



Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana

July 13, 2010

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Joyce Bowman at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, July 13, 2010, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Wooley.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Shyne.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Calvin Lester (Arrived at 3:02 p.m.), Monty Walford, Michael Long (Arrived at 3:01 p.m.), Bryan Wooley, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne (Arrived at 3:01 p.m.), and Joyce Bowman. 7.
Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, June 21, 2010 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, June 22, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Thank you Madam Chair. As you know I always say anyone who takes out of their schedule to come down here and be a part of these proceedings, I consider them to be our special guests. So I thank all of those who are here gathered today for coming down and participating or observing the political process at work, but I also want to take a moment Madam Chair if you would indulge me to ask that we would all stand and have a moment of silence for a great Shreveporter, a great American, and an outstanding human being who passed away since we last met.

Mr. Rose Vanthyne passed away 2 weeks ago this past Sunday, and her memorial service was held this past Sunday as well at the Brown Chapel at Centenary College. And for those who are not aware, Rose Vanthyne was the last remaining holocaust survivor who lived here in the City of Shreveport. I last had the opportunity to see her when she received the Shreveport Bar Association's Liberty Bell Award, I believe month before last, but she has been a giant of a woman at only 4'10", sharing the story of the atrocities that she suffered at the end of the Nazis while interned at Auschwitz, and as I stated, while she was only 4'10" tall, she was without question a giant among giants. And Shreveport will be the lesser for her passing.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, at this time, will everyone please stand for a moment of silence.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Madam Chairman. Madam Chair?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir.

Mayor Glover: That concludes my Mayoral comments.

Councilwoman Bowman: Are you serious?

Mayor Glover: Absolutely.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilwoman Bowman: One question Mr. Mayor, we do have Ms. Donna Curtis with Shreveport Green. Ms. Curtis, will you come up at this time.

Ms. Donna Curtis: Thank you Ms. Bowman. Once again, we have our Shreve Corps here to thank you and to be recognized by you for the work they're doing in the community this summer. As you know, we have a year round program and we have four of us that are here year round, and we have 20 that we hire in the summer. Actually we hired 22 this summer. Interestingly enough, they represent every Council District in the City which is real interesting, when we started talking today about the process and about the different Councilmen. So that was real exciting for us. They have been out as you know picking up litter, working with watering trees, doing everything they can to help us get past that hard June with no water. We've been working community gardens across the community, and we've been working with or about to work with (inaudible) America and we've dealing with the Food Bank. So, I wanted three of them to have a chance to say thank you today with just a short minute message, and again, thank you for supporting us. It's a fine group. They get a living allowance, and they get a scholarship for their summer service. So right now, lets start with Daniel.

Mr. Daniel McInis: I am with the summer program at Shreveport Green, and I would just like to say thank you for the opportunity to work with Shreveport Green and go out and support Shreveport to make Shreveport beautiful. We go to natural parks in Caddo Parish and plant flower beds and water trees and things of that sort, general maintenance. And I attend LSU in Baton Rouge, and my major is Business right now, and Shreveport Green, over the summer I'll receive \$1,000 scholarship which will help towards that and living allowance which will help me out this summer a lot, and I guess that's all I have. Thank you very much.

Ms. Paesha Kindells: This is the first year that I have worked with Shreveport Green. I have been placed on the Earth Camp Team where we teach children about nature, recycling and the animals ability to adapt when taken away from their natural habitat. My job as a counselor was to show over 300 campers a great time while teaching educational practices in which they can take and use later on in life. For most of them, Earth Camp is the first place where they receive a hands on experience, interacting with things of nature such as plants, insects, turtles, snakes, alligators and deer. On behalf of Shreveport Green, I would like to thank you for the time it took to implement a great experience, not only for the members of Shreveport Green, but for those within my community. As for myself, I would like to give a special thanks for the opportunity to receive a scholarship which will be used this fall at ULM School of Nursing, where I'll be pursuing my bachelor's degree. Again thank you for your generous contribution and for helping make my education possible. Thank you.

Ms. Curtis: Again, I wanted to also introduce as you know, we've had a rather trying year, and these young people were selected particularly for their attitude, their desire to complete their education, and for their willingness to do community service with us. And they are a fine group of very special young people between the ages of 17 and 22. I would also like to introduce Chappelle Henderson who is working with us and we're so proud to have Chappelle on, he has seamlessly come into our organization and work with the young people and helped so much, and Jordan Adams who is our other Counselor with us this summer that's working as a team leader. So, we're very pleased that we've endured the year, and we appreciate all of your support. Thank you very much, and we will now leave so you can have some seats available for some other people. They've been working since 7:00 this morning, so I'm sure the rest of the group would be glad for them to ease out also.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Donna, certainly I'd like to thank you on behalf of the City of Shreveport for all that you all do to help beautify all of our districts, and throughout the city, and thank you young people for all that you are doing, and all that you are attempting to do. I see so many new trees being planted, and that's a good thing. When I see 'em, I know that it's coming from you. So again Donna, I certainly would like to thank you on behalf of the City of Shreveport and do you other council members have something to say as well? Councilman Wooley.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you Madam Chair. You know Donna, I just want to say thank you. Shreveport Green is a fantastic organization. They've served the city well over many years, and I want to say a personal thank you to all the young people out there, and thank you for helping our city look great. And I wish you the very best in all your future endeavors. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: I just wanted to thank you for the fine job that you all do, and not so much for beautifying the city, but for the intangible lessons that (I almost said kids learn, I guess I think I'm back in school), but the young people learn by hard work and showing up on time and being responsible. These are things that will stay with them long after they are Mike's age or older. Thank you Donna.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Madam Chair. I want to join you and the rest of the council in expressing my appreciation to Donna and all the leaders of Shreveport Green for the work that they've done helping to give us the benefit of Shreve Corps which, for those who may not be aware is the local manifestation of the national program Americorps, which seeks to provide opportunities for the youth of this country to be of service to this country. And to have an opportunity to be able to go back to our communities, our neighborhoods, and help to make them better places. And without question, Shreve Corps represents I believe one of the state's best example of this program. It's something that dates back to the days of Melinda B. Schwegmann, being the Lieutenant Governor and President Bill Clinton being our president, and it's something that thankfully has survived I guess these 15 years or so, since it was brought back and you all have done outstanding work, and you continue to do outstanding work and we thank you for your service. And I thought that Councilman Shyne might have brought that up since he's an old ball coach, but I think it would be remiss of us if we did not recognize that amongst your staff right now is one of the legends of Shreveport High School Athletics, Mr. Chappelle Henderson who when I was a young Jr. High student up at Linear on the North side of town, was setting the high school and collegiate world on fire with his accomplishments on the running track as a world class hurdler. Well I want you to know it's all true. As they say when it's true, you're not bragging, and I found out I guess about a year or so ago, that working with the folks over at San (inaudible), that he was back here in Shreveport and it's wonderful to know that one of Shreveport's own is in fact back home and having an opportunity to be able to touch and enrich another generation of young people. So, I thank you all for your great work, and please continue.

Councilwoman Bowman: And we'll give them just a second to move out. While we're waiting, I would like to at this time recognize our District Court Judge, the Honorable Ramon Lafitte, welcome to the City Council Meeting. Where are you? Is he in the Chamber? There he is. Welcome.

Councilman Shyne: And just before you go, Joyce?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: Ramon I thought maybe

Bell rings

Councilman Shyne: You might have been coming back to get your old job back, and then I saw Terri. Where is Terri? Okay, cause you and Terri worked so well together. But it's so good to see you.

Judge Lafitte: My pleasure and good to see all of you.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, I'm not sure if there is a Woodlawn theme that's going on here today, but not only do you have the distinguished judge here, who I believe is a 1978 graduate of Woodlawn, but you also have Dr. R. Timothy Jones, who I think graduated at some point in the mid 90s, whose here, whose a Woodlawn graduate.

Councilman Shyne: I thought it was in the 70s Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Well now, I don't think he looks that old Mr. Shyne, in fact I think he's younger than I am, which is if I'm not mistaken.

Councilman Shyne: I thought you were the youngest Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Well no, no, not quite. I think you may have a few other distinguished Woodlawn alums here today.

Councilwoman Bowman: If there are other distinguished folks from Woodlawn, would you wave your hand or stand? Welcome, and always, like to recognize our City Marshal, Charlie Caldwell. At this time, does any Council Member have any distinguished guests that they would like to recognize?

Councilman Shyne: I see another distinguished guest, John, you're not a Woodlawn graduate, what is it Byrd? You want to stand and let 'em know you're representing Byrd? Okay.

Councilwoman Bowman: Any other Council Members, at this time, Mr. Holt? Property Standards.

Councilman Long: Actually Madam Chair, I want to recognize my friend Oliver Jenkins sitting in the back row back there who is a candidate for my seat. Stand up Oliver. There he is right there.

Councilwoman Bowman: Welcome, do we have any other candidates in the house? I'd like to give you that opportunity. Thank you.

Ms. Lillian Wade: I'm Lilly Wade, City Council District A.

Councilwoman Bowman: Alright, thank you for coming. And Deanna? Alright. Thank you all for coming. I see Mr. Mayor, you have Mike Strong riding shotgun. What's up with that?

Mayor Glover: You may want to get an update Madam Chair on the status of the sewer repairs?

Councilwoman Bowman: As soon as Mr. Holt give us Property Standards Report, then we'll get that report.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Reports:

Property Standards Report

Mr. Holt: Give me five seconds Ms. Chairman. I'll be glad to take any concerns y'all have.

Councilwoman Bowman: I've already talked to you a little earlier about mine. Any Council Members have any concerns?

Mr. Holt: Any others?

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, Jim, thank you very much.

Councilman Shyne: Just one comment.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: I met this morning with (I almost said Mrs. Glover), and I guess Ms. Jeanette Glover. And we looked at the situation and so if it's anybody that lives in that area watching on TV, she assured me that that particular situation would be taken care of.

Mr. Holt: And she assured me of the same so I assume it will be.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, thank you Jim. Mr. Strong, will you come forward and kinda let us know what's going on?

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, while he's coming I just wanted to be clear for the audience and the record that Councilman Shyne was referring to neither my mother, my sister, nor my lovely wife.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you for the clarification.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, don't be so sensitive, you know I'm afraid to do anything like that.

Councilwoman Bowman: Go ahead Mike.

Mr. Strong: Council, Mayor. The issue with the Stoner force main, a little good news, a little bad news. The good news is that we're probably within 10 feet of completing the pipe work that we were working on from the blowout. So, it looks like we're more than likely within a 2 hour window being complete with the laying of the pipe to replace what blew out. Expect that to once we do that, and we start having it in and placing it in place, that we're going to go up on the pressures very slow at this location. So, try not to have another blowout or anything with this line. So hopefully within the next two hours we'll be back up to capacity, and without having any more (inaudible). The bad news is that we've estimated that it's probably somewhere in the neighborhood of approximately 9,000,000 gallons of sewerage that has escaped during this period of time. So, we'll be working throughout this process with the property owner and everything that's on this area. It did not get into the river. It's contained all in this area. So it's not the last one we had, where we did the river. But we have been testing during the process and we will continue testing, and so DEQ (Department of Environmental Quality), they've been out there with us and throughout the process. So right now that's the status of where we are.

Councilwoman Bowman: Is it true? I think I hear anywhere from 2-5,000 fish were - - - died in this?

Mr. Strong: I'm not sure of the numbers that's on there. I know that there were some fish, there was a fish scale there that was noticeable that's in there, don't know whether that's from the lack of oxygen or what. We're not sure. But there was some fish that was killed.

Councilwoman Bowman: Will we be replacing those?

Mr. Strong: Ah - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Were they catfish?

Mr. Strong: No, they were little Brim.

Councilwoman Bowman: Aw man! Well I think my grandma likes those. But we will try to - - -

Mr. Strong: But we're going to work with the property owner and everything throughout this whole process as far as any contamination that's there, and along with anything that needs to be replaced.

Councilwoman Bowman: Any questions from any Council Members? Mike, thank you for the update.

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay Mr. Sibley, Revenue Collection Plan.

Mr. Sibley: Madam Chair, today we'll take any questions. We've provided a response to Councilman Walford's request. Some information on the latest property tax violations with standards with information from the June 2010 tax sale. So, if there are any questions or any additional information that's needed on that, we'll be glad to clarify it and bring it forward to the Council. Other than that, if there's any specific direction again, we'll take that to them.

Councilwoman Bowman: Councilman Walford, do you have any questions?

Councilman Walford: You notice you always turn to me when it comes to revenue?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir.

Councilman Walford: Ah, no not right now Madam Chair.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, you have surprised me.

Councilman Walford: But let me assure you they sent me - - -

Mr. Sibley: A lot of information.

Councilman Walford: It was submitted electronically, but a lot of information. A LOT of information.

Councilman Webb: Madam Chairman, would this be appropriate to inquire about the new parking, Airport Parking at this time? Revenues (inaudible).

Councilwoman Bowman: I tell you what. I'll allow it.

Councilman Webb: Thank you. I wanted to get Roy to come up.

Mr. Miller: Afternoon, yes sir.

Councilman Webb: I was reading the article about the new company that we were putting in place, and the amount of money. Would you quote me the figure that's supposed to be that we're going to be paying them per month?

Mr. Miller: Well, we're working out the total budget. There's about \$12-13,000 labor cost per month. That's the number that was in the paper. We also have general administrative overhead cost, and we're working with the budget now with the operator this week, and should have it finalized. And we get to approve all those budget items. We're generating somewhere between \$2-3,000 a day which would put us between \$80 and 90,000 a month. So we think we'll generate net somewhere between \$60 and 70,000 a month.

Councilman Webb: I was just wondering about the employees. They said each, 17 employees were offered the job. I'm just trying to figure out 17 people, \$13,000 a month, I'm missing something.

Mr. Miller: Let me look on the - - - they sent us the numbers, and I've not looked at all the detail on the numbers, but that's for 24/7 operation as well.

Councilman Webb: That's what I'm talking about, and so are they part-time positions?

Mr. Miller: They have part-time and full time.

Councilman Webb: Okay. The pay doesn't stack up with the (inaudible)

Mr. Miller: Let me verify those numbers.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, I have more questions for Mr. Miller later, but if I could ask one now.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes, go ahead.

Councilman Walford: What was the process on going out for - - - how did we come to get the three or four proposals that we got?

Mr. Miller: We looked at parking companies, both locally and nationally that we are familiar with, that did parking at airports and also non airports. And we had four people that were interested in providing proposals. We've gotten written proposals from the four, evaluated those and then selected Standard.

Councilman Walford: This may not be your question, I don't know but to enter a long term contract, do we still have to go out for RFP process or?

Mr. Miller: Yes sir, what will happen is there'll be an evaluation with the Mayor's Task Force as well as with the Airport Authority to determine what might be the best way to proceed, whether that's going to be parking internally or whether we outsource it. Either scenario, whether we do that with the combination of attended parking and automated or, either/or. We will then do an RFP and put that out and come back before the Board for a long term deal. What we're on now is a month to month contract just to keep the parking lot operating until we undertake that process.

Councilman Walford: Okay, because I may not be the only one that's gotten phone calls.

Councilwoman Bowman: Right, and I'm certainly going to ask this question. Mr. Miller, No. 1, when we first heard that this Standard Company was chosen, I was told that it was chosen on an interim basis, i.e., 30 days. But listening to what you're saying here is that we'll keep evaluating. In addition to that, the Mayor's Task Force is not the one to choose. You have an Airport Authority Board, and the way this process works, of course you know is that these recommendations are given to that Board. Other than that 30 day interim, you all whenever your next meeting, it should at that time be presented to that Board in order to have these folks bid on who gets whatever, and not drag this on. I don't know how this particular company was chosen, I don't know anything about this company. But that is the Airport Authority's position. It should be presented to them, they make the decision, make the recommendation to the Mayor or to maybe A&E. I don't know where it goes, but it is not supposed to be done in the fashion that's it's being handled.

Mr. Miller: Yes Ma'am, the interim agreement will be presented to the Airport Authority at their meeting next Thursday.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, good. Because according to what you just said to Councilman Walford, it was like "and then we'll take it here, and take it there." That's where it's supposed to go.

Mr. Miller: I thought the question Councilman Walford asked was the long term agreement. And that will be going through a different process. But the interim agreement will be going before the Airport Authority as well.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay because I was told that there were not enough Board members present in order to make that decision in the fashion that it should have been made. Now that's what I was told, and I think the City Attorney even mentioned that it was a 30 day interim type deal.

Mr. Miller: Yes Ma'am, we entered into a Letter of Agreement because we couldn't have a quorum of the Airport Authority, which the Mayor executed. That would

lead then to the interim agreement which will come before the Board, and that will lead into a long term agreement once the decision is made on how to proceed. And that will be made by the Airport Authority.

Councilwoman Bowman: Right, and not Task Force, because they have by Charter the power to do these things, and not some Ad Hoc Committee or whatever, but they are not the ones who have that authority. Councilman Walford, I'm sorry to interrupt, but I wanted to get that out since that's where we were.

Councilman Walford: No, I'm done for now. I have a lot of Airport questions for later, but this isn't the appropriate time.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay.

Mr. Miller: Thank you.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes.

Mayor Glover: I'd like to be able to offer I guess maybe some additional information or perspective in terms of the comments that were just made by you and I guess Councilman Walford. It was always clearly understood that this was a process that the Airport Authority, ultimately needs to be the one that makes the final decision, but as was just reported to you, at the time that all of this was transpiring, I believe that 3 of the 5 members of the authority were in fact out of the country and unavailable. And could not put the Authority in a position to be able to make even interim decisions. That's when as Mayor, we took action that we took. With regard to how this process moves forward, this is a situation that not only I want and suggest and welcome input from the Task Force, but I think in the communication that I sent to you all, I also said that I think that there's appropriate input that should come from the Council. And from the citizens of this city and the folks who are served by the airport. There are lots of folks who have I think some very good ideas in terms of how the long term parking situation should be managed and directed at the Airport, and I think that we should give ourselves the benefit of getting that input of ideas and suggestions before we decide to rush into another long term situation. For instance, should it be a management or operational contract. Should we make use of a mechanized automated system, so that we end up addressing the concerns that were just expressed by Mr. Webb, with regard to the labor issue. There are other folks who've suggested that there's not a reason to have the contract itself outsourced, that it should be one that's managed by the City of Shreveport, and City of Shreveport employees or Airport Authority employees as opposed to contractual employees. And so all of those are things that I know the Airport Authority will consider, and I think certainly hearing the ideas and thoughts of a wide range of citizens is something that we will all benefit from. And that's the

intent of Madam Chair, certainly not to overstep or circumvent or in any way diminish the appropriate role that the Authority will have to play in terms of the ultimate decision in this particular matter.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Mr. Mayor. Mr. Thompson, where are we, Public Hearing No. 1?

Mr. Thompson: We are under Public Hearing No. 1 Madam Chair.

Public Hearing No. 1:

The Clerk read the following:

Resolution No. 123 of 2010: Stating the City of Shreveport's endorsement of David H. Bushnell to participate in the benefits of the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program, and otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chair, this has nothing to do with the railroad crossing. It will be the second public hearing.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, the Public Hearing is now open. Is there a presentation from the Administration?

Mr. Sibley: Ms. Moore, would you? These are individuals Madam Chair that are routed through Community Development for the purpose of the State Tax. I'll ask Ms. Moore to give a little background. Come on up.

Councilwoman Bowman: Welcome Ms. Moore.

Ms. Moore: Thank you. Good afternoon. The restoration tax abatement, the state tax incentive program and the City of Shreveport acts as a liaison for the state. We have an applicant, David Bushnell, owner of Sound Minds, Inc., submitted an application actually in 2007. For some reason the application was overlooked and he's now asked for reconsideration. It's the renovation of a building between 800 and 812 Texas Avenue. It's 8,000 square feet. He has already renovated the building. Initially, the tax benefit and renovation is going to be about \$56,000. He's actually spent \$277,000 renovating this building. It's in the Central Economic Development District as it relates to this state restoration tax abatement. It's a tremendous improvement to that area, and we're asking your consideration. The City or any of the local taxing authorities are not participants in this, only the state restoration tax abatement.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you for that Ms. Moore. At this time, do we have anyone here to speak in favor of this legislation? Councilman Walford?

Councilman Walford: Ah, thank you Madam Chair. First thing, this shows to be in District A. It's District B, and if you're familiar with the intersection of Linwood and Pierre, Linwood and Texas, it's an area that's sorely in need of revitalization. This gentleman has gone in, so I certainly hope that we will vote positively to help him get his tax credit.

Councilman Lester: Thank you. And you're right, I mentioned that to Councilman Walford. This place is right there on the corner of as he said, Linwood and it's kinda funny, Linwood and Pierre Ave kinda come together. It's right behind Galilee City. And they have done a tremendous amount of work there. That corner was horrible, and now you have a very nice looking building that at one point, I believe was used for a church and church services, but they've done an outstanding job of renovating it, even to the point of putting up some markers speaking to the historical nature of the actual building. So, anytime you have a person that wants to spend their money on one of these old eyesores, I think we should certainly not only encourage that, but we should support that, and I certainly do support that. Thank you Madam Chair.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Councilman Lester. Yes sir Councilman Shyne?

Councilman Shyne: Just before we go any further, could I advise - - - I think the Chief probably told them, the people who are standing up back there, we do have some seats kinda up to the front, and kinda in the middle of the - - - maybe you all will be comfortable this Chamber belongs to the people.

Councilwoman Bowman: At this time, do we have anyone wish to speak in opposition of this legislation? If not then this public hearing is now closed. Public Hearing No. 2. Mr. Thompson.

Public Hearing No. 2:

Mr. Thompson: Public Hearing No. 2 concerns several ordinances Madam Chair. The first is **Ordinance No. 84 of 2010**: This ordinance, by Councilman Shyne, which repeals prior ordinances which closed the at-grade railroad crossings at **Wyngate Boulevard** (between Valleyview Drive and Woodmont Street); at **Malcolm Street** (between Corbitt Street and Midway Avenue), and at **Church Street** (between Flournoy-Lucas Road and Martin Street). If this ordinance is adopted these railroad crossings will remain open.

Ordinance No. 88 of 2010: This ordinance closes and removes the two at-grade railroad crossing at **Levy Street** (between Linwood Avenue and Texas Avenue). This ordinance also rescinds the closure of the at-grade crossing at **Church Street** (between Flournoy Lucas Road and Martin Street) authorized by a prior Ordinance, and it rescinds that portion of Ordinance Number 9 of 2009, which authorized the

closure of the at-grade crossing at **Malcolm Street** (between Corbitt Street and Midway Avenue).

Ordinance No. 89 of 2010: This ordinance creates a new Capital Project entitled Wyngate Boulevard and Levy Street Improvements. The ordinance budgets \$2,700,000 to construct an underpass on **Wyngate Boulevard** to allow vehicular traffic to pass underneath the Union Pacific Railroad. This ordinance also budgets \$400,000 to improve the access to **Levy Street** off Texas Avenue by improving the turning movement for trucks. These improvements will allow the City to close the at-grade crossings on **Wyngate Boulevard** and the at-grade crossings on **Levy Street**. The funds are being transferred from various un-appropriated Bond funds. The closures of these at-grade crossings will allow the City to construct a new at-grade crossing on South Shreve Park Drive. This ordinance has three proposed amendments. Amendment No. by the Administration, I believe it will be withdrawn, and replaced by Amendment No. 3. And Amendment No. 2 is proposed by Mr. Walford. It will eliminate the funds for the underpass and it increases funds to repair and construct street concrete panels throughout the city of Shreveport.

Ordinance No. 87 of 2010: This ordinance requires the City Council to hold a public hearing prior to the adoption of an ordinance authorizing the closure of a railroad grade crossing within the City of Shreveport. It also requires notice of the public hearing to be posted near the proposed railroad closure 15 days before the public hearing - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson?

Mr. Thompson: Yes.

Councilwoman Bowman: Excuse me just one minute. I'd like to make an announcement. We've been informed by our Fire Chief, that we're going to have to have everyone try to find a seat, otherwise we don't want him to shut our meeting down. So, give me just a minute. There are some seats right in here at the front if no one has a seat. Chief Crawford, does that look?

Chief Crawford: (Inaudible)

Councilwoman Bowman: Come up here to the mic, so that we'll know.

Mayor Glover: She'll be just like the camera guy.

Chief Crawford: Yes Ma'am, we haven't exceeded capacity for this room, and we're there. It's the seats that are in here, and with this many people in here, just for public safety, we can't have any body in the exit, or by the exits blocking the exits. So, if we had to leave the facility in a hurry, we could all get out. So, we're just asking everyone other than the cameras to please have a seat. And if the individuals

have a seat next to you into the middle, it'll create openings on the outside rows for individuals to come in and sit. We thank you for your patience.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you. We appreciate that.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, we also have the viewing screen outside as well.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay.

Councilman Walford: There are still two up front Madam Chair.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, I see several chairs up front. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. I see about 7 more here up front if anyone needs a seat. At this time, Mr. Thompson, we're back in order.

Mr. Thompson: Just about finished. Ordinance No. 87 of 2010 also requires notice of the public hearings to be posted near the proposed railroad closure 15 days before the public hearing, and after the ordinance is adopted a notice must be posted at the site 30 days before the crossing is closed notifying the public that the crossing will be closed. So those are the ordinances and amendments that will be considered during this public hearing.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Mr. Thompson. And at this time, I do have a stack of cards for folks who - - - No. 1, do we have anyone here to speak in favor of these ordinances? Just raise your hand. Do we have anyone here to speak in opposition? Okay, at this time - - - like I say, I do have the cards, we're going to take the presentation from the Administration.

Mr. Strong: Madam Chair, actually Mr. Thompson did my presentation. I was going to go through and explain what each of the ordinances were in detail, and he's already made that a part of the record. Now I think there are on Ordinance No. 89, there are three amendments to that, and what I can do is I can ask Mr. Seaton to explain Amendments No. 1 and No. 3, if he'll go into that, and then the other amendment that would be to that, would be from the Council.

Councilman Lester: Madam Chair, I know we are all here for this, and I'm listening, and many of us understand up here, because we're used to dealing with this. But we have a room full of people and then we have a lot more that's watching on TV, what I would appreciate, and I'm sure the folks would appreciate, I know it may be repetitive, but if you could go through each and every ordinance and say, I know Mr. Thompson hit on some of those points, but if you could do that again, so that everyone is clear, as to what we're talking about, Ordinance 84 is this, Ordinance 87 is this, Ordinance 88 is this, and then turn over the whole budget - - -

Mr. Strong: I'd be more than happy to.

Councilman Lester: Cause I just wanted to make sure that everyone is clear about all of the things that we're doing. I know it may be a little repetitive, but I (inaudible).

Mr. Strong: That's fine, I was trying to conserve time.

Councilman Lester: (Inaudible) on the side of caution.

Councilman Shyne: Mike, just before you start to, I wish you would also - - - Ordinance No. 89 I guess they got Walford, Wooley, Webb and Shyne as - - - Art do y'all have that on there as sponsors or are those the districts that it will impact.

Mr. Strong: Districts that it will impact. There's three districts that it impacts.

Councilman Shyne: I just wanted to make sure because I wanted to make it clear that I was not in favor of or a sponsor of that particular ordinance. Ordinance No. 84 is the one that I'm in favor of.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Strong, go ahead and - - -

Mr. Strong: Ordinance No. 84 of 2010, this in essence repeals Ordinances No. 9, 50 and 83 of 2009, and Ordinance No. 47 of 2007. What Ordinance No. 9, 50, and 83 this actually authorizes the city to close the at grade railroad crossings of the Union Pacific Railroad at Wyngate Blvd, located between Valley View Drive and Woodlawn Street and Malcolm Street located between Corbitt and Midway. Ordinance No. 47 of 2007 authorized the city to close the at grade railroad crossing at Church Street which is located between Flournoy-Lucas Road and Martin Street. So Ordinance No. 84 actually repeals that. Ordinance No. 87. This is an ordinance that actually amends Chapter 78 of the Code of Ordinances for the City. And it adds Article IX that is relative to the railroad, and to otherwise provide for. And it provides procedures for the request for closure of the railroad at grade crossings. It requires notice of public hearing, and requires the posting of the notices at the closure at all of the crossings at the time. Ordinance No. 88. What this ordinance does is it will close the two at grade crossings at Levy Street and it rescinds the Church Street crossing, and the Malcolm Street crossing, which is the Ordinance No. 47 of 2007, and Ordinance No. 9 of 2009. Ordinance No. 89 of 2010, this amends the Capital Improvements Budget which creates the funds for the new project entitled the Wyngate Blvd and Levy Street improvements and funds the said project in the amount of \$3.1(million) And what that does, this creates an underpass at the Wyngate location, and it reconstructs the intersection at Texas and Levy Street. Now, on Ordinance No. 89, as I said, there are three amendments that's on that, and Amendment 1 and 3, I would like to defer to Mr. Seaton to kinda explain what those amendments are.

Mr. Seaton: Madam Chair, we would ask that Amendment No. 1 be withdrawn. Amendment No. 3 would change the sources of funding and also includes the scope of the project. And Amendment No. 3, the sources of funding would be from 2001 GOB, 2003 GOB, and also 99 a smaller number from 1999 GOB Streets.

Mr. Strong: Okay, and then there is Amendment No. 2, and I would defer to Mr. Thompson or Mr. Walford to explain that.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson?

Councilman Walford: I'll be glad to jump in there, everybody's being quiet. This takes \$2,000,000 that the voters voted on for streets, and puts it in our districts to make the needed concrete street repairs. What we've been doing to the public is not right. And I have some photos that I will put up when we get to that particular piece of legislation. But it's money that was voted on for streets and it will go to streets.

Mr. Strong: And with that said, that completes my presentation unless the Mayor has any final comments.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mike. Madam Chair, members of the Council, obviously we know that this has been one of the more difficult issues that this council and this administration has had to grapple with, but specifically, we would recommend today that you all passed Ordinance 87. It is an ordinance that will ensure that what transpired in this situation will never happen again. Part of the reason as to why we are where we are today, is because we did not have the public hearing that should have been held prior to the closing of Malcolm and Wyngate. As that happened, many of the people you see in this room here today would have been here in attendance to express their opposition to that, and we would have had a chance to hear that. As it turns out, that public hearing was not held, that opportunity was not extended, those voices were not heard, and we collectively made a decision that did not give these folks the opportunity to be able to express themselves as they should. What makes it even a larger problem and a large concern is that we did in fact afford that same opportunity, that same consideration to other groups of citizens, and based upon the concern, the opposition that they expressed, we in fact did not move forward with the closing that would have impacted and affected them. And so, for that reason, I believe that we need to institute and institutionalize a process that says from this point forward that we will not have any railroad closings that will not ensure that the people who are impacted by them have an opportunity 1) to know that it's in consideration, and 2) that they'll be in a position to be able to step forward and to express either their support or their opposition. Secondly, with regard to Ordinance 84, and with all due respect, I understand Mr. Shyne and his intent here, but we cannot simply un-ring the bell. Just simply repealing all of these without any going forward to me is problematic. It may be something that the Council is willing to do, and we'll leave that in you all's hands. Thirdly, and understanding that we cannot ring the bell, but in fact must find a way to go

forward, the Administration, we offer Ordinance 89. And while just like Mr. Walford, I understand that we certainly have problems with our streets without question. That's the reason as to why over a year ago, I proposed a bond issue that we would not just have the left over bond money from previous councils and previous administrations to be able to utilize and be able to repair and rebuild our streets. But if we would have presented a comprehensive list of streets to our citizens to give them an opportunity to be able to say we don't want to just spend a little bit of money that would end up being well less than a million dollars per district, but to give us an opportunity to be able to go out and do the comprehensive repairs and improvements that need to be done all across the city. And so hopefully we'll be in a position in the not too distance future to be able to do just that. But as I said, we can't simply just un-ring the bell in this situation. We have a set of circumstances that we have to deal with, and we came up with a solution, we then put from a lot of different perspectives that we think represents a way for us to be able to address and encompass all of the issues that we face here today, and that represents and that comes together in Ordinance 89. What it does is that it in fact closes Levy Street, a street that did not get in my estimation and consideration the kind of deliberation that it should have gotten based on the early and vocal opposition of Mr. Walford. It's his district, his constituency, so I fully understand that. But one that had we looked at before span of issues and challenges facing us collectively making this decision is one that we should not have eliminated so early. And going back and re-evaluating and starting the process over, after discovering that we did not have that public hearing is when I as Mayor, made the decision that I would offer that that in fact should be one of the crossing closures that we should consider for several reasons. 1) It does not impact any of our residents. Doesn't impact anybody at their home. Doesn't impact anybody where they live.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir.

Mayor Glover: What it does in fact do is in fact - - -

Councilman Walford: We're suppose to have a public hearing and getting - - - what we're getting is debate on the specific items. We're supposed to be hearing from the public.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair?

Councilman Walford: If the Mayor would like to do this during debate, he is afforded an opportunity after each of us on every piece of legislation. If he's going to do that, I want some rebuttal time. Otherwise, I think we should hear from the public who came here to talk about this.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, I will certainly yield. Don't have any problem doing that. What I was simply doing was wrapping up the Administration's portion of our

presentation regarding these matters. But certainly, if we want to make sure that these folks are heard and have an opportunity to express themselves, then - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, and then when these come up in the next segment, it will be time for us to debate the issue. Does that really - - - anyone else have any presentation from the Administration?

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, we will yield at this point. We're interested here in what the public has to say, and we're certainly appreciative of the Council for having this public hearing.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, at this time Mr. Thompson, I'm going to take the cards that have been completed by our citizens and call you to come before the mic. When you come, please give us your name and address for the record, and you each have three minutes in which to address either being in favor or in opposition. So, the first card that I have is Jessie Board.

Mr. Jessie Ford: (8700 Line Ave) I'm representing Frymaster. We purchased over 50 acres over 13 years ago out at Shreve Park, and we were assured at that time that we would get a second entrance and exit as you are well aware of I think. And we spent over \$9,000,000 building that facility originally out there, and our payroll is a little over \$6,000,000 at that facility. And at the time that we were looking to build a second facility, we were looking at other states, and obviously out of this country. And we were asked to stay in Shreveport with our facility and keep the jobs here. Our average job pays about \$60,000 a year, and we employ the people obviously in this area. Most of them come from this area. So, we've been waiting for 13 years now. And each and every one of you unanimously voted to get that crossing out there, and we've been waiting. So I would ask you to stand behind your vote and continue to not approve these ordinances that will just delay this or either eliminate this. Thank you very much.

Ms. Claudia Goodie: (8814 Stone Lake Pl) And I'm here, I am favored for Ordinance 84, and I really oppose Ordinance 89 and I say that because why spend so much money where there are other solutions. And if somebody can answer this question for me, why do you have to close the railroad at Wyngate when you can put up those little iron things that the railroad been putting up? Put those up. Lay a flat street out instead of closing it and spending more money. You know maybe you need to go back to the drawing board and discuss other solutions. You know the city is in need of money, why spend that much of money for an overpass? I've seen some areas where the railroad put up the little barricades and pulled up that railroad and poured a street. So, if there is something else going on, please let us know, because there are other solutions, and I'm in favor for keeping Wyngate open. And that's why I'm here.

Mr. Gerald White: (3050 Amherst Street) I oppose the closings of - - - excuse me Mr. Mayor and City Council. I oppose the closings of the crossings for the simple reasons these crossings been used for decades by the people in those areas. I've drove over all of 'em, and use 'em from time to time. I do believe the crossings are very important. I also know that we need jobs in this area. And I don't know - - - I think an overpass should be put out there at the business district, and really should be an overpass out there. If not, maybe put up a private crossing for the use of people out there. I know there's a private crossing at Centuries Memorial that's a private crossing there at that storage facility off Mansfield Road, across the street from Sonic. And I believe that you could put up a private crossing for the specific use of the commercial traffic that's going to be out there, especially the employees. That could be another solution to this problem, or if not Mayor Glover's proposal about the Levy Street situation and an underpass at Wyngate. But none of the crossings should be closed in these residential areas, because they are highly used. Thank you.

Ms. Carla Thomas: (2546 Woodford) Mr. Mayor, City Council, once again, I'm here regarding the possible closing of the railroad crossings. I believe I let you know on May 25th how I feel. That has not changed. I was here on June 22nd, when Mr. Bremer with the Chamber of Commerce, Mr. McAllister with Coke Cola, and Mr. Kennedy with Red Ball Oxygen spoke about Shreve Park and how they thought you all should continue with closing our crossing. Mr. Bremer mentioned a company Kolmar, a make up company that made promises of jobs. 80% of those jobs were supposed to be local hires. Kolmar said that they would come here if the crossing was put in. The City held 40 acres of land for them. Then Mr. Bremer informed us that they were not coming here after all. Not because of the railroad crossing, but they can't get financial backing. So, it doesn't matter if the crossing goes in or not. Mr. McAllister with Coke Cola spoke. He said that their plant should be open in a few months. He said that they were assured when they purchased the property that the crossing was going to be put in. He spoke of how the first mile and a half of roadway was asphalt. From the intersection of W. 70th and Buncombe Road, to Shreve Park Drive where the asphalt ends is according to my odometer 1.2 miles. He mentioned how they would have over 100 18-wheelers a day going in and out of the park. He said that asphalt does not hold up to 18-wheelers, and invited us to check out the streets around the existing plant on Stoner Avenue. That leaves me to believe that it won't be long before the asphalt at Shreve Park will have to be taken up and concrete poured in order to accommodate those trucks, another expense. Mr. Kennedy of Red Ball Oxygen had his turn. I don't know what he has to do with Shreve Park, he didn't mention any jobs. The only thing he spoke of was how you all should keep your word, and open a crossing at Shreve Park. I read that section of the minutes several times. I believe that Mr. Kennedy was speaking of honesty. And if that's the case, then we the citizens surrounding Wyngate, Church Street and what you all call Malcolm Street were lied to. I'm not sure if that's the correct word or not, we were not told anything. The signs went up and I believed that it was hoped that we wouldn't say anything, but we did. The closing came to a

halt, the signs came down, the Mayor came up with a compromise. You all are not happy with that compromise because it entails using leftover bond money from 1999. Bond money that you all say was allocated for street repairs. That money has been sitting somewhere for 11 years. Why hasn't that money been used in that length of time. It's not like the streets suddenly became in need of repair. In 1971 when the homeowners of Woodford of Street got a petition to have the street paved, they were informed that if they wanted the street paved, then they would be responsible for paying for the part in front of their property, which they did. Someone came up with the figure of \$8,000,000 to build an overpass at Shreve Park. The companies at Shreve Park should pay for the overpass to be built to accommodate their businesses since they will be the ones benefiting from the connection of Buncombe Road to Bert Kouns Industrial Loop. If not entirely, then a percentage. Why not include the cost of an overpass in the purchase of the property. That way, the city wouldn't be burdened with the entire cost. All my life, I've been told that things happen for a reason. I believe that Kolmar not coming here happened for a reason. I believe the crossing you all call Malcolm Street which is technically Vivian Street, that technicality happened for a reason. I believe that God is trying to tell you all that you all need to come up with a way to build an overpass at Shreve Park. He has given you all a way out. I just hope and pray that you all will see it and do the right thing. Build an overpass and save all the crossings. Thank you. Thank you for the additional time.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you. Please hold your applause and your comments until the end. If you've completed a card, I will call your name and you'll have the opportunity to speak. Otherwise, we will have a very orderly meeting.

Mr. Scott McAllister: (305 Stoner Ave) I'm representing Coke Cola again, I was here a couple of weeks ago, and did discuss the traffic problems we would have on Shreve Park Drive once we moved out there in mid October to late November with 100+ trucks in and outbound throughout the day. It is going to cause major congestion areas. It is going to prevent other companies from wanting to come out to Shreve Park. We made a decision after the Council approved this. Crossing in Shreve Park to invest \$11,000,000 in that park to keep jobs here in Shreveport and to move out to Shreve Park. And I would ask that you stick to that commitment that was made. We looked at many different sites to relocate our current facility. Shreve Park is one of the best facilities in Northwest Louisiana. But with one access in and out of that park, we will not attract future economic development. And we need that in this area. So I ask that you please stick to your commitment. Thank you.

Ms. Mary C. Lites: (2421 Woodford) I have a picture. Mr. Mayor and Council Members, thank you for the opportunity to come before you today. I'm here this afternoon to ask this Council to rescind Ordinance 9 of 2009. This needs to be the starting point for correcting this horrible situation. Previous and current mayors, commissioners and council members along with the mandate of the Union Pacific

Railroad closing of three crossings to open one new one have made decisions that will drastically affect the citizens of three unpretentious neighborhoods. One of them is mine. For over 60 years, the Malcolm/Vivian/Mansfield Road crossing has been quietly supportive of the citizens surrounding her. Superlative in helping traffic to cross over the railroad track, 7 days a week, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year. Several years back, fancy flashing lights and graciously extending crossing arms were added for the safety of her patrons giving them move advance warning of approaching trains. Unfortunately, there are those who choose to mock her lowered arms and blinking eyes by going around them. Yet her diligence to serve still remains knowing it was human error that scarred her pride. It is sad to think that after 60 years your services are no longer needed. At what point was that decision made. In 1862 when Abraham Lincoln put into motion the Pacific Railroad Act which started the transcontinental railroad, maybe when previous mayors and council members who lived in various other neighborhoods of Shreveport started the Shreve Park project in approximately 1997. Or was it the City Council meeting of March 13, 2007 where Levy Street crossing was never properly put on the agenda and discussed. Well for sure we know it was doing the Council Meeting of March 10, 2009 that Ordinance 9 was passed. When no one in attendance to stand up for her, no one in attendance when the death sentence was handed down for our dear old crossing. But on May 11th of this year, she was given a reprieve. A few everyday citizens who have taken her for granted by thinking she would be around forever and ever have come to her defense to support her as she has supported us over the years. To acknowledge the endurance she still has to serve our community for so many years ahead. A facelift would be nice to smooth out her wrinkles and make her look younger, but finances probably would not allow for such niceties. We do not have the perfect answer for this council. We can only give you an idea or two to consider. In the end, you hold the power to issue a death sentence and end the life of a good hard working crossing that has served with great pride the citizens of two vintage neighborhoods. Again, I ask you to rescind Ordinance 9 of 2009, start over and give her the consideration and understanding she deserves to reach a decision that everyone can live with the rest of their lives. Thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Sharon, this particular card and I don't want to keep pushing them further behind, but it was up front and we just couldn't understand the name. It appears to be Ol-li-fant? Is there someone in the Chamber with that name?

Councilman Shyne: Yes, yes. Ms. Oliphant.

Ms. Pilkinton: She was sitting back in the back on this side, and I - - -

Councilman Shyne: She's an older lady, yes she was over in the back, back there.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, if she shows up, then - - -

Councilman Shyne: She used to live in the Queensborough area.

Councilwoman Bowman: Sharon can you put this back where it needs to be? Do your thing Tonya. Next we have Robert Stringer.

Mr. Robert Stringer: (648 Woodmont Pl.) I want to thank all of you for allowing me to come and speak on behalf of my neighbors who could not be here. So that's irrelevant I'm sure as far as that goes, but the consensus is that the crossing at Wyngate Blvd at Hyde Park is that we do not want an underpass to be placed there. There are a number of reasons that made it impractical to have that crossing there as an underpass. And if it's impractical, then it certainly is too expensive. And so the money that they are allocating in Ordinance No. 89 could be spent in other places other than an underpass there, which is not necessary. So, I'm opposed to Ordinance No. 89 and in favor of Amendment No. 2. As far as opening or keeping open or closing the crossing there, I have mixed emotions and mixed opinions about it and that is not relevant at this point. My main objection is to placing an underpass there, because there are so many reasons that it would be - - - lets say, impractical there. I wonder if the council is aware that TETCO has a pipeline that runs parallel to the railroad there, just south of it. That would create a problem in trying to relocate that pipeline underground. As well as also the depth that you would have to dig and excavate to go under the track which would probably come across Woodmont which is parallel to the tracks. It might even cause you to have to close Woodmont and make it inconvenient for all of us to live there. There's any number of reasons and if you'd like to hear more about them, I would like to invite the Council and the Mayor to come out there and meet with me at that crossings, and I can point out many reasons why it should not have an underpass. Time is not allowing me to even go over them. But I do want to go on record as opposing the underpass there, spending that money which should be spent somewhere else. Thank you.

Ms. Ann Dixon: (7332 Saybrook Cr) Good afternoon. I am a commercial realtor in Shreveport, and I work for a large part of what I do with industrial properties, and I represent the landowners of the adjacent property to the Shreve Park Industrial Park, and that's why I'm here. I believe I sent all of the Council Members and including the Mayor a letter concerning two pending contracts that I have for development of two properties within my client's property, but also it was both of these contracts are dependent on the railroad crossing that we were under the impression had been approved. Unfortunately, the City of Shreveport is in a really precarious situation with where we are with railroad crossings. I feel like there's people in the room today that don't understand what's going on and why the city is having to close some crossings to facilitate the new crossings and maybe we need to a little bit better job of explaining it to everyone. I haven't really seen anything in depth in writing or maybe I didn't look where I needed to look. But I think the citizens of Shreveport need to realize that it's not the developers or the business people or the patrons or the tenants or the landowners against the citizens and trying to force them into a situation where they no longer can cross, it's about what we need to do to develop business. And I'm here only to speak about the Shreve Park

crossing. I'm very much a believer in that. I feel like the City of Shreveport many years ago spent substantial money in a beautiful park that has never developed and has never facilitated and used like it should because of the access to it. I mean we are dealing with an industrial park, and forgive my ignorance, but I believe we're dealing with over 300 acres of development spots, four lanes divided, wonderful lights, I mean everything you could ask for in looking for development, place location for business and the only missing link there is access. So I can't stress how important that is for us to develop and to reap the reward that we should have from the money that's already been spent at that park. But - - - and the congestion that's going to be created at Buncombe Road and W. 70th in that area, if we don't open it up, once Coke Cola gets the flow of trucks going through there, I think it's going to create a very, very dangerous situation. I mean we've got schools and churches and all types of - - - I mean if you've ever been turning into Shreve Park Drive at 3:00 in the afternoon on a school day, you'll see what I mean. You had a 100 18-wheelers or whatever Coke Cola is going to be driving, add to it Frymaster, Ivan Smith and all that traffic, and that scares me to death. So, I just feel like it's something - - - I'm wrapping it up. I just want to say that we need to - - - I work with oil field service companies that are coming to our market place by the droves. And there were not properties available to place these companies. I have probably 12 – 15 that sit on my desk everyday that I look ongoing for locations for these. We need to be receptive. We need to be willing to build and develop and to create an environment of assisting these businesses coming to town, and I mean for those of us in commercial real estate, it scares us to death when you look at the numbers of the vacant industrial space in Shreveport, on the surface, it looks like we're dealing with 40-50% vacancy rate, but we've got all these empty dark buildings out there around General Motors, none of which fit the needs of our thriving new industry that we need to embrace, we need to develop. We're working in my business and what I do everyday in the trenches is to work with developers and come up with locations and properties to provide for this new industry. And this park is absolutely where these guys want to be. And lets make it easy for them to travel and to do the (inaudible). Thank you.

Mr. John Madison: (333 Texas) Madam Chair, I'm here today on behalf of 45 businesses and 355 employees who work around three-quarters to a half mile of the Levy Street crossing. I'm here today to oppose the closing of that crossing. When the Council passed the closing of the ordinances of the streets that we've been talking about earlier and last year, they did so after hearing from the Police, Fire, Health and Safety people, made a decision. The people made their decisions based on what this Council did last year. And now because some neighbors are complaining and I understand their complaints, what the proposal is today is to take their complaints and move them over on to 45 businesses, 355 employees. We don't think that transfer is right. When I was in law school I took this a course called Zoning Law. And we learned how to do all sorts of things you do when you zone property. You deal with them everyday. One of the courses said we should have had it in a Chapter called Zoning by Hollering. He said that too often legislative bodies

listen to the crowd, they disregard the law, disregard what they've done, disregard their zoning plans and do what the heat of the moment says for them to do. Now I'm not suggesting that this council would be subject by hollering, and we're not here about a zoning matter, but I think the analysis is correct. We're dealing with a law that was passed that people have made decisions based on that law, and now today understandably people are complaining, but that's not the way business ought to be run. If this council closes Levy Street, we're impacting these 45 businesses, 355 employees. Let me just give you three quick examples of how these people will be impacted. In 2008, we had a big rain and a big flood on Levy Street. It flooded the bottom of Levy Street. 8' of water. If Levy Street crossing had been closed, the people that work in the Warehouses and that work at Centerpoint would have been trapped, they couldn't have gotten out. The Council in it's wisdom has allowed west end to be used as movie set. The (inaudible) this is a movie area. When you have movies out there, they close that part of Texas Street. The people that need to go back and forth from Linwood to the post office or the hospital or to FedEx, they can't get through, they have to use Levy Street to get back and forth to that area. There's a business on Linwood Avenue that goes to the post office six or seven times a day and they're in the mailing business. They have to go all the way around it. If the movie set is out there in the middle, they can't get there that way. Mr. Paul Overly owns the swimming scuba diving club, he has a thousand children a week in the summer that come there to learn to swim, 500 in the winter. Many of those are children of people that work at LSU Medical Center. They bring their children to Levy Street. So my suggestion to you is to leave the law the way it is, don't close Levy Street, don't rescind any of these ordinances. I suggest to you it's not fair, it's not right and it's not good business. Thank you.

Ms. Virginia Mayeaux: (653 Woodmont Pl) I've live d there for 46 ½ years. I am a widow and retired. My house is four houses off the intersection of Wyngate and Woodmont and I'm here to stress to you that I am very much opposed to any underpass put in on that street. If you can find a way to keep the street open, that's great, but if you cannot and if the alternative is an underpass, I just do not think that it would be beneficial to our area. When we moved there Wyngate was a dead end street for about 10 or 15 years. We had one outlet that was Pickwick, we did fine. An inconvenience? Yes, to go another route. But we can find another route. If it takes a year and a half to build an underpass on Wyngate, in a year and a half, we've had to find an alternate exit, and by the time we've done that for a year and a half, why can't we not just continue. I have some concern about flooding in the area. Right now, Wyngate between Woodmont and Pickwick, when there's a heavy rain, the water is over the curbs, so we have to find another way to go through the water. If there's an underpass there, are going to be another Hearne Avenue with water. So, those are my concerns. And then also we have to put up with the noise, the dirt, and the dust being that close to the intersection, and there will be that. So, I would prefer if there's no other way, close the crossing, and if there is a way to keep it open, then that would be great. It would be an inconvenience, but I don't think it would be that much of an inconvenience. More so, I've laid in my bed at

nights and heard the police sirens about 1 or 2:00 on the weekends, and you hear a motorcycle, and I can guarantee you that motorcycle didn't cross the track, it cleared the track. So, we're not a thoroughfare there, we're not a thruway, we're closed to truck traffic, we're residential. We'd just like to stay that way.

Dr. R. Timothy Jones: (8200 St. Vincent Ave) Madam Chair, Mr. Mayor, to Council Persons, I serve our God and our community as pastor of Peaceful Rest Baptist Church, located at 8200 St. Vincent Avenue. I've been here from the forefront of this issue with regard to closing streets or railroad crossings. I don't believe the feelings of the residents or the citizens have changed since this issue was first presented. I would however, like to note for the record, that I take exception to some of the condescending and subjective remarks that have been offered in this Chamber, in this meeting and in other meetings regarding the intellect of the people who live in those areas. May I remind all of us that the people who live in those areas are No. 1, law abiding citizens. No. 2, they have dignity. No. 3, they are homeowners, and you will all find out in November, they vote. I would say to us that the quality of life for the residents of our city should always and I mean always, take precedence to the special interest of a few persons. My personal preference, it would seem to me, and I'm not an elected official. It would appear to me that the simplest thing to do would be to build an overpass or an underpass in the business park. However, there doesn't seem to be an option provided by any of the persons who have the ability to make that happen. As I appreciate it, there's only one, only one proposal that's been put forth at this particular time. Is it perfect? It may be, it may not be. But we do know as it stands right now and as far as I have seen, it's the only option available to us. I'm obliged to support that option as none of the citizens of Shreveport, I've said this earlier and I say this again. None of the citizens of Shreveport should be inconvenienced on the hope of business that may or may not come to Shreveport. I said then, I say now, the reason Shreveport, LA is not growing has nothing to do with railroad crossings. So I admonish us today to again put the quality of life for the people who call Shreveport home above the interest of other people, particularly some people who don't even live near any of these crossings. Thank you.

Mr. Kurt Foreman: (400 Edwards) I'm the president of North Louisiana Economic Partnership, one of your partners in economic development here in the community. I didn't want to come and specifically talk about any of the details other than I think it's important to understand the context of what we do together, and that's to try to create jobs for our residents, our neighbors, our friends we go to church with, those we pass in the grocery store, and that sort of thing. I moved here six years ago from Pennsylvania. When I came and saw the kind of community we were, and the kinds of things that leaders over the years had done to make this a great place to live, a great place to work and a great place to raise our families, and I get the privilege everyday standing up telling others about North Louisiana and Shreveport, and one of the things I usually tell them is that the forethought of our elected leaders and our business leaders to create corridors, to create opportunities to get around and

through our communities, to have places to do business. Over 20 years ago, your predecessors at the Parish, the City, at the Chamber and the business community set up Shreve Park to be an employment opportunity for people in our community at a time when there weren't as many opportunities. And with respect to the last speaker, while I certainly appreciated his comments, I would argue that given the national recognition that our area just got and was announced this morning, and also with the recognition of North Louisiana and Louisiana is getting, we are one of the bright spots in our country for economic growth and opportunity, and that's not by accident. That's because you all and many people have made the tough decisions to create opportunities who we know and pass and people we don't even know that we pass everyday in our community. And I would urge you to continue that legacy. Unfortunately, what happens is a story will come out and it'll say that we're against business or we're against this, and that will become the theme. I realize that's not you all, I realize that's not our community, but I would appreciate if you could continue to make us a great place for business so that our residents can have great jobs. I have four kids, I would love them to stay here when they get old enough to. They have to stay at my house right now, but soon, they may not. And I'd love them to have that opportunity to live here or nearby. And I wanted to just reinforce that this really isn't about just Shreve Park. It's about Schlumberger, and the 400 jobs that came to a part of our city that had not seen job growth in a while, and that's the part of the Wyngate project and they want to continue to grow. And there are lots of other companies. We're working on 55 projects right now that are looking at our area, and they can go to E. Texas, they can go to other parts of Northwest Louisiana. It really comes down to how business friendly we are as a city, and as a community as to whether they locate here and unfortunately today, that's a decision that's going to be in your lap in a little bit, but we appreciate what you're doing. We know it's a hard decision, and we hope you'll support the businesses. Thank you.

Ms. Lindy Broderick: (400 Edwards Street) I am here to address you on behalf of our president, Dick Bremer who spoke with you two weeks ago and is out of town today. I am also representing some 1800 or more members of the Greater Shreveport Chamber of Commerce. We do have another speaker who will speak on behalf of our Board of Directors. And I know some of our board members and members are in the audience today who do not wish to speak but wanted you to know that they are present. I will not repeat the remarks that were made to you two weeks ago by Mr. Bremer, but I will remind you I've had submitted to the Clerk a letter that was sent to you all. I'd also like to call to your attention a new communication from one of our board members who was not able to be here today and sent this via email, Dr. Philip Rozeman. So, I submit that for your consideration. We would like to remind you again that Shreve Park was announced back in the 1990s. The Greater Shreveport Chamber of Commerce is the property owner of Shreve Park along with the homeowners who are here today from that area, we too are property owners of that piece of land. This issue is very important

to us. We ask, encourage, implore you to please uphold your original decision concerning those railroad crossings. Thank you.

Mr. Joe Kane: (10145 Trail Ridge Dr) Appreciate your time this afternoon, and I work at Lamar Advertising at 1800 Pierre Ave. And this afternoon, I have the privilege to represent the Board of Directors at the Greater Shreveport Chamber of Commerce. I've been involved with the Chamber for about nine years, and out of that nine years, about eight years in the Finance Committee. The Finance Committee not only looks over the finances, budgets and investments of the Chamber, it also does the same for Shreve Park. Shreve Park is a jobs opportunity for us, and we're here today to urge you to complete those closures as originally committed. Right now, we're looking at potentially 700 jobs with this action. There's a lot of communities that would do a lot today to either retain or get 700 jobs. And I understand the folks that live in that area. Again, we are property owners in there too. We have 350 acres of potentially developed. I've been told that that could be almost 4,000 jobs. And we live in a great community much like Kurt, I moved here 10 ½ years ago, and I never want to leave. This is a great community to do business in. The city has provided, and the state and the parish has provided great infrastructure. This is an infrastructure advancement which will help us develop more jobs for our community. So again, we're asking you from the Board of Directors of the Chamber of Commerce to please complete the crossing closures as originally committed. Thank you.

Mr. Max Davenport: (8303 Kingston Rd) I'll be affected by that closing at Wyngate. I just want to say, first I want to ask all y'all a question. How many of y'all live in Shreveport? Can I see a hand?

Councilwoman Bowman: We all live in Shreveport. We can't be Council Members if we didn't - - -

Mr. Davenport: No, I just asked the question, you know how many of y'all live in Shreveport?

Councilwoman Bowman: We live in Shreveport.

Mr. Davenport: Okay, well I just asked to show (inaudible). And I want to know how many of y'all drive down the streets in Shreveport. It's not the railroad crossings, Shreveport is pitiful. I'm 54 years old. This is the worst I've seen Shreveport in my life. The streets is a disgrace. The curbs, I mean overgrown grass. A foot over in the street. The water can't even drain in the drainage. But we want to spend \$2.7(million) on something that is unnecessary. When you built the park out there, that's whatever you're talking about, why you didn't put two ways in? One way in and one way ? Why you go build a one-way (inaudible)

Councilman Shyne: There you go.

Councilwoman Bowman: Joe don't encourage him.

Mr. Davenport: He's not encouraging me, I've got a mind.

Councilwoman Bowman: I'm not saying you. Hold up sir, I'm speaking about the audience. Every time he Amens you, they Amen him.

Mr. Davenport: Well I'm sorry.

Councilwoman Bowman: So I just want you to go ahead. We're going to keep this meeting in order.

Mr. Davenport: I just want to know how many of y'all drive everyday in down Shreveport and see the way it is. It is pitiful. That's why people leaving. Shreveport just done fell apart. Do what we elected y'all to do, take care of our districts, look after what concerns the citizens. Bringing 400 jobs here right now don't concern us. Keep the jobs that we got here. Keep the 400 here. People that want to be here stay here. I see people now saying I'd rather leave. Shreveport just done fell apart. It's a disgrace. You're riding down Jewella, and you've got a 2' crack in the bottom of your car. You're riding down Linwood, you've got a 2' hump. Instead of fixing it, they put black asphalt against it, so now you've got two hills to climb. I don't understand it. I just don't understand what is going on in Shreveport. Why we just can't open our eyes and take care of home like we should do instead of worrying about something else. Take care of home and home will take care of itself. That's all I got to say. Thank you.

Mr. Larry Sanders: (5741 Day Street) My concerns is the closing there. I'm a newly member of the community, I've been here about two years. I'm starting my own business and I live down there. My church is there, and the access to that area is right now just one two-way from Pines Road and Flournoy. And y'all are considering closing one of those. I don't think that that's a wise decision. It would make poor access to my community, and for any emergency vehicles to come in and out of there. And it would be bad for my business as well. Sometimes I have to come to my house to get extra equipment, and I have to do that several times a day. And I just request that you all do not close that crossing there on Church. Thank you.

Ms. Joe Anne Jenkins: (5814 Day Street) I'm here in reference to my neighborhood. We have at least about 36 homes down there and about three or four churches. And we have about, I think about 10 buses to come through the end to pick up the kids. And by that neighborhood track being closed, it's going to be a bad thing for the kids getting backwards and forward to school, and our neighborhood is a historical neighborhood. Because we used to have the train depot down there, and they moved it. And I'm here asking y'all to rescind that about closing the railroad crossing and to - - - if KCS, if any of their peoples in here, if they want that neighborhood, they

should buy all of us out, and fix it so we'll have a place to stay and not like we're in a box.

Mr. Ardis Jefferson: (2051 Brent Dr) On the issues, I think that the Mayor come up with a good idea putting up an overpass and not closing the railroad crossing. I heard of peoples to come up there to say about try or hollering. I see that they are hollering now, that the Levy Street is suppose to close. I see that the business people don't come out to the meeting. Now that the railroad crossing is not going to be closed if you guys vote on, even the railroad crossing (inaudible), see before they were not here. Because why? Our railroad crossing, the citizens railroad crossing is going to be closed. But now they're here to ask y'all guys to consider leaving it open. These 400 jobs from Schlumberger, I just want to know how many jobs that were from here in Shreveport. The citizens of Shreveport got from these jobs. I saw a lot of out of town plates from Schlumberger. Because most of the time, we know that when Schlumberger or any other company come here, they bring most of their people here. We only get a small percentage of people to come from Shreveport. I didn't see no jobs offered from - - - in this paper saying Schlumberger is hiring. I didn't see that in the paper. Coke Cola plant, I didn't see in the paper they're going to be doing this new hiring at the Coke Cola plant. I tell you what, all the people that's coming into the business district, I see that people coming up here representing because they're making their money, they don't care about what we do in our neighborhood. If they close down, oh well, that's fine. But now that their money is being threatened, they're coming out in droves. Because they want their money to continue to come. I say, let them put the crossings in. Let them build the roads, let them pay, just like we have to pay our taxes for our roads, let them pay every cent for getting new roads in there. You're putting a business down there, I can't come to my house and say well, I want y'all to put a new addition to my house and let somebody else pay for it. I'm not making any money from this. The jobs that they claim, 400 jobs or whatever, it's not guaranteed for our citizens. I'm going to say again, Schlumberger came, I saw nowhere in the paper where it say Shreveport citizens were going to get these jobs. Most of those jobs were already filled because Schlumberger brought their peoples in. So I say to the people who are going to make the money, spend the money to get these roads down in there more than one pass. You're going to make the money after all. You're going to give us a share of that money. The people that's going to get the jobs. So the people that's going to get these jobs down there continue to pour money down in there so you can have more than one way in and out. You guys spend the money.

Ms. Barbara Eleby-Brown: (4315 Wallace Ave) Yes Madam Chairman, to the Mayor and the rest of the Board. I'm the former president of Neighbors Involving Caddo Heights. I am here to definitely oppose the closing of the railroad crossings, and especially the one there at Malcolm. And on top of that, I have the same picture that the young lady had here a few minutes ago, and if we could look at this again to see how that railroad crossing is in desperate need of repairs. Not only do we oppose the closing, but I'm asking if you would seriously consider repairing these

railroad crossings because especially the one at Hollywood and Mansfield Road, that is one of the most dangerous crossings in Shreveport. You go up and down that street, and I feel sorry for people that is not familiar with the community, the neighborhood, you get there to that red light, and especially for that left signal turn, look at how bad that street looks. Look at how bad that railroad crossing under there buckling in and out of that railroad crossing there. That is so bad on our automobiles. And when you're crossing on Hollywood and Mansfield Road, just barely two or three cars can make a turn onto Mansfield Road because you have to slow down almost to stop to get across that crossing. And that is terrible. So I am opposing all of the crossings along with asking you to please consider making some repairs on these crossings. Thank you. And at the same time again, when it comes to our safety, as some of the people have stated, the residents are the one who should be considered No. 1. Because of the simple fact in case of emergencies and what have you, what not, paramedics, fire department, just whoever, if you close those streets, just think of the corruption that's going to be caused on Corbitt Street. And the time and effort of trying to find a way to bypass so that you can get to people in that particular area that need help. So thank you.

Councilman Walford: Well I just wanted to point out to Ms. Brown and everybody in here, our Code of Ordinances says that if a railroad is notified of a crossing in bad condition, they're supposed to repair it within 10 days. I think the people know the point I'm trying to make and from their reaction, I don't think the railroad knows that. But this is the same railroad that's heavy handed about crossing closures.

Ms. Brown: So okay people, you hear this, lets start calling. Thank you.

Mr. Jimmie Taylor: (600 Hyde Park) I'm here in favor of keeping the Hyde Park crossing open. As I stated when we had our last meeting at the school, it was actually to the Fire Chief about the closing, if it's closed. I asked him the question well how would we get help, how would the fire truck get into our area. He told me that they would come down the Mansfield Road that way, and I asked him then well what if it's a train on there. So he told me that they would come an alternate route. So then I asked the question, well would the time change if the Wyngate crossing was never closed. He never answered the question. So to me - - - to me, well he answered the question by saying they have it in the allowed time, and it would be within eight minutes. But they in essence, they would get there quicker if the Wyngate crossing stayed open. So to me, it's not about our lives. So you're dealing with something that one minute, two minutes, three minutes may save someone's life. And so if that's closed and it takes eight minutes versus three minutes or five minutes, you could have saved a life. So it's like we're not important over there in that area. And I also would like to say that our Councilman Ron Webb, he represents our area, we have yet to hear anything from him, not a word. But you hear from here when it's time to be elected. So how is it that you don't hear from him and he's over our district. And he's our City Councilman. I don't understand.

So, like I say I'm in favor of keeping the Wyngate crossing open. I really don't think it's right there. We weren't really notified in the first place about it, and like I say again, our City Councilman - - - it's like we don't even exist. Never said a word to any of us, even after the fact even after the fact that all of this had been brought up, never heard a word from him. But yet and still, he's our City Councilman. That's all I'd like to say.

Councilwoman Bowman: One question Mr. Lee. Is Craig Lee - - - were you hear to speak in reference to the railroads? Okay then come on.

Mr. Craig Lee: (1035 Eustis Street) First off I want to commend all of these citizens for their activism and their solidarity. When you have citizens uniting like this on issues, this is how you make a city great. Secondly, I want to say how dare anyone stand here and say that the citizens don't actually understand this issue. I think that was appalling when the young lady stood up and said that, but the reality is she doesn't actually understand. Because as I appreciate the issue, Union Pacific has basically said that Louisiana is the 'Railroad Crossing of America', and they said there's too many railroad crossings in the state of Louisiana, and they need three to four railroads closed if you're going to open up one. And the actual answer to the actual question is what Councilman Walford just said. You all have ordinances in place to actually fix railroads. They have to do that. So, this is not a safety issue, this is actually an economic issue. They don't want to actually spend money to actually repair the railroad. We had a lawyer to say a comment to say 'zoning by hollering.' I think they should have been taught a course 'Zoning by Misrepresentation.' Because there are not 45 businesses over in that area. That's my district, I'm running for City Council, there are not 45 businesses over there. As a matter of fact, they swimming business that he's talking about is almost right there on the corner of Linwood and Texas. So you just come from LSU Hospital from Linwood right to that business, so we know that's a lie. The reality of this situation is the citizens are not opposed to economic development, but they're opposed to is not being informed from the front end on this situation. That's basically why you have such an uproar because this impacts their businesses, their own personalized - - - a lot of these people are small business owner, the reality of the situation. The reality of this deals with poor civil engineering from the beginning. The young gentleman just said it eloquently. If you're so smart, you would have built the Shreve Park with multiple access ways coming in. So, whoever the civil engineer was, y'all should go get your money back. Because he did a poor job. We have a situation right there as you come I-20 onto Spring Street. The worse intersection in this entire city. Civil engineering issue, and it hasn't been solved yet. So I just helped a guy the other day. I almost hit him, but he was from the east coast, he didn't know any better. I said man you can't make a right turn from the middle lane. I pulled him over at the Holiday Inn and told him that. So, these are not citizens created issues, the citizens are responding to a poor civil engineering and poor communication. So at the end of the day, what we're not basically saying is Ordinance No. 87, I'm definitely in favor of that, because that should have been

what the situation was in the first place, publicizing the hearings. That would have alleviated this situation. Ordinance 88, I'm in favor of closing Levy Street if it needs to be done, because the reality is the only business that impacts is Centerpoint, and Centerpoint actually has two accesses on the Texas Street. And the gentleman is talking about flooding at Levy, they could actually go around to another intersection that's closer to the post office and go to Texas Street, and I'm totally against Ordinance 89 because as a few of the folks have basically said, if you got money to put an underpass, you definitely going to have money to fix potholes and other infrastructural issues. I think Mike Strong started off his presentation about raw sewer backing up into Champion Lake possibly other issues into Red River. That just happened not too long ago. So we've got raw sewerage issues backing up into people's houses and into our waterways, that's more pressing than putting in an underpass at that particular issue. I would be in favor though of the Amendment No. 2 that Councilman Walford is basically talking about, and Cedric Glover in his presentation talked about bond issue proposal. One young lady spoke on this. Bond issues are some of the most criminal financial products for any city. Because at the end of the day, you forget about certain bond issues and I give Cedric Glover a history lesson since he likes history. It was under his watch that over \$3,000,000 in bond money was passed to create a Ledbetter Heights Recreation Center. That never happened. Now that they inner city has changed in Ledbetter Heights, that money has actually dwindled down to \$1. (something million). He wanted to use the money to do something else with it, and that's basically what happens. Instead of you just using the money to do what you're supposed to do with it, you pass the buck on. And now you want to make the citizens accountable for your incompetence. And I'm not saying this from this particular Council, but that's councils before. And the buck is just passed, and now you want the citizens to be compromised. The citizens are not against jobs opening, they're just against injustice and equity and being inconvenienced. Thank you very much.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay Mr. Thompson?

Mr. Thompson: Is the public hearing closed?

Councilwoman Bowman: At this time, that was all the cards that I have - - -

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair?

Councilwoman Bowman: Those were all the cards that I had for citizens wishing to speak in favor or in opposition. Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: If you will allow me, I would certainly like to respond at least to the last couple of statements made by Mr. Lee. Because I don't think it's fair to the city or the citizens who are here to allow misrepresentation of some things.

Councilman Walford: Well he has the opportunity at the end like we do.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay. Go ahead Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Madam Chair. The bottom line of it is that Mr. Lee is correct, that I was the council member who if fact did advocate and support and secure, I believe it was \$1.6 (million). It was never \$3,000,000 for a recreational center for Ledbetter Heights. I was the same individual who encouraged Bo Williams, Keith Hightower to expend those dollars to construct that recreational center within Ledbetter Heights. They chose not to do so. In the intervening time, all the folks who lived in Ledbetter Heights left and went away. And he is also correct that I have advocated on behalf of this council that an appropriate source for the expending of those dollars would be to acquire the old YWCA facility on Pierre Ave, and use it as a new recreational center for the Allendale/Ledbetter Heights area. It doesn't take much effort to come in and misrepresent and misconstrue and mislead people, but I think it's also appropriate to make sure that we say things as they are, not as we wish them to be. So thank you Madam Chair, thank you council.

Ms. Lillian Wade: (721 Highland Square Dr) There's been a lot of interesting comments and things here today, but what I would implore and I would love to ask is that the City Council please apologize to the citizens for pitting us against them. It's not about us - - - business, you know us.

Councilwoman Bowman: Speak into the mic please.

Ms. Wade: It's not about us the citizens against business. Because that's what you guys have made this about today. I met Bryan earlier and I commend you on just apologizing to me personally for you guys dropping the ball. What the citizens don't know is you guys boarded a bus and took a tour of possible sites to close. Did you guys know that? And then two meetings ago - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Speak to this Council.

Ms. Wade: I'm sorry, two meetings ago, one of the Council Members said, 'Hey, we need to slow this train down', and 'I have a moral obligation to my constituents'.

Councilman Shyne: That was me.

Ms. Wade: Okay? But where was your moral obligation when you boarded that bus to take the tour of railroad crossing and sites that you knew affected the people.

Councilman Shyne: I left it at home.

Ms. Wade: All I'm saying is, from here on out, we would appreciate transparent government and your consideration when things affect us. Okay? And as a candidate for City Council District A, I implore you, please apologize to the citizens for the situation that you have placed us in. Thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you very much Ms. Wade and at this time, this public hearing is now - - -

Councilman Walford: Before you do, could I ask - - - there's still a lot of people here that didn't sign up to speak. Would it be out of order to maybe have those in favor of those stand and then those who are opposed stand, or - - - I guess we're giving a voice to - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: It's not out of order Mr. Walford. And we'll do that, as a matter of fact I did that at the beginning to try to get a feel of - - - excuse me. To try to get a feel of those who were here in favor and those who are in opposition. One thing I am going to say at this particular time before I ask those in favor and those in opposition to stand, is that this is not a political platform, not today nor in our future meetings that I am Chairman. And I guess we'll just have to kinda limit what's being said. But this is not going to be free publicity for council folks and other folks running for office. Ma'am, you are out of order. Okay, escort her out please. It wasn't so much you, it was the fact that we had several candidates - - - escort her out of this Chamber. Okay, all of you in favor of the closing of Wyngate, Church Street and - -

Councilman Walford: Things as it stands, as it was voted.

Councilwoman Bowman: As it was voted on, will you please stand, so we can - - - all those who are in favor of the closing of Wyngate - - -

Councilman Lester: Of the previous - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Of the original motion,

Councilman Long: The ordinance as originally (inaudible).

Councilman Walford: Yeah.

Councilwoman Bowman: All of those who are in favor.

Councilman Lester: Madam Chair, I hasten to speak, but if I could offer. As I appreciate it, there are several different issues that are before the council relative to this issue, as I appreciate it, there is item 84, which will rescind the closings. You have item I believe, 88 that will deal with a closing issue and as I appreciate it, Ordinance 89 will keep them open and do an underpass. And I guess with what

we're trying to define form the folks in terms of what they want, rather than to say whose in favor of opening and closing, could we not poll them and see what we're actually voting on, i.e., who was in favor of No. 84, which will undo everything. The next question, whose in favor of item 88, that will close lets say Levy Street. And then whose in favor of Ordinance No. 89 that will keep those closing open and build an underpass, because those are three separate and distinct things that we are voting for, rather than to ask people what are you for, there are three things that we're voting on, and if we want to really know what the people say, maybe we should just ask them which one of those they are in favor of and get them to stand accordingly.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, and Art what we'll do to make it even more complicated, we will read each and every ordinance over again. After it has been read, those who are in favor will be asked to stand or you know then I'll ask those who are in opposition.

Mr. Thompson: If I could, what I would suggest is that I believe your original question was those who are in favor of the originally ordinances to close Wyngate Blvd, Malcolm Street, and Church Street, and I believe those are the people who stood.

Councilwoman Bowman: That's what I was - - -

Mr. Thompson: The question may be those who are for the repeal of the ordinances to close those streets, and then those could stand.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay Mr. Thompson - - -

Councilman Lester: So long as we do them all. That's all I want to make sure that we poll the folks on all of them.

Councilwoman Bowman: The way we're going to do this Mr. Thompson, you will read off the original, and those who are in favor will have that opportunity to stand. Those who are in opposition will have the opportunity to stand, so lets go with 84.

Mr. Thompson: The first will be in reference to Ordinance No. 84, those persons who are in favor of the closure of the at grade crossing at Wyngate Blvd, Malcolm Street and Church Street as originally proposed will you stand?

Councilwoman Bowman: So noted.

Mr. Thompson: The next is, we're still on Ordinance No. 84, those who are for the repeal of the closure of Wyngate Blvd, Malcolm Street and Church Street, would you stand?

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, one second. Councilman Walford suggested. I have requested this at the beginning of the meeting. Councilman Walford just requested. But we have the card - - - come up here Pastor and - - -

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chair, you might want to announce that this not binding on the council.

Councilwoman Bowman: It is not. This has not affect at all on the vote that will be taken here. It is a matter of procedure, it is a matter of allowing others to see who was here in favor, who was here in opposition of certain ordinances. Believe me, and I'm just being truthful, the majority of this Council walked in here knowing what they were going to do when we got there okay? But we had to listen and give the citizens the opportunity to speak. I mean because we've gone over this and we have read it, and we have received your calls. Now you're here to speak. And we have listened. Truly, somebody's mind could have been changed by something that was said here today. But believe me all this is for is just to show those who stood show they are here in opposition, those were here to show that they were in favor. It is basically a fair process. Yes sir. Of course you've had the opportunity to speak.

Dr. Jones: Thank you so much, and I really do appreciate you allowing me to speak, and I recognize given protocol, you didn't have that to do. I question the wisdom of what we are currently doing. I've never been in a setting in council chambers in a council meetings where citizens are voting, rather than the people who are on the council.

Councilwoman Bowman: No sir, that's a matter of procedure. And look, we've done this before on other cases, to just basically get a feel of who is standing here in favor of and folks can see at home, get the opportunity to see 'em stand in favor or in opposition. This is not the first time this has been done. It has been done numerous times here. And it's done like that in a lot of governmental - - -

Dr. Jones: Yes Ma'am, I appreciate that, but we don't even have a way at this very moment to qualify or to see if many of the people who are voting at this point are who are validating whatever we might want to call affirming, whatever, are even qualified to vote or express themselves. Some of these persons may not even be residents of the city. Thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Pastor Jones, and let me explain. This is not a vote. What we are under right now is just a public hearing. Once everyone has been heard, we will move a little further down our agenda. These will come up for final passage, and be voted on one by one. Amendments first, and then the main motion. So, it's not going to be a vote directly after this is done. Can you understand what I'm - - -

Dr. Jones: But if I live in Bossier or in the suburbs, then I don't know that I have an opinion right now. Thank you so much.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson, where are we?

Mr. Thompson: Do you want me to continue with this process?

Councilwoman Bowman: Please continue with the process.

Mr. Thompson: Ordinance No. 88 of 2010 closes and removes the upgrade railroad crossings at Levy Street. This ordinance also rescinds the closure of the at grade crossing at Church Street and at Malcolm Street. If you are for the adoption of Ordinance No. 88 will you stand? If you are opposed to the adoption of Ordinance No. 88, will you stand?

Councilwoman Bowman: See Calvin, I didn't think - - -

Councilman Lester: Right, we shouldn't even done that.

Councilman Walford: I acknowledge that now. My mistake.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you.

Councilman Lester: Lets finish it up, and lets go.

Councilwoman Bowman: Next Art.

Mr. Thompson: Ordinance No. 89 of 2010, budgets the money for an underpass at Wyngate Blvd. That's basically what it does. If you are for the underpass, would you stand? If you are opposed to the underpass, would you stand? Thank you.

Councilman Walford: I guess you want the money spent on streets.

Mr. Thompson: There's an Amendment No. 2 which would take the money that would be used for the underpass and spend it on existing streets, concrete paving of existing streets. If you are for that, would you stand? If you are opposed to that, will you stand? Madam Chair, unless the Administration says otherwise, I don't think there's any reason to talk about Amendment No. 1 or 3, because that's just the funding for it.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay.

Mr. Thompson: And the other ordinance is Ordinance No. 84 of 2010, that's the one where you change the procedure so that in the future, citizens, everybody will be notified if there is a proposed closure. If you're for that, would you stand?

Councilman Shyne: Everybody will stand for that one. I'm going to stand for that.

Mr. Thompson: Thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you.

Councilman Walford: I'm sorry, I did the mathematics.

Councilman Webb: You ought to be.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson, at this time, I am declaring the public hearing closed.

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Adding Items to the Agenda (Clerk reads items into the record - public comments allowed on items proposed to be added, then items can be added only after unanimous vote [See Act 131 of 2008])

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 149 of 2010**: A Resolution Declaring the intention of the City of Shreveport (The "City") to proceed with the issuance of not to exceed Fifteen Million and No/100 (\$15,000,000) Dollars Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Utility Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Water Improvement Project), Series 2010C to be negotiated and placed with Capital One Public Financing, LLC or such other bank or purchaser for the purpose of financing the cost of the acquisition and construction of improvements, extensions and replacements to the drinking water portion of the city's combined revenue producing water and sewer utility system (The "System"), making application to the Louisiana State Bond Commission for approval of such bonds, paying the costs of issuance of the bonds and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chair, if you will recall yesterday, I told you that we had this in time to put this on the agenda, but it was inadvertently not put on the agenda and we can add it today, if Council chooses to do so after you determine if any person wants to speak for or against adding this to the agenda.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay at this time do we have anyone in the audience who would like to speak in favor or to speak in opposition of adding this to the agenda.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Webb to Resolution No. 149 of 2010 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes:

Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson, you know I see everybody has basically kinda cleared out, and I tried to and maybe I didn't say it right, but to convey to them that that these were coming up for a vote afterwards, and I hope that they understood that. Go ahead Mr. Thompson.

Mr. Thompson: Resolution No. 141 is a housekeeping matter also. Resolution 141 was introduced at the last meeting and it was advertised, and it was inadvertently left off the agenda, and we would ask that you would put it on under Resolutions on Second Reading.

Councilwoman Bowman: Do we have anyone here to speak in favor of adding this to the agenda or in opposition?

2. Resolution No. 141 of 2010: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute an agreement for the financing of vehicles and equipment, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(Introduced at the last meeting and to be added under Resolutions on second reading)*

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to add Resolution No. 141 of 2010 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: The next is also housekeeping. Resolution No. 141 that you just added to the agenda, when it was not put on the agenda, we put another resolution on as 141. We ask that it be put on as 141A. It's already on the agenda. *The Clerk read the following:*

3. Resolution No. 141A of 2010: Authorizing David C. Leeth Construction, Inc., located at 958 Rochel Dr., to connect to the Water & Sewer System of the City of Shreveport, otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: We ask that it be put it on the agenda as 141A.

Councilwoman Bowman: And if I vote 'NO' on that, then that kills it, right?

Mr. Thompson: That's correct, we will ask that you not vote 'NO'.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay. Do we have anyone here to speak in favor of adding this to the agenda? Anyone to speak in opposition. If not Councilman?

Motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Webb to add Resolution No. 141A of 2010 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: No. 4, yesterday you were informed by the City Attorney that the city would probably have to bid the placing of the turf at Independence Bowl Foundation. *The Clerk read the following:*

4.. **Resolution No. 150 of 2010**: A Resolution authorizing the mayor to execute documents as requested by the Independence Bowl Foundation, Inc., to evidence repayment of \$494,410.00 to the City of Shreveport; to provide for matters regarding use of the funds; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Webb or someone else may want to speak on this, but this is one of the step that you would have to take in order to finally bid this project out.

Councilwoman Bowman: Do we have anyone to speak in favor of adding this to the agenda? Do we have anyone to speak in opposition?

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to add Resolution No. 150 of 2010 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

The Clerk read the following:

5. **Ordinance No. 105 of 2010**: An Ordinance authorizing the city to convey title to a portion of city-owned property located in Section 6, Township 16 North, Range 14 West, Caddo Parish, Louisiana acquired from Parish Realty, L.L.C., pursuant to that certain “irrevocable donation of property to be used as public road”; authorizing assignment of the mineral lease affecting the said property; authorizing the mayor to execute all documents relative to the conveyance and the assignment; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: I believe Council Members have been notified that this was donated to the city for a road, part of it was not needed for a road, and under the terms of the original agreement, this will allow the city to give the property back to the company that donated it in the first place.

Councilwoman Bowman: One second. Is there anyone here to speak in favor of adding this to the agenda? Is there anyone here to speak in opposition?
Councilman?

Motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to add Ordinance No. 105 of 2010 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chair, those are all to be added, we are now under Public Comments.

Public Comments (*Comments on items to be adopted*)

Mr. Wellborn Jack, Jr.: (5647 S. Lakeshore) It's a pleasure to see y'all work this afternoon, and it makes me happy that I never chose to run for City Council for fear of success and being elected. Y'all are doing splendid work listening to all of this, and you're due all praise for it. I'm here specifically to address a situation in my neighborhood that I believe can be resolved to the satisfaction of everyone concerned. If an amendment is made to the proposed ordinance, or resolution I believe it's called, the matter which I'm sure you're familiar with, because it's been read three times, two times before is a lease for \$200 for 20 years of a parcel of property that's near and dear to many of us who love Cross Lake. It's the only piece of property from which the public can observe the lake from a high promontory. You can see the whole reach of the lake all the way over to E. Texas. Younger people went there in their earlier day to watch the submarine races and park there in that circle on Willow Ridge. Us older people go there to this day and we see them as they walk by holding hands and watching the sunset. When Shreveport is portrayed in national magazines quite frequently, the view that people often see is a view of a photograph taken in panorama from that observation point, which is the dedicated observation point that the city dedicated for purposes of the public observing the lake. A public observation point. The transfer, well it isn't a transfer of title, the lease of that lot at the end of Willow Ridge, the lot over which you look and see the lake whenever you stand there to observe the lake, the lease of that lot to Clyde Thurmon will in no way impair the right of the public to view the lake as long as he does nothing on the property that would allow that right of the public to view the lake from the City street. That's where the people look at the lake now, they go to the end of Willow Ridge, and there is a circle, a city street circle. They stop there briefly, get out of their car and look at the lake. This ordinance can be passed as written. And it will preserve the right of the public to see the lake if the following provision is added. And I don't have a ready copy because I just - - - it was just before the meeting started that I finally got to talk - - - I was able to speak with Curt Thurmon who will be a responsible overseer under the proposed lease of this 100' wide X 120' piece of property that fronts on the lake. He will be a good overseer for it, he has a great interest in it. He lives immediately adjacent to it. He is a responsible person. He is the former president of the Marina Bay of Willow Ridge Homeowners Association, which is right adjacent to it. My association is on the other side. He's a family man, he's a successful business man, he is financially solvent. He is able to come up with the money to put in a seawall. That's what he

wants to do on the piece of property so that the erosion of that land will be stopped and the erosion on the other sewalled areas on either side will not be accelerated the way it is now. He is prepared to spend about \$60,000 on that property, and we would be the beneficiaries of it. He would have the use of it for 20 years. But there is one thing that has to be done to guarantee the right of the public to view the lake, and that's put it in writing. And it could easily be done. I read this to him on the phone, and he said that's perfect. He says he's not going to do any of that anyway. And it will say "Lessee shall do nothing on or to the leased premises that will impair the right of the public to observe Cross Lake from the circle at the North end of Willow Ridge Blvd., nor shall lessee allow vegetation to grow on the leased premises (inaudible). And he said, I'm not going to build any wall, I'm not going to build any structure that would impair the right of view. I'm interested in the area further down. I'll trim any vegetation that blocks the view of any public person who comes up to the circle to look out across the lake. I think he would be a good and particularly after talking to him today, and while I was trying to locate him, I had to hunt for his phone number and I called a lot of people in effort to get his phone number. And in the course of calling people, I just got one splendid good report after another. Such that he's the sort of person I want to reach out and make a friend of mine. And more people I know like that, the better, and I think he'll do a splendid job for you and this language has to be in there to keep the right of the public to view the public place. And I have one copy of which I hand wrote out, as I spoke with him. I appreciate y'all listening to me. Thank you.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, I just wanted to than Mr. Jack. Anybody that would sit here that long to do this for the public good, I really appreciate you coming.

Councilman Lester: And Madam Chair, I was going to say, I had an opportunity to speak with Mr. Jack prior to this meeting, and share with him some of my concerns and we're going to look at that language as a starting point, and maybe even go so far as to actually get the plan that Mr. Thurmon wants to do and incorporate that language and some drawings and what have you in that. And so, as you know I've been postponing that because I just - - - I've had some unease, not that Mr. Thurmon isn't a good guy, but I want to make sure that when you do something like that you turn it over to an individual as opposed to a neighborhood group as we've spoken about that, that all of those assurances are there, and I really thank Mr. Jack for bringing that to the table. And certainly we will incorporate that and some other things, so I'm going to be asking for a postponement so that we can incorporate that language and a few other things. But I think we don't do that, I think that we would lose a jewel, because I know that a number of people go and like to walk and have their coffee and enjoy that site. And he's absolutely right, that is probably one of the most scenic points in the city. And proud to make sure that it remains open and viewable to the public. Thank you Madam Chair.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, I might also add while Mr. Jack said, that he never answered the call to run for elective office. I think it would be remiss of us if we did not point out however that his father in fact did, Wellborn Jack, Sr., who was in fact a former member of the Caddo Parish Commission and a former member of the Louisiana Legislature, the House of Representatives, if I'm remembering correctly. And also one of those foundational leaders of our city and who has done a great deal to help us build the Shreveport that we all love and enjoy today. So, thank you Wellborn, great to see ya, and appreciate your continuing to contribute.

Mr. Lucy Green: (3024 Gardonia) To our Mayor, who is my cousin, course he's taking a nap right now, and to all my city council, and to all who are assembled today. I'm just thankful to be here. But I've been here on several times, same old soup, just been warmed over. I'm here today to find out that I signed up for this property next door to me in 2004. I did what they told me to do, but they neglected me. I worked out there on that property for over 10 years. I played City Council, District A. I cleaned out the shores there, I did a marvelous job. I did all the dirty work, and they let me down. They gave it to a lady in the back when she did nothing. I worked out there over 10 years with a hoe, over 10 years with a hoe. I'm just only 38 years old. Take it back, I'm 83 years old. And on April the 2nd, that was the last time I was out there cutting grass, picking up all the filth and things. When the lady in the back, mowing the yard, I don't have a mower, I have a hoe. I didn't know she had in last year, 2009, tried to root under me, so when the man told me he was going to send me a letter in 2004, he didn't send it. I signed up for adjudicated. I won, I won it all. But I'm here today to see why my money didn't spend, and why somebody else got it and I did the job. And to my Mayor, I love you. To the City Council, Calvin Lester, I love you. I voted for you all, I got out there carried papers, I voted and I wonder why I'm here today. Why they gave the lot to somebody else. And I signed for it. I did the work and they got it. And I'm here today to ask why. On the 5th day of April, that's when I knew, I'm out there working, while she seen me working and they gave it to her. I did the beauty of it, and somebody else enjoyed. And I'm here today, and I want to know why. I was out there on April 2nd, working. Didn't know I had it. I was sick, but the Lord took care of me. He let me know who he was and who I am. And I'm here today to let you know that I'm a child of God, and I want to know what is the City Council going to do for me. Please tell me today. I had pneumonia in both sides. But I'm here today. I can run, I can walk and I can talk, so I'm asking what is the city council going to do for me. I did the work. Somebody else enjoys the beauty.

Councilman Lester: I wanted to let you finish. Let me tell you what we did. Last Council Meeting, I told you that we were working on an ordinance or a resolution to ask the Parish Commission to consider rescinding the sale. This Council voted unanimously to send that resolution to the Parish Commission. That's No. 1. We have talked to and at this stage, the ball is in the Parish Commission's court relative to seeing if they will go and rescind the sale, because I agree with you, that they should not have sold it when clearly you had done the work that our process

dictates. But what your situation brought to us was the Parish process and the City process are different and apparently the Parish process is faster and like we told you before, our folks dropped the ball. So as recently as yesterday, we had a meeting with I think it's the GIS Committee to talk about some of those issues and making sure that doesn't happen again, to try to get a process that the city and the parish do the same thing, so the left hand and the right hand know what's going on so we don't have that problem. The other thing I told you I was going to do was draft an ordinance that requires that if a person like you does, is going to do the work, rather than for you to do the work, then come down and certify that you have done the work, that you come down and certify ahead of time, I'm about to do this work for a year, so that the year starts running in your favor, so if someone else tries to do it while you're working on the year, there's a red flag that comes up and they say you can't do that. That's on the agenda today, to make sure that that does not happen again. So that's where we are to try to 1) To correct your situation, 2) To make sure that this doesn't happen to anybody else like you. So those are the two things that we are dealing with. As it stands right now, the ball is in the court of the Parish Commission. And I think what we need to do is sit down with our Parish Commissioners from the area as well as with the Parish Attorney and sit down and have some dialogue with him relative to seeing if they would work with us to kinda back the process up based upon the fact that you did in fact, and I think the records are clear that you maintained this property, we can document. I know you can say you've done it for 10 years, but we can document back until I think 2004, that you had been doing the maintenance on the lot. And the only thing that the \$1 requires is that you do it for one year, and you've done that much longer than that. And so that's kinda where we are. We're working our process, but right now, what we have to do is sit down with the Parish Commission, get that to help us back out of the process. But that's what we've done. And like I say, the last council meeting, the Council voted unanimously to send that resolution to the Parish Commission because I think everybody understands 1) That a disservice was done to you and that they want to make sure to the extent that we can, that we can make it right. And we don't always agree on a lot. In fact, I told somebody beyond the Pledge of Allegiance and saying the prayer, we very rarely get 7-0 votes.

Bell rings.

Councilman Lester: But this is one of those times when the Council did vote unanimously to support what you're trying to do, because I think we all agree because I think we all agree that we want to see you be made whole to the extent that we can. So I just wanted to let you know that's what we've done and that's what we're working on.

Ms. Green: Well I appreciate it, and I'm not mad with anybody, but I'm considering myself.

Councilman Walford: Like Mr. Lester says, I have worked with him, Ms. Glass, Mr. Strong. Both of us are trying to find a way that this never happens to anybody else. But I'm going to make a commitment, and this is going to go in the minutes and everything else. If we are ever successful in getting you that property, you need to have a party, I will bring the ribs. You invite the Mayor, we will celebrate. I will bring the ribs.

Ms. Green: I already done celebrated with God.

Councilman Walford: Well, we're going to celebrate that property if we can finally get it freed.

Ms. Green: But like I say, I would come down, I have to say it. I would come down when I didn't have an appointment. And I would talk to my Mayor, he was very nice. I didn't have an appointment, but he accepted me. When he wasn't there, he sent somebody. And my kids didn't know, but I had to come and sit down and talk with somebody to get a relief. I was sick, but I come, and I want to appreciate all of you for accepting me, but I still wanted an understanding the work I did and somebody else enjoyed.

Councilman Walford: Well everyone of us has had our hearts go out to you and we are trying.

Ms. Green: Thank you so much.

Councilwoman Green: Thank you again Ms. Green for coming. At this time, I have Tanya Booker. She's gone? Okay. Okay Mr. Thompson, that was all that we had to speak.

Confirmations and Appointments:

The Clerk read the following:

Architectural and Engineering Committee Linda Biernacki

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Lester to confirm the appointment of Linda Biernacki to the Architectural and Engineering Committee.

Councilwoman Bowman: Is she here today?

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, she is in the State of Utah for the Annual Fire Sprinkler Association annual meeting.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, I will say that I know Ms. Biernacki well, and she is extremely active in the community, and I think it's someone that we can depend on to attend and do what should be done.

Councilman Lester: I just know Ms. Biernacki, she's a very active member. She and her company are strong and ardent supporters of the Fire Department, and they sponsor the Fire Prevention Week I believe, and that's one of the many things that they do. I think she'd be an outstanding addition.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Mayor, if you will inform Ms. Biernacki that it was a unanimous vote of the Council.

The Clerk read the following:

Chief of Police, Shreveport Police Department Willie L. Shaw

Motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to confirm the appointment of Willie Shaw to the position of Chief of Police, Shreveport Police Department.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, we can't make it that easy. We've got to get the Chief.

Councilwoman Bowman: Oh, I'm going to call him up here, let just get this done.

Councilman Walford: He looked so comfortable over there.

Councilman Shyne: Madam Chairman, we were recognizing all those people from Woodlawn earlier, and I didn't call the Chief's name, but I'm going to let him tell about his connection with Woodlawn.

Chief Shaw: Madam Chair, Councilmen, Mayor. My connection with Woodlawn I guess is the question. I'm a graduate of 1980, State Championship, Basketball.

Councilman Shyne: There you go. And you got one of your big supporters sitting right back there. Go Woodlawn.

Councilwoman Bowman: Does that answer your question Councilman Shyne?

Councilman Shyne: It did, cause it was kinda lead in question.

Councilman Walford: Chief, some of the previous chiefs really helped us. Things happen 24 hours a day in your business. And sometimes before we know what's happening, certain members of the - - - well, they're all gone now, but those people who hold the microphones out, will catch us blindsided. So, I sent you my cell number and please I would like to hear from you 24 hours a day if something happens in my district that I should know about.

Chief Shaw: Councilman Walford, I believe I was a part of the Administration that started that particular process, so I will continue it.

Councilman Walford: Now the next one is really going to be a loaded question. Lets just say that the Executive Branch and I don't always agree. The Mayor seems to think that despite what the Legislative Branch might pass, he has the option of deciding what laws will be enforced. I want your commitment, that if there is an ordinance in our Code of Ordinances, that your department, your officers will enforce it.

Chief Shaw: Councilman Walford, I took an oath 20+ years ago to uphold the constitution, and the laws of this land. The constitution of this state, the laws of this state, the laws of this city. I have done that since that time, and I will continue to do that.

Councilman Walford: That's what I want to hear.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Madam Chair. I don't know what that was about. I'll just say this Chief. I appreciate the career that you have led and the path that you have traveled thus far. I think as a person growing up in the neighborhood and seeing people like yourself, I think it says a lot to people behind you and even to people behind myself and Pastor Jones that you can be dedicated to a career and serve well and achieve the highest heights of your profession. And the fact that you are homegrown, ties to the neighborhood, still visit folks, people still look up to you is something that is sorely needed in this community. And I just applaud the fact that you have always been the type of individual that opens himself up to the public, that this is - - - people may not agree with you, but you always stand, titanium rod in the back, firm, and say what you mean and mean what you say. And I think at the end of the day, that's all any of us can ask you to do. Continue to be the person that you've been even back in the day when you arrived at the PD and have that mentality to bring that type of leadership to the Police Department. We've had a great police chief move on to higher heights. He's going to be a tough act to follow, but I'm encouraged that we have someone of your caliber willing to take that task and continue the reforms necessary to make this department continue, to make this department better everyday. And so I applaud you and it does my heart proud to see you have obtained this level and Godspeed to you.

Chief Shaw: Thank you Councilman Lester, and I know I have big shoes to fill, but I wear a 15.

Councilman Webb: Yes, I am really happy that we're promoting someone from within. I've always felt that we had 2 or 3 people on this department that could do this job. I'm looking forward to the leadership that you're going to bring. I've asked a lot of officers about you and it's all been very, very good. And I hope they feel that you're going to bring the morale to the highest level that we've seen in a long time. And I think that in itself is going to make this department be a better department. And I appreciate - - - you've responded already with some requests that I have and I appreciate those, and he gave it back to me even in a written form, and I appreciate that. And I look forward to working with you and doing what I can to help our city be a safer city. Congratulations and I feel sure you're going to be confirmed.

Chief Shaw: Thank you Councilman Webb, and let me say this to you. That you have one thing that the other Council Members don't have, I actually live in your district.

Councilman Webb: I know, I heard about that. And also - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: I'm jealous.

Councilman Webb: I might add - - - (yeah I'll get a lot of special favors).

Bell rings.

Chief Shaw: If you've heard about me, I'm fair.

Councilman Webb: Yeah I understand. No I know I understand that's one of the comments that a lot of the people have said that you are fair as any person they've seen, and if you don't want to know the truth, don't ask the question. Cause you're going to tell it like it is whether they want to hear it or not. But you made a comment to me on the phone the other day when I was talking to you - - - Have you said anything to the Sheriff yet, about he told you one day that you'd be Chief of Police? So he saw something in you long time ago. Thank you.

Councilman Long: Are you sure you want to do this?

Chief Shaw: Yes. Let me say it faster for you. YES.

Councilman Long: Well I personally don't know you that well, and I haven't spent a lot of time with you, but by all accounts, professionals I've talked to, some of them currently in the force, some of them not on the force, so you come highly recommended, so I look forward to your service, and I look forward to working with you.

Councilman Shyne: You know I've been knowing the Chief for a long time, but I'm not really that old. But Willie, you've always done an excellent job, and I'm proud to see that you have moved up through the ranks, you know what the neighborhood is about, and you know I have not doubt you're going to do an excellent job. We've been seeing one another and talking for years. And I'm just glad that this day has arrived. So, I don't have to give you a whole lot of pat on the back and put a lot of cake in your hand.

Chief Shaw: I don't require you to do that.

Councilman Shyne: (Inaudible), so I just want you to continue to do what you've been doing all the time.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you Madam Chair. Chief Shaw, I just wanted to say congratulations to you, heard a lot of good things about you, I don't really know you well, like Councilman Long, but look forward to getting to know you, and appreciate all the service that you have already done for the city and I look forward to your leadership. Thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Did everyone have a chance to speak? I am truly just ecstatic that we do have a new Chief that will be confirmed shortly. But one thing that I certainly am proud of and that's the fact that you are community oriented. That means a lot when our citizens and everyday citizens who whether it's perceived or not, when they're dealing with the issues in the neighborhood where they live, at least they will be in a position where they can feel safe calling saying 'I have this concern', and knowing that someone will be out there that will not be afraid to walk through the neighborhood, not be afraid to shake their hand and let them know I'm here for you. So, it is going to certainly be an honor and a privilege for me to punch this 'YES' button for your confirmation. I think that you have proven yourself, and now that it's your turn. It's your season. And everything that's been said here today, that will be confirmed. At this time, Council Members.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair? Before you make it official, thank you Madam Chair. Chief Shaw I wanted to express my appreciation for you accepting the challenge. As I told you that there is a very high level of expectation that I and I know this Council and the citizens of Shreveport have with regard to the position that you will soon hold. I appreciate you accepting that challenge. I also Madam Chairman and members of the Council want to take this opportunity to publicly thank the other ten individuals that I had the opportunity to interview for this position. There were 14 in total who were eligible, 11 chose to be a part of that process. And as I did three years ago, as I shared with you, I learned something from each and every one of them. And they're an outstanding group of individuals, and for you to have made it to the top of that group is obviously a testament to your commitment, your dedication and the gifts that you've been blessed with. And so look forward to working with and certainly want to thank all of those other

individuals who stepped forward and gave me the benefits of being able to have them in consideration for this job as well. So thank you much, God bless and as former Chief Whitehorn said to you, I hope you got a good night sleep last Thursday.

Chief Shaw: He has been right so far.

Mayor Glover: It's the last good night's sleep you're going get for a very long time.

Chief Shaw: Let me publicly thank you for the opportunity. We have a great bunch of men and women who work at the Shreveport Police Department, and this to me is so exciting to be able to lead the men and women. It's so much that we can get done, and I'm dedicated to making those things happen, to taking us from a good department to a great department.

Councilwoman Bowman: I just got a text. Could he give Dominique some shoes? I'm going to assume Dominique wears 15.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, I wasn't going to mention it, but I didn't realize that an added benefit of selecting Chief would be that he and I could go shoe shopping together. I wear a size 15 as well. He might have some old ones I might be able to make use of.

Councilman Shyne: I was about to say Chief, if you and the Mayor got the same size, you got some boats on you, cause I'm still looking at the Mayor over here.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Chief Shaw: I see there's more than half of the command staff voluntarily here.

Councilwoman Bowman: Come up.

Chief Shaw: Remember, I just got voted in. I'm in charge.

Councilman Shyne: What a good looking staff too.

Chief Shaw: Not only am I excited about the opportunity, but with this group, part of who I am, and my makeup, and my training, and my character came through some of the training that each one of these individuals have given me over the years. I'm going to give you a quote and I'm going to sit down cause I'm short on words, but I'll give you this quote, and it's by Dr. King. "The ultimate measure of a man is not where he stands in moments of comfort, but where he stands at those times of challenge and controversy." You will not have to look for me, I'll be standing out front leading the way. Thank you very much for the opportunity.

Councilwoman Bowman: Next Mr. Thompson, Housing Bureau?

The Clerk read the following:

Housing Bureau Chief, Community Development Murphy Cheatham

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to confirm the appointment of Murphy Cheatham to the position of Housing Bureau Chief, Community Development.

Councilwoman Bowman: Is that you? Alright, would you like to stand?

Councilman Walford: You'll find that he's another tall one.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, I can see that.

Councilman Shyne: You don't wear 15 do you?

Mr. Cheatham: No sir. 14.

Councilman Shyne: Where all these big feet come from?

Mayor Glover: Yeah Joe, we got you.

Councilman Shyne: Y'all got me beat.

Councilwoman Bowman: Did you have something?

Mr. Cheatham: Thank you again for the opportunity. As I said before, this is a wonderful opportunity. I've met with many of you, many people in the city prior to this position becoming available. And I've already seen the opportunities here, and thank you to Ms. Bonnie Moore. She's been a real strong asset. Already got me hitting the ground running from my first day. I've already been training and we have quarterly plans and goals, and weekly plans and goals for (inaudible). Again, thank you, and I'm open to any questions.

Councilman Shyne: Once again, I'm glad to see we actually have a local person, cause I know he thinks he's from California, but when he traces his routes back to Bienville Parish, and good people do out of - - - very excellent people come out of Bienville Parish, I hope my wife is listening, cause she's from Bienville Parish. The best comes out of Bienville Parish and you happen to be one of the best. Appreciate it.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, you had mentioned and I certainly would support the idea of going ahead and addressing the item that so many people have waited so long for, so I would make a motion to suspend the rules.

Councilman Shyne: I'll second.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Thompson, help me that would be for 80?

Councilwoman Bowman: 84, 87, 88 and 89

Councilman Walford: Yes, 84, 87, 88 and 89

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to suspend the rules to consider Ordinance No(s). **84m 87, 88, and 89. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

The Clerk read the following:

3. **Ordinance No. 84 of 2010**: An ordinance repealing Ordinances No. 9, 50, and 83 of 2009 and Ordinance No. 47 of 2007, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on June 8, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: We've had an opportunity to hear a lot of speakers today, and I think I dropped the ball, and I'm not too big to say that I made a mistake to vote and the young lady who was here earlier, this is not necessarily - - - I'm apologizing, I'm just admitting to - - - I made a mistake. We all make mistakes. None of us are perfect. I never should have voted to close those railroad crossings without having a public hearing with the people in the district that was going to be affected. And this is not about voting against jobs. We all want jobs but you know there's been a group of people who always had the bare the blunt of being disadvantaged down through the years. I was elected by those people who are affected by the closing of the railroad crossing. And I have to remember that, and I was not necessarily elected by those people who made the mistake in the beginning not to put in the correct number of ways that you could get in and out of this park. Those people in Hyde Park didn't make that mistake. The other people did not make that mistake. So, why should they have to pay the price of being inconvenienced. And we talk about the economic liability of this area. It's not because of that industrial park out there, it's because of the (inaudible) industry that we have in this area. That's why this is such a good economic area. You know and I think we all know that you can't build no underpass on Wyngate for \$2.9 (million). We're not doing anything but

selling a bunch of hot air. And you know I do a lot of things, but I don't like to lie to people, I don't like to mislead 'em. If I mislead you, or if I tell you something that's not true, I want to do it out of a clear heart. Not because I know better. It's hard for you to even build a big ole house for \$2.9 (million). If there is going to be an underpass or overpass or whatever it is, lets put it out in the industrial park. Lets put it in a bond issue. Lets put it out in industrial park. Lets don't put these people that live in those neighborhoods to a disadvantage. Sure we need jobs coming in, now maybe I'm wrong, but I've always been taught that the only time that you can't un-ring the bell so to speak, is when the good Lord call you home. That's why they make erasers on pencils, and we used to say well you know it's in concrete now, and you can't change it. I see machines out now breaking up concrete. So you can't tell me that this decision is so important until we have to go through with it without taking a look at it. And let me say this, and I'm glad that so many people came down. Because in the beginning, it was said that well, what do you want? Do you want the Joe Shyne way or do you want it the other way? I didn't think I was that crazy. I've been to a few schools, I've lived a pretty good while and I knew how the people felt in that area who elected me. My obligation is to those people. To me politics is local. All politics is local. I know how the people feel on Levy Street. I don't want to see Levy Street closed. I think if we sit down and be creative, we can come up with a way where everybody is satisfied. I don't think we need to say that we've got jobs coming in here and they're coming in here on the backs of people who are dissatisfied, cause if I go in that area and I buy a home, hey that's all I got. That's all I got. You've got people over there who can't pick up and move. They bought their homes. Like somebody said, I moved my business out in that area because I expected so and so and so. Those people bought their homes out there because they didn't expect for Wyngate to be closed. It's kinda like the lady in the Bible who didn't have but a penny to give Dr. Jones. That mite was just as great in the eyesight of the Lord as the guy who was able to give a thousand. So, don't compare what I want in my neighborhood with what you want in your neighborhood, because you're spending more money. That's just not fair. And I'm sorry, I'm just not made up like that, and I want you to understand now, this is nothing personal, this is nothing personal at all. We want those jobs to come in, but don't hurry me to correct a mistake that was made in '97. If I had been constructing that industrial park, I would have known then. And I'm not really an engineer although I might practice it a little bit every now and then Mr. Mayor. But really I'm not. Don't - - - let's don't rush into doing something where we can come up with other ideas and it's a win/win situation for everybody. My heart goes out to those people that have to come across that track to go to school, to go to work, the ambulance service, the fire services. One minute sometimes in emergency care can mean the difference between life and death. And I know we got some funeral directors who might be listening to that saying well that's good, that's good. But sometimes, just one minute can mean the difference between your house burning down and you getting that little child out. I think we're at a point where we need to rethink this. I don't know how the votes are going to go down, but I just don't believe in you've rung the bell, so now you can't un-ring it. I just don't I don't

believe in that. I believe as long as you've got breath in your body, things can change. People can't tell me that I can't be successful, because then I'm going to work just as hard to be successful. You can't tell me we can't come up with an idea that would be a win/win situation. Let's put the underpass or the overpass in the industrial park. Then it's a win/win situation for everybody. We don't have to close down Levy Street, we don't have to close down Church Street, we don't have to close down Wyngate, we don't have to do all this closing down. Lets don't put ourselves in this kind of situation because somebody made a mistake back in 1997 when they were creating the park. That's when the mistake was made. Don't ask me to put a group of people at a disadvantage, because somebody else made a mistake. Please don't. I would ask my colleagues to please vote for No. 84. Let's stop this. Lets take a look at it. Lets all sit down at the table and see what we can come up with. The young lady said some of us got on a bus. We did. I'm not too big to admit that I made a mistake. And you don't have to rube it in my face, but if you do, that's alright I got a big face, I can take it. And I don't mind you rubbing it in my face. If that makes you feel good to kick me or to talk about me, or whatever. If it makes you feel good, God be with you. But I just do not think that we're doing the right thing. We've got people who have called me and told me look Joe, I bought my house over there. If I had known that Wyngate was going to be closed, I never would have bought my house over there. And they're exactly right. Can you give me part of my money back. Look, I don't have anything. I don't make no whole lot of money. I live in Mooretown. Some of the streets are bad, some of them are good. And I don't want us to take money that ought to be used to improve our streets because somebody is rushing us in saying hey we're going t lose these jobs. And Pastor, you were right. We don't know whether these jobs coming or not. But we do know that those people are over there in Hyde Park. We do know that we have a lot of streets that need to be fixed. We do know that we have some bad streets, we do know that we have some drainage problems that this money needs to be spent on. Sure anybody can get up and paint a picture where the world is coming to an end tomorrow. If you don't do such and such a thing, then such and such a thing is going to happen. You don't know. You can only speculate, and some of you all be guessing at it. Sure I made a mistake. I never should have voted to have those areas closed. Never should have. I think what we need to do and if you all would vote for No. 84, and this is not about bringing business in, this is not about we're going to have a (inaudible), if we don't do this, we're going to send out one of the worst messages we could ever send out to the outside world. The industrial park is not the reason why this area is in economic growth pattern. Haynesville Shale. Now I might not know everything, but I can read a little bit. So, lets don't paint this big booger bear that's going to come down the railroad track. All of a sudden, we're going to be the worst city in America if we don't do such and such thing. Doctors make mistakes, and I hope my good friend John Madison doesn't mind me saying this, but lawyers make mistakes. Dr. Jones, I hope you don't mind me saying this, preachers make mistakes. Mayors make mistakes. I guess. City Councilmen make mistakes, and I'll admit to the fact, we need to stop and lets take a look at this, cause I've gotten calls from homeowners over in Hyde Park, and I've gotten calls

from business people around (inaudible). Lets see if we spend the money to do what needs to be done at the industrial park, then everybody will go home happy. And I would ask my colleagues to please for a favorable vote. Let's stop this and take another look at and see if we can't come up with another way to handle this. Thank you.

Councilman Webb: I promise not to talk as long as Joe did. I agree with part of what Joe said. Maybe we did rush into this, I'll admit that, but what is done in my opinion is done. The whole simple fact of this is the fact that we have signed a contract. We have made an agreement in writing to close Wyngate. I think it would be wrong for us to undo that because that does not send a good message out to any company that's looking to come to Shreveport in the future because they're going to be saying you can't trust Shreveport, you can't even trust a signed contract and their agreement to do something. And we've got to build a relationship with companies that are wanting to come into this town, to believe that we're going to do what we say we're going to do. What we did was maybe a little premature, and we should have had a public hearing and I agree to that. And we had one on a couple of railroad crossings earlier, and we had nobody come down to that. Now when all this came up, it was kind of exciting to know that we were going to get 400 new jobs, possibly coming into Shreveport, and we've been trying for years and years and years to get Shreve Park open, because that in itself is going to create more jobs. I even mentioned, aw heck about two years ago I know about why don't we just go under Shreve Park, but they said it would cost too much money. Well it'll cost a whole lot more money to go under Wyngate than it would Shreve Park, and the money is not even there to do it. Maybe down the road, I hope maybe we can find a way to possibly go under it or find another alternative plan. But the bottom line in my opinion is that we need to stick with what we said we were going to do. It may not be a good contract, but it's a contract. You've got to live with your mistake, whether you agree it's good or whether it's bad. As far as closing down Levy Street, I'm not in favor of that, I don't think it would be fair to lock out other business just to favor something else. And those businesses that have been over there a long time, we should be looking at helping them to stay open and helping them to expand and not put them in harms way by changing their whole way of daily routine. So my vote is going to be 'NO' on repealing this, and when we get down to closing Levy Street, my vote will be 'NO', and I think we need to - - - I agree with Ordinance No. 87 to change the process the way that we do this so that this mistake doesn't happen again, and we just need to move forward with this. I know it's going to upset a lot of people that this crossing is going to close if it passes for us to continue with what we've agreed to do. But I don't think we should go back to Square 1 and undo what we did. I just don't think it sends a message out there that I think in the future will hurt us more than what it would if we go forward. Thank you Madam Chair.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, I'd asked to speak, but Mr. Webb especially his final comments there really said what I wanted to say. We can't undo this. And

the perception that will come out of a repeal will be like a black mark on Shreveport. Who would ever depend on us in the future if they think that we might wait two years and go back on what we've done. We're (inaudible), but I'm going to have to vote 'NO'. Thank you.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you Madam Chair. First I want to start by saying I speak for my own action, but I do think it was important at the time to have a public hearing. The fact that we did not do that, as the Mayor stated was a collective decision, was a mistake and we should have done that and obviously we've now afforded an opportunity to the residents and the business owners that would be affected by our decision. I too will vote no on the ordinance, because I think it's important that we may have made a mistake by not allowing a public hearing at the time, I think the greater good would be to uphold our commitment, uphold the contract and allow economic development to occur in Shreveport. People say well you know that's easy for you to say because you've never been affected by that, and that's not true. I grew up in Southern Hills, and I grew up at 3049 Hoyte Drive which is the second house to the corner from Hoyte and Walker Road. And when I was growing up Walker Road was a two-lane highway or a two-lane road. Now it's a five-lane, might be a highway with what's growing up, because the traffic increased obviously because it was a nicer road, big road, gave more access to people, and all of a sudden my street became a cut through street, but more so than ever between Walker Road and Mansfield Road. But my family, my parents, you know we've talked about it, and obviously the city thought that was the right thing to do at that time, because it was in the spirit of progress and a lot more development. Now we did see more development. We saw two large apartment complexes come within a quarter of a mile of the corner of my street. I could walk there and be there in two minutes. This other development take place, it's still in development, but it's actually go on for the last in the last few years out that way. But that was in the spirit of progress. You see at that time, that was important. We as a council, made this decision, thought that was important to make the decision that we made in the spirit of progress. I think it would send a bad message to the business world that is trying to come to Shreveport for all the different economic development groups that we are connected with, that we have relationships with, that we actually help fund, which are wanting businesses to come here to Shreveport, it's going to send the wrong message. It's going to send a poor message, and those that will hear about Shreveport will turn away. And I think there's a bigger affect to this, not just the companies that want to come here and I'll speak about Levy Street in a second. Those companies that we're trying to get to come here, and we all know this for a fact and know one thing, not to say that it's true, but we all know that when they come here, that not every single job they bring or employ someone comes out of Shreveport. But they will move here and come here in the upper level positions. However, there are many companies that come here who do employ people right here in Shreveport. And if we don't allow this progress to take place, then we're not just hurting the companies that want to come, we're hurting our own people. Because (inaudible) said we want our economy to

grow, we want things to grow and more jobs to come, you know we want to see that happen, and we want to see our tax base increase so we can do more things. So we can fix more streets, so we can do other capital projects. So, it's a big huge cycle of the economy that we need to have here in Shreveport. So, I think it's important that we 1) Honor our commitment that we've already made. That's already been established that we've heard today that companies are coming, that real estate is being sold because of a decision we've already made. And it would be a very bad decision to change at this point. I also want to speak on Levy Street. I think it's a horrible decision to close the railroad crossings at Levy Street. Because what are you saying to those companies that have been here for years upon years, some of them decades. What are you going to say to them? Because they too employ people. I know someone made a comment earlier, but the fact remains that 40-45 different companies rather directly or indirectly do business with those companies that are actually located on those streets. And that affects over 350 jobs. Now, so there's a balance here. If we want to open up Shreveport more to allow more development, and yet we want to close down folks or make it more difficult and hurt their business who've been there for years. And that's not a very balanced decision. I think we can accomplish both by sticking with the original plan that we've already voted for. And I think at this time, I'm going to hold off. I want to make another comment down under No. 89. Thank you Madam Chair.

Councilman Shyne: I've said my peace. Although I'm wounded, but - - -

Bell rings.

Councilman Shyne: But I'll bare the blunt of it.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, I'll save my comments as well for No(s). 88 and 89 in terms of anything in detail, because I recognize the symbolic nature of this particular ordinance and the vote that's about to take place here, but I do want to say that there are several statements that have been made, that if given an opportunity will look to as we continue. Thank you Madam Chair.

Motion failed by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Bowman. 6. Ayes: Councilman Shyne. 1.

4. **Ordinance No. 87 of 2010**: An ordinance to amend Chapter 78 of the Code of Ordinances to add Article XI relative to railroads and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on June 8, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.

Councilman Walford: In the way of discussion, we have talked enough about this. It's the right thing to do and to put into our procedures.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

5. **Ordinance No. 88 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing the City to close the two at-grade railroad crossings of the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) at Levy Street between Linwood Avenue and Texas Avenue; to rescind the closure of the at-grade crossing at Church Street between Flournoy Lucas Road and Martin Street authorized by Ordinance Number 47 of 2007; to rescind that portion of Ordinance Number 9 of 2009 authorizing the closure of the at-grade crossing at Malcolm Street between Corbitt Street and Midway Avenue; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on June 8, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson, you want to explain this one more time so everyone will know what will take place?

Mr. Thompson: This ordinance closes and removes the two at grade railroad crossings at Levy Street. This ordinance also rescinds the closure of the at grade crossing at Church Street between Flournoy-Lucas Road and Martin Street, which it was authorized by prior ordinance, and it rescinds that portion of Ordinance No. 9 of 2009, which authorized the closure of the at grade crossings at Malcolm Street between Midway Avenue.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Madam Chair, I appreciate the opportunity to speak and to the point as I possibly can. Very rarely in politics or in life, you get to have a proverbial win/win situation. I look at item 88 as a win/win. The people who live in these affected areas made it quite clear that they did not want the crossings closed in their neighborhoods. I think we agree that whether our motivation was negative or positive, I think the human outcry from the neighborhood has led us to re-examine this. And I thank Councilman Shyne for his leadership in starting that process. I voted against 84 because I think it goes far enough. I think 88 actually accomplishes this. And in passing 88, the folks in the neighborhood will get their access to the neighborhood. Those closings won't happen. You know politics is about winners, losers and the allocation of the scarce resources and demands. And in this particular case, the neighborhood will have access and the jobs that we talked about that we supported as it relates to Schlumberger, that will take affect as well. So, virtually and passing 88 you have - - - I know there was some conversations with people standing and saying whose in favor of keeping my neighborhood. A lot of people stood up. Well guess what? With 88, those folks win. There were people who stood

up and said, I don't want to go back. I don't want to rescind this deal. I want to keep the jobs. Well guess what, if you vote for 88, those folks win. The question becomes if you can have both sides of the equation as successful, how do you deal with that? You deal with that by voting for 88 and subsequently voting for 89. I've heard some people say, I don't think we should spend the money on streets when the condition of our streets are bad. That's true, our streets are bad, but lets be honest. You're talking about the amount of money that's spread seven ways. You're talking about \$2,000,000, \$2.5 (million) dollars spread seven ways is what \$350,000? Concrete panels cost about \$3,000 a piece. You're talking about 3,000 divided by \$350,000 you're talking about less than 100, a little bit more than 100 concrete panels. You can't do a half block with 100 concrete panels. The question is if you want to take care of the people in the neighborhoods as well as the business interest and do it in a positive way, you can invest in the neighborhood. And I see this as an investment, keeping covenant with the arrangement that we made with business, keeping covenant with those things that the neighborhood wants, and the price tag is spending money and investing in the neighborhood. And I certainly believe the people that are affected in this neighborhood are worth an investment of \$3,000,000 or more. Because I have been up here a while, not as long as others, but I've seen us invest serious infrastructure money to develop other neighborhoods, to make sure that they have what they have. And I don't think it's asking very much for this Council to invest taxpayer money on these citizens to serve both of these very worthwhile interest and so that's why I am supporting measure 88. Thank you Madam Chair.

Councilman Walford: Lets bring this down to the lowest common denominator as they call it. What this says is we did something. Now it's election year, and there is some pressure. So, lets' take an industrial park that's been there for years, and lets close their street. Let's don't give them two accesses so that we can those two to somebody else, because a business might come. These folks have been there for years, paying taxes, and you're going to close them. That's unconscionable to even think about. But how can you justify saying we have got to have two entries to this industrial park. Therefore we're going to take it away from this one and give it to this one. Please vote 'NO'. I don't need to say anymore about that, that is too ridiculous.

Councilman Wooley: I just want to say and really reiterate, I guess kinda tie into Councilman Walford. Once again, there are companies here that are affected by Levy Street. They are there and have been there for years, and paid in millions of dollars in taxes as a company. Once again there are companies that do business with these companies, indirectly or directly. 350 jobs. We could lose those jobs of people who live here, work here, live here in Shreveport. It's a domino affect. To do this is truly a slap in the face for those companies who've been there and who've invested their lives and their families lives. One company I think has been there three generations and has served this community well. And served several businesses

throughout the community that affect other people's lives and their livelihoods. This is an absolute slap in the face. Thank you Madam Chair.

Councilman Shyne: And we're talking about sending a message, you sent a message that you can have a business and work hard at it, and then all of a sudden, we go in and make it an inconvenience for you. Now, that's a bad message that you don't want to send. So, I'm totally against closing the railroad crossing at Levy Street. I don't think it's good business.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Madam Chair. You know this is the one vote out of all of the ones here besides 87 and I want to thank the council for voting it affirmatively as well. That was an Administration ordinance that we believe hopefully will allow this situation to be avoided in the future. So, I want to thank you all for passing it. I also want to point out something that happened earlier that convinced me even more so Mr. Walford, that this is the right thing to do. Because I think every now and then and I'm not a suspicious person by any stretch, but I think every now and then something happens that causes you to stop and pause and pay attention to what exactly happens to be going on at the given moment, and it just so happened, and I don't know that there's been an occasion prior to today, but this happens to a matter and I don't happen to see him, but he was here earlier speaking in his usual inimitable fashion, but this just happens to be something that me and Craig Lee happen to agree on. And that's about as rare as anything that I could ever imagine. And apparently we seem to agree for many of the same reasons. Mr. Walford, no this isn't about election year. This is about trying to do that which is right. Trying to do that which is proper. Which this council did not do from the beginning. If we had been focused on doing that, then we would not have allowed you and your aggressive and vigorous attempts to represent your district, which you are obviously doing very well, would not have allowed Levy Street to not be a part of the continued dialogue about this overall matter so early. But because of your posture and because of your position, as the Administration and as the Council was taken off the table very early in the process. What became apparent was that under your Chairmanship, this Council in fact held a public hearing to discuss some of these crossings that were in consideration. That did not happen, and Mr. Wooley, it was not without or with the support of the Administration, the fact sheet that was submitted to Council under Mr. Webb's chairmanship had on that particular fact sheet that comes with every ordinance and resolution that comes from the Administration, other action required. Mr. Webb, it said Public Hearing. That's what it said. That did not happen. Did not take place. And so you have a complete community of individuals who have not had the opportunity who have not had the opportunity prior to these votes being taken to do what it is that they did here today. From my perspective, I consider that fruit of the poison vine. And that you cannot proceed any further until you stop, step back and accept as Whoopi Goldberg said in the Color Purple, 'Do right by them.'

Councilwoman Bowman: She said 'Until you do right by me.' Until you do right by me.

Mayor Glover: Until you do right.

Councilman Shyne: I heard you say that Joyce.

Mayor Glover: And Madam Chair, we didn't do right.

Councilwoman Bowman: I've acknowledged that Mr. Mayor from the get go.

Mayor Glover: And part of what I hope we all would have tried to do was to figure out a way to do right by them. And Ordinance No. 88 and Ordinance 89 gives up the opportunity to be able to do that. Now is it perfect? Without question it's not. The folks on Levy Street certainly have a legitimate concern, and legitimate gripe. I acknowledge that, but if I've got to weigh that against blocking in the folks on Malcolm Street and blocking in the folks on Church Street, and not having any access whatsoever along Wyngate for the folks in Hyde Park, I say we need to stop and step back. Ms. Wade was correct.

Bell rings

Mayor Glover: We with the exception

Councilwoman Bowman: You're moving in the wrong direction Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: She was correct in this regard Madam Chair, and please hear me out. She was correct in that we all got on that bus.

Councilwoman Bowman: I didn't ride the bus.

Mayor Glover: The affected Council Members

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you.

Mayor Glover: Got on that bus with the exception of Mr. Wooley. And we all went out and we went point by point, location by location, crossing by crossing and we assessed the situation, and we sat down out at the Louisiana Technology Transfer Center, and we sat with economic development partners that we all have in this city, and we determined that we could have consensus based on what we'd seen at that point. The people who were never in the room who were here earlier today. The people who were never had a chance to have a voice in that process were the people who were out there in that hot crowded auditorium rather at Woodlawn High School. Now, as Mayor I try to be as balanced and as even handed and as broad based as I

can, because I recognize that not only as Mayor, as a State Representative, as a City Council Member, I'm trying to do that which I believe to be in the best interest

Bell rings

Mayor Glover: Of the entirety of the folks that I represent. But I also know as I hear Councilman Shyne reference today, what's it like to come from a community of people who don't always have the opportunity to have the powers that be on your side. And to not have the ability to be able to get your councilman into the room. And to be able to have a dialogue and a give and take. So I think it's important that when those situations and circumstances occur, that we try and figure out how we can come up with a compromise solution. Councilman Shyne offered a complete reversal. You all have spoken on that. Rather than just offering a complete reversal, what we're seeking to do here is to try and figure out how it is that we manage to keep faith with all of those folks that we have a responsibility of keeping faith with. We have the responsibility of keeping faith with the folks at Schlumberger and Union Pacific. But we also have the responsibility of keeping faith with the people of Hyde Park, and of Reesor, and Malcolm/Vivian Street. Their interests, their concerns are just as important if not more so. And it's also been stated, there's been example after example of this government and others, Parish, State have expended dollars to facilitate the interests of economic development. The dollars that would be expended in this situation would be to accomplish just that. Part of what we accomplish in helping to get this process to this point, has brought us nation and international recognition. Two press releases have went out this morning, citing Shreveport for the accomplishments that we've made in conjunction with our economic development partners. Part of what went into that equation was this particular set of circumstances. We have the opportunity to be able to match up all of that if we're willing to act affirmatively on Ordinance 88. But now, the one thing I learned a long time ago, is I can count votes, and I know that those votes are not there. I wish that they were. I hoped that they were. But I do know that I'm going to stand up and try and do what I believe to be not just in the interest, the best interest of a few people who live in Shreveport. But I'm going to stand up everyday and do my darndest to try and do what's in the best interest of the most people in Shreveport. And Ordinance 88 and Ordinance 89 is in the best interest of the highest number, the greatest number of the people who live inside the city limits of Shreveport. It opens Malcolm Street back up, it opens Church Street back up, it keeps Wyngate complete accessible, it even makes it better because at this point, you won't every have a situation where there could be a train that could be a train that would be blocking the road. It allows us to be able to continue to keep faith with our economic development partners. And sadly enough it does in fact close down a street that right now is primarily used as a shortcut. And that was the one thing that makes it less than a perfect solution. But (inaudible) is less than perfect, and I would certainly open and would certainly be willing. Mr. Shyne said we've got some big brains around this room. Lets see how we figure this out so that we don't end up closing off the folks on Malcolm Street, that we don't end up closing

off the folks on Vivian Street, and we don't end up closing off the folks along Wyngate. I believe we can do it. This was my idea. And I've asked for and I'm certainly open to others. With regard to spending the dollars Madam Chair, and I'll wrap up here, with regard to spending the dollars. Councilman Lester said it very well. Dollars are dollars no matter what, and we can use every one of them, but it was not a year ago that I sat before this Council and asked that we put ourselves in a position to go out for a bond issue to be able to have some real substantial substantive pool of dollars that we need to go about addressing the infrastructural challenges and issues that we face across this city. To repair roads, to repair drainage, and to upgrade our physical buildings. That was my sentiment as an administration, the council had thoughts otherwise. That's part of the process. I accept that. But had we done that, we'd now be out not just fixing a few stretches and pieces of road, we'd actually have the opportunity to be able to go out and repair whole swats of Shreveport. I certainly hope that the process that we're in the midst of with our citizens committee will give us that opportunity in the not too distance future. Thank you Madam Chair, thank you members of the Council.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Mayor, if my memory serves me correct, there was a public hearing for Church Street.

Councilman Walford: Yes.

Councilman Webb: And no one came in opposition of closing Church Street. No one. So to ask to rescind something that they were given all the correct channels and the procedures that we do, I can't live with that alone.

Mayor Glover: Where was theirs?

Councilman Webb: I don't know where theirs was.

Mayor Glover: For Malcolm and Wyngate.

Councilman Webb: I'm talking about Church Street. And you have that included to rescind it. And there was a public hearing for Church Street. That's another reason why I'll be voting 'NO'.

Mayor Glover: There are 107 cars that cross Church Street.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, Point of Order. Debate is Mr. Webb, not rebuttal from the Administration.

Motion failed by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 5. Ayes: Councilmen Lester, and Bowman. 2.

6. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Capital Improvements Budget creating and funding a new capital project entitled Wyngate Boulevard and Levy Street Improvements and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Walford, D/Wooley, E/Webb, and F/Shyne) (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Mr. Thompson: Three amendments have been submitted to our office. Amendment No. 1 I understand from the Administration will be withdrawn. It leaves Amendment No. 2 by Mr. Walford and No. 3 by the Administration. We are of the opinion that these two amendments are inconsistent, so perhaps only one of them should be adopted.

Having passed first reading on June 8, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley .

The Clerk read the following:

AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE 89 OF 2010

Amendment Number 2 by Mr. Walford and Mr. Webb, to Ordinance Number 124 of 2009, an Ordinance amending the 2010 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

Delete: “~~In Program C (Streets):~~

~~Create and fund a new project entitled Wyngate Boulevard and Levy Street Improvements and fund said project in the amount of \$3,100,000 from the unobligated bond funds 1999 GOB prop 4.”~~

Insert: “In Program C (Streets):

Increase the Appropriation for **Concrete Street Improvements (09C001)** by \$4,200,000. Funding source is unobligated bond funds 1999 GOB prop 4.

Explanation of Amendment:

This amendment eliminates funds (\$3,100,000) to construct an underpass on Wyngate Boulevard and improve the access to Levy Street off Texas. It increases funds (\$4,200,000) to repair and construct street concrete panels throughout the City of Shreveport.

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 89 of 2010.

Councilman Walford: And before my debate, I'll allow Mr. Lester's question.

Councilman Lester: My question is to Mr. Thompson and to Ms. Glass. In so far as this item was amending the Capital Improvement Budget to create a project that was just defeated, does that now mean that 89 itself is moot?

Mr. Thompson: No.

Councilman Walford: Thank you Madam Chair, and I have to pass some credit. Councilman Webb shares sponsorship on this and it actually goes back farther than any of this came up. We started looking at this money when our paving books came out that each of us got. And we had Sharon checking balances and for me to have this money this long to be used for concrete panel replacement and not to have used it. Sharon, could I talk you into - - - these are not real good photos, but this is just an idea of some of the streets in my district. This is concrete streets and unfortunately you can't see the depth of the big hole here, and there's another one that's the same way. And this is all over my district, and I have the least amount of concrete than I think any of you. Michael you and Councilman Wooley have got the most and it's in terrible condition, and yet when the Administration's budget came out in 2009, they proposed \$36,500 for my district for concrete panel replacement. And in 2010, \$36,000. That replaced nine panels. So my amendment takes \$2,000,000 that the voters voted for streets, and I don't care if it's interest money or what it is, it's part of the streets money that the voters said to use on streets in the '99 bond issue. And I'm asking you to please approve that for streets, let's begin making some repairs on some of the worst, and I know each of you have some of the worst, because you pointed them out to me. Thank you Mr. Chairman. I didn't call you Madam Chairman like I did yesterday.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you Councilman Walford. Councilman Webb?

Councilman Webb: I've got over \$3.3 (million) worth of concrete panels in my district alone that need to be replaced. I'll gladly take a hundred panels. At least it's a start. But what concerns me more than anything is the mere fact is that forever I was under the impression, if I recall back during the budget, didn't we have \$4.2 (million) sitting left over in this bond money?

Mr. Thompson: Well in this proposition, we thought that we had \$4.9 (million).

Councilman Webb: Okay, well then what surprises me is that it's just strange to me that we had all this money, and then when we prepare this ordinance to do concrete panels with it, and put it where it should have been spent a long time ago, all of a sudden, \$2,000,000 of disappeared. And that bothers me. All of a sudden, they - - - and when I say they, somebody has come up with all these different GIS map developments, ordinances, \$160,000, \$150,000, \$400,000 for concrete improvements by Ordinance 124 of 2009, \$27,000 for GIS maps developments,

budget amendment Ordinance No. 166 of 2009. So are we that sloppy at bookkeeping that it takes us a year to figure out that we don't have \$2,000,000 that we said we had earlier in the year? That's what frustrates me. I mean it's just you know, it's just like drawing the lines the way they want the money to be when they want it to be, and if it ain't going their way, the money all of a sudden changes. I'm sick of it. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Wooley: Any comments from any other Council Members?

Councilman Lester: Thank you Mr. Chair, or Vice-Chair. I would surmise this Mr. Walford. You mentioned all this money. We have two meetings a month, and the budget was passed in December. So then you've got January, February, March, April, May, June. Today it's July. That's what 7 x 2? That's 14, so there were 14 opportunities since the budget to do a budget ordinance, that any one of us could have done. Our primary responsibility as Council Members is to pass a budget. Councilman Webb, you mentioned your frustration, and you said something about sloppy bookkeeping, but as I appreciate it, each one of those things that you enumerated that drew down the funds were things that were brought by the Council, and our staff does I think an outstanding job by ordinance and by action of showing where those funds come from. But it wasn't an issue, because we voted for it, because those were things that we needed. I don't see the record saying that anyone of us did not vote for those particular budget items, if there is some evidence that says these budget items were secretly passed and we didn't participate on them then that's something different. Certainly I would say and I would go back. Hey, if you want to spend the money, then lets deal with that, but I think to say lets spend the money for streets, leaves an inference that the money wasn't spent for streets, and if someone can show me where the money was not properly spent for streets, then that would be grits for conversation. But as I appreciate it, each and every one of those things, even the things that you enumerated Councilman Webb, were street capital projects. So to say that we - - - lets spend the money on streets, that's what we did. We spent the money on streets. Now, one of the things that as I appreciate it that we have had much conversation about it as a council is what can we do with un-appropriated bond funds. In fact, I think you were chairman when we had a number of meetings and went back to the idea of talking to Mr. Grant Schlueter about how much can we use and before we cut any of this money apart, lets find out what the sources are and what we can do. You know I understand, look people do what they want to do, but lets not give the inference that we are not or have not used the money properly, wisely or legally. Because that's not the case, and to do otherwise just isn't fair. Now, I was of the mindset of investing these funds to do the street project as it relates to the closings in these neighborhoods. And I stand by that that's something that we should have done. Because again, we talk about why did we do it, we all showed up for the Schlumberger ground cutting and everybody was all excited about that, but the question becomes in my mind are the people of these neighborhoods worth investing in? I say that they are and I have seen and the budget book is replete with instances where we spent money to make things better

for certain neighborhoods. So, my vote was to be supportive of that, but I'm just a little concerned that we put this inference out there as if we were not spending the money of if somebody was pulling the wool over our eyes when all these measures came to us, and we voted on them, now we might have forgotten what we voted on, or we might not have read what we voted on. Someone said you know (inaudible), then say that, but for you to say that we spent the money improvidently and you voted on it, I mean were you here? Were you paying attention? I don't understand. Thank you Madam Chair.

Councilman Wooley: I think it's a real simple decision to make. When the taxpayer were lobbied for the support to draw a bond issue, it was their understanding at that time that the money was to be used for street repair. To me it doesn't matter, or it does matter, but since it doesn't matter. We didn't use it all at this point. So, what are we going to do? Not use it? For the right reason? Of course we're going to use it for the right reason, and now we're taking the action to do exactly what they voted on back in 1999 with this money honoring the taxpayer's decision. What they wanted to see happen. And I do respect Councilman Lester's comment earlier, but not this particular comment, but earlier what he said that whole streets cannot be replaced with the money being apportioned for each district. However some streets don't need a total renovation, they just need a piece. A piece here, a piece there. You know I can think of one place on Kings Hwy, I think it's in Councilman Long's district. You know it sticks out of the ground, you know the panel, probably a good 5-6". But for the next mile and a half, it's a great road. But that one little piece in that stretch can do some serious damage. It's all about honoring our word. We've all admitted today that we made a mistake. We did the best we could today to fix it. (Inaudible) not to make another mistake, it's just told people we were going to do. Thank you Madam Chair.

Councilman Walford: One last comment. I'm not suggesting that anything wrong was done. There's \$2,000,000 available. I was not aware of this money until March or whenever we began getting the paving books. Mr. Webb and I talked about it with Sharon at that time, and I would ask you, lets approve the \$2,000,000 which leaves some in the fund. I believe it leaves about \$290,000 or \$260,000 for any emergencies, and lets take care of some of the worst like what Mr. Wooley just described and lets put the money on the streets. And Mr. Lester is correct, we can't do everything. But if you add up everything right now that's in the paving book right now that's needed in concrete for the whole city is \$91.4 (million). I don't think we could even do that, even with a bond issue. But you've got to start somewhere, and it's an opportunity to take care of some of the worst that we get the complaints. So, I would ask you for a 'YES' vote.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Madam Chair. I'll just wrap up with just two bits of information just to make sure they're in the record. Because I know that there were several speakers who spoke about me with some council members about the solutions to this situation being an underpass or an overpass out at Shreve Park. I

want to make sure that everybody is aware that the dollar figure for that is \$8,000,000 to have an overpass at Shreve Park. And also with those who I guess doubt whether or not an underpass could be built for \$2.7 (million), that's a decision that was reached not only by City of Shreveport staff, it was also reached by external engineers and also corroborated by the engineers for Union Pacific who initially thought it would end up being a cost that would be substantially more than that. And the first question that I asked of those individuals here inside Government Plaza, was whether or not we could do this in a way that would 1) Not end up being another version of the problem that we had at Hearne Avenue, and that was immediately answered that, yes we would be able to affectively design and avoid all of those issues in terms of what happens when we end up with a heavy rain, and 2) That we end up doing it in a way that would be aesthetically pleasing to the folks in Hyde Park.

Councilman Shyne: Madam Chairman, I just want to make one statement and then we can vote. Mr. Mayor, I love you but I don't believe any of that.

Mayor Glover: Well Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Let me finish, and then you can come back. I've got some good friends who are engineers, and if you can build an underpass at Wyngate for what is \$2.9 (million) or \$2.1 (million)?

Mayor Glover: \$2.7 (million).

Councilman Shyne: \$2.7 (million), I've got some real estate I want to sell them on the moon. That's why we're in this situation right now. Is Mr. Lee in here? I think Mr. Lee said it well. The engineer who designed that park made a mistake. We need to go back or they need to go back and get some of their money back. See the whole thing is we'll start and then we've got to come up with a budget amendment. And I've been here a long time, and I've seen that happen. We'll start a project, the next thing we come back with a budget amendment, and we've got to have another half million, another million dollars because prices have gone up, the weather delayed the project. You know you can come up with a whole bunch of excuses. So, you know I don't want to sit here and sell those people in Hyde Park pie in the sky, knowing that it's not going to happen. Now those of you who want to buy that idea, buy it. I just happen to be one of those, I'm not going to buy it. I'm not going to buy you can build an underpass, and I've had some engineers to tell me that you can't do it for that kind of money, because you're going to run into problems that you don't even foresee. A gentleman came up earlier about the pipeline that's under there. Got a whole bunch of problems. Madam Chairman I'm through, we can vote on this and move on.

Councilwoman Bowman: Before we go any further. Terri, can you come forward for just a minute because there's a little lady that needs a better explanation or

understanding as it relates to the contract that the city, and I think she said that it wasn't binding. Can you basically explain that contract and let's get it on the record.

Ms. Scott: The contract between Union Pacific?

Councilwoman Bowman: And the city.

Ms. Scott: And the city basically says that the contract is predicated on the city's closing those particular railroad crossings, I believe it actually lists them in particular by reference to the particular name of the track. Whereas we refer to them as Levy Street, and Wyngate, the actual contract refers to them by the appropriate railroad name, but they are the same crossings that are referenced in the agreement.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, because you know she was reading something she had, and apparently that was her understanding. So I just wanted it clarified.

Ms. Scott: And we'll be more than happy to speak with her if you like and actually show her the reference at the very beginning of that agreement that references those crossings by name and the fact that they are to be closed as a part of the agreement with Union Pacific.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, thank you. Before we vote, State Representative Barbara Norton, welcome to this Council Meeting. Good to have you here. Okay any other discussion? Let's vote.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: Councilman Lester. 1.

Councilman Walford: I've got a question on how we proceed now.

Councilman Webb: Don't we have to vote on Ordinance as amended?

Councilwoman Bowman: He's going to - - -

Councilman Walford: No.

Mr. Thompson: I think that No. 3 is inconsistent. There's not enough money to do the project, so it's up to the council as to whether or not they'd consider it.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, we'll save the Council the trouble, we'll withdraw it.

Councilman Walford: Okay, do we need to vote on that or?

Mr. Thompson: No.

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Ordinance No. 89 of 2010 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION

TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

RESOLUTIONS: None.

ORDINANCES: None.

TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES

RESOLUTIONS:

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 141A of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC., LOCATED AT 958 ROCHEL DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 958 Rochel Dr., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.

Councilman Wooley: Madam Chairman, this one is in Twelve Oaks.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Lester and Walford. 2.

RESOLUTION NO. 142 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC, LOCATED AT 9581 MAZANT LANE, TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9581 Mazant Ln., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.

Councilman Wooley: Madam Chairman, this one and the next one are also in Twelve Oaks.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Lester and Walford. 2.

RESOLUTION NO. 143 of 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DAVID C. LEETH CONSTRUCTION, INC, LOCATED AT 9585 MAZANT LANE, TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that David C. Leeth Construction, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9585 Mazant Ln., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Lester and Walford. 2.

ORDINANCES: None.

REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 123 of 2010

**RESOLUTION STATING THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S
ENDORSEMENT OF DAVID H. BUSHNELL TO PARTICIPATE IN THE
BENEFITS OF THE LOUISIANA RESTORATION TAX ABATEMENT
PROGRAM AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT
THERETO**

WHEREAS, the Restoration Tax Abatement has been created by the Electors of the State of Louisiana as an Act 445 of 1983, and amended in Act 783 of 1984, Article VII, Part II, Section 21(H) of the Louisiana Constitution and Louisiana R.S. 47:4311-4319, to authorize the Board of Commerce and Industry, with the approval of the Governor and the local governing authority and in accordance with procedures and conditions provided by law, to enter into a contract granting property owners who propose the expansion, restoration, improvement or development of an existing structure or structures in a downtown development district, historic district, or economic development district, established in accordance with law, the right to pay ad valorem taxes based upon the assessed valuation of the property prior to the commencement of the expansion, restoration, improvement or development; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport desires to promote economic activity, create and retain job opportunities, and improve the tax base throughout the City for the benefit of all citizens; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the City Council to foster the continued growth and development (and redevelopment) of the City to the continued prosperity and welfare of the City; and

WHEREAS, this project is located in the Center City Economic Development District; and

WHEREAS, this project is a commercial property;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular, and legal session convened that the City Council hereby approves the DAVID H. BUSHNELL application # 062477 for participation in the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 5. Nays: None. Out of the chamber: Councilmen Lester and Walford. 2.

RESOLUTION NO. 129 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR WOODWARD AVENUE

IN WOODWARD SUBDIVISION PLAT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the dedication for Woodward Avenue in Section 2, (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Woodward Avenue be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 5. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Lester and Walford. 2.

RESOLUTION NO. 134 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE PARISH OF CADDO AND HOME BUILDERS, INC. "SHB" FOR THE PURPOSE OF SHARING THE COST OF A DRAINAGE PROJECT THAT WILL SERVE THE RIVERBROOKE SUBDIVISION AND AREAS IN THE 10100 AND 10300 BLOCKS OF EAST KINGS HIGHWAY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

Whereas, the City of Shreveport and the Parish of Caddo share a common interest in providing services to the citizen's of Shreveport and Caddo Parish, Louisiana and have agreed to share the cost of a drainage project with Southern Home Builders Inc, "SHB" that will serve the Riverbrooke Subdivision and the area downstream of the subdivision in the 10100 and 10300 blocks of East Kings Highway.

Whereas, the estimated cost of the project is \$150,000.00.

Whereas, the City, Parish and Southern Homebuilders, Inc. "SHB" have agreed to pay 1/3 of the cost (each).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the Mayor is authorized to enter into an agreement with the Parish of Caddo and Southern Home Builders, Inc., "SHB" for the purposes of sharing the cost of a proposed drainage project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that it authorizes the Mayor's signature on the Agreement Between the City of Shreveport, the Parish of Caddo and Southern Home Builders, Inc, "SHB"; after due notice thereto and after the effective date of this Resolution, the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized and empowered to execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, an Agreement Between the City of Shreveport, the Parish of Caddo and Southern Home Builders, Inc., "SHB", substantially in the form of the document filed along with the original copy of this Resolution in the Office of the Clerk of Court and, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution be filed and recorded in the official records of the District Court of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of the resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in

conflict herewith are hereby declared severable and repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 135 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEES AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT, BY A GRANT,

WITH WORLD CHANGERS DONATING PAINT MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES TO PAINT AND/OR REPAIR 12 TO 14 HOMES OF QUALIFIED LOW INCOME HOMEOWNERS IN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, World Changers Ministries, an organization sponsored by the North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Church, will paint and/or repair 12 to 14 homes within the City of Shreveport between July 26 and July 31, 2010; and

WHEREAS, all persons assisted by World Changers will be low to moderate income homeowners residing in the Allendale, Caddo Heights, Cedar Grove, Highland, Lakeside, Martin Luther King, Mooretown, Queensborough, Westwood Park, and other areas of the city; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport participates in façade improvement and/or paint and minor repair programs designed to identify citizens who have limited or no resources and whose home is in need of these services. All work will be performed by volunteers and will enhance the quality of life for the citizens of Shreveport and directly improve the housing conditions for 12 to 14 families in the City of Shreveport thus providing a public benefit; and

WHEREAS, World Changers has sponsored at least ten (10) previous repair projects within the City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport through its Department of Community Development will provide a grant to fund this program that will allow World Changers to rehabilitate or repair approximately 12 to 14 homes during the week of July 26-31, 2010 which will be beneficial to the citizens, the future of the city and

will serve a public purpose, and by waiving the building permit fees required by the City of Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code for the repairs; and

WHEREAS, the grant to fund this project and the waiver of the building permit fees is a permissible exception to Article 7 § 14 of the Louisiana State Constitution of 1974.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that building permit fees to rehabilitate or repair 12 to 14 homes by World Changers Ministries between July 26 and July 31, 2010 are hereby waived.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to enter into an Agreement with World Changers Ministries, an organization sponsored by the North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Church to provide a grant for materials and/or supplies to the 12 to 14 property owners selected to participate in the World Changers project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 136 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF \$7,500.00 TO THE DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FROM THE PROCEEDS OF THE SALE OF THREE (3) TROLLEY VEHICLES TO THE CITY OF PINE BLUFF, ARKANSAS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, Resolution Number 105 of 2010 authorized the Mayor to execute a contract with the City of Pine Bluff, Arkansas for the sale of three (3) trolley vehicles for the sum of \$15,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the trolleys were transferred used from Houston Metro to the City of Shreveport in 2006, intended to be used for a downtown circulator service; and

WHEREAS, the Downtown Development Authority (“DDA”) invested \$45,000 in 2009 to refurbish the vehicles in an effort to facilitate start up and operation of the service in the downtown area subject to an agreement with SPORTRAN that some or a portion of the funds would be reimbursed to DDA in the event the service did/does not begin; and

WHEREAS, this resolution would authorize payment of \$7,500.00 from the proceeds of the sale of the trolley vehicles to DDA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, that payment of \$7,500.00 to the Downtown Development Authority from the proceeds of the sale of three (3) trolley vehicles to the City of Pine Bluff, Arkansas is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute, for an on behalf of the City of Shreveport and/all documents necessary to evidence the authority granted herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Eddy, you’ve been waiting all this time for this haven’t you?

Mr. Eddy: Thank you for the vote.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

6. Resolution No. 137 of 2010: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into a contract with the Independence Bowl relative to the \$500,000 owed by the Independence Bowl to the City, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: I believe Mr. Webb that this is to be postponed?

Councilman Webb: I was under the impression that after yesterday, can I ask Ms. Missy to come up?

Mr. Sibley: And I think item 4, what was added impacts this one, where you added to the agenda, item 4.

Councilwoman Bowman: So do you want to withdraw this one or postpone it? Which one do you want to do?

Councilman Webb: Yeah, which one do you recommend? Do we withdraw or postpone it?

Mr. Sibley: Item 4 corrects the amount.

Mr. Thompson: I think it's up to the Council. We talked about withdrawing it yesterday, but to leave it on there, I don't know that it hurts.

Councilman Webb: Do we have another one that's the second amendment?

Mr. Seaton: Here comes Terri.

Councilman Webb: Yeah, help me out here, I mean I'm lost after our discussion last night.

Ms. Scott: The intent of the resolutions were to - - - I'm not sure which order you have them in, the one that amends current Resolution No. 137, the intent of that was to amend that by amending the title, the amount that's referenced in the title from \$500,000 to \$497,410. And to add the other provisions to the resolution that provides that the money from the repayment from Indy Bowl would be used for cost expenses related to the turf, authorizes the Mayor to execute the agreement. The second resolution is a new resolution that basically authorizes the Mayor to execute all documents in regard to evidence and payment from the Independence Bowl, and also provides that money from the repayment of the loan to the Independence Bowl would be used for cost for installation of the turf.

Councilman Webb: So, is that Amendment No. 2?

Mr. Thompson: Terri, we're talking about 137. Do you want to remove it from the agenda, or do you want to postpone it?

Ms. Scott: Well, if those are the only options either to remove it or to postpone it, then again, it depends on what the Council wants to do. The intent of the amendment to 137 was that it would amend the current resolution that's before

Council now by changing the title. If the changing the title necessitates that it be postponed, to be re-advertised.

Mr. Thompson: It does.

Ms. Scott: Then our recommendation would be that you go with the second amendment and ask that that be introduced.

Mr. Thompson: Okay, but do you want us to pass on this one, or do you want us to -
- -

Ms. Scott: On the amendment to 137?

Mr. Thompson: On 137 period.

Ms. Scott: Then our recommendation is that it be postponed.

Councilman Webb: Okay then I'll make a motion to postpone.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Councilman Shyne: How much delay would this cause in the process?

Ms. Scott: 137 can be adopted at your July 27th meeting. Now in terms of getting the bid out on the street, getting it advertised, then it's going to build in another two weeks delay. Because you won't have the approval. However, I spoke with Shelly Ragle during the Council Meeting, and she indicated that they are in discussion right now and SPAR is trying to get ahead of the curb to the extent that they can to go on and start and try to draft the specifications and getting the bid out on the street as quickly as they can.

Councilman Shyne: Is Shelly here?

Mr. Scott: No.

Mr. Sibley: Madam Chair, Mr. Shyne. Mr. Seaton just leaned to me, and said that Tom actually put it out, so in terms of the bid process, that has been initiated. So that part shouldn't be affected. And Mr. Shyne, I think you were asking about that in terms of the bid process.

Councilman Shyne: Right, so will we still beat the timeline and be able to get this done for the Grambling and Tech game?

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Hammond is here and he may be able to speak to it, I don't think so Mr. Shyne based on Shelly yesterday, but Mr. Hammond works with the stadium and he can speak to that.

Mr. Hammond: I'm sorry, I missed the question.

Councilman Shyne: I was just wondering if because of the changing of the timeline, would we have enough time to get the new turf in before the Grambling and Louisiana Tech game?

Mr. Hammond: It's doubtful at this point.

Councilman Shyne: My heart is broken.

Mr. Hammond: Mine is too. Trust me.

Councilwoman Bowman: I told you about that yesterday Councilman Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, but my heart is broken.

Mr. Hammond: Well mine is too. We had several discussions about that earlier.

Councilman Shyne: Because I was really looking forward to the most important ballgame that the stadium will - - -

Mr. Hammond: You're probably looking at what October?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah. I wanted - - - where is Calvin? But I really wanted Grambling and Tech to play on it before Southern and Prairie View. Okay so - - - alright. I throw up my hands.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 138 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO A

COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF HREVEPORT AND THE MULTICULTURAL CENTER OF THE SOUTH AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City desires to participate in programs and activities which directly benefit

the citizens and the community of the City by participating in wholesome activities that serve to

benefit the entire community; and

WHEREAS, the City is the owner of Independence Stadium, located at 3301 Pershing

Blvd; and

WHEREAS, the Multicultural Center of the South desires to provide a benefit and

fundraiser to support its educational efforts for people of all ages to explore and actively

engage in understanding and appreciation of the history and diverse cultures of Northwest

Louisiana and other parts of Louisiana by hosting a Taste of Culture fundraiser on July 24,

2010; and

WHEREAS, the Multicultural Center of the South desires to provide an educational

benefit to Shreveport's citizens and the community which serves a public benefit and public

purpose:

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session

convened that Cedric Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized and empowered to execute a

Cooperative Endeavor Agreement between the City of Shreveport and the Multicultural

Center of the South substantially in the form filed in the office of the Clerk of Council on June 22,

2010; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 139 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE LOUISIANA BAPTIST CONVENTION RELATIVE TO HOLDING IT'S PRE-CONVENTION MUSICAL AT RIVERVIEW HALL AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY: COUNCILMAN LESTER

WHEREAS, the 13th District Missionary Baptist Association (the "Association") will

host the Louisiana Baptist State Convention in Shreveport on June 18-23, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the estimated attendance of delegates and visitors to the convention is in

excess of 1,500 people; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport ("City") will derive a significant economic benefit

from the convention; and

WHEREAS, a number of the events during the convention are open to the general public

and the convention and its related activities provide a benefit to the public.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport,

in due, regular, and legal session convened that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Louisiana Baptist Convention relative to holding it's

pre-convention musical at Riverview Hall on July 18, 2010.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Since the Chair is Catholic.

Councilwoman Bowman: Now don't start that

Bell rings

Councilwoman Bowman: Joe!

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 144 OF 2009

A RESOLUTION REJECTING BIDS RECEIVED FOR IFB #10-023 FOR THE ANNUAL CONTRACT FOR PC COMPONENTS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, seven (7) bids were received as a result of solicitations for the Annual Contract for PC Components, IFB #10-023; and;

WHEREAS, the City has rejected these bids in accordance with La R.S. 39:1599 B, because of clarification of submission requirements and changes to product specifications;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the bids received on IFB #10-023 be rejected;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 145 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION TO SUSPEND CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON SEPTEMBER 11, 2010 RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AT SHREVEPORT RIVERVIEW PARK FOR THE SHREVEPORT DOWNTOWN ROTARY CLUB'S RED RIVER DRAGON BOAT FESTIVAL FUNDRAISER AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Monty Walford

WHEREAS, the Shreveport Downtown Rotary Club will host the Red River Dragon Boat Festival Fundraiser on September 11, 2010 between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at Shreveport Riverview Park located at 601 Clyde Fant Parkway; and

WHEREAS, the Shreveport Downtown Rotary Club desires to dispensed and allowed the sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages at Shreveport Riverview Park located at 601Clyde Fant Parkway during the event; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to dispense alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance, Section 10-190(a) prohibits consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of a business or on other property of a business where said property is open to the public, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a

completely enclosed structure, and Section 10-81 provides that Section 10-41 (requiring a retail dealer's permit) shall not apply to a bona fide nonprofit event meeting the requirements of this section, only when it is held within the confines of an enclosed building; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages at Riverview Park located at 601 Clyde Fant Parkway, on September 11, 2010 for the Red River Dragon Boat Festival Fundraiser for the Shreveport Downtown Rotary Club.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the suspension of Sections 10-80(a), 10-81, 10-190(a) and 106-130(6) on September 11, 2010 for the Shreveport Downtown Rotary Club's Red River Dragon Festival Fundraiser, between the hours of 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. at the Shreveport Riverview Park located at 601 Clyde Fant Parkway.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.

Councilman Walford: Rowing Wooley.

Councilman Wooley: I'll have Team Wooley out there.

Councilwoman Bowman: Uh oh!

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Bowman. 5. Nays: Councilman Shyne. 1. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1..

RESOLUTION NUMBER 146 OF 2010

**A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN
ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE
PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell said properties as authorized in R.S. 47:2201-2211, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the property described herein and has not received any indication that it is needed for city purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the following described properties are hereby declared surplus:

- 1) Geo.#171330-008-0025 – West 104.65 ft. of Lot 5, & S. 5 ft. of West 104.65 ft. of Lot 4, Fort Sumpter Addition, Municipal Address – 6921 Line Avenue, Council District C.
- 2) Geo.#171424-053-0048 – Lot 48, North Cedar Grove Addition, Municipal Address – 6207 Tulsa Avenue, Council District C.
- 3) Geo.#181435-079-0011 – Lot 11, Blk.2, Mayfair Subdivision, Municipal Address – 1939 Garden Street, Council District A.
- 4) Geo.#181435-110-0014– Lot 14, Blk.6, Allendale Heights Subdivisions, Municipal Address – 1750 Looney Street, Council District A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

RESOLUTION NO. 147 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION APPROVING PLANS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A PARKING LOT ON CITY-OWNED PROPERTY LEASED TO STUDIO OPERATIONS, L.L.C. AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport (“City”) entered into a Lease Agreement (“Lease Agreement”) with Studio Operations, L.L.C. (“Studio Operations”) on January 22, 2008 for lease of certain City-owned property including but not limited to property identified as Lot 3, Block 2, Ten Acre Lot 3, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana (414 Douglas Street) (the “property”); and

WHEREAS, the Lease Agreement was subsequently amended in October, 2009 (the “Amended Lease Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, on June 22, 2010, the City Council adopted Resolution 132 of 2010 consenting to Studio Operation’s execution of a Surface Agreement with First United Methodist Church (“FUMC”) for collaborative use and development of the property for a parking lot; and

WHEREAS, Paragraph 5(B) of the Amended Lease Agreement requires, among other things, City approval of plans and specification for the construction, alteration, or addition to or on the Leased Premises.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the City of Shreveport approves the plans for construction of a parking lot on property identified as Lot 3, Block 2, Ten Acre Lot 3, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana (414 Douglas Street) subject to review, approval and issuance of a permit for such construction by City’s Department of Operational Services, Division of Permits and Inspection.

BE IT FUTHER RESOLVED if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.

13. **Resolution No. 148 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the City of Shreveport (The "City") to pay professionals in conjunction with the remarketing of the \$40,575,000 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Refunding Bonds (Shreveport Convention Center Hotel Project) Series 2008, authorizing the reporting of all fees to the State Bond Commission Associated with the remarketing, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Councilman Walford: I'd appreciate it if the Administration could get us some information. I received information that regardless of what this was being called, it should go to the State Bond Commission. And that they, the State Bond Commission had asked for an Attorney General's opinion. Can you just enlighten me sometimes in the next two weeks, let me know what the status on that is.

Mr. Sibley: Well it does have to go to the State Bond Commission. That's a part of the resolution. But pre-approval is not something that we're aware of. I saw Mr. Washington here a little bit earlier, but specifically if the question whether or not the State Bond Commission has to approve these before they're paid, I'm not sure. It has to be reported to them.

Councilman Walford: I understood it had to be an application. But I'd just like clarification before we approve this if we could sometime in the next two weeks if you could email me.

Councilwoman Bowman: There's Ms. Sherrika.

Mr. Sibley: Didn't want to put her on the spot with a bond counsel question. But if she feels - - -

Ms. Fields: Yes, this is a bond counsel question. I can give my best understanding of it. I know that it does have to be reported to the State Bond Commission, and I did provide Mr. Sibley with a copy of the letter and the actual itemized fees that go to each professional and for each line item that will be reported to them. So it will be reported to the State Bond Commission.

Councilman Walford: I understand that but, I got a very lengthy message that said that it had to go as an application, whether it's called a re-marketing or a refunding, and that because it was a difference of opinion, I was told the State Bond Commission asked the AG for an opinion. So I would feel more comfortable voting for it when we have an answer for that.

Ms. Fields: Okay, I'm not familiar with that.

Councilman Walford: Again, it was very lengthy telephone message, and I don't know the answer, and frankly didn't have time earlier today. It seems we had other things going on that kept me from getting the answer to it. But if it doesn't present a problem, then we can postpone two weeks and you can get an answer for that.

Mr. Sibley: We'll do it, those guys will just have to wait. They'll have to wait. So, we'll find out and get that clarified.

Ms. Fields: But I do also know that since this item has actually closed, that we're not submitting an application to the State Bond Commission.

Councilman Walford: I understand, but the State Bond Commission, every time we submit, they approve the fees of the professionals.

Ms. Fields: Correct. And then we file - - -

Councilman Walford: Because I've heard John Kennedy sit there and cut 'em down.

Ms. Fields: Yeah, we submit a schedule fee to them for the initial application, and then there's also the report that comes after the issue closes, that just closes the actual final fees for that final particular issue, and that's what this is.

Mr. Sibley: And the other thing we do, we do it routinely is we do it before they're paid, we get that certification from the State Bond Commission, but we'll get that question clarified. If that's the unreadiness of the Council, we'll get that clarified, they'll simply have to wait.

Councilman Walford: They can still eat for the next two weeks, can't they?

Mr. Sibley: We assume so.

Councilman Walford: Thank you.

Ms. Fields: We'll do.

Mr. Thompson: That's no deal that we're doing that's conditioned upon us adopting this?

Ms. Fields: This is just approval of the final.

Mr. Sibley: These are basically closing costs for the Wells Fargo's legal team.

Councilwoman Bowman: I didn't like their prices anyway.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 149 OF 2010

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT (THE "CITY") TO PROCEED WITH THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED FIFTEEN MILLION AND NO/100 (\$15,000,000) DOLLARS LOUISIANA LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY UTILITY REVENUE BONDS (SHEVEPORT WATER IMPROVEMENT PROJECT), SERIES 2010C TO BE NEGOTIATED AND PLACED WITH CAPITAL ONE PUBLIC FINANCING, LLC OR SUCH OTHER BANK OR PURCHASER FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING THE COST OF THE ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS, EXTENSIONS AND REPLACEMENTS TO THE DRINKING WATER PORTION OF THE CITY'S COMBINED REVENUE PRODUCING WATER AND SEWER UTILITY SYSTEM (THE "SYSTEM"), MAKING APPLICATION TO THE LOUISIANA STATE BOND COMMISSION FOR APPROVAL OF SUCH BONDS, PAYING THE COSTS OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, by prior resolution, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "City") became a participating political subdivision and member of the Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority (the "Authority"); and

WHEREAS, the City desires to proceed with a financing through the Authority in an amount not to exceed FIFTEEN MILLION DOLLARS AND NO/100 (\$15,000,000) Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Utility Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Water Improvement Project), Series 2010C in a manner and structure to be determined by subsequent ordinance based on a commitment from the purchaser of the Bonds (the "Commitment"), to (i) finance the costs of acquiring and constructing improvements, extensions and replacements to the drinking water portion of the

City's combined revenue producing water and sewer utility system (the "System"); and (ii) pay the costs of issuance, (together, the "Project").

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in legal session convened, acting as the governing authority thereof (the "Governing Authority"), that:

Section 1: Authority Approval. The City is authorized to proceed with a financing through the Authority with the issuance on behalf of the City of the Authority's Utility Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Water Improvement Project), Series 2010C in an amount not to exceed FIFTEEN MILLION AND NO/100 (\$15,000,000) DOLLARS (the "Bonds"), the proceeds of which will (i) finance the constructing and acquiring of improvements, extensions and replacements to the drinking water portion of the System of the City, and (ii) pay the costs of issuance thereof (together, the "Project").

Section 2. Structure of Financing. The structure, terms and conditions of the financing by the City will be determined by subsequent ordinance of the Governing Authority, provided, however, that term of the Bonds shall not exceed twenty (20) years from the date of issuance and will bear interest at either (i) a variable rate not to exceed nine per centum (9%) per annum, or (ii) a fixed rate to be negotiated in the Commitment.

Section 3. State Bond Commission Application. This Governing Authority hereby authorizes and directs application be formally made to the Louisiana State Bond Commission (the "SBC"), Baton Rouge, Louisiana for final approval of the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority for the benefit of the City within the parameters set forth above.

Section 4. Declaration of Official Intent under Reg. 1.150-2. Prior to the issuance of the Bonds, the City anticipates that it may pay a portion of the costs of constructing and acquiring improvements, extensions and replacements to the System from other available funds. Upon issuance of the Bonds, the City reasonably expects to reimburse said expenditures from the proceeds of the Bonds. Any such allocation of the proceeds of the Bonds for reimbursement will be with respect to capital expenditures (as defined in Treasury Regulation 1.150-1(h)) and will be made upon the delivery of the Bonds and not later than one year after the date of (i) the date such expenditure was made or (ii) the date the improvements were placed in service. This resolution is intended to be a declaration of intent to reimburse in accordance with the provisions of Treasury Regulation 1.150-2. This resolution is a continuation of the original inducement Resolution #170 of 2009 dated September 8, 2009 when the City intended to utilize another method of borrowing.

Section 5. SWAP Approval. By virtue of applicant/issuer's application for, acceptance and utilization of the benefits of the Louisiana State Bond Commission's approval(s) resolved and set forth herein, it resolves that it understands and agrees that such approval(s) are expressly conditioned upon, and it further resolves that it understands, agrees and binds itself, its successors and assigns to, full and continuing compliance with the "State Bond Commission Policy on Approval of Proposed Use of Swaps, or other forms of Derivative Products Hedges, Etc.", adopted by the Commission on July 20, 2006, as to the borrowing(s) and other matter(s) subject to the approval(s), including subsequent application and approval under said Policy of the implementation or use of any swap(s) or other product(s) or enhancement(s) covered thereby.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Governing Authority hereby authorizes and directs its Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Finance, Clerk and such other officials of the City individually and/or collectively to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out the provisions of these resolutions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of these resolutions or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, if I could be out of order for just a minute.

Councilwoman Bowman: Go on, everybody else does.

Councilman Walford: I had asked to have Mr. Miller here, because Art had some questions about the airport, but with the lateness of the hour, I hate for him to sit here, we can address him in two weeks. So Roy, I was going to do that a little earlier, but let's just put those off for two weeks, or I'll get email answers.

Councilwoman Bowman: No, we want to hear the answers.

Councilman Walford: Is it okay if we wait two weeks with the rest of the group?

Councilwoman Bowman: That's fine.

Councilman Walford: Because if I start bringing you up here asking you lengthy questions when they're all ready to go home, we're going to both get the evil eyes, so we'll do it in two weeks. Lets do that Madam Chairman.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you. Mr. Thompson?

Mr. Thompson: The next one was added.

15. **Resolution No. 141 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute an agreement for the financing of vehicles and equipment, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS: *(Not to be adopted prior to July 27, 2010)*

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 150 of 2010**: A Resolution authorizing the mayor to execute documents as requested by the Independence Bowl Foundation, Inc., to evidence repayment of \$494,410.00 to the City of Shreveport; to provide for matters regarding use of the funds; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

2. **Resolution No. 151 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into a cooperative endeavor agreement with Shreveport Opera, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

3. **Resolution No. 152 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into a cooperative endeavor agreement with Shreveport Symphony Orchestra, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

4. **Resolution No. 153 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into a cooperative endeavor agreement with Shreveport Metropolitan Ballet, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

5. **Resolution No. 154 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute an agreement with Red River Revel Arts Festival, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

6. **Resolution No. 155 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract agreement between the City of Shreveport, and Wildwood South Public Service Company, Inc., for water supply service, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

7. **Resolution No. 156 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a donation agreement between the City of Shreveport and Willis-Knighton Medical Center, hereinafter called "Owner" and acting herein through Robert D. Huie, Manager, for the private water and sewer main systems and related facilities to serve the Oaks of Louisiana Subdivision, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

8. **Resolution No. 157 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a surface use easement and damage release associated with the drilling of one(1) oil and gas well located at the City of Shreveport's sludge field location along the Harts Island Road, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

9. **Resolution No. 158 of 2010**: A resolution declaring the intention of the City of Shreveport (The "City") to hire Special Bond Counsel in conjunction with the obligations of the City under that SWAP management agreement associated with Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Utility System Project) Series 2005, authorizing such counsel to make any and all notifications to the Louisiana State Bond Commission with respect to related fees, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

10. **Resolution No. 159 of 2010**: A resolution declaring the intention of the City of Shreveport (The "City") to hire professionals in conjunction with the issuance of not to exceed Fifteen Million and No/100 (\$15,000,000) Dollars Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Utility Revenue Bonds (Shreveport Water Improvement Project), Series 2010C to be negotiated and placed with Capital One Public Funding, LLC or such other bank or purchaser for the purpose of financing the cost of the acquisition and construction of improvements, extensions and replacements to the drinking water portion of the City's combined revenue producing Water and Sewer Utility System (The "System") and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Resolution No(s). 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, and 159 of 2010 to lay over until July 27, 2010 meeting.

Mr. Sibley: If I may and I beg your indulgence, since our entered discussion, but if I may ask Mr. Thompson, we added the first item under Adding Items to the Agenda, the resolution to declare the intent on the \$15,000,000. And I'm sorry I missed what

happened with that, or whether or not something happened with that one in terms of a vote.

Mr. Thompson: We postponed one, and we adopted the other one.

Mr. Sibley: Okay. I knew you postponed the payment of the \$40,000,000. But the one declaring the intent on the \$15,000,000 - - -

Mr. Thompson: We adopted that one.

Councilman Shyne: I was undecided until about 10 minutes ago.

Bell rings.

Councilman Shyne: And Mike convinced me.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES: *(Not to be adopted prior to July 27, 2010)*

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 102 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Capital Improvements Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
2. **Ordinance No. 103 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 General Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
3. **Ordinance No. 104 of 2010**: An ordinance changing the name of Jump Run to Goodwill Way, and Obie Street to Lawrence Shirley Lane, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. *(Not to be adopted prior to October 12, 2010)*
4. **Ordinance No. 105 of 2010**: An Ordinance authorizing the city to convey title to a portion of city-owned property located in Section 6, Township 16 North, Range 14 West, Caddo Parish, Louisiana acquired from Parish Realty, L.L.C., pursuant to that certain “irrevocable donation of property to be used as public road”; authorizing assignment of the mineral lease affecting the said property; authorizing the mayor to execute all documents relative to the conveyance and the assignment; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Ordinance No(s). 102, 103, 104, and 105 of 2010 to lay over until July 27, 2010 meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1.

ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

Mr. Thompson: The first one is 71 and the second one is 78 concerning Wiley College. I'm just letting the Chair know.

Councilwoman Bowman: Wait a minute, c'mon now. You're supposed to give me a signal.

Mr. Thompson: She can just abstain, right?

Councilwoman Bowman: No, I don't abstain at any time. That is a 'chicken' button. Go ahead.

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 71 of 2010**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Northbrook Drive and Northbrook Circle as a stop intersection. (D/Wooley) (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on May 11, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Ordinance No. 78 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing a lease of certain city-owned property to Wiley College, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on May 25, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Shyne, we can adopt that one today. I'm not - - - you were putting on me to be the bad guy last time.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, I don't know why.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Bowman. 1.

7. **Ordinance No. 92 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing the execution of a lease agreement with Curt Thurmon and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on June 8, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

8. **Ordinance No. 93 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing an amendment to the lease agreement with Diesel Driving Academy, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 22, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on June 8, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webbb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

9. **Ordinance No. 94 of 2010**: An ordinance amending Chapter 22 of the Code of Ordinances relative to demolition delays and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

Having passed first reading on June 8, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Councilman Wooley: I just wanted to ask Councilman Walford if he's trying to work out some logistics on it?

Councilman Walford: Working with Ms. Glass, DDA, and it turns out that it's items in there that Jim Holt would be affected by. So, it's one where we're starting from scratch and trying to do it right.

Councilman Wooley: Sure.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

10. **Ordinance No. 95 of 2010**: An ordinance amending and reenact Sections 26-300 and 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances relative to sales of adjudicated property and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Having passed first reading on June 22, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to table. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

11. **Ordinance No. 96 of 2010**: An ordinance amending Ordinance No. 125 of 2009, the 2010 Riverfront Special Revenue Fund Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 22, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

12. **Ordinance No. 97 of 2010**: An ordinance amending and replacing Section 90-124, Specified “No through truck routes”, and otherwise providing with respect thereto

Having passed first reading on June 22, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 97 of 2010

In Section 90-124, after the phrase “Vivian Street between Hearne Avenue and Mansfield Road”, insert the following:

Walker Road between Bert Kouns and Colquitt Road.

Explanation of amendment:

Establishes Walker Road between Bert Kouns and Colquitt Road as a No Through Truck Route.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 97 of 2010.

Councilwoman Bowman: And what are we doing this for Ron, tell me again?

Councilman Webb: Getting too many complaints about ‘em cutting through on that road, when they should be going around to Mansfield and coming up Colquitt instead of cutting through the neighborhood.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well I don’t think I can go with that one, because I know too many people over there.

Councilman Webb: Well, they should have been calling you then.

Councilwoman Bowman: No, they weren’t calling me, they just know I know how to do the right thing, Spike Lee.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt Ordinance No. 97 of 2010 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 6. Nays: Councilman Bowman. 1.

13. **Ordinance No. 98 of 2010**: An ordinance declaring the City’s intention to acquire full ownership of certain adjudicated property in the Allendale Neighborhood for a Community Development Project, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Having passed first reading on June 22, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

14. **Ordinance No. 99 of 2010**: An ordinance changing the names of Fontenac Street and Fontenac Avenue to Craig Kennedy Way, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Not to be adopted prior to September 28, 2010*)

Having passed first reading on June 22, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Walford to table.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Lester, was that the same person who made those hideous remarks?

Councilman Lester: No, this is that person’s father. This person is no longer on the earthly plane. His father is dead. That was his son that made those - - -

Councilman Shyne: Hideous remarks.

Councilman Lester: Let you say it.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well they might have been hideous, you know, but to Councilman Shyne, but we did have ministers sitting out there and we had children sitting out there.

Councilman Shyne: That's right.

Councilwoman Bowman: And that won't be allowed in here.

Councilman Shyne: Exactly right.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

15. **Ordinance No. 100 of 2010**: ZONING: C-38-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance by rezoning property located on the north side of Ashley Drive 287 feet east of Youree Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, **From R-1D, Urban, One Family Residence District to R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)

Having passed first reading on June 22, 1010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.

Councilman Long: This is behind Porter's right?

Mr. Clark: Yeah, this is behind Porter's, the area where there once was a bunch of apartments, and then Porter's used it for an office and it became non-conforming and in order for it to return to be an apartment, we had to rezone it to R-2

Councilman Long: Sorry to keep you here that long.

Mr. Clark: It's okay. Having a ball.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Lester: Madam Chair, he gets the play of the day. Whatever the player of the day award is, Mr. Clark just earned it.

Councilwoman Bowman: We just gave it to Alan Clarke.

16. **Ordinance No. 101 of 2010**: ZONING: C- 40-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of Linwood Avenue 360 feet north of West 67th Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, **From B-3, Community Business District to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District Limited to “A Paint and Body Shop: only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

Having passed first reading on **June 22, 1010** was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman **Shyne**, seconded by Councilman **Wooley** to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 71 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF NORTHBROOK DRIVE AND NORTHBROOK CIRCLE AS A STOP INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in

legal and regular session convened to create and establish the intersection of Northbrook

Drive and Northbrook Circle as a stop intersection. Northbrook Circle shall stop at Northbrook Drive.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or

the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or

applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or

applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts

thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 78 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING A LEASE OF CERTAIN CITY-OWNED PROPERTY TO WILEY COLLEGE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport is the owner of certain property generally identified as the “Shreveport Municipal Fire and Police Training Academy” (the “Academy”) located at 6440 Greenwood Road; and

WHEREAS, the classrooms located at the Academy are not used by the City after 5:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, Wiley College provides college level instruction and offers an eighteen month organizational management course; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to lease the Academy classrooms to Wiley College for use after 5:00 p.m. and allow Wiley to provide college course instruction and offer the management course to city employees at there; and

WHEREAS, this arrangement will provide convenient learning opportunities to city employees, allow employees and the general public an opportunity to pursue higher education, and facilitate city employees in their efforts to obtain degrees in higher education; and

WHEREAS, the City declares and confirms that the Lease Agreement is for a public purpose as defined in Lease Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Lease Agreement provides for lease may be canceled or terminated by either party with upon thirty days written notice to the other party as provided in the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, LSA-R.S. 33:4712 requires that notice of this ordinance be published at least three (3) times within fifteen (15) days, one week apart.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the lease of City owned property generally identified as the Academy to Wiley College is hereby authorized and the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, a lease of the said property to Wiley College substantially in accord with the draft hereof filed for public inspection in the Office of the Clerk of Council on May 25, 2010.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that a certified copy of the lease authorized herein or an extract thereof, shall be filed and recorded in the conveyance records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 87 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 78 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES TO ADD ARTICLE XI RELATIVE TO RAILROADS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that Chapter 78 of the Code of Ordinances is hereby amended to add Article XI relative to railroad crossing closures to read as follows:

ARTICLE XI. RAILROADS

DIVISION 1. GENERALLY

Secs. 78-500 –78-510. Reserved.

DIVISION 2. RAILROAD CROSSING CLOSURES

Sec. 78-511. Request for closure of railroad grade crossing.

Any request for closure of a railroad grade crossing shall be submitted to the director of operational services or his designee. Upon receipt of the request, the director of operational services or his designee shall examine the request and prepare such recommendations, findings and reports as necessary regarding the request. The director of operational services shall transmit his recommendations, findings and reports, together with an ordinance authorizing the closure of the railroad grade crossing to the city council. No request for closure of a railroad grade crossing shall be authorized except by ordinance adopted by the city council as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 78-512. Notice; public hearing.

The city council shall hold a public hearing prior to adoption of an ordinance authorizing the closure of a railroad grade crossing.

Notice of the date, time and place of the public hearing shall be published in the official journal of the city and posted at, or as near as practical to, the railroad crossing being considered for closure at least fifteen (15) days prior to the date of the public hearing. The notice may contain such other information as determined by the city council.

Secs. 78-513. Notice of Closure

The director of operational services or his designee shall post notice of the closure of a railroad grade crossing at, or as near as practical to, the crossing for a period of not less than thirty (30) days prior to permanent closure of the crossing.

Secs. 78-514—78-530. Reserved.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 89 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET CREATING AND FUNDING A NEW CAPITAL PROJECT ENTITLED WYNGATE BOULEVARD AND LEVY STREET IMPROVEMENTS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 Capital Improvements Budget to create and fund a new project and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 124 of 2009, the 2010 Capital Improvements Budget, be further amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Program C (Streets):

Create and fund a new project entitled Wyngate Boulevard and Levy Street Improvements and fund said project in the amount of \$3,100,000 from unobligated bond funds 1999 GOB prop 4.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 124 of 2009, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE 89 OF 2010

Amendment Number 2 by Mr. Walford and Mr. Webb, to Ordinance Number 124 of 2009, an Ordinance amending the 2010 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

Delete: ~~“In Program C (Streets):~~

~~Create and fund a new project entitled Wyngate Boulevard and Levy Street Improvements and fund said project in the amount of \$3,100,000 from the unobligated bond funds 1999 GOB prop 4.”~~

Insert: “In Program C (Streets):

Increase the Appropriation for **Concrete Street Improvements (09C001)** by \$4,200,000. Funding source is unobligated bond funds 1999 GOB prop 4.

Explanation of Amendment:

This amendment eliminates funds (\$3,100,000) to construct an underpass on Wyngate Boulevard and improve the access to Levy Street off Texas. It increases funds (\$4,200,000) to repair and construct street concrete panels throughout the City of Shreveport.

ORDINANCE NO. 93 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH DIESEL DRIVING ACADEMY, INC., AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, City is the owner of certain immovable property generally referred to as the "State Fairgrounds"; and

WHEREAS, on May 11, 2010, the City Council adopted Ordinance 46 of 2010 which authorized the lease of City-owned property located on the State Fairgrounds to Diesel Driving Academy; and

WHEREAS, this ordinance would authorize an amendment to the lease agreement authorized by Ordinance 46 of 2010; and

WHEREAS, with the exception of the amendments authorized by this Ordinance, all other terms and conditions of the lease agreement authorized by Ordinance 46 of 2009 shall remain in full force and effect; and

WHEREAS, LSA-R.S. 33:4712 requires that notice of this ordinance be published at least three (3) times within fifteen (15) days, one week apart.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor and/or the State Fair of Louisiana, Inc., are hereby authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, an amendment to the lease agreement authorized by Ordinance 46 of 2009, substantially in accord with the draft hereof filed for public inspection in the Office of the Clerk of Council on June 8, 2010.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that a certified copy of the amended lease agreement authorized herein or an extract thereof, shall be filed and recorded in the conveyance records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 96 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 125 OF 2009, THE 2010 RIVERFRONT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Walford

WHEREAS, the City Council has appropriated funds for contractual services to be provided by SMG for the management of the Convention Center; and

WHEREAS, the City Council wishes to ensure that said funds are expended only for such convention center management and not for other contractual services.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 125 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Riverfront Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

Delete Section 5 and substitute the following:

5. Administration.

a) The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend or receive funds herein authorized.

b) \$1,770,000 of the appropriation for Contractual Services, which is budgeted for paying the city's contractual obligation to SMG for the management of the convention center, shall be encumbered for that purpose within ten days after this ordinance becomes effective.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 97 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND REPLACING SECTION 90-124, SPECIFIED "NO THROUGH TRUCK ROUTES", AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that Section 90-124 of the Code of Ordinances for the

City of Shreveport pertaining to Traffic and Vehicles, Specified “ No through truck routes”, is hereby amended and replaced to read as follows:

Sec. 90-124. Specified “ No through truck routes”.

The following streets are specified "No through truck routes" subject to the provisions of section 90-123:

Albany Avenue between East Kings Highway and Fern Avenue

Albert Avenue 100 and 200 Blocks

Alkay Drive between Avalon Drive and Mansfield Road

North Allen Avenue between Ford and Patzman

Amelia Avenue between Lotus Lane and Mansfield Road

Archer Avenue between East Kings Highway and Youree Drive

Ardis Taylor Road between Mansfield Road and Colquitt Road

Ardmore 100 Block between Youree Drive and E Kings Highway

Atkins Avenue between Gilbert Avenue and Centenary Boulevard

Audubon Place between East Preston and Dixie Garden

Avalon Street between Hoyte and Mackey

Baird Road between Bert Kouns and Mansfield Road

Baxter Street between Jewella and Broadway

Bernstein Street between West 74th and West 70th

Bienville Avenue between Hollywood and Corbitt

Broadacres Road between West 70th Street and Westport Avenue

Brunswick Drive between Millicent Way and Sophia Drive

Cambridge Drive between Camelback Drive and University Drive

Camelback Drive between Millicent Way and Cambridge Drive

Camelia Lane between Azalea Drive and Southfield Road

Canal Boulevard between 70th Street and Hollywood Avenue

West Canal between Hollywood Avenue and Valleyview Drive

Captain Shreve Drive between East Preston and Dixie Garden

Claiborne Avenue between Mansfield Road and Hearne Avenue

Claiborne Avenue between San Jacinto Avenue and Grove Street

Clanton Street between St. Vincent and Linwood

Clyde Fant Parkway between Lake Street and North City Limits

Cornwell Street between Stoner and East Herndon

Crabapple Drive between Southland Park Drive and Kingston Road

Creswell Avenue between Kings Highway and Stoner Avenue

Creswell Avenue between Montrose Drive and Thora Boulevard

Dean Road between Colquitt Road and Flournoy-Lucas Road

Deer Park Road between North Market and Old Mooringsport Road

Drexel Drive between Fairfield and Dillingham

Dilg League between Jewella and Lakeshore

Earl Street between Linwood Avenue and St. Vincent Avenue

Egan Street between Coty Street and Centenary Boulevard

Ellerbe Road between LA 523 to South City Limits

East Elmwood Street between Madison Park Boulevard/Parkway Drive and Cornwell Street

Fairfax Avenue between Hollywood Avenue and Corbitt Street

Fairfield Avenue between Pierremont Road and Olive Street

Fern Avenue between East 70th and Pierremont Road

Forbing Road between Dalton Street and Flournoy-Lucas Road

Fulton Street between Linwood Avenue and Joplin Avenue

Gilbert Drive between East 70th Street and Spring Lake Drive

Green Terrace Road between Walker Road and Cana Drive

Greenbrook Boulevard between St. Vincent and Emerald Loop

Greenway Avenue 6700 and 6800 Blocks of Greenway

Hayes between Mansfield Road and Colquitt Road

Henderson Avenue between Pierremont Road and East 70th Street

Highland Avenue between Marshall Street and Ockley Drive

Hoyte Drive between Mansfield Road and Walker Road

Huntington Avenue between Bolinger Street and Wilkinson Street

Idema Street between Linwood Avenue and Mt. Zion Road

Kennedy Street between Hollywood Avenue and Henry Street

Kent Avenue between Hollywood Avenue and Corbitt Street

East Kings Highway between Shreveport Barksdale Boulevard and Southfield Road

East Kings Highway between Bert Kouns and Flournoy-Lucas Road

Klug Pines Road between Westport Avenue and West 70th Street

Ledbetter between Hollywood Avenue and Vernon Street

Lexington Avenue between Pierremont Road and East 66th Street

Longleaf Road between Line Avenue and Creswell Avenue

Louise Street between West 70th and West Canal Boulevard

Lyba Street between Monkhouse Drive and Curtis Lane

Millicent Way between Bert Kouns and Youree Drive

Millicent Way between LA 3132 and Camelback Drive

Morrison Drive 6700 and 6800 blocks

Ninock Street between Jewella Avenue and San Jacinto

North Southland Park Drive between Mansfield Road and Bert Kouns

Oakdale between Linwood Avenue and St. Vincent Avenue

Ockley Drive between Line Avenue and Fairfield Avenue

Oden Street between Clyde Fant Parkway and Wendy Lane

Pickwick Place between Wyngate and Kingston Road

Pines Road between West 70th Street and Flournoy-Lucas Road

Pomeroy Drive between Youree and Jackson Square Drive

Preston Avenue between East Kings Highway and Youree Drive

Queens Highway between East Kings Highway and Claiborne Avenue

Red Bud Lane between Jewella Avenue and Valleyview Drive

Rockwell Place 6700 and 6800 Blocks

Rook Street 200 block between Market Street and the Spring Street Service Road

San Jacinto Street between Greenwood Road and Lakeshore Drive

Santa Monica between Pines Road and Shadow Creek Drive

Sara Lane between Hoyte Drive and Mackey Lane

Savanna Drive between Summer Grove and Bert Kouns

Sidney Street 3200 Block

Sophia Lane between Youree Drive and Brunswick Drive

Southfield Road between Camelia Lane and Gilbert Drive

Southland Park Drive between Mansfield Road and Crabapple

Southland Park Drive between Mansfield Road and Bert Kouns

Springhill between Louisiana and McNeil

Stoner Alley between and parallel to Stoner Avenue and Wall Street, extending from Highland Avenue to Creswell Avenue

Stratmore Drive between Youree Drive and East Kings Highway

Summer Grove between Mansfield and Savanna

Sussex Avenue between Hollywood Avenue and Corbitt Street

Turtle Creek Drive between Youree Drive and Brunswick Drive

University Drive between East 70th Street and Youree Drive

Vine Street between Marshall Street and Market Street

Vivian Street between Hearne Avenue and Mansfield Road

Wall Street between Creswell Avenue and Highland Avenue

Wallace Avenue between West 70th Street and Browning

Washington Lane 6700 and 6800 Blocks

East Washington Street between Youree Drive and Higgins

Westport Avenue Service between 70th Street and Flournoy-Lucas Road

Williamson Way 8700 Block of Kingston Road extending West to City Limits

Woodford between Hearne Avenue and Mansfield Road

Wyngate Boulevard between Valley View and Pickwick Place

Wyngate Boulevard between West 70th Street and Valley View

Zeke Drive between Anderson Avenue and Shreveport-Barksdale Highway

East 62nd Street between Fairfield Avenue and Lexington Avenue

West 67th Street between Clift Street and Wallace Street

West 67th between Linwood and Union Avenue

West 68th between Linwood Avenue and St. Vincent

West 69th Street between Union Street and Linwood Avenue

West 73rd Street between Wallace Avenue and Union Avenue

East 73rd Street between Fairfield and Southern

West 74th Street between Linwood Avenue and Wallace

West 84th and between St. Vincent Avenue and Fairfield Avenue

East 85th between Fairfield Avenue and Line Avenue

85th Street between Linwood Avenue and St. Vincent Avenue

86th Street between Linwood Avenue and St. Vincent Avenue

.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 97 of 2010

In Section 90-124, after the phrase “Vivian Street between Hearne Avenue and Mansfield Road”, insert the following:

Walker Road between Bert Kouns and Colquitt Road.

Explanation of amendment:

Establishes Walker Road between Bert Kouns and Colquitt Road as a No Through Truck Route.

ORDINANCE NO. 98 OF 2010

**AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE CITY’S INTENTION TO
ACQUIRE FULL OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN ADJUDICATED
PROPERTY IN THE ALLENDALE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR A
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECT AND OTHERWISE
PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

By:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has a tax interest in a certain property adjudicated for the nonpayment of property taxes, said property being more fully described below along with the name of the last known owner of record of the property; and

WHEREAS, under La R.S. 47:2236 et. seq. when property has been adjudicated to a political subdivision, the political subdivision may declare by ordinance that it intends to acquire full ownership interest in the property; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that it does hereby declare its intention to acquire the following property in full ownership:

Parcel Number	Geographic #	Physical Address	Legal Description	Assessed Owner
PO-11	181435-094-0004	1612 Logan Street	Lot 4, J.W. White Subdivision	Johnny Earl Williamson

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that in accordance with La R.S. 47:2236(B) this ordinance shall be filed with the recorder of mortgages, who shall index the names of the tax debtor and the City of Shreveport as mortgagees.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that in accordance with R.S. 47:2236(C) and (D), notice shall be given to all persons whose interests the city intends to terminate, and notice shall be published in a the official journal. Both notices shall state that the tax sale parties (defined by La R.S. 47:2122) shall have sixty days after the date of the notice, if five years have elapsed from the filing of the tax sale certificate, or six months after the date of the notice, if five years have not elapsed from the filing of the tax sale certificate, to redeem the property or otherwise challenge the acquisition in a court of competent jurisdiction.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that in accordance with La R.S. 47:2236(E), if the property is not redeemed within said time limits, this Ordinance shall become operative and the City of Shreveport shall acquire full ownership of the property, subject only to such rights as determined by a final judgment rendered in an action filed within the time limits set forth above.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that in accordance with La R.S. 47:2236(E) the city shall file a notice in the conveyance records indicating that it has acquired full ownership of the property in compliance with the statute.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinance or Resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 100 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF ASHLEY DRIVE 287 FEET EAST OF YOUREE DRIVE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D, URBAN, ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO R-2, SUBURBAN, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of Ashley Drive, 287 feet east of Youree Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, legally described as Lot 6, Broadmoor Terrace Subdivision, Unit #3, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-1D, Urban, One Family Residence District to R-2, Suburban, Multi-Family Residence District.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 101 OF 2010

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF LINWOOD AVENUE 360 FEET NORTH OF WEST 67TH STREET, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT TO B-3-E, COMMUNITY BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO “A PAINT AND BODY SHOP” ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the west side of Linwood Avenue 360 feet north of West 67th Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, legally described as Lot 1, Yancey’s Industrial Subdivision Unit #2, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, be and the same is hereby changed **from B-3, Community Business District to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District limited to “a paint and body shop” only.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

1. Ordinance No. 32 of 2008: An ordinance to amend and reenact portions of Division 3 of Article V of Chapter 26 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Retained Risk and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced – March 25, 2008 - Tabled April 22, 2008*)

2. Ordinance No. 24 of 2008: Amending Chapter 42 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances to add Article XI relative to operation of a rendering plant or transfer center within the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced March 11, 2008 - Tabled May 13, 2008*)

3. Ordinance No. 58 of 2008: ZONING - C-25-08: Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the west side of N. Market, 3,322 feet north of Martin Luther King Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District to B-1, Buffer Business District and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Introduced May 27, 2008 - Tabled July 8, 2008*)

4. Ordinance No. 83 of 2008: ZONING: C-35-08 Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of Hilry Huckaby, 1800' south of North Lakeshore, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-3-E , Community Business/Extended District, to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District Limited to “an office, equipment storage yard, with the addition of stockpile materials (wood chips/recyclable wood products” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Introduced July 22, 2008 - Tabled August 26, 2008*)

5. Ordinance No. 115 of 2008: An ordinance requiring a permit for use of municipal property for business operations in the City of Shreveport; requiring the provision of certain information prior to issuance of such permit; and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Introduced September 9, 2008 - Tabled October 14, 2008*)

6. Ordinance No. 166 of 2008: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 98 of the Code of Ordinances relative to vegetation, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced November 25, 2008 - Tabled January 27, 2009*)

7. Resolution No. 179 of 2009: A resolution to launch a Council investigation as authorized by Section 4.29 of the Charter and to appoint a committee to conduct the investigation; to retain the services of a special legal consultant or consultants as authorized by Charter Section 4.29 to assist in said investigation, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled September 10, 2009*)

8. Ordinance No. 167 of 2009: Amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances relative to alcoholic beverages, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Tabled January 26,2010*)

9. Ordinance No. 168 of 2009: Amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinance relative to alcoholic beverage permits, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Tabled January 26,2010*)

10. Ordinance No. 01 of 2010: Amending and reenacting Chapter 22 of the Code of Ordinances relative to permit and inspection fees, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled January 26,2010*)

11. Ordinance No. 03 of 2010: Amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Alcoholic Beverage Permits and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled January 26 , 2010*)

12. Ordinance No. 61 of 2010: ZONING – C-13-10: Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning of property located on east side of Jackie Robinson, 200 feet south of Martin Luther King Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1H, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to B-2 Neighborhood Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Remand to MPC on June 8, 2010*)

13. Confirmations and Appointments: (*Tabled February 9, 2010 until August 24, 2010*)

Metropolitan Planning Commission Dr. Mary Wilson

Ms. Lea Desmarteau

Caddo-Bossier Port Commission Mr. Rick C. Prescott

Mr. Sam Gregorio

Property Standards Board Mr. Terrance A. McCray, Sr.

Shreveport Airport Authority: (*Tabled March 9, 2010 until August 24, 2010*)

Ms. Verna M. Smith (replacing Mr. Raymond Alley)

Ms. Margaret Shehee (replacing Rev. Joe Gant)

14. ABO CARD APPEAL:

Mr. David Jacobs, 124 Kayla Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (C/Long) *Olive Street Bistrop*, 1027 Olive Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *(To be revisited June 28, 2011)*

15. ALCOHOL BEVERAGE PERMIT APPEAL:

Alcohol Beverage Permit for *Take A Bag Grocery*, Ms. Deborah Hawkins, 2901 Milam Street, Shreveport, LA 71103 (A/Lester) *(Postponed May 24, 2010 until August 9, 2010)*

16. TAXI LICENSE PERMIT APPEAL:

Mr. Lamar Johnson, 2818 Poland Street, Shreveport, LA 71103 (G/Bowman) *Yellow Checker Taxi*, 2405 Kings Hwy, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *(To be revisited September 13, 2010)*

Mr. Michael Stewart, 1549 Oakdale Street, Shreveport, LA 71108 (B/Walford) *Ace Cab Company* 6363 Hearne Ave #208, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *(To be revisited August 13, 2010)*

17. PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEALS:

HBO0700145: 426 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Ms. Carolyn Miller*, 424 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA 71105 (F/Shyne) *(Tabled August 25, 2008)*

PSD0900006: 2006 Looney Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) *Ms. Niakia Cook-Jones*, 6777 Raspberry Lane, Apartment 1421, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *(Tabled April 26, 2010)*

PSD0800336: 1652 ½ Cross Lake Blvd, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Mr. Kevin Belcher*, P.O. Box 3313, Shreveport, LA 71133 (Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009) *(Postponed June 7, 2010 until July 12, 2010)*

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone until July 26, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Walford. 1.

PSD0800344: 2723 W. Jordan, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *Mr. Clarence Davis*, 906 N. Victory Drive, Houston, TX 77088 (Postponed June 7, 2010 until August 9, 2010)

PSD0900029: 2639 West College, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Mr. Kevin Belcher, P.O. Box 3313, Shreveport, LA 71133 (Postponed June 7, 2010 until July 12, 2010)*

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone until July 26, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Walford. 1.

PSD0800395: 1730 Jackie Robinson Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) *Ms. Chrisanna L. Freeman, 2926 Poland, Shreveport, LA 71107. (Postponed May 10, 2010 until July 12, 2010)*

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to overturn the decision of the Property Standards Board of Appeals. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

PSD0800367: 1117 Madison, Shreveport, LA 71103 (A/Lester) *Mr. Kevin Belcher, P.O. Box 3313, Shreveport, LA 71133 (G/Bowman) (Postponed June 7, 2010 until August 9, 2010)*

PSD0800400: 5318 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Mr. Darien Kirkendoll, P.O. Box 8703, Bossier City, LA. (Postponed March 8, 2010 until July 12, 2010)*

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until July 26, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

PSD0900270: 3429 Penick, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Ms. Sherry J. Harris-Boles, P. O. Box 4891, Shreveport, LA 71134 (Postponed June 7, 2010 until July 12, 2010)*

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until August 9, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

PSD0900425: 3727 Morrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Ms. Marsha Logan, 3611 Lillian Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Bowman) (Postponed May 24, 2010 until July 12, 2010)*

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to overturn the decision of the Property Standards Board of Appeals. Motion approved by

the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

PSD0900275: 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Walford) (*Postponed March 22, 2010 until September 27, 2010*)

PSD0800237: 623 Herndon Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) Mr. Theo Barnes, 12274 Mansfield Road, Keithville, LA 71047 (*May 24, 2010 until July 12, 2010*)

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until August 9, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

PSD0900016: 4131 Booker T. Street, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. Jerry Riley, 1038 Woodshire Circle, Shreveport, LA 71109 (*Postponed June 7, 2010 until July 12, 2010*)

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until August 9, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

PSD0900111: 2940 Looney Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) Ms. Rachel Douglas, 3006 Independence Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71109 (A/Lester) (*Postponed May 24, 2010 until July 12, 2010*)

Motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until August 9, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

PSD0900124: 2519 Southern Avenue, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) Mr. L. E. Mars, 7970 Sunset Lane, Mooringsport, LA 71060 (*Postponed May 24, 2010 until July 12, 2010*)

Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postpone until July 26, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

11. NEW BUSINESS:

PROPERTY STANDARDS BOARD OF APPEAL:

PSD0900283: 2705 Myrtle Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) Mr. Anderson Johnson, 2709 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester)”

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until July 26, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

SOB APPEAL:

Ms. Kaylynn Arnold, 162 Skipper Drive, Haughton, LA 71037; *Larry Flynt's Hustler Club*, Déjà Vu, 202 Commerce Street, Shreveport, LA 71101 (B/Walford)

Motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Bowman to uphold the decision of the Shreveport Police Department, and deny the application for an SOB Card. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.

REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES

Councilman Lester: A brief one Madam Chair.

Councilwoman Bowman: Go ahead Councilman.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Madam Chair. Had a very good meeting discussion relative to the Renters Code, and the administration thereof. I appreciate Jim and Mike's folks and the folks from IT. Folks from Finance were there. I think we're going to be in a position to have a draft ready in the next couple of weeks that I can pass to the Council for the Council's edification and hopefully move forward toward adopting this piece of legislation. Thank you Madam Chair.

CLERK'S REPORT

THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (*Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting*)

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 8:06 p.m.

//s// Joyce Bowman, Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council