.

Mr. Sibley: He did? Okay. We can send him back upstairs.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Tell him to get back to work. Because whatever he's doing up there is important.

**Introduction of Resolutions (*Not to be adopted prior to November 27, 2012*)**

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 201 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the waiver of permits and inspection fees for the Housing Authority of the City of Shreveport, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

2. **Resolution No. 202 of 2012**: A resolution stating the City of Shreveport's endorsement of Sovereign Innovation, LLC to participate in the benefits of the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson) (*Not to be adopted prior to December 11, 2012*) (*Public Hearing to be held December 11, 2012*)

3. **Resolution No. 203 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign an agreement between the City of Shreveport and BKD, LLP for an external audit of the City of Shreveport for fiscal year January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012, and otherwise provide with respect thereto.

3. **Resolution No. 204 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute an agreement with J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, NA for the financing of vehicles and equipment, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

4. **Resolution No. 205 of 2012**: A resolution approving the application of citizens regarding the organization of the Shreveport-Caddo Economic Development Authority; Designating the Economic Development area therefore; Approving the Economic Development Plan therefore; Making certain findings in connection therewith; and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

5. **Resolution No. 206 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the execution of a cooperative endeavor agreement by and between the State of Louisiana and agencies thereof, Benteler Steel/Tube Manufacturing Corporation or its affiliate, and other local entities relative to the construction and operation of a manufacturing facility at the Port of Caddo-Bossier, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

6. **Resolution No. 207 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the acceptance of all rights, title, and interest to certain improvements from the United States of America, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

7. **Resolution No. 208 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the execution of an air services agreement with United Airlines and Ark-La-Tex Regional Air Service Alliance, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to introduce Resolution No(s). 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, and 208 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Introduction of Ordinances (*Not to be adopted prior to November 27, 2012*)**

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 160 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

2. **Ordinance No. 161 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget Streets Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

3. **Ordinance No. 162 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Pavement Management*)

4. **Ordinance No. 163 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Airport Projects*)

5. **Ordinance No. 164 of 2012**: An ordinance amending Section 2-27 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport to reapportion the several council districts of the City and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

6. **Ordinance No. 165 of 2012**: ANNEXATION – Tag No. 12-03: An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 60 of 2012, for the Annexation of 51.086 acres for the Provenance Development, located in Sections 19 & 20 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish,

Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*D/Corbin*) (*Public Hearing – November 27, 2012*)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to introduce Ordinance No(s). 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, and 165 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting.**

Councilman Corbin: Just a question on No. 164. Because we have Map 9 out there, do we need to do an amendment and attach Map 9?

Mr. Thompson: Well an amendment would need to be in writing, and I don't believe one has been prepared. We would put it on the web so that people can see it and if at the next meeting, you want to amend it, by substituting No. 1, you can do it. Julie you have any other comments?

Ms. Glass: (Inaudible)

Mr. Thompson: Had we known earlier, we would have prepared an amendment.

Councilman S. Jenkins: This introduces Plan 8, and let me just say this. The reason why I'm moving forward with Plan 8, is because of the newness of Plan 9. I think everybody needs an opportunity to get a little more educated on it. Somewhere down the road, as you see it, this thing needs to be amended in some form or fashion, we can certainly keep an open mind for consideration on that.

Mr. Thompson: So Mr. Chairman, I believe the discussions with you earlier you indicated you were going to call a public hearing on this one at the next meeting and that will be advertised in the event that you do call it. And I believe that the citizens can now know that you intend to call a public hearing.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And using similar argument/process, so conceivably once we - - if somebody was interested in promoting Map 6, we could put forth an amendment between now and then with making any - - - I mean so this just kinda opens up from different approaches?

Mr. Thompson: That's correct Mr. Jenkins. We had to start with a plan and the Chairman put forth Plan 8.

Councilman O. Jenkins: No, no. And I don't mean - - -

Mr. Thompson: And if anybody wants a different plan, amendments are always in order.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Absolutely. I'm putting forward Plan 8 because Plan 9, the newness of Plan 9, I think people need an opportunity to kinda educate themselves on what's going on there. Now as this process goes forward, if I'm reading you right, anybody can pull out whatever plan they want the majority of votes will get it through is basically what's going to happen.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I've got to see what my hommies are going to do. They're going to jump me if this plan changes from what they're thinking is Plan 8. But anyway, you invited Gary Joiner down today. Is there any reason why he's not here today, will he be presenting today at all?

Councilman S. Jenkins: As I understand he had a conflict today. I did talk with him by telephone. He was already committed. We didn't call and ask him to come until yesterday evening. But he will be available for the public hearing that we're going to have. And that way citizens with questions and comments and concerns can certainly have him present to address those at the public hearing.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Cause I actually looked at Plan 9. It didn't appear as if the District A was impacted by it at all. I still would want to know what the stats are looking like. I looked at the lines and every thing.

Councilman O. Jenkins: The stats are in the email Art sent yesterday.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think we get it all out so we might can kinda take a look at it, and settle down with it, then we'll know exactly where we're going. One of the things I'm trying to avoid is part of what you said Councilwoman McCulloch. It's a lot of people and I made mention of this a little bit earlier today, we talked to for us to jump up today and say we're going to introduce a different plan, I think raise more questions than with what needs to be necessary. Lets give it the time and let everybody kinda see what's going on.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yeah, cause although Plan 9 looks pretty good, as far as District A goes, I mean those people that are out there thinking maybe Plan 6, Plan 8, you know if I were happen to vote Plan 9, without them having knowledge of Plan 9, they they'd feel like I'm up to something. You know I don't know, but I'd just rather you know - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: I understand. And I'm trying to avoid that impression myself.

Councilman Everson: I think it's (inaudible) for everyone if we put it out there, so that people have a chance to review all the options that are there. I mean I think that we can unanimously say that that's in the best public interest for people to have a chance to digest it.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Joiner, when he's here during the public hearing, he can explain the differences.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Absolutely. We're not missing anybody if we do it that way.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage** (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 155 of 2012**: An ordinance closing and abandoning the 20 foot alley abutting Lot 34, Monrovia Place and the east 8.5 feet of Lot 2, Mook Haven No. 2, located in Section 18 (T17N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

**Having passed first reading on October 23, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

2. **Ordinance No. 156 of 2012**: An ordinance closing and abandoning the 60 foot wide portion of Fetzer Avenue, between Cross Lake Boulevard and South Lakeshore Drive, in the Lakeshore Heights Subdivision located in Section 4 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

**Having passed first reading on October 23, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

3. **Ordinance No. 157 of 2012: ZONING – C-58-12:** An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the NE corner of West Bert Kouns, and Westport Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA **from B-3, Community Business District to I-1, Light Industry District**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

**Having passed first reading on October 23, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

4. **Ordinance No. 158 of 2012: ZONING – C-63-12:** An ordinance amending Section 698 of Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, The City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on October 23, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

5. **Ordinance No. 159 of 2012: ZONING – C-64-12:** An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the NW side of Souothpo9inte Parkway, 5001 feet NE of Knight Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, **from R-3, Urban Multi-Family Residence District to R-3-E, Urban Multi-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to “The Addition of an Adult Daycare Facility”**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

**Having passed first reading on October 23, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

*The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:*

## **ORDINANCE NO. 155 OF 2012**

**AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AND ABANDONING THE 20 FOOT ALLEY ABUTTING LOT 34, MONROVIA PLACE AND THE EAST 8.5 FEET OF LOT 2, MOOK HAVEN NO. 2, LOCATED IN SECTION 18 (T17N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, on June 1, 1908, Mook Haven Subdivision was filed and recorded in Book 2200, Page 345, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, lying south of Monrovia Street and north of Ontario Street of the aforementioned subdivision, a 20 foot wide street was dedicated to the public; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received a request from a majority of the adjacent property owners to close and abandon this street to allow development of the area by Jason Mook; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the closed and abandoned area to provide for the facilities of the major utility companies; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Planning Commission approved this closure and abandonment at their meeting on October 3, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the proposed closure and abandonment meets the requirements and approval of the City Engineer's Office; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that the 20 foot wide road dedication, lying south of Monrovia Street and north of Ontario Street of the Mook Haven Subdivision, as shown and indicated on the plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, is officially closed and abandoned.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the entire area of the closure and abandonment.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that a certified copy of this ordinance shall be filed and recorded in the official records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or

applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 156 OF 2012**

**AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AND ABANDONING THE 60 FOOT WIDE PORTION FETZER OF AVENUE, BETWEEN CROSS LAKE BOULEVARD AND SOUTH LAKESHORE DRIVE, IN THE LAKESHORE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN SECTION 4 (T17N-R14W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, on August 1, 1893, Lakeshore Heights was filed and recorded in Book 250, Page 432, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, lying west of Cross Lake Boulevard and north of South Lakeshore Drive of the aforementioned subdivision, a 60 foot wide street was dedicated to the public; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received a request from a majority of the adjacent property owners to close and abandon this street to allow development of the area by Bryant Investments; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the closed and abandoned area to provide for the facilities of the 8" sewer line; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Planning Commission approved this closure and abandonment at their meeting on October 3, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the proposed closure and abandonment meets the requirements and approval of the City Engineer's Office; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that the 60 foot wide road dedication, lying west of Cross Lake Boulevard and north of South Lakeshore Drive of the Lake Shore Heights Subdivision, as shown and indicated on the plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, is officially closed and abandoned.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the entire area of the closure and abandonment.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that a certified copy of this ordinance shall be filed and recorded in the official records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 157 OF 2012**

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 698 OF CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BY:

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that section 698 of Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances is hereby repealed and re-enacted as follows:

**Sec. 106-698. - Same—Uses by right.**

The uses listed below are permitted subject to the conditions specified:

Accessory use.

Air conditioning sales and service.

Animal hospital or veterinary clinic provided no outside kennels are maintained on the premises.

Antique shop.

Apartment-hotel.

Apothecary.

Apparel and accessory store.

Appliance store.

Armory.

Art, sculpture, fountains, monuments, statues and/or other similar landscape features; need not be enclosed within a structure, must be in compliance with requirements of section 106-1181.

Art gallery or museum.

Artificial limb manufacture.

Auditorium.

Auto parts and accessories, including installation, service, and the retail sale of gasoline.

Automobile and truck laundry, including steam cleaning.

Automobile and truck maintenance shops and garages.

Automobile and truck sales and/or repair, but not including commercial wrecking, dismantling, or auto salvage yard; need not be enclosed within structure provided the unenclosed part shall comply with the requirements for maintenance of offstreet parking facilities, except the prohibition of sales.

Automobile filling station and/or service and repair, but not including commercial wrecking, dismantling, or auto salvage yard; need not be enclosed within structure provided the unenclosed part shall comply with the requirements for maintenance of offstreet parking facilities; pump islands shall be located at least 15 feet from the property line; canopies anchored or supported in pump island may extend to within five feet of the property line; canopies shall have a minimum height of ten feet above driveway.

Bakery, retail.

Bakery, wholesale.

Bank.

Barber and beauty supplies and equipment sales.

Barbershop or beauty shop.

Beverage manufacture (not including alcoholic).

Bicycle and/or lawnmower sales and repair.

Blueprinting and photostating.

Bookstore.

Bowling alley.

Brooms and brushes manufacture.

Building specialties store.

Business college.

Business machines store.

Cabinet or carpenter shop.

Camera and photographic supplies store.

Candy, nut, and confectionary store.

Canvas products manufacture.

Catering shop.

City hall, police station, court house, federal building.

Clinic, dental or medical.

Club or lodge, private.

Coffee roasting.

Cosmetics (compounding only).

Cosmetics studio.

Creamery.

Dairy equipment sales.

Dairy products sales.

Delicatessen.

Department store (limited to sale of items which may be sold by any other use permitted in this district).

Drug compounding and manufacturing.

Drugstore.

Dry cleaning.

Dry goods store.

Dry goods store, wholesale.

Dwelling, multifamily.

Dwelling, one-family.

Dwelling, one-family townhouse.

Dwelling, two-family.

Electric repair shop.

Electric substation; need not be enclosed within structure but must be enclosed within a wall at least ten feet high and adequate to obstruct view, noise, and passage of persons.

Elevator maintenance and service.

Exterminators.

Feed store.

Fire station.

Fix-it shop.

Fixture sales.

Floor covering sales.

Floral shop.

Food locker plant, renting only individual lockers for home customers' storage of food; cutting and packaging of meats and game permitted, but not including any slaughtering or eviscerating thereof.

Food products, wholesale storage and sales.

Fruit and produce, wholesale.

Fruit store.

Fur dyeing, finishing and storage (no tanning).

Furniture repair and upholstering.

Furniture store, retail.

Garden supplies store, handling packaged fertilizer and no other types of fertilizer.

Gift shop.

Glass store.

Grocery store, retail.

Hardware store, retail.

Hardware, wholesale storage and sales.

Hatchery.

Health studio, athletic club, martial arts school or commercial gymnasium (public or private, with all components contained within an enclosed structure).

Heliport.

Hobby supply store.

Hotel, motel, tourist home, all for transient occupancy except that not more than one-third of the gross floor area may be used for apartments for permanent occupancy.

Ice cream manufacture.

Ice cream store.

Indoor commercial amusements (excluding pool or billiard tables) as an accessory use only. No more than three non-gaming machines or amusement devices shall be permitted on the premises.

Interior decorating shop.

Jewelry store, including repairing of jewelry, watches, and clocks.

Laboratory.

Laboratory, dental or medical.

Laundry, linen supply, or diaper service.

Leather or luggage store.

Library or reading room.

Locksmith.

Machinery, tools, and construction equipment, sales and service.

Mail order house.

Marine store.

Millinery manufacture.

Miniwarehouse, rental storage.

Motorcycle sales and service.

Music store.

Newsstand.

Novelty and souvenir manufacture.

Nursery, day care or kindergarten.

Office.

Office equipment and supplies, retail.

Optical goods, wholesale.

Optician.

Package and parcel pickup.

Paint and wallpaper store.

Painting and decorating contractor.

Paper supplies, wholesale.

Passenger depot, railway or bus.

Pawnshop and/or loan or finance office.

Pet store.

Photographic studio and/or processing.

Picture framing and/or mirror silvering.

Pipeline or electric transmission line, need not be enclosed within structure.

Plumbing shop.

Police station.

Post office.

Poultry (live) storage and/or dressing.

Printing, publishing, and allied industries.

Radio and television broadcasting, transmitters, and studios.

Radio and television store and repair shop.

Railroad facilities, except shops; need not be enclosed within structure.

Recycling collection center.

Recycling service center.

Restaurant, where food and beverages are served and consumed only within the enclosed structure or in accessory outdoor dining areas; other exterior service facilities, pick-up windows, drive-in, drive-thru or delivery service operations, are not permitted by right. (See section 106-700.)

Restaurant supplies sales.

Roominghouse and boardinghouse.

Rug cleaning.

Seafood store, retail.

Seed store.

Shoe repair shop.

Shoe store, retail.

Shoe store, wholesale.

Sign shop.

Sporting goods store, retail.

Sporting goods store, wholesale.

Studio for professional work or teaching of any form of fine arts, photography, music, drama, dance.

Surgical or dental supplies store.

Tailor shop.

Telephone exchange, but not including shops or garages.

Temporary uses, including commercial, cultural, industrial, recreational, religious, seasonal or community service events for a period not exceeding 15 days duration and no more frequent than two such periods during a calendar year for the same building site (municipal address), requires written notice to the zoning administrator; need not be enclosed within structure.

Theater.

Tile shop.

Tire store.

Tobacco store.

Tool and equipment rental (inside display only).

Toy store.

University or college (nonprofit).

Used furniture, rummage shop.

Variety store (limited to the sale of items which may be sold by any other use in this district).

Vegetable store.

Venetian blind and metal awning fabrication and cleaning.

Video sales and rentals.

Vulcanizing shop.

Water distillation.

Water or sewage pumping station.

Water storage; need not be enclosed within structure.

Wholesale and warehousing.

BE IT FUTHER ORDAINED if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 158 OF 2012**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NE CORNER OF WEST BERT KOUNS AND WESTPORT AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LA, FROM B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT TO I-1, LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the NE corner of West Bert Kouns and Westport Avenue, legally described below, be and the same is hereby changed **from B-3. Community Business District to I-1, Light Industry District:**

Commencing at a found ½ inch diameter iron pipe on the north R/W line of Westport Avenue and the east R/W line of W Bert Kouns Ind'l Loop Expressway – LA Hwy 526 (formerly Woolworth Rd & Flournoy Lucas Rd), said corner being known as the SW corner of Lot 1 of the Westport Park Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA; thence along east R/W line of W Bert Kouns Ind'l Loop Expressway, run N19°5'29"E 186.66 feet to a found concrete R/W monument; thence run N29°9'5"E 154.12 feet to a found

concrete R/W monument; thence continuing along the east R/W line of W Bert Kouns Ind'l Loop Expressway and continuing with the south R/W line of I-20 the following 4 courses: N39°18'17"E 149.05 feet to a found concrete R/W monument; N50°14'12"E 144.78 feet to a found concrete R/W monument; N64°59'39"E 151.93 feet to a found concrete R/W monument; N84°17'14"E 200 feet to a found ½ inch iron pipe; thence run S37°31'55"E 251.58 feet to a found ½ inch iron pipe on the north R/W of Westport Avenue; thence run along a curve to the left having a Radius of 287 feet, an Arc Length of 125.92 feet, a Chord Bearing of S46°48'30"W and a Chord Distance of 124.91 feet to a found ½ inch iron pipe; thence run S34°14'23"W 62.66 feet to a found ½ inch iron pipe; thence run along a curve to the right having a Radius of 836.58 feet an Arc Length of 247.73 feet, a Chord Bearing of S42°43'23"W and a Chord Distance of 246.83 feet to a found ½ inch iron pipe; thence run S51°12'23"W 181.69 feet to a found ½ inch iron pipe; thence run along a curve to the right having a Radius of 207.20 feet an Arc Length of 204.12 feet, a Chord bearing of S79°25'42"W and a Chord Distance of 195.96 feet to an "X" set in the concrete drive; thence run N72°20'37"W 213.83 feet to the POB.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.
2. Detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Zoning Administrator that indicates large canopy trees that serve as a visual buffer from West Port Avenue prior to the issuance of building permits.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 159 OF 2012**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NW SIDE OF SOUTHPOINTE**

**PARKWAY, 500 FEET NE OF KNIGHT STREET, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LA, FROM R-3, URBAN MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO R-3-E, URBAN MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO “THE ADDITION OF AN ADULT DAYCARE FACILITY” ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of Lot 2, Shreve City, Unit 5, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, property located on the NW side of Southpointe Parkway, 500 feet NE of Knight Street, be and the same is hereby changed from R-3. Urban Multi-Family Residence District to R-3-E, Urban Multi-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to “the addition of an adult daycare facility” only.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Tabled legislation.**

Councilman S. Jenkins: Any tabled legislation to be considered?

Mr. Thompson: Not to my knowledge Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, nobody going to bring up the Dog Park today?

Councilman S. Jenkins: I hope not.

Councilman Everson: I believe we have a citizen out there (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: See there.

1. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 74 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Solid Waste Collections, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) *(Tabled September 13, 2011)*

2. **Resolution No. 179 of 2011**: Authorizing Melba Yvonne Warr Baldwin located at 382 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Near D and is not contiguous to the city limits.) *(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

3. **Resolution No. 222 of 2011**: Authorizing Lawrence Johnson Franklin Jr. and Elizabeth Fry Franklin located at 421 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)* (D/Corbin) *(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

4. **Resolution No. 223 of 2011**: Authoring Lee Roy Stanley located at 419 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)*(D/Corbin) *(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

5. **Resolution No. 226 of 2011**: Authorizing Frank G. Harris III located at 437 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)*(D/Corbin) *(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

6. **Resolution No. 228 of 2011**: Authorizing Jeffery Charles Shamsie located at 414 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)*(D/Corbin) *(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

7. **Resolution No. 229 of 2011**: Authorizing Joan E. Thoma located at 2036 Pepper Ridge Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)* (D/Corbin) *(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

8. **Resolution No. 230 of 2011**: Authorizing Henry Eugene Raines, Jr., and Gloria Jean Wingate Raines located at 3004 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer

system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)

9. **Resolution No. 231 of 2011**: Authorizing William Sidney Hunt and Gaynel Neyrey Hunt located at 10015 Nightingale Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)

10. **Resolution No. 233 of 2011**: Authorizing James Allan Bell and Betty Ann Kilpatrick Bell located at 3055 Dryden Court to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)

11. **Resolution No. 241 of 2011**: Requiring the Chief Administrative Officer to offer the fair market value (and if accepted) to purchase the flood prone properties located at 8035 and 8037 Jewella Avenue by October 26, 2011, or to provide a report to the council relative to this matter on that date and to otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Tabled October 24, 2011)

12. **Resolution No. 243 of 2011**: Authorizing James Michael Johnson and Kelly Renee Larry Johnson located at 352 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

13. **Resolution No. 244 of 2011**: Authorizing Byron Wayne Ruesch and Leslie Baldwin Ruesch Located at 369 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

14. **Resolution No. 248 of 2011**: Authorizing Claude E. Franklin and Mary Elizabeth Womack Franklin located at 442 Bob White Lane to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

15. **Resolution No. 249 of 2011**: Authorizing Jason Wayne Waltman and Kristy Mcgee Waltman located at 3028 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

16. **Ordinance No. 25 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Texas Street Turn Lanes*) (B/Everson)(Tabled March 27, 2012)

17. **Ordinance No. 81 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.(*Audrey Lane*) (*Postponed August 13, 2012*)(Tabled August 28, 2012)

18. **Ordinance No. 82 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch) (*Tabled August 28, 2012*)

19. **Ordinance No. 120 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin) (*Linwood Ave*) (*Tabled October 23, 2012*)

20. **Ordinance No. 122 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the Budget for the 2012 Street Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin) (*Tabled October 23, 2012*)

21. **Ordinance No. 151 of 2012**: An Ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, Appropriating the Funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto (David Raines) (*Tabled October 23, 2012*)

22. **Ordinance No. 152 of 2012**: An Ordinance amending the Budget for the 2012 Streets Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized (David Raines) (*Tabled October 23, 2012*)

23. **Ordinance No. 153 of 2012**: An Ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, Appropriating the Funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto (Audrey Lane) (*Tabled October 23, 2012*)

24. **Ordinance No. 154 of 2012**: An Ordinance amending the Budget for the 2012 Streets Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized (Audrey Lane) (*Tabled October 23, 2012*)

**2013 Budget Ordinances:** (Introduced and Tabled on October 9, 2012 and to be adopted no later than December 15, 2012)

1. **Ordinance No. 133 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Capital Projects Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

2. **Ordinance No. 134 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Riverfront Development Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

3. **Ordinance No. 135 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

4. **Ordinance No. 136 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Retained Risk Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

5. **Ordinance No. 137 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Metropolitan Planning Commission Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

6. **Ordinance No. 138 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

7. **Ordinance No. 139 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

8. **Ordinance No. 140 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Shreveport Redevelopment Agency Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

9. **Ordinance No. 141 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Downtown Entertainment Economic Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
10. **Ordinance No. 142 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Golf Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
11. **Ordinance No. 143 of 2012**: Adopting the 2013 Airport Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
12. **Ordinance No. 144 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Water and Sewerage Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
13. **Ordinance No. 145 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Budget funding Contractual Services provided to SPORTRAN by Metro Management Associates, Inc., and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
14. **Ordinance No. 146 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Downtown Parking Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
15. **Ordinance No. 147 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Convention Center Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
16. **Ordinance No. 148 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Convention Center Hotel Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
17. **Ordinance No. 149 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Debt Service Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
18. **Ordinance No. 150 of 2012**: An ordinance adopting the 2013 Streets Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

## **Appeals**

## **Property Standards Appeals**

**PSD0900275:** 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) (*Postponed August 13, 2012 until December 10, 2012*)

**PSD1000086:** 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (*Postponed October 22, 2012 until January 13, 2012*)

**PSD1000141** 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

**PSD1100017** 3634 Sumner Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Roberto Strickland, 3740 Jackson Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed September 10, 2012 until November 12, 2012*)

**PSD1100251:** 3631 Stonewall, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Charles Parson, 4308 Lakeshore Dr., Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed September 10, 2012 until November 12, 2012*)

**PSD12001000** 3545 Michigan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Carl Colvin, 6618 Burke Street, Shreveport, LA 71108 (E/Webb) (*Postponed October 22, 2012 until November 26, 2012*)

**PSD1200016** 6938 Nevada Avenue, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Erika Thompson, 7517 Grass Valley Trail, Fort Worth, TX 76123 (*Postponed October 22, 2012 November 12, 2012*)

**PSD1200158** 144 Ockley Drive, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins) Mr. Eric Schonfarber, P.O. Box 5262, Shreveport, LA 71135 (*Postponed October 22, 2012 until November 12, 2012*)

## **Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals**

Denial of application for an Alcohol Beverage Permit for Martinez Mexican Restaurant, L.L.C., 3839 Gilbert Drive, Shreveport, LA 71104

Denial of application for an alcohol permit for Alcohol Beverage Permit for Uncle Bucks Barbeque, 2920 Jewella Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71109

## **Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals**

## **Other Appeals**

### **Taxi Appeal**

#### **Reports from officers, boards, and committees**

Councilman Everson: I would like to check and see if everyone's schedule would allow if I could call a meeting of the Infrastructure Committee primarily for two subjects. One is that the (inaudible) the water rates study has been completed. And so its now a good time for us to begin looking at that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Have you seen it?

Councilman Everson: No, I have not seen it. So we're going to ask for those to be presented. And then also to follow up on discussion that we had earlier today regarding payment management system and the streets funding. So, those are the two primary topics on that and what I'd like to suggest is a week from today, the 20<sup>th</sup> at 2:00 p.m., if that works.

Councilwoman McCulloch: That's an infrastructure meeting?

Councilman Everson: Ah huh.

Councilman Shyne: What is that day?

Councilman Everson: Tuesday. Yeah, it's a couple of days before Thanksgiving, but just trying to - - - once these holidays start coming, they come quickly. So if that does work for everybody I'd love to.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It seems that everyone is okay with that date and time.

Mr. Sibley: One question Mr. Chairman please. Jeff, in terms of the water rates study, would you like some type of presentation from?

Councilman Everson: Yes, and if you could provide the presentation to the committee members, before hand, that's be great. If you could have it by this Friday, that'd be ideal.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, Infrastructure Committee meeting, November 20<sup>th</sup> at 2:00.

Councilman Everson: And I do want to thank the administration for the information that was provided to us earlier today. I do think that the (inaudible) load of information was very helpful in providing a little bit of insight into some of the issues that we're facing with our streets. So I had a little bit more of a factual tone to it that is helpful in making decisions. So thanks for that info.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Harris, I want you all to know that I do echo the same feeling. It was very informational. It's something that's very helpful. And I do want you to know whether we go along with a bonding program or not, the information that you all provided is extremely helpful.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I simply want to say I agree with those comments also. Alright any other comments from officers, boards or committees? Okay. Mr. Thompson, is there a Clerk's report today?

### **Clerk's Report**

Mr. Thompson: Just want to remind everybody that we have budget meetings tomorrow night, Wednesday the 14<sup>th</sup> and Thursday the 15<sup>th</sup> at 5:30.

**The Committee "rises and reports"** (reconvenes the regular council meeting)

**Adjournment:** There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:32 p.m.

---

*//s// Sam L. Jenkins, Jr., Chairman*

---

*//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council*