



Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana

July 24, 2012

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Sam Jenkins at 3:04 p.m., Tuesday, July 24, 2012, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Bishop Larry Brandon.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Everson.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Want to thank Bishop Brandon for that very eloquent prayer. Thank you so much. There appears to be so much violence around here locally and around the country, and I just know that our spiritual leaders need to step up at this time and to lift these situations up. There's a spiritual answer that needs to come into play along with everything that's being done by law enforcement and other leaders in our communities, but you can never prepare for some of the things that are occurring. So we want to make sure that we call upon our community of faith to get involved in this situation, again to lift these things up so that we can address 'em and hope to get to the root of the problem, much of which lie with the individuals that are involved. Okay Madam Clerk, please call the roll.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb (Arrived at 3:21 p.m.), Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, July 9, 2012, Council Meeting, Tuesday, July 10, 2012, and Public Hearing Minutes – Thursday, July 12, 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, Communications of the Mayor relative to city business, and Required Reports

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have any member of the Council that have a distinguished guest? Councilman Oliver Jenkins.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I wanted to extend my condolences to the Thomas Carmody family. Very sad case of events over the last few days, sorry to lose (inaudible) Patriarch of a major family that's been in Shreveport for a long time, and his family is dealing with that hardship right now. Obviously he is the father of the former Council Member Thomas Carmody. That's all I have.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, certainly want to join you in extending those condolences to that family who has done a lot for our city in many different ways. Those condolences come from the Council as a whole I'm sure. Any other Council Member? Councilman Corbin.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Two things, first I'd like to publicly announce that Thursday, August 2nd, there will be a meeting at LSUS University Center Ballroom. At this meeting, it will be presented by NLCOG and the Stage 0, the long anticipated Stage 0, 3132 study will be released to the public. Kent's coming in, did you have anything that you wanted to add, other than the general information that I was just saying about the meeting August 2nd?

Mr. Kent Rogers: The initial meeting is set for August 2nd at the University Ballroom at LSUS. We hope to have reports released to us by the end of the week. At that time, we'll be posting it on our website and sending it out to (inaudible). We've also asked them for extra copies of the display boards that they'll be using. At least three extra copies, one placed here, one placed at Twelve Oaks, and one placed (inaudible) ample opportunity to (inaudible).

Councilman Corbin: And Kent, it's my understanding that wherein this is released and we see it, I'm going to go ahead and summarize this, but there will be maps of the area with new lines on the map or proposed routes. And during public meeting, there will be discussion about those routes, and if there are appending routes, the public can let that be known and that be documented, and through the process of the public meetings, any appending route may disappear.

Mr. Rogers: Correct. The way its set up, it is my understanding the way they're setting up, we've (inaudible) three meetings with them. The first one is the meeting held by DOTD, which will be next Thursday. They do it open house style. They'll have display boards in one area. They'll have an area where you can go and make written comments, you can make verbal comments into a tape recorder and what not. Other different stations set up around the area. They won't have a typical, it's not a public hearing where you come up to the microphone. What they do from that point is take all the comments that they received during that meeting and incorporate those into the final document. So the actual document that will be released at the end of this week, will be final. I think they call it the draft final. Final will incorporate all those comments made during the public meeting.

Councilman Corbin: And I think that's important to make sure that the public understands that, is that I'm going to summarize that and tell me if I said it wrong. But this being a draft document, the public will have a chance to make comments August 2nd. Those comments will be incorporated back into the document. And then additional (inaudible) will have that final documents, if you will, will have public comments included in it. Correct?

Mr. Rogers: Correct. And then the very final public, I guess you could call it a public meeting on the whole thing, will be at (inaudible) when we accept the Stage 0 document when they approve the document, the final with the acceptance of that and then asking (inaudible).

Councilman Corbin: Thanks for walking in at the perfect time.

Councilwoman McCulloch: What time is that meeting?

Councilman Corbin: 6:00, Thursday, August 2nd.

Councilman Shyne: Mike, I know you will make a concerted effort to notify the coalition of 3132.

Councilman Corbin: I believe they know about it already, and there will be some public advertisements I believe in the paper.

Mr. Rogers: Yeah, it will be run in Sunday's paper, also in Tuesday's paper and then again in Thursday's paper (inaudible) Facebook page and all that type of stuff.

Councilman Shyne: That's great if you're run it in Sunday's paper, because a lot of people in that area might get the Sunday paper. Some in my area may not take the Sunday paper, so that's great.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I want to express appreciation to Councilman Corbin for making reference to that August 2nd meeting at 6:00, and for Kent for also specifically pointing out that there will be an opportunity for additional dialogue as well. I know that there are some folks who have expressed concern about coming to the building there at LSU Shreveport, and some of the challenges it faces especially for the elderly in terms of accessibility. I know we specifically requested Kent to scout out possibly the Catholic Church that's there along Flournoy Lucas and some other more accessible locations that can ensure that for all the parties involved, that there is not any excuse from anybody in terms of not being able to have a chance to be able to come out, voice their opinions, see exactly where this process is right now. Also understand where it goes next. The good news is that in addition to wrapping up this Phase 0 of this particular aspect of the effort, we've also selected professionals for the Phase I, and Ken can you, if you don't mind Mr. Chairman, give a very brief answer to exactly when and how the Phase I aspect will proceed, and how that's connected to the Phase 0.

Mr. Rogers: Burk Kleinpeter is the firm we selected to do the Stage I study. What we've had to do is sit down basically with DOTD/Federal Highways, Buchart Horn who is going to be here, and Burk-Kleinpeter who'll be doing the Stage I. Because Buchart has done so much more detail on some of the portions that aren't normally required of the Stage 0 because of needing to tightening some lines up, tightening some things up and what not, that we had to sit down with them and work out the whole work out what from a typical Stage I that's already been done from Stage 0, (inaudible) straight line go for services (inaudible). We hope to have them notice, proceed and then going end of August to early September, they have 18-month timeframe to complete that work, however because so much extra was done up front, we don't believe that it will take them that long. Their (inaudible) scope, they don't believe it will take them that long either. So we're hoping to pick up that timeframe down as quick as we possibly can. One thing I would like to mention, along with the boards at the other facilities, we requested that if they could give me copies of the written comment card, that we could also post there with those boards in those other locations, so that if they don't have an opportunity to (inaudible) to one of the meetings, they could fill out those cards, fill out the comments there, and have someone else bring 'em to the meeting.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely. Thank you Mr. Chair. Kent, that was excellent. We appreciate it much. I think it would be worthwhile to also note that this is a process that in many ways, is nearing the dialogue that's currently underway is a step behind the discussion for the inner city route for I-49. But the additional meetings and most for those locations to give people as much opportunity to be able to come out and engage and express an opinion is something that we think is very important to be done.

Councilman Corbin: And I'd also like to recognize Mr. Ken Latin from Sci-Port, I think he'd like to say a few words to us.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Please come up sir. Good to see you sir.

Mr. Latin: Good afternoon, how are you all? Thank you Chairman Jenkins and the City Council. I just wanted to kinda give you an update on Sci-Port. Just let you know what we are and what we've been doing lately. We've had some challenges (inaudible) pretty productive year. We had Bodies Revealed, and I don't know how many of you all got a chance to see that, it was really a blockbuster for us. We had people from all over the state and Texas and Arkansas and Oklahoma people who had never been to Sci-Port. Over 40,000 new people that had never been to Sci-Port had come to see Bodies Revealed. So it was really a great run for us. We wanted to do something like that, a blockbuster you know in Shreveport because usually you have to go to Dallas, or New York or Chicago or somewhere to see an exhibit like that. So it was a great run for us, and we really needed that shot in the arm. So in September, we're bringing Titanic, the exhibition, the artifacts, over 300 artifacts from the Titanic, we were in Houston a couple of weeks ago, and its there, so we're bringing it here starting September 29th and you will really enjoy that if you're a history buff, everybody is just enchanted anyway with the Titanic. We will have the exhibit at Sci-Port beginning September 29th through January 20th, another blockbuster, with over 300 artifacts from the Titanic, so it's really a great exhibit. And again, another big blockbuster that usually you would have to go to Houston, Dallas, New York or somewhere to see, I don't know how long we can keep the blockbusters up, but we're trying to do that to maintain operation and daily expenses and all that. So Bodies did extremely well for us, so we're hoping that we can get the same result from Titanic. So I wanted to let you all know, invite you as a Council group to come and to see Titanic and to get the word out for us. And we do appreciate all that you do, and we wanted to let you know that there are some good things going on in spite of the challenges that we have. Thank you all.

Councilman Everson: Just briefly, I'd like to recognize Council regular and District B resident, Sammy Mears, provided me a gift of a canning jar today. So, just wanted to thank him for that, it's going to come in handy at the farmers market.

Councilman Shyne: Mayor, I suggested that he probably needed to bring you one, but look like he was dead set on Jeff there so - - -

Mr. Mears: Well, I never!

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I don't think that Sammy gave Councilman Everson a full explanation. That's actually a sample jar.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Oh, okay. Lets keep it clean. Any other Council Member have any recognitions or distinguished guests? Alright Mr. Mayor, you have the floor at this time.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. Obviously, we always express appreciation for all those who join us both in person and Ustream, today by COMCAST for these proceedings. We can either at this point, or in the official Mr. Chairman, whichever you and the Council will direct or facilitate Mr. Bryant Francis and that phone conference.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I will let you operate this part (inaudible) sections you want to cover Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Since I know time is of the essence, and I told him we would be making contact I think within the first half hour of the Council Proceedings, lets go ahead and begin that portion and get that out of the way, and we'll go on to the next.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We have something set up here, I'm not really sure what I'm suppose to do.

Mr. Sibley: Well I know Cliff has the number, I think he was prepared to come out whenever you're ready.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, we're ready.

Mr. Sibley: To assist with the dialing. So Cliff, if you're listening.

Mayor Glover: If you're in the back, come on out.

Mr. Sibley: There he is.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman and Council Members, as Cliff is making the connections, want to offer to you that we're now I guess at a history making point in this process for an Airport Director, in that this is the first time that this aspect of a confirmation standpoint has been a part of our order, and we certainly welcome it. It was added by the previous council if I'm not mistaken as one of the stages in this process. But it started a couple of months ago under the leadership of Bishop Brandon and the other four members of the Airport Authority, they retained ADK Consulting to assist in conducting real and legitimate nationwide search for the next Director.

Mr. Cliff Sosbee: (*Information Technology, COS*) Is this Bryant Francis?

Mr. Francis: Yes it is.

Mr. Sosbee: Yes sir, this is Cliff, City of Shreveport, you are now live in front of the City Council.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Mr. Francis, how are you doing, this is Sam Jenkins, Chairman of the City Council, sitting here with Council Members, and the Mayor was just giving us some background on your selection process or the overall selection process. So, lets listen in and allow the Mayor to finish his comments and then we'll see if Council Members have any questions or concerns that they would like to discuss with you.

Mr. Francis: Wonderful, thank you.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you members of Council, and welcome Mr. Francis, good to have you on the line. As I mentioned, the Airport Authority retained the services of ADK Consulting, a well-respected recruiting selection firm within the industry. They took applications from all over the country. From what I understand, had quite a few folks who were interested in and responded to the solicitation. They were asked to narrow that list down to five individuals who would be selected to come to Shreveport to be interviewed by the Airport Authority, and by myself. That in fact happened. Those folks from ADK were here on sight as well to provide their insight and perspective. We had

the opportunity between the Airport Authority and myself to meet with and hear from each of the five candidates, an outstanding pool of folks including within that five is our own Bill Cooksey, who has served as the Interim. He acquitted himself extremely well amongst a pool of folks, who all come from traditional airport aviation background. It's a testament I think to the level of effort and the amount that Bill has learned in the time that he's been at the airport. But in rating and evaluating the entirety of the group it became the consensus and the considered opinion of myself and the members of the Airport Authority, that Mr. Francis represented the best, most viable option for the City of Shreveport going forward based on his education, based on his experience, and based upon his potential that he has to become what we believe is an outstanding director for this particular airport. We know that this is a situation that has a good number of challenges to the credit of Bishop Brandon and the Authority as well as Bill and the staff folks who are there. Now we have made some substantial progress, but as any of you all know, as you come across folks who know that you're either a City Council Member, or for me as Mayor, one of the most often heard complaints that we hear besides potholes and barking dogs is the cost, the quality, the level of service at our airport. So we believe that Mr. Francis is well suited to come here and join this team and be a part of helping to lead us to what we believe and expect to be an even better situation going forward. I think it would also be appropriate to add that in addition to the opening for the lead position with the recent retirement of the Deputy Director, several weeks ago, there is also an opening for a true No. 2 person within the Shreveport Airport Department as well. It is my considered opinion and recommendation, and I believe also the consensus of the Airport Authority itself that Bill Cooksey would serve in that position and capacity extremely well, and so it's my intention to ask that he be given that opportunity so that we end up with a senior team that would be led by Mr. Francis, seconded by Mr. Cooksey and supported by a team of outstanding folks who have come on board over the last year or so as well to help to support and continue to advance the efforts of the airport. And with those preparatory contextual comments Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, I'll yield it back to you all and Mr. Francis for any conversation, courses and dialogue.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Mr. Francis, do you wish to address the Council or give any greetings or comments at this time?

Mr. Francis: Most certainly, I would first like to say good afternoon to Mayor Glover, members of Council. It is an honor to be considered for this position, I'm very excited about the opportunity that's presented here. I have had some discussions, pretty extensive discussions with Mr. Cooksey, as well as Mr.

Sibley, with respect to this position and have a pretty fair understanding of the challenges that are ahead. But as I said, I'm very excited to join the team and to lead us hopefully in a direction which will become very positive and more successful as we move forward in making a team approach to the improvements that are required.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Does any member of the Council have any questions?

Councilman Corbin: Yes sir, this is Michael Corbin, City Council, and I know that through your interviews with staff and the Mayor and the Airport Authority, you have a good understanding of where we are, can you just talk about your first 100 days here with us and what some of your priorities might be during that first few days here.

Mr. Francis: Absolutely. I believe that one of the top priorities is going to be ensuring the financial strength of the airport going forward. I understand that the budget process is already well underway for the calendar year 2013, so I would want to ensure that we're making decisions that are in the best interest of both Shreveport Regional as well Shreveport Downtown Airports and being as financially stable as possible. And also I'd want to ensure that the morale with the members of the team at both facilities is strong going forward, and thirdly, I would want to ensure that I begin to get out in the community and have a better understanding of what the community's expectations are of the airport, whether we are meeting the needs of the community, certainly working in concert with the City Council to move in that direction.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I noticed that you did get to walk through our facility, both downtown and the regional airport. Can you just elaborate on what you think we're doing well today, because I think sometimes we get an impression that from the outside people say we've got a lot of problems at the airport. I don't think that's the case, I do a fair amount of travel, but I'd like to hear from an expert outside the city what you think are some of the good things that we've got going on at the airport.

Mr. Francis: Certainly. I think that from an infrastructure standpoint, there seems to be some really targeted focus on making improvements at the downtown facilities. I understand that there are some grant funds that are available within the state that are going to be utilized to improve the structure at the downtown airport, and that's certainly very important. And that facility is home to approximately 310 aircraft I believe. So, its pretty heavily used, and that certainly is something that is important to ensure that it operates safely and

efficiently. Out at Shreveport Regional, certainly it's been a great situation for the airport to have Express Jet as a major tenant for the last several years, and we're hoping that that remains the case for years to come. There are some improvements to that facilities that I believe are going to be happening in the very near future, and I think that we'll make that (inaudible) and keep them satisfied. Hopefully by continuing on as a tenant for years to come. I think from a customer standpoint, the airport is certainly doing some good things within the facility itself. The cleanliness of the facility I understand that there are some improvements that are coming forward, while with the replacement of carpeting and more aesthetic things, but things that are very important in terms of creating a strong first impression. So overall I think that there are some reasons to be hopeful, and some things to point to, to show that things are already moving in a better and more positive direction and very encouraged by the discussions I've had with Mr. Cooksey on the things that we'll be doing as what I believe will be a strong and effective team leadership going forward.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Any other Council Members have any questions. Our main airport is located in District F, represented by Council Member Joe Shyne. I don't know if he wants to say hello or have any questions that he would like to ask at this time.

Councilman Shyne: Well, probably one. But first of all, hello. And I'm just kinda looking forward to your arrival here in Shreveport and kinda looking forward to you taking the reigns over. And I trust the Mayor and he's a kind of a hard cookie sometimes, and I know he has made the right decision. If you impressed him, you will impress me.

Mr. Francis: Well that's wonderful news, thank you very much. I look forward to meeting you as well as all the other Council Members, and doing some good work and moving the airport system forward.

Councilman Everson: I am happy with what I've heard so far. I appreciate it. I had a good chance to look over the resumes and we had some great candidates. So its impressive (inaudible) top of that, and look forward to working with you.

Mr. Francis: Likewise, thank you very much.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And we have Councilwoman, the only woman on our Council here in Shreveport, she holds it down for all of them in the great city of Shreveport. That would be Councilwoman Rose McCulloch. Want to give her the opportunity to say hello, and see if she has any questions.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And for that reason I definitely wanted to say hello to you, and I wanted to welcome you aboard. And as it has been mentioned, I think the Mayor has made a great selection. In looking at and reviewing the resumes that have been submitted. Again, welcome aboard, and I look forward to seeing you very soon.

Mr. Francis: Thank you very much. I look forward to being there, and becoming a part of the community.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Let me ask you this. Have you met the or talk to the Chairman of the Airport Authority, Bishop L. Lawrence Brandon?

Mr. Francis: Yes sir, I actually met Mr. Brandon as part of the interview process early last month, and he was a member of the panel committee.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Now this is a very important question I'm about to ask you. Do you like him?

Councilwoman McCulloch: You better say yes.

Mr. Francis: He made a great first impression on me, and so I'm very much looking forward to getting there and working with him as well and his direction as Chair of the Authority Board.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That goes a very long way with me, if you like the Chairman of the Airport Authority. Does any other members of the Council have any questions, if not Mr. Mayor, we'll send it back to you. This is a matter that we will be taking up a little later in our meeting, your confirmation, later on in our agenda, just so you'll know Mr. Francis, but Mr. Mayor, you still have the floor.

Mayor Glover: Thank you so much Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. Mr. Francis, we appreciate your time and your interest. We, as Mr. Chairman made reference, we'll have the actual confirmation process take place at a latter part in the meeting, but we wanted to go ahead and get at least this portion out at the earliest possible opportunity, because we know you still have duties and obligations there in Idaho. And so, just the mere fact that you're thinking about leaving such a cool climate, while I'm sure probably today, its probably not much into the 80s, and coming to Louisiana we appreciate it and hopefully with the Council's support and consensus, we'll be welcoming you here in just a few weeks. So thank you so much for your time and thank you for you interest.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor, before you go Mr. Francis, we did have a citizen here who wanted to speak to the Council concerning the confirmation. I thought it would be wise to let him come up and talk now in case its something that you'd wish to address as opposed to talking later and maybe not giving you an opportunity to address it. So if I may at this time, the Council will recognize Mr. Craig B. Lee on the subject of this confirmation.

Mr. Craig B. Lee: (1035 Eustis) Good afternoon. I'm glad that Mr. Francis is on this conference call. We had a great Tuesday Morning breakfast a few weeks ago with Mr. Mark Crawford, Public Relations Specialist for the Airport. And he hit on a few good points. A lot of people want to blame the airport in terms of the high fares, but this is more of an economic issue, but I wanted to hear from Mr. Francis, his perspective in terms of working with future councils. Because as Mr. Crawford pointed out, there was a big push as it relates to getting a discount airline carrier in town. Southwest primarily, and when I served on the Tourism Bureau's Board of Directors, from 2000-2003, it was understood that there were not enough enplanements for Southwest to basically consider Shreveport. And Mr. Crawford pointed out that Southwest had hit on within a one and a half hour drive time to Shreveport, they wanted to see roughly about 1.5 million people, and Shreveport within one and a half hour drive time, was only about 800,000 people included in the Shreveport area. And so this really becomes an issue where our City Council and the private sector has to grow our population over the next decade or two decades, a good 200,000. So I wanted to know from Mr. Francis, what is his thought process about Shreveport being currently considered a business travel market, and not a convention conference travel market, or leisure travel market, which doesn't allow for a lot of enplanements. Because that's why we're being gouged by the business community.

Councilman S. Jenkins; Were you able to hear Mr. Lee, Mr. Francis?

Mr. Francis: I was able to hear the majority of Mr. Lee's comments and I can offer a couple of things. I certainly have done some research in advance of the interview process and understand the situation that the community if faced with in terms of airfare for travel out of Shreveport Regional Airport, and I can say that there is some truth to that, and the fact that Shreveport is viewed more so in the business market for outbound travel, and that may plague the market somewhat in terms of fair. What I can also say is I'm fairly certain that the staff has been working with the carriers that are in existence today, namely American, Delta, and United, on fare structure, and trying to establish some sort of fare parity with other surrounding markets. We all know that there is the very large market to our west that has a plethora of air service between two commercial

service airports and that may make it a little more difficult, but I think that as you continue to have more successes in attracting additional (inaudible) into the market that there becomes a better opportunity to have a bit more downward pressure on the fares. So there is a correlation there. But I also believe that certainly it is a community wide effort, and there may be some opportunity for us to partner with the business community. I know that there is a group that has been recently formed to try to tackle various air service issues, so there may be some opportunities for us to work together in a team approach if you will in trying to get the airlines to give some consideration to relaxing some of the fare structure currently in place. I'll also say there are some carriers, Southwest may not be, and ideal candidate for Shreveport going forward, but there are some other carriers out there that may be candidates for our future services. Carriers, that we certainly can approach who are in the same category of the low cost carrier. So there are some other carriers that we may be able to approach and generate some interest in serving Shreveport in future years. And if so, those carriers will likely play a positive role and have more of an impact on bring fares down to levels that the community would be satisfied and comfortable with and take advantage of.

Mr. Lee: And my last question, you're facing as it relates to cultivating our tourism market from a conference and convention level, you know we have a dilemma due to the fact that the Hilton is only 300 rooms and not approximately 6-700, so we lose not only a lot of those entities, but the other complaint that a lot of conferences and conventions talk about is the airfare beyond the individual travel. Mr. Francis, would you be able to actually sit down and negotiate with some of the primary carrier like an American or Delta in terms of flight service for those specific conferences or conventions that people may seek to bring into this area in terms of immediate impact.

Mr. Francis: Now that's certainly a discussion that could be had with the current air carriers serving the market. I have some experience in a previous market which is a soft leisure market in the southwestern part of the country, and did have some success in working with air carriers to provide more seats that would be, I guess I would say more attractive in terms of fare level to groups that were considering coming to the area. Ultimately, its not a decision that the airport itself could make, but it certainly a discussion that could be had with the air carriers, and especially if we know that there are certain groups or if we could provide certain data that shows we are losing a certain segment of the meeting and convention market because of two reasons. 1) Fare, and 2) Seat Capacity. So I think that's a longer term vision and goal, but its certainly something that I

think we can lend some time to early on, and see what further progress we can make in the shorter term, for longer term benefits to the tourist industry.

Mr. Lee: Good going. Wish you well.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We've been joined by Councilman Ron Webb, and I certainly want to afford him an opportunity to say hello, and see if he has any questions.

Councilman Webb: I don't have any questions at this time, I look forward to meeting you personally, and may have some questions for him at that time.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well thank you sir.

Mr. Francis: Wonderful, thank you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you sir. Were you finished Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Council and Mr. Francis. We'll be in contact soon.

Mr. Francis: Thank you for the opportunity.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. Just want to briefly wrap up our communications here, both in terms of the official and the unofficial. I want to join Councilman Oliver Jenkins and the rest of the council in expressing our grief and sorrow at the passing of Mr. Thomas Carmody, Sr., the father of a former member of this Council, and now current member of the House of Representatives, and I believe a friend of us all. Also want to express and share with the council, the administrations collective grief over the passing of the father of a former of the LA House of Representatives, is a current member of the Shreveport/Bossier Port Authority, Mr. Earnest Baylor, Jr., who lost his father Mr. Earnest Baylor Sr., as well. He passed on last week and was funeralized this past Saturday. On a brighter note Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, I want to join I'm sure the many members of the local Episcopal community in expressing congratulations on the ordainment this past Saturday of the Rev. Dr. Jacob Wayne Ownesby as Bishop here. I'm a country Methodist like Mr. Shyne, so I know there's an Episcopal dimension to the operation of our

church, though I'm not quite sure how large the Episcopal District is here in the Shreveport area, although I did have in going from one appointment to the other on Saturday, I had occasion to pass by St. Martins where this particular event was taking place. And I would have thought that a rock concern might have been going on Mr. Jenkins, judging by the number of cars who were in attendance. So I got the impression that this was not just a big deal, but a very big deal. So, we commend the newly ordained Bishop and certainly wish him and his parishioners well. Lastly, Mr. Chairman I want to ask Rod Richardson to step forward. Are we ready, are we queued up? Our folks in back can help us out. We might want to dim the lights over there if we can, if you all don't mind. I want to have a little presentation done on a woman who is not necessarily very well known by some, extremely well known by others, but is someone that we all should recognize as a person who is impactful in the history and legacy of Shreveport area media. So guys in back, whoever that may be, Charles go ahead and queue us up.

Video Presentation – Remembering Kitty Wells, The Queen of Country Music

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, while the Wall Street Journal piece there doesn't go into great detail, like many of you all I'm familiar and I can tell in some detail the story of Huddy Ledbelly Ledbetter, who developed the Fannin Street Blues, and Goodnight Irene, and the Midnight Special from just the west side of downtown. I could also tell you about Hank Williams, Sr., and Elvis Presley and all those folks who were a part of the Louisiana Hayride, a story that I did not have regrettably a full appreciation for was the role that Ms. Kitty Wells played. In helping to advance Shreveport-based music as well. She and her husband are referenced in the piece there, Johnny were a regular part of the Hayride presentations from the late '40s all the way to the '50s. It was only after getting that initial great hit, that "It Wasn't God Who Made Honky Tonk Angels", that she ended up going on to bigger and greener pastures at the Grand Ole Opry, and Nashville, TN, may believe it's a representation of an additional example of potential that Shreveport lost to Tennessee. She passed away on the 16th of this month, and as I noticed in observing medial that came in from all across different forms, television, internet, Facebook and Twitter. They all start with a reference to her role and her presence here in Shreveport, down in Ledbetter Heights on the Louisiana Hayride. So, wanted to share that with the Council and with the citizens of Shreveport and to let all those who know and loved Kitty Wells, that her passing has brought a new round of folks and fans to her history and her lore, and we

wanted to express sentiment, and I deep regret at the fact that she's now passed on.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Also Chairman Jenkins, I'd like to express my regrets to the passing of the first American female astronaut who passed away on yesterday, Sally Ride.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, that will wrap up our comments at this time.

Reports

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, thank you sir. Does any member of the Council have any concerns regarding Property Standards? If so, we'll ask Mr. Farnell if she's present to come forward.

Mr. Sibley: She must have stepped out.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, we could bring her back, lets see if she's handy Councilman Webb. The other reports I believe are being furnished to us electronically. Speaking of those reports, I'm hoping at some point Mr. Mayor and Mr. CAO and Mr. Assistant CAO that we will have some discussions concerning our sales tax numbers, now that we've got the second part of numbers in, I think we had committed ourselves to taking another look at it at some point and time.

Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman Webb: Thank you Mr. Chairman, Dorothy, it's either 1822 or 1824 S. Brookwood, Care of Premise mainly in the backyard if you would check that out.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Dorothy, while you're standing there, you know I brought to your attention a letter I got from Senator Gregory Tarver. Mr. Harris has tried to give me a little update on that, but Dale, could you just kinda bring the Council up to speed on how that was being addressed?

Mr. Sibley: We're still checking. Actually, I intend to do a written response to it. But it involves some Risk Management claims, it involves some work in the alleyway behind the house that some had been ongoing, so I want to pull all that

information together, and I will provide a written response to the Senator's letter, and provide you a copy.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Actually, it seems like Mr., I don't know your name, but I know you are a resident of the Cherokee Highland Park Community. I see that you're here today, did you have some concerns?

Councilman S. Jenkins: You want to come up sir? Councilmember is calling you up.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I think what he's wanting from the Council, and again, I'd like to bring this before the Council, he's wanting an ordinance to be put in place to as we mentioned before Oliver, to get rid of I guess the trees that are hanging from the neighbor - - -

Mr. Gregory W. Smith: (1113 Arncliffe) The overgrown trees and branches that are over my power lines.

Councilwoman McCulloch: He's actually wanting us to do an ordinance, but I don't know how successful that would be.

Mr. Smith: Because like I said, I was here on June 11th, and y'all gave me a number to get in contact with SWEPCO, and I did that. A couple of weeks later, and he gave me the same answers that one of his linemen or whatever gave me in May. So I told him that it wouldn't do me any good to have him come back and resurvey the situation. So that's when I called Councilwoman McCulloch about the situation. And it kinda sort of (inaudible) me, and that's when I tried to make an appointment to see Mayor Glover, and I had a hard time doing that because physically, I went up there on July 2nd, to try and make an appointment to see him about that situation, and somebody did take dictation and then also I called the following week and another lady said she was going to forward that information to him. And then I tried calling again, another lady told me that I needed to write a letter to make an appointment to see Mayor Glover. So, I'm getting the runaround on all ends. But the representative from SWEPCO did make a valiant effort to try to help me out, but it led back to what was said to me in May. Couldn't do anything about it because it wasn't SWEPCO's responsibility.

Councilman S. Jenkins: These are trees on private property, but the limbs are covering up on your utility side.

Mr. Smith: The trees are in the neighbor's yard, but her branches are reaching over my power lines. And what I'm trying to do, I'm just trying to get something passed and have it fall under the Department of Property Standards to make her do something about those branches. Because unfortunately, I hate to say this about her, but she's not going to do anything unless you make her do something about it. I tried every remedy possible but failed in my attempt to get that done.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, just wanted to make it clear, there is no runaround. There is nothing that the administration is empowered or authorized to do in order to address this situation. We've made that clear to the gentleman I think as the Councilwoman has suggested, that if there's anything that could be done would entail, changing an ordinance that probably the administration would advocate not being changed because of the window of liability and responsibility that that would in turn open the city up to, in terms of going into people's yard, onto private property, and taking the responsibility for maintaining tree limb overgrowth from one lot, from one yard to the next. That's unfortunately a situation that would be very difficult to be able to budget for, to address or accept liability and responsibility for.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I believe when you were here last time, somebody did tell you that you could trim those branches, the ones that were hanging over your yard, right? But you're unwilling to do that?

Mr. Smith: I'm not unwilling to do it, but it should be the homeowner's responsibility to do that. And like I said, after I got through speaking last month, I told her that following Friday, that hey your trees are kinda sort of leaning a little bit, and she said 'Well, I can only do so much at one period of time.' That's all I said to her, I said, well your trees are kinda sort of leaning a little bit. Because after I spoke here, those winds picked up probably that following week. So, what I'm trying to do, I'm just trying to get her basically just to be neighborly and unfortunately she's not going to take the positive route with that. So again, I'm just asking the City Council to pass an amendment to an ordinance that governs that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Sir, would she mind if you were going to trim the trees?

Mr. Smith: You know what, I didn't ask her to that question. So that didn't cross my mind. What I want her to do is just be responsible for her backyard.

Councilman Webb: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I basically was going to ask the same thing. But one thing I wanted to ask, have you been to SWEPCO? Are the lines in danger of being knocked down because of the tree?

Mr. Smith: Last month the tree that's in question is kinda tilting a little bit towards my end.

Councilman Webb: Well I know SWEPCO hires (I don't know who they use, I can't think of their name) but they'll come out and if it's in danger of you know knocking a power line down or something like that, they'll trim it, but they won't do that unless it's really a danger of doing it. But (inaudible).

Mr. Sibley: I think that's part of the issue Mr. Webb. When I spoke to the gentleman, it was pointed out to me that SWEPCO had gone out, they had done what they thought was sufficient, and they felt their lines were safe, but he feels that they're not as safe as perhaps they could be. But SWEPCO had in fact gone out and done their part.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So he really wants me to initiate an amendment to the ordinance, but I know how broad this problem is. Its almost like the water (inaudible) I mean it's bigger than what you think it is.

Mr. Smith: It's a neighbor issue.

Councilman Webb: Yeah, it's a neighbor issue, so I would just trim what I could that's over on my side of the property and that's all you can do.

Mr. Smith: But like I said, what I'm trying to do is prevent further disaster, because those trees can come crashing on those power lines, and it depends on (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: Well if they take the power lines down, they'll come out and they'll have to correct it then.

Mr. Smith: But like I said, that's what I'm trying to avoid. So, hopefully, I hope - - like I said, it might be a liability, but basically she's not going to take the initiative to correct the deformity, and I tried to be neighborly, and like I said, it doesn't work. So, it has to warrant some further action.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I think and its very seldom the Mayor and I agree on some things. But I have to agree with you on this one Mr. Mayor. It's

hard to teach citizenship between neighbors and I hate to see you get involved in that. I tried to teach it on a junior high and high school level, and it was tough then. So you know how it is Chief, after they get out and get to be grown. It's hard to teach citizenship, and again, what I would if I were you, of course I'm not you, I probably don't have the resources that you have, that part of the tree that comes over to my property, I'd just cut it. Because you do have the legal right to do that. Now I don't think you're going to ever convince her, if you have not been able to convince her so far, I don't think you're going to ever be able to convince her. And Mr. Mayor, I would not like - - - I'm with you. I would not like to see us get involved and come up with some legislation that's going to put the city at risk. And you've got so many cases. And to be truthful, I got many people calling me about the same thing. "Is that tree going to fall over on my house?" And I say well that's what they got the courts system for. That's not for us to settle right here at the City Council.

Mr. Smith: But also you have to have a law that governs that. You just can't go and - - - well I'm not a lawyer, but I don't think you can probably just go into the courts and say well, this tree fell on my property. Yes, it might be a legal issue then, but that's what I'm trying to prevent.

Councilman Shyne: Well you know some things you can't prevent. That's just like I heard a preacher the other Sunday. He was up there just preaching so, and he just almost went into a tizzy, because he was trying to prevent sin. And I told him, I say well, I don't want to see you be able to do that. You know I've been around a pretty good little while, and I haven't seen anybody being able to prevent sin. And I think what you're asking for is something and I don't know maybe the Mayor won't come out and tell you, but I'll tell him. I don't think it's going to happen. I don't put myself in the position to speak for the administration because sometimes the Mayor is a little timid, and he might not want to come out and say it. But I think you've been down before, and I don't know, maybe the Chairman wants to tell you, but I think what you're asking for just isn't going to happen. If the limbs are over on your property, cut 'em. I don't think you're going to have us to come up with any kind of piece of legislation here that's going to prevent that and have this lady go out and cut it. Because the tree is on her private property. So I just - - - maybe I'm not timid today, maybe the Mayor wants to enforce it, cause he will now from time to time, he'll bow up over there. And really tell you what's on his mind. But I don't think what you're asking is going to actually happen. I would just find somebody who could cut it for me. And I would have - - - you wouldn't have to go through the stress that you're going through.

Mr. Smith: No, I'm stressing over this at all.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, you're not stressing?

Mr. Smith: No, not at all.

Councilman Shyne: Okay then.

Councilwoman McCulloch: You would need the support of this entire council, and so that's why I wanted you to get some input from each council member as well as administration. Because even if I would initiate it, that doesn't mean that they would vote it in. So I wanted you to get a feel.

Councilman Shyne: And as much as I love Rose, I would have to turn her down on that. Now I vote with her all the time. But I'd have to turn her down today.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And he's turning me down today at a meeting, you'd be surprised. But I'm glad you came, but I wanted you to come back and get a feel.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I wish there were something more we had to offer you, but that being private property (inaudible). But I think your comment today is not totally in vain. I think it pricks at some subjects that we may want to look at later on. I'm concerned about, if it's going to bring up at a convenient time, trees on private property that the limbs intrude over into the roadway to the extent that they cover Stop signs and causes problems with traffic. I drive through my district every other weekend or so, you don't see it that much on the main thoroughfare Mr. CAO, you go back down into some of those what you call roads (inaudible) in down in those communities. They are not in the right of way, but they're so close to the right of way, where they come over and they cover the Stop signs, and then you have little hills, first thing they see is a big tree limb hanging over in there, not to mention what it does at night when it's dark in those areas to make those areas unsafe. So, I think that your coming down today is not in vain. Because I believe that's an area that we need to begin to look at a little bit more and see what we can do. But thank for coming down, wish we had something more to offer you.

Mr. Smith: Alright, thank you for your time and energy.

Councilman Shyne: And Dale, you can handle that. You can't hide behind - - - you know, that's a safety problem, but what he's talking about is something totally different.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think we're ready for the much anticipated Master Plan Committee Report.

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No.114 of 2009*)

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

EMS Transports Report (*Res 239 of 2010*)

Master Plan Committee Report (*Res. No. 133 of 2012*)

Ms. Dara Sanders: (*Master Plan Coordinator*) I'm happy that it's much anticipated. Today, I've prepared a power point presentation at the request of the Master Plan Committee. I think the intent was to make the delivery of the information a little more interesting and engaging. While I find it very interesting without a power point presentation, I'm not sure that other people would share that interest. I am here to discuss all Master Plan related activities and the recommendations of the Master Plan Committee, which met on July 2nd.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just a second. Is there any reason why this isn't coming up on Screen B? Just because I asked the question. Okay, go ahead.

Ms. Sanders: Thank you. Specifically we discussed the Master Plan short term recommendations, a Unified Development Code (UDC), Impact fees, and the issue of a bicycle and pedestrian plan which is also a recommendation of the Master Plan. The Master Plan is divided into chapters that address specific issues in Shreveport. At the end of that Master Plan, we have these Stewardship and Implementation Section. And that Implementation section is divided into short term, medium term, long term, and ongoing. These strategies and actions serve as a road map for achieving the Shreveport-Caddo vision. There are 245 short term strategies and, two have been completed and three are underway. Of the remaining 240 strategies and actions, 93 are directly related to the adoption of a unified development code and impact fees and would be completed should these projects be approved. Also, 50 of these strategies and actions cannot even begin until the adoption of a unified development code and impact fees. In total, approximately 58% of the short term recommendations depend on the success of two projects, which speaks to their importance. And speaking of the unified

development code – during my last presentation, I promised to provide detailed information description what is involved in a UDC. I've provided you with tables of contents for three examples of a UDC: Tyler, Texas; Bossier City, our neighbor; and Fayetteville, Arkansas. The reason I chose that one was mostly because of my familiarity with it. I participated in the most significant update to that UDC while I was in Arkansas. In general, a UDC is a comprehensive ordinance that guides and regulates development, right so when I'm doing business in Shreveport, I want to know what the process is. The UDC is going to tell me how much to expect to spend on the process for development requests, it's going to tell me what the process is, its going to tell me what the zoning of my property is, and what I can do with (inaudible) zoning. Its going to tell me how I can divide the land, if I can divide the land. Its going to give street and thoroughfare standards, so am I going to have to improve the streets. Am I going to have to put in sidewalks, do I have to put street trees, do I have to (inaudible). Design and Development Standards. Currently we do have some design standards, but not in detail that one would expect in other cities. Annexation policies, Historic Preservation regulations, permitting procedures. After I get my approval, what's next? What do I have to do in order to get a building permit? And then environmental regulations. Draining, water detention, tree preservation, all those different things. So, after reviewing the information that I've provided, I think a lot of you knew why Shreveport needed a UDC, but for those of you who are tuning and don't really understand, it clearly outlines our expectations from the business and development communities. So, like I said, I would just open this document and follow all of the different steps in the chapters. What do we have now. I don't have anything nice to say about what we have now. They are very outdated regulations, most of them, some of our zoning districts allow for uses and businesses that are not compatible with others. There are a lot of warehousing and agricultural uses that are allowed downtown. Those are not uses that are appropriate for a downtown, and the same goes with some of our other buffer zoning districts, like commercial zoning districts, as well as our residential zoning districts. So, what are we missing. And I really had to reduce the font to get it all on one page. These are typical regulations processes and organization strategies that one would expect of a large city of Shreveport's size to have in their UDC or in their regulations in general, even if they don't have a UDC. We have none of these things. You know we don't have neighborhood sensitive commercial zoning districts. We don't have designs standards specific for downtown, specific for multi-family developments. We don't have outdoor music controls to really protect some of our neighborhoods that are adjacent to businesses. You know we don't have low impact development standards. We have some pretty fairly sensitive pieces of property in our city. So you know

without some of the low impact development (inaudible) we can't really tailor our developments to fit the needs of the property. I'm not going to go through all of these, but you can see we missing some things that we need to have. Okay, so this is our B-1 Buffer District. I just want to point out why our zoning districts are so confusion. This one is a two slider. When you print it out, it's ten pages long. It has the intent, generally this is why we have the B-1 zoning district. These are the uses that you're allowed to have by right. These are the uses that you have to have the Planning Commission approval for. These are the uses that you have to have Director approval for and these are the uses that you have to have ZBA approval for. There are just so many different processes, and its very confusing. We list all of our uses. Some of these uses don't even exist anymore. And then some of the uses that should be in a buffered district, that's more appropriate for being near a neighborhood, they aren't there. So, that's a two slider. So here's an example of very similar zoning districts. It's a neighborhood service zoning districts, right? It tells you what type of neighborhood we're trying to create. Typically if somebody is requesting a variance or some sort of special exception, we'll get back to the purpose of that zoning district. Does your proposal really promote the intent of the zoning district, and maybe you have something that we didn't consider? So that's one of the reasons why we have this whole (inaudible) section. So then I have the use categories that are allowed by right. This is what I'm allowed to put in my neighborhood without special permission. Then we have the special permission (inaudible). These are divided into - - - they're categorized. They're very similar uses, we're not listing grocery stores, shoe stores, sports store, book store, just different types of retail. Just in general stating retail. And then it tells me where my building can be, where it can't be, sort of what to expect when the planners are reviewing. So what are those uses. So we go to a different chapter in the UDC, and they're all organized by use units. And we cluster uses together so that it makes it more easy to read, more easily understandable. And then we have the use conditions. Are there any special regulations that I need to consider. So I go to that chapter in the B-1 zoning district, and there are regulations like size limitations or anything like that. That's going to be my street improvements, division of land, my design standard. Okay, do I have to preserve any trees on the property. So it goes on and on, so we can see that we divide our chapters by theme so that people can really understand what is expected of them. Okay, so one of the questions asked of me constantly is does anybody else in Louisiana have a UDC? Yes, these are all the cities that currently have a UDC. You'll note that Shreveport is the largest city in the State of Louisiana without a UDC. Monroe will soon be added to this list, as they are in the process of drafting a UDC. What is that process? It's typically a three-phase process, and public input is paramount to this process. The UDC

should codify the policies and recommendations of our Master Plan. That's why we do the Master Plan first, and then we go to our regulations. We get our citizens to say what their vision is for their community, for their neighborhood, what do they want their future to be, and then we make the regulations consistent with those visions in order to move this city forward. So we review our existing regulations, what does the Master Plan have to say about some of these things. Meet with the local government, elected and appointed officials, and citizens and stakeholders, then we hear what everyone's input is very important. The consultant would then take all of that information and report back to us all. What did they hear? What are some of the common things? Phase two identifies potential solutions, not developing zoning districts, this is not developing regulations. Its presenting the options to the community based on the information that the consultant obtained through the input process. Some of those ideas are going to be shot down. Nope that's not appropriate for our community, that's counter productive to our cultural identity and our heritage, we're going to say no thank you to those, but these are really the ones that we're interested in. And then phase three developing a draft. That's when they take all of our recommendations, all of the things that they heard, put it into a document and present that. And you'll see that city government, and in this case parish government as well, citizens and stakeholders are involved in every process. So, just to summarize, it's a high priority Master Plan goal would be achieved. We would get an editable document that can be updated. So that final document is really the starting point for us, and anytime we want to amend that document, we find oh, this technology has changed or this neighborhood has transitioned and perhaps these regulations are no longer applicable. Staff can update those. Because we're not having to start over. We're building on something that's already been created for us. So this is not something that will have to continue to invest large sums of money into it. Like I said, it clearly outlines the authority and the process for doing the development in a city (inaudible) And then so moving on to impact fees. Were there any questions about the UDC before I move on.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, as a - - - being a part, well actually Chairperson of the Master Plan Committee, and you brought to our attention the fact that we don't have a UDC, of course there hasn't been one since 1958, and that we have already done the Master Plan, in which it should have been done first, and now we should be in the process of putting in a UDC. As you went through Phases 1, 2, and 3 and I want to say as a committee, not so much as yourself, because I know the committee has some great ideas to you, where exactly are you in regards to Phases 1, 2, and 3. I noticed in Phase 2, you said

there were some things that we have to bring before the - - - and that was a concern that our Chairperson had. You know what are we doing to actually communicate or collaborate with the community as far as what it is that they really want, which was Phase 2 and then Phase 3, I noticed at the very bottom, I saw a line that said for City's Approval. You know for instance when we have our next Master Plan meeting, I know we've already discussed you scheduling appointments asking to meet with the various communities and the various districts. So, how are we with Phase 2? Because in order to move on to Phase 3, in order to bring - - - I mean I also understood and saw where after we communicate with the various communities, then we have to make a list of UDC to be approved by the City Council. So what I'm feeling here is we're now talking about, you know we've done the Master Plan, we don't have a UDC, it's going to cost \$450,000, that was something else you presented today that was \$150,000 which came to a total of \$600,000, where exactly are we as far as those three processes or phases? Where are we?

Ms. Sanders: We're at Phase 0. The Phase 1, 2, and 3 are just standard methodology that is practiced by professional planners throughout the United States when planning for and drafting a UDC for a city. So that's just a very brief standard process that I've outlined to let the City Council and the community understand or know what to expect should the UDC be funded, and should it go underway. So we've not begun anything at all. I've really just been going and educating the public and governments.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, and as I understood from you, it's a two-year's process to even get it in place. And I guess I'm just getting a bit anxious Jeff, and you're always helpful to me, but what I'm feeling now is we're doing a lot of talk about what it is that we've got to do, and what its going to cost, and I think what I'm ready to see now is Chairperson is actually what we've done as far as those three phases. You know I'm ready to see now, what communities have we actually scheduled, and actually gone in and visited with to get input from those communities. What I'm ready to see now or hear from you is that I've actually spoken with the Caddo Parish Commission and they are willing to pay X number of dollars as a part of the \$600 and some (thousand) or so because when you say over 50% of what's in a Master Plan, we can't move on it until all of this is put in place, and once its put in place, its going to take two years, my next question is how often does the Master Plan change? How long has the Master Plan been in place? And I'm thinking every five years, so by the time we get a UDC in place, you know our Master Plan might not be any good anymore. I mean we might have to revisit the Master Plan. So I guess Jeff what we need to do is figure out - - - I mean what I'd like to see is for some numbers to come

before the Council, and for the Caddo Parish Commission say look, we need this UDC, the Caddo Parish Commission is going to pay whatever portion. That's what I really need, or I think Jeff really needs, and the Caddo Parish Commission would need at our next meeting. We need to hear what everybody has agreed to pay in order to get this on the agenda to be voted on.

Councilman Everson: And Councilwoman, I think what Councilman Oliver Jenkins had also asked for was for there to be a beginning to this process so that we could start sort of getting the bids out and start establishing it as a project which I believe was on MPC's most recent agenda. I'm not sure what (inaudible).

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well I'm surprised we don't have a fee (inaudible) on our agenda.

Ms. Sanders: I can touch on that right now. Actually I was gonna skip over impact fees really fast and talk about the recommendations of the Master Planning Committee and then staff's follow-up to that recommendation and I'll come back to impact fees. But that was the general consensus of the Master Plan Committee. It was like okay, we're educated enough, lets go. Lets turn this into from a discussion point to a project. But this is not a project until its funded. Until the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish and the Metropolitan Planning Commission make a commitment to the success of this project.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well it doesn't start with the Metropolitan Planning Commission to get the legislation on the agenda does it?

Ms. Sanders: Well It would.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And you say they have it on - - - its already

Councilman O. Jenkins: No, we asked for them to put it on the agenda.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So has it been put on the agenda?

Ms. Sanders: On July 18th, we held a special meeting to review staff proposal for a resolution to be presented, or forwarded to the City Council, and to Caddo Parish Commission, just requesting initial funding, not complete funding, just a small amount of money to begin a capital improvements project, and that way staff can move forward with a request for proposals, and really get the ball rolling on that necessary process for a project of this magnitude.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So has the MPC moved forward with the resolution?

Ms. Sanders: I expect that the MPC will vote on staff's proposal at the August 1st Planning Commission meeting. And then that would be forwarded to the City Council for - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: And the Caddo Parish Commission as well?

Ms. Sanders: And the Caddo Parish Commission as well. So hopefully, you would see it at your next Council meeting. The other thing about getting a small amount of funding for this project, not the full amount, the full anticipated or estimated amount. I want to say it's just an estimate at this time. We do not have a clear cost, or a definite cost for that project. But by getting a small amount of money, the commitment would be clear to other investors, meaning grant funding, and it may make staff's attempt at getting grant funding to offset that cost more successful. So far we have not been successful. And they were requesting money for a discussion point which is not a project yet.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Are you saying you haven't been successful in getting Caddo Parish Commission as well as members of the Council to commit to funding?

Ms. Sanders: No ma'am, grant funding. From federal government. Right so that is underway, hopefully we will receive a vote in favor of forwarding those resolutions.

Councilwoman McCulloch: In August?

Ms. Sanders: In August. We will be meeting with the administration (inaudible) to further discuss our proposal in an effort to not bypass or blindside and just keep everyone in that communication so that we all understand what the intent is, and (inaudible).

Councilwoman McCulloch: And another reason why I'm really concerned is because I had the opportunity to attend a Master Plan meeting that was held, it was a public meeting, and you were there, in regards to some things that Shreveport Common. And I was there in support of City Councilman Jeff Everson. Of course he didn't make the luncheon, but from what was presented, I mean in order for them to mover forward with all of their ideas, if none of this is in place, then there's nothing that they can do. So I mean it's like the city is still being put on hold because this is not in place. So I'm just hoping that we can get

the legislation moving. Thank you Oliver, because that's what I was looking for. I was just hoping that we could start moving legislation so we can get moving with - - - you know get it in place, so that some things can be done as soon as possible.

Ms. Sanders: And to follow-up on your comment regarding the Shreveport Common project, they're pursuing some of what we'd call the band aid to our ordinances and regulations so that they can begin to achieve their vision. They have a plan, they have a vision, they have identified implementation recommendations in their plan, but - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: This is not in place yet, they're not going to get anywhere.

Ms. Sanders: Our regulations are not written to further their vision or to allow them to achieve their vision. So, in the interim, there are some things that they can do, but at the end of the day, those band aids are gonna either have to change, or be incorporated into a larger document itself.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well, that's all I have Chairperson.

Ms. Sanders: Impact fees as I discussed at my last presentation are a one time fees imposed on new development to reflect that development's fair share of providing additional facilities. We're talking additional parks that the city must eventually maintain. We're talking about water and sewer infrastructure that the city will have to maintain, we're talking about additional emergency response service that has to be provided in terms of fire and police protection (inaudible) salaries. If the squad car is running, and additional roads that we're going to have to maintain in the future. Whose going to fix the sidewalks, whose going to patch up the pavement, who is going to widen it if the street needs to be widened? The City. So impact fees help to offset that cost so that the taxpayer like my neighbor for example is not paying or subsidizing new development outside of our neighborhood. These fees would be derived from a fee study. That fee study cost us approximately \$135,000. That number we did receive from a consultant that does that type of work, (inaudible) so they are very familiar with the process, the scope of work, and the eventual cost of that project as well. The fee study would examine all city fees. And what does it cost to provide emergency service, protection by fire and police. What does it cost to maintain our city streets, what does it cost to provide solid waste service, what is the cost to provide water and sewer service? All of these different things. But today I'm here to tell you that

impact fees would likely result from that fee study. We have something that the City Council and Parish Commission can evaluate, review and perhaps adopt.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Now you mentioned \$150,000 earlier, but now its \$135 (thousand).

Ms. Sanders: No, its \$135(thousand). So what are the benefits of impact fees. They remove some of the burden of capital expenses from the city's general fund. And what are some of the results? Well, we can incentivize, we can structure those fees to incentivize redevelopment in our abandoned and neglected neighborhood. We have several neighborhoods identified in our Master Plan as revitalization areas as viable neighborhoods that are in need of some additional support. And we can also structure them to stop subsidizing maintenance of sprawl. I want to say I've been here for under six months, and I've not seen a development that does not embody all of the elements of automobile oriented suburban development. That's because our regulations are written to impress us.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Let me say something. I had a resident to call me just in this past week in regards to someone wanting to bring in what is it an auto parking, or an auto shop, but I mean if none of these UDC are in place, I mean rightly so, I mean the residents are opposing this, so I am going to oppose it too because a lot that needs to be in place is not in place. I think that's on Russell Road. At Russell Road and Nash Street, just to prepare you, we are definitely in opposition to that car lot coming on Russell Road. But unless we have everything in place, I mean rightfully so, he should be permitted right? Is that what I'm understanding?

Ms. Sanders: That is a zoning change case request. That is not a permitted use in that zoning district. So the City Council will be I believe its in the city limits, the City Council (inaudible) special request that says them asking permission to change what is currently allowable on that property. You have the discretion to review that proposal for compatibility with the adjacent and adjoining properties. Will this request result in negative impacts to the neighborhood, and to the adjoining properties? Will it increase traffic on the property? So there is some discretion in terms of zoning requests and special exemption request and conditional use requests, and that discretion narrows greatly when the zoning is already in place to allow for that particular use. And I can go over those issues with you at another time.

Councilwoman McCulloch: That'll be fine.

Ms. Sanders: Alright so again, many of our neighbors in Louisiana have impact fees? Yes they do. East Baton Rouge Parish, Iberia Parish, City of Mandeville, and St. Tammany Parish all have some type of impact fee. I'm not familiar with any communities in Louisiana that are currently pursuing impact fees, but that's something that I can find out. We had some communities that were identified in the Master Plan as their structure was recommended for Shreveport for their impact fees, and then you know when I looked into these for professional reasons, I like to look at some of our peer cities. Well there are some cities in the United States that we're similar to in terms of age, land area, and population. So these were some that were very significant, and they all have impact fees as well. So interesting fact here, 60% of all cities in the United States with over 25,000 residents use impact fees. 40% of metropolitan counties or parishes use some sort of impact fee. So we're not reinventing the wheel, we're not doing anything that other communities have not foreseen or adopted. Very standard practice. So, like I said at the last Master Plan Committee meeting, it was the general consensus that staff move forward and take the initiative to make this a project. So you will be seeing that from me in the very near future. If there is anything that you need from me before then, please let me know. I'll be happy to prepare the information that's necessary in order for you all to consider our proposal. They bicycle and pedestrian plan. Just to recap, the Parish Commission approved a resolution requesting that the Metropolitan Planning Commission place high priority on the planning of the bicycle and pedestrian network throughout Caddo Parish. It is MPC's opinion that a parish-wide plan of this magnitude exceeds our jurisdiction and our savvy capabilities, however, it is identified as a goal, a recommended action. It's not a short term action (inaudible) but it is identified as a recommendation in our Master Plan. You know we talked about a two-pronged approach in that Master Plan meeting, and it was I believe the quick victories that we used, and then the longer planning process which would be a transportation plan.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Dara, how much more? We need to start wrapping up the report. How much more do you have?

Ms. Sanders: I can wrap it up then. So you'll be hearing about some strategies about quick victories in the near future. And I believe that is the end, and there is my contact information. If anybody is interested in getting the power point presentation or that memo (inaudible) report.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Any member have any questions? I think that was quite thorough. Covered just about all of the points.

Councilwoman McCulloch: We'll be looking for a report the next time as far as where they've gotten on the legislation at our next meeting. And possibly make contact with the communities to get some ideas so that we can start our lists of UDC.

Ms. Sanders: I'm glad that you brought that up. One quick point. All letters have, all neighborhood associations that are registered with the City of Shreveport have received a letter from me requesting a time to meet their neighborhoods and talk about what the Master Plan has to say about their neighborhood and what the Master Plan can do for their neighborhood. So if you're aware of any neighborhood associations that have not received my letter, please let me know and I will reach out to that person directly.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, I believe we have a public hearing

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, at the last meeting on the motion Mr. Shyne made, a public hearing was postponed. It was advertised for today, however it was inadvertently left off of the agenda. We would ask that you would suspend the rules to add a public hearing now on Ordinance No. 68 of 2012.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to suspend the rules to add Ordinance No. 68 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have anyone here to speak in favor of this annexation ordinance?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we need to add it to the agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Say that again now.

Mr. Thompson: The public hearing is not on your agenda. If you look on your e-agenda, you will nothing where it says Public Hearing. We need to add the public hearing on this annexation ordinance to the agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I thought that was what I was just doing. That's not what I'm doing?

Councilman Shyne: No.

Mr. Thompson: I thought you were asking for a public hearing.

Councilman Shyne: That's what he was doing.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I thought what we needed to do was to see if there was any opposition or support to add it to the agenda.

Mr. Thompson: That's correct.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's what we're doing now.

Mr. Thompson: I misunderstood.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Is there anyone here to speak in favor of adding this annexation ordinance to the agenda? Is anyone here to speak in opposition to adding this annexation.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, if there's no opposition, I'd like to make the motion to add it to the agenda.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to add the public hearing on a proposed annexation, Ordinance No. 68 of 2012, (TAG No. 12-06) to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, it would now be appropriate to have the public hearing on this ordinance.

Public Hearing: Ordinance No. 68 of 2012 ANNEXATION TAG NO. 12-06: An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport an approximately 35.58 acre tract of land, located east of Buncombe Road and west of LA Hwy 3132 located in Section 30 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there anyone here who wishes to speak in favor of the ordinance?

Mr. Sibley: And Mr. Chairman, just for the record, this is Malcolm Stadtlander, Property Management who will present basic information for the public hearing.

Councilman Shyne: From the administration. I didn't want you to leave anything out Dale.

Mr. Sibley: Thank you Mr. Shyne.

Mr. Stadlander: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. This proposed annexation of 35.589 acres is Tag No. 12-06, and it's contiguous to the city limits of Shreveport and lies east of Buncombe Road, and west of LA Hwy 3132. It's adjacent to the Birchwood Subdivision. The developer has subdivided the area into 88 residential lots, and six commercial lots. The estimated average costs of the 88 houses is \$207,500 per unit. The estimated cost of the six commercial properties is \$160,000 per unit. Installation of all water lines, sewer lines, storm drains and streets will be the responsibility of the developer and the streets will remain private. A public notice ran on May 10, 2012, and there were no objections formally filed concerning this annexation. The north and west sides of the proposed annexation are contiguous to the existing city limits. The city has received petitions from the developer and 75% of the resident property owners requesting the annexation, and 90% of the registered voters also are represented in the signed petitions.

Councilman Webb: Who is the developer?

Mr. Stadlander: Shreve Hills North.

Councilman S. Jenkins: This is between 3132 and Buncombe Road?

Mr. Stadlander: Yes sir. Buncombe Road is on the map you can see this is Buncombe Road. It's really east, southeast of Buncombe Road. This is 3132, and this is Shreve Park Drive right here. The development is actually right off of Shreve Park Drive.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Now this is the same developer as the developer to the north or not?

Mr. Stadlander: The developer to the north is an older development. I don't know if he developed it or not, he may have. I'm not sure.

Councilman O. Jenkins: According to this site plan, there is no I guess real interconnect between the two?

Mr. Stadlander: Correct.

Councilman O. Jenkins: But then in one of the write ups it said, to extend the subdivision. So, that was kinda my question. Are we really in this case, just looking at a difference between in city and out of city water rates (inaudible) tax revenue and police and fire? I mean the burden of cost to us as a city in this development, is what we lose is providing them, certainly the revenue decrease, we've got to - - - police and fire got to support this, we lose half of the water revenue, by gaining the tax revenue?

Mr. Stadlander: That's correct, and - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Is there anything else that we're missing on that?

Mr. Stadlander: Garbage. They currently do not have city garbage pickup.

Councilman Corbin: Malcolm, if I'm placing this right, the development to the north, the existing development, it's not 100% constructed and sold out, is it?

Mr. Stadlander: To the north? It is. I've driven that development. It's a well development, it's all - - - everything's built.

Councilman S. Jenkins: What council district would this be in?

Mr. Stadlander: Mr. Webb's district.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well those lines come between his district and mine come kinda close, I was just trying to be sure.

Councilman Webb: The side next to 3132 is District E.

Mr. Stadlander: Yes, right. Shreve Park Drive is right next to it, the entrance to the subdivision is off Shreve Park Drive at 3132, just to the other side of that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Anyone else?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yeah, is that financial fact sheet somewhere?

Mr. Stadlander: Yes I have it here.

Councilman O. Jenkins: You want to send that around at some point, between now and the vote, it doesn't matter.

Mr. Stadlander: Okay, I sure will.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Anyone else? Alright, is there any person here who wishes to speak in opposition to this annexation ordinance? If not, then this public hearing is closed. Alright lets move Mr. Thompson. Is there any legislation to be added today?

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we have a couple of items that are sort of housekeeping items.

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

Mr. Thompson: What happened was this ordinance was sent to the Clerk to be placed on the agenda, however it was placed on the agenda as a capital improvement ordinance, rather than an ordinance on the Streets Special Revenue Fund. What we would recommend and we would ask you to do would be to amend the agenda, by correcting the title of Ordinance No. 82 of 2012 to read as follows:

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 82 of 2012**: An Ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do we have anyone here who wishes to speak in favor of adding this item to the agenda.

Mr. Thompson: It would be to correct the title of the item that is on the agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, do we have anyone here in favor of correcting the title to an item that's on the agenda.

Mr. Thompson: No. 82.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, is there anyone here who wishes to speak in opposition to it? Okay, so now we need a motion to add this to

Mr. Thompson: A motion to correct the title of ordinance No. 82.

Motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman Corbin to correct the title of Ordinance No. 82 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we have a similar motion on Ordinance No. 68 of 2012. If you'll recall at the last meeting, it was discovered that the amount of acreage was incorrect. And it was corrected at that meeting to 35.58 acres. But when it was put on the agenda, it was put on the agenda at the old acreage rather than the correct acreage. So, we would ask that you would amend the agenda by correcting the title of Ordinance No. 68 of 2012.

2. To amend the agenda by correcting the title of Ordinance No. 68 of 2012 to read as follows:

The Clerk read the following:

Ordinance No. 68 of 2012 ANNEXATION TAG NO. 12-06: An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport an approximately 35.58 acre tract of land, located east of Buncombe Road and west of LA Hwy 3132 located in Section 30 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: By doing it this way Mr. Chairman, you're actually able to vote on this ordinance today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Does any person here wish to speak in favor of correcting the title of this ordinance.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And that was Ordinance No. 60 you said?

Councilman S. Jenkins: It was Ordinance No. 68 of 2012. We're correcting the title is basically what we're doing. It's on the agenda and we're correcting the title. So is there anyone here who wish to speak in favor of that? Is there anyone here who wish to speak in opposition to correcting the title of Ordinance No. 68 of 2012, which is on the agenda? Okay, can we entertain a motion to that affect?

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Webb to amend the agenda by correcting the title of Ordinance No. 68 of 2012. Motion

approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: We have one more Mr. Chairman.

The Clerk read the following:

Confirmation and appointment:

Airport Authority Board of Directors Attorney Mary Jackson

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there anyone here who wishes to speak in favor of adding the appointment of Ms. Mary Jackson to the Airport Authority to the agenda?

Councilman Everson: Wait a minute, is it the Airport Authority or the DDA?

Councilman S. Jenkins: No, she's for the Airport Authority.

Councilman Everson: Yeah, I think it's incorrect on the e-agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: So it would be for the Airport Authority. So is there anyone here who wishes to speak in favor of adding that item to the agenda? Is there anyone here who wishes to speak in opposition to adding this item to the agenda, the appointment of Ms. Mary Jackson to the Airport Authority? Okay, so moved to add to the agenda.

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to add the executive appointment of Ms. Mary Jackson to the Airport Authority Board of Directors. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Those are all of the items to be added Mr. Chairman.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

Confirmation and appointments:

Director of Shreveport Regional Airport Mr. Bryant L. Francis

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to confirm the executive appointments of Mr. Bryant Francis, Director of Shreveport Regional Airport. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None

Airport Authority Board of Directors Attorney Mary Jackson

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to confirm the executive appointment of Ms. Mary Jackson to the Airport Authority Board of Directors. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, its very seldom I agree with you, but I think you burned the midnight oil, and you made some terrific decisions on those two appointments.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Shyne, and Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, we thank you as well. Based on the unanimous confirmation of Mr. Francis, as Airport Director, I think you will soon be officially greeting Mr. Cooksey as the official Deputy Director, just as importantly, it's going to cost us a little bit more, but it will be well worth it. I think one additional note to make is he was referenced earlier by Mr. Craig Lee. A young man who has been filling in the space that Mr. Cooksey previously held at the airport in terms of marketing and public relations, Mark Crawford now will have the opportunity to be permanently placed in that position as well. So your collective actions today have shifted three individuals up in a very positive direction. So we thank you all for the support and confidence.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright and our sentiments go to Mr. Cooksey certainly go a long way also. So just make sure that there will be costs within reason.

Mayor Glover: We'll just take it out of the District F budget, if you don't mind.

Councilman Shyne: Wait, wait. Now I've been agreeing with you all day long,

Mayor Glover: We'll make it pro rata 7x7x7. That opens the opportunity, I don't want to take up anymore time than necessary Mr. Chairman, but it does open up the opportunity for me on behalf of the administration and the citizens of Shreveport to express a deep and abiding appreciation to Bill Cooksey for

outstanding leadership that he provided at a very challenging point in this Airport's history. He stepped in and has done a simply outstanding job, acquitted himself very well in terms of competing for the lead position, and no doubt I think will become an even better airport professional in the coming months and years to come. So we thank him for his service and we look forward to his future.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We certainly agree with those sentiments also. Mr. Clerk, Regular Agenda Legislation.

Consent Agenda Legislation

To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None.

Ordinances: None.

To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None

Ordinances: None.

Regular Agenda Legislation

Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 131 of 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN AN AMENDMENT TO LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING LOAN FUND AGREEMENTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF REDUCING THE INTEREST RATE FROM 3.95% TO 2.95% AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS the City of Shreveport, Louisiana (the City) authorized the Mayor to sign loan agreements through the Louisiana Department of Environmental

Quality (LDEQ) Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund (CWSLF) by Ordinances 28 of 2002, Ordinance 109 of 2003, and Ordinance 151 of 2003,

WHEREAS the interest rate for these loans was 3.95%; and

WHEREAS this resolution would authorize the Mayor to sign a supplemental agreement to those loans for the purpose of reducing the interest rate on the remaining principal to 2.95% and

WHEREAS in connection with the issuance of replacement bonds reflecting the lower interest rate, the principal amortization may also be adjusted to preserve the approximate relative annual debt service requirements.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that:

Section 1: Mayor Cedric B. Glover is hereby authorized to execute any and all documents on behalf of the City related to supplemental loan documents for the LDEQ on behalf of the City for the purpose of reducing the interest rate of the loans authorized by Ordinances 28 of 2002, Ordinance 109 of 2003, and Ordinance 151 of 2003 and to do all things necessary to implement, amend and renew such documents with the authorization contained herein.

Section 2: And said Ordinances 28 of 2002, Ordinance 109 of 2003, and Ordinance 151 of 2003, are hereby amended to provide that the bonds authorized thereby shall bear interest at the rate of 2.45%, plus the 0.50% DEQ Administrative Fee, from the effective date of such supplemental loan documents.

Section 3: Mayor Cedric B. Glover or his designee is further authorized to furnish such additional information as may reasonably be required in connection with the supplemental loan documents.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council hereby authorizes and directs its Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Finance, Clerk and such other officials of the City, individually or collectively, to do any and all things necessary, proper and incidental to carry out the provisions of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other

provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

1. **Resolution No. 133 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the execution of a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Red River Waterway District and the Parish Caddo relative to the construction and maintenance of a dog park on approximately 5.7 acres of land adjacent to the Red River in Shreveport; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 133 of 2012

Delete the title of the ordinance and substitute the following:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE RED RIVER WATERWAY DISTRICT AND THE PARISH OF CADDO RELATIVE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A DOG PARK ON LAND OWNED BY THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ADJACENT TO THE RED RIVER IN SHREVEPORT; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

In the “NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED” paragraph, in the fifth line, delete the words “approximately 5.7 acres of”.

Explanation of amendment:

Deletes references to the number of acres of the proposed dog park, and substitutes a reference to land “owned by the City of Shreveport” adjacent to the Red River.

Mr. Thompson: So this just takes out the acreage in the title and in the ordinance, and I believe you'll be asked to adopt the amendment today.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No. 133 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to postpone Resolution No. 133 of 2012 as amended until the next regular meeting.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I believe what we're being told is that we have to let it stand with the correct title for a period of a week because it is a resolution with a contract. So I will call it a pseudo-administrative (inaudible)

Ms. Glass: It's a Charter provision, but yet.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It can come back up at the next meeting, is that correct.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Chairman, just in some of our discussions yesterday after the meeting, I know there are a couple of exhibits on your maps and some other documentation as far as maintenance and things like that, if we could be sure that we get those clarified and to the Council, I'd appreciate that.

Mr. Thompson: Is that something our office is supposed to do or?

Councilman Corbin: No, I guess maybe that's gonna come from the administration, or I'll direct that to the Administration. Would you be sure that we have correct maps associated with this, or maps that have been approved and good maintenance costs? Ongoing maintenance costs as soon as possible?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? Mr. Corbin, members of the Council, I think that what you have in this situation at this point I think is unfortunate testament to how this process started. And why it is where it is right now and we'll continue to be so, at least from the administration's perspective. Certainly we will assist you all in answering the questions that you pose to us, but the problems that you are having at this point are reflective of a process that is completely backwards or I guess they say I guess in some parts, 'Bass-ackwards' because the information -

--

Councilman Shyne: I didn't quite hear that Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Bass-ackwards.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, okay.

Mayor Glover: From what I have been detailed by staff, I think following some of the discussions that took place yesterday after the work session between SPAR staff and Council Members is that what was used by the Parish to take this effort to the Waterway Commission was less than complete, thorough and accurate information. And the failure for the administration and proper staff to have a chance to play whatever role in this situation that might have been appropriate accounts for why it is that right now you have a very flawed set of circumstances in front of you with some questions that are largely unanswered. Not the least of which is language is within this proposed agreement that says that in the event that the dollars proposed for this effort are not sufficient, then the balance of those dollars are intended to come from either the city or from the Parish. All of those are aspects of why it is and you all know that we have quite a few, as to why we feel that this situation at the very least is quite irregular, and does not represent the way and the manner in which you would look to try and approach something like this, and I think if the roles were reversed and you had the City of Shreveport that had gone out to a funding agency, for land that is owned and controlled by another governmental entity, frankly I think there would be a great and large (inaudible) outcry because this is not how you manage, lead, and govern. I appreciate the effort, appreciate the intent, but you got a situation that beyond just the problem of prioritizing dogs over people from my perspective. You've got a situation here that has just some very serious technical faults in terms of how it was compiled, organized, and ultimately submitted and presented to the Red River Waterway Commission. What you also have in the letters that I provided to you all yesterday, which is my most recent response to Ken Guidry's early July response to my June 22nd letter to him is yet again another request from this administration, and we don't mind whoever is in the meeting, as long as it includes someone from Mr. Guidry's level with staff for the Waterway Commission and any commissioners with the Waterway Commission and anyone else. Dog Parks, Parish Commission, Council Members and what have you, to have a chance to have a discussion about where this situation is and how we got to this point. That letter was directed to them I believe on Wednesday of last week, and we have yet to this point to get either a response of an offer to look to arrange a time for us to travel to them in Natchitoches or to host them here in Shreveport to be able to engage in some discussions and dialogue in this overall situation. So, I think part of what you have are technical problems that

maybe even go beyond some of the ones that you all addressed with the one amendment that's been made, that makes this a problematic situation for the City as a whole. But we'll certainly answer any questions that you all pose to us.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 134 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an Adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment "A-7" for non-payment of City Property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the properties described in Attachment "A-7" and has not received any indication that it is needed for City purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment "A-7" are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this

declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301 (1) (d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Resolutions (*Not to be adopted prior to August 14, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 135 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the execution of an agreement with Louisiana Tech University for use of Independence Stadium, and otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Corbin to introduce Resolution No. 135 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting.

Councilman Shyne: I would ask everyone to come out. It should be an excellent football game. I think Tech is probably a couple of touchdowns favored in this ballgame, but it will still be a good ballgame.

Councilman Webb: You gone be a referee?

Councilman Shyne: Ron, don't sound like that now. Oh that's right. Mr. Mayor, I might be down in Tampa.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yeah, we're trying to make him a delegate. That's the big night of the announcement.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? You gone be in Tampa Mr. Shyne?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, I might be - - - let me take that back.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'm going to change names - - -

Councilman Shyne: We're on TV, I forgot.

Mayor Glover: I will say this Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. This represents hopefully an ongoing relationship with LA Tech. We were certainly proud to have them here year before last, the historic game between them and Grambling for the kickoff of the Port City Classic. They were not here last year, but the idea of getting them here for this kickoff game that's going to be nationally televised on ESPN, on a primetime timeslot and represents the SEC premier of the Texas A&M Aggies for their first game of the SEC Conference, we think it's going to be tremendous, we're looking forward to tremendous numbers of people coming to Shreveport and northwest Louisiana. The only question that I have is whose going to bring more people? Bulldogs, obviously I'm voting for our local Bulldogs. The Aggie Nation is pretty big, in fact, they have a local connection here in our Jonathan Stewart. He is a linebacker for the Texas A&M Aggies. Will be his second time playing before his hometown crowd, we're looking for a great time, great ballgame.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Ordinances (*Not to be adopted prior to August 14, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 78 of 2012**: An ordinance levying a tax of Twenty-Two and Five One Hundredths (22 and 5/100ths) mills per dollar on all property subject to Ad Valorem taxation within the City of Shreveport for the year 2012 for the purpose of paying principal and interest on the outstanding General Obligation Bonds of the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

2. **Ordinance No. 79 of 2012**: An ordinance levying various taxes totaling Seventeen and Sixty-Five Hundredths (17 and 65/100ths) Mills per dollar on all property subject to Ad Valorem taxation within the City of Shreveport for the year 2012 in the amounts and for the purposes described herein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

3. **Ordinance No. 80 of 2012**: An ordinance levying a tax of Eight and Sixty-Four One Hundredths (8 and 64/100ths) Mills per dollar on all property subject to Ad Valorem Taxation within the bounds of the Downtown Development

District of the City of Shreveport for the purposes as set forth herein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

4. **Ordinance No. 81 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Audrey Lane*)

5. **Ordinance No. 82 of 2012**: An Ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

6. **Ordinance No. 83 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Ledbetter Heights Park and Community Center*)

7. **Ordinance No. 84 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Choice Neighborhood Park*)

8. **Ordinance No. 85 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Shreveport Commons Cultural District Park*)

9. **Ordinance No. 86 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Oakland Cemetery*)

10. **Ordinance No. 87 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*C. C. Antoine Park Renovations*)

11. **Ordinance No. 88 of 2012**: An ordinance creating and establishing a No Parking Zone in the 900 Block of Gladstone Boulevard and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

12. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2012: ZONING – C-40-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the north side of Dalzell at its intersection with Samford Avenue, be and the same is hereby changed from **I-2, Heavy Industry District to I-2-E, Heavy Industry/Extended Use District limited to “a multi-purpose facility for concerts and seminars”** only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

13. **Ordinance No. 90 of 2012: ZONING – C-41-12:** An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the north side of Mitchell Lane, 294 feet east of Fairfield Avenue be and the same is hereby changed from **R-1D, Urban One Family Residence District to R-1D-E, Urban One Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to “a parking lot” only** and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

14. **Ordinance No. 91 of 2012: ZONING – C-42-12:** An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the south side of Pleasant Drive, 200 feet east of Jewella Avenue, be and the same is hereby changed from **R-1D, Urban One Family Residence District to B-2 Neighborhood Business District**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman Corbin to introduce Ordinance No(s). 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, and 91 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay two things. Bea did you - - - that thing on the fact sheet on Annexation Tag No. 12-06, I still haven't gotten it down here yet that Malcolm gave you.

Ms. Johnson: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought we were going to scan it and email it to you.

Mr. Thompson: No, he wants to read it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: It's before the vote, so would you send it down here? In the mean time, I have a question on these millages. Now these are the same millages currently that we have right?

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir, the - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Obviously I read somewhere else that the property tax base, the number has gone up, so we do this and we would either roll forward millages or roll 'em back at a different time of the year? Tell me how that works.

Mr. Sibley: Actually No. 79 and 80 are the resets based on the new assessments. Unless the Council decides to roll them forward, your vote will simply accomplish that, and the paperwork will go back and forth between the assessor and the state and they'll produce the new tax rolls. So this is based on the new assessments, so this actually reduces the amount of taxes that each individual homeowner will have to pay.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So, reduces the millages so the taxes would remain - - -

Mr. Sibley: Would reflect the increased value.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So the tax would remain constant.

Mr. Sibley: Right. The amount of revenue would remain constant.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So this - - - if we were to - - - now I'm talking two weeks time, or whatever it is, on that debt service millage we've got about \$100,000,000 in there, and we pay out I don't know \$24-25,000,000 it looks like to me a year. We also collect \$37,000,000 according to my sheet right here. We intend to refund or refinance, I mean refund payoff or recall whatever, \$30,000,000? Do you know what that number is? Or maybe Charles knows. Is it about \$30,000,000 +? Okay. So, it still looks to me that we've got in access of maybe \$40,000,000 above and beyond what our annual debt service is. Somebody argued well you would want a buffer. I've got the buffer. But even if we took on more debt, i.e. the next (inaudible) of bonds, we looked at just rolling back those to a point at least where our millage revenue is somewhere closer to our actual expense. I mean there's a huge (inaudible) a lot of reserve in there.

Mr. Sibley: It is. What this ordinance represents lady and gentlemen of the Council is a 4.5 mil rollback. Which based on our analysis what we think is the maximum amount of savings that we can give our citizens, yet maintain our responsibility to look out for the fiscal condition of the city. Between now and the time that you vote, we're more than happy to sit down with Mr. Madden and the team to look at exactly where that number is. Our FA has recommended something that ranges at about 3%, but in looking at it we realize we could do about 4.5 mils. That combined with the reset on the assessed value gives us about 4.(inaudible) that each citizen would enjoy as a deduction in the actual amount of the ad valorem taxes they would pay. So we can talk about those numbers, look at those numbers, but that's where we felt it would be a safe place to give the citizens the most relief, but still allow us to cover all the situations that may arise over the next couple of years. It does account for those bonds that we intend to

pay off. If I'm not mistaken Charles, it also already accounts for the additional bonds that we will sell out of this past bond issue that was done.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'd like to see the full calculation on where that all - - - where we are today, I mean I pull half of it out here, but the other part is I can't see the new forward tax number. I don't know what that 2.5 equates to based on that roll. And what we're actually talking about in relief or taxes for our citizens.

Mr. Sibley: And we can provide that because we have the spreadsheets. We just as a ballpark for example right now. If your home is based upon this ordinance, valued at \$100,000, you basically see a reduction of about \$48.50

Councilman O. Jenkins: To include the property tax increase, but then the millage reduction generates

Mr. Sibley: 4.4. Based on the median home prices in Shreveport, we calculated that. I think Charles will be a little over \$50 per home.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. I for one would like to see that calculation.

Mayor Glover: One thing you can't avoid Mr. Jenkins. You've got Democrats cutting taxes.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And it's amazing. Its amazing how come we hadn't seen a press release on this, this is so good.

Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 68 of 2012**: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 12-06: An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – a 35.58 acre tract of land, located east of Buncombe Road and west of LA Hwy 3132 located in Section 30 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.)(*Postponed July 10, 2012*) (E/Webb)

Having passed first reading on June 26, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins adopt.

Councilman O. Jenkins: When you put in the police start up costs, we don't necessarily envision adding on more police, but that's just the resource in terms of monthly man hours (inaudible). Thank you. Nothing further.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You know I still have my questions about that, and those sheets there. And it's not to say that in any kind of mean-spirited way. It is what it is when you put 'em out there.

Mr. Sibley: We're actually looking at that. We had some discussions. We talked to Mr. Corbin and a couple of council members when this issue first came up. And we here internally are looking at our formula just to - - - because we think what has happened in the past perhaps or the indirect costs were not included in there. Some kinds of formulas cover you know what the construction industry would be called overhead and labor and things like that, so we're going back and evaluating our formula so that we could present to you guys everything that's factored. And make sure we're covering everything in terms of some of those indirect costs that right now might not be captured. So we're going to look at that, and before the next batch comes forward, we'll have those discussions with you guys on it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well we would appreciate that and it will certainly be welcomed.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Ordinance No. 70 of 2012**: An ordinance authorizing the execution of a contract for beverage vending and concessions with Louisiana Coca-Cola Bottling Company, LLC., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed July 10, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on June 26, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

3. **Ordinance No. 72 of 2012**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 58 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Noise and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on July 10, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

4. Ordinance No. 73 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Neighborhood Street Projects*)

Having passed first reading on July 10, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

5. Ordinance No. 74 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Lucas Sludge Field improvements and Press relocation*)

Having passed first reading on July 10, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

6. Ordinance No. 75 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on July 10, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

7. **Ordinance No. 76 of 2012**: An ordinance authorizing and providing for an encroachment on a portion of the Jewella Avenue Right-of-way, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on July 10, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

8. **Ordinance No. 77 of 2012**: An ordinance amending Section 26-175 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the retained Risk Fund and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on July 10, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 68 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 35.58 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCATED EAST OF BUNCOMBE ROAD AND WEST OF LA HWY 3132 LOCATED IN SECTION 30 (T17N-R14W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

TAG NO. 12-06

WHEREAS, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

WHEREAS, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described

below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

TAG NO. 12-06 A tract of land; located in Section 30 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana; comprised of a portion of Shreve Hills North, Phases One, Shreve Hills North, Phase Two Correction Plat; Shreve Hills North, Phase Three; and the Shreve Hills Commercial Subdivision, Unit No. 2 and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Southwest corner of the Northwest Quarter of Section 30 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana and the Southwest corner of the Shreve Hills North, Phase Three Subdivision, run N 01° 04' 11" E along the West line of said subdivision a distance of 655.21 feet to the Northwest corner thereof; thence run S 88° 48' 11" E along the North line of said subdivision and Shreve Hills North, Phase One Subdivision a distance of 2,142.23 feet; thence run S 10° 06' 26" E a distance of 391.60 feet; thence run southeasterly and clockwise along a curve to the right a distance of 113.93 feet (said curve having a radius of 5,695.58 feet and a long chord bearing S 09° 20' 06" E – 113.93 feet); thence run S 08° 52' 30" E a distance of 162.72 feet to the northeast corner of Lot 3 of the Shreve Hills Commercial Subdivision, Unit No. 2, as per plat filed and recorded in Book 3050, Page 208, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; thence run S 08° 52' 29" E a distance of 313.72 feet; thence run southwesterly and clockwise along a curve to the right a distance of 61.15 feet (said curve having a radius of 35.00 feet, a delta angle of 100° 05' 50", and a tangent of 41.78 feet); thence run N 88° 46' 38" W a distance of 286.42 feet to the Southwest corner of said subdivision; thence run N 01° 03' 42" E a distance of 350.00 feet to the Northwest corner of said subdivision and a point on the South line of the Shreve Hills North, Phase III Subdivision; thence run N 88° 46' 38" W along said south line a distance of 2,000.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Said tract containing 35.589 acres, more or less.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby assigned to Council District "E".

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, with the Clerk of the District Court for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 70 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT FOR BEVERAGE VENDNG AND CONCESSIONS WITH LOUISIANA COCA-COLA BOTTLING COMPANY, LLC., AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Assembly and Recreation has solicited requests for proposals for beverage vending and concessions at certain city-owned facilities; and

WHEREAS, as the result of the solicitation, the City desires to enter into a five (5) year contract with Louisiana Coca-Cola Bottling Company, LLC to manage and operate beverage vending and concessions operations at certain city-owned facilities; and

WHEREAS, under the terms of the agreement, the City will receive an aggregate amount of \$130,000 (“Sponsorship Fees”), \$13,000 (“Marketing Fees”), and \$2,500 (product donation) for the entire five (5) year term of the agreement as well as a monthly commission based on the commission rates and initial vend prices set forth in Concessionaire’s proposal; and

WHEREAS, the services to be provided by the Concessionaire at the facilities will provide a benefit to patrons of the facilities and to the general public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute a contract with Louisiana Coca-Cola Bottling Company, LLC to provide beverage vending and concessions at certain city-owned facilities, substantially in accordance with the draft hereof which was filed for public inspection with the original of this ordinance in the office of the Clerk of Council on June 26, 2012.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 72 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REENACT CHAPTER 58 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO NOISE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 58-27(c) of the Code of Ordinances and is hereby enacted, and Sections 58-30(5), 58-30(11), 58-30(15) through (17) and 58-32 are hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows:

Sec. 58-27. Noise control officer.

* * *

(c) In addition to the enforcement powers stated above, members of the Shreveport Police Department are authorized to enforce any provision herein that does not require the measurement of sound level using a sound level meter, including specifically but not limited to Sections 58-30(5), 58-30(11) and 58-30(15) through (17).

* * *

Sec. 58-30. Exemptions and restricted uses.

* * *

(5) Motor vehicles and motorcycles on traffic ways of the city are exempt from section 58-29 provided that:

a. No person shall sound a vehicle horn, signaling device, or similar device for more than five consecutive seconds, unless sounded as a danger warning.

b. Adequate mufflers or sound dissipative devices shall be properly installed such that:

1. No person shall operate or cause to be operated any motor vehicle or motorcycle not equipped with a muffler or other sound dissipative device in good working order and in constant operation.

2. No person shall remove or render inoperative, or cause to be removed or rendered inoperative, other than for purposes of maintenance, repair, or replacement, any muffler or sound dissipative device on a motor vehicle or motorcycle.

c. Use of engine brakes.

1. The use or operation, without a muffler, of any engine brake, compression brake, mechanical exhaust device, or other similar device designed to aid in the braking or deceleration of any vehicle is prohibited on the following streets:

(a) Flournoy Lucas Road from Ellerbe Road to Youree Drive.

(b) Youree Drive from Bert Kouns Industrial Loop to Flournoy Lucas Road.

2. This subsection shall not apply to the emergency use of such brakes in the event that the vehicle loses normal means to slow down or stop the vehicle.

3. This subsection shall not apply to any vehicle of the city while engaged in any necessary public business, or to emergency vehicles while in use for emergency purposes.

4. This provision is not intended to prohibit the passage of vehicles equipped with the brakes described above, but rather to prohibit the use of such brakes within the city limits on the designated streets.

* * *

11) Power tools.

a. Commercial and industrial use of power tools and landscaping and yard maintenance equipment, excluding emergency work, shall not be operated in such a manner that the sound is plainly audible at a residential property line, or the property line of a noise sensitive receiver, between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, or between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m. on weekends or federal holidays. All motorized equipment used in these activities shall be operated with a muffler. At all other times, the limits set forth in section 58-29 do not apply.

b. Non-commercial or non-industrial use of power tools and landscaping and yard maintenance equipment shall not be operated in such a manner that the sound is plainly audible at a residential property line, or the property line of a noise sensitive receiver, between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. All motorized equipment used in these activities shall be operated with a muffler. At all other times, the limits set forth in section 58-29 do not apply.

* * *

(15) Music or sound amplification or reproduction equipment or other sound systems shall not be operated in such a manner that the sound is plainly audible at a residential property line or the property line of a noise sensitive receiver between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. This provision specifically applies to but is not limited to any moving or standing vehicle.

(16) Vehicular music or sound amplification or reproduction equipment or other sound systems shall not be operated in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of more than 50 feet in any direction from the operator.

(17) Self-contained, portable, hand-held music or sound amplification or reproduction equipment shall not be operated on a public space or public right-of-way in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of 50 feet in any direction from the operator between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. Between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m., sound from such equipment shall not be plainly audible by any person other than the operator.

* * *

Sec. 58-32. - Enforcement.

(a) Any person or entity that violates any provision of this article shall, upon conviction be subject to a fine of not more than \$500.00, or a term of imprisonment of not more than 30 days, or both, for each offense. If the violation is of a continuing nature, each day during which it occurs shall constitute an additional, separate, and distinct offense. Further, the city may enforce this article through all civil remedies available, including, but not limited to, injunctive relief.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance shall become effective on August 15, 2012.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 73 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget to shift project funding and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No.114 of 2011, the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, be further amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Program C (Streets):

Increase funding for **Neighborhood Street Projects** and provide appropriation at \$30,000.00. Funding source is from private developers. Project Scope: This project provides for Providing a crossover connection between Sam's (Wal-Mart) and Target (Stirling Properties) parking areas.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 114 of 2011, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 74 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for Capital Improvements,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 114 of 2011, the Capital Improvements Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Program F – Sewer Improvements:

Increase the appropriation for **Lucas Sludge Field Improvements (98-F014)** by \$150,000. Funding source is water and sewer revenues.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 114 of 2011 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 75 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance Number 119 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Under “2011 and Prior Year Funds”:

Increase Prior-Year WIA Grants by \$41,700

Fiscal Year 2012 Funds:

Reduce CDBG Entitlement by \$557,900

Reduce Home Entitlement by \$516,000

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Under “2011 and Prior Year Funds”:

Increase Prior-Year WIA Grants by \$41,700

Fiscal Year 2012 Funds:

Reduce Administration – Personnel by \$31,600

Reduce Housing Programs – CDBG by \$526,300

Reduce Home Program by \$516,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 76 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND PROVIDING FOR AN ENCROACHMENT ON A PORTION OF THE JEWELLA AVENUE RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, S'port City Corvette Club is the owner of lots adjacent to the East Right-of-Way of Jewella Avenue and the North Right-of-Way of Fredrick Street; and

WHEREAS, a request has been received from S'port City Corvette Club to allow for a proposed concrete parking area encroachment onto the Jewella Avenue Right-of-Way; and

WHEREAS, this proposed encroachment upon and use of a portion of this right-of-way is not adverse to the public interest of the citizens of the City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, such disposition by ordinance is authorized by the provisions of Section 2.03 (e) of the Charter of the City of Shreveport, 1978

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized and empowered to grant a certain encroachment with respect to a portion of the Jewella Avenue right-of-way located at the northeast corner of Jewella and Fredrick Street as set forth and shown on the plan attached hereto and made a part thereof, unto and in favor of

S'port City Corvette Club, and after due notice, publication, and compliance in all respects with the laws applicable thereto, and after the effective date of this ordinance, the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, an instrument or permit of encroachment, substantially in the form of the document filed along with the original copy of this ordinance in the office of the Clerk of Council of the City of Shreveport.

BE FURTHER ORDAINED that the original permit and a certified copy of this ordinance be filed and recorded in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 77 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 26-175 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO THE RETAINED RISK FUND AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Sam Jenkins

WHEREAS, Section 26-175 of the Code of Ordinances provides authority to compromise or settle claims against the city where a lawsuit has not been filed against the city; and

WHEREAS, Section 26-175(a)(1) specifically authorizes the director of finance or his authorized designee to compromise or settle any claim against the city without the necessity of filing of a lawsuit against the city in a matter where such settlement does not exceed \$10,000; and

WHEREAS, an increase in the finance director's authority to compromise or settle claim(s) would result in increased efficiency in the City's claims administration process.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that Section 26-175 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances is hereby amended and re-enacted to now read as follows:

Sec. 26-175. Authority to compromise or settle claims against the city.

(a) Claims where a lawsuit has not been filed against the city.

(1) After investigation in accordance with the policy stated in section 26-172, the director of finance or his authorized designee is authorized to compromise or settle any claim against the city without the necessity for the filing of a lawsuit against the city in a matter where such settlement does not exceed \$30,000.

(2) After investigation in accordance with the policy stated in section 26-172, any claim that is \$30,000 or more but less than \$200,000 may be settled without the necessity for the filing of a lawsuit against the city only after it has been approved by the risk management committee.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Tabled legislation.

1. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 74 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Solid Waste Collections, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Tabled September 13, 2011*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: I will be looking at Ordinance No. 89 of 2011 after we have our discussion hopefully on Thursday. We'll see how those discussions go, but just to let everyone know we may be finally taking that off the table. Any

other tabled legislation to be considered today? If none, Mr. Clerk, I believe that brings us to - - -

2. **Resolution No. 179 of 2011**: Authorizing Melba Yvonne Warr Baldwin located at 382 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Near D and is not contiguous to the city limits.) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

3. **Resolution No. 222 of 2011**: Authorizing Lawrence Johnson Franklin Jr. and Elizabeth Fry Franklin located at 421 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

4. **Resolution No. 223 of 2011**: Authoring Lee Roy Stanley located at 419 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

5. **Resolution No. 226 of 2011**: Authorizing Frank G. Harris III located at 437 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

6. **Resolution No. 227 of 2011**: Authorizing Kaylan Franklin Worley, Sr. located at 3008 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

7. **Resolution No. 228 of 2011**: Authorizing Jeffery Charles Shamsie located at 414 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

8. **Resolution No. 229 of 2011**: Authorizing Joan E. Thoma located at 2036 Pepper Ridge Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located*

near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)

9. **Resolution No. 230 of 2011**: Authorizing Henry Eugene Raines, Jr., and Gloria Jean Wingate Raines located at 3004 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

10. **Resolution No. 231 of 2011**: Authorizing William Sidney Hunt and Gaynel Neyrey Hunt located at 10015 Nightingale Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin)(Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

11. **Resolution No. 233 of 2011**: Authorizing James Allan Bell and Betty Ann Kilpatrick Bell located at 3055 Dryden Court to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

12. **Resolution No. 241 of 2011**: Requiring the Chief Administrative Officer to offer the fair market value (and if accepted) to purchase the flood prone properties located at 8035 and 8037 Jewella Avenue by October 26, 2011, or to provide a report to the council relative to this matter on that date and to otherwise providing with respect thereto.*(Tabled October 24, 2011)*

13. **Resolution No. 243 of 2011**: Authorizing James Michael Johnson and Kelly Renee Larry Johnson located at 352 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)*

14. **Resolution No. 244 of 2011**: Authorizing Byron Wayne Ruesch and Leslie Baldwin Ruesch Located at 369 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)*

15. **Resolution No. 248 of 2011**: Authorizing Claude E. Franklin and Mary Elizabeth Womack Franklin located at 442 Bob White Lane to connect to the

water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(Tabled October 24, 2011)

16. **Resolution No. 249 of 2011**: Authorizing Jason Wayne Waltman and Kristy Mcgee Waltman located at 3028 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (Tabled October 24, 2011)

17. **Ordinance No. 25 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Texas Street Turn Lanes*)(B/Everson) (Tabled March 27, 2012)

Appeals

Property Standards Appeals

PSD0900275: 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson)(*Postponed July 9, 2012 until August 13, 2012*)

HBO1000030: 153 Merrick Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Lola B. Layton, P.O. Box 4582 Shreveport, LA 71134 (*Postponed April 23, 2012 until August 27, 2012*)

PSD1000086: 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (*Postponed April 23, 2012 until July 23, 2012*)

PSD1000141 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

PSD1100017 3634 Sumner Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Roberto Strickland, 3740 Jackson Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed June 11, 2012 until September 10, 2012*)

HBO1100050 3819 Bobbitt Place, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Ms. Cheryl Barnhardt, Jackson and McPherson, LLC., 1010 Common Street, Suite 1800, New Orleans, LA 70112 (*Postponed April 23, 2012 until July 23, 2012*)

PSD1100251: 3631 Stonewall, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Charles Parson, 4308 Lakeshore Dr., Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed June 11, 2012 until September 10, 2012*)

PSD12001000 3545 Michigan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Carl Colvin, 6618 Burke Street, Shreveport, LA 71108 (E/Webb) *New*

PSD1200016 6938 Nevada Avenue, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Erika Thompson, 7517 Grass Valley Trail, Fort Worth, TX 76123 *New*

Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals

Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals

Other Appeals

Taxi Appeal

Mr. Arthur Mathews, 534 Glenwood Street, Bossier City, LA *Action Taxi*,
2104 Barksdale Blvd, Bossier City, LA

Mr. Thompson: I think that brings us to Reports from Officers, Boards, and Committees.

Reports from officers, boards, and committees

Councilman S. Jenkins: I believe you are correct. I believe we did all those appeals on yesterday. I want to give a report on the Audit and Finance Committee. We met on Monday, July 23, 2012 at 1:00. The committee received an annual report from BKD, the external auditors. The auditors gave a clean or unqualified opinion on the city's December 31, 2011 financial statements. A clean opinion means that the auditors believe that the financial statements are fairly and accurately presented. In addition, the financial statements were filed before the state deadline of June 30, 2012. There were no findings documented by the auditors in the federal financial assistance report or the internal controls report. The General Fund unsigned fund balance, which is the city's savings account was \$9.8 (million) or 5% of the gross general fund expenditures. Overall it was an informative meeting with a lot of educational information on the city finances. Those members of the Audit and Finance Committee of course are myself, Councilmen Corbin, Everson and McCulloch. Certainly want to express our appreciation to Ms. Leanis Graham-Stewart, our Internal Auditor for her

work as well as the external auditors, BKD, thank you for the information that was presented.

Councilman Everson: A quick recap of today we had a meeting of the Infrastructure Committee, had a long agenda, and had some really good productive conversations. A number of them. We began with the Water Department who gave a presentation on some changes that they'd like to see to a number of ordinances, primarily relating to the theft of water and some of the practices that lead to the theft of water. And then the other main topic of their presentation was the way that we currently bill for those customers who use 3,000 gallons of water or less. So it was an interesting set of proposals. There were some helpful discussions, requests were made of the administration for some follow-up information and there was some good feedback there of some ideas and some interest levels of the council. We also discussed some of the streets projects. We got an update on a little bit about where some of the GOB projects are and some of the general yearly projects are. We also discussed at length the asset management or payment management system, which was a very productive conversation. It sort of led into a discussion about the Street Special Revenue Fund as well. And so that was also - - - I think is going to produce a few pieces of legislation that we may see in the near future. And then we did discuss a little bit about the impact fees that you heard about in Dara's presentation earlier, as well as annual funding for a GIS program, where we requested that the GIS folks work within the administration to sort of come up with a good plan to look at how to fund them on a yearly basis going forward.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I must admit Councilman Everson at the infrastructure meeting, a very long agenda that was there. I do want to say that as it relates to the water issue, I do know and can certainly appreciate that a lot of thought and effort and work went into what was presented on those issues. I was there for a part of the meeting, had to leave. I don't want it to appear that my interests are not there, but I do appreciate that a lot of work and a lot of thought and effort went into what was presented. And as I said at that meeting, I will keep an open mind about those matters, because I know at the end of the day, everybody wants what is best for the citizens, so I do appreciate what was presented. I just wanted to go on record saying that.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to tag onto your comments about the recent audit and the presentation of that to the council, and be sure that we include the administration finance department and I know many other departments across the city that's in the work that's involved to bring all that information together in a short time. I have been involved in that process

before and I know it takes a lot of people and a lot of miracles to bring it all together for the timeframe needed. So thanks everybody.

Mr. Sibley: That's the Finance Department. Have to give Charles and his guys (inaudible).

Councilwoman McCulloch: Actually I was expecting a report from Maple Street. I was told that a report would be presented today regarding the Maple Street situation.

Mayor Glover: We have Mr. Wyche here available to do just that.

Mr. Wes Wyche: Thank you, and I'm not for sure how much you know, I'll be happy to give you some background on the company that is out there, the actions that we've taken.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, you've got about 3-5 minutes, whatever you got.

Mr. Wyche: Sure, it won't take that long.

Mr. Sibley: And Wes also focus on any DEQ aspects in terms of where they are and what they're doing there.

Mr. Wyche: The company name is Supreme Services, they're located actually off Cedar Street, but they're on the north side of I-20. They're zoned industrial, but they're located right there next to the neighborhood on Maple.

Councilwoman McCulloch: What exactly do they do, excuse me.

Mr. Wyche: They're an oil field tool rental company. They clean tools, test oil field tools, that's the main thing that they do. We first I think in response to your comments back in April, I think we first heard about the concerns from the neighbors along Maple, then we went out at that time to see what was going on. What we found was they were power washing their trucks and their tools, and the runoff of that was going out into the ditch along Maple, and it was kinda creating a mess out there. The company I think at that time was actually trying to do some cleanup along Maple, in fact they did do some cleanup. But they were doing something that they shouldn't be doing. They didn't have a permit from DEQ to be able to do that. So at that time, and as I say this is back in April, issued them an order to stop allowing the discharge of anything other than just storm water.

And we also required that they apply to the DEQ to get permitted for their storm water discharge. They have not done that either. We also told them they needed to immediately stop doing their power washing operation, and not let any of that water run off site anymore. What they could do and what they actually did start doing was containing that water and having it hauled off site. So that's what they've been doing since April. And so I think the situation out there is better. They did go ahead as we required in our notice that we sent to them, they did go ahead and get a permit from DEQ where they applied for coverage under DEQ's general storm water permit.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So they do have a permit, because that was one of the concerns that the residents had. If they actually had a permit to do what they were doing.

Mr. Wyche: But they did not and they still don't have a permit to discharge any processed waste water. What they now have though is a permit that allows them to have storm water runoff. I'll say they were responsive, when we issued them the order, that they did what we asked them to do. They did it fairly quickly. Would have been nice had they done that to begin with, and we didn't have to cite them. But they did stop the power washing operation until they were able to contain that water and have it hauled off. They did bring an environmental consultant on board to help guide them through that process, which we always like to see these companies do. There's been at least one occasion that I know of since April where there was another discharge out there. We have our storm water inspectors were going out there regularly after this happened just to kinda monitor and see what was going on. And they did notice one day that there was a discharge out there that looked like they were allowing some of their water to get off site that day. This is back in May I think. We called them on that, and they said it was a mistake, they supposedly have taken care of that. So our folks have been continually checking out there and we've been pretty satisfied with what they've done. The other thing that they're trying to do is to get permitted to discharge their wastewater into the City sanitary sewer system, so they won't have to keep containing the water and having it hauled off, because that's expensive for them. But they haven't - - - there is some plumbing obviously that they would need to do in order to do that. They would also have to get a permit from us to go out and discharge that water into the sanitary sewer. But they haven't completed that process. But as long as they are hauling the water and containing it, we don't have a problem with that. The most recent event that I think you brought up at the last council meeting, you may have gotten a call from the neighborhood then because I had gotten a note that there was evidence of some milky looking water along Maple Street maybe.

Councilwoman McCulloch: The Police report.

Mr. Wyche: I think so. Our folks went out that week as soon as we got word of that and we didn't see anything at the time we went out. It was a couple of days later. We didn't see anything along Maple. Everything looked good on Maple. Our folks did do an inspection of the facility itself and saw some milky, soapy looking water out there. But they concluded it was - - - the company has a sink that was not plumbed into anything. And they were washing - - - it was a rainy period that week, and that wash water escaped from the site, and probably that's what was seen on Maple.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well the Police Reports reflect the milky looking soapy type substance.

Mr. Wyche: So our guys were pretty satisfied that that's what that was. That's obviously not something that's allowed. You can't just have a sink that's open and discharges on your property and washes off into the storm sewer. So we let them know about that. We think it's a whole lot better out there now. Like I say, they've been very responsive. We've had to monitor, they've got the right folks there guiding them through the process and we'll continue to go out there and check the site. As Dale mentioned, DEQ is also involved and they're watching. DEQ as was mentioned earlier is the permitting authority for their storm water discharges. So both of our agencies from Washington (inaudible) or if you or any of the neighbors have any questions or concerns, they can get with me and we'll get somebody out there. We've already got folks going out there regularly anyway. We'll go if somebody needs to.

Clerk's Report

The Committee "rises and reports" (reconvenes the regular council meeting)

Adjournment: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:57 p.m.

//s// Sam L. Jenkins, Jr., Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council