



Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana

October 23, 2012

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Sam Jenkins at 3:06 p.m., Tuesday, October 23, 2012, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Mr. Charlie Davis.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Everson.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 6. Absent: Councilman Ron Webb. 1.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, October 22, 2012 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, October 23, 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Councilman Webb is not with us today, Councilman Webb has an illness and he is going under some medical treatment. I'm sure he's probably watching us today and so we just want him to know our thoughts and prayers are certainly with him and with his family, but I just wanted the people to know that there is a good reason for him not being here today. Alright we'll entertain a motion to suspend the rules at this time to take up a couple of matters.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to suspend the rules. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Councilman Shyne: Is that your family Jim?

Sgt Taliaferro: Sir?

Councilman Shyne: Is that your family? Bring them up with you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: That's an order.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I'd like at this point and time if the Council will allow it to have Dale Sibley join Councilman Everson as well in conjunction with Resolution No. 187. From the administration we'll be offering as well a Mayor's Award of Excellence in recognition of Sgt Taliaferro and the outstanding work he's done as well. So we'd like to join the Council in recognizing the outstanding work that Sgt has provided to the citizens of Shreveport for over two decades.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Absolutely.

Councilman Shyne: Since Dale is coming up and I see the Chief, I'd like for the Chief to come up.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Absolutely. Anyone else want to come up?

Sgt. Taliaferro: They're all my family.

Councilman S. Jenkins: They say victory has a thousand fathers, but defeat is an orphan. That's Abraham Lincoln Councilman.

Councilman Shyne: That's true. That's true Sam.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I've heard of that guy.

Councilman Everson: Well, I'm going to go ahead and read the resolution here and then we'll take a motion to pass it, and present it to Jim, to Sgt Taliaferro here.

Councilman Everson read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 187 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE SERGEANT JIM TALIAFERRO, A 22 YEAR VETERAN OF THE SHREVEPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT, FOR BEING SELECTED AS CRIME STOPPERS 2012 INTERNATIONAL COORDINATOR OF THE YEAR AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN EVERSON

WHEREAS, Shreveport Police Sergeant Jim Taliaferro was selected as Crime Stoppers 2012 International Coordinator of the Year at the Crime Stoppers 33rd annual global conference in Las Vegas, Nevada; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Taliaferro is Coordinator for the Shreveport/Caddo Parish Crime Stoppers program. He was nominated for this award by Shreveport/Caddo Parish Crime Stoppers' Board of Directors because of his innovative and persistent use of media to help capture fugitives and apprehend suspects, and his use of media and personal appearances to educate the public on crime prevention techniques; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Taliaferro was selected from personnel from more than 800 Crime Stoppers Programs from 26 countries around the world; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Taliaferro has been selected by the Crime Stoppers USA Board as the Region 5 liaison for Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama, and as the committee chair for membership.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the City Council recognizes Sergeant Jim Taliaferro, for being selected as Crime Stoppers 2012 International Coordinator of the Year, for his dedicated and effective leadership in making the Shreveport/Caddo Parish Crime Stoppers Program one of the best in the world, and for bringing great credit to the Shreveport Police Department and to the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution shall be signed by each member of the City Council, and executed in duplicate originals with one original presented to Sergeant Jim Taliaferro and the other original filed in perpetuity in the office of the Clerk of Council for the City of Shreveport.

Sgt Taliaferro: Council Members, Chief, Dale, you know although I get this award, its not mine alone. It is with the Shreveport Police Department, the Caddo Parish Sheriff's Office, who have the best investigators that you could possibly have. And its such a privilege to serve, and you know I just wanted to extend my heartfelt thanks to them

because they allow me the opportunity to work with them, and the Chief and my supervisors have allowed me the opportunity and the latitude to take this program to new heights. And I do appreciate them because without them, you know I lose my support and it's just not about me, it's about them, and it's truly a privilege to serve. So thank you very much for honoring me in this way, and I'm just overwhelmed. So thank you so much. I appreciate it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And now we have a few words from the Administration.

Mr. Dale Sibley: Jim, on behalf of the Mayor, this is Mayor Glover's Award of Excellence. The City of Shreveport and Mayor Cedric B. Glover take great pleasure in presenting to Sgt Jim Taliaferro, the Mayor's Award of Excellence for Sgt Jim Taliaferro, a 22 year veteran of the Shreveport Police Department for his selection as Crime Stoppers International Coordinator of the year for 2012 awarded in Shreveport, LA this 23rd day of October 2012, Cedric B. Glover, Mayor. Congratulations Jim.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman? Jim, just before you go, the reason why I had the Chief to come up - - -

Chief Shaw: Obviously, you wanted me to say something.

Councilman Shyne: Well yeah, but what I started out to say, but awards are good, but it's budget time, and you might want the Chief to recommend a raise for you.

Sgt Taliaferro: Uh, I don't know about that.

Councilman Shyne: Jim, I appreciate that, because you're the first somebody to turn down a recommendation for a raise.

Chief Shaw: He's just using his diplomacy skills. He and I started about the same time, which I've actually known him since his Day 1, probably my Day 21, but his Day 1. And Jim has always been a great guy, and this is just a small glimpse of the kind of the job that he does, and has done for the last 22 years. Our Crime Stopper's program is bar any of them, we're top notch. There's a lot of crimes that are solved because of it, and it's all to do with the relationship that you do (inaudible) that we couldn't actually (inaudible). So again, congratulations, thank you, and if Councilman Shyne would like to cut through his budget and give you a raise - - -

Councilman Shyne: Now wait a minute, wait a minute Chief. Chief, we hadn't discussed that now. We won't talk about that. I'm glad you said what you said in the

beginning, so if we see anything in there for him, I'm going to take my pencil and draw a line through it. Jim, thank you.

Mayor Glover: Great job Jim.

Councilman Everson: With that, so moved for Resolution No. 187.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Second.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, I guess we need a motion to go into the Committee of the Whole to receive our Budget Report from the Administration.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to go into Committee of the Whole to receive the 2013 Budget Overview. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Corbin to reconvene into Regular Session. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'll just start with a couple of things that happened here locally. One this weekend, apparently a fantastic turnout for the symphony I'm sure. Mr. Thompson was there. I heard great reports, packed house, and I know it was a treat for many that are both film buffs, and music lovers, and Michael Buttermann certainly exceeded everybody's expectation. And then a reminder for the Opera enthusiast. The first opera is this week for this year's session. So, for those that are interested, that's a great thing that a city of our size is able to support both an opera and a symphony, and we're one of the last few cities of our size that are able to do that.

Councilman Corbin: Want to be sure to thank Kip and Kevin and all the crew at the Revel for a very successful Revel this past couple of weeks. Had some interesting weather and a lot of things going on in the city, but I think the Revel turned out to be a nice event. And our SPAR employees that put in a lot of extra hours for that as well, and last Saturday for the Brew event, which was sold out again. Highly successful. And

it was great to be downtown Saturday afternoon with Brew Run with the Nuns, people who arrived for the symphony early, and it was actually hard to find a place to park. So congrats to everyone.

Councilman S. Jenkins: How did Brew and Run with the Nuns end up downtown at the same time?

Councilman Everson: The Nuns were very forgiving.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yes, I just wanted to recognize before you leave W. T. & Associates. I see he brought a guest with him today. As the Council knows he has the Hersey D. Wilson Project, and hopefully in the near future, he'll be able to work the Audrey Lane and the David Raines projects in together.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You want him to come down and say hello?

Councilwoman McCulloch: W.T. travels all the way to attend our meetings from Baton Rouge. Thank you so much for coming.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You're a Southernite too aren't you? Aren't you a Southernite?

Mr. Winfield: I am, and I'm just very happy to be here. Mr. President and Council.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Winfield, just a minute. He wanted to get that jab in at me and Rose, but we know - - - Rose, we know how to handle him, don't we?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yeah, I wanted him to say that you loved Gramblinites.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well since you've got Hersey D. Wilson, I guess you'll say it.

Mr. Winfield: Earlier today I met with Mr. David Aubrey, and he reminded me of my love for Gramblinites. You know I'm a long time friend here from the early '90s of Mr. James Pannell, and his brother is the Band Leader at Grambling. There's no question about my love, even though I come from the south in the Baton Rouge area where Southern rings with LSU and we're just happy that Southern will be here this weekend.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's why I brought it up.

Mr. Winfield: Southern will be here this weekend.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's what I'm talking about.

Mr. Winfield: And even though we lost last week, we intend to win this week.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well we got a better record than Grambling.

Mr. Winfield: Thank you all for having us and we're just looking forward to continuing to work (inaudible) many years of having a fine relationship here in Shreveport, and this is the local contact. He is a Southernite as well. Graduate of Southern University in Electrical Engineering. He manages the office here on Milam for us.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Love those colors he has on.

Mr. Winfield: And we anticipate expanding the staff here under his direction.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Councilman Shyne, how you like those colors he has on.

Councilman Shyne: That's that black and gold, that's our colors.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We're going to let you believe, but we know what's inside your veins okay?

Councilwoman McCulloch: And wear it when you come back the next time.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you all for coming down. Any other recognitions? Alright Mr. Mayor, do you have any recognitions or awards?

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Obviously want to join the Council in (inaudible) as Councilman Oliver Jenkins mentioned, it was a wonderful weekend with the symphony I'm told. (Inaudible) the usual outstanding musical performance, but it also incorporated a good bit of a local theme. The Morris Lessmore and all the folks associated with Moonbot Studio, Bill Joyce and Brandon Oldenburg, as I understand it were part of last week's presentation, and it was something that ended up making the overall experience something that was extra special, and as has already been stated, it just was another example that makes Shreveport and Northwest Louisiana such an exciting place to live. To know that you have all of these wonderful cultural offerings in a community our size. In addition to an expression of appreciation to Kip and all the folks involved with the Red River Revel for another fine effort, we also want to express officially to Bruce Van De Velde and his team at Louisiana Tech University for giving

us the great privilege of being able to host LA Tech/Texas A&M football game. We knew this was going to be a wonderful event to come to Shreveport, even when we thought it was going to be the kickoff game of the 2012 football season, it was going to be on Thursday night, back in August. But obviously, the good Lord had other intentions, and sent Isaac to come and spend a little time with us and the game got pushed forward, and gave us one of the most outstanding, I think the second highest ranked collegiate matchup that we have ever had in the history of the City of Shreveport. A game that turned out to be one of the most exciting football games that I've ever had the opportunity to be able to watch. To end up with a score of 59-57 if memory serves correct with the kinds of outstanding performances that were offered on both sides of the ball was something that was a gift to the City of Shreveport that hopefully we will long savor. Also want to share that we are certainly hopeful, continuing to be able to work with Mr. Van De Velde and his staff that the possibility of Tech returning to bring similar types of events to Shreveport on a every year basis. And so we're going to continue to stay engaged in that regard and hopefully we end up with similar positive outcomes. Also the game to be played this weekend. Want to express continued thanks and appreciation to Prairie View University's Athletic Director, Mr. Frederick Washington for giving us the opportunity to be in what is now what is the third year of what has been a four year contractual arrangement with them. We started two years ago with the first installment of Prairie View University versus Southern last year. We had Prairie View versus Jackson State, and again this year, we end up with what we all believe to be the one that obviously has the most local draw, the most statewide draw and that's Prairie View matched yet against Southern University. It lines up with the opening weekend of the State Fair, it gives us a chance to be able to make it a complete and total experience. There will be a significant music aspect also offered, that's not coming to mind out at the State Fair as well, so we want to encourage all of our local folks, all of our regional folks to come to Shreveport again and have a chance to be able to have a great time. At the same time this weekend, they can come for great football in the afternoon, but from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m., Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, we will have the third installment of the Annual Mayor's Mentoring Fair, this Saturday from 10:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. at Mall St. Vincent. For those who may not be familiar or cannot recollect this is an effort that we started in conjunction with about two dozen mentoring organizations across the Shreveport/Bossier Northwest Louisiana area. Groups that mentor in various ways and manner, and we look to help use the wherewithal of the Mayor's office to create a clarion call to potential mentors, to come to one place at one time, and be able to learn about the various programs, areas of focus, our targeted youth and what have you from each of these various mentoring efforts from across our region, at one place, one time, learn about them all, meet their leadership, get a chance to see exactly what type of program might fit with your potential mentoring interest in terms of available times, the types of activities, age

ranges, and what have you, and you get a chance to be able to make that connection all in one place. We also give away a good number of prizes during the course of that whole experience. We're honored to have this effort cosponsored with us by United Way of Northwest Louisiana as well as the great folks at Cumulus Radio. And so Council Members, we certainly encourage those of you who have time to come out and join us this weekend, but then certainly also help us spread the word to potential mentors out there that that is a good place for them to come and be able to access the information that they need to maybe get involved. I want to especially commend the outstanding response that we've gotten from the Shreveport Fire Department. Chief Shaw, I don't want you to consider this to be a challenge of any sort, but I think once it is seen after Saturday, the level of interest and engagement that we've gotten from the Shreveport Fire Department, I think we may have some other divisions of city government, that may be looking to try and step up and express the same level of commitment, engagement and involvement to mentoring that the Shreveport Fire Department is displaying already. So hopefully many of you can come out and get a chance to be able to be a part of that. Lastly, want to mention Startup Weekend. Those of you who are not familiar with this, Startup Weekend, is 3-day long effort that brings together aspiring entrepreneurs to be able to have a chance to engage the kind of individuals who have the ability to be able to evaluate and judge their potential business ideas, concepts, innovations or what have you. You critique it, evaluate it, grade it, and possibly give them a path towards actually elevating it to an actual enterprise. It's one of the types of things that you have in a city if you're trying to maintain and hold onto those creative, innovative, motivated individuals who create opportunity and wealth and growing in dynamic cities. I want to commend John Greenlee and the folks at CoHabitat for stepping forward to host this inaugural Startup Weekend Shreveport-Bossier. For those who are looking for more information, you can go to the Shreveport website. They can get you connected to John and the folks over at CoHabitat, but it starts this coming Friday, runs all day Saturday and concludes on Sunday. And again, represents how it is that we end up continuing to grow Shreveport into the dynamic city that we want it to be. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Mr. Mayor, do you have any communications relative to city business from the Mayor today?

Mayor Glover: Would conclude all of our communications in what we just did Mr. Chairman.

Reports

Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Does anyone have any questions for Ms. Farnell?

Councilwoman McCulloch: I have one.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, is Ms. Farnell present?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well, it was what I referenced on yesterday, because I did have a constituent to contact me regarding the - - - well no, you didn't have anything to do with the grass growing up through the sidewalks, did you?

Mr. Sibley: Public Works.

Councilwoman McCulloch: That's Public Works with the situation on Ford Street? Where the trees were growing? As I explained, I contacted Ms. Farnell, and I don't recall if I contacted you or not about the when the students are leaving J. S. Clark Middle School, instead of walking all the way to the intersection where the Crossing Guard is, they are crossing in the middle of Ford Street in droves. Actually I witnessed, matter of fact, the children stopped the traffic to cross over the middle of Ford Street. So my request was to - - - you know I had requested that some of the sidewalks be redone on Audrey Lane, but my request since then has been to move that to Ford Street in order to accommodate those students that don't have sidewalks. Its not that they don't have sidewalks, but you really can't see the sidewalks. And so to prevent any accidents in the future with those students at J.S. Clark, I don't know if you call this an emergency situation, but when I actually had to stop in the middle of Ford Street, to let at least anywhere from 12-15 students cross from one side of Ford to the next, it scared me. And I immediately contacted Ms. Farnell. I guess what I wanted to know its my understanding that the tree situation has been solved. I haven't heard anything from the sewerage situation, because that was another situation that was occurring along that sidewalk where they would have access to walk to and fro school everyday, (inaudible) with the sewerage.

Mr. Harris: The sewerage department would go under water services now. But the trees, that was taken care of. We (inaudible) additional work.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay. Do you know about how soon, we could make possibly, make those sidewalks passable for the students so that they won't crossover in the middle of the street?

Mr. Harris: We would have to do a special contract with that right now. I believe what we're doing is a group contract, we've been having conversations with Robert, he's been out because of a death in the family. And so that would have to get special contract by itself.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay. Is there anyway we can make an observation on where actually the trafficking that's (inaudible) occurring with the students prior to 3:00 or at 3:00. I think school is out what at 3:00? Well, it was like 3:15 when I saw the kids crossing. So, if we could actually be there to monitor and see actually what's happening with those students.

Mr. Sibley: There's no crossing guard in that area?

Councilwoman McCulloch: The crossing guard, they cross the street before they get to the crossing guard, because the sidewalk is not accessible (inaudible) to the crossing guard on one side of the street. So the other side, I mean they just need some help.

Mr. Sibley: Sidewalks need to be cleared up?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Right. Yeah.

Mr. Harris: Let us take a look at that situation, the concerns to make sure the kids are safe and able to negotiate the sidewalks, get back and forth in that area along that block.

Mr. Sibley: And the Chief Ms. Scott will look at the numbers. You were wondering how many kids and where they're crossing - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: Anywhere from 12-15.

Mr. Sibley: They'll look at that also.

Councilwoman McCulloch: They had on khaki pants and white shirts. And they were actually crossing in the middle of the street. You know right there passed Oregon Street. Just past Oregon Street before you get to the intersection, they're crossing in the middle of the street. Right. Okay, well if we can make that an emergency or urgent project to get those sidewalks accessible, so that they won't be crossing in the middle of the street, because that is what I would consider Councilman Shyne, a main thoroughfare on Ford Street, wouldn't you?

Councilman Shyne: Yes. I mean I don't mean no harm, but really they just - - - I know the Mayor is listening in and I don't want to step on no toes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Anyone else for Property Standards? Okay, I think our other reports are being sent to us electronically.

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No.114 of 2009*)

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

EMS Transports Report (*Res 239 of 2010*)

Master Plan Committee Report (*Res. No. 132 of 2012*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have a Master Plan Committee Report today? I appears that we do.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Sure we do.

Ms. Sanders: Yes sir, I have a very brief report. To report on our last Master Planning Committee Meeting, the members present voted unanimously to move forward with an RFQ (Request for Qualifications) process for the unified development code review study. Staff expects to have that RFQ out within the next month. It is anticipated that the Master Plan Committee will offer to serve as the selection committee to make a formal recommendation to the Metropolitan Planning Commission for that final determination for the selection of that consultant. We also discussed the short term action items recommended in the Master Plan that are not related to the unified development code and fee study. Because of the large number of those short term actions that are not related to the unified development code, the committee asked that I identify those that can be accomplished with little to no money, but also within six months or less. I'm providing you with a copy of my findings, so when you're looking at that, keep in mind that its not a complete list. There are several recommendations that few require a great deal of money and a great deal of time in order to achieve. For example, the Pavement Management system that the Infrastructure Committee discussed yesterday. That is a short term recommendation, but it also requires a great deal of money to accomplish. So once the Master Plan Committee reviews this information that I've provided, I (inaudible) give me some recommendations on what to pursue in 2013. If you have any recommendations, please do not hesitate to give me some feedback on that. And if I can answer any questions?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, any questions from Council Members? You must be doing pretty good. You got away without a single question today. Thank you very much.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thank you Dara.

Councilman S. Jenkins: There is no public hearing today, lets move to Adding Legislation to the agenda.

Public Hearings: None.

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Thompson, is there any legislation to be added today?

Mr. Thompson: I'm not aware of any.

Mr. Sibley: None from this side.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, thank you sir. We move now to Public Comments.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

Mr. Cary Camp: (4520 Cambridge Cir) Thank you Chairman. I wanted to take another quick minute to thank you for your time yesterday at your work session, and when I left yesterday, I gathered that there was a clear understanding of the position of the billboard companies and our investments in the community, the investments that the business in our community have in their own businesses and need to do the marketing, and laying that against a group that is pretty confided into one area of town, and not even sure if they're interested enough to show up today in force to bring out their portion of the case. So I wanted to leave you with the thought that you know in my mind, a vote against the moratorium, or a vote against any part of the moratorium at this time would be a vote for business in Shreveport. I know over time, we spend a lot of money and a lot of campaign to bring our children home. Business is great for Shreveport, Bring business back to Shreveport. This would be an action that proves that the Council wants business back in Shreveport. Thank you.

Mr. Joe Kane: (1800 Pierre Ave) And I'd like to compliment Oliver Jenkins as an opera past president and singing in the choir. If you see a soldier this weekend whose in the opera whose out of step, that would be myself. And I think that tells you a lot about Shreveport. This weekend, Louisiana Film Prize weekend. This morning my staff who are sales people, seven of them, between the ages of - - - a different perspective than

I've shown you before, between the ages of 25 and 39. They're the 'Bring the Kids Home' generation. They went away to school, or they went to LSUS, and came back and they saw a change in Shreveport. Shreveport-itis seemed to have been cured. They saw events going on, they saw a business friendly environment. And three of them said the same thing, business friendly. And that's why they're still here. And they stayed. They found a career, they're on the front lines with out clients, they meet with clients, they solve their marketing goals and challenges, they design, they find new places to advertise, and they listened to where they want to go. Business friendly. A moratorium is not business friendly, it's business negative, and as one said, 'Hey my husband owns a restaurant, could they all of a sudden decide they don't like fried food anymore? May sound ridiculous, and Mr. Shyne, you bring a crisp point yesterday about talking. This would be equivalent to you taking 18 months planning to build a house. Somebody about half mile away says I don't want your house there. Please take the house down. And he followed all the rules. And we have a huge investment in this community. And we have for over 30 years. And we've not only just invested in the billboards and the services that we provide, we've also invested in the nonprofit organizations that we can assist. Part of that, having inventory available to do so. I have never had a moratorium and I've been a general manager for 22 years in four different markets, never walked out of a moratorium (inaudible). Business could be so good for my clients, my employees, and my business. And I even talked about the property owners. We invest over \$1,000,000 a year back into rent payments. That mailbox money that comes back in here. They're not being represented here today either. And they're going to be negatively affected if we cannot continue to grow. And another interesting thing, the last thing I'll say, we're a billboard company that's taken down 125 billboards in six years. We've replaced them with 18 digital billboards on current locations. As Cary said, we've had one group complain, and I think we can do this without a moratorium. I've done this in other communities. Representatives from City Council whose interested, neighborhood associations, billboard companies, and Cary and I are kinda competitors, and we're getting along just great. We have for 12 years. And the on premise business is not represented. Cause they've been hurt really badly by this economy. Put us all together in one room, I think we could work out something that we meet everyone's goals, without having to have a moratorium. And I think our industry has shown even with MPC and Alan Clarke yesterday, we have followed the rules, we know the regulations, respect them and respect our community. We are here. Plan on being here for a long haul. So with that, if you would please reconsider, I think we could form a committee that could work on this over time. 60 days is not enough time to truly explore all the nuances. There's a lot of different ordinances out there, a lot of things going on to study it, and I've been through this dance before. Some communities have taken three and four years. We're smarter than that, 60 days might be a little bit too short. Thank you very much for listening and I appreciate your time.

Councilman O. Jenkins: What kind of time frame would you propose?

Mr. Kane: If we had a committee that met on a regular basis, twice a month, probably 6-8 months. And I'm just being worst case, because in our business we tell you 6-8 months, we hope to deliver in two weeks. So I'm just saying I think it would take a little bit more time, and also in your concerned district, as discussed yesterday, there is seven potential sites, and out of the seven, you'd be lucky to get one. Right now, we're not exploring those. We're truly looking at existing static billboards. I think I emailed you, I think the best digital billboards you're going to find in this community are already up. We went to the 'A' list first. Right now to go through and fit all the criteria of a stop light, we need heavy retail, we need restaurants within a thousand feet. We need a lot of things to go in there. This is a big expense. I mean this is like building a house. Well my house maybe or a smaller house. It's like putting up a house. So we have a lot of criteria. So we look at our existing 'A' list and those are the ones that we could convert that are currently static to digital. We're really not looking for brand new locations. And in your district, all of South Highland, there is one billboard down there.

Mr. Elliott Stonecipher: (9537 Rochel) Mr. Chairman, I might save you some time. Is this about 186 or 137?

Councilman S. Jenkins: You got on here 186 and 136.

Mr. Stonecipher: Yeah 137 I think is going to be for Budget Hearings, I believe y'all said today when the budget hearings are going to be so we don't need to speak on that.

Councilman Shyne: But let me, let me. Mr. Chairman, excuse me. Let me say this. Mr. Sibley, in the meantime, 136, although it'll come up on December 15th is when the final day to pass that, but in the meantime, could you get Mr. Stonecipher and myself a hard copy printout and identify what those funds will be spent for?

Mr. Sibley: 136 looks like we're paying Risks.

Councilman Shyne: Yes. But would you get us a hard copy and identify what those funds will be spent for. Will that help?

Mr. Stonecipher: I'm here actually to speak for the 3132 Coalition. We were and I'm not sure how to do this in the context of the meeting Mr. Chairman, but we were trying to use the litigation which we have all discussed before that is now in State District Court, to - - - it includes injunctive relief of course, so we were hoping to leave everything regulatory and in any nature with anybody fixed in place. And we're concerned that this resolution with respect to Mr. Corbin, we're concerned with

Resolution 186 is first, certainly contrary to the idea of fixing everything in place until the litigation runs its course. And second, by the language itself, we can't understand what it is exactly we're trying to move from and to. But really what I'm saying is rather than get into the laborious discussion of what is from and to, we would like to ask that this resolution be removed because it's all going to be handled in court. And if anything jumps ahead of the lawsuit, then we have to get into court immediately for a temporary restraining order, and we didn't want to go through all that, and we don't see a reason not to tell the Council, that that's exactly where we are on this. So we mean no disrespect, but we really do oppose Resolution 186 at this point and time.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Stonecipher, you were not here on yesterday, and I'm glad that you decided to come down today. This is exactly the point that I was making, because I thought we had kinda had an agreement that we would just kinda freeze things where they are right now at the last council meeting with the 3132, and I thought that we all had kinda agreed that we would just kinda, as young people would say Dale, and Dale, you are a part of the young generation, to just kinda cool it. And not be in a big hurry until we could get some facts back, until we kinda find out what the lawsuit was going to do, and kinda find out what the environmental study would come back, before we start requesting anymore action to be held in that area. Because I thought we were all on the track that we really wanted to get 3132 done, and we didn't want to do anything that might impact the building of 3132, and I was trying to get some information on that on yesterday. But I would hope that the Council would look at this piece of legislation and say this is just a piece of legislation that we don't need at this particular point. It's not like somebody is having to have heart surgery or brain surgery, or I know the Mayor is listening, but a knee operation, where you only got a few days to get it done, so I would hope that the Council would understand that this is just a piece of legislation that we don't need at this point, because it might put us in a position where we're in conflict with something else. And especially with 3132.

Mr. Stonecipher: Certainly I understand you Mr. Shyne, whether it's the Stage 0 Feasibility Study, not yet complete. The Stage 1 which apparently not even going to be begun for quite a while. And then the litigation is on top of that. Point of the litigation is to freeze everything in place, and that's why we're afraid that this, of course is contrary to that.

Councilman Shyne: Right. Well, I'm going to ask that we would, with no hard feelings intended to my colleague over there, because he's a nice gentleman and I love him, but I'm going to ask the council when we get to this point, to look at that and decide that this is really not a piece of legislation that we need at this particular time.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Stonecipher. And thank you for your comments. Mr. Shyne, I have been in communication with members of the 3132 Coalition and told them I that I have no problem postponing this piece of legislation today, and just as clarification of what we said yesterday, this piece of legislation does absolutely nothing. At the point that DOTD decides to extend the control of access, they'll do that, not the city. And if they decide to do that and the Coalition moves forward with a restraining order against DOTD to do that, that's fine. My whole position on this and has been from the start that as we continue to have developers approach us with development plans in that section of Flournoy Lucas, if the State is going to extend their control of access, lets do it sooner than later. Understand the Coalition's position, and I said, when we get to that, I will ask to postpone.

Mr. Stonecipher: Can I ask a question Mr. Chairman?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, go ahead.

Mr. Stonecipher: With all due respect Mr. Corbin, I'm left a complete loss to understand, if it is as you said, and it means nothing, I don't understand what it is we're doing. And I'm sorry, I was not the one that said anything about postponing it. So I may be stepping on something that I shouldn't, but if it doesn't have some other intention or meaning, I'm not sure why it's on the agenda. We didn't have any notification of course that it would be. So we're at a real disadvantage.

Councilman Corbin: The agenda was published on Friday to the public, with that item on there, and as I said yesterday in public meeting, the only reason I put this piece of legislation forward is because I had seen a number, as you have, of documents from DOTD talking about extending the control of access. And I know that there are pending requests in this potential control of access for future development, and I'd like to clarify that as much as we could before we get into another issue over who has authority to do what.

Mr. Stonecipher: Our difficulty, and I hate to belabor this, but let me just make a point by reading the language. Requesting the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development to immediately expand the control of access on the north and south sides of Flournoy Lucas Road, LA 523, from the present control of access terminus points near Highway 3132's present and future entrance and exit ramps, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. From the very beginning, we're lost to understand. We see the 'from', and it says 'from the present controlled access terminus points.' But it doesn't say 'to', its an expansion to where?

Councilman Corbin: That's not anything the City Council would decide. That's DOTD and their documents, but again, we're not going to approve this today, we're postponing it. So, it's not an issue.

Councilman Shyne: Well, Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Stonecipher: We of course were hoping that it would be removed today.

Councilman Shyne: Right. That's - - -

Mr. Stonecipher: Being delicate, but we think it ought to be ended right here.

Councilman Shyne: Right. My position is the same. I mean I don't - - - my position is not to postpone this piece of legislation, my position is to either to vote to remove it from the agenda, or vote to kill it. Because I don't want any action, I don't want the State to think that we are giving our OK for curb cuts to be, that might impact the off ramps of 3132. And this could happen. And I just, I don't think we need this piece of legislation, and Councilman Corbin, I'm sorry, but I disagree with you on this. I would not like to see this postponed at this particular time, I would either like to see it removed from the agenda, or either I'd like to see it defeated. And of course I concur with the 3132 organization. Its just not a piece of legislation that we need at this particular time, and it kinda goes against what the MPC voted twice. A 7-0 vote that we would just kinda freeze and just kinda wait until we get these studies back before we start requesting anymore actions in that particular area. So, I just - - - I'm sorry, I just don't understand it, and my position is either going to be to remove it or defeat it.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I have a question. I had to leave on yesterday due to a 5:00 appointment, and I missed out on a couple of issues. But when we dismissed an item from our last agenda, City Council's meeting, the purpose of us dismissing that item was not to partake in this situation. I mean, my understanding could be a little off, but I thought maybe in dismissing that item at our last City Council meeting, it had kinda freed us up until all litigations were completed. Because what I'm finding myself now is getting involved with another issue involving 3132.

Mr. Stonecipher: We believed as you did Ms. McCulloch.

Councilman Shyne: Right, right. That was the intent.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So I'm just a little confused right now to myself.

Councilman Corbin: When we get to the agenda item, I believe we will have the opportunity to (inaudible)

Councilman S. Jenkins: (Inaudible) to take it up, when we get to that particular point on the agenda.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, just a question about the process. Yesterday some of the Council Members asked for Engineers to try and get us a map.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have it?

Mr. Sibley: We do, and I just wanted to ask is it going to be necessary, otherwise I'd like to release them to go back to work.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Can we go ahead and look at that now?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah. Can I make the motion to bring this up unless Councilman Corbin wants to make that motion to bring it up.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well we're in public comments, and we have had some comments on it. Yesterday, I think we were asking, I know I made a request to really see what the controlled access, the boundary of that is now. Because I too was a little confused about where was it going to? And I'm understanding that we don't have anything to say where it will go, but maybe we have something now to show where it's at now.

Mr. Sibley: That's what the Engineers (inaudible).

Councilman S. Jenkins: So I just wanted to kinda take a look if we had it (inaudible) come forward with it?

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir. Yesterday, the council asked for a map of what the State currently controls in that area, and this is a map showing that. Right.

Mr. Andrew Zagars: (City Engineer) What this exhibit shows in the two colors, the red line and the blue line. The red line is the existing control of access that the State has in the area, the blue is what per our discussions we've had in the past what are approximate proposals with other stations are. This would be the northwest corner, the southwest corner and the northeast corner. This is again through conversations we've had in the past.

Councilman S. Jenkins: So the state has controlled access across the, I call it that little wedge that the city owns.

Mr. Zagars: Correct all through the entire property that the City of Shreveport owns, and that's where it ends currently, right at the property border, and they would want to extend that approximately 300 feet to the left.

Councilwoman McCulloch: The City wants to do that?

Councilman S. Jenkins: The State.

Councilwoman McCulloch: The State, the State wants to do that. I'm sorry.

Mr. Zagars: Yeah, this is all State DOTD, so its all their - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: The state wants to extend that. That's what 186?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I may have missed this. The State wants to extend this, how does - - - would you explain how the State does extend it? I mean, what is the process?

Mr. Zagars: They're going to approach the City.

Councilman O. Jenkins: They come to us and request?

Mr. Zagars: They can actually internally, but they're going to actually do - - -well not actually, because this would be all state right of way. So it is all done internally that they do it. I think what Councilman Corbin, and correct me if I'm wrong is that you're requesting that they actually go ahead and file the descriptions and however they submit it into the state and record it as such.

Mr. Sibley: And then after they do it, they would somehow notify the City.

Mr. Zagars: They will notify us, we will know.

Mr. Sibley: And then we will adjust maps and whatever.

Councilman Corbin: And based on any documents you've seen, there's no extension on the southeast quadrant?

Mr. Zagars: Correct, and so (inaudible) long enough for their needs (inaudible) that's out there now.

Councilman Corbin: I had seen a document that suggested they were going to take it all the way to the entrance to Twelve Oaks.

Mr. Zagars: From what we've had in discussion, (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I think the other week when the distinguished Councilman, Councilman Oliver Jenkins made the motion that we not take that piece of legislation up from the MPC, kinda indicated that and Councilwoman McCulloch, you're right that we would have a moratorium, just kinda freeze. And we would not look to do anything else in this particular area until the State comes back with their study and their findings. So, I don't see no reason why we need to rush the state in doing something that they need to do. Let 'em work at their own pace. And matter of fact, it's a state highway, and its left up to them anyway to determine where the curb cut would be. So, its not good for us to get involved in this at this particular time, with all of the other litigation that's going on. I just think that it's a bad piece of legislation at this particular time.

Mr. Stonecipher: Mr. Chairman, that's more information than we've had until right now, and now, the lights are coming on. So I don't want at least say this, and I'm not sure how useful this will be, but I need to at least say it. A vote then in favor of this is a message to somebody, including DOTD that the City Council is in agreement with whatever is about to be done, and we have taken the opposition position which to be again, slightly indelicate. DOTD has been sued. They should do whatever it is they want to do. It is theirs to do. Nobody else wants to be in the position of endorsing as we hope we've proven over the last 18 months, but DOTD may unilaterally decide to do. So a less polite way to say it is, you have a pending lawsuit that sits there to get at exactly these issues. Whatever reason DOTD has had for extending control of access, when it did and where it did. And where it didn't. They should be responsible for. Not the City Council or any other agency. Now I will admit, there is an argument that can be made that this very issue could have been taken up by NLCOG, but even that's being argued legally now. Certainly it is not the City Council's prerogative to be in this business. I mean, that's not what a City Council does.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Stonecipher, I see both sides of this argument. I mean this would leave something, I mean I'm not a lawyer, but it seems to me, if you're suing, you collectively, and I don't mean you personally, or an organization is suing over this whole issue that's historic. I mean its actions that have been taken in the past - - -

Mr. Stonecipher: Injunctive relief Councilman. What's pending is if necessary, we beat a path to the court to greatly hasten with a request for a temporary restraining order. Its

not exactly what the Council is contemplating is one example. I could give you several others.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I mean I would think on some of that, and maybe this is where you get into the argument, you would - - - some of the previous arguments was that there was a lack of transparency in light of things going on outside the city, or you have a body, I guess that's arguable, that is paid a lot of attention to this issue, or your points have been well versed to us, but publicly and in this particular Council Chamber, on some I'm surprised you're not in support of that determination of this particular area residing with the body you clearly have as much influence on as anyone. And I don't mean that in a negative or positive way. I mean you all certainly elect us, we're here before you. You converse with us on a regular basis, we certainly know what some of the leadership does for our community, and we're very responsive to that. To some extent, this would seem and mine may be twisted logic, that you would want us to have more authority over this area.

Mr. Stonecipher: Thank you for your candor, and I will share mine. No. 1, we have learned if nothing else in the past 18 months, and over the history of this project to always stop and get rid of any surprises because there has never been positive surprises. There is a vested interested at work in the discussion we're having today, whether we know that vested interest or not. And I do not, but I can assure you, anybody whose worked as hard as we have for as long as we have to learn about this today, this is not a good thing. If we haven't learned anything else, and I don't mean any disrespect to Mr. Corbin. What we've learned is code in this context (inaudible), code in this context is control of access. Code in this context is a half-dozen other things. When you hear 'em, somebody's trying to bend or break the rules to make money. It has never failed, and it has horribly damaged the public interest. That's what the lawsuit is for. We tried for 18 months to get every involved state agency, every involved local agency and now, the Federal Highway Administration to duly without litigation fix what is obviously a very serious problem. They wouldn't, with the exception of the MPC. We ended up in court because of that. We would like to at least now have our day in court without anymore special interest finding creative ways to change what was a public project the voters put their money in, and turn it into a profit center for three or four or eight or ten people.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Mr. President, what I just wanted to say if in case this item is not removed or postponed, if I'm expected to vote on this item today, I'm going to ask to abstain, based on our last meeting, and my understanding that we as a municipality would wait until all litigation was completed. So for abstaining, that would be my reasons.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well let me say this. I'm not certain, of course we could ask Julie there, I'm not certain that's a valid reason to abstain. I do share with you now, when we kinda took the last action on this case, my feeling was you know the thing was in court.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Right, mine too.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And it needs to play itself out over there in court. So, it's legislation that's been put forth by Mr. Corbin, he has a right to try to move forward with that today. Understand that Councilman Shyne didn't want to take some action one way or the other, he has a right to do that, when we come around, I hope that all of us would carry out our duty and vote one way or the other on it. But I felt like this was something that needed to play itself out in another theatre, which is court. I mean this is what my feelings are about it right now. And that's the appropriate place at this stage to try to get some of it resolved.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman McCulloch, I would hope that you would vote to support a motion in order to remove this from the agenda or either to vote it down. Because we don't need to get into this. This is not something that the Council needs to get into. First of all, it's not our business where the state would let curb cuts. I think that's why the state is holding up, because they're smart enough to see that hey look, we need to wait before we start dealing with this particular area, so that we can see the impact of 3132. I don't think we need to be voting at this particular point to give our okay to the state that you know it's okay with you to go ahead on, because curb cuts in the wrong place will impact 3132. It will do that, and we have voted to wait until the dust has settled. I mean its no use to hurry to come up with curb cuts in that particular area. It's just no use to us hurrying. So I would hope that you would rethink, cause I think the councilman over there is going to make motion to postpone, and I'm going - - - I'll tell him right now, I'm going to make a second motion in order to just remove it from the agenda, and I would hope that we would have enough votes to remove this from the agenda, either to kill it. Because we don't need to be getting into this. We've got too many other things that we need to be concerned about instead of getting into this. And I think 3132 organization has looked into this, and have been down here for what, the last 8 or 9 months maybe?

Mr. Stonecipher: Too long Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, too long and here we are, we're still trying to do something, you know we're still trying to do something with that area, that we don't need to do anything with. Like I say, I love my colleagues, but I just think that he's doing the wrong thing at the wrong time.

Councilman S. Jenkins : Does somebody mind catching Mr. Price. I was fixing to call him, he just went out. Mr. Price just went out in the lavender jacket. Somebody catch him, tell him don't leave, I'm getting ready to call him up next.

Councilman Corbin: I think I can put an end to this. When the legislation comes up, please vote 'NO'. And I hope that the lawsuits progress in the courts so we get some movement on this. Because we're talking about the area of town that's growing. I completely understand preservation of the corridor, and what we have to do with that, I appreciate what the Coalition is doing to look at the decisions that have been made over the past few years. I don't particularly like the implication that maybe this Council is still trying to muck up the process, but I hope the courts will hear this soon and we will be able to move forward. But when we get to this piece of legislation, please vote 'NO'. Join me in voting 'NO'.

Councilman Shyne: Alright, thank you Councilman..

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Stonecipher.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Were we able to catch Mr. Price?

Mr. Sibley: And I'm going to release the Engineer, if that's okay?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes.

Mr. Alexander Kent: (4041 Sunny Book Dr) I'm here on behalf of the Robinson Film Center, I'm the Executive Director there. Thank you very much for the opportunity to address you today. We're actually going to talk very quickly about a piece of equipment that's very vital to especially the heartbeat of that Robison Film Center. Let me just give you a little bit of background. It's safe to say that in less than five years since we opened our doors downtown, we have ushered 350,000 people through our doors. These people buy movie tickets, they buy popcorn, they buy diner, and I think more importantly that for us inside the organization, they support 35 jobs. Each and everyday our patrons, members and donors, we believe revitalize downtown by keeping our doors open and providing the programming that we do each and every single day. We strongly believe that our seed of Robinson Film Center makes Shreveport a better place, and we're grateful to provide the programming and the educational opportunities that enrich movie goers, that enrich K-12 students and your citizens, family members, and of course film makers. So five years ago, basically when we opened our doors, basically about five years ago, it was in May 2008, so we're kinda coming up on it, we were state of the art facility that offered projection capabilities, and when we say that, the technology that we use to actually show films, it was the best in the world. And we rely

on right now a system that's decades upon decades old 35 mm projection. Well if you've been to any of the theatres lately, 35mm is going away and is going away very quickly. The film distribution industry has basically mandated that every single theatre that converts to a new format, a digital, a high definition digital format, that will replace all film exhibition from 35mm to a new format called DCP, and they're going to do that, and they're actually doing that right now. By the end of 2013, it will be completely gone, there will be no 35mm projection. That will only be only very old films that you can show, or new films which we rely upon to get those people into the buildings are going to be in this high definition format. So, basically, what we're asking you is to support a one time capital expenditure to help us upgrade these projectors that are in the room. We currently have \$150,000 campaign that we're raising money for. We're \$76,000 in on that to replace two projectors. We have two theatres at the Robinson Film Center, one for a small arcade or 48 seats, one for a larger at 132. That's where the bulk of where everybody sees their movies. Basically what that is going to do is install this new projection system, and when we complete a fundraising for this, we will install these DCP or Digital Cinema Projection compliance system that is going to allow us to operate as successful as we have for the last five years. We need this equipment basically to go forward and it has been warned by the National Association Theatre Owners that you - - - this is really the reality of it, you convert to digital or you die. Because in about a year and a half, the stuff doesn't exist. Maybe and we are actually seeing that some distributors are taking this format away from us altogether. So it's limiting what we can actually show and it's limiting how we can support festivals, it's limiting how we can support series, things like the Louisiana Film Prize are going to become increasingly digital. Every single festival that we do are going to be switching to that format. So as an exhibitor, as a nonprofit film organization, we need to become DCP compliant, and what we're asking you to do is invest in a one time piece of technology that is going to help us maintain our reputation and our capabilities as a state of the art film center that can show first run features, and if the film center basically can't do that, it can't operate at the size that it is right now. So we're humbly asking your support to support this projection project.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman? I think I was the one who had some questions about this on yesterday, and this is what you have to do. My position might be a little different from Oliver's or Jeff's or Michael's or whatever, because I have to be able to explain legislation like this that I vote on for one group, and I hope Ms. Gipson doesn't mind me calling her name, but lets say a group like Ms. Gipson's who might come down and ask for some funding, and I tell them, we don't have it. But we have it for you. I mean do you kinda see the position that I'm in, and that's why I have to have information in order to be able to make an intelligent decision in order to be able to justify what I'm doing. I can't just vote for it, because I think it's a good piece of legislation without you

actually coming down and explaining and giving me some information where I can make an intelligent decision on. Because I have to go back and believe it or not I have to go back face her maybe every four years. So I have to be able to make an intelligent decision say now, this is the reason why. And I owe that explanation to them because they're taxpayers. You know I have to be able to make and justify the reason why I do what I do, the decisions that I make. So I appreciate you coming down, I have a better insight now into what the legislation is all about, and I have a better insight into your needs. Because that's why you're here asking for this. So it makes it better for me when you come down and do what you do, and you all have an eloquent, I believe that was Mitt Romney say that he didn't use eloquent words from time to time, but you have an eloquent representative here in Jeff. Jeff always use eloquent words, but I have to understand and know why you're doing and what you're doing, and why you're asking for what you're asking and the impact that its going to have on the community at large. I like what you all do, and I appreciate what you all do, and I think it's a tremendous enhancement to the quality of life for this community. And I don't care how many other things you have in a community, you got to have some quality life out there. Because if you don't have 'em Dale, we will never get to be what is it, The Next Great City of the South". Well, I think we've had that motto for a long time, and I know the Mayor doesn't want me to change his, but I'll recall one of my own, and I'll say to become One of the Great Cities of the South, we have to have quality of life. And you have to come down and you know before I vote, you have to come down and justify it. I mean I don't mean no harm, but you understand. If you were sitting right here, and I was you, you would want me to do the same thing. So I appreciate it. Mr. Chairman, thank you so much hear?

Mr. Henry Price: (6640 Santa Monica) Good afternoon. I currently serve on the Robinson Film Center Board. I've been involved with the film center since it inception in 2008. The mission of the Robinson Film Center is to educate, enrich, and maintain and entertain through the power of film. We are actively involved in the quality of life for the entire community. One of the things that we have is an educational outreach component. Where it involves not only the larger community, but the schools in particular. There are some community activities that we're involved with, namely where we go into the neighborhoods and show films in neighborhoods and parks for free. Not everybody is able to come downtown, and watch movies, but those who do, should be exposed to the best that we have to offer. The same thing holds true in the neighborhoods. When we go into the parks and we show films, we're trying to enhance the quality of life. Our educational outreach involves schools throughout the community, namely Summer Grove. We do Byrd High School, Oil City, Southwood, A.C. Steere, Linear, some of the home schools, the Boys and Girls Club, Herndon, South Highlands, what was West Shreveport, Northside, BTW. So, when we're talking

about our ethics, it is to impact the greater community, not just a segment, but all of the community. So we ask that you seriously consider the request that we're asking for the \$25,000. That is about one-sixth of the total amount that we are going to need in order to upgrade. Alex indicated that you know either you convert, or you die. For those who have been to the film center, you've seen what we do, and we want to continue to do that in a quality way. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to thank him for coming down. We've known one another for a long time. And you are quality.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, I certainly will be supportive of the legislation when it does come up on the agenda, and I say that because I look back over the course of the year, and I know we've made amendments, and I think I have seen an effort to make sure that we are reaching all aspects of the community. And I think that's very important to me. I look at our summer jobs program, I look at MSI, I look at another of amendments that we made earlier this year to reach out to some organizations that did not get funded in the General Budget. If that spirit of cooperation continues on this Council, I think it's a good thing and it will be something that will help a lot of organizations in the community to be able to go out and fulfill their role in what they're trying to do.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I have a question. Where exactly would we look at getting these funds from?

Councilman Everson: Coming from the Economic Development Funds that were going to go towards the SCEDA project. And that's in this year's budget, not an next year's budget, this year's budget.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, thank you. Let's entertain a motion to suspend the rules so we can go ahead and - - - I think we only got one person to speak at the end, and that's our good friend, Sammy. No need to make him miss his bus, huh?

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to suspend the rules. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Corbin. 1. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I hope that means we don't have to let anybody speak that comes in late at the end.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We'll take that up when the time comes. We're not going to hold this guy here up.

Mr. Sammy Mears: (1901 Centenary Blvd) I'm sorry that Ron Webb couldn't be here today, but I do wish him the very best and also for Cedric to get well too. I do want to say first of all that the last time I was here, I made some very strong comments to the so-called selfish cowards that did what they did to the school property up at First Baptist Church of Shreveport, and I'm not going to owe any apologies to them. Because like I said before there were no rights or permissions for them to do what they did. And I'm not going to make any apologies. I still hope that they might turn themselves in before its too late, and hope that they get caught before too long. And I've already decided to put that behind me, and be able to hopefully move on. Because two things have happened during the past couple of weeks that were really good. And Jeff I was telling you about this earlier because your wife Andrea, she came to the Highland Blessing Dinner and she and I met. She brought in these two little dogs of hers and they were really cute. And she came around to say hello to everyone. She said hello to me, but she forgot to say who she was. And I said to myself, she knows me, but I don't know her?

Councilman Everson: But she remembered you. She watches you on TV, and so she knew right who you were.

Mr. Mears: She told me that and she said she'd been watching me address you and the rest of the City Council. And so that was good for me to know. And she got to speak for a little while about the dog situation that came up during the weekend.

Councilman Everson: The importance of spay-neutering and things like that.

Mr. Mears: That's correct. She did get to talk about that and I got to talk to her afterwards. And Oliver, something good happened in your case, just as well. I met your mother-in-law, Mary Ann who was serving at one of the tables. Because she came up and she said that she had seen me down here dozens of times talking to you and everybody else. And she said you were her son-in-law, and I said, WHAT?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well she did tell me Sammy, that she had met you.

Mr. Mears: And I'm sure that you had got my message, when I said, does the name Mary Ann ring a bell? I figured that you would get that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: She's probably watching Sammy, so you'd better be nice.

Mr. Mears: I'm being nice in my own little way. But the fact was that these two - - - I saw that Rose. This is really a couple of good things that happened over at the Highland Center, and I'm going to encourage everyone to please come on Thursday night. Try to get to the Highland Center if you could possibly before 6:00 because they always have a good dinner there. LaShun and Priscilla may not even know that I'm speaking on their behalf, but I wanted to let you all know every Thursday night, what they do is they'll get out. What they do is they get tables out with tickets and name tags. And they ask you to sign your name about maybe 5:00 and then they'll get you seated in the Chapel, seven people to a table. And then when they get you seated, they'll bring out the food, you'll be in the Chapel watching perhaps a movie or something that they'll have up on the screen. And this is a very great opportunity because, they show something I think its called The Voice, but its usually the highlights, and then somebody will come in about 6:00. They'll do a little blessing and then we take our tickets and our name tags and we go into the gym and they take the tickets, they get us seated, at each table. The food is served and they'll have a little devotion afterwards. And we encourage everybody to please come. And also if you could, please continue to save your change for next year's Labor Day Telethon, as I'm looking forward to helping again and Sam I meant to bring your can back to you, unfortunately I got tied up with something that was a minor technicality, and I did not get a chance to bring it back, but maybe in the next few weeks, you'll get your can back and you can start with more change.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I use a different can. You can keep that can.

Mr. Mears: I'm not that desperate. But if you've got something worked out on that, I'm sure they appreciate it. Just keep up the good work. Please continue saving your change. MDA is always going to be a very good cause to me. Thank you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Sammy. While we're in suspension of the rules, does anyone want to bring up any matters on the agenda? Mr. Clerk, can we do that if we're under suspension of the rules?

Ms. Glass: If that was one of the original motions to suspend the rules, for it not to be just for the one speaker.

Councilman Everson: Why don't we just - - -

Councilman Shyne: If you move back into the Regular Agenda, I'll make a motion to bring up 186 so we can vote on it. And if its any interested parties, and I know there are some interested parties, they can go home.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, well lets do this. I was looking at 185, that's the Film Center legislation.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, we can bring both of them up if you want to?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Sure I'm happy to do whatever. I mean I'd just as soon go through the agenda as it is.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well lets do this. We cannot go ahead and take these up under the suspension of the rules now, we need another motion? Is that what I'm hearing?

Ms. Glass: Right. If there's no objection, you can always do that.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to consider Resolution No. 185 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 185 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO AMEND RESOLUTION NO. 271 OF 2011, WHICH ALLOCATED FUNDS BUDGETED IN THE "PUBLIC AGENCY" APPROPRIATIONS SUB-OBJECT OF "OTHER CHARGES" IN THE 2012 RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND, AND RESOLUTION NO. 276 OF 2011 WHICH ALLOCATED FUNDS BUDGETED IN THE "CIVIC APPROPRIATIONS" SUB-OBJECT OF "OTHER CHARGES" IN THE 2012 RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: JEFF EVERSON

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 271 of 2011 allocated \$550,000 in the "Public Agency" appropriations sub-object of "Other Charges" in the 2012 Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund to certain organization named therein; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 276 of 2011 allocated funds in the "Civic Appropriations" sub-object of "Other Charges" in the 2012 Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund to certain organization named therein, including \$25,000 for the Robinson Film Center; and

WHEREAS, this resolution would increase funds to The Robinson Film Center by \$25,000 and decrease funds to Shreveport Caddo Economic Development Authority by \$25,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that:

1. Resolution No. 271 of 2011 is hereby amended by decreasing the allocation of funds for the Shreveport Caddo Economic Development Authority by \$25,000.
2. Resolution No. 276 of 2011 is hereby amended by increasing the allocation of funds for the Robinson Film Center by \$25,000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Councilman Corbin: I will make a motion to bring up Resolution No. 186. And again, I would encourage a 'NO' vote.

Councilwoman McCulloch: To bring up?

Councilman S. Jenkins: No we're actually voting on it.

8. Resolution No. 186 of 2012: A resolution requesting the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development to immediately expand the control of access on the north and south sides of Flournoy Lucas Road (LA 523) from the present control of access terminus points near Highway 3132's present and future entrance and exit ramps, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to consider Resolution No. 186 of 2012.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay well I meant to vote 'NO' then.

Ms. Johnson: You can change it Ms. McCulloch.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I can change it? Okay.

Motion failed by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Ayes: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Councilman Corbin So moved to go back into regular session.

Councilman O. Jenkins: You feel that at least this point, since there are some people waiting and that's been our (inaudible)?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right, that's what I was trying to do.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'd like to bring up the sign ordinance which - - - of course I can't find it at the moment. Okay.

Councilman Shyne: That's number what?

Councilman O. Jenkins: That's 129 I believe, and I've put forth an amendment.

Ms. Glass: Mr. Jenkins, we sent that to Lynette, and she said it should be up in about five minutes. Oh, maybe I need to refresh.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I think if you refresh, it should come up. I put forth an amendment that limits this certainly taking into account what Mr. Kane has presented to us, and the amendment essentially limits this "moratorium" to the South Highlands area. You can see that other than South Highlands, we used the term as streets to bound the area of what constitutes the South Highlands area. I've also based on his recommendation extended this moratorium til the end of February. It didn't seem like this was an impact to him when he mentioned that, I asked him several times on that. There is clearly some suggestion out there that any moratorium is an anti business piece of legislation. I'm not going to refute that, other than say that we are elected by citizens and certainly this neighborhood community is very much concerned about this particular issue. I've made a commitment to them to enact some type of legislation that accommodates some further study and (inaudible) a committee such as the one Mr.

Kane is proposing. I think four months is an adequate time to study this. I also believe that based on where we find ourselves with the uniform development code at that point, you know there may be some obvious choices on moving forward this in either bringing those recommendations into the UDC development or getting some from whoever that contractor is, if and when he is appointed which should be in the next month. So, that's my where that amendment, its there on the link and I'd like to make a motion to amend

- - -

Mr. Thompson: To adopt Amendment No. 1.

Councilman O. Jenkins: To adopt Amendment No. 1

4. **Ordinance No. 129 of 2012**: An ordinance temporarily prohibiting the placement of electronic signs and variable message signs in any zoning district and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins) *Postponed October 9, 2012*

Having passed first reading on September 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 129 of 2012

Delete the ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached ordinance.

Explanation of amendment:

Amends the ordinance to apply only to off-premises advertising signs in the historic neighborhood bounded by Kings Highway, Southern Avenue, Pierremont Road, Fern Avenue from Pierremont Road to Gregg Avenue, and Gilbert Drive from Gregg Avenue to Kings Highway.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 129 of 2012.

Councilman Shyne: Question. I was about to ask you Mr. Chairman, what is your - - -

Councilman Shyne: With all due respect, I cannot support a moratorium of any kind at any location. I believe that Mr. Clarke came up on yesterday and he assured me that there were some safeguards in place with these signs under the existing ordinance. Now do we need to revisit it and maybe kinda look at it a little bit more to deal with some of this new technology? Maybe so. But I just don't see where holding businesses up that

way as well as the people who want to advertise through this type medium, for this short period of time be it till the end of the year or four months, I just don't see where the moratorium will be making that much of a difference. I think that needs to be discussed out, it needs to be looked at and we need to come up with something, but I'm just not comfortable with the moratorium. I just think it has some unintentional consequences that's going to be there, and as far as addressing the concerns, I think they could have done without a moratorium. So.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I guess I'll say once more, this doesn't prevent the use of current electronic billboards. It only prevents the use of additional billboards in this particular area that he essentially presented to us today that there are no locations.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And then of course I'm not - - - you know I'm saying what the citizens are saying. I really do, and I believe that what's in place right now, are some safeguards, uniformly throughout the city when it comes to billboards and electronic billboards. I do think its something that needs to be looked at a little bit further, I agree with that. I just don't see where the moratorium is going to benefit that discussion. I really don't see where a short term moratorium is going to play any significant role in somebody sitting down and maybe talking this out, seeing where they're going to go with it. And that's just my point of view on it, I certainly respect your point of view on it.

Councilman Shyne: I call for the vote at this point.

Motion fails by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen McCulloch, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 4. Ayes: Councilmen Everson and O. Jenkins 2. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I liked the amendment better, because I thought it was less restrictive. (Inaudible) an amendment - - -

Councilman Shyne: And now that the amendment failed, the ordinance is probably going to do the same thing.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I have one question. On yesterday, wasn't it explained that where Oliver is there was a limited amount of boards that could be put up in his district and they had pretty much exceeded that number? Is that what was explained yesterday? Saying there would be no need to do a moratorium. If his district has exceeded the number seven. I think that's what I heard yesterday? Is that what I heard? Yeah, seven was the limit. So then there would be no need for a moratorium. And that's all I have to say.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt Ordinance No. 129 of 2012. Motion fails by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Ayes: Councilman O. Jenkins. 1. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to reconvene into regular session. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Confirmation and Appointment: None

Consent Agenda Legislation

To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None.

Ordinances: None.

To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None

Ordinances: None.

Regular Agenda Legislation

Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 178 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF THE 2013 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD) AND OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, pursuant to regulation of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the City of Shreveport is required to submit an Annual Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Annual Action Plan describes activities and programs that are aligned with the Strategic Plan, as outlined in the Consolidated Plan and outline proposed expenditures of the Community Planning and Development formula grant programs, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), and Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG); and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor is hereby authorized to submit the 2013 Annual Action Plan to HUD substantially in accordance with the attached draft which is filed for public inspection with the original of this resolution in the Office of the Clerk of Council on October 02, 2012.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that that Mayor is hereby authorized to execute and submit any and all documents necessary for the submission of 2013 Annual Action Plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any problems or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, O. Jenkins, Corbin, and S. Jenkins. 4. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Corbin and Shyne. 2. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 180 2012

A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OR AN AGENCY THEREOF RELATIVE TO RECEIPT OF AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE GRANT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has been notified of the award of a \$1,200,000 grant from the United States Department of Commerce-Economic Development Administration (EDA); and

WHEREAS, the grant funds will be used to restore infrastructure in the Ledbetter Heights community to stimulate economic opportunity and expansion.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the execution of the Financial Assistance Award and all other documents required by the United States Department of Commerce or an agency thereof relative to the City of Shreveport's receipt and use of an economic development initiative grant in the amount of \$1,200,000 is hereby ratified.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Corbin. 1. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 181 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO WAIVE THE BACKGROUND CHECK FEES FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THE NURSING ASSISTANT PROGRAM AT THE CADDO CAREER AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER IN SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY: COUNCILMAN JEFF EVERSON

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport desires to encourage its young adults to pursue worthwhile careers, and the preparation for gainful employment of young adults,

particularly in the medical field, is beneficial to the economic and social well being of the city; and

WHEREAS, twenty-six students are currently enrolled in the Nursing Assistant Program at the Caddo Career and Technology Center in Shreveport, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the students are required to have a criminal background check conducted by the Shreveport Police Department to enter the clinical internship at a local medical institution; and

WHEREAS, Section 26-102 (2) establishes a fee of \$20.00 per individual record check for Background Record Checks; and

WHEREAS, neither the Caddo Career and Technology Center nor the enrolled students have the available resources to pay the \$520.00 in fees that would be required by Section 26-106 (2) to conduct these background checks; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that fees for conducting the criminal background checks for the high school students enrolled in the Nursing Assistant Program at the Caddo Career and Technology Center in the fall semester, 2012, are hereby waived.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: Out of the Chamber: Councilman Corbin. 1. None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 182 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY’S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city’s interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an Adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment “A-11” for non-payment of City Property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the properties described in Attachment “A-11” and has not received any indication that it is needed for City purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment “A-11” are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this

declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301 (1) (d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes:

Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: Out of the Chamber: Councilman Corbin. 1. None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 183 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT IN CONNECTION WITH THE SHREVEPORT COMMONS PROJECT, PARCEL NUMBERS: P-3, P-4, P-5 AND P-6, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Shreveport Commons project as part of SPAR; and

WHEREAS, the properties listed and described below are situated within the said development:

Parcel No.	Geographical #	Physical Address	Legal Description	Assessed Owner
P-3	181437-014-0013-00	869 Texas Avenue	Lot 13, Block 1, TAL 5 W.R. Carter Sub. Shreveport, LA	Shreve Town Corporation
P-4	181437-014-0012-00	869 Texas Avenue	Lot 12, Block 1, TAL 5 Shreveport, LA W.R. Carter Sub.	Shreve Town Corporation
P-5	181437-014-0045-00	873 Texas Avenue	Lots 10 & 11, Block 1, TAL 5 Shreveport, LA W.R. Carter Sub.	Shreve Town Corporation
P-6	181437014-0026-00	883 Texas Ave	A certain lot 53 feet along Texas Avenue South of Lot 10 or W. R. Carter Sub. Shreveport, LA	Shreve Town Corporation

WHEREAS, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising the aforementioned Parcels have failed; and

WHEREAS, the properties are adjudicated to the Parish of Caddo and the City of Shreveport for the non-payment of taxes,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of these properties by the City of Shreveport is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the

City Attorney be and is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: Out of the Chamber: Councilman Corbin. 1. None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 184 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT IN CONNECTION WITH THE SHREVEPORT COMMONS PROJECT, PARCEL NO: P-9 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Shreveport Commons project as part of SPAR; and

WHEREAS, the property listed and described below is situated within the said development:

Parcel No.	Geographical #	Physical Address	Legal Description	Assessed Owner
P-9	181437-017-0001-00	802 Common Street	Lot 1 of Ten Acre Lot 6, Talley Sub., Shreveport, LA	Shreve Town Corporation

WHEREAS, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising the aforementioned Parcel has failed; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property by the City of Shreveport is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 188 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO SUPPORT THE STATE OF LOUISIANA'S HORIZONTAL SEVERANCE TAX INVESTMENT INCENTIVE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY: COUNCILMAN JEFF EVERSON

WHEREAS, the exploration and development of unconventional and deep oil and natural gas resources has provided substantial economic development and community benefits throughout the state of Louisiana;

WHEREAS, the state of Louisiana's Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive allows the suspension of severance taxes due on production from a "Horizontal" and "Deep" well from the date of first production until payout of qualified costs or 24 months, whichever comes first;

WHEREAS, the Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive was enacted for the purpose of providing economic incentives to drill capital intensive (~\$8 million each) oil and natural gas wells and to continue production from marginal wells;

WHEREAS, the utilization of the Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive has resulted in significant economic impact to the state of Louisiana, including the creation of more than 60,000 jobs that have kept state employment above average levels, the injection of over \$13 billion dollars in investment in the state, and over \$1 billion paid to local, parish and state governments since the discovery of the Haynesville shale in late 2007;

WHEREAS, for every \$1 of severance tax invested under the Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive, the state gained approximately \$3 in revenues to the treasury to support state services and programs, such as health care, education and road construction;

WHEREAS, with natural gas at decade-low prices, Louisiana is experiencing a renaissance in new commercial and industrial construction activity, particularly in the synthetic fuel and chemical industries where the Louisiana Department of Economic Development is forecasting seven to 15 projects costing \$500 million to \$5 billion apiece to locate in the state:

WHEREAS, the Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive has enabled the oil and natural gas industry to contribute substantial funding to nonprofit agencies which provide food, shelter and social service programs to residents of the state of Louisiana;

WHEREAS, increased competition from oil and natural gas resource plays around the country will attract capital investment if Louisiana fails to remain competitive;

WHEREAS, an attempt to repeal this incentive would create economic uncertainty for companies who depend heavily on this incentive and could result in a loss of the economic and community benefits of these essential developments;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Shreveport supports the state of Louisiana's Horizontal Severance Tax Investment Incentive to ensure the state of Louisiana remains an attractive marketplace for exploring and developing deep oil and gas reserves and contributing significant economic impact to local and state governments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid

provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

11. **Resolution No. 189 of 2012**: Engaging legal counsel on behalf of the City of Shreveport (The “City”) in conjunction with the ordering and call of a special election to be held in the city on December 8, 2012 to authorize the renewal of the levy and collection of a one-fourth percent sales and use tax therein

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Introduction of Resolutions (*Not to be adopted prior to November 13, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 190 of 2012**: A resolution ratifying the use of certain equipment by Olive Street Ministries and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

2. **Resolution No. 191 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the Purchasing Agent to dispose by public auction of surplus vehicles, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to introduce Resolution No(s). 190 and 191 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Introduction of Ordinances (*Not to be adopted prior to November 13, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 155 of 2012**: An ordinance closing and abandoning the 20 foot alley abutting Lot 34, Monrovia Place and the east 8.5 feet of Lot 2, Mook Haven No. 2, located in Section 18 (T17N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

2. **Ordinance No. 156 of 2012**: An ordinance closing and abandoning the 60 foot wide portion of Fetzer Avenue, between Cross Lake Boulevard and South Lakeshore Drive, in the Lakeshore Heights Subdivision located in Section 4 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

3. **Ordinance No. 157 of 2012 - ZONING – C-58-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the NE corner of West Bert Kouns, and Westport Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA **from B-3, Community Business District to I-1, Light Industry District**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

4. **Ordinance No. 158 of 2012 - ZONING – C-63-12**: An ordinance amending Section 698 of Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, The City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

5. **Ordinance No. 159 of 2012 - ZONING – C-64-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the NW side of Southpointe Parkway, 5001 feet NE of Knight Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, **from R-3, Urban Multi-Family Residence District to R-3-E, Urban Multi-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to “The Addition of an Adult Daycare Facility”**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to introduce Ordinance No(s). 155, 156, 157, 158, and 159 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 111 of 2012**: An ordinance authorizing the lease of City-Owned property and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson) (*Postponed October 9, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on August 28, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch.

AMENDMENT 2 (Revised) TO ORDINANCE NO. 111 OF 2012

Substitute the attached ordinance for the ordinance previously submitted.

Explanation of Amendment:

This amendment incorporates the changes proposed in Amendment No 1, which:

“... revises the language in the “BE IT ORDAINED” paragraph as follows:

In sub-paragraph 1, amends the language to state that the initial term for the lease of any property shall be a minimum of one (1) year;

In sub-paragraph 2, clarifies the language relative to use of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as the escalator to determine the amount of the lease payment during a renewal term.”; and

This amendment makes the following additional changes:

1. It amends the first “BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED” paragraph to provide prior to executing any lease with terms of and options for more than five (5) years, the Mayor shall file notice in writing with the Clerk of Council of the proposed lease, along with a copy of the proposed lease. The Clerk of Council shall forward the notice to all City Council members. The Mayor may proceed to execute the lease five business days after the notice is filed with the Clerk of Council unless within that time three council members file a written objection to the proposed lease with the Clerk of Council. If such objections are filed, the Clerk shall place a resolution to approve the lease on the agenda of the next regular meeting or a special city council meeting if one is called for that purpose, and the Mayor shall not execute the lease unless the City Council adopts the resolution in accordance with Section 4.17 of the City Charter.

2. It adds a new “BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED” paragraph which requires periodic reports to the City Council.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think what I was proposing to do yesterday, I changed it to this method. What I was proposing yesterday was going to cause some time to (inaudible) advertisement with the individual leases which is not what I intended to do. This pretty much does, it allows the process to move forward. Council Members will be notified about long term leases, and if collectively three Council Members have some concerns we would have that period of time where we could bring those concerns back to the Council, and have those concerns addressed. If collectively three members of the Council don't have any concerns, then the process just moves forward as it should. And I think that's - - - I'm hoping that's more palatable than what was being suggested yesterday. And I apologize for that yesterday because I learned yesterday during the discussion that I may be doing something that may have cause each one of those to be advertised over again. So that's not what I was trying to do.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, it might have been that you were doing that, but your intent was good. And I want to applaud you for your intent and I want to applaud you for this piece of legislation. I mean this is sound, this is the way it should be done when you're dealing with public property, when you're dealing with property that belongs to the people. If it was a private situation, it's a different ballgame. But when you're dealing with public property and especially situations like this, you want to make sure that you cover all your bases. You want to make sure that its transparent. And I want to give you credit. I don't want to give you too much credit, because it might be some folks out there that might want to help your business out.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I appreciate that. I would like some support on it, I think it keeps the Council and the information (inaudible) what's going on, but at the same time, it doesn't interrupt commerce. It doesn't interrupt the ability for them to go forward with the leases. And if three members of this council collectively thought its something wrong, I think we should stop and at least take a look at what the situation is.

Councilman Shyne: And I know you had Mr. Sibley to look at it before you finalized it, because it's a good piece of legislation. And all good things come from - - - well, I was about to say all good things come from Dale Sibley.

Mr. Sibley: I don't have a vote Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: I know.

Councilman Everson: But did the Administration get a chance to review this?

Mr. Sibley: We did get a chance to see it. I mean this is basically what was discussed yesterday and we understood that there was going to be an effort to try and remove the

impediment in terms of time, but still accomplish what the Chairman wanted to accomplish. But obviously the Mayor is listening and he may have some additional comments.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Council, let me first of all Mr. Chairman by extending my thanks and appreciation to you for taking the time to try and figure out how to make the situation I think better than where it started. And obviously, if this ends up being the outcome that we're left with, it is certainly substantially better than where we started on yesterday, and I have to give credit to you and your willingness along with Council Staff and other members of Council to work with us to try to evolve the situation. I will simply however say for the record as I shared with you in some of our earlier discussions. I think part of what needs to be paralleled with this situation is that we now, as the City of Shreveport are in similar fashion to our neighbors across the river in Bossier at the Boardwalk, with two at least substantially publicly owned retail assets. The City of Bossier has \$40+ (million) that's tied up in the parking garage and other landside and riverside improvements that are part of the Louisiana Bossier Boardwalk. But what you do not have in that situation is a set of circumstances where any of those lease agreements are subject to come back before this type of a process. It gives them the ability to be able to essentially move at the speed of business. And as I shared with the Chair earlier, one thing I do not want us to find ourselves in is an overly politicized process that ends up getting bogged down in what can sometimes be contentious politics in our efforts to try and effectively reposition, repackage, and rebrand this area. Its an area that already has obviously a history of failure, significant investment of city resources, and we need to make sure that we afforded every opportunity to be successful, and we don't end up giving ourselves, while well intended one of those types of hindrances that puts us in a posture of being able to be successful. But again, as I said earlier, I believe what the Chairman has offered, gives us a substantial improvement over the original (inaudible). I think as the conversation, the dialogue has evolved, that he's developed an appreciation from some aspects of it, and certainly we're going to look to continue to work to try and make the best of this situation and go forward. And hopefully give the citizens of Shreveport some decent return on what now stands as a \$26+ (million) investment.

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt Amendment No. 2 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: Councilman Everson. 1. Councilman Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Ordinance No. 111 of 2012 as amended.

Councilwoman McCulloch: As amended according to Amendment No. 2?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And Amendment No. 1 already adopted?

Councilman Everson: Amendment No. 1 is already adopted.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So what are we voting on now?

Mr. Thompson: Amendment No. 2 incorporates it anyway.

Councilwoman O. Jenkins: Okay, that's kinda what I was just asking.

Councilman Everson: So we're voting on final passage.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

2. **Ordinance No. 120 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin) *(Linwood Ave) Postponed October 9, 2012*

3. **Ordinance No. 122 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the Budget for the 2012 Street Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin) *Postponed October 9, 2012*

Having passed first reading on September 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to table Ordinance No(s) 120 and 122 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Webb. 1.

5. **Ordinance No. 130 of 2012**: An ordinance amending Section 74-54 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Landfill Disposal fees, and otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on October 9, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Webb. 1.

6. Ordinance No. 131 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on October 9, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Webb. 1.

7. Ordinance No. 132 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the General Fund and otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on October 9, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Webb. 1.

8. Ordinance No. 151 of 2012: An Ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, Appropriating the Funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto (David Raines)

9. Ordinance No. 152 of 2012: An Ordinance amending the Budget for the 2012 Streets Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized (David Raines)

10. Ordinance No. 153 of 2012: An Ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, Appropriating the Funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto (Audrey Lane)

11. Ordinance No. 154 of 2012: An Ordinance amending the Budget for the 2012 Streets Special Revenue Fund, appropriating the funds authorized (Audrey Lane)

Councilwoman McCulloch: Based on the Infrastructure Meeting that was held on yesterday and the agreements that we came to until after the Street Management Program is purchased and put in place, I'd like to postpone 151 through 154.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Second.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Postpone or table?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well, if I postpone it, I can always bring it back, that's my understanding.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well, if you table it, you can bring it back.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well, I'll table it.

Having passed first reading on October 9, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to table Ordinance No(s). 151, 152, 153, and 154 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Webb. 1.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE NO. 111 OF 2012 *(Adopted September 25, 2012)*

Substitute the attached ordinance for the ordinance previously submitted.

Explanation of Amendment:

This amendment revises the language in the "BE IT ORDAINED" paragraph as follows:

In sub-paragraph 1, amends the language to state that the initial term for the lease of any property shall be a minimum of one (1) year;

In sub-paragraph 2, clarifies the language relative to use of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as the escalator to determine the amount of the lease payment during a renewal term.

AMENDMENT 2 (Revised) TO ORDINANCE NO. 111 OF 2012

Substitute the attached ordinance for the ordinance previously submitted.

Explanation of Amendment:

This amendment incorporates the changes proposed in Amendment No 1, which:

“... revises the language in the “BE IT ORDAINED” paragraph as follows:

In sub-paragraph 1, amends the language to state that the initial term for the lease of any property shall be a minimum of one (1) year;

In sub-paragraph 2, clarifies the language relative to use of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as the escalator to determine the amount of the lease payment during a renewal term.”; and

This amendment makes the following additional changes:

1. It amends the first “BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED” paragraph to provide prior to executing any lease with terms of and options for more than five (5) years, the Mayor shall file notice in writing with the Clerk of Council of the proposed lease, along with a copy of the proposed lease. The Clerk of Council shall forward the notice to all City Council members. The Mayor may proceed to execute the lease five business days after the notice is filed with the Clerk of Council unless within that time three council members file a written objection to the proposed lease with the Clerk of Council. If such objections are filed, the Clerk shall place a resolution to approve the lease on the agenda of the next regular meeting or a special city council meeting if one is called for that purpose, and the Mayor shall not execute the lease unless the City Council adopts the resolution in accordance with Section 4.17 of the City Charter.

2. It adds a new “BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED” paragraph which requires periodic reports to the City Council.

ORDINANCE NO. 111 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE LEASE OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport (“City”) has recently acquired title to certain improvements located within the area generally referred to as the “Red River District”; and

WHEREAS, the improvements are constructed on the city-owned property described in Attachment 1 hereto and were donated to the City by Eldorado Casino Shreveport Joint Venture, LLC. Acceptance of the donation was authorized by Resolution 122 of 2011; and

WHEREAS, the improvements currently consist of nine (9) tenant spaces and an outdoor promenade as indicated on the Red River District Key Map attached hereto as Attachment 2; and

WHEREAS, programming of events and activities within the common area of the property as well as management and operation of the property will be under the administration of the Department of Public Assembly and Recreation; and

WHEREAS, in order to assure the success of the development, day to day management of the property including but not limited to tenant leasing, property management and maintenance, etc., will be handled by a contractor; and

WHEREAS, obtaining approval to lease the property at this stage of its re-development will assist with efforts to market the property to prospective tenants; and

WHEREAS, the property is not needed by the City of Shreveport for a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, LSA-R.S. 33:4712 requires that notice of this ordinance be published at least three (3) times within fifteen (15) days, one week apart.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the improvements constructed on the city-owned property described in Attachment 1 are hereby declared to be surplus property and not needed by the City of Shreveport for a public purpose.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to lease the said property subject to the following minimum conditions:

1) the term for the lease of any property (tenant space) shall be a minimum of one (1) year and may contain an option to renew for successive terms of one year each. Provided however, that prior to executing any lease with terms of and options for more

than five (5) years, the Mayor shall file notice in writing with the Clerk of Council of the proposed lease, along with a copy of the proposed lease. The Clerk of Council shall forward the notice to all City Council members. The Mayor may proceed to execute the lease five business days after the notice is filed with the Clerk of Council unless within that time three council members file a written objection to the proposed lease with the Clerk of Council. If such objections are filed, the Clerk shall place a resolution to approve the lease on the agenda of the next regular meeting or a special city council meeting if one is called for that purpose, and the Mayor shall not execute the lease unless the City Council adopts the resolution in accordance with Section 4.17 of the City Charter.

2) minimum lease payments during the initial term of the lease agreement shall be \$3.00 per square foot plus \$1.20 per square foot for common area maintenance charges. Thereafter, the amount of the lease payment during a renewal term shall be adjusted according to any increase in the U. S. Consumer Price Index of the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor for All Urban Consumers ("CPI"). The index month three (3) months prior to the commencement date for a renewal term shall be used to determine the percentage increase. The foregoing notwithstanding, in no event shall the lease payment during a renewal term increase by more than twenty-five percent (25%) of the amount of the lease payment for the previous lease term

3) such other terms and condition as may be recommended by the Office of the City Attorney; and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is authorized to execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, any and all documents relative to the lease of any of the said property after review and approval of such document(s) by the Office of the City Attorney, and to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out the authorization expressed in this ordinance relative to lease of the said property.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Mayor shall provide the City Council reports which demonstrate the successes and challenges of this lease program, to specifically include: the leases executed since the last report, the total number of properties to be leased and the number of properties leased, complaints lodged relative to the lease of any property or the interpretation or enforcement of the terms of any lease, and leases that are in or near default. Said reports shall be due on or before the first regular council meeting in March 2013, June 2013, September 2013 and December 2013, and on or before the first regular council meeting in June and December thereafter.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 130 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 74-54 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO LANDFILL DISPOSAL FEES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 74-54 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 74-54. Disposal of fees for city-owned facilities.

* * * * *

(a) (2) The minimum fee, regardless of weight, shall be \$19.25.

(a) (3) The fee per ton shall be \$38.50, with any partial fee being prorated on the basis of that basic fee exclusive of the minimum fee requirement.

* * * * *

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 131 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget to appropriate additional funds for the Tug Road Rehabilitation project (12H003) at Shreveport Regional Airport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance Number 114 of 2011, the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget is hereby amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Program H (Airport Improvements):

Increase the appropriation for the project entitled **Tug Road Rehabilitation (12H003)** at Regional Airport by \$10,905.45.

Funding Source: 100% Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance Number 114 of 2011, as amended, shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provisions or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict hereby are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 132 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 BUDGET FOR THE GENERAL FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Sam Jenkins

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for the General Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 116 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the General Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

SPAR

Increase Other Charges by \$10,000

General Government

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$10,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 116 of 2011 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Tabled legislation.

1. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 74 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Solid Waste Collections, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Tabled September 13, 2011*)

2. **Resolution No. 179 of 2011**: Authorizing Melba Yvonne Warr Baldwin located at 382 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Near D and is not contiguous to the city limits.) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

3. **Resolution No. 222 of 2011**: Authorizing Lawrence Johnson Franklin Jr. and Elizabeth Fry Franklin located at 421 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
4. **Resolution No. 223 of 2011**: Authoring Lee Roy Stanley located at 419 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
5. **Resolution No. 226 of 2011**: Authorizing Frank G. Harris III located at 437 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
6. **Resolution No. 228 of 2011**: Authorizing Jeffery Charles Shamsie located at 414 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
7. **Resolution No. 229 of 2011**: Authorizing Joan E. Thoma located at 2036 Pepper Ridge Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
8. **Resolution No. 230 of 2011**: Authorizing Henry Eugene Raines, Jr., and Gloria Jean Wingate Raines located at 3004 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
9. **Resolution No. 231 of 2011**: Authorizing William Sidney Hunt and Gaynel Neyrey Hunt located at 10015 Nightingale Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
10. **Resolution No. 233 of 2011**: Authorizing James Allan Bell and Betty Ann Kilpatrick Bell located at 3055 Dryden Court to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is*

located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)

11. **Resolution No. 241 of 2011**: Requiring the Chief Administrative Officer to offer the fair market value (and if accepted) to purchase the flood prone properties located at 8035 and 8037 Jewella Avenue by October 26, 2011, or to provide a report to the council relative to this matter on that date and to otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Tabled October 24, 2011)

12. **Resolution No. 243 of 2011**: Authorizing James Michael Johnson and Kelly Renee Larry Johnson located at 352 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

13. **Resolution No. 244 of 2011**: Authorizing Byron Wayne Ruesch and Leslie Baldwin Ruesch Located at 369 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

14. **Resolution No. 248 of 2011**: Authorizing Claude E. Franklin and Mary Elizabeth Womack Franklin located at 442 Bob White Lane to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

15. **Resolution No. 249 of 2011**: Authorizing Jason Wayne Waltman and Kristy Mcgee Waltman located at 3028 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

16. **Ordinance No. 25 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (Texas Street Turn Lanes) (B/Everson)(Tabled March 27, 2012)

17. **Ordinance No. 81 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.(Audrey Lane) (Postponed August 13, 2012)(Tabled August 28, 2012)

18. **Ordinance No. 82 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch) *(Tabled August 28, 2012)*

2013 Budget Ordinances: *(Introduced and Tabled on October 9, 2012 and to be adopted no later than December 15, 2012)*

133 Adopting the 2013 Capital Projects Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

134 Adopting the 2013 Riverfront Development Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

135 Adopting the 2013 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

136 Adopting the 2013 Retained Risk Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

137 Adopting the 2013 Metropolitan Planning Commission Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

138 Adopting the 2013 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

139 Adopting the 2013 Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

140 Adopting the 2013 Shreveport Redevelopment Agency Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

141 Adopting the 2013 Downtown Entertainment Economic Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

142 Adopting the 2013 Golf Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

143 Adopting the 2013 Airport Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

144 Adopting the 2013 Water and Sewerage Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

145 Adopting the 2013 Budget funding Contractual Services provided to SPORTRAN by Metro Management Associates, Inc., and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

146 Adopting the 2013 Downtown Parking Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

147 Adopting the 2013 Convention Center Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

148 Adopting the 2013 Convention Center Hotel Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

149 Adopting the 2013 Debt Service Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

150 Adopting the 2013 Streets Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Appeals

Property Standards Appeals

PSD0900275: 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) (*Postponed August 13, 2012 until December 10, 2012*)

PSD1000086: 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (*Postponed July 23, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

PSD1000141 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

PSD1100017 3634 Sumner Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Roberto Strickland, 3740 Jackson Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed September 10, 2012 until November 12, 2012*)

HBO1100050 3819 Bobbitt Place, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Ms. Cheryl Barnhardt, Jackson and McPherson, LLC., 1010 Common Street, Suite 1800, New Orleans, LA 70112 (*Postponed July 23, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

PSD1100251: 3631 Stonewall, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Charles Parson, 4308 Lakeshore Dr., Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed September 10, 2012 until November 12, 2012*)

PSD12001000 3545 Michigan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Carl Colvin, 6618 Burke Street, Shreveport, LA 71108 (E/Webb) (*Postponed July 23, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

PSD1200016 6938 Nevada Avenue, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Erika Thompson, 7517 Grass Valley Trail, Fort Worth, TX 76123 (*Postponed September 24, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

PSD1200158 144 Ockley Drive, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins) Mr. Eric Schonfarber, P.O. Box 5262, Shreveport, LA 71135 (*Postponed September 24, 2012 until October 22, 2012*)

Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals

Ms. Marilyn Wortham, 318 Herndon, Shreveport, LA 71107
(B/Everson) *Brookshire's* 5828 Line Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins)

Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals

Other Appeals

Ms. Tacarra Johnson, 1639 Joey Lane, Bossier City, LA 71111, *Larry Flint's Hustler Club*, 202 Commerce Street, Shreveport, LA 71101 (B/Everson)

Taxi Appeal

Reports from officers, boards, and committees

Councilman Everson: I was just going to share what we shared with the Council yesterday, but just for the benefit of the public, that might be listening, that the Infrastructure Committee did meet and received a report about the progress of the Pavement Management System. There will be a - - - I'll be introducing legislation at our next meeting to fund Phase I of that, based on the recommendation of the Infrastructure Committee. And we appreciate everybody being there. We will be

scheduling very shortly another Infrastructure Meeting for next month, where we will discuss some more about streets, but also the primary focus of our conversation is going to be about water projects. So be looking forward to that as we do have some information that we've been waiting on in order to move forward in that respect.

Councilman Corbin: I would like to let everybody know that we have received as of yesterday the final report of the Council investigation of the City's Financial Advisor.

Councilman Shyne: Where is it?

Councilman Corbin: Getting there.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Its in your inbox. You (inaudible).

Councilman Corbin: Art is passing out some information right now. It is a quite large binder of information and we do also have it electronically on a DVD. What I'd like to ask Mr. Chairman is if you would call a meeting of the Audit and Finance Committee so that the Audit and Finance Committee can accept the report and make some recommendations on how we move forward.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, and that's what we will do. We will call a meeting for the Audit and Finance Committee. Ms. Stewart, would you get with everyone on some dates, and hopefully we can set it up for one day next week if possible to have an opportunity to take a look at it.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman I would hope that - - - what I was about to say, I would like to know when the committee is going to meet. Matter of fact, I would like for you to let all of the Council Members know. So Mike, I want to commend you this time for doing a yeoman's job if that terminology is proper.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I know a lot of Yeomen.

Councilman Shyne: Right. But Mike, you've done an excellent job in making sure that we get this report. And I want you to know that this is a very, very important report. And this is something we need to look at. And this is something that we don't need to let sit on the desk. If it's something in here that we need to move on, because this is very important. And again, if I said anything earlier Mike I take it all back.

Councilman Corbin: We're good.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I'm just - - - in regard to infrastructure, the street improvement, we spoke with the Mayor on yesterday about him possibly bringing back to this Council the 'Fix It Now' project. I don't know if he's going to - - - how he's going to do it. I mean the Mayor, you're there, but you know I did take a look at the list that was submitted by Administration, and I could actually see where you had certain streets that were in two or three different Councilmen's districts to be considered for improvement. If we would have actually voted your 'Fix It Now', I mean what I'm understanding now, if we had actually voted for your 'Fix It Now' project last year, there is a possibility that all of the streets including Linwood and each one of the perspective Councilmen's districts, if we had supported what you presented, 'Fix It Now', there is a possibility that all of these contracts could possibly be in place against all of the selective streets in each respective district at least started. Is that how I understood that? If we had supported your 'Fix It Now' Mayor Glover?

Mayor Glover: Councilwoman McCulloch, you are correct. If that initiative had gone forward essentially a year ago, this same timeframe, those projects would either have been under construction, presently or in the engineering and design phase awaiting construction documents and then ultimate to be advertised and bid and let out for construction. So, we would have been a year into the process of what it takes in order to be able to get significant street work done. Not just in Shreveport, but anywhere. Because those elements of engineering and design when its necessary are a part of any aspect of what any level of government does and for those that could go straight to bid, it would simply be a matter of doing the proper advertising and the proper bidding and then having construction commence. I think we can kind of parallel that to the \$11,000,000 or so of the \$21,000,000 in street projects that were approved from the April 2011 Bond Issue. You know we can see where those are right now. They are moving their way through the process. In fact would also and will probably issue an press release on this as well, but I wanted to share with you all that I think we have come up with an even more improved website for ShreveportBond2011.com that allows our citizens to be able to go and track the exact status of those street projects and the other items that are funded from the 2011 Bond proposals. But yes, to go back to your original question, we would be a year down the road. Work would either be underway or being about to commence for I think what as I mentioned with regard to the Pavement Management system. Obviously a great asset. Something that we need, but we also don't need the Pavement Management system or process to tell us that there is a couple of \$100,000,000 worth of work here in Shreveport that just simply needs to be done, whether you're talking Linwood, Hollywood, Jewella and so many of our other major arterials, corridors and collectors, that all you need really at this point is a dash board to test, because those are problems that are not going to fix themselves, they're only going to get worst, and either we're going to commit some resources as a city to

begin to address them now or we'll continue to see the streets themselves break down. As the streets break down, the infrastructure below them, the water, the sewer, the other plumbing that's below there begins to break down as well, and the ultimate cost of repair becomes even greater.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So, will you be bringing - - - I asked you on yesterday would be presenting this Council with another plan?

Mayor Glover: Well what I'd like to be able to do as I said, last year's effort was not successful, and we've taken this year to follow the lead of the Council and to see the direction that the Council may want to go in with regard to the Street Revenue Fund. We're two months from the end of this year and we still virtually got most of that \$8,000,000 that was currently budgeted. We've got an additional \$6(million) projected for next year, and I'm glad that there's now a consensus to move forward with the Pavement Management System. You Councilwoman McCulloch have come forward with your effort to create what you believe are the individual projects most important at this point to your district and have attempted to advance that, and Council hadn't had a consensus around that yet at this point. Councilman Corbin has come forward with the idea of downsizing some of the larger more needed projects like Linwood and seeking the engineering and design dollars for that. My concern in both regards in terms of just simply providing engineering and design dollars without an articulated plan and vision for what it is that we're going to facilitate the construction dollars coming from, is that we're essentially I guess selling pipe dreams to ourselves and to our citizens. I think what we owe is the ability to be able to say here is how we can go and do a significant amount of the much needed work that needs to be done. I think its ultimately as I've shared as well the decision that Governor Jindal came to this past Spring when he came forward to the legislature with his vision and plan for addressing the rural road challenges across the State of Louisiana.

Councilwoman McCulloch: What was that called again?

Mayor Glover: The Rural Roads Program.

Councilwoman McCulloch: The Rural Roads Program, okay.

Mayor Glover: He took a revenue stream that was established in similar fashion to the Special Street Revenue Fund, in fact I think it was one of the last things that was done in my time in the Legislature that was intended to do an annual pay as you go, kind of initiative to address our rural road problem . What they saw I guess in a span of about five years that they did not get very far and accomplish very much. And it was the Governor in his wisdom who decided to move forward with the support of

Representative Jim Fannin, Chairman of the House Appropriations Committee, from over in Jonesboro to move forward with an effort to take that revenue stream and leverage it to a much larger pool of dollars. That effort ended up being overwhelmingly endorsed and supported by Chambers across the state, by businesses associations across the state and even Secretary of the Treasury, John Kennedy encouraged the Governor to be even bolder in terms of the overall amount of bonds that he sold through the initiative so as to be able to do more work at a time when money was at historic lows, in terms of the cost of borrowing. And so what we offered essentially is the same thing. And like the Governor's initiative, it is by no means a complete solution. What it does give us the opportunity to be able to come up with I think whatever the ultimate streets end up being, and I think it needs to be in all areas from those major arterials to those regional collectors to a significant contribution to our neighborhoods. But what we need is the ability to be able to do that work in a way that takes us from a scenario where having been a council member and a legislator, you look at a sum of money and the question becomes how much for my district. And when you're dealing with a number as small as \$8,000 right now within this current context for the Streets Special Revenue Fund or the \$6,000,000 that's coming next year for a total of \$14,000,000, those end up being very limited parameters in terms of being able to address wide spread city-wide problems and challenges. And so that's what I'd like to be able to ask the Chairman and Councilman Everson as Chairman of the Infrastructure Committee to give us a chance at some point between now and the next Council Meeting. We've got an extra week because of the way this month plays out to be able to have those dialogues and see if we can't come up with something that allows us to be able to answer and I know maybe I go to different parts of the city than you all as Council Members, but the constant (inaudible) I get from our citizens is Mayor, when are you going to do something about our streets. And as long as I'm here, we're going to continue to try and work with you members of the Council to come up with something that's going to allow us to not just take a swipe at that, but something that's going to allow us to be able to do something that is significant, substantial, and impactful on the city.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Councilwoman you had to leave a little early yesterday, but that's what I was going to tell you, that's what we had agreed to do. We're going to exchange some dates to talk with the mayor about any new plans that he wants to bring forth for the streets so. Ms. White is going to be circulating some dates around for everybody to get together on.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Alright. Okay, Thank you so much Mayor Glover.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Councilwoman, thank you Mr. Chairman.

Clerk's Report

The Committee "rises and reports" (reconvenes the regular council meeting)

Adjournment: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 6:18 p.m.

//s// Sam L. Jenkins, Jr., Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council