



## **Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana**

*June 28, 2011*

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Oliver Jenkins at 3:07 p.m., Tuesday, June 28, 2011, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Father Andrew Cuomo,

Elizabeth Ann Seton Catholic Church.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Everson.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

**Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, June 13, 2011 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, June 14, 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.**

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Before we start out, I'd like to start the awards, recognition and distinguished guests portion. First I have an award that I'd like to present, and if I may, I'd like to come forward. In a fashion that I've seen presented before, before you, I would like Mayor Glover to come down and present an award to him. We kinda switch roles a little bit. He showed me how to do this.

Councilman Shyne: Mayor's kinda copy cat. You know you usually do this. He's copying off you today.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well somehow we've got some different groups up there on the picture, but I don't know how that's working out. These are pictures from today, but I will read the

citation. Mayor Glover today was presented the Seven Seals Award from the Employers Support Group by Guard and Reserves formerly recognized his role as an advocate for initiatives related to Guard and Reserve employees. The award was presented by Ret. Col. W. James Hill at a ceremony this morning at Government Plaza. Councilwoman Rose Wilson-McCulloch and Caddo Parish Commissioner Stephanie Lynch joined the Mayor's administrative staff at the presentation. This marks the first time a man in this region has received this award reserved for employers who provide outstanding support, increased awareness of applicable laws and resolve conflicts between service members and employers. And certainly on behalf of somebody that served 20 years in the military, I appreciate you doing this and all your support for those folks that do so.

Mayor Glover: Thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Probably don't need to give him the opportunity to say a few words, but (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. But before you leave, if you'll hold for just one second, I want you all to know that as I stated this morning, this is not an award to be presented to me individually. This is an award that is being presented from my perspective to all of us. All of us who have served here in an elected capacity over the years. But more importantly, those folks who make up the leadership of our Human Resource Department, our various chiefs, our department heads who provide the kind of leadership on a daily basis that helps to support the men and women who served. And so, we're just the tip on the end of that spear. But as those men and women everyday who go about their job in a way and a fashion that allows these men and women to effectively serve who really are due this honor. And so on behalf of all of you, I do accept and do appreciate the recognition.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Does any Council Members have any awards, recognition or distinguished guests?

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman Everson: I did want to make a few acknowledgements. First of all , we'd like to acknowledge the Mayor for the presentation that he gave at the National Endowment of Arts last week. He did a great job on a presentation to a national group of people. We're looking to see the (inaudible) ways that art can influence the redevelopment of the inner city. And I was real proud to see my mayor up there talking as an example across the country and that was broadcast online. And was in regards to Shreveport Common. So I'd also like to recognize the organizers of Shreveport Common, Shelly, Pam, all the people who worked real hard to make sure that the (inaudible) day where the public was invited to come and look at the plan and add their input, add their thoughts. It was a tremendous success, it was Saturday before this past Saturday. There were 500 or so people was the last count that I heard, that stopped by to learn more about the plan and to add their 2 cents to what they thought about the long term (inaudible). So it was real exciting to see that kind of participation, and just wanted to acknowledge the people for doing that. Also wanted to give a quick mention to the Community Foundation of Shreveport Bossier on marking their 50<sup>th</sup>

Anniversary here in our community. The mayor and Mayor Lo Walker of Bossier issued a joint proclamation for that day and they did a real nice presentation to them this past Sunday at Art Space for the Community Foundation's 50 years of contributing to the non profit sector in our community. So we're real thankful to them for that. And also to Southern University who put on a great fundraiser this past weekend, the Southern Gentleman's Cooking Classic, where the Chair, the Mayor and myself participated and I won an award too.

Councilman O. Jenkins: He wasn't going to leave that out.

Councilman Everson: No, no. Carrying on the tradition of District B person representative who was not bad in the kitchen.

Councilman Shyne: What did you cook?

Councilman Everson: I made Bourbon Balls, which is candy made out of whiskey and pecans.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, I couldn't have had any of that.

Councilman Everson: Your preacher would have gotten mad if you did.

Mayor Glover: I couldn't have any either Mr. Shyne. I can't put alcohol on my breath in Bossier.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I don't have anybody here specifically in the audience today, but I do know we have some people who are interested in 3132 here with us today, and it would not be a Council Meeting without a little 3132 update. I would like to go over just a couple of quick things. I think as everybody knows construction is basically finished now on Flournoy-Lucas Road. Friday, June 17<sup>th</sup>, all of the orange barrels with the exception of right at the intersection of 3132 and Flournoy-Lucas. Orange barrels came down, there's a 45 mph speed limit, and I am happy to report that since June 17<sup>th</sup>, there've been zero traffic accidents on Flournoy-Lucas Drive. I've traveled Flournoy-Lucas multiple times a day myself, and it appears that traffic is flowing fairly smooth. There's gaps in the traffic for entering and exiting the road, and I'm happy with what I see out there. As I said zero traffic accidents, there have been nine speeding tickets issued as of close of business yesterday. So that's some good news for Flournoy-Lucas. NLCOG, at their most recent meeting, a prefunding of feasibility and of environmental studies for an extension of 3132, for those who are interested who are here today or maybe listening in, we're in a 30 day public comment session right now. That 30 day window is open. You can visit the NLCOG office downtown or visit their website, and your comments for or against 3132 extension, and that is opened until July 19<sup>th</sup>. If everything goes good with that, we should have feasibility study back by the end of this year, December 2011 with one or more proposed routes if everything goes well. DOTD, State Department of Transportation will come back and look at Flournoy-Lucas Road in September after school starts. They will come out and do intense traffic studies, watch traffic flows, see if the lights need to be adjusted for any reason to make better gaps in traffic. Look at speed limits, and I'm sure they will come back and look at accident history and things like that. So that's encouraging news from the state. I did receive a response this week to my letter I had written to Secretary LeBas. Secretary of Transportation about their support at the state level

for 3132 extension, and basically it's a recap of what's been going on at NLCOG, and the fact that this door is not closed. We are looking at routes, and looking at how we can possibly move ahead. Final thing is later on in the meeting today, we will vote on an item that includes some money from the 1996 Bond Issue. There was an item in that bond issue that was the completion of 3132 from Bert Kouns to the Port. Unfortunately, that item had that title, and I think a lot of people hearing the media reports this past week, had thought that \$3.5 (million) would have built 3132 from Bert Kouns to the Port. In fact that one section of 3132 from Bert Kouns to Flournoy-Lucas cost about \$20,000,000. The \$3.5 (million) that was in that bond issue line item, almost all of that was spent. There is \$498,000 remaining unspent, and we will vote on legislation today to reopen that project and start - - - restore that money so if we need it down the road for future project with 3132, we will have that. And that is my 3132 update for today.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you. Anybody else? Okay. Mayor, do you have any awards or recognitions today?

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, I want to just reiterate what was shared by Councilman Everson earlier. We did in fact have the honor last week of journeying to Washington, D. C. to present to the National Council on the Arts, a presentation. I was one of three from around the country who was selected to present on creative place making during which particular session of the National Council of the Arts, it was quite an honor and quite a privilege, and I want to thank Pam Atchison and all of the folks involved in this effort from SRAC itself to the members of the Advisory Committee, all of those who have played a part thus far in this effort. It is extremely humbling to go to Washington, and to hear people speak about what's happening in Shreveport with such great anticipation and great appreciation for what is being laid forward here in this community. So on behalf of the administration and the city as a whole, I want to say thank you to the NEA, Chairman Landesman for the leadership that he's taken in terms of advancing this vision, but most importantly and more specifically to the folks who are down here in Shreveport on the ground pushing this effort forward everyday. I'll continually mention and shared with the folks in Washington, the Shreveport Common effort is a part of an overall vision that deals with the redevelopment and reclamation of not just the area around Shreveport Common, but extends to Ledbetter Heights and to Ledbetter Heights on to Allendale and Lakeside. And see it as a tremendous opportunity for us to be able to bring back a significant cultural and residential presence to the core of the City of Shreveport. Lastly, Mr. Chairman, I want to take a moment and ask for this Council to join me in a moment of silence in recognition of a great Shreveporter who was laid to rest on yesterday. Some 21 years ago, a 24 year old kid from the Cooper Road decided that he wanted to run for the City Council, and little did I know that that 24 year old would end for and Arthur, correct me if I'm wrong, about three or four years that we served together, ended up being the city's next to the oldest member of the City Council at that time, a 70 year old who came from the opposite end of town. And if there were two individuals who could have been more opposite to each other, I'm not sure where you could have found them in yours truly and one Milton Lee Williams. I was young, he was older. I was poor, he was rich. I was a big guy, and he was a slim fellow, but he and I developed a real fondness, respect and appreciation for each other,

and it really taught me a lot about just how great our democratic institutions are here within this country, to be able to see two folks as different as we were to come together and to serve as equals on this City Council with (inaudible) and education was quite a lesson for me. Milton Williams loved Shreveport to his very core. There was no bigger or greater advocate for all the City of Shreveport than Milton, and he served that one term, but served Shreveport in so many other ways both in a civic capacity as well as in a business and professional capacity as well. He passed away on last week, was laid to rest on yesterday and he's survived by his lovely wife Charlotte and his children and grandchildren and I just simply want to let them know how much the City of Shreveport appreciates, respects and honors the collective service that was laid out by Milton L. Williams.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, well lets take that moment of silence.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you Mr. Mayor. Any communications relative to city business?

Mayor Glover: That would be it at this time Mr. Chairman.

*Reports:*

Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman O. Jenkins: Does any Council Member have any concerns for Mr. Holt at this point?

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't have anything for Mr. Holt, but Mr. Chairman, can I bring up an issue? I think Mr. Norwood maybe will look into it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Certainly.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Ron, along Interstate 20, westbound, there is I think the State Department of Transportation and Development, they went in and put up some little barriers I think to stop the sound from going over to buildings and residents I guess along the roadway. They did it - - -

Mr. Norwood: Like what's on I-49?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, you know those (inaudible) type things.

Mr. Norwood: We can look at it, they're pretty expensive.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well here's the thing. I don't know if it's something that the City would need to do or not, but there are some issues there. They have it along the sides of the eastbound lanes. If you start on Interstate 20, starting where I think that's Wildwood Street is, and take it on out to Pines Road, but along the west side, they didn't put anything. So I talked with some of the residents that live out there. All that noise from that traffic is flowing into those streets like

Wildwood and Greenwood Lane, and all those streets like that, and it's pretty high. What I stated was that I'm not certain if that's a city issue, but to the extent that maybe we could contact somebody, with the Department of Transportation and Development and maybe they could do some kind of little study out there, and see if those noise levels are much too high. They sound as though they weren't. We were out there talking, you could hardly hear one another talking from the traffic and the wind that goes along with the traffic. So if someone could take a look at that and be able to get back with us and share some information maybe they could address - - -

Mr. Norwood: And again, that was between Wildwood and Pines Road?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right, if you go on that service road, as you go on that service road, start at Wildwood and go all the way out to Pines Road. There's no buffer there at all. Now I don't know if that right of way coming from the interstate is city or not, but it would be good if we looked into it.

Mr. Norwood: That would most likely be state.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I thought so. So if we got a local contact, if someone could maybe get with them and tell 'em to do a little noise study of this, it's very, very high. Because it's a quiet area already. And maybe I don't know - - -

Mr. Norwood: And this is westbound?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah. They have nothing along the westbound noise to silence that noise. Issue No. 2. I sent an email out and I think I copied the CAO on it, about some over growth along the westbound lanes when you get down there by Greenwood Acres Full Gospel Baptist Church. You know the cross walk that carries you from one side of the interstate to the other side of the interstate? You start at that point going west, there is a lot of overgrowth there. Now I'm told it may be a combination of city/parish/state and they have to pay some attention to it. As soon as you get some information on that I would appreciate that too.

Mr. Norwood: So that is Greenway?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Greenway, Morrison all those streets out there.

Mr. Norwood: I think I saw an email on this.

Mr. Sibley: It's right there near the city line between Shreveport and Greenwood.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right. It may be a combination which starts (inaudible) lease by the various governments. If we could take a look at that and let me know, I'd appreciate it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I have one, and I'm not exactly sure and it's obviously federal. But what would we need to do if we wanted to encourage/mandate truck traffic to take I-220 rather than I-20

through the middle of the city? They do it in several cities across America, they force truck traffic to stay on the (inaudible)

Mr. Norwood: Exactly. It would be a bypass route.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And so what do we - - -?

Mr. Norwood: I will find out. I'm not sure of the exact steps that need to be done. But like you said other cities do it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I mean clearly we don't need to explain the reason why. We see that anybody that travels on that inner city piece, particularly in the downtown area, it's a disaster.

Mr. Norwood: And the pavement shows it also.

Councilman Webb: I didn't have anything for Mr. Norwood, but I did for Jim Holt or someone from his staff.

Mr. Sibley: Is Dorothy back there?

Councilman Shyne: Dorothy is in court.

Mr. Sibley: We'll take it and give it to 'em Mr. Webb.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I had a call from a gentleman concerning the residence 9375 Blom Blvd, and care of premises. This has been going on for about 2 years, and I don't understand why we hadn't even gotten the issue to court yet if that's what it takes. That's plenty of time, so - -

Mr. Sibley: 9375?

Councilman Webb: 9375

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? If I could offer just a quick recognition of a local business, those hopefully Mr. Vice-Chair's efforts with regards to sound barrier might bear some fruit, and in the event that they do, they won't have to come from very far to be installed, because those sound barriers along I-49 that you see present and those that you see in similar fashion all around the country, happen to be made right here in Shreveport, LA by a company owned by an outstanding young man by the name of Patrick Harrison, located in Councilwoman McCulloch's district up in the Agurs section of Shreveport, and the business is called Sound Fighter. And they manufacture and assemble those sound barriers right here in Shreveport. So we certainly would like to have the opportunity to be able to see that company have a chance to be able to do more of what they do within this area. The good news also is if ever get a chance, I'm sure Mr. Harrison would love to have the Council to come by and visit. It's one of the places that we have visited amongst our local businesses here over the last four years. They also do those in different colors than the slate gray that's out on I-49. They have quite aesthetically pleasing work that they've done in other areas

outside of Louisiana, which we've not yet had the benefit of here, but (inaudible) I'm sure would love to have the opportunity to be able to help those folks out along that section of Interstate 20 that you made reference to.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Mr. Mayor.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yes, actually is Shelly here? Actually what I wanted to do is take this opportunity to present to Shelly in SPAR a petition that was submitted to me. And if you would allow me to, there is a short notice attached. It says To whom it may concern: This is to inform you that all of the participants involved in the many activities at the David Raines Community Center has come together in agreement to keep our present Center Director, Ms. Linda Smith at this center. Therefore we are now voicing our opinion by signing this petition objecting to Ms. Smith's removal from this center. We strongly urge those in position to keep her, to reconsider their decision. If possible we're available and would like to meet with you all to discuss this matter and we feel we as those who participate at this center, have the right to meet with you to find out why our present director is being removed. We feel as if we have a right to be aware of any documentation stating reasons of her removal. We look forward to a response form this memo petition sheet in the very near future. There are approximately 67 names of citizens of which, and I did speak with the Mayor's mother, her signature is also attached as a part of the group of senior citizens that have actually signed this petition. I've actually had an opportunity to look at evaluations of this employee and there seems to be some contradictory within the different evaluations that were submitted. So I ask if you would, if Shelly would - - - to revisit this, and maybe get back with us, and possibly bringing Linda Smith back to the Davis Raines Community Center, upon the recommendation of the senior citizens of which she served. Thank you.

#### Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No.114 of 2009*)

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Sibley, I believe we got your revenue collection plan, while working through all the paper in front of me to surely identify the correct piece. But thank you. One (inaudible) is determined by how many pieces of paper one prints out. So it's always helpful when we have a lot of - - -

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, if I may just for the record, point out and of course the Council will see this, but again the trends are all positive in terms of collections, and again a lot of the tools that the Council has given us over the past year or so has allowed us to do that including having a couple of additional personnel up there. So we think it continues to bear fruit to Councilmen Shyne and Webb who were on the past Council to help do that. Also want to note for everyone's consideration, I know we all get the sales tax report, but often times the citizens don't know that for May collections, we are still at 3.1% over last year, for the year, we're still at 6.6% so in terms of sales tax revenue for the area, things continue to go well. That's reflected both in auto sales and hotel/motel and just general sales tax. So we wanted to highlight that to let y'all know that the revenue numbers again look, and hopefully we'll be able to boost the reserve into a few other things this budget year.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Streets. I'll just give you a heads up on where we should spend those.

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

EMS Transports Report (*Res 239 of 2010*)

Councilman O. Jenkins: Chief Self, I believe we got your email today and that everybody else did. Okay, thank you.

**Public Hearing:** None.

### **Confirmation and/or Appointments, Adding Legislation to the Agenda and Public Comments**

#### **Confirmations and/or Appointments**

#### **Adding Legislation to the Agenda:**

#### **Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.**

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 128 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the execution of an agreement with the Shreveport-Bossier Convention and Tourist Bureau and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Is there anyone present who would like to speak in favor of or in opposition to adding this piece of legislation to the agenda? Okay, as there doesn't appear to be anybody speaking in favor of or against at this point, I'd like to make a motion to add it to the agenda.

Councilman Shyne: Second.

**Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to add Resolution No. 128 of 2011 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

Confirmations and appointments: None.

#### **Consent Agenda Legislation.**

#### **To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:** None.

**Ordinances:** None.

**To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:** None.

**Ordinances:** None.

**Regular Agenda Legislation**

**Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading**

*The Clerk read the following:*

**RESOLUTION NO. 109 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT THE DONATION OF FIVE POLICE BICYCLES FROM THE MOUNTED PATROL SUPPORT GROUP, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport Police Department desires to accept the donation of five police mountain bikes, listed in Appendix "A" from the Mounted Patrol Support Group for the Shreveport Police Department, in Caddo Parish, Louisiana, which serves a public purpose and renders a public service; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.02 (e) of the City Charter allows the City to accept or refuse gifts, donations, bequests or grants from any source for any purpose related to the powers and duties of the city or the welfare of the inhabitants thereof, and provide for the care and investment of trust funds; and

WHEREAS, the Mounted Patrol Support Group is donating five police mountain bikes for the Shreveport Police Department for the City of Shreveport without any restrictions or conditions; and

WHEREAS, the estimated value of the five mountain bikes is \$6,000.00; and

WHEREAS, Section 26-186, et seq., of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances states that any donation over \$5,000 may be accepted only with approval of the city council; and

WHEREAS, this donation will enhance the performance of the duties of the Shreveport Police Department in the most cost effective manner.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to accept the donation of five police mountain bikes listed in Appendix "A" for use by the Shreveport Police Department and to execute an agreement between the City of Shreveport and the Mounted Patrol Support Group, Caddo Parish, Louisiana relative to same.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 110 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

**WHEREAS**, the property described in "Exhibit A", attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

**WHEREAS**, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-5A have failed; and

**WHEREAS**, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in "Exhibit A", attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-5A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this

resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

**AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 110 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

## **RESOLUTION NO. 110 OF 2011**

### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit "A" has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit "A" is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 111 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

**WHEREAS**, the property described in "Exhibit A", attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

**WHEREAS**, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-9A have failed; and

**WHEREAS**, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-9A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 111 OF 2011

(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO)

BY:

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

RESOLUTION NO. 111 OF 2011

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit “A” is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **RESOLUTION NO. 112 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

**WHEREAS**, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

**WHEREAS**, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-10A have failed; and

**WHEREAS**, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-10A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

#### AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 112 OF 2011

(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO)

BY:

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat

to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

#### Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

RESOLUTION NO. 112 OF 2011

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit “A” is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**RESOLUTION NO. 113 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

**WHEREAS**, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

**WHEREAS**, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-22A have failed; and

**WHEREAS**, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-22A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications **and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.**

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 113 OF 2011

(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO)

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 113 OF 2011

#### A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit “A”

is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **RESOLUTION NO. 114 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

**WHEREAS**, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

**WHEREAS**, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-23A have failed; and

**WHEREAS**, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-23A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 114 OF 2011

(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO)

BY:

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 114 OF 2011

#### A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the

property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit "A" is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **RESOLUTION NO. 115 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, the property described in "Exhibit A", attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

WHEREAS, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-26A have failed; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute

expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-26A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

#### AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 115 OF 2011

(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO)

BY:

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 115 OF 2011

#### A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit “A” is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 116 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

**WHEREAS**, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

**WHEREAS**, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-40A have failed; and

**WHEREAS**, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-40A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 116 OF 2011

(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO)

BY:

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced

property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

#### Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

RESOLUTION NO. 116 OF 2011

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit “A” is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**RESOLUTION NO. 117 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has developed the MILLENNIUM PROJECT PHASE II to revitalize an area of Shreveport; and

**WHEREAS**, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated in said development; and

**WHEREAS**, all attempts to amicably acquire fee title to the property comprising Parcel No: P-42A have failed; and

**WHEREAS**, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of this property is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney be and she is hereby authorized to institute expropriation proceedings against the owners of record, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, of the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, as Parcel No: P-42A, to be acquired in fee title.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin.**

**AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 117 OF 2011**

**(A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO)**

**BY:**

Amend the Background Information section of the Fact Sheet to now read as follows:

“La. R. S. 19:102 gives the City the right to expropriate property if the governing body for the municipality has determined a course to be necessary for the public interest. The property is located within the Ledbetter Heights area of the city and is intended for use by the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”). The Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area. The property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution. The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

-Amend the Resolution as follows:

Substitute the attached Resolution for the copy of the Resolution previously submitted.

---

#### Explanation of amendments

The following changes have been made in the substitute Resolution:

1. The first “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and”

2. The second “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

3. The third “WHEREAS” paragraph has been amended to now read as follows:

“WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;”

4. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph now reads as follows:

“WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the

property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution.”

5. A fifth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been added to now read as follows:

“The owners of the referenced property are either deceased, or have not responded to all efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property.”

6. The fourth “WHEREAS” paragraph has been designed as the sixth (6<sup>th</sup>) “WHEREAS” paragraph.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 117 OF 2011

#### A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INSTITUTION OF EXPROPRIATION PROCEEDINGS AGAINST CERTAIN DESCRIBED PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has developed the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project (“Project”), Project No. 07A001 as a means to revitalize an area of Shreveport commonly identified as “Ledbetter Heights”; and

WHEREAS, the property described in “Exhibit A”, attached hereto, is situated within the Project area; and

WHEREAS, the Project contemplates the revitalization of the Ledbetter Heights area by the elimination of blighted conditions within the area and the acquisition and redevelopment of property within the area;

WHEREAS, the property identified in Exhibit “A” has been cited by the Department of Property Standards for violation of the Chapter 38, the Property Standards ordinance. Acquisition of the property by the City of Shreveport will eliminate a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use or disuse of the property for a public purpose as authorized by Article 1 § 4(B)(2)(c) of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the referenced property are either deceased or have not responded to efforts by the City to amicably acquire the property; and

WHEREAS, public necessity dictates that this property be owned by and subject to use by the City of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the expropriation of the property described in Exhibit “A” is necessary for the public interest; therefore, the City Attorney is hereby authorized to institute

expropriation proceedings against the owners of record of the said property, as they might appear at the time of filing suit, the same to be acquired in fee title.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all resolutions or part thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Councilman Everson: Is there an amendment to this? I thought that the - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: There's an amendment

Councilman Everson: I understand that there was an amendment to the entirety of these that are - - - the City Attorney's (inaudible), sorry I couldn't see you there Terri. Could you come tell us what that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I don't believe that Mr. Thompson has these amendments. Is that correct?

Ms. Johnson: I have the hard copies.

Ms. Scott: The hard copies were delivered to the Council Office and the information just has to be hyperlinked to the agenda. There are actually amendments to Resolutions 110 through 118, which is all of the resolutions authorizing expropriations.

Councilman Everson: Yeah, I just don't see 'em on our - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: They're not on our agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well, I'd like to go ahead and make a motion then. Can we go ahead and orderly amend them?

Mr. Thompson: Well, she's going to give me the hard copies.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It's a resolution, I think it's orderly amended and conforming to what she put together.

Ms. Scott: I can, if you'd like, I can also explain for the record what the amendments do, and it's the same amendments that's done to all nine of the resolutions. Again, that's Resolutions 110 through Resolution 119. There's an explanation of the amendment that's provided, but basically what the resolutions do is to - - - I'm sorry what the amendments do, is to amend the resolution to clarify that there is authority under Article I, Section IV of the state constitution that allows the City of Shreveport to expropriate these properties. That provision of the constitution specifically

provides that - - - it defines what a public purpose is for purposes of an expropriation, and in this instance, the situation that applies is the removal of a threat to public health or safety caused by the existing use of disuse of the property. Each of these nine properties that are being considered for expropriation have property standards violations in them or on them. The property standards violations existed prior to the City's decision to expropriation them . The purpose of the expropriation is for the purpose of redeveloping the Ledbetter Heights area of the City of Shreveport. The project was actually created, I believe back in 2007 that is referred to in the amended resolution by its proper name which is the Ledbetter Heights Land Banking Project. It is this project that all of the properties that have been expropriated in the Ledbetter Heights area for purposes of revitalization and eliminating slum and blight in the Ledbetter area have been placed prior to the city's decision to further convey those properties for again, purposes of eliminating slum and blight, and for revitalization of that area. The nine resolutions that you have before you, the same amendments have been made to each and everyone of those resolutions. We've expanded the language in the 'whereas' paragraphs to clarify the purpose of the expropriation and to further state as I said, that they are for public purpose as authorized by the state constitution.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And Ms. Scott, can you tell me just so I understand why we're doing this, why weren't these properties when they're adjudicated taken, when they're up for tax sale by the city?

Ms. Scott: I really can't answer that Mr. Jenkins. What I will say is that we don't have a policy right now of looking to acquire all adjudicated properties within a certain area of the city. The approach to acquiring adjudicated properties has been random, and that's been primarily driven by request that we have gotten from requesting parties to acquire certain adjudicated properties. But as a whole, the city doesn't go out and decide that we're going to acquire all of the properties in a certain area unless there is a request for a particular purpose. Because then again, we now become responsible for maintaining those properties.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Understood. But I mean clearly there is a strategic vision, if you would, and many of those that were adjudicated in this particular section - - - I'm just curious to know why some were and some were not taken back by the city. As I look at this list, they date from the '80s and you know '90s.

Councilman Everson: The newest one is 10 years old.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yeah, and the most recent is 10 years old that's been adjudicated since 2001.

Ms. Scott: And I'm going to defer to the Mayor, but if you would, I'll say this. Until quite recently the Ledbetter Heights - - - there has not been the focus on the Ledbetter Heights area in particular for purposes of this discussion or the Ledbetter/Allendale area, if you will. Now there are a lot of projects, proposals and plans for redevelopment of the area that expands well beyond Ledbetter Heights. Ledbetter Heights is just a piece of a larger redevelopment that include, if you will the Community Development proposed project in the Allendale area. So this part and our focus on this area particularly is a part of the larger vision that we have.

Councilman Everson: And certainly I would note that the volunteer adjudication is taking from an adjudicated status is something that we have tried, even as much as a few weeks ago when we passed an ordinance to express the city's interest in 802 Cotton Street. That property, the Bnai Zion Temple, that we all pass by day-to-day, and seems to be holding us all hostage, is a property owner who doesn't care for our city. That property because of the avenue that we went, and attempted to gain ownership to it was redeemed by a third party, and will now continue to hold us hostage for some time to come. So, you know unfortunately, that is something that comes with that style of expressing an interest. Certainly there are downfalls to expropriation as well. And you know there are also special circumstances in this case of many of these property owners are unable to be contacted. Many of them are assumed to be deceased and others have just abandoned the property. Some of this has been abandoned and/or not had communication from an owner since before I could ride a bike. So this has been going on quite some time.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm more comfortable moving forward with the amendment that has been made as opposed to the original resolution and the explanation that's been provided to us today. You know Ledbetter Heights has a rich tradition many years back, but in recent time, the area has become blighted and began to deteriorate. There are really no businesses or anything really located down there that have not closed up. A lot of the houses are pretty much unoccupied. I think a lot of those houses were torn down, because a lot of these I believe that we are expropriating is basically empty lots, and it became an area of - - - well it became a haven somewhat for criminal activity. Drugs, prostitution, and things like that. A lot of the good people who used to live in that area that made it a good historically rich area. You know those people are no longer there. And if we can take this property now, and convert it to something that is more useful than what it has become, and if the stated reason is what is being presented to us today, it makes me a whole lot more comfortable and I will support it. I'm not a big supporter of expropriation proceedings, but I think in this particular instance, I think we're bringing a new day to an area that I think deserves to go in the direction where it's remembered as an area that's flourishing. We had some historians Mr. Chairman, who did some history on that area. I'm sure Councilman Shyne and others are familiar with it. You know a lot of sentimental attachments over the years, especially in the Black community is attached to Ledbetter Heights. And I think it was a shame it became what it did in recent years. And so I think this is a better approach to using the property, and hopefully it is something that will be up in that area a whole new look and a whole new exposure. I think many persons would welcome a change in that area and have something they could be more proud of than what it has become in recent years. For that reason, I will support the amended resolutions for these - - - I think it's 110 through 118. I support it for those reasons.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And Mr. Vice-Chair, I appreciate many of the things you're saying, but what I'm most concerned about frankly is the last one P47, which according to this data point, doesn't have any back taxes on it, and so that would be the one I would like the amendment postponed just so we can get full evaluation of that particular property in terms of this person (inaudible) it was unclaimed or not, it's got according to this, \$300 in violations in Code Enforcements by Property Standards which isn't a great deal, but somehow they paid the taxes on it which is shocking to me. So they must have some interest and maybe a little more offered on trying to locate the guy based on how they paid those taxes, I'd like to see us pursue at this point. I would support the postponing of that particular tract. That is one that when I did my own research

into these properties, that one had a little bit of confusing ownership situation going on with it, so just for the sake of (inaudible) and due diligence, I think it would be responsible for us to postpone that one trace, but I would ask for the support of the Council in passing/supporting an amended version for the passage of the other tracts.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Chairman, that was the one I was worried about. I agree with everything Councilman Jenkins said, and I'm in favor of this, but I was curious about this one on the end, the fact that it was not adjudicated and the taxes were paid, I thought we ought to give this person another chance to come forward before we just take it out from under him. So - - -

Ms. Scott: Members of the Council, we were having the discussion with Mr. Stadlander earlier today about that particular parcel, and we will work with him to try and - - - we do know who the property owner is, and we'll do a little extra effort to try to contact the property owner. If we can, if possible, we'll have some report for you by the July 12<sup>th</sup> Council Meeting on this. But we'll get back and do a little bit more due diligence on (inaudible).

Councilman O. Jenkins: Certainly who paid the taxes would be a good start.

Councilman Webb: Just out of curiosity, the one right above that where the gentleman or whoever made a counter offer three times more than what the original offer was, and yet the property had been adjudicated for - - -

Councilman Everson: 20 years.

Councilman Webb: Well (inaudible)

Councilman Everson: 12 years.

Councilman Webb: 12 years. I guess he just said, well why not put a little more and they'll give it to me, so we're still settling on the original offer and so basically I guess what I'm asking is if we don't have to go with the counter offer, we can just move forward with the original offer?

Ms. Scott: That's correct.

Councilman Webb: But that one is adjudicated?

Ms. Scott: Well and actually anytime you acquire public property has to be acquired with the benefit of an appraisal. So the amount that's shown here, the offer amount of \$5200 is the actual value of the property that was determined by our appraisal. The property owner has never submitted their appraisal to us, and so we don't know if they have one or not. And so until we are provided with an appraisal from the property owner, then our appraised value of the property stands. Of course between now and the time we take the next step with regards to this property or any of the others, that property owner has an appraisal that they want to submit to us, it gives some justification and basis for their counter offer. And of course we'll be happy to consider it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I just wanted as a point of clarification and you correct me on this also Ms. Scott, what we're doing today is authorizing you all to institute the proceedings which means there's going to be some proceedings. The persons involved are still going to have an opportunity to engage this whole situation. If we pass this today, no one's going to go and knock on somebody's door - - - and I don't think there's a lot of doors involved to be knocked on, but I don't want the public to think that what we're doing today is putting somebody out or something like that. That's really not what's going on. The persons who have ownership interest in these properties can still engage this situation. Bring up their side of the story. I've been on both sides of expropriation proceedings before. Sometimes things can get themselves worked out favorably for everybody whose involved. I'm just saying for the public sake, this is not a situation where the city is going to just walk in and snatch something from someone, and they don't have some say so in it.

Councilman Jenkins: And Councilman Jenkins, that's a great point, and actually (inaudible) to further act that out, one of the things that I would add is there is actually one operable business that still exist in the area. They do not want to sell, they'd like to continue operating their business there. So the business has been done around that to allow them to. This is simply to at some property owners, people who may be deceased. People who've not been contacting us back. And one who would like to get a little bit more. But he has that opportunity to plead his case for that. So, this certainly is not a case of compelling unwilling people to give up their property, this is something we've been cooperative.

Ms. Scott: And this only - - - this authorizes us to began the process. And there are many steps in the process, but this gives us authorization to actually start it.

Councilman Everson: So how do we (inaudible).

Mr. Thompson: The amendment has been offered, is there a motion to amend.

Councilman Everson: So moved to amend.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Second.

**Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Resolution No(s). 110 through 117 of 2011.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, can we make that amendment for all eight of them?

Mr. Thompson: For all of them except the last one?

Councilman Everson: Um hmm.

Mr. Thompson: Except for 118?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Except for 118.

Mr. Thompson: So, the amendment applies to 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, and 117?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yes.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before you vote, want to make sure - - - are we clear on what we specifically are going to be providing back to you all regarding the last parcel. We understand specifically what to request, what information we're going to be providing?

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I don't know whether he has anything to add to this, or he's just raising his hand up to say something.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yes, please come up. Does this have to do with what we're discussing here today? Please state your name and address for the record.

*Mr. Kevin Carter: (937 Travis [across the street from the studio])* I'm not sure exactly what parcel you're trying to allocate today, but I just wanted you to know that people do live there. We have our homes and we live there daily, its my family's home, it's been in our family for years. Just wanted y'all to know that. I'm not sure - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: I don't - - - I mean unless there's a grave mistake, from what we've got in front of us, your property is not on the list. It's not being considered today.

Councilman Everson: Certainly not. And I'd love to talk with you a little bit more and make sure that we have paid attention to your concerns. I'm your councilman for that area. So I'd love to talk with you afterward.

Mr. Thompson: And what was your address?

Mr. Carter: 937 Travis Street.

Councilman O. Jenkins: None of those on Travis Street appear on this.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You're near the corner of Travis and

Councilman Everson: He's east of the studio.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay. I want to say there's no inhabited residence that is subject to what we're doing here today. So we certainly don't want you to be confused. But Councilman Everson is your Councilman and he said he'll talk with you about it, but this is not something that we're trying to put you and your family out your home. Believe me I wouldn't go along with it.

Mr. Carter: I wasn't sure exactly what property were in question.

Councilman Everson: And I'll be glad to sit down with you and inspect all - - -

Councilman Shyne: And again, another young man had his - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Please come forward if you like, and state your name address for the council.

*Mr. Lewis Stringer: (518 W. 74<sup>th</sup>)* About my house, I supposed to have come down here yesterday. (Inaudible), yeah I got something to say about my taxes. I came down here to Mr. Lee upstairs, (inaudible) to pay and I said I can't pay my taxes.

Councilman O. Jenkins: No I think this is - - -

Councilman Shyne: This is a different - - -

Mr. Stringer: The people call me down here today and told me (inaudible)

Councilman Shyne: We're going to get to you a little bit later on. Not right now, but we're going to get to you a little bit later on.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Sorry about the confusion. Okay. So, if I'm not mistaken, we've got a motion to approve the amendment.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry. Let me tell him so he can go. Your issue will be postponed until the next council meeting. If you want to, you can stay around and we can talk about it, but we will not - - - it will not be discussed today. If you just hold up after - - - in a few minutes, I'll come down and talk to you one on one. Okay, I'm sorry Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, so we're clear, the motion is to amend 110-117. That motion is by Councilman Everson, seconded by the Vice-Chair. No further discussion at this point, lets vote.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Mr. Thompson: I suppose now, it would be proper to have a motion to approve 110 through 117.

Councilman Everson: So moved,.

Councilman Shyne: Second.

**Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Resolution No(s). 110 through 117 of 2011 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

8. **Resolution No. 118 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P47 (B/Everson)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NUMBER 119 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A DONATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND PROVENANCE DEVELOPMENT, L.L.C., HEREINAFTER CALLED “OWNER” AND ACTING HEREIN THROUGH PROVENANCE OPERATING COMPANY, L.L.C., MANAGER, FOR THE PRIVATE WATER AND SEWER MAIN EXTENSIONS AND RELATED FACILITIES TO SERVE PROVENANCE PHASE I UNIT C AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Shreveport a Donation Agreement with Provenance Development , L.L.C., represented by Provenance Operating Company, L.L.C., Manager, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the draft of said agreement which was filed for public inspection with the original draft of the resolution in the office of the City Council on June 14, 2011.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 120 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT TO APPOINT A PAYING AGENT AND REGISTRAR IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF NOT EXCEEDING EIGHTY-ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$81,500,000) OF GENERAL OBLIGATION**

**BONDS, SERIES 2011 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, STATE OF LOUISIANA AND PROVIDING WITH RESPECT TO OTHER MATTERS THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, by prior resolution, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the “City”) granted preliminary approval for the incurring of debt and issuance of not exceeding Eight-one Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$81,500,000) of General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 (the “Bonds”) of the City; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to achieve the issuance of the Bonds, the City, desires to appoint a Paying Agent and Registrar for the Bonds to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds and act as Registrar of the Bonds on the Bond register, all in accordance with the terms of the Bonds.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, BY THE City Council of the City of Shreveport (the “City”), in legal session convened, acting as the governing authority (the “Governing Authority”), thereof, that the City hereby appoints Regions Bank to act as Paying Agent with respect to the Bonds, in paying to the registered owners of the Bonds the principal, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds and to act as Registrar of the Bonds on the Bond register, all in accordance with the terms of the Bonds (the “Paying Agent/Registrar”).

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Governing Authority hereby authorizes and directs its Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Finance, and/or Clerk together with such other officials of the City (the “Authorized Officers”) to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out the provisions of these resolutions.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of these resolutions or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 121 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEES AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH WORLD CHANGERS THEREBY DONATING MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES TO PAINT AND/OR REPAIR 12 TO 14 HOMES OF QUALIFIED LOW INCOME HOMEOWNERS IN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport participates in façade improvement and/or paint and minor repair programs designed to identify citizens who have limited or no resources and whose home is in need of these services; and

WHEREAS, World Changers Ministries, an organization sponsored by the North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Church, will paint and/or repair 12 to 14 homes within the City of Shreveport between July 25, 2011 and July 29, 2011; and

WHEREAS, all persons assisted by World Changers will be low to moderate income homeowners residing in the Allendale, Caddo Heights, Cedar Grove, Highland, Lakeside, Martin Luther King, Mooretown, Queensborough, Westwood Park, and other areas of the city; and

WHEREAS, all work will be performed by volunteers and will enhance the quality of life for the citizens of Shreveport and directly improve the housing conditions thus providing a public benefit; and

WHEREAS, World Changers has sponsored at least ten (10) previous repair projects within the City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport through its Department of Community Development will provide a grant to fund this program that will allow World Changers to rehabilitate or repair approximately 12 to 14 homes during the week of July 25-29, 2011 which will be beneficial to the citizens, the future of the city and will serve a public purpose; and

WHEREAS, World Changers and the City of Shreveport desires to have the building permit fees waived; and

WHEREAS, the grant to fund this project and the waiver of the building permit fees is a permissible exception to Article 7 § 14 of the Louisiana State Constitution of 1974.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that building permit fees to paint or repair 12 to 14 homes by World Changers Ministries between July 26, 2011 and July 29, 2011 are hereby waived; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to enter into an Agreement with World Changers Ministries, an organization sponsored by the North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Church to donate materials and/or supplies for the painting and or repairing of 12 to 14 homes of property owners selected to participate in the World Changers project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 122 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ACCEPT THE DONATION OF CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS IN THE RED RIVER ENTERTAINMENT DISTRICT FROM ELDORADO CASINO SHREVEPORT JOINT VENTURE OR OTHER LEGAL NAME BY WHICH THE PROPERTY OWNER IS KNOWN; TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS RELATIVE THERETO; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has leased certain property (“Leased Premises”) located within the Red River Entertainment District to Eldorado pursuant to a May 19, 1999 Ground Lease between the City of Shreveport and Eldorado Casino Shreveport Joint Venture; and

WHEREAS, the Ground Lease permits Eldorado to construct improvements on the Lease Premises; and

WHEREAS, Eldorado has constructed improvements on the Leased Premises; and

WHEREAS, Eldorado now desires to donate to the improvements to the City of Shreveport with the exception of approximately 6,500 square feet of office space outlined on the Exhibit “A”, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

WHEREAS, the City desires to accept the donation of the improvements from Eldorado as a means to encourage redevelopment and further economic development of the Red River Entertainment District.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to accept the donation of certain improvements from Eldorado Casino Shreveport Joint Venture or other legal name by which the property owner is know and to execute all documents relative thereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 124 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION REJECTING BIDS RECEIVED FOR IFB #11-013 FOR THE 2011 DOWNTOWN PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY PHASE II AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, two (2) bids were received as a result of solicitations for the 2011 Downtown Pedestrian Walkway Phase II, IFB #11-013; and;

WHEREAS, the City has rejected the bids in accordance with La R.S. 38:2214 B, because the bids received were over budget;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the bids received on IFB #11-013 be rejected;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Chairman, I had an opportunity to look at the bids that were made. There's a couple of questions I wanted to ask about it. Both bids were above the budgeted amount. Is the budgeted amount going to be revisited? Is there some idea - - -

Mr. Sibley: Sometimes it is Mr. Jenkins, I think in this case, you have to - - - them exceeding the amount and also an issue with the forms, but generally there is some value engineering done that it appears that our budget is a bit low for the project. They may go back and refine the project and make it a little bit better.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's the impression I got from both of them to be above. And secondly, those two persons whose bids we are rejecting today, they will be able to re-bid if it comes back around?

Mr. Sibley: Um hmm.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Introduction of Resolutions** (*Not to be adopted prior to July 12, 2011*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 125 of 2011**: A resolution ratifying the use of certain equipment by Sportspectrum Race and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 126 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the use of certain equipment by Sportspectrum Race Management and River Cities Triathlon and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
3. **Resolution No. 127 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute grant documents with the U. S. Department of Justice, and otherwise provide with respect thereto.
4. **Resolution No. 128 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the execution of an agreement with the Shreveport-Bossier Convention and Tourist Bureau and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to introduce Resolution No(s). 125, 126, 127, and 128 of 2011 to lay over until the next regular meeting.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Thompson, we didn't miss the one that was added to the agenda, did we?

Mr. Thompson: I read that one Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Corbin: We added it, but we didn't vote.

Mr. Thompson: It was added, and then it was read to be introduced.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Introduction of Ordinances** (*Not to be adopted prior to July 12, 2011*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 69 of 2011**: An ordinance providing for the incurring of debt and the issuance and sale of Eighty-One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$81,500,000) of General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana; Acceptance of the bid

and award of bonds to purchase; Prescribing the form, terms and conditions of said bonds; Designating the date, denomination and place of payment of said bonds; Providing for the payment thereof in principal and interest; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

2. **Ordinance No. 70 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

3. **Ordinance No. 71 of 2011**: An ordinance establishing Dog Parks within the City of Shreveport by adding Sections 62-67 through 62-74 of Article II of Chapter 62, Department of Public Assembly of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

4. **Ordinance No. 72 of 2011**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Hassett Avenue, and Penick Street as a Stop Intersection and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

5. **Ordinance No. 73 of 2011**: ZONING - C-45-11: An Ordinance Amending Chapter 106 Of The Code Of Ordinances, The City Of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the SW corner of Pennsylvania Avenue and Youree Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, be and the same is hereby changed **from SPI-3(B-1), Commercial Corridor Overlay (Buffer Business) District to SPI-3-E(B-1), Commercial Corridor Overlay/Extended Use (Buffer Business) District limited to “sewing machine sales and service” only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to introduce Ordinance No(s). 69, 70, 71, 72, and 73 of 2011 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage** (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 56 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport by repealing Division 9 of Article V. of Chapter 26 (The Solid Waste Collection Fee Special Revenue Fund) and by adding Division 9 of Article V. of Chapter 26 relative to establishing a Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed June 14, 2011*)

Mr. Thompson: There was some discussion of postponing this.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes, I was going to postpone that one and until such time that we looked at tabled legislation on No. 185. But since it's there, let me just speak briefly on it if I could. I do believe and I do hope that we will give some deep consideration if an opportunity presents itself where we can address the condition of our streets around the city. We have a significant backlog of street repairs somewhere in the neighborhood of \$71,000,000. And I'm sure all of us on this

Council get calls from time to time to address the condition of our streets. We're bringing in and annexing new areas where there's going to be the responsibility for street maintenance, and even now with our discussions on 3132, there could be some financial implications. I hope that we will give some deep consideration to addressing it. If we can go in, in a significant way and really address our streets, all the main thoroughfares that are going through the city and try to make some repairs, I think it adds to the legacy in my opinion of this Mayor and this Council with addressing our infrastructure issue, something that all of us campaigned on. So with that in mind - - - you know I know this is going to come back around, I know this is a difficult decision as far as what steps would be taken to fund it, but I've asked my colleagues to give some deep consideration to that, this could be an opportunity of a lifetime. It really could be. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

**Having passed first reading on May 24, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Jenkins to postpone until the next regular meeting.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay now, I'd like to make a motion to table this because we're going to have to bring the other one off the table to begin with as opposed to just postponing it every week.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Lets do it this way, so I can be clear on what I'm doing. I would like to consider this legislation, some companion legislation to No. 185. So whenever 185 comes off the table, then I want this item to come off the table also. Would that serve the same purpose Mr. Chairman?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yeah, all I'm saying is it's in Tabled Legislation, so we bring 'em both off the table at the same time, without having to revisit it every (inaudible)

Councilwoman McCulloch: When you say both, you talking about the two amendments?

Councilman S. Jenkins: No, see we've got Ordinance No. 185 of 2010 that deals with the SWEPCO Franchise, and then we got this one that we're discussing now. This is No. 56 Councilwoman McCulloch. I think they're companions to one another, at least that's the intent. And what we're talking about doing now is just bringing No. 56, make it Tabled Legislation with the understanding that whether I'm here or not, Councilman Shyne, they both come off the table at the same time.

Mr. Thompson: So, the motion is to table until Ordinance No. 185 of 2010 is considered.

Councilman O. Jenkins: That's my motion.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Second.

Councilman Shyne: Cause that ain't where you going to get your money from Sam.

**Substitute motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to table Ordinance No. 56 of 2011 until Ordinance No. 185 of 2010 is considered. Motion approved by**

**the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

2. **Ordinance No. 63 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

**Having passed first reading on June 14, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson.**

*The Clerk read the following:*

#### **AMENDMENT TO ORDINANCE 63 OF 2011**

Amendment Number 1 by Councilman Corbin to Ordinance Number 137 of 2010, an Ordinance amending the 2011 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

#### **In Program C:**

Establish a new project **Inner Loop Extension Project (11C001)** with the appropriation of \$498,048. Funding source is \$300,000 from 1999 GOB's and \$198,048 from 2001 GOB's. The scope of this project is to extend the Inner Loop (LA 3132) from its present terminus at Flournoy-Lucas Road to the Caddo-Bossier Port (ROW, Utilities, Design). This project replaces Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004).

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

#### **EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENT:**

The Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report dated January, 1996, listed \$3,500,000 for a project styled "Inner Loop Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design)".

Resolution No. 22 of 1996 accepted and approved the Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report, dated January, 1996, and stated that the bond proceeds "shall be applied, to the extent feasible, to the specific projects related to" each proposition approved by the voters.

A special election was held on April 20, 1996, to authorize the incurring of debt; and Proposition 4, which included \$3,500,000 for the Inner Loop Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design), was approved by the voters.

The 1997 through 2007 Capital Improvement Budgets contained an Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004), substantially funded with 1996 and 1998 GOB, Prop. 4 funds, with the following project description: "This project extends the Inner Loop from its present terminus at Bert Kouns

to Highway 1, with the initial phase going only as far as Flournoy-Lucas Road. The City's 1996 bond issue funded design and right of way acquisition".

The Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004) was closed in 2007, after the extension of LA 3132 (the Inner Loop) from Burt Kouns to Flournoy Lucas was completed, and the \$498,048 that remained in the project was placed in un-appropriated bond funds (1999 Proposition 4).

The Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004) was prematurely closed because the Inner Loop does not now extend to the Port of Shreveport-Bossier.

This amendment reestablishes the Inner Loop Extension Project in the Capital Improvement Budget in the amount of \$498,048 for ROW, Utilities and Design. The funding sources will be \$300,000 from 1999 GOB's and \$198,048 from 2001 GOB's.

**Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 63 of 2011.**

Councilman S. Jenkins: While you're reading Mr. Chairman, is Amendment No. 2, is that tied to - -?

Councilman Everson: That's different information that we (inaudible)

Mr. Thompson: That's different.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's going to be separate?

Councilman Everson: Um hmm.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Amendment Number 2 by to Ordinance Number 137 of 2010**

An Ordinance amending the 2011 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**In Program A (Buildings and Improvements):**

Increase the appropriation for **Intermodal Bus Facility (10A002)** by \$122,000. Funding source is State DEQ Brownfields Grant.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

**EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENT:**

This project provides for the construction of a new Intermodal Bus Facility in Shreveport utilizing Federal Transit Administration funding. It relocates the Greyhound Bus Terminal from its current downtown site and creates an opportunity for development of an urban park directly in front of the Convention Center. The new facility will also create additional facilities for SporTran. The site selected for the new bus facility is the old City Hall Annex on Murphy Street which will be demolished. The site was selected due to the optimum location at the crossroads of I-20 and I-49 and for the opportunity to redevelop a City property that was no longer viable. This amendment will allocate Brownfields grant funding provided by State DEQ for hazardous material removal at the Annex prior to demolition.

Funding source: State of Louisiana, DEQ Brownfields Grant

**Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 63 of 2011.**

Councilman Everson: Does everybody understand this? This is the information we got today.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, we do have Ms. Ragle here if the Council would like to get a little bit more background. This is Greyhound relocation.

Ms. Ragle-Stone:

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, we do have Ms. Ragle here if the Council would like to get a little bit more background. This is Greyhound relocation.

Ms. Ragle-Stone: We gave you some information today just to give you some background on the Greyhound relocation project. That was what the intermodal bus terminal is referenced in this budget amendment. The budget amendment is actually to recognize some grant funds that we received to do environmental abatement at the existing city or the old city hall annex site. We will have a public hearing on that abatement on July the 5<sup>th</sup>. That's on of the requirements the Brownfield money, thought I'd announce it here today too, so that people will know it'll be at the Municipal Auditorium. There's more information about that meeting on our website. But to give you some background, in 2006, the city applied for and received a federal transportation grant. That grant was given to us to relocate the Greyhound from downtown to another location. And the reason for that at the time was to make space, green space in downtown that would connect the core downtown to the new convention center. Once we developed that objective of relocating, we applied for the funds, and we received them. At the same time at SPAR, we were at that time talking with the former administration about the problems we were having at the city hall annex. And that was the facility that was at that time more than 40 years old. Still had 40 year old equipment, (inaudible) facilities, hadn't had a lot of work done to it, and we were finding it more and more difficult everyday to keep employees comfortable in there. There's a lot of people who officed in there that could probably tell you how uncomfortable they were. We had about 170 employees in there. So we were also at the time trying to figure out how to do a project there. And we had brought in a couple of consultants to look at it, and the cost to renovate the annex was more than \$4,000,000. And we knew that wasn't in our budget. So all of this came about and at the same

time, Greyhound began looking for space. We looked at over nine locations, Gene and the representatives from Greyhound, and they were really and truly at the end of the day, the old annex site was the preferred location because of the easy access to both I-49 and I-20. So once that was determined, we had to go about moving everybody out of the annex. And the update I've given you on this sheet of paper, some of our action steps, how we did that. We moved over 170 employees from the annex over the last four years to different locations throughout the city. And we did that for \$1.7 (million) which is a lot less than the \$4,000,000 to renovate the annex. Since that time, we've been working hard to demolish the annex. We're working with Greyhound to complete a contract with them to solidify our agreement about building a new space, we've been working on securing plans to plan development for the new Greyhound facility, and we're just about ready to start demolition of the annex. So we wanted today to give you an update, and what I've given you like I said, when you take the time to look at it, if you have any questions for me. We've tried to give you the broad general action steps that we're taking. Who they're assigned to, and then at the back, we've given you a timeline. And this timeline is based on benchmarks, normal benchmarks, plus the assumption we get a grant number from Greyhound within the next 30, I mean from the FTA within the next 30 days. That grant number gives us the ability to go ahead and proceed. So as you can see everything's perfect. I will tell you that nothing's been perfect on this project. So I'm a little nervous in saying if everything's perfect, we should be - - - the new Greyhound facility should be up and operational by October of next year.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is it our grant money that's being used to - - -?

Ms. Ragle-Stone: On that first page it tells you that we received \$2.156 (million) from the FTA for the project. One of the things, you know sometimes good things come when you wait. The original Greyhound facility they first proposed was much larger than the one they're proposing today. We feel very confident that we've (inaudible) the building, the grant is more than sufficient to pay for the rebuilding of a new Greyhound facility. So maybe waiting was okay at the time, I don't think we thought (inaudible) today as we actually scaled the building back down.

Councilman Webb: And that money is paying for the demolition?

Ms. Ragle-Stone: Yes sir. Brownfield money is paying for the abatement, the environmental abatement. And then the rest of the demolition is coming from the grant.

Councilman Everson: Yeah, this is certainly been (inaudible) I recognize Shelly for her hard work on this, because this has certainly been where the city hall annex has had some interesting things come up. Apparently it's historic, just by anyone's - - - you know no one seem to agree with that, and now finally I think we have an official acknowledgement that it is not historic. But for sometime it was considered that way for a short period. So there are a number of things that have kind of rich in this and I commend you for sticking through it and making sure that that be carried out. Because I think in the long run, this will be a great thing.

Councilman Shyne: I kinda have a personal feeling for anything that's old and you're trying to get rid of it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Why is that?

Councilman Shyne: I won't go into that, I just wanted you all to know that.

Councilwoman McCulloch: This says that the funding will be available by 10/12. Is that correct?

Ms. Ragle-Stone: No.

Councilwoman McCulloch: The monies will be the grant?

Ms. Ragle-Stone: The grant money is already there. We're waiting - - - what happens with the FTA is we get what they call a grant number, and that number is what gives us the authority to go ahead and spend. The application has been in and they've been awarded these funds for quite some time. That 10/12 date if you look on that timeline is actually telling you 10/12 of next year. I think 10/1 of next year is when we hope that the building will be complete.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Actually I saw 1/12 date existing grant Greyhound facility plans (inaudible) existing site, January 31. That's a different date there?

Ms. Ragle-Stone: We'll have to go out - - - once we - - - the other thing that happened in the time we waited to begin this project is Greyhound built one of these Greyhound facilities in another city. And we'll really be using prototype of a plan they did before, so we're going to save a lot of money on design fees that will go out for bid to design it to our specific site, and then we'll have to go out for construction bids before we begin construction.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So that's why you extended it to January 2012?

Ms. Ragle-Stone: Yes.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, just if I may add onto just - - - I think it's important for the Council and everyone to recognize that Shelly, Jim Holt, Gene Eddy, Terri and the people that we put together on that team have really up against the clock also. We were in jeopardy of losing this grant funds if a lot of things didn't happen within a certain time period. And one of the most critical things and I'm not sure when Ms. Breaux, Secretary of State, Lieutenant Governor's office, but the major impediment we had with the annex, they still declared it historical Jeff, but they just said we could go ahead and get rid of it. But were kinda at a standstill with the Feds, and everybody had kinda hit a wall and Shelly had a conversation with Ms. Breaux and really want to give Ms. Breaux credit for breaking through that wall for us with the Feds and allowing us to get what we needed, so that FTA would go ahead and provide the funds and this project would be completed. Otherwise we were really in a bind combined with the lack of funds as well as being up against the clock in terms of committing the use of those grant funds. So I just wanted to - - - and (inaudible) everybody, we thank these guys. No one would ever know how much work, I think Jeff has an appreciation seeing some of that how much work has really gone into - - - and keep in mind, we had to move at least three different departments out of that building. That has happened over the past year along with the VA Office that was in that building. But these guys did a tremendous job and assured that we

didn't lose that funding, keeping everything on track and hope that soon we would have a nice green space up there by the convention center, Greyhound Bus Station over on the old annex site, and that's just another piece of our downtown plan that's kinda come together. So just wanted to take a moment to really thank those guys for that.

Mayor Glover: And Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, I want to extend on that. I agree with everything that Dale has said with regard to Shelly and Gene and the rest of the folks who have helped to push this effort forward, but I also want to express appreciation to two individuals who throughout this timeframe have served as the heads of the Office of - - - that's in the Lt. Gov's office, Cultural Recreation and Tourism, I guess is the specific area that has jurisdiction over these matters, but (inaudible) who served on a temporary basis as Lt. Governor and now Jay Dardenne, who was elected to the balance of now Mayor Landrieu, the balance of his term, both of those folks were called upon when they served in the capacity of Lt. Gov, both of them responded in yeoman's fashion and specifically as Dale just mentioned they tasked Pam Breaux who despite here South Louisiana origin is not related to the Senator, but she was very capable in helping to bring this whole thing to fruition. It has been one of those frustrating efforts where you're trying to get something done, but you find yourself bumping up against bureaucratic processes that sometimes don't make a whole lot of sense, but have to be navigated in order for the effort to go forward. So again, along with Dale, (inaudible) thanks and appreciation to all.

Ms. Ragle-Stone: And we'll try and keep this timeline, now that we feel like the project - - - really rolling. We felt like we rolled before and then we'd come to stops and we'd roll again. But now that we feel like its really rolling, we'll try to keep this updated and give it to you. And if you have any questions, we'll send it to you electronically if you have any questions in the meantime about any of the projects that we did. Several of those were completed before your administration, you took office. So, if you have any questions or want to know more about it, any of us Jim, Gene, myself, Terri, can answer them for you. But it is a project we're excited about and I can tell you those 170 employees that we moved out of the annex on a day like today are really thrilled not to be in that facility and to be somewhere that's newer and with newer equipment. So thank y'all.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt Ordinance No. 63 of 2011 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

3. **Ordinance No. 64 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the Public Safety Grants Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on June 14, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson.**

**Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 64 of 2011**

Relative to Amending the 2011 Budget for the Public Safety Grants Special Revenue Fund

In the Section titled “2008 and Prior Year Receipts”, in the fourth line, delete the phrase “Shreveport Year Long 2011” and substitute the phrase “Shreveport Enforcement Project 2011.”

Explanation of amendment:

Corrects an error in the title of the project.

**Motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 64 of 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt Ordinance No. 64 of 2011 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

4. **Ordinance No. 65 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on June 14, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: The \$50,000 was for computers?

Mr. Thompson: That’s correct.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I appreciate the additional information that I received on this. It helped me to get a better understanding for the need for it, so I do support it.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

5. **Ordinance No. 66 of 2011**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Ferrell Drive and Trevor Drive as a stop intersection, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

**Having passed first reading on June 14, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

6. **Ordinance No. 67 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and replacing Section 90-198, maximum limits on specific streets, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch/G/S. Jenkins)

**Having passed first reading on June 14, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion fails by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Ayes: None.**

7. **Ordinance No. 68 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Airports Enterprise Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on June 14, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I recognize we weren't necessarily a part of the budgetary process of last year, but certainly this year for things like that, I would like to see these non-funded clearly indicated in the budget. And I don't mean when a copier that breaks down, but if somebody notices carpet repair that needs to be fixed all across an airport, that is something that I'd like to see on that list so that I'm not surprised when it comes up and we find ourselves with some extra funds midway through the year that we should fund it. Because that's to me what an unfunded need should be. That's the way I look at it and maybe not everybody agrees, but I'm making that statement.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I totally agree Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Webb: What is the \$40,000 contractual services?

Mr. Bill Cooksey: (Shreveport Regional Airport) Good afternoon. (Inaudible) for clarity, I'd just like to kinda run through this list of what we've done with this money. And certainly Chairman I do understand your concern about things that should have been budgeted properly on the front end including the copier for \$10,000. The items where we've had to go back and ask for a budget amendment included a copier for \$10,000. The other copier was 11+ years old and should have been budgeted, but unfortunately was not. And we had to basically try to figure out how to fund that from other options. Parking equipment for \$19,000 is in that request. As you recall last year, we changed parking operators after the long battle with Nationwide Parking, we had to go out and purchase new parking equipment, software on all the machines to actually tabulate how much people have on their parking. There's \$55,000 in there for Air Traffic Control Tower, Shreveport Regional Airport. Basically they're working in about a 40 year old tower. And we've had some major air conditioning and blast repairs that have to occur. The blast repairs are related to safety. We're getting glare off those windows. And that is reimbursable from the FAA through (inaudible). In addition among the equipment that's just simply breaking down. We have old equipment. We (inaudible) our equipment well beyond the (inaudible). We did ask for money for

flooring, primary reasons I discussed yesterday, our flooring is coming apart, and in my opinion, if we let it continue to erode, it's just going to be financially (inaudible) one year at a time, especially in the areas around the checkpoints for TSA. Given the number of customer complaints and from TSA, which is the complaining and TSA is actually a rather larger tenant of the airport giving up their leases they have at Regional. At downtown airport, we asked for \$40,000 for Taxiway Romeo, taxiway R. This is money we don't want to spend. We're going to first go back to (inaudible) DFTD Aviation and ask them to fund that, find some discretionary money before spend money. We don't want to spend airport money if there's a way to get it funded, (inaudible) the Feds or by the State DOT. Finally the air conditioning for \$10,000. The downtown airport again, another old air conditioning system has been mandated. Should have been budgeted higher last year. This year the airport staff has a much different budgeting plan. Are there any questions?

Councilman S. Jenkins: How old is the airport, the Regional Airport, how old is that (inaudible) out there.

Mr. Cooksey: The original terminal dates back to 1951 and second to the H-shaped concourse was opened in 1971. Now the irony, the control tower cab was built on the facility in '51 and the cab was relocated to the new tower in the '70s.

Councilman S. Jenkins: So it's a combination of the old and the new?

Mr. Cooksey: Well it's kind of a Frankenstein. We're all looking forward to moving to a new tower, but we're about \$40,000,000 shy of federal funding to do that. That is certainly part of our goal. We've trying to band aide the existing tower, until we can relocate to a new one. But the existing terminal, the newer terminal is from 1999, November 15, 1999 is when we opened it. And the flooring other than a few areas in the common atrium has not been upgraded. Reality, useful life of that flooring given the traffic that we have should be 6-8 years. But we've just simply stretched it well beyond that time.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well Bill we have a very attractive Regional Airport there, and I know some steps have to be taken to keep it up, but like I said I share with Mr. Chairman, as far as - - - and this, of course you've kinda commented on this, kinda late, midstream or whatever. But you know some things like that, it would be good on the front end of your budget, that that be put in there. We understand that the money is needed to keep it up. This is a very attractive building. I think it's one of the jewels of our city. Hopefully as we go into this next budget process, if you got any old copiers back in there you're trying to throw out the window, gone and put it on the front end.

Mr. Cooksey: If I have a copier next year, I'm going to fund it myself. I mean and the key issue for me is safety issues we're having in the control tower. The fact that there's things in that terminal that simply we want out. And we can't. We can only bite off (inaudible) a section or a little bit each year on this.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Did you say that some items are reimbursable, in other words, we've got to pay up front and they'll reimburse us a portion of the money?

Mr. Cooksey: Yes sir. On many of the GS Government Services Administration contracts, GSA contracts with the airport. They asked the airport to provide maintenance, repairs or janitorial. That is reimbursable to the airport. In the case of the control tower, that will be reimbursed at the initial term of the lease

Councilman S. Jenkins : And let me ask a somewhat maybe unrelated questions. After all now the airport is one of our enterprise funds. Have we ever looked into, thought about or hashed over the idea of developing some of that property, and there's a pretty big footprint, other than the crew that's there now, but have you given any thought ahead to maybe constructing some things there on the property that could be leased or rented out or something?

Mr. Cooksey: Yes sir, absolutely. We actually have Air Cargo West, which is our new \$30,000,000 facility. We do have some specs space in that facility, unfortunately, the 2008 economic collapse, that does have a more speculative space than we anticipated due to the minus of a few of our tenants, including the postal service. In front of that, we have a future warehouse district that we have proposed, actually had a very large tenant. We were getting close to several years ago. To our south, we have Aero Park, and we competed constantly for new tenants for Aero Park, especially aviation related companies. So yes, we have multiple warehouse and industrial parks, and we're constantly working (inaudible) anything on the development. The mayor's office, Curt (inaudible) team and others to find new tenants for those properties.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, thank you Mr. Chairman.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

*The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:*

### **ORDINANCE NO. 63 OF 2011**

#### **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2011 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2011 Budget for Capital Improvements.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 137 of 2010, the Capital Improvements Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

#### **In Program A (Buildings and Improvements):**

Increase the appropriation for **SPAR Arts Center Replacement Project (10A001)** by \$2,506,000. Funding sources are private donations and State DEQ Brownfields Grant.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 137 of 2010 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **Amendment Number to Ordinance Number 137 of 2010**

An Ordinance amending the 2011 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

#### **In Program C:**

Establish a new project **Inner Loop Extension Project (11C001)** with the appropriation of \$498,048. Funding source is \$300,000 from 1999 GOB's and \$198,048 from 2001 GOB's. The scope of this project is to extend the Inner Loop (LA 3132) from its present terminus at Flournoy-Lucas Road to the Caddo-Bossier Port (ROW, Utilities, Design). This project replaces Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004).

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

#### **EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENT:**

The Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report dated January, 1996, listed \$3,500,000 for a project styled "Inner Loop Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design)".

Resolution No. 22 of 1996 accepted and approved the Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report, dated January, 1996, and stated that the bond proceeds "shall be applied, to the extent feasible, to the specific projects related to" each proposition approved by the voters.

A special election was held on April 20, 1996, to authorize the incurring of debt; and Proposition 4, which included \$3,500,000 for the Inner Loop Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design), was approved by the voters.

The 1997 through 2007 Capital Improvement Budgets contained an Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004), substantially funded with 1996 and 1998 GOB, Prop. 4 funds, with the following project description: "This project extends the Inner Loop from its present terminus at Bert Kouns

to Highway 1, with the initial phase going only as far as Flourney-Lucas Road. The City's 1996 bond issue funded design and right of way acquisition".

The Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004) was closed in 2007, after the extension of LA 3132 (the Inner Loop) from Burt Kouns to Flourney Lucas was completed, and the \$498,048 that remained in the project was placed in un-appropriated bond funds (1999 Proposition 4).

The Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004) was prematurely closed because the Inner Loop does not now extend to the Port of Shreveport-Bossier.

This amendment reestablishes the Inner Loop Extension Project in the Capital Improvement Budget in the amount of \$498,048 for ROW, Utilities and Design. The funding sources will be \$300,000 from 1999 GOB's and \$198,048 from 2001 GOB's.

### **Amendment Number 2 by to Ordinance Number 137 of 2010**

An Ordinance amending the 2011 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

#### **In Program A (Buildings and Improvements):**

Increase the appropriation for **Intermodal Bus Facility (10A002)** by \$122,000. Funding source is State DEQ Brownfields Grant.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

#### **EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENT:**

This project provides for the construction of a new Intermodal Bus Facility in Shreveport utilizing Federal Transit Administration funding. It relocates the Greyhound Bus Terminal from its current downtown site and creates an opportunity for development of an urban park directly in front of the Convention Center. The new facility will also create additional facilities for SporTran. The site selected for the new bus facility is the old City Hall Annex on Murphy Street which will be demolished. The site was selected due to the optimum location at the crossroads of I-20 and I-49 and for the opportunity to redevelop a City property that was no longer viable. This amendment will allocate Brownfields grant funding provided by State DEQ for hazardous material removal at the Annex prior to demolition.

Funding source: State of Louisiana, DEQ Brownfields Grant

### **ORDINANCE NO. 64 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2011 BUDGET FOR THE PUBLIC SAFETY GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it desirable to amend the 2011 budget for the Public Safety Grants Special Revenue Fund, to adjust revenues and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 147 of 2010, the 2011 budget for the Public Safety Grants Special Revenue Fund, be amended and re-enacted as follows:

**In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):**

**2008 and Prior-Year Receipts:**

Increase Prior-Year PSN – Anti Gang Initiative 2009 by \$100,

Increase Prior-Year Multi Jurisdictional Task Force 2010 by \$19,000,

Increase New Budget AFIS 2011 by \$2,300,

Decrease New Budget Shreveport Year Long 2011 by \$15,700,

**In Section 2 (Appropriations):**

**From 2008 and Prior Years Revenues:**

In Prior-Year PSN – Anti Gang Initiative 2009, Decrease Personal Services by \$5,100 and Increase Contractual Services by \$5,200,

In Prior-Year Multi Jurisdictional Task Force 2010, Decrease Personal Services by \$800, Increase Contractual Services by \$3,200 and Increase Improvements and Equipment by \$16,600,

In New Budget AFIS 2011, Increase Personal Services by \$2,300,

In New Budget Shreveport Year Long 2011, Increase Personal Services by \$16,400 and Decrease Improvements and Equipment by \$32,100.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 147 of 2010 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance, or the application thereof, is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other sections of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 64 of 2011**

Relative to Amending the 2011 Budget for the Public Safety Grants Special Revenue Fund

In the Section titled “2008 and Prior Year Receipts”, in the fourth line, delete the phrase “Shreveport Year Long 2011” and substitute the phrase “Shreveport Enforcement Project 2011.”

Explanation of amendment:

Corrects an error in the title of the project.

**ORDINANCE NO. 65 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2011 WATER AND SEWERAGE ENTERPRISE FUND BUDGET, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2011 budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 142 of 2010, the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase Improvements and Equipment by \$50,000

Increase W & S Main Improvements by \$450,000

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$500,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 142 of 2010 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 66 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF FERRELL DRIVE AND TREVOR DRIVE AS A STOP INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in

legal and regular session convened to create and establish the intersection of Ferrell Drive and Trevor Drive as a stop intersection. Ferrell Drive shall stop at Trevor Drive.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or**

the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts**

thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 68 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2011 AIRPORTS ENTERPRISE FUND BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the Shreveport City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2011 Airports Enterprise Fund Budget to appropriate additional funds needed for the operation of the Regional and the Downtown Airports.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 141 of 2010, the 2011 budget for the Airport Enterprise Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$274,000

Increase Contractual Services by \$40,000

Increase Improvements & Equipment by \$234,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 141 of 2010, as amended, shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **Tabled legislation.**

1. **Ordinance No. 178 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the General Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Tabled January 25, 2011*)

2. **Ordinance No. 179 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the Metropolitan Planning commission's Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (E/Webb) (*Tabled January 25, 2011*)

3. **Ordinance No. 185 of 2010**: An ordinance granting to the Southwestern Electric Power Company the right, privilege, and franchise to acquire, construct, erect, maintain, repair, reconstruct, and operate a system of electric power lines, wires, transformers, communication cables, and other related and necessary or desirable appurtenances in, under, over, across, through, and along any and all of the present and future streets, avenues, alleys, thoroughfares, roads, highways, sidewalks bridges, and public properties of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana for the purpose of transmitting and distributing electric power to the city and its inhabitants and any other person or persons, firms, and corporations for a term of twenty-five years, regulating the use of streets by the company and repair and restoration of the streets disturbed by construction; Providing for compensation to be paid to the City; Providing that this franchise shall not be exclusive; Providing the company's obligations to furnish efficient service; Providing for indemnity by the company to the city; Providing for conditional forfeiture in event of default by the company; Making miscellaneous provisions relative to this grant of franchise; Providing for acceptance by company; Providing a severability clause; Providing an effective date, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled February 22, 2011*)

#### **Appeals**

## Property Standards Appeals

Mr. Thompson: Under appeals, we had one that was postponed until today.

**HBO1000057:** 518 W. 74<sup>th</sup> Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (F/Shyne) Mr. Lewis Stringer, 518 W. 74<sup>th</sup> Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (F/Shyne) (*Postponed June 27, 2011 until June 28, 2011*)

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I believe Mr. Stringer left out of the Chamber. And evidently (inaudible) young man like that. And I don't see Jim here so. So, I'll direct this to you Mr. Sibley. I wish someone could contact him that this has been postponed. When is the next Council Meeting day? Yeah, right.

Mr. Sibley: Which one is it Mr. Shyne?

Councilman Shyne: For July 11<sup>th</sup> at the Work Session. And if you can have any information, if you would make it clear to him that if he has not done anything to improve the structure, I will pray over it, but I will probably move to let Jim and his organization go through with demolition. And you know I am a very passionate person.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to postpone until July 11, 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

*No other appeals were considered in this meeting.*

## Reports from officers, boards, and committees

### Clerk's report

**The Committee "rises and reports"** (reconvenes the regular council meeting).

**Adjournment:** There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 4:58 p.m.

---

*//s// Oliver Jenkins, Chairman*

---

*//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council*