



## **Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana**

*June 14, 2011*

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Oliver Jenkins at 3:13 p.m., Tuesday, June 14, 2011, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Father Andrew Cuomo, Elizabeth Ann Seton Catholic Church

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Webb.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

**Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, May 23, 2011 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, May 24, 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

### **Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, Communications of the Mayor relative to city business, and Required Reports**

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Councilman O. Jenkins: We're now at the point of distinguished guests, and before starting, I'd like to - - - at this point, thank the Mayor for a proclamation. It's of a personal nature, but I'm happy to share it with the rest of the Chamber today. You were (inaudible) enough to write a proclamation, and in honor of my grandmother who turned 100 last week. So that was a significant event for our family. Certainly appreciate your efforts. She's a woman who was born here in Shreveport in 1911, and has lived basically 86 of her 100 years here in Shreveport. So, that was a big event. So, I'll move on to maybe more formal matters at this point. Obviously apparent to us that that the folks at Willis Knighton are here in force again, and good to see y'all again. Good to see y'all here and thank you for coming down and being part of our legislative and public process here today. At this point, do any other council members like to recognize somebody. Councilman Everson?

Councilman Everson: I do want to recognize - - - I believe the students from Biz Camp have joined us today. Are there some students here from Biz Camp, or maybe they're in the hallway. It's tough to get in here, but certainly if they do arrive later, we'd like to recognize them. It's a great program that teaches youth and underprivileged parts of our community the basics of how to run a business and how to be an entrepreneur. It's kind of a start up class for underprivileged young people. And in addition to that, I'd also like to recognize and thank the Fire Department for their quick and effective, although late to be noticed response to a fire that was in the Highland community today. The call, by the time it came in, the house was very fully engulfed in flames. They did respond very promptly and get the fire under control so that nobody was injured, but there was a historic house that was heavily, heavily damaged and probably will be lost, but certainly want to thank the Fire Department for their speedy and effective response to that call.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry Councilman Everson, Sharon just wanted to let you know that the Biz Camp guys are outside.

Councilman Everson: Let 'em in, let's recognize 'em real quick.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, while they're on their way in, I'd like to recognize the Mayor's cousin, Mr. Willie Bradford. Is Willie still here?

Councilwoman McCulloch: He stepped out.

Councilman Shyne: I guess Mr. Mayor, he's on his way to the bank.

Mayor Glover: He's on his way to do whatever Mr. Elrod tells him to do.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, let's not start there. Okay, Councilman Jenkins.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes, I wanted to recognize Bishop Larry Brandon. I want him to come forward, he has a presentation, but maybe we can do that after the Biz Camp students come through. Then Bishop Brandon, if you would, you can come forward.

Councilman Shyne: And I guess you all can see who has helped the Biz Camp out. Seems like I see a familiar name. Is that James K. Elrod?

Councilman Everson: It sure is.

Councilman Shyne: Okay. You see what Willis Knighton means to this community, and to the young people.

Councilman Everson: And I don't know if Lena or Thad or somebody wanted to maybe say a word to maybe recognize the achievements of all these young students.

Ms. Lena Leviston: Thank you so much for giving us the opportunity to come. We wanted to come and share with you what we're doing this summer. All of you hopefully are familiar with Biz

Camp. This is our 11<sup>th</sup> year that we have been growing entrepreneurs for the City of Shreveport, and we wanted you to know how much we appreciate the support that you've given us in the past, and we know that funds have not been available recently for us, but we hope that in the future that when funds do become available, that you will think of us. I have two young people who want to greet you and give you a gift from Biz Camp. We are holding our camp this year, as we've done in the last four or five years at Centenary College and on next Wednesday, these students will present their business plan to a group of judges who will judge them in three divisions. And that will be the Job Corps Division, the high school division, and the middle school division. So, let me have my two students to come up and greet you and we'll be on our way. We thank you so much for letting us come.

*Ms. Sharon (Inaudible): (6800 Raspberry Lane)* We greet you on behalf of all 42, 2011 Biz Camp students. We thank you for the opportunity to speak here today.

*Mr. Cameron Fields: (5609 Kennedy Drive)* We appreciate the support you have given us in the past and we hope that funds provide, that you do the same in the future. As young entrepreneurs we aim to make you and the entire City of Shreveport very proud. And as a token of our thanks we give you these (inaudible). Thank you.

Ms. Leviston: I would also like to present our staff just for you to know them. If you would raise your hand. We have Ms. Tia Johnson Young who is a teacher at Woodlawn High School, Ms. Cassandra Howard who is a teacher at Fair Park High School, We have Ms. Catherine Hodge who is also a teacher at Woodlawn, and we have Ms. Alexander who is a teacher at Captain Shreve High School. We have with us one of our dear sponsors, the Job Corps Coordinator, Ms. Corine Ambler from Job Corps. We have also one of our business partners, Mr. Darien Dixon from Southern University here with us, and we also have with us - - - I believe that's it, huh?

Councilman Shyne: I think we have another one of your sponsors. Might be one of your big sponsors.

Councilman Everson: Whose name might be on your T-Shirt.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Elrod, would you stand please?

Ms. Leviston: Oh! Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you Mr. Elrod. He's one of our major sponsors. He is really one of the founders of Biz Camp for over 11 years ago. Thank you Mr. Elrod, and thank you City Council Members.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And can we get the rest of y'all's names for the record as you file out, would you mind giving your name on the microphone on your way out, so we'll have it in the record and in the newspaper if you would.

2011 BIZ CAMP

Students

Danielle Barnes Chance Ascencio

Andre' Clark Blake Batterton

Cambria Cooley Jessica Eason

Natteria Davis Andy Gaspard

Justin Farrow Elijah Hawthorne

Joshua Flowers DeAndre Henry, II

Alan Harris Jahbowha Newman

Thorne Hill Kyle Richardson

Brea Housley Justice Webb

Ja'Vonte Jones Shaquaila Small

Jerry Jones Jasmine Taylor

Cameron Matthews Chriscynthia West

Isaac McFarland Erin McLemore Chaelyn Newton Keonna Owens

Kameron Phill Chelsea Smith

La'Darrien Washington La'Derrick Washington

Aasiyah Williams Bilqis Williams

Staff

Ms. Lena Leviston Ms. Twandolyn Alexander

Ms. Cassandra Howard Mr. Thaddaeus Pugh

Mrs. Kathryn Harris Ashley Green

Mrs. Tia Young Jaylon Lewis

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank y'all very much.

Bishop Brandon: Thank you so much. To Mr. Chairman and our Mayor and the City Councilmen, my councilman, Councilman Jenkins, I do appreciate you, and I did ask my son to stand with me. And I want everyone to know this is not my grandson, he is my son. The result of an early mid life crisis son. Again, we do appreciate you at Praise Temple and on behalf of the membership of Praise Temple Full Gospel Baptist Cathedral and our Northwest Louisiana CDC, we come to give thanks and we're really, really appreciative of all the work that you're doing, and I'll say a little more in just a moment, but Ketina Williams who represents our Community Development Corporation will come and share a few brief words.

*Ms. Ketina Williams: (Northwest Louisiana CDC)* Good evening Mr. Mayor and also to the Council Members, again we appreciate all of the hard work that you guys do. And we just wanted to let you know that there are some great things happening at the Temple. We have a Life Summer Camp for ages 6-17 years of age, and it's because of our Mayor, our great Mayor, that we're able to pay individuals that might not have had the opportunity to get a job elsewhere through the Summer Youth Program. So we have those workers and some of our students from the Life Summer Camp, and just want them to stand and let you recognize them at this time. (Inaudible) of the youth summer program, and it's been a blessing to those that aren't able to work, and even those that are able to attend. Thank you.

Bishop Brandon: Thank you so much. As leaders we know that you have to make tough decisions, and you're certainly in place to do so, and just know that the City is depending on you, because again, this can be the next great city of the south. We believe in that and we certainly subscribe to the vision of our Mayor. I'm going to present to each of you an award and to our Mayor first. It's the - - - The members of Praise Temple says the coveted award, it's the L. L. Brandon award and I believe them. It's the L. L. Brandon Role Model and History Maker Award. And this award is presented to the Honorable Mayor Cedric B. Glover, the Mayor of the City of Shreveport in recognition and appreciation for your progressive leadership, selfless service and dedicated commitment to the citizens of the City of Shreveport, signed by myself. Thank you Mayor Cedric Glover. And this the role model, because again, definitely the role model in history maker award is presented, read the same to our CAO, Dale Sibley.

Councilman Shyne: Dale! It's time for you to get an award Dale.

Bishop Brandon: And of course to our Chairman of our Council, Councilman O. Jenkins. And for the sake of time, they will pass the others to Councilman Sam Jenkins, my councilman and Vice-Chairman. Councilman Rose McCulloch, Councilman Jeff Everson, Councilman Michael Corbin, Councilman Ron Webb, to my member, he's a Full Gospel Methodist, Councilman Joe Shyne. And this is something that we do at our church. We SPARK people and SPARK is an acronym that we use, Spontaneous Positive Acts of Random Kindness. So we want you to know today that Praise Temple is a community of faith within the community to transform the community. Thank you for generational changers, and you've been SPARK'd today.

Councilman Corbin: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask that we suspend the rules quickly before our next presentation.

Councilman Shyne: I'll second that.

**Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to suspend the rules. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Corbin: Mr. Chairman, Council Members, Mr. Mayor, back in September of 1982, a slightly thinner and dark-haired young man stumbled into the Caddo Parish School Board Central Office to begin a 15-year career there. In the first couple of hours of walking in, I ran into a red-haired woman in a bedazzled jacket who said, 'You look like you're lost and may need some help.' And she was probably accurate with that. Over the next 15 years, I got to know my friend Wanda Gunn. Working with her from an administrative standpoint. The people here with me today have worked with her, some of them close to 50 years, doing what's right for children in Caddo Parish, making Caddo Public School System a good place to be and a good place for our kids to be, and today we just want to honor Wanda's life by presenting her friends and co-workers with a resolution. And if we could read that resolution briefly.

:

*The Deputy Clerk read the following:*

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 104 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION TO REMEMBER WANDA GUNN FOR HER COMMITMENT TO SERVING THE CHILDREN IN THE CADDO PARISH SCHOOL DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BY: Councilman Michael Corbin**

**WHEREAS, Wanda Gunn** was a beloved, well-known, and respected educator in the Caddo Parish School System; and

**WHEREAS, Wanda Gunn's** remarkable 51 year career included 17 positions in the Caddo Parish School system, including elementary teacher, principal, director, and interim superintendent; and

**WHEREAS, Wanda Gunn** taught and mentored students, teachers, and administrators throughout her tenure with the Caddo Parish School system; and

**WHEREAS, Wanda Gunn's** dedication to education was evident in the organizations to which she belonged; President of the Louisiana Association of School Executives, Alpha Sigma Chapter of Delta Kappa Gamma Society International, Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, Phi Delta Kappa, International Reading Association, and the Northwestern Louisiana Reading Council; and

**WHEREAS, Wanda Gunn** also used her talents to improve the quality of life for the citizens of this community and this City. Her philanthropic service includes serving on the Board of Directors for Shreveport Green, Shreveport Community Renewal Foundation, and Shreveport Regional Arts Council.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the City of Shreveport and all its citizens publicly remember **Wanda Gunn** for her 51 years of commitment and service to students in the Caddo Parish School system and for her service to all citizens of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that this resolution shall be executed in four originals with one filed in perpetuity in the office of the Clerk of Council for the City of Shreveport, and the other originals presented to family and friends.

*Ms. Leisa Edwards: (Caddo Parish Schools)* We accept this proclamation on behalf of Mr. Reggie Gunn, the husband of Mrs. Wanda Gunn and her other extended family, the Caddo Parish School System and 42,000+ students that she has served so dedicatedly over the 51 years she's been with us. So thank you, and we continue to honor her legacy by partnering with you all in the future all of the initiatives that she began in Caddo Parish.

*Ms. Dottie Bell: (Caddo Parish School Board)* Thank you Mayor Glover, Council Members and all, thank you so much. In the absence of our President, Ms. Priest, she's down in Baton Rouge and Dr. Dawkins, down there fighting with the BESE ([Board of Elementary and Secondary Education](#)) to make sure we get the right things here. And as the 2<sup>nd</sup> Vice-President myself, I'd like to thank you also for the School Board Members and all, every employee, every student that she touched - - she left a legacy in Caddo Parish, we'll never forget. So thank you so much.

*Dr. Mary Nash Robinson: (Caddo Parish Schools)* These are very hard acts to follow as Wanda Gunn is. On behalf of the Superintendent and those of us who make up the Caddo Parish School family, again we'd like to thank you for the recognition that you've shown to the Matriarch of our district. We talked about her a lot since her passing. And generally every public educator could be half as dedicated and committed to what it is she or he does, then the children in our presences would have nothing in the world to worry about. We appreciate so very much the recognition that you've given to her, and we just make note of the fact that there is a scholarship foundation which she endowed just prior to her passing. It might help us to continue her legacy, and if any opportunity you get to consider that, the members of the family, the members of the school family would certainly appreciate that. Again, thank you all for the recognition.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank y'all very much for coming down. At this point Mr. Thompson, are we all set for Sharon or - - -

Councilman Shyne: So moved Mr. Chairman on the - - - resolution.

Councilman Everson: Second.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Shyne: And Ms. McCulloch worked in the school system.

Councilman Corbin: (Inaudible) Conference call, and what this will do is give us a brief update of where I-69 stands basically from the Red River to I-49. We're going to talk a little bit or he will give us information about the current corridor for that, the status of those projects and give us a timeline of when we may see some of the construction start or the funding to come available. Because of the nature of our hook up today, Council Members if you have questions, if you would just jot them down until the end of his presentation and then we can give him all the questions at one time. As we are hooking it up, I do want to thank Staff for hooking this up.

*Mr. Chris Gesing: (Michael Baker, Jr., Inc.)* DOTD retained Baker to perform a comprehensive, environmental and engineering study and prepare the necessary environmental documentation for I-69 SIU 15 through the Shreveport metropolitan area. And on behalf of the DOTD, I'm going to present a brief history on current activities and status of the project. The multi-step process adopted for the project involves four primary (inaudible) of work. Scoping and purpose of need. Corridor studies, alignment studies, and last, environmental documentation. The Study process allows us an examination of the (inaudible) alternatives of both the corridor and alignment levels which increase in details as the study progressed and through proactive coordination, public outreach and consensus building, are basically the ovals that are shown on the flow chart here. Decisions were made at the end of each phase which are designated by the diamonds. Now we started the project a long time ago, back in May of 2001 with the first state of the scoping, the purpose and need and the (inaudible) represents the completed tasks. I don't know if you can see (inaudible) study process. In total seven 1 mile wide corridors within the dark black dash 300 square mile study area were developed and evaluated against engineering and environmental issues identified during scoping, and again the stated purpose and need. The preferred corridor which is shown in the gray in the center of the drawing was identified and publicly announced back in December 2002. You'll note that the preferred corridor has both a northern route and a southern route through the center beginning at pretty much at Frierson, crossing the Red River and reconnecting back at Hwy 157. The northern routes passes through the Port of Shreveport/Bossier. The alignment studies consisted of a focused effort, that focused within the preferred corridor and six alignments, each about 300 feet wide were developed within that corridor, and evaluated against engineering and environmental issues, and against the purpose and need. Lines 1, 3, and 6 called the preferred corridors northern routes, while lines 2, 4, and 5 followed the preferred corridor or southern route. All the alignments would have interchanges with US 171 in Stonewall, 49, Hwy 1, US 71, Hwy 157, and Interstate 20, in all there will six interchanges for this section of the highway. Line 6 is the alignment preferred by Federal and State research agencies, local officials, NLCOG, and the designated metropolitan planning organization for transportation planning in the Shreveport/Bossier area. Directed by an environmental statement or draft DIS was prepared documenting the study process that I talked about, the alternatives considered, the decisions made, and the project's impacts. All six alignments were presented in the draft EIS with Line 6 following the northern routes I identified as the preferred alignment. The draft EIS was

distributed to state and federal agencies, our state and federal legislators, Native American Tribes, local officials, like the City of Shreveport and other organizations and places including public libraries. We had two public meetings back in July 2005 to present the project and to receive formal comments. In all, over 300 people attended the public hearings. All of their comments were reviewed and evaluated, and the most notable being shown here in the little boxes and check marks that I've got shown here. We got a request for a system that preferred alignment to avoid the Elm Grove Baptist Church, which was at the US 71 interchange, and we can accomplish that task. We had a request for adding a frontage road between Bloxom Road in Desoto Parish, and Ellerbe Road in Caddo Parish. This could also be accomplished. We had a request for adding an interchange with Ellerbe Road. This wasn't feasible because it would have precluded a future interchange with the Inner Loop Expressway project identified in the region's long range plan. And the last one I've got shown here that isn't checked off at this point is shifting the preferred alignments or selecting a different alignment to avoid the LSU Pecan Research Station, which is just west of the Port of Shreveport/Bossier at the LA 1 interchange. Over time numerous alignment revisions were evaluated in response to these comments, and two revised alignments were identified for further study. Line 6R, we have shown here in the graphic kind of a mustard yellow color, is identical to the draft EIS preferred alignment, except for south where it shifts between Wallace Lake and Red Chute Bayou. It remains within the preferred corridor's northern route and it still passes through the Port. Line 6R shifts the US 71 interchange southward to Elm Grove Baptist Church. It adds the frontage road parallel to the (inaudible) alignments. It minimizes, but does not avoid impacts to the LSU Pecan Research Station. The station could not be avoided by an alignment following the preferred corridor's northern route. A couple of facilities CCS Midstream and (inaudible) Logistics (inaudible) hazardous material sites and other Port tenants limit our ability to shift the alignments further to the south. (Inaudible) alignment sits to the north weren't really feasible to it's existing and planned industrial expansion at the Port issued (inaudible) facilities that are currently under construction adjacent to the preferred corridor. The other alignment that we considered in contrast to the preferred alignment is Line 6 and 6R is 1 6-2-6, which follows the preferred corridor preferred corridor 7 route which is shown here in red. It's identical to the draft EIS preferred alignment at the injury to the project between US 171 and Frierson, and again from LA 157 and I-20. (Inaudible) line 2 through the middle part of the project (inaudible) 6-2-6. And we selected Line 2 through the middle because it had the recent environmental impacts of the draft EIS alignments that were developed within the southern routes. This alignment does not impact the Elm Grove Baptist Church, and it also does not include a frontage road. Line 6 2 6 does void the LSU Pecan Research Station, but because of it's location would impact the Lucas Sludge Disposal Facility, and relocation estimates to move that facility would be in excess of \$18,000,000. It also crosses both Hwy 1 and US 71 approximately three miles further south, so the preferred alignment's current location in order to provide adequate access between that line and the Shreveport Metropolitan area, and both LA 1 and US 71 would need to be widened down to the interstate for four-lane facility. That 3 mile southward shift would indirectly add an additional \$43,000,000 to the region's transportation improvement costs. Focusing a little bit closer was the I-69 and how it interfaces with the Inner Loop, Shreveport Metropolitan area transportation plan identified the future expansion of the Inner Loop as part of the orderly development of regional transportation to meet future traffic needs. This graphic shows zoomed out a ways the relationship between Line 6R shown in yellow, Line 6-2-6 shown in red, and the conceptual route of the Inner Loop Extension from the long range plan. If you can see it, it's kind of the light blue color, kinda

in the middle of the screen. This conceptual route was derived from a 1992 I-69 and Inner Loop Extension compatibility study. To date, no environmental studies have been performed on Inner Loop Extension. The conceptual route connects with the I-69 preferred northern route. Further studies would be required to provide a revised connection to the preferred corridor southern route and Line 6-2-6, and the additional (inaudible) that would be envisioned for the (inaudible) would add an additional \$36,000,000 to the Inner Loop Extension project costs. As far as we are on the project right now, we had two public meetings last year back in August to present the draft preferred alignments, Line 6R and Line 6-2-6, which I talked about on the previous slides, and those comments are being evaluated. NLCOG continues to support an alignment passing through the Port. DOTD and FHWA are in discussions with LSU regarding the possibility of relocating the Pecan Research Station, and we'd have a follow up meeting with them the latter part of this month. From an implementation perspective, there are five segments for SIU 15 between the six interchanges, and like I-49 North, SIU 15 would take a number of years to complete. The graphics I've got shown here just shows the segments number from 1 through 5, from south to north of the project. Meetings were held back in 2008 with NLCOG's Transportation Policy Committee to establish and implementation priority for SIU 15 and for further project developments. Back in 2008, Cyber Command and CBAT dominated the local news, the Red River Bridge which is shown first on the priorities is identified as the highest priority cause it would provide another river crossing across the Red River. With the northern segments prioritized higher in order to support anticipated growth at or near Barksdale Air Force Base. Unfortunately with Cyber and CBAT expansion wasn't realized and the priority of the I-49 to LA 1 segment could be elevated like I've shown here on the right hand side. Recent implementation priorities are going to be revisited with NLCOG at the completion of this study. As far as where we have to go to finish up the project, we anticipate the bottom of 12 months to complete the environmental study, once the decision is made on the Pecan Research Station, these efforts are going to include identifying what the selected alignment is going to be and finalizing the mitigation. We do need to prepare another environmental document, the final EIS and finalizing a number of technical reports. We do need to receive and evaluate the comments on the final EIS, and the last of those bullet points is preparing the Record Of Decision or ROD, which is the project's decision document for FHWA execution. (Inaudible) Port mile stand, because once it is executed, other project activities can begin such as final design. By the way acquisition, utility relocations, also subject to funding. The estimated cost for SIU 15 is about \$900,000,000 and DOTD has about \$10,000,000 in funding still available. At this time they envision using that money to start the final design for the new Red River Bridge crossing. I have the website down at the bottom . More information on the project is available at the website [www.i69dotd.com](http://www.i69dotd.com). And that concludes my presentation. On behalf of DOTD, I want to thank you for the opportunity to talk about the project and I'll try to answer all of the questions you may have.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, thank you very much. The microphone happens to be in front of me, so we'll give everybody else a chance to try to ask questions if they can't hear it, I'll repeat 'em. And I'm happy to go first. Between your Slides No. 2 and 3, when you talk about a project that started in May 2001, and you get your preferred corridor, 18 months later December of 2002, but then when you moved to your place where you've got a northern and southern preferred routes, how long does that take between December 2002 and that point?

Mr. Gesing: Between December 2002 and the - - - we distributed the draft EIS I'm going to say probably in June, maybe July of 2005. So it took us a couple of years in order to do our engineering work, go out in the field for our environmental studies. Some of the folks over there may know we had a good property access to get on and actually look around and write reports and get concurrence from the agencies and from DOTD and FHWA on the alignments we carried forward. There were a couple iterations in that process where we had to take a look at. How we were going to pass through the Port, which way we were going to be going, and actually part of the study efforts included developing an MOA. Because the Port was looking to expand this to where it could be and what lands they would hold available to the study, (inaudible) reach it's conclusion without - - - in their current undeveloped state.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So that plan has a four year period, wasn't necessarily a funding limitation at that point, it was just engineering over a large quantity of real estate, and/or other potential road blocks, right?

Mr. Gesing: Exactly right. Yeah, they're really trying to (inaudible) progress other than getting through the work, getting it approved and eventually preparing a document that's about 2 inches thick for public distribution.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Gotcha. And then, on your slide when you talk about Inner Loop Extension, and the consideration with regard to Ellerbe Road, the data points that you've done as far as that proposed routes, where did you get those points? From NLCOG? That, that you have in green on Slide 8?

Mr. Gesing: I think I've got that one back up on the screen, if it's still showing there. Yeah, the information that we used for that came from the 1992 compatibility study as far as getting some information. The other thing that would have helped to control or for us to determine that we couldn't put an interchange would probably be the design criteria and guidance from DOTD as to how close we can have interchanges based for a number of reasons, primarily traffic and safety concerns, weaving and verge and diverge, within urban areas and rural areas have additional criteria how many in rural, you know how many miles apart they want those interchanges to be.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, thank you. That was it from my end, but anybody else? Well apparently it was an extremely thorough presentation, because there were very few questions on our end and we greatly appreciate you taking the time and being part of our process here today. We may have a couple of follow up questions and will certainly coordinate that through Michael Corbin, who I know you've had several discussions with. So we really appreciate your time today, and thanks for your help.

Mr. Gesing: Thanks, I appreciate the opportunity. Call us anytime. Thank you very much. Bye Bye.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, assuming we're off the mic, I will turn it back over to the Vice-Chair, who I believe has a few more recognitions at this point.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I have invited down some of our City of Shreveport sanitation workers, are they still here? I'd like for them to come forward at this point. Did you have some folks that are going to join you?

*Mr. Randall Burr: (Solid Waste)* Yes, they might be a little nervous.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It's no need to be nervous.

Councilman Shyne: Tell 'em Big Sam is in the house.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Chairman, I have extended an invitation for a couple of the sanitation employees to come down. I know we're going to be getting into some discussions between the Council and the Mayor and the Administration concerning Solid Waste Collection. I did want some input in the record here with the council and some of the employees, so they'll know that they have been a part of the process and they will be a part of the process. So I want you to introduce yourself, and I think you have a few things you wanted to share with us and we'll allow you a few minutes to do that if that's alright Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Burr: My Name is Randall Burr.

*Mr. John Edgar: (Solid Waste)* My name is John Edgar.

Mr. Burr: I don't guess they're coming. But first we want to say thank you for the air condition, for the quickness that we got from the City Council and the Mayor's office., We appreciate that. The job was still going to get done, but it helped us to know that y'all cared enough for us to get our air conditioners fixed as fixed faster than we expected. So we thank you for that. We have only a couple of things that are really bothering us. We are short of trucks. We have 43 trucks in the fleet right now. 15 trucks on Fridays go to Bossier City. On Monday, Tuesday and Thursday, 11 trucks go to Bossier and that leaves Shreveport with 43 trucks to be distributed with four supervisors. And some like today in the Highland area, that supervisor started Monday morning with two garbage trucks, and we had to go back this morning with the whole 43, the whole 32 fleet and pick District B up. So we're asking that you look into this and help us. Because we work from 6:45 in the morning until 7:30 at night. And we work half day. Our half day is Wednesday. So we're asking that - - - half day is for us to keep our trucks clean and pick up other stuff that needs to be done around the yard and some things that need to be picked up. And then we're asking that the bulk stuff, limbs, beds, couches, we pick up everything. Bossier City, everything must go in Bossier. We can stay an hour in one house. We pick up everything, because it's in the contract, and we really need y'all support and help. And as far as - - - we have another issue with back door service. We have a subdivision, Spring Lake and Southern Trace area that they don't even put their garbage on the streets. We can send two trucks. The trucks will have two people on them, a helper and a driver. And we expect those that are - - - there are approximately 500 houses in there, but nobody puts their garbage on the streets. No one. We need your help. And the back door service is out of control. It was set up for getting the elderly's garbage picked up. It was not for everybody to get back door service. So, we're still going to get the job done, so if you're in your district, the phone is ringing, we haven't been on strike. It's just that we play catch up. So we're going to do

the job regardless, but we're just asking for y'all to help us. And like I say, it's a process. Everything can't be done all at one time, but we're asking for knuckle booms. We have five supervisors. We're at least asking for four knuckle booms, with not full trailer, the knuckle booms with the trailer is hooked to the knuckle boom where one driver can drive and pick the stuff up as we go. And that would help out a whole lot. And the Bossier trucks, if something happens to Bossier out of that fleet of 15, just say on Friday, one of those trucks out of Shreveport has to come and go to Bossier. The truck's down, but we have to take from Shreveport. So, it's a major problem. Major problem. And we're asking that - - - like I say, it's a process, and we need your help.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well we appreciate you coming down, and providing some information basically from the guys on the street that we're asking to get the job done. I can tell you everybody sitting up here is concerned about the situation, and I do mean everybody, from our Mayor to the members of this Council is concerned about the situation, committed to look into the situation, and it's not complaining if you've got a legitimate concern, and I think you all have some legitimate concerns. It's documented, backed up by studies that have been done and I like the attitude that you all are expressing about this. I mean, we can't just waive a magic wand and all of a sudden everything is going to be straight, but the process is going to start and I think all of us want you to be a part of that process to the extent that we could get some information from you, and the things that you experience when you're trying to get the job done. And I wanted to express appreciation to you and all of the sanitation workers for the service that you are providing to our citizens, because it is an important service. We rely upon our police department, our fire department, and we rely upon you for the work that you do and the service that you provide to our citizens is equally as important and we want you to know it is a priority, and for us to take a look at solid waste. I will say to the citizens who are here and the citizens who may be listening to this over the air, this is an important issue, and citizens cooperation is going to be a very important part of the process. It's time for us to take a serious look at the way we go about doing business in solid waste and the City of Shreveport. (Inaudible) behind and it's time for us to take a serious look at it, and to come up with a plan, and not only a plan, but put some action behind it and to implement it. Thank you Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the council for allowing these gentlemen to come up and speak. Thank you Mr. Mayor, for allowing these gentlemen to come up and speak and know that they can speak freely in a society like this without repercussions, cause you're bringing legitimate concerns that we need to hear.

Councilman Shyne: Sam, and they'll tell you this is a democracy. Everybody gets a chance to speak without worrying about anybody coming down on them. But Mr. Vice-Chairman, I'd just like to say that when we start looking at redoing that division, I'd like for us to have maybe - - - I'd like for you to invite two or three of the workers back so we can sit down at the table and go to work and get exactly what they say. Because I'm kinda at the age by the time, we get to working it out, I might will have forgotten some of it Mr. Mayor, I'm not as young as you are and your right hand over there. So when we start reorganizing, I think we need to have some of these people to come down and sit and work with us. Now the other thing, we always talking about reorganizing, and we're always talking bout going national, but we never talk about putting any money into what we're talking about. And let me tell you now, until we get ready to put some money in and \$2.50 or \$3 additional fee for the kind of services Mr. Mayor, that we give to people in the City of

Shreveport when it comes to picking up garbage, they can't get this nowhere else. And I know we have a few ministers in here, but they couldn't get that kind of service from the church. Cause you know how it is, the pastors going to tell you, 'Alright dig a little deeper, put a little bit more,' and I mean we pick up everything. Sometimes I'm wondering if we're not picking up old houses and taking them out there to the landfill. But we pick up washing machines and refrigerators, couches, old beds. I mean - - - I think one day I passed by some Mr. Chairman and look like some lady had her husband out there waiting on the (inaudible). I'm saying that to say this. We pick up everything.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And that was Mrs. Shyne by the way.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, you and Mr. Chairman, don't look like I'm going to be able to survive, but Council, we're going to have to really get down to looking at putting a fee on this and raising some money in order for us to do Mr. Mayor what we're asking the administration and these people to do. Because they do it now and I think we probably have the same fee scale in place that we had when I came down here on the council, and that was three or four years ago. But I think we're going to have to look at putting some money in, in order to do what we need to do. Thank you very much.

Councilman Everson: I just wanted to follow that up with mentioning after yesterday's discussion, I know that Councilman Corbin had asked the current laws to be disseminated to the Council, but I don't know if everybody got a chance to read it, but I certainly read it with a lot of concern realizing that what is on our books and what is actually happening in this city and what our workers are being asked to do are not the same thing. You know we have already gotten a much more stringent policy in place, that we can start with enforcing that. You know we have already got some policies in place that we need to start making sure we're serious about. And then looking at - - - and then using that as the beginning of our conversation. I agree though, we are going to have to make some tough choices about how we're going to handle solid waste in this city and going forward. I look forward to the conversation, because I think it's going to be a productive one for all of us. I think if we work together, we'll come a out with a great solution. We just need to make sure we're open to talking about it and take some burden off of these people who have been doing a great job for us, but also setting up a system that citizens of Shreveport can get some consistency out of. You know a lot of the complaints I hear are not that my trash is not getting picked up, it's just I don't know what day to put it out, or I don't know when to collect my bin and I don't want to leave my bin out over the weekend and that kind of thing. If they know when it's going to be happening, if they know what to expect, and the communication is there, then already we're meeting the expectations of our citizens. And that's what we need to focus on. So, certainly appreciate you guys coming down today and sharing that.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yes, I'd like to express my gratitude to the solid waste crew for such a wonderful job that you do. Especially within District A, and as you came before us today, I heard you talk about better working conditions and the possibility of getting more equipment. Some of you met with me at my house that are here today. I think initially when we got sworn in, in the month of November, or a little after November. And of course, you did express to me your desire for better working conditions and also for better working equipment. But the one thing that you

didn't mention today that was brought to the table before me at my house was a raise. And I heard Uncle Joe mention something about the possibility of increasing the fees. So Uncle Joe, I'm hoping that based on the wonderful job that you guys do, Uncle Joe, I'm hoping that based on the fees that we might be looking at in the future will entail the solid waste workers receiving a raise. And I do support you in getting a raise. Initially when you brought it to me, I conversed with previous council. And according to previous council, previous council said, well, the one thing that they were pushing us for was trucks, they got the trucks. And I said, well they really want a raise now. For those of you that actually watched by way of television or were actually here, you might have heard me say, well, you're not going to get a raise right now, and that was because of the fact that I had consulted with the previous council, but your greatest concern was getting more trucks. But to say that you're not going to get a raise right then didn't mean that I didn't support you in getting a raise which I do again, based on the wonderful job that you do. And I bare in mind that I gave birth to three sons, and you know we were reared from a family that you know men must work, or they don't eat. And to think about the work that you do on a daily basis to prepare and take care of your families, I mean you deserve to be commended highly,. And again, I do hope that for the record, cause Councilman Jenkins suggested that you come down for the record, to have the crew members to be a part of today's meeting, for the record, I would like the record to reflect that I do support you guys getting a raise based on the wonderful job that you do everyday in the City of Shreveport.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you and y'all be careful out there. Do we have a representative here today from the "Let The Good Times Roll Festival"? I received a call that someone wanted to come down and talk about that. Alright, that will be this coming weekend at Festival Plaza, certainly want to invite everyone to come down. I thought we'd have a representative to come down and talk about some of the things that they would be doing. But it is a community event, certainly hope that you would take some time out and go and visit the Let The Good Times Roll Festival at Festival Plaza. And finally Mr. Chairman, I want to express my appreciation also to our Shreveport Fire Department for the effort that they put forward in the Ingleside Neighborhood over the weekend with the Fire and Safety Tips. I received a lot of positive calls about that area since it's in my district. I appreciate that, thank you so much. And I want you to know that the citizens in that area really appreciate you coming out. I'm hoping that maybe when these temperatures go down a little bit, I need some Police Officers to walk through the Ingleside area. I've been looking at some of the crime reports, and I'm a little bit concerned about some of the things that are going on in that particular area and maybe we can go in and show some presence. I know our police department's concerned about every neighborhood. But maybe going into that neighborhood and showing a little bit more presence in that area, I'm thinking Mr. Chairman, I'm hearing some of our (inaudible) are excess of 30 different burglaries in one particular place in that area. I don't know how accurate that number is. I put it out there so, since I've put it out there, I've got to deal with it right now. But we'll take a look at and I want us to go into that area and have a presence, and have a walk through and just let the bad guys know Councilman Shyne, that the good guys are keeping an eye on that situation over there in Ingleside. Thank you so much.

Councilman Shyne: I'm too old to join you now Sam.

Councilman S. Jenkins: No, we're going to put you out front. You'll be our shield. With that, I think that's all my recognitions, and I appreciate it Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Councilwoman McCulloch, do you have somebody you're recognizing today?

Councilwoman McCulloch: No, I believe Mr. Rogers was here, he wants to speak to the - - - can we bring him on now?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I think that's what he asked.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, Mr. Edgar Rogers, if you will at this time, would you please come forward, state your name and your address for the record.

Councilman Shyne: That's Mr. Allendale.

*Mr. Edgar Rogers: (927 Madison Avenue)* I'm here today to ask how do I begin the process of changing the name of my neighborhood from Lakeside/Allendale to King Evers. That's my suggestion. Martin Luther King, Jr., and Medgar Evers. The Allendale name comes from a confederate general and this is a Black neighborhood, who after the then Civil War left the United States and went to Mexico. Not quite the name I think to encourage the kids out there to look back to the past, and to have an attitude of (inaudible) neighborhood. But before I get into that, I wanted to add to something the gentleman from Solid Waste was saying up here. About a week or so ago, we usually pick up our trash on Thursday, and it wasn't picked up until Friday or a few days later, so I would encourage the City to add some extra trucks and I would, as a citizen, be more than willing to pay a few extra on however we need to do it to give these gentlemen a raise and to add some extra - - - so long as the money is being spent elsewhere. So I too support that, and have no problem with voting for it. But my main reason for being here, how do we begin the process to change the name of that neighborhood. And I taking Allendale's name from the edge of Ledbetter Heights all the way up to Hearne Avenue, which includes I think Councilman Jenkins' district and Councilman McCulloch's districts, A and B. And my basic question is how do we begin the process, what do I need to do to get that started.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I believe our Clerk can probably provide you with the - - - I think the - - - don't we have some information for renaming subdivisions or public property or something that can give him some guidance on - - -

Mr. Thompson: We have ordinances to rename public property, but for informal names, at least I think it's informal such as Allendale/Lakeside, I don't know that there is a process in place to address those kinds of issues.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well it must be something, because there's some signage out there that must be public signage.

Mr. Thompson: Well they're generally known as Allendale, generally known as Lakeside communities.

Councilman Everson: I think the first step would be finding out if those are codified anywhere. I don't believe they are, but I mean is that the official name of that area?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I mean there's a sign that says Welcome to Allendale, if I'm not mistaken, right? And certainly that public entity that's on, I'm quite sure that's not owned by an individual.

Mr. Thompson: I mean, we can change a sign. That wouldn't be a problem. I know that the MPC some years ago, they had a number of neighborhood names but again, we can do the research. I don't think that they are official names. I don't think that there's ever been an ordinance or something which designated a certain area as Lakeside or as Allendale, it's common usage, but we can research that to see if we can find anything. We can also - - -

Citizen in the audience: It would also be nice if somebody could hear.

Councilman Everson: Oh, they can't hear?

Councilman O. Jenkins: We hear her.

Mr. Thompson: We also will check with local historians to see if they can help us in that effort.

Mr. Rogers: Because before coming here, I did go to the Engineering Department, City of Shreveport and he's correct in that the name Allendale/Lakeside is not an official name. It would be a plat or something on something (inaudible) that's official, but if you came up with a property description, that's official, that's Lakeside/Allendale. But any map I've seen says Allendale. So I'm trying to figure out the best way to get that done to put a more positive name on it. As they did in the Bottoms, changed that to Ledbetter Heights. That was another process that was - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Let me ask you something, because I want to be certain, you're talking about an area, that if you're going westward in at Hearne Avenue?

Mr. Rogers: Well I'm not quite sure if that's where the boundaries were, but generally for the area because I grew up out there and went to Booker T. Washington High School, and generally that begins at the edge of downtown, what we used to call the Bottoms, now Ledbetter Heights, or arcading west all the way west up to Hearne, and across Hearne heading toward that KCS tracks there. Back in the other direction we're talking about Murphy or Wall Street. And on the northern end, we're talking about Ford Street right there at that oil and gas field that's out there. So, it's a fair-sized little area. That's why I'm trying to find out what the boundaries were on that, so you could determine what you could officially do in regards to that. Where did this neighborhood name come from? Now there's an Allen Avenue, which is official from the City and that's the same Confederate General Allen, so I'm trying to change that to a more positive - - - and I know a sizable development is supposed to go into Lakeside/Allendale as it is. And I figure if they change Ledbetter Heights from the Bottoms, and the reason it was called the Bottoms simply is that it's at

the bottom of the hill. It's nothing negative about it, but over time, it developed a negative slant on it. And Lakeside/Allendale kinda has that slant too. There are people who live in Lakeside/Allendale who are intelligent and hard working and want to develop their communities as well as anyone else. So we wanted to give them as much of a standing or a foot hold to do that and have a positive message for us to do that. And I wanted to know how do it? If I need to give somebody my email address or something, you can email me. I mean how do we do that and how do we proceed forward with it?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Now it sounds like the majority of the area you're talking about is really Councilwoman McCulloch. Now I do have in my district going west of Hearne to the KCS tracks part of Lakeside, now my concern would be twofold. 1) If something is named for someone already, I'm concerned about changing the name if it's already named for someone, and then secondly, I would certainly want to know what the residents in that neighborhood or in that area think about changing the name, and what they think about the new name? I'm just saying from my perspective.

Mr. Rogers: Well that's fine, and all that's a part of the process in determining what you need to do and from there making some steps in regard to that. So I mean I just need someone on the Council to do that, to say 'Okay, we need to do this, this, and this. Come back to us and let us know where to go from there and see if we can proceed forward with it.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Chairperson, if you'll allow me to, Mr. Rogers contacted me by way of email, sometime last week in regards to this. And based on my own experience, those of you that know the honorable Hersey D. Wilson that passed away in 1998, the initial street that he lived on Cedric was Plum Street, and of course that was a street. But my experience was such that after my father passed away serving the community for over 28 years, I actually walked Plum Street with a petition as Councilman Jenkins mentioned, you know making sure - - - you know it's so important that you get the support of other surrounding citizens because you'd really be surprised at how many people would probably not support the idea of the name being changed. But my experience was such that I walked door to door and I actually got over - - - well a little more than, because I took it to the churches, the local churches, but I got over 2 or 300 signatures to support the idea of actually changing Plum Street to Hersey D. Wilson Drive, and of course Mayor Glover, you know we had some opposition by one of our constituents that actually lived on Plum Street to the point that it was actually televised. The opposition itself was televised. So my point is, it's going to be so important that you know that you have the support of a large majority of residents of which you live around. Another suggestion that I thought about prior to this petition. It might be a good idea - - - it seems like you really don't have the history of Allendale. But it seems like it might be a good idea if you would meet - - -.

Mr. Rogers: What do you mean I don't have the history of Allendale?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well all of the history, I mean as far as whether or not it was an official naming or not. What I was going to suggest to you was that if you would meet with the association presidents within Lakeside and Allendale, they might be able to give you a little bit more information regarding how Allendale became Allendale/Lakeside community.

Mr. Roger: Well I know how it became Allendale, I know the history on that. And I've been out there for over half century and my ancestors were there for at least 100 years, and my ancestors have been in Caddo Parish for over 200 years. I know the history around here. And I don't have any problem with what you're suggesting.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well make sure you have the support of all the citizens that live within the community, because you'd be surprised at how many people will oppose what it is that you're trying to do.

Mr. Rogers: No I wouldn't be. Right, but they'll oppose anything just because you said. Like the guys Groucho Marx, whatever it is I'm against it. No matter what you say, I'm against it. But the main this is I want to do something official. I hate to go out and collect a thousand signatures and come back and it has no legal standing, if it's necessary. I mean the main point is how did Allendale become Allendale? How is Queensborough, Queensborough? Is that an official name or is there really something that you have the jurisdiction to even deal with? That's really the question. Cause if it isn't, it goes to another process, and I'd hate to spend a lot of time working on something illegally, that really ends up in the end that's really no legal way of doing it. I want to go through the legal steps to get it done, so that once it's done, it's clear and there is no way someone can come back later and file a suit in court and what not and you're messing with my history and this sort of thing, so how do you legally proceed with it so that then we can say - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well actually former City Councilman Hilry Huckaby was a city councilman at the time that my father passed away, and he recommended, he advised me to do the petition. So I would - - - because it really worked well for me in getting the named after my father, I would encourage you to actually do a petition.

Mr. Rogers: And with all due respect - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: And Mr. Rogers in response to your question, we have the (inaudible) and our staff is going to look at the legal (inaudible) and respond to you, and then based on that, you know I'd recommend that if it seems like a positive thing that you can do, then maybe talk to Councilwoman McCulloch and discuss maybe some procedures.

Mr. Rogers: (Inaudible) bring to you guys, and you say okay, this is good and we can move on this, and we're not going to catch flack from it later on. Because I - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well I would say it's probably a three or four step (inaudible) before we got to that. A first analysis to see if you can do it, second, support from the Council Person in that district, and then certainly some type of public support that is indicative of a greater than 50% ruling is to move forward, and then naturally you'd need an advocate back here to bring it forth to us for a resolution. So I would certainly start there and I think Mr. Thompson's already committed to responding to your initial inquiry and try to move forward with that.

Mr. Rogers: Very good, appreciate it, thank you for your time.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you for coming down Mr. Rogers.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I think we have a large group in here that probably need to get back home before it gets too dark, and if Councilman Corbin doesn't mind, if it's - - - we might need to suspend the rules, take care of their business and let them go back home before it gets too dark, if it seems like it's going to be a while before we can get to the 3132.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. If your motion is to suspend the rules, I'll be happy to second them.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, my motion is to suspend the rules, bring the 3132 piece of legislation up and I think Mike had a - - - Mike, do you have a piece of legislation that you want to add too don't you? That's dealing with that?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well before we do that, I think in fairness, we should allow the citizens that have asked to speak in regard to those two pieces of legislation, and then we'll move along to deal with those two pieces of legislation, and then we'll go back.

Councilman Shyne: That's great. I just want to get them home before it get's too dark. Is that alright?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Your message is received. I got the intent.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to suspend the rules, to hear comments on 3132. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: We are currently in 5A and we're going to move on to I believe 7B at this point for Public Comments, and the only public comment that I believe I have in front of me, let me ask Mr. Janca, are you in the room? Is yours in regards to annexation, not in regard to 3132? Okay, then the only person that I have here in front of me with public comment would be Mr. Larkin. So, if Mr. Larking would come forward at this time. The Council would like to recognize Mr. Timothy Larkin.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

*Mr. Timothy Larkin: (120 Carondelet Court, Bossier City, LA)* Thank you Mr. Chairman. I only asked to speak because I wanted to perhaps ask a question about the legislation. Once I learned a little bit more about it, I think I now understand it, so I really don't have anything to add. Thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, thank you sir. Well, with that being said, we'll move on to Mr. Thompson, if you would, tell me what Resolution - - -?

*The Clerk read the following:*

## RESOLUTION NO. 108 OF 2011

### **A RESOLUTION TO REQUIRE CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL FOR THE USE OF THE QUADRILATERAL SHAPED PARCEL OF CITY OWNED PROPERTY IMMEDIATELY SOUTH OF FLOURNOY-LUCAS ROAD AT LA 3132, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

BY: COUNCILMAN CORBIN

Whereas, the Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report dated January, 1996, listed \$3,500,000 for a project styled "Inner Loop Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design)"; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 22 of 1996 which accepted and approved the Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report, dated January, 1996, and stated that the bond proceeds "shall be applied, to the extent feasible, to the specific projects related to" each proposition approved by the voters; and

WHEREAS, a special election was held on April 20, 1996, to authorize the incurring of debt; and Proposition 4, which included \$3,500,000 for the Inner Loop Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design), was approved by the voters; and

WHEREAS, the City's 2004 Capital Improvement Budget contained an Inner Loop Extension Project (96C004), substantially funded with 1996 and 1998 GOB, Prop. 4 funds, with the following project description: "This project extends the Inner Loop from its present terminus at Bert Kouns to Highway 1, with the initial phase going only as far as Flournoy-Lucas Road. The City's 1996 bond issue funded design and right of way acquisition"; and

WHEREAS, said 1996 and 1998 GOB, Prop. 4 bond funds were used to purchase a tract of land in Sections 4,5,8 & 9 Township 16 Range 13, Caddo Parish, from Frederick F. Webb, III, et al, now commonly referred to as: "The triangular or the quadrilateral parcel of City owned property immediately south of Flournoy-Lucas Road at LA 3132; and

**WHEREAS**, the "Fact Sheet" for Resolution No. 42 of 2005, lists the "Purpose" for the acquisition of the tract of land described above, as follows: "This parcel is being purchased in fee title for future construction of the Shreveport Inner Loop Extension Project, Index Code No. 442129."; and

**WHEREAS**, there is ongoing public discussion concerning the possible use of a part of this tract for a road to connect a private development to Flournoy-Lucas Road; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council needs to ensure that the parcel of land described above is used for the purpose for which Citizens approved the funds to purchase it, and to ensure that it is not used in any way that could prevent, delay or complicate the extension of the Inner Loop (LA 3132) south from Flournoy-Lucas Road.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the quadrilateral parcel of City owned property south of Flournoy Lucas Road at LA 3132, and shown as “COS” on the map attached hereto as Attachment A, shall not be used for any purpose, to include the construction of a street or road through said property without the express consent of the Shreveport City Council by resolution.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: I believe we also have a resolution to introduce?

Mr. Thompson: That’s correct.

### **Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.**

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 123 of 2011**: A resolution to state the continued commitment of the City of Shreveport to the Inner Loop Extension project, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

Councilman Corbin: So moved.

Councilman Shyne: Second

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, on motion by Councilman Corbin - - -

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Oh, excuse me. Do I need to ask if there’s anybody for or against at this point? Is there anybody for or against the introduction of this particular piece of legislation?

**Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to add Resolution No. 123 of 2011 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Shyne: Are we in a position to vote on this today?

Mr. Thompson: You have suspended the rules, you can go on and move to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, if it's alright, since it's in Mike's district, Mike if you would make the motion to - - -

Councilman Corbin: So moved.

Councilman Shyne: Second.

### **RESOLUTION NO. 123 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION TO STATE THE CONTINUED COMMITMENT OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT TO THE INNER LOOP EXTENSION PROJECT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

#### **BY: COUNCILMAN CORBIN**

WHEREAS, the 1992 Inner Loop Extension Corridor Study, commissioned for the City of Shreveport, described the preferred alignment of the Inner Loop (LA 3132) from Bert Kouns Industrial Loop to Interstate 20 near Haughton, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the 1992 Inner Loop Extension Corridor Study predicted that a completed Inner Loop would be needed to service the proposed Caddo-Bossier Port Facility; and

WHEREAS, the 1992 Inner Loop Extension Corridor Study stated that "(t)he extension of the Inner Loop to LA 1 south of the urbanized areas will relieve existing and anticipated future traffic congestion"; and

WHEREAS, the 1992 Inner Loop Extension Corridor Study stated that the proposed I-69 corridor, "as it passes through the Shreveport Bossier area could readily be incorporated as a part of the Inner Loop Extension, as stated in the Interstate 69 and Inner Loop Extension, Compatibility Report, dated April 14, 1992"; and

WHEREAS, the Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report dated January, 1996, listed \$3,500,000 for a project styled "Inner Loop Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design)"; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 22 of 1996 which accepted and approved the Citizen's Bond Issue Committee Final Report, dated January, 1996; and

WHEREAS, a special election was held on April 20, 1996 and \$3,500,000 for the Inner Loop

Extension to Port (ROW, Utilities, Design), was approved by the voters; and

WHEREAS, during a Special City Council meeting held on May 19, 2011, citizens unanimously voiced approval of extending the Inner Loop to south of the urbanized areas to relieve existing and anticipated future traffic congestion; and

WHEREAS, therefore the extension of the Inner Loop south of the urbanized area to the Port of Shreveport-Bossier and beyond has been the goal of citizens and elected officials of the City of Shreveport for some 20 years, and it continues to be a priority.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that it confirms and restates that the extension of the Inner Loop (LA 3132) south of the urbanized area to the Port of Shreveport-Bossier and beyond, is a priority of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that officials of the City of Shreveport will work with the North Louisiana Council of Governments, the State of Louisiana, the Federal Government and any other relevant party to ensure that the alignment is selected, the necessary studies are conducted, the right-of-way is acquired, and the Inner Loop Extension, south of Flourney-Lucas Road, is constructed in a timely manner.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Resolution No. 123 of 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, one other thing. I'm wondering if it's anyone out in the audience who might not quite understand what we just got through doing, if you don't, I'm going to ask the Chairman to explain to you - - - huh? You can't hear us?

Citizen: We just need you to speak (inaudible) and clearly.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'd be happy to do that, and I'm going to try to speak loudly and clearly at this point.

Councilman Shyne: He's the loudest person on the Council.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, so we've done two things. The first thing we've done is, we've made it clear that that particular piece of city land that is adjacent to the terminus of where 3132 is today, that no action is taken on that particular piece of city land without us knowing about it and us approving it. So that's the first piece of legislation that we passed. The second piece of legislation is a resolution stating that we are committed to the continuation of the Inner Loop Express project. So, those are the two pieces of (inaudible). So at this point, if you're worried about darkness, we're probably mostly finished with the 3132 process.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before we wrap up and these good folks take their leave, and I know somewhere in the room today is that often seen fellow, Dwanye Delecort, the secretary of symbolism who seconds and Amens those two resolutions that were just passed. But I also want to take the opportunity to invite those who are here to come back tomorrow. And if Mr. Elrod will fire the buses back up again, and you guys can do like we used to do in Jr. High school, and rinse those T-shirts out and hang 'em up, that's what Coach Shyne used to tell us to do with the gym clothes, tomorrow in this very same room, where we are today at 10:00 in the morning, the MPO (Metropolitan Planning Organization) is going to be meeting for the specific purpose of engaging in this aspect of what you saw here with I-69 earlier with that briefing with the folks from Michael Baker, the MPO is going to actually initiate that aspect of this process tomorrow morning at 10:00 in this very same room. So I would welcome you all to come back if your time will allow, I'm not sure if it's possible for us to also have that U-Streamed, so I'll have Dan our folks go ahead and start working on that, just in case you want to tune in via the internet. But this process is one that is finding its path forward which is what's been needed all the long. That process tomorrow will talk about the evaluated various options that will be before us in terms of determining the actual corridor that this particular proceeds down. We know what appears to be the logical one based upon what the city's efforts for almost two decades have been looking at doing, but just as you heard, the young man with Michael Baker Company talk about earlier, we are initiating the process for 3132 southward, starting tomorrow morning. The State has stepped forward and clearly expressed that they're going to provide in conjunction with the MPO, the funding that's necessary to get this particular aspect started and underway, and we welcome you all to come down and be a part of the dialogue and the process. We anticipate that with at least three public hearings, we're going to be able to conclude at least the initial aspect of this part of the work by the 31<sup>st</sup> of December of this year. And hopefully, we'll be able to keep that timeframe and be in positioned to move forward. So certainly appreciate you all coming here today and you all will get a chance to get home in more than enough time to get home for super, and a good night's rest and to come on down and join us again on tomorrow. So thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, and if y'all are interested in staying, you're welcome to stay, but if y'all are in the process of leaving, it's better if y'all do it at once, while we take a little - - - because it's difficult for us to communicate up here when we lose half of our audience. Let us return back to 5A. Are there any other Council Members that have awards, recognitions, or distinguished guests at this point?

Councilman Everson: I'm sorry, I do want to recognize Michael Jackson, from Senator Mary Landrieu's office who I saw in the back there. Thanks for being here Mike.

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, so at this point, we appreciate your patience of our Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Council Meeting.

Councilman O. Jenkins: That's right. Do you have any awards or recognitions? I recognize you probably do.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, and we will hurry along as quickly as we can in light of the hour. I want to start Mr. Chairman, members of this council, by asking you all to join me in paying recognition and honor to a man who lived a very full and complete life who I would venture to say, this I-49 pin that I'm wearing right now is probably one that he gave to me right before one of our many meetings with the governor or with our legislative delegation, or for a press conference announcing some aspect of I-49 North, because he was without question from my perspective, Mr. I-49 North. It is very poignant to sit here today and listen to the gentleman with Michael Baker and Associates talk about the process that's underway right now with regard to I-69. Because it brought back memories that almost two decades old for yours truly. I was a member of this City Council back in the early 1990s when the effort to give Louisiana its complete north/south interstate system. I really began to move forward. That same company, Michael Baker and Associates had the contract to do the environmental impact, a statement for I-49. They're officed just up in Agurs off North Hearne. They were there for years of going through the same process right now for I-49 North, that we're in the midst of for I-69, and that we are just kicking off for the extension of LA 3132. But one of the names, one of the faces who was an absolute constant presence throughout the entirety of that effort was one Mr. Al Kessler. And it all started as a (inaudible) objectives at AT&T, and upon his retirement began to engage in a good amount of civic work. He worked for the Shreveport Chamber of Commerce, and in his latter years, just simply carry on his effort and was committed to seeing it all the way through. Upon his passing, I expressed the sentiment that true leaders are those who have the vision to plant trees under whose shade they will never sit. I'm not sure if Al Kessler ever thought that he would get the opportunity to drive down I-49 North. I'm sure it probably was not very important to him. What was important was helping to lay a path to help facilitate a process and to build a consensus that for two decades had just about every elected official, just about every civic leader, just about every business leader, all focusing in a laser like fashion, on one singular goal and objective when it comes to the improvement and enhancement and the growth of our highway infrastructure. Al Kessler was that person. He passed away on last week, and it saddens me, I'm sure his family is very sad as well, and I know people all across this city and this region are as well. The one thing that we can take heart in, is that Al left behind a tremendous legacy, a tremendous amount of work, and again, was the focal point and the singular leader to helped to get this I-49 North effort out to a great start. And unless there ends up being some major hitches down in Baton Rouge in regard to HB2, upon the conclusion of this year's legislative session, every single dollar will be in place to build 36 miles of interstate quality highway from I-220 in North Shreveport all the way to the Arkansas/Louisiana state line. And while there are a lot of folks who are owed an expression of gratitude, there is no one who stood taller in that effort other than one Al Kessler. So in his memory, Mr. Chairman, I want to ask that we stop, stand and have a moment

of silence in recognition of Al Kessler. Thank you Mr. Chairman, and as Al himself would have said, 'thank you, thank you, thank you.' Next Mr. Chairman, I want to share with you all, I know that you all got the email that came in from the folks at General Motors, we're in the process (inaudible) to share that information with the citizens. I'm going to ask Shelly and Staff work to get an announcement along with Burnadine up on the various marquis between the Convention Center out on the interstate, starting tomorrow, but on Thursday morning, the folks from GM will be celebrating some at 50 GM plants around the country, open houses and celebrations, basically expressing to the communities where they're located how much they acknowledge, appreciate the support that they've received from the various towns, parishes, counties, groups around the country throughout their more than a century of existence. We happen to still be a General Motors city. We are certainly hoping that we will manage to be able to continue to work with GM to figure out some way to continue to be a general motors city. I think one big way in which we can help to express to them, just how long, deep, involved the support is in NW Louisiana for General Motors would be for us to come out on Thursday morning. I think for those of us who were a part of the early portion of today's events, were asked to be there about a quarter of 8 on this coming Thursday, there'll be a brief ceremony. There will be an additional tour for the initial group, and then for the balance of the day, from 10:00 a.m. until 4:00 p.m., and Ron, you spent quite a bit of time out there, I'm not aware of any other time that there's ever been a broad unconditioned open house extended to the people of NW Louisiana or the Ark-La-Tex to come out and take a guided tour of the General Motors facility. To have a chance to be able to see inside of that plant, to see what's going on out there, what's being produced, the workers who are there, and maybe hopefully take a peak of what may be a bit of the future as well. So, for those who are able, I would ask that you join us at a quarter of 8 on Thursday, stay through the program if you can, and then certainly want to invite all the folks from all across this region to be able to come on out and enjoy the hospitality that's being extended on behalf of the folks at General Motors.

Councilman Webb: No open toes shoes.

Mayor Glover: No open toe shoes. Exactly, no flip flops, no sandals, and no peek-a-toes either, so we're on good footing. In fact, in some of the media releases and announcements that have been made available, there are guidelines for you. Also dress, we'll have that information posted on the city's website as well. So folks can go there, so you'll know specifically. So no open toe shoes, and we look forward to hopefully having a good representative crowd throughout the day out at General Motors on this coming Thursday. Lastly, before we get to presentations, Mr. Chairman, want to let you all know that we certainly always appreciate hearing from our city workers. I spoke to or exchanged communications with the Chairman earlier today. If you all schedules will allow, we'd like to be able to kick off our solid waste discussions as early as this coming Monday and Tuesday. We will make as much time available as necessary, but I think they're in the preference for the Chairman for us to start in the morning if possible, but I will allow you all to come to some consensus in terms of what works best, but we'd like to take those two days to be able to start this process off. But we'll look to get feedback from the Chair and the staff as we move forward through the balance of the week. And lastly Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, as many of you all know, we engaged in "Paint Your Heart Out Shreveport" on the 21<sup>st</sup> of May, last month. And across the City of Shreveport, we had some 39 elderly citizens, the elderly and disabled citizens from across this city who were blessed by the work of so many volunteers. So Council

Members, I want to thank you all for those of you who went out and had a chance to be able to visit with the various teams and crews across your districts. Some of you even went outside of your districts. So we want to let you know how much we appreciate that. It is that kind of enthusiastic support that lets the volunteers know that those of us who are down here at Government Plaza appreciate the work that they go out and put in every year. But now as in most endeavors, Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, there are those who manage to distinguish themselves, and that's our purpose here today. The annual Paint Your Heart Out Program was just celebrated this past May and it was its 19<sup>th</sup> year. Started my first term on the Council, down here with Councilman Shyne under the Hazel Beard Administration, and here we are some 19 years later. And in that 19 year period of time, we've painted over 1,300 homes, and in that time period as well, there have been over 1400 volunteers who have participated, and our good friends from Barksdale AFB accounted for 487 of those dedicated volunteers who went out to serve our community over those 19 years. It is well-known that the Airmen from Barksdale are a dominant force in this event. They come with serious intent, to do a job and to do a job very well. But I'm proud to report that this year, we had a new group to sign up and they really represented as well. Is Stephanie Dellenbach here from the Church of Jesus Christ Latter Day Saints. Stephanie is not yet in our award group, but we want to make sure that everybody knows that Stephanie's group had 10 teams. They also were platinum sponsors, donating 50 emergency preparedness kits valued at \$50 each. They went the extra mile by hand delivering each kit to each homeowner so they certainly need to be commended for their participation. Thank you so much. We've got to figure out some award to give you for all you do.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yeah, that's for sure.

Ms. Dellenbach: And we have a gift for you.

Mayor Glover: Oh! Come on up and address us here. Marcia Nelson, please come on up and join me as well.

Councilman Shyne: We might have to get her an Indy Bowl Ticket Mr. Mayor.

Ms. Dellenbach: Thank you so much. Today, we'd like to present one of our emergency kits that we made for these homes. When we first got involved in the Paint Your Heart Out program, and we heard that there was more that we could do, and the people were going to be benefiting from this, preparedness is an important part of our Church and our Culture. So we were able to prepare these kits which gives you 72 hours worth of food, water and emergency supplies. So that in the case of a natural disaster or other emergency, a family or a home would be able to be sustained with this kit right here. So we were hoping to be able to help those people. So on behalf of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, we give you an emergency kit.

Councilman Shyne: Is that some of the members back there? Is that some of your workers right there?

Mayor Glover: Now I'm going to go ahead and give a joke that I know that they're already telling up here. And that is, for the Glover household, that instead of this being a 5-gallon bucket, it

probably needs to be a 55-gallon drum. But we'll take this as a start up emergency kit. It will fill the bill for us. We have anybody else?

Councilman Shyne: That sounds like something the Chairman would say about you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I don't say those type of things. Thank y'all very much for your participation.

Mayor Glover: That also answers one of the other questions. I saw the gentleman sitting on the bucket as we walked in. I knew we were going to have a crowded house today, I didn't know we'd gotten to the 'Bring Your Own Seat Day', I now understand. Thank you so much. Each year the Willie J. C. Critton Spirit Award is given to teams for beautification projects in addition to painting the house. Willie J. C. Critton was a charter member of the Steering Committee and the Co-Chairperson for several years. In July 2004, he suddenly passed away. So, we honor such a caring man by dedicating this award in his memory. There are many teams that display their creativity by providing flowers or hanging baskets, painting address numbers, dog houses, and even mowing the lawn. The award is presented to the team that is the most creative in its beautification efforts as well as painting. These are folks that you will smile if they show up at your house the morning of Paint Your Heart Out. So, let me present to you the winners for 2011. So let's start with 3<sup>rd</sup> Place. Third Place, Team No. 14, the Social Security Administration and our very own folks from SPORTRAN. They mowed the lawn, planted flowers, provided hanging baskets and removed excess shrubbery in addition to painting the home. So let's welcome up. The folks from Social Security Administration are not here, but Gene is, so Gene on behalf of the City of Shreveport, Bonnie Moore, Marcia Nelson and all the folks at Community Development who helped to organize Paint Your Heart Out over the years, thank you so much and congratulations for your efforts.

Mr. Gene Eddy: Social Security did a fantastic job. I'm proud of the SPORTRAN employees, that rose to the occasion. We hauled off two 18-foot trailers loaded with grass, brush and (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: We will send Jim Holt to visit with Gene Eddy.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well at least they helped out on trash.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman and members of Council, Team #37, Harrison Company Super Regional & Air Force Global Strike Command/A6 (AFGSC/A6) of Barksdale AFB, additional task performed included mowing the lawn, they planted flowers, they provided hanging baskets, and they also removed excess shrubbery. Thank you much.

*Mr. James Deal: (Harrison Company)* We've been doing this for about 14 years now. Critton Award has been our for the last four years, two 2<sup>nd</sup>, a 1<sup>st</sup>, and another 2<sup>nd</sup> for this year. We're happy to do it, and we love doing our job.

*Mr. Brian Sale: (CMSGT, AFGSC/A6)* This is our first year doing it and it was a great opportunity. We partnered up this year, you know we had two teams working on a house, and really worked out

well together. And it was a great opportunity. I know all the folks really enjoyed it. So a great program and we'll be out there next year.

Mayor Glover: Thank you guys so much, appreciate it. Alright. Now, First Place, Team No. 21, the 2<sup>nd</sup> Contracting Squadron of Barksdale Air Force Base and the good folks at the David Raines Community Health Center.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Alright!

Mayor Glover: They rebuilt a dog house and gave the dog a bath! They provided address numbers, built and painted latches over cover for the garbage and recycling cans. Like an episode of HDTV, and removed excess shrubbery from the fence. Let's give a great round of applause to the Contracting Squadron of Barksdale Air Force Base.

*Karina Reyes: (2<sup>nd</sup> Contracting Squadron of Barksdale Air Force Base)* I just wanted to say this was actually our first year doing this. We were partnered with David Raines and their Veterans, and we gladly accepted and they asked to come paint with them. And we had so much fun, not just painting, because the painting part, that was really quick. So we were like, wow, we had all these people here and so much time, and everyone put their efforts in and so that's how we did so much extra things for the house and we got the Spirit Award for it. So we enjoyed doing that for the lady that lives there. And it was all from our hearts.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well thank you very much.

*Audrey Flournoy: (Community Development Officer, David Raines Community Health Center)* We appreciate partnering with Barksdale Air Force Base and I would just like to say on behalf of our CEO, Mr. Willie C. White, our administrative staff and all of our employees, we appreciate the opportunity to work with Paint Your Heart Out and serve our community and improve the quality of life. And we thank you.

Mayor Glover: Before you all leave, we want you to make sure you get directions on how you, (inaudible).

Ms. Glass: I know, I'm taking my directions from her.

Mayor Glover: And I think it's also - - - we want to mention that our very own Annie Pearl Wilson is the - - - is she still currently the Chairman?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yeah, she is still the President.

Mayor Glover: Still the current Board President of the David Raines Community Health Center, an outstanding group that I was the very first patient of.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Right. 5 years old.

Mayor Glover: 5 years old, 1970.

Councilman Shyne: Let me say this. Your daddy and her daddy, Mr. Boykin, myself, it was about six of us who laid the foundation for the first building. It was nothing out there but just empty ground, and that's when you were a little toddler, and you were 2 or 3 days older.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I was a (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: And I was young then too Mr. Chairman, I just want you to know I might have been 14 or 15 back during that time.

Mayor Glover: That group has now since expanded from the Cooper Road to Gilliam, LA, to Haynesville, LA down to Minden and to Bossier. Did I get 'em all? And there are some others.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And Mr. Mayor, for the record, Annie Pearl Wilson is my mother, the President of David Raines Center.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely. I forgot to add that. I meant to add that, I assumed everybody knew that.

Councilwoman McCulloch: No, Uncle Joe does.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you all.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Congratulations.

Mayor Glover: And Mr. Chairman I guess if we'd known, we might have had as packed of an agenda today as we have, we may have scheduled this for a different time. But we do have some important information that we think is important to share with the Council, and we wanted to do it in a very timely fashion, so if you all are all on the same page and aware of exactly what's going on and where we are was something that we think is very exciting. And so at this point and time, we want to invite (inaudible) and Pam Atchison, and all of the folks who will be presenting today on behalf of Shreveport Common to step forward and to join me at this point. And I'm going to turn the microphone over to them. But I can assure you Mr. Chairman that the pizza will be here at 6:00, because I know we will be here at least past that point. So we'll try and make today's meeting as bearable and as comfortable for you all as possible. So at this point and time, I want to turn the podium over to Pam, and then she will direct us from there, and then after that we also according to the agenda still have the presentation to do with regard to annexation. So thank you much Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just one recommendation. Might as well just tell 'em come at 7:00 with that pizza. It'll be cold by the time we got to that thing (inaudible)

Councilman Everson: I would like to add real quickly, and seeing Frederick come up today, we just found out that the State's Commercial Historic Tax Cut has passed.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely. And we want to thank the entire team of folks who came together across the state to help make that happen. Our local efforts were led by our own Liz Swaine over at the DDA. I know Senator Murray had the Senate version of the bill, I'm not remembering who had the House version, but thankfully, they got that bit of business out of the way before the very last days of this legislative session, didn't allow it to get caught up in the back wash of everything that happens during the session. So we're thankful, we know that's great news and we're looking forward to seeing continued great things happen. So, we appreciate it. Thank you.

Ms. Shelly Ragle-Stone: I'm very excited Mayor, Mr. Sibley, Council Members to be here today. As we talked about yesterday when we were talking about the ordinance that's introduced to recognize the private donations for the Fire Station as well as the Brownfield's funds, that two years ago, when we stood there for the fire, we never - - - we couldn't even imagine where we'd be today. And the Mayor said something today early on that I didn't forget. He said, wherever we put SRAC, it ought to make a difference, that this shouldn't be another - - - you know, in a high rise, or even Expo Hall, where they are right now, where you put them ought to make a difference. And make a difference in the landscape of our community. And I think what you're going to see today in this plan has made a difference. And we all know that when SRAC goes somewhere, I call SRACing it up, they can SRAC up a place. So you will see their presentation on the Central Fire Station, and you'll also see the Shreveport Common Plan, and I think what you'll see is what the directive of the Mayor gave it, it should change the landscape. So I hope y'all enjoy this as much as we've enjoyed the process. And I do want to say it's been a long process, about 10 months, and we're excited to show it to the community and get that community input. Thank y'all. Today, the presentation is going to be given by Gregory Free. He has led the design team through this process and he's also led the Citizens Advisory Committee on this design and also has worked alongside Mr. LeBlanc and his team at LeBlanc Architects on the Fire Station. So he'll be giving the presentation. And here's Mr. Gregory Free.

*Mr. Gregory Free: (Gregory Free and Associates, Austin, TX)* Don't go too far away. Mr. Mayor, Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, it's a real pleasure to be here. It's a high time in the old town tonight. I think it was W. C. Fields that said, don't follow dogs or kids on stage, but wow, what a group of achievers here presented tonight. So please allow me to try to change the pace a little bit. But I want to start with telling you something you already know. But coming from somebody from the outside, efforts like this could not happen in just any city in this country and this is an amazing place. And it starts with the support of the Mayor and Council, both individually collectively, by people like the leadership of Shelly Ragle from SPAR who helped us along. A partner like SRAC and Pam Atchison, but everywhere you go within this city government, there is someone supportive. I see Ron Norwood right here, there's Roy Jambor, did Gene leave, Gene Eddy was here just a moment ago, the gracious Bonnie Moore. These have been our advisors and counselors throughout this process and you don't go to many cities in the country and they have these agencies that say 'how can we help you'? What a role model for the rest of the country. Oh yeah, Annie is over there. I'm not seeing so well, I've aged a lot on this project. Annie, I'm sorry. Everywhere we turned, people have just held their hands out and said, 'Please how can we serve you?' It's my job to give you a quick, but air conditioned tour of this area we call Shreveport Commons, that transitional zone between kind of the country and the city, just west of Common Street, and with the other main arteries, Texas Avenue. We're going to fly through it real quickly,

and this fine gentleman is going to take us through. This is one of the film capitols of the world now, right? So it'd be a shame for us to show you still photos. So this represents about 3500 hours of animation of your city starting with the gateway that the Mayor himself asked us to help establish into your downtown here over the viaduct on Common Street. Keep in mind that this is a representation, this is a vision plan. You'll see a lot of things that are like holographic images because they're not real, they're not determined, because even with 63 ( I think is our final count) different listening groups, we call them, this is still a work in progress. This does not presume the taking of anybody's rights or properties, but it's trying to show a vision that we can work toward revitalizing this area through the refurbishing of the Central Fire Station to the Central Art Station creating this cultural district and a new gateway into the City of Shreveport. This is the intersection of Common and Cotton, that's the old B'nai Zion Temple which we are hoping can one day be repurposed. We've actually called it in our work, the Temple of Performing Arts, that maybe it can be repurposed for an exciting new use for cultural arts. Here's an aerial view looking to the north northwest. If you see that central green space which we call the Commons now, a vacant series of lots which have been paved and asphalted over for the latter part of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century and on into this century. Heading up Common Street, you see Providence House, one of the most outstanding institutions in this part of the country, and how we worked with their boards and how they can grow and stay as a stable supportive active caregiver in this neighborhood. Holy Cross Church. One of the most beautiful. I call it the Rock Star of (inaudible) architecture in Louisiana. We wanted to make sure that instead of asphalt and parking and paving in places that there is green space, that to help them rebuild the community for their congregation. The principle part of this plan is historic preservation. Jeff thanks for reaching that great victory today, that the citizens all over Louisiana fought for and won to preserve the tax incentives. But we look at both the existing buildings and some of those incentives for building in cultural districts. Suggesting infill projects with parking. We want to serve the retail needs, we want to serve the institutional needs, the transportation needs as well as increase the residential density. And that takes a lot of give and take. One of the funniest made in the many, many sessions with our advisory council is not in my back yard. People want all these things, but they come together, it's a 50+ member group, advisory council, to say yes lets think how this all might be if we shared the same vision. One of the largest historic sites there on the horizon, Oakland Cemetery, a 10 acre park in the middle of Shreveport. Now I want to say people have been dying to get in there for years, but you know the with the work with the Friends of Oakland Cemetery, it will be repurposed as a public pass and park, an urban green space that is now tied to the greater workings of this new urban neighborhood. Here's a corner showing the McAdoo hotel. Proud to say that those developers using the tax credits available only in Louisiana have turned that project around, half-million dollars being spent right now, and a total of \$3.4 (million) to convert that from single room occupancy to permanent residential housing in the inner city. You can see through some of these buildings because they're not really there. They're serving suggestions if you would like on the Campbell's Soup can, they're saying, here's how much space and mass this would take. On the left here is the transportation mode. We're calling it the Common Link, that we hope will bring people to and from both the downtown region of the city into this cultural district and then to and from the suburban neighborhoods. Right now, you cannot take the bus as Gene will, I'm sorry Gene is gone, from the casinos and the convention center to the Municipal Auditorium, the most important landmark almost into music, in all of the south. So we're looking at preserving but also enhancing in the very language of the National Historic Preservation Act. Looking for new uses, new

purposes, but try to tie these now disparate landmarks together with this central common. The central figure of all this planning is the Common, but it's also the root of community. We're building this community around this green space that all can share. We fly up in the air and look over on the horizon, you see the Central Fire Station, the first true private investment in this neighborhood is 1954, when the townhouse or the Fairmont Apartments were built, I'm very proud to have as our advisory, (inaudible) Richard LeBlanc, one of the most talented architects around. He's not officially on our team, but he has advised us on so much of this, and particularly, the way we're presenting it to the public. There's the fire station as sort of a gleaming beacon and as Shelly said, when it gets SRACified, I whatever, I forget your word, SRACed, a lot of activity is going to go on. The lights are never turned off and the energy never fails when SRAC is involved. Looking up at the end at the green space, where the pinnacle to me of some of the architecture, Holy Cross Church, up on Milam Street. Lots of trees, lots of green space, shade, benches, parks, but also open green space for the strolling of babies, the walking of the dog, the throwing of the Frisbee, or just being in downtown Shreveport. Infill buildings like this on vacant lots. Lots that have been vacant since the 1930s and '40s with the exception of a few service stations. Frankly, we've purposed in at the same time here helping our out friends at Asiatic Gardens, here since 2007, have been working silly to make that the perfect little green oasis in the middle of the city. So as you see as we're walking, we can take this model from any angle, from any side, we could put you in an office and look down and you can see the space. This has already become an incredible key and I think a tool to the developers who are thinking of investing in this area. They could say well what if I do this, what would it look like. What would it look like from there, and what would it look like if I wanted to do more or do it in sequence or over a period of phases. So it's an incredible tool that we think will serve the City of Shreveport and this cultural district for years and years to come. This is the intersection of Cotton looking down Common to the south, we look down Cotton Street and out on the left, that's the Scottish Rights Cathedral on the right. We're going to go down toward the east, you see on the left the Arlington Hotel. But we've been engaging some of the actual business and property owners in the area, and look at the group on the right of buildings, those are 1929. The corner lounge, by the way is the oldest continuing operating bar in Shreveport, LA, I'd like the record to show that, and I hope my fabulous memory from my childhood will forgive me. We've been working with those neighborhoods have made this into kind of a little cul-de-sac. It's a dead end, but it used to be the principle way south to the neighborhoods. But it was closed off years ago. This is now called Louisiana-Cotton Exchange. That was the name provide and processed by those owners, but it becomes a small venue replacing restaurants and services and night clubs and things that were there throughout history. The grounding of this whole project is authenticity. The historical records gives a brief history of this neighborhood, we know who lived in which block. What happened there, where the artists were, where the singers sang, where the musicians lived. So as we get up and look at this, we're trying to use that authentic story to repurpose these, so that this is a sustainable neighborhood, a sustainable revitalization, not just something that we talked about, shelve and walk away from. We want it to be sustainable socially and economically, and we think we can do that through the cultural district. I wanted to introduce our design team real quickly for you. An amazing group of people that are local, [Mischa](#) Farrell, our architect from here in Shreveport, (inaudible) Jerome Nicholas and Katie Martin. Brilliant leader, writer, scholar, I can't even describe her, and that's Wendy Benscoter our project manager. And unfortunately not here with us, (inaudible) Urban Planning Consultants at our New Orleans - - - we have to pay 'em every time they come up that five hour drive, so they'd love to be here. We

are thrilled that we think this is the future of the past by purposing this, we call it Shreveport's oldest new place, or perhaps it's its newest old place. So I want to ask Pam Atchison to kinda wrap up for you and we'll let you all get on with your meeting. Thank you.

*Ms. Pam Atchison: (SRAC)* Two years ago, the Mayor promised that it was a new day for SRAC. The fire was an old day and the Mayor and at that time, Chief Brian Crawford stood together and said from the fire to the fire station. And now I would say from the fire to the fire station to what we hope will be the hottest cool little place in Shreveport, very, very soon. Shreveport Common. So today we want to tell you that this is the first time I've seen that, just to say they've changed it again. So this is the very beginning of a draft vision plan, and we're very excited about Saturday, June 18<sup>th</sup> from 9-2:00. It will be warm in Shreveport Common, but we'll have Trolley tours, we'll have fans, and we're trying to show the whole community a sample of one short day in the Emerald City, and that's Shreveport Common. One short day from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., we hope you will come, and during that day, you'll see the works of over 10 professional artist who've been working to create temporary artwork. So there'll be 30 public art installations in the area. There'll be 15 artists demonstrating. The Farmers Market has been kind enough to encourage the artists who are at the Farmers Market to come up and try vending in Shreveport Common, so we'll have a lot of artists everywhere. We'll have good food. Again street vendors will be selling food throughout the day, and we will also be showing what we think that green space could look like if it were SRACified. So that's on Saturday, and just to say Friday, we have these tax people from all over the state and from out of the region who are coming in to meet with potential developers and artists and anyone who is interested in helping to develop not just Shreveport Common, but the whole downtown area with what are those tax incentives. We'll be doing a free lunch, we'll be doing an all afternoon talk about these incentives, and then they'll give them a Trolley tour that night or that evening of Shreveport Common as well. Those will be the first two ways, and then we have the Shreveport Common facelift page that we're hoping that people will also take the next month or two to respond to our ideas. We've given you the Shreveport Common update. I hope you all got it and we'll see some next steps that include an opportunity as we go along to work with Shelly Ragle and also Bonnie Moore to begin merging our plan with the other big plans that are going on in the area, the West Edge, and Ledbetter Heights initiative so that we're all one plan soon. But this is our first start. Any questions about the plan or anything you saw that you said, I'm ready to have a condo there, or I can't wait to walk the dog or as Simone Hennessey from Providence House called it, I'm ready to go to a café at 5:30 in the afternoon and have Yappy Hour, buy one, get one free if your dog comes. How about that? The dog gets a free bowl of water and you get and iced tea. Alright. Any questions? I know it's been a long meeting, and I don't want to be - - - well alright, I hope we see you Friday and Saturday in Shreveport Commons. I'm sorry, please excuse me Chairman. I forgot there are so many people on the advisory committee are here and I meant to ask if they could all stand. Would the Shreveport Common Advisory Committee appointed by the Mayor, those of you who - - - would you all stand up? (Inaudible) people did not stand, but they have designed the Central Fire Station and as you all stand up please, I know you're all comfortable back there, but stand up. And with the resolution we hope you'll approve today is that we'll be able to move forward on sending that out for bids in the next few weeks, and having an August 25<sup>th</sup> Hot, Hot, Hot Graduation party and in the year of being in the Central Art Station from that point forward. Thank you Mayor, thank you so much, I appreciate the time.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Pam, thank you all the members of the committee. I guess Mr. Chairman, if there's any additional information I would look to share with you all about regarding this effort, and it is as I expressed earlier during the press conference that we had prior to the start of the Council Meeting, this is a part of as Pam just referenced an effort that focuses not just on the area around the fire station, soon to be the Central Art Station. But as she mentioned, there is also simultaneously an effort that's being coordinated by one of our local planning specialist, Ken Mitchell, April Dom and David Nelson and others who are very active and engaged in the Texas Avenue Community Association, that's focusing on the balance of Ledbetter Heights and the potential that the Millennium development that represents with regard to that overall effort. There's also been an ongoing effort that I guess is kind of the 3<sup>rd</sup> in line since I've been around starting with Shepherd Place up at MLK, Concordia Place that's over in Stoner Hill, Heritage Place is an effort that Bonnie and her team have been working on for some years, that now starting to congeal and become specific. We've got some additional work to do in that regard, but all of it now is a part of the area that is the focus of the Choice Neighborhoods Grant that we were so lucky and so blessed to receive. One of 17 communities around the country. That particular grant is for \$250,000 initially. It's a planning grant. It puts us in a position Mr. Chairman and members of the Council to be able to collectively express to the folks at the Department of Housing and Urban Development a vision that could in turn be in line for upwards of \$20,000,000 worth of additional funding. So we managed to make ourselves known and seen amongst the hundreds to come to a group of 17. Our work now is to take what's being done here with Shreveport Common, Ledbetter Heights, Heritage Place, Allendale and Lakeside as a whole and hopefully articulate that into a vision that gives us a chance to be able to come back and bring even a bigger chunk of those ultimate implementation dollars that are available for us to be able to receive. Again as I eluded to during our press conference. What's great about what's happening right now in Shreveport that you've got this type of focus, energy, investment, development taking place inside the loop as we talked about during the Master Planning process. And we're also in the process of planning how it is that we actually continue to smartly and affectively grow those areas of our city that are technically outside of the loop. The kind of balanced growth that hopefully will give us the kind of dynamic community I know that we're all looking forward to seeing. One last note that I would add is that as a result of what has transpired up until this point, specifically with regard to Shreveport Common, it is no great secret that we managed to get on the radar of [Rocco Landesman](#), the President's appointee to head up the National Endowment for the Arts, the National Council on the Arts happens to be the Board of the NEA. They meet three times a year. At each of the three meetings during the course of the year, they asked various individuals from around the world to come and present on specific topics for discussion and deliberation before that Body. I'm happy to share with you all that the City of Shreveport has been selected as one of three cities that will present before this month's meeting of the National Council on the Arts. Specifically we'll be talking about Shreveport Common and what it means with regard to fulfilling the NEA's objective of creating this cultural economy that we're all talking about and creative placement and so we look forward next week to being there. The great thing is they pick up the tab, and so we'll be there on their dime. If I have to I'll take my emergency kit with me, so I'll have provisions for those three days just in case. But we're looking forward to again being able to share some positive news about the city of Shreveport and how it is that in the midst of a tragic set of circumstances, we've now chartered a course that's taking us to an even better and brighter future. So thank you Mr. Chairman and members of the Council. And at this point Mr. Chairman,

we turn the meeting back over to your hands. We thank all the folks who came out today for the Shreveport Common presentation, and we'll look to follow your lead.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well, while we gladly accept it, we're going to have to turn it back over to you right this moment for Communications relative to City Business. And I assume at this point, Charles y'all be ready to come forward on your presentation. I think this is the applicable time.

Mayor Glover: We would welcome it at this time Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And Dale, while they're coming up here, do y'all have anything else for us relative to city business?

Mr. Sibley: Not at this time Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I do have a request for some information Mr. Chairman, but we can do it after they do their presentation relative to City Business.

*Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.*

*Mr. Charles Kirkland: (MPC)* Mr. Chairman, City Council, Staff, Mr. Mayor and Mr. Sibley. In response to a request by Councilman Corbin, we have prepared what you will like to hear as a brief statement about information related to Flournoy Lucas property owners and the things that Councilman Corbin asked us to identify. It's on the cover of this letter. Roy if you don't mind handing this over to Sharon, we're going to pass that out to you now. It responds fairly succinctly to what you've asked for. We're always available to give you whatever information you need for decision making. That of course as you well know is part of the Master Planning Process and Mayor I have to say and Council Members, I have heard more about planning today in this room than I've heard in almost 20 years of being with this city. That's a good sign. I hope that the Master Planning process helped stimulate some of that. The SRAC folks and a lot of other activities going on around our city are great news as far as where I see and hear. I also want to say something and I hope Adam Causey is still here. I want to say a big thank you to someone that probably will fall out when he hears that I said it. And I want to thank Craig Durrett for all of the attention that the Shreveport Times has brought to the issue of the extension of 3132. When we talk about these major issues in our cities, we have or the MPC has had many, many, meetings. Sometimes meeting after meeting, public agendas and all the information going to media and others, and nobody shows up to say anything. In even event, I won't digress into that, but I do appreciate it, and even if it was telling some of our citizens who were about to run a road right through the middle of your \$3 or 4 or 500,000 or more expensive home. That might have been a little bit of a scare tactic, but I won't go there, I'll just simply say thank you. With that Roy, I'm going to ask you to explain the graphic if you will.

Mr. Jambor: Not a problem, and just by way of summary, what Councilman Corbin asked us to do was to show you what is on our record in terms of the development rights of developers of both the two primary residential developments that have either been initiated which are Twelve Oaks and

all it's various components, what is being referred to as Esplanade development on behalf of Mr. Larkin as well as the other development rights (inaudible) that have frontage on Flournoy Lucas Road. What you're seeing in the pale yellow are lots that have been not only been approved, is planned unit developments, but in fact have been platted. What is in the darker down there by Mr. Larkin's development, the darker yellow indicates an area which he has gotten zoned as part of this planned unit development, and he can place as many as 230 additional lots in those areas. The area that is indicated as pale gray is privately owned land by one of those two developers, but the planned unit development shows that as an area where building is not allowed. What is shown in white on the dotted lines happens to be the information that we always rely on the MPO to provide us, that is part of the long range transportation plan that the MPO maintains which is what we've been trying to protect as an optional, I believe the gentleman in the earlier presentation referred to as a conceptual lot. That's as good a term as any. You can see that in fact, some of the adjustments on Twelve Oaks have assumed that that will utilize a much smaller radius and hug it a little more tightly. There was a lot of adjustments during the development and the zoning of this property. And the assumption was that this little radius here would hug the bayou a little more tightly. Therefore this alignment made more sense. And in fact if you were to literally follow the so called conceptual route, you'll see that even the quadrilateral, the triangle that we've all referred to, it may not even be the exact piece of property that you would buy and it in fact obviously could be adjusted to hug that line a little closer. To make a long story short, we think there is at least an optional route that the MPO would be able to look at. It's viable at last from what we can tell that's above the ground. Obviously, you've seen today as part of that other presentation how involved both the environmental and engineering study are required to take. And those obviously are very lengthy and involved, and it does investigate things that we would never know about as a planning process. Development wise, simply noted on our drawing in yellow. Yesterday you referred to trying to determine exactly what was, and is not in the City limit. You have the annexation that is in front of you today, that is the far right hand side of the Twelve Oaks development and is indicated by the dotted red line. All of the Larkin development is in the city, except for that small rectangle, recently added. That represents about 10 acres. The balance of it is all you see here as of today. What I'll do as best I can, but one of the things when we had the discussion including yesterday, was that the City limits is not necessarily a homogenous sort of thing. As it relates to this map, you'll see property that goes in and out of the City limits. That for example is out. There is a portion of Mr. Elrod's property. Then you go over here. All this property is out and yet this property right here is in. So on and so forth. Makes it a little hard to follow, but I just gave you the quick verbal description. The land is in for example All of Esplanade except for this small portion is in. Basically what we can do another time, we've been annexing development property (inaudible)

Councilwoman McCulloch: What are we trying to get from this illustration? What is that they really need?

Mr. Jambor: Well we're just providing information.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I mean in order to reroute 3132.

Mr. Jambor: Once again, from the earlier studies, you may have seen how involved that really is. Okay, they are always required to develop all terms of the property. As I recall, one of which is always mandated to be, don't build anything at all. What we've been trying to do over time in response and with the assistance of the MPO, Mr. Rogers and his group is insure that the route that's shown on the long term transportation plan is not superseded by any zoning (inaudible). We don't take anybody's rights away, but we don't give 'em any additional right that might preclude that.

Mr. Kirkland: I think one other thing, as you see pathways like this drawn on maps, the only part of land that we can guarantee where a road might go is that which is owned by the City of Shreveport. Now, all of that other property as you see that dotted line goes to the south and southeast.

Councilwoman McCulloch: You talking about the white dotted line?

Mr. Kirkland: But here's what's key for you to remember, and you have a lot of activity there is the Council of Governments as Roy and others continue to say is our MPO. The Metropolitan Planning Organization. Caddo, Bossier, and Webster Parishes are the jurisdiction for that state designated MPO. Now our mayor, the mayor of Bossier and a representative (I think) from Webster, Kent can tell you that better than I, a state representative, myself, and some others are on that policy committee or that MPO, and it stays on top of almost every vehicular transportation issue. In fact it's been doing a better job as far as I can (inaudible) since the federal laws were changed and required not only public input, but they require a priority listing of what a local community or this area thinks is the most important. So the information is there and maybe if you had more regular reporting Councilwoman McCulloch, from the COG unit, it might be to your advantage to know, to see what they're working on. It's a public agency and a public record.

Mr. Jambor: Even the portion that the City owns, other than the fact that the City owns it, it has inherent development rights according to its zoning. It has base zoning rights like much of the rest of it. The only parcels that are on this map, that have no development rights at all, are the parts that are a component of a planned unit development and by agreement, the Master Plan shows no development on it. Those are the areas you'll see in white. A small portion of that is the result of the levy district having an easement along Bayou Pierre. That by law has no development rights there. Other than that the two parcels in the picture that part of that planned unit development, that was part of the agreement. That is a Master Plan specific sort of development. We'll build exactly here and we won't build here. One of those is a lake he intends to build, the other one is a tree preserve that was intended to be preserved, and in one of the earlier zoning cases, it was specifically to insure that this route remained viable.

Councilman Corbin: Roy, lets go back to the (inaudible) lines. If we're looking at the dotted lines in Twelve Oaks, the east side of that that includes what we have on our agendas today?

Mr. Jambor: That yellow portion. Right to the right of that orange dotted line. That's what you're being asked to annex today I believe. And that tells you how many units are already platted in that subdivision. This map is very similar looks like to me.

Councilman Corbin: If we annex that today, that fills in all of the yellow. That would be the end of Twelve Oaks Development at that - - -

Mr. Jambor: As a PUD, Planned Unit Development. It's Master Plan specific. That would be the entirety in that. That even included some of that light gray area.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And the moment he transfers that property, it's no longer - - - they're attached to the land or to - - -? So essentially, if Mr. Larkin wanted to, not that it would make any sense, but he could go in and develop that piece of property in line with what Mr. Janca had put in his proposal?

Mr. Kirkland: Let me respond to that. He could if he had the approvals of local government. Now by that I'm talking about the MPC, and by you. Now we've been down this road before, we being the MPC, and I think if you'll look at the 3132 right above Flournoy Lucas some of you may know him, Mr. Ron Sawyer. Ron is a well known local man. He has the property that we knew 3132 was going to go through. And I remember very well when Ron had a sale to Raceway Petroleum, the service station is out there now, he was right in the middle of where the road would go through. We asked Ron, please move it over, and what might have happened, is he might have been denied Mr. Jenkins, had he pursued, but he moved it over, renegotiated his deal with Raceway, and we asked Ron to please hold his property off the market until we got this roadway through there resolved. He was not very happy with the City for a very long time, but he voluntarily willingly kept it off the market, because he knew that roadway was going to go through there at some point. I remember Tom Dark was the CAO who eventually got the money to acquire the right of way, and make it a reality. So what the MPC would do is if any developers shows up, the same thing happened to Mr. Janca. He wanted to develop his property, and he had every right to want to want to do that. But we said Tony, this roadway is highly likely to go through your property, and we want you to realign your development. Now the ultimate decision could have been MPC could have denied a formal request by him Mr. Jenkins, and then of course it would have come to you to make a determination. That's why I referenced earlier, this property is privately owned. These owners of property to develop it, they don't have a right to higher densities, they don't have a right to maximum development rights that would be granted under a higher zoning class. So the fact that you've got to have government approval, puts a major consideration into play.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, and I think you may have misunder - - - I thought I heard and maybe I misunderstood this, that the gray property having approved PUD.

Mr. Jambor: On that portion of it. It has - - - it's part of a PUD, the internal boundaries but the (inaudible) Master Plan shows more development. And I'll give you - - - the sequence of events over time as Mr. Janca developed this as his master plan. The idea is he wanted all this property contiguous and the route to go around this property. Okay. As a result, he agreed to shift all of his development over here, and to preserve this as a potential lot and not develop it. That is the final expression of his master plan where he shows no development. That was in 2005.

Councilman O. Jenkins: 2005, 2004. Understand. So and my question for you and I got to say I'm not a developer. I know what RA is and I know what R-1 is. Okay? Now for a long period of time

y'all had through the middle of Twelve Oaks a strip that was listed as RA and there were other parts listed as R-1 PUD, if I'm not mistaken, and I don't know how long that period was, but obviously we have documentation where that existed. Okay? At some point in our process whether it's in discussion with NLCOG, the City or various entities, we cognitively decided to abandon that route and say, okay, we're going to shift this slightly to the west and down. Now when you chose to do that and people around that table (inaudible) ordinance that some people agree what it says and don't, but I'm not - - - why didn't you as the MPC turn back this little strip of land in gray that was quasi dedicated or denoted might be relative to the future corridor, why didn't you change that back from R-1 to RA, i.e., just like you had done previously with the strip that was going through the middle of the development?

Mr. Jambor: We didn't zone it RA, it was already RA. We refuse to grant additional lots. RA has been noted on the map as development (inaudible) for as much as 1.7 (inaudible) units per acre. So he can build houses there, follow me? Only by taking it and making it a part of the Planned Unit Development with no rights. And you totally remove any development rights. So in essence what we have is better than RA.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, so your thought process is that that was more restrictive as R-1 PUD than it was as RA?

Mr. Jambor: As long as there's nothing on the master, which in this case there is nothing on the master. (Inaudible) an RA use is an example. Mr. Larkin on his own land, as part of his master plan, agreed not to develop this area. No houses can be built there. Now without coming back to us and modifying that master.

Mr. Kirkland: And ultimately to give to you.

Mr. Jambor: This is restricted by the Levy Board, not us.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Right, but that part that's approved - - -

Mr. Jambor: This is a zoning restriction. These two white areas here. And that was restricted by his planned use development master plan. Okay? And only that. If we simply omitted that from the zoning application, left it RA, he would have the right to develop as much as you know - - - (inaudible) 25,000 square feet, it's 1.7 (inaudible). In the city on your triangles, if you choose to do so, you can put as much as one unit per acre on that site. You can put 16 houses in (inaudible)

Mr. Kirkland: (Inaudible) Council Chairman is that the best news you heard today in my opinion is what the Mayor and some others had to say. The Council of Governments, the State of Louisiana have come up with the roughly \$1,000,000 to do a part of study and to look at several different alignments, which ever ones would make the most sense as an objective study. But the funding that study is extremely important, because only then do you really take the steps that are necessary to protect that extension. Up until now it's just been lines on a map, but it's about to be converted to more than that with an official alignment. And that means that when that study is complete, ours and others will be asked to adopt it after public hearings and all of that. But that's really good news

because I think for likely, we heard about a year or more ago is to say (inaudible) you got a million dollars to do this corridor study? I don't know what Kent's answer would have been then, but I would be guess the answer might have been no, (inaudible) that's the real news.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I don't believe there's anybody in this room that doesn't believe this issue, its resurfacing has energized the path forward of 3132. If anybody wants to argue that, I'm happy to take 'em on. That's not really my discussion at this point. I think the other part that continues to be kind of an issue for consideration for a lot of people is does any activity in that triangle have any impact in terms of the study in the city's own triangle whether we have a 200-foot road that goes from the extension of the exit across the city property or whether we have an access into Shreveport development site plan on the eastern side, and whether that's a 100-foot access or 300-feet, if that has any impact on this future study, and in discussions yesterday within NLCOG that any development at all in that triangle, will impact an environmental study going forward. And that yesterday was the first that we had heard of that formally from any governing body.

Mr. Jambor: And once again and this is the point that seems to be slightly misunderstood at previous MPO meetings. The MPO is part of a planning process. It is different in many ways from an engineering process. The controlled access is something that they don't go against. You know we can talk all we want about that, but at the end of the day, it's their call. Should we do something that they find offensive, then that's a problem.

Mr. Kirkland: Well I might say too even though that's not exactly what you asked Councilman, the only reason the property that the City of Shreveport owns, the only reason it was to facilitate the extension of 3132. So I think that answers your question in my mind, is if you allow anything to go in there, unless it accommodates 3132 Extension, and I won't say there isn't a way to do it, but unless it accommodates that, then it shouldn't be allowed. I think your - - - the actions you took today are very much in keeping with the public interest. That does not mean that someone couldn't come up with a plan that would work. Mr. Janca and others that want to have some rights to that have come up with any number of ideas, even the local state, DOTD (inaudible) has looked at it and he suggested an idea that might have facilitated both Larkin and Twelve Oaks, and the public all getting what they wanted. But the state would not approve these plans to date, and in fact I don't know other than what they've said is to turn it over to the City of Shreveport and grant a permit, I find to me is pretty facetious to - - - without going public with all of that, how are you going to still preserve the right to 3132 because of that error. I've seen one plan that did show a (inaudible) could go through there, but its not a public (inaudible).

Mr. Jambor: I would call your attention to a comment that's in the staff report, that Mr. Larkin's original zoning request that was an ordinance in front of the Parish. It's not a condition of the approval, but maybe in hindsight it should have been. It was stated in this information included in the staff report as the staff conveyed to him through our discussions with DOTD over the years, that his safest route to get access from Flournoy Lucas was by cooperating in partnership, property currently owned by the Glen. That's in our staff report in 2007.

Mr. Kirkland: Does everyone know what the Glen is up there to the left of that (inaudible).

Councilman O. Jenkins: It's on our map that we're looking at.

Mr. Kirkland: And the Glen was - - -

Mr. Jambor: And like I say, it's just a comment our staff made.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Not on that one, the other one has it on there.

Mr. Jambor: And the way it reads is, this is what Mr. Larkin is telling us, how he's going to access the property, by going through the Glen's property and getting access to the (inaudible).

Mr. Kirkland: That's the Glen property right there, currently the Glen's got at least two curb cuts on the Flournoy Lucas. There was a fair amount of discussion by the state and the Glen related to combining those curb cuts into one, and perhaps working with Mr. Larkin in that same improvement, and the state, from what we heard was willing to pay for some of the costs, if not all of it, and that would have made more sense to us to come through that part. You want to stay as far away from interchange, the on and off ramps of a roadway of this type, and the state has minimums that they require. I can't tell you what they are, they're several hundred feet away from where the signal lights are and a lot of traffic movements are.

Mr. Jambor: (Inaudible) obviously don't have a crystal ball and some we don't pretend to speak for our Board. But the story that was presented to us at the original zoning hearing is here's how I'm going to gain access to Flournoy Lucas. Had he instead told us, I don't know or I intend to try and get through the city's property, I dare say they might have reached a different decision.

Councilman O. Jenkins: When we talked about the initial MPC ruling with the parish with regard to Mr. Larkin's property needing access both from Flournoy Lucas and Railsback, were you able to find that document?

Mr. Jambor: Oh yeah, I have it hear if you want it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yeah, I - - - hopefully, you could disseminate that.

Mr. Kirkland: Mr. Larkin's road stops right now at the bridge crossing that bayou. It stops right there. The MPC didn't deal with the rest of it because of what the lawyer just said. Mr. Larkin said I am going to see if I can I can work out something with the Glen and I'll be getting back to you. Well that time never came. The next thing we saw was a few months ago, when a formal request was made by Mr. Larkin to the MPC to show an alignment, not through the Glen, but up to and stopping at the city's property. We returned that to him as incomplete. And we haven't heard anymore from him since.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Based on information that I've gotten up to this point, it appears that the Caddo Parish Commission is somewhat involved too, is that correct with this?

Mr. Kirkland: Well I think the issue was simply as approving the master plan and the roadway plan which did not at that point and time did not show where the road was going to go or any alignment from the bayou or the bridge that's there now to Flournoy Lucas. We all knew that at some point, based on the agreement Mr. Larkin made with the Railsback neighbors that he would have to go to Flournoy Lucas, and that was clear to everybody. Now how he got there, we were waiting on him to come back to show us at the MPC how and where it was going to be and to connect to the Flournoy Lucas. But the Commission Ms. McCulloch as far as I'm aware simply, that wasn't an issue when they dealt with the zoning and it wasn't to the MPC at the time, we were relying on Mr. Larkin to come back to us, which he has now a year or so later, whose now come back to see if he could get something approved, we're hoping he will re-file sometime soon showing a complete alignment through to Flournoy Lucas. And if he shows through the city property, he's going to have to also show us a letter where someone in the city approved that it's okay for him to do that. Now y'all have just passed an action today, that's not our issue. It'll be up to the lawyers to say what that means, but from my standpoint as Director, we're not going to accept an application where a land developer stubs his property out to some other owner's property and doesn't show us how he's going to get to the main roadway. So that's kinda where we are right now. Of course I don't know, and as far as I know the Caddo Parish Commission was totally aware of everything going on, they weren't aware of this current proposal, but then the property was annexed as you know.

Councilman Corbin: Mr. Kirkland over the past few days through conversations that we've had with Ken and other people, we do understand we have to protect the piece of city-owned property before our study is moving forward. And then I think the actions that the Council's taken today do that. I guess I'm going to simplify my question into a couple of parts. South, actually north of Bayou Pierre, the gray piece that Mr. Larkin has there, without coming to the MPC, he's prohibited from doing anything in that piece of property today?

Mr. Kirkland: That's my opinion, yes. And in fact, we've got a basically a hold in the permit section that will (inaudible) permits for him to do anything in there until he gets an approved plan.

Councilman O. Jenkins: What he's asking is not a permit, i.e., can he do anything on his own accord without coming to you all or somebody in the city at this point?

Mr. Kirkland: Not that I'm aware of.

Mr. Jambor: Let me put it this way. If he came to us with a subdivision application for property in that gray area, we would say it's not zoned for development and you cannot subdivide something that's prohibited from being subdivided. He doesn't have the proper based zoning to support a subdivision.

Mr. Kirkland: And let me say this too. We want this development. I think I don't know of anybody who said they don't want it. In fact, it's a high end development, we should come out a real winner on taxes on it, a part of it's a private road. There are a lot of things that are very good about it, and we want to see it happen. I mean we are more than willing, we being me and others to work with Mr. Larkin in a positive way. Now personally my opinion, and I've said earlier, had he come to the

MPC and said here's how I'm going to try to gain access to Flournoy Lucas in a way that won't hurt the extension of 3132, and my engineers and others have worked this out, I will tell that might have been something that could have been, other than a public hearing now, frankly the City of Shreveport would have to, by meaning you and/or the Mayor's office would have to approve that formally. It wouldn't just be something informal. So the real answer Mr. Jenkins is I'm not aware that he can do anything. Doesn't mean he won't try something, but that's up to him to try and that's why we've got a room full of lawyers upstairs. And we would encourage them to take whatever legal means it is to - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I'm certainly not accusing him of doing something illegal. That was not my - - - we're just trying to understand really where we are, and if we suggested that in any way, that's not the intent. We just want to really understand what the next step would have to be, before any concrete was poured or before anybody invested anymore money in that project.

Mr. Kirkland: He needs to get an application before the MPC and heard in a public hearing and a decision made regarding that.

Councilman Corbin: The second part of my question and I agree. I think we do want to see development. Is Railsback Road the light yellow piece that he does have approval to build a subdivision there? It's my understanding the concrete streets are already there ready to go. What would it take to grant temporary access through Railsback road to do that construction? Let him move ahead while we find out our proposed or our conceptual pathways instead of having to build an entrance that's well over a quarter-mile away on Flournoy Lucas Road?

Mr. Kirkland: One of the conditions he would have to modify and the MPC decision on the zoning was that, that roadway would have to be complete and accepted before he would get the permits to build homes. We're not going to give any permits (inaudible). So to answer your question is yes, he originally wanted to have a major ingress/egress at Ellerbe/Railsback and was more than prepared to spend a lot of money improving that intersection at Norris Ferry, Ellerbe and Railsback in order to facilitate a smother flow of traffic. Now when the Railsback neighbors locked down, not no, but you know what. Ain't going there, apparently Mr. Larkin chose to make a deal with them, an agreement. We don't know what the private agreement would say, but we tried to iterate what he had agreed to by limited ingress/egress gated at Railsback/Ellerbe, and yes he could always go back and there could be some effort to have an interim modification on that if he and the neighbors were willing to, I would think the MPC would want to see them together. How long of a period of time, how many homes would be allowed to be built before the permanent long term ingress/egress were approved.

Mr. Jambor: This is Plan B you're looking at. His original application which had only an entry and an exit from Railsback, totally contained and only accessible from Railsback. Met with significant opposition and withdrew.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Let me say and maybe he didn't say clearly, but you all see that we're reluctant to do anything without triangular piece of land until this 3132 is formally resolved. Okay, so we've kinda pretty much (inaudible) won't speak for everybody, but we're pretty sure that

that's where we want to go. But we also think it's a little unfair to Mr. Larkin whose spent this amount of money and he can't work on his project. Not the northern half of his project, but the southern half of his project, and we're looking for some type of an arrangement that he can continue to do work on that project and before he has to invest in roads or may or may not have to happen on the northern side of this project.

Mr. Jambor: What we're trying to say is nobody extracted that from him. That was his proposal. In fact I kinda question whether he really wanted to (inaudible) off the idea. I think he reserved the right to (inaudible) rights. So I asked are you sure about that? I asked him, I said you sure you might ask for more rights they might give 'em to you? He said no, that's fine.

Mr. Kirkland: Our doors are open. If he - - - our phones work, all he's got to do is make a phone call and say lets sit down and work with him, I know Tim and I've known his family for a long time. Like I said earlier, we would love to see this development work and work soon. But not at the expense of 3132. So I think we're all on the same page, so the next move is really I think up to Tim Larkin to sit down with the local governmental folks and say what can we do that will make this work? We're all ears and eyes when it comes to - - - and Tim has got some of the best engineers and consultants going.

Mr. Jambor: And to add to that, one of the real problems right there at Railsback is that it's a fairly dangerous part of convergence of streets anyway. That may be the proximate cause of everybody's concern (inaudible) accommodate you know any real volume of traffic in that situation. And I think Mr. Larkin always assumed that there was some design solution that would make that problem go away, and perhaps he found out that that wasn't the case.

Councilman Shyne: You know I agree with what Charles has just said. You know we want to see Mr. Larkin do his development, but we don't want to see him do it at the expense of stopping 3132. 3132 is too important to the entire growth of this city. Not only just that development out there. If you go to any cities, if you go to Dallas, I hate to use Dallas cause it's just hard to compare Shreveport to Dallas. But if you go over there, you'll see that their life blood is their arteries. Is there transportation. All of their development, I mean I was put in a car and they will tell you that their development if it's going to impact one of their arteries, then it doesn't happen. Because their arteries, their transportation arteries, are too important to the growth of the city. I didn't realize that the Dallas/Fort Worth area got more people than we've got in the whole state of Louisiana. I think their projected number now is somewhere around 6 1/2 million people and they're steady growing. And they're steady growing because of their accessibility to transportation. I can't understand why a developer would even want to jeopardize a transportation artery for this development. Because that's kinda like cutting off your nose. The more accessibility you have for transportation, the better it is for your development.

Mr. Kirkland: I've heard that he doesn't want to do that. That his objective was not to stop 3132, but what we have said is okay, what is your plan to avoid doing that? And at the same time to facilitate this road coming through there. I haven't seen that and haven't heard it. I've heard that that idea exist.

Councilman Shyne: Well that's what I was about to say. I mean, you can hear it Charles, but if it's not kinda concrete, then you're wondering whether you're saying one thing, but you're actually going to do something. Not that you don't trust folks, but business is business, even if you're doing it with your twin brother. So that's my concern. I mean I want him to show, well look this is not going to impact 3132. Because 3132 is too important, and Charles you've been around as long as I have, and you know if I'm not mistaken, this idea kinda came around in the Edwin's administration.

Mr. Kirkland: That's right.

Councilman Shyne: I'm not as close to Gus Mijalis as the Mayor is over there, I'm just go and buy fish from him. But a lot of folks one way or another about Gus, but Gus had to get the money and others to get 3132 because the whole idea of transportation, of Shreveport having good transportation was born during that time and actually, and you've been around a long time, and actually we're ahead of Baton Rouge - - -

Mr. Kirkland: Everybody in Louisiana.

Councilman Shyne: That's exactly right. Everybody in Louisiana on trying to have the transportation arteries.

Mr. Kirkland: Shreveport/Caddo Joe has got the finest plan that works in the State of Louisiana and in the South. It has got - - - we have got - - - we didn't get it just by accident, it took COG, it took MPC, a lot of citizens, pushing for a good roadway system, and we've got that. And we're going to preserve that as far as a lot of us are concerned.

Councilman Shyne: And the other thing now, and I know we looked at I-69. But I'm going to be truthful with you Mr. Chairman. I have some Republican friends in Congress, Charles - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Say it isn't so! (Inaudible) to come here and say that about you Joe, because that'd be more interesting (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: Could you repeat that?

Councilman Shyne: Charles, the plan should cut the federal budget not only to the bone, but into the bone. So, and I'm going to be truthful with you. I really don't see I-69 now being a priority for the federal government in the next 25-30 years. Because it's going to take a long time to get this federal debt down that our federal friends are talking about. And to be truthful with y'all, there will be a lot of programs, there will be a lot of people that's going to suffer if this cut comes through. And this is why it's so important for us to do 3132 here in our state. You know the federal funds that we get Mr. Mayor will be because we'll pray for 'em and you and I'll be out of local government because a couple of y'all might be on the national scene at that time. But it's important for us to do 3132 because I-69 is almost like a dream now. At one time, you know we could hope for it, but if this budget cuts and Dale, I believe your friend the President will probably have to compromise on a lot of things. Mr. Chairman, you probably don't know it, but that might

be one of his advisors over there. So I mean this is why it's so important for us to do 3132. Because we're a city where even business people look at when they look at coming to Shreveport. Hey look, we can get around. And this is important. So this is why we can't let this - - - although sure it would be a good tax base. But do you want to settle for a hamburger and you can wait a little while and you can enjoy a steak? No. So this is why and I've been around a long time, and this is why it's so important for us to do 3132. I mean I want Mr. Larkin to do his development, but I would rather do without the tax base right now that it's going to generate in order to have one of the best transportation systems in the south, especially for a city of this size. I mean, you can leave here and go to Houston, Dallas, Little Rock and these places, and they spending millions and billions of dollars to try to get what we got right now, and Mr. Mayor, I'm going to say this. And if somebody ask me about it, I'm going to say you said it. I wouldn't want to live in Baton Rouge. And I know the Mayor down there and he and I've been friends for a long time, and you worked with him in the state legislature, but I know him years and years ago, but you can laugh in his face and tell him, say look we got the best, if businesses want to locate, come to Shreveport, because we have the best transportation arteries of anywhere. We can't afford to give that up because we looking at we might just get some more tax incentives from some half million or million dollar homes. When we can get businesses that will come in here, and we can get \$10-15-20,000,000 businesses that will come in here. And that's why I was so surprised at the people at the Port didn't say nothing. The Port didn't say nothing. You tell me you're not going to say anything that's going to impact your bottom line? I mean I just to be truthful with you, I could not understand it. I could not understand why they have not understand why they have not shown up to say anything. This ain't no fight about Shreveport and Bossier. This is about taking care of your own. Don't nobody respect nobody who don't take care of their own. It's a poor dog that won't wag it's own tail. I mean that's just the bottom line. I'm sorry.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Alright Moses.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, I didn't plan to get into that. I'm sorry.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just so that we eventually move onto 5B on our agenda today. By the way thank y'all very much. The map is very helpful and Councilman Corbin?

Councilman Corbin: Yeah, thank you Charles, to you and your staff for putting this together and responding to our requests.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman before we leave a couple of things. Ron, I saw that we had an alternate map that was being - - - that was placed up?

Mr. Norwood: Yes sir, that's for the annexation. That's what we're going to show when we got to the annexation.

Councilman O. Jenkins: When we get to 6 on our agenda. That'll be up there in just - - -

Mr. Norwood: The reason I put it up there, just to clarify the property that's being annexed.

Mayor Glover: At what point, and Ron, you may need to get your guys to come to the mic, at what point did the dotted line become our guide as opposed to the NTB 1992 line?

Mr. Norwood: Actually (inaudible) the dotted line, but I like our line better the way it leaves the quadrilateral there on Flournoy Lucas is it follows closer - - - the alignment follows closer to actually to Twelve Oaks, and doesn't swing out as far. I think if you look at this dotted line, it's probably close to that bridge Mr. Larkin's already built. But I'm sure they just put a line on the paper just to show the general alignment of - - - yeah, it's not - - - I don't think it was really laid out - - - is just a general idea.

Mr. Jambor: It came from the consultant that did the (inaudible)

Mr. Norwood: Yeah, but I mean if you look at it where it is, that's about where Mr. Larkin has his bridge across the bayou, and you know that's not going to work.

Councilman Corbin: But the bottom line is, any of these lines on the map are nothing more - - -

Mr. Norwood: And that's all they are right now. They're really just to give you an idea.

Mr. Jambor: Well we got it from them. That's what we followed when we did the zoning.

Mr. Norwood: But if I were to guess right now as to where the Inner Loop was going to go, I would say look at that map and go from Flournoy Lucas south to just when you get to Tim Larkin's property south of Bayou Pierre. And then from there south, you've got a number of possibilities. But the northern part, there's only so many places that can go. Where else are you going to put it?

Councilman Shyne: You can't. I mean that's the only place.

Mr. Kirkland: Mayor, not long after NTB finished its work, the '91 study, it became obvious that factors like the Port location, and Barksdale AFB opposing what I call the NTD line going through Barksdale, they almost lacked on us on that one, and also there were other private businesses over on Hwy 1 that said, blank no, you ain't coming through here. And so but what AI (inaudible) meeting with NTB had identified was the environmentally - - - lets say reasonably good location for a pathway, or for a loop to go through. But what I'm going to say, within no more than two years after that study was commenced, it was almost considered a laughing stock as far as reality of what was going on from that point forward. We would beginning to talk about I-69 and (inaudible) was firming up where it was going to be and what it wanted. I know the Board, you may remember Mayor, I don't remember where you were during that time, but the Port vacillated quite a bit as to where they wanted that roadway to come. Whether through the Port to the north of the Port, to the south of the Port. A lot of different things were being looked at, but the NTB plan became real obvious to almost everyone somewhere in the '92 timeframe that that just wasn't going to work, and it had no official standing as a corridor. And even though I think city engineer might have carried it on their books as a line, it just had (inaudible) the '92 study hit I believe is

what identified the alignment that we're looking at right now. And that's been on the books for quite some time.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, but I think the opportunity certainly (inaudible) would be there to revisit a lot of this and discuss this for the interest of pragmatism, I'm happy to move on, unless anybody would like a very, very, final abbreviated comment.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I think the point is that and I don't think that we need to not acknowledge and deal with, and that is the assumptions that many of us operated up until this point, was just simply incorrect. There was an expectation or a general acceptance that there was an approved corridor, that had been set and had been designated. And that corridor looked like the 1992 NTB corridor. And when you lay that NTB corridor over the map of that area right now, it goes through in a pretty significant way, the Twelve Oaks Subdivision. I mean up until this process, had you asked for my perspective, any of the folks involved, what is the corridor? That's the corridor. That's why the whole process of attempting to undedicated something that was going to have that kind of impact was something that was the impotence behind getting us to this point. And if you'll remember that the last portion of the four point motion that was made back on April 7<sup>th</sup> dealt specifically with what it is that we will come back again and do tomorrow. And that is to do the study, that talks about how it is that we find the path forward for 3132. I would welcome the opportunity Mr. Corbin and members of the Council to have a discussion with regard to the southern access into Esplanade to facilitate discussion. Part of the problem in that regard and those folks around the MPC at that time and it was an early part of my administration that caught that as well, it was extremely controversial. And those folks on Railsback were rather adamant in terms of the activity. If we've got the possibility of being able to re-examine and re-position those discussions, I'm more than willing to do so. And then lastly, I'll say to Councilman Shyne, Councilman Shyne I think you and me may be pretty much on the same status when it comes to our friend Gus, because I'm told that Gus gives away fish to those who are his real good friends. But I still buy it. I have to buy it. I don't know if they put their thumb on the scale, but I don't sense a discount when I walk out with my Saturday evening catfish filets. But thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you members of the Council.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay thank you Mr. Mayor. Okay. I'm assuming that's it for communications with regard to the city at this point.

Mayor Glover: Yes it is Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: If I could, I did have a matter, but for the second time Mr. Chairman, I'm not going to go into it. I will get with the CAO (inaudible) country club and the work that is being done on the (inaudible) for Centerpoint. I just want to be on record having said something about it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I don't mean to (inaudible) and we didn't do it in the public comments at the end.

Councilman S. Jenkins: For the sake of time, I think we can get together and get something worked out.

## Reports

### *Property Standards Report (Res. 7 of 2003)*

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, with that being said, with regard to Reports does anybody have any questions for Mr. Holt today? I believe that's no, he probably left.

Mr. Sibley: He had to leave Mr. Chairman. He couldn't hang with us.

Councilman O. Jenkins: He couldn't hang with us. He's a short timer right?

### *Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (Res. No.114 of 2009)*

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Sibley, we discussed collection reports yesterday, so we're not going to have any of that.

### *Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (Res 238 of 2010)*

Councilman O. Jenkins: And we got our Bond Forfeitures Report in email yesterday, lets move onto the Public hearing.

### *EMS Transports Report (Res 239 of 2010)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

**Public Hearing: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 10-03:** An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – a 99.55 acre (more or less) tract of land lying east and adjacent to Twelve Oaks Subdivision, located in theoretical Sections 4 & 9 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, the public hearing is now open. Is there a presentation from the Administration?

Mr. Sibley: Yes there is Mr. Chairman. Mr. Stadlander will present for the administration.

Mr. Stadlander: Mr. Chairman and member of the Council, Mayor. This Tag No., 10-03 concerns the annexation of a 99.55 acre tract.

Mr. Norwood: Twelve Oaks is going a little further than is shown here. And this is part they're going to go. They don't own the property east of here or north. I was talking (inaudible) earlier and they asked that question, but it's in the - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: And who does own that wedge south of Franks' and north of - - -

Mr. Norwood: Franks owns to the east to the north, I'm not sure, we can find out.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'm just curious. Mr. Janca, do you know who owns that

Mr. Janca: (Inaudible)

Councilman O. Jenkins: That diamond-shaped in between the two bayous there.

Mr. Janca: That's Franks. Franks owns everything between the two bayous, everything north of Flournoy Lucas, everything to Hwy 1, and all the way down (inaudible) Road. The tract on the south side of Bayou Pierre - - -

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, we would remind you that we can hardly pick this up.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, we need it on the - - - they just don't get it on record if you stand right there. My mistake.

Mr. Janca: The property owned due east of Twelve Oaks is owned by Franks Investment. Due north of that Flournoy Lucas and to Hwy 1, all that's Franks Investment. It goes all the way down the Leonard Road to where it barely touches on the Leonard Road. The property on the south side of Twelve Oaks that is immediately in the blue section is owned by Mr. Campbell and to the further section up here to the lighter side in the very south east corner, that's Mr. Woods, Mike Woods owns that tract. So basically, you have Campbell, Woods and Franks who are the three primary owners of the land.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you. And that was just for reference. If those people are developing something, at least we have some idea who those people are.

Mr. Stadlander: Alright. Well this proposed annexation concerns a 99.55 acre tract that is contiguous to the City limits of Shreveport and that lies east of and adjacent to Bienville Path, Unit 1 in Twelve Oaks. The developer plans to expand the existing subdivision by constructing approximately 178 to 185 houses, with an estimated value of \$330,000 per unit. Installation of all water and sewer lines, storm drains, and streets will be the responsibility of the developer. A public notice ran on December 16, 2010, and there were no objections formally filed by the public concerning this annexation. The entire west side of the proposed annexation, as you can see on the map is contiguous to the City limits. Residents living in Bienville Path, Unit 2 in Twelve Oaks Subdivision and Shreveport Development Corporation, signed petitions requesting this annexation. We've estimated that annual that annual tax revenue generated by this annexation will be approximately \$272,000. Will have annual water revenue of approximately \$100,000. Sewer revenue of approximately \$78,000. For a total annual revenue, fully developed of about \$451,000. And we've also estimated that our annual cost regarding this annexation or this area once it's brought into the city will run about 108,000. There will also be an initial \$22,000 up front costs by the police department regarding this annexation.

Councilman O. Jenkins: This isn't necessarily that I'm interested in debating an annexation piece, but how come on our form we don't put water and sewer down there for the out of city limit

number? I mean they're going to get water and sewer either on the city rate or on the outside of the city rate. Why aren't those - - - this is an administrative question.

Mr. Sibley: Projecting it forward.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Projected on this - - - it's not (inaudible) going to get water and sewer, cause they're already tied in to the water and sewer right?

Mr. Stadtlander: I think that what you can assume is if they're outside of the city and they don't come in, you can double those rates.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Is it a straight double?

Mr. Sibley: Right, it's a straight double for the outside.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, on both sides. Okay. I'm assuming that concludes y'all presentation. Okay. Do we have anyone here to speak in favor of the annexation ordinance?

*Mr. Tony Janca: (720 Catapa Cr)* I'm with Shreveport Development, and we of course have developed Twelve Oaks. Twelve Oaks is a 571 unit area representing over \$1.2 (million) in taxes to the City of Shreveport. I know annexation is a sore subject amongst some people on this council, and they feel like they shouldn't annex. But lets just close your eyes for just a minute and listen to me, okay? If I were to come to you and give you an offer where I would spend \$15,000,000 of my money on some land, and then I'd take that \$15,000,000 and sell it to builders to build homes, that would generate taxes of \$1.2 (million) to you at no cost to you, other than the cost of some maintenance of streets and water. Would it not be a good deal for the City of Shreveport? Now I can understand, believe me I've seen poor annexation. Where we've annexed up, we shouldn't have taken in. Where we've done poor development concepts and they sit out there with nothing on them, till they deteriorate and rotted, and we've subdivisions like that. But Shreveport Development has never presented that problem for you. We've always presented you something above city standards, we build better than city standards. So we put a subdivision in that's something that you should be proud of, not something that you should be forlorn to look at, and say gosh, we don't want anymore of this. Now you're right. We're not going any further east. That's a decision that Mr. Larkin did to us. It had nothing to do with us not wanting to go further east and bring more of the same product to you. We'll have to go to Desoto Parish or further of Caddo because there aren't any lands. One of the issues that you have to do as land planner, and I think my land planner has left (inaudible), is you have to think far enough out in front of it. Not for your council, or the next mayor, but you've got to think 25 and 50 years out where this city is going. You talked to 3132, and we were the ones that set the land aside for 3132. That was our good doings. We did that to promote and you're right Joe, it's good to have good traffic by a good subdivision. But that was not Mr. Larkin's intent. Never. I didn't want to sell him the 12 acres that extended that right that I set aside in 4336. I didn't want to sell him that land at all. He insisted on buying it. And I'm not going to get into the details of the sale, but I'm going to just simply say that that's why we're not going further east. That's why Shreveport Development is not bringing another product before this council. It is because of the type of things that's happening too fast. So

with that I urge you to annex the area, however if you don't annex the area, you're not offending anybody out in Bienville Path quite honestly. Because they're not paying city taxes, which averages about \$22-2500 a year. So if you double the water rates, they still come out way ahead. So you know it's almost a no-brainer, but I'll let that be in your court. Thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you very much Mr. Janca. Certainly nobody is debating the value to it in that regard. I assume we would feel remiss if I didn't say, though instead of looking further south into Desoto Parish, maybe you'd look further north into our inner city for some developments as we go forward.

Mr. Janca: Bureaucrats love to plan, and Mayor, that plan is a great plan okay? But there's no private dollars going to go into it. And the reason why quite honestly, Oliver is that for me to go into the inner city, I'm going to have to buy up 150 acres of land. That's many old homes. Two or three homes in this area that don't go with me in the plan or block the plan, all of a sudden doesn't make for the development. And you say, oh you can scale down your size. I have 20 builders. 20 builders needs homes. These are Shreveport builders, a couple of them are Bossier builders. They need a place to build sir. That is their livelihood. That is their livelihood. When you say you don't want to annex, what you're saying to those builders, go elsewhere. Cause they can't build inside the city. It's virtually impossible.

Councilman Everson: I would add to that though. You are stating that and I don't know where you're getting the assumption that there's been some huge backlash towards annexing this, cause (inaudible)

Mr. Janca: I've had some residents out there who could not vote because this council would not approve - - -

Councilman Everson: Well this council is just hearing it today. So, you know we're about to vote on it and so there's no need to start an offensive position. And secondarily as someone who does represent an area of inner city homes that are all (inaudible) there's not a bit of my district that touches parish lines. It's all surrounded by other districts. And there are very wealthy homes in my district and there very, very modest homes in this district as well. Although the current way that - - - it is I acknowledge it is very difficult to develop an inner city tract under the current model that exist, however, we have just finished as a community developing a master plan that will take us 30 years into the future as well as - - - and that makes some suggestions for things like things like a redevelopment authority, that would work with developers first to assemble large tracts. So there are ways to make it happen. It is not going to be easy, but it is certainly something I would hope that developers would consider as a possibility and work with us to work towards (inaudible) I think that we can like many other cities have a new (inaudible), have a return to the inner city and make use of those resources that we already are paying for. And so I certainly would like to, not at the expense of providing a quality product now, but make sure that we leave that avenue open to develop within our city - - -

Mr. Janca: I wish you the best of luck, I (inaudible) do, and I would love to see portions of downtown and Queensborough and North Highlands, I'd love to see those areas redone. I'm just

saying that when you have an economic engine of 20 builders, they have to run on the track. And you can say to those builders or ask those people that want to build a house for \$330,000 to come over here to North Highlands and tear down a house, go through the zoning and put that \$330,000 home next to other homes that are not that same value. And quickly, you'll understand the appraisal value, but we're not going to go there. I don't need to go there. I didn't mean to go there. I just wanted to address the issue, cause it is a concern that you do have to balance vote our organism in smart development at the annexation.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm glad you were here today Councilman Everson, because I certainly hope that what I just heard about the redevelopment of the inner city from this gentleman is not the prevailing attitude amongst our developers. There will be no compromise on that, and I look at annexation and you know I'm not crazy about the notion because I feel like those attitudes exist if you really want to know the truth. You've got people who want to go out and talk about building up these areas out here and forget about the inner city. I don't think either one of these should be compromised for the other. Both are imparted to the continued growth of our city, but I just certainly hope that the comments I just heard is not the prevailing attitude amongst our developers in this city when we're talking about communities you know, that's at the core of our city and been here a long time. I even look at these numbers that are being provided here. Someone wants me to believe that annual costs for streets in this new community is only going to be three thousand and some odd dollars, less I'm understanding it - - I mean I just fixed a couple of blocks in the inner city and this doesn't even come anywhere near what it cost us to do a few panels on a street. But you know I try not to compromise the fact that the footprint of the city may need to continue. We're talking about solid waste, here we are now fixin' to bring in a new area that's going to need services, some of these (inaudible) are going to be \$26,000 annually to do that. You know we are adding to the amount of services we have to put out when we annex an area, but you never hear me sit up and say I have an attitude about annexation as though it's not important or that the people that live in those inner city communities are not important or that are investing in our inner city communities are not important. I wouldn't take that attitude towards annexation, so I'm just glad you're here today sir to address that so I wouldn't have to, like I just did. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Does anyone wish to speak in opposition to the annexation ordinance?

Councilman S. Jenkins: I almost want to go down there and do it, but I'm not going to do it.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Would you like to speak in opposition to the annexation ordinance?

Mayor Glover: No. At the appropriate time though, I do want to speak in favor of the annexation.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Alright. Are we giving an opportunity for somebody to speak in opposition?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Uh hmm.

Mayor Glover: Well Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, I want to speak today in favor of this annexation. And I do so because of the realization that if we want Shreveport to continue to be a viable city, then we're going to have to have a balanced approach to our growth and development. Obviously as the Master Plan points out, we're going to have to spend considerable effort and energy trying to figure out how it is that we manage to return investment back to those areas of our city inside the loop. And the one thing Mr. Janca is correct about is that that's not an easy proposition. That's one of the reasons as to why Councilman Everson announced earlier with great excitement and all of us expressed appreciation that our state historic tax credit provision passed today down in Baton Rouge. It's one of the reasons why we are excited about being a Choice Neighborhood (inaudible). One of the reasons that we were excited about that millions of dollars that have come from the private sector and private donors and contributors towards the Shreveport Common effort. Because developing in the inner and central city is not an easy proposition. It does come with considerable challenges and Mr. Janca details some of those. Mr. Janca isn't in the business at this point of central city or inner city development. He hadn't come to develop an appreciation for it. Hopefully, he might come and attend the tax credit seminar that we're putting on this coming Friday with regard to Shreveport Common. I will extend that invitation to you Mr. Janca. It may give you a deeper appreciation of some of the opportunities that may be there. But that's one of the reasons as to why those credits are important. Because it's not as easy Mr. Vice-Chair of going out and finding yourselves a couple of hundred acres out off an interstate exit, and deciding that you want to build homes. You know that is the - - - I won't say that's the very easy way of development, but it's certainly a whole lot easier than trying to figure out as Mr. Janca points out, an effective strategy for the redevelopment of downtown Shreveport and Allendale and Ledbetter Heights, and Lakeside and North Highlands and some of the other neighborhoods. But as a Council and for me as Mayor and for many of us in this community, we have a collective obligation to pursue a strategy that talks about how we go about doing both. We talked earlier at considerable length about what's happening with regard to the central portion of our city, and we're going to continue working with all of you all from my home area of MLK to Mr. Chairman's beloved Broadmoor and other areas that are outside of that conventional development zone as it exist right now in the City of Shreveport. You know Mr. Corbin as the councilman for District D is the one member of this body that has the privilege of having to deal with these issues and challenges. But if what we need to find out how we end up managing to accommodate, because it's not an either or proposition. We cannot neglect a commitment to try and figure out how we return people, tax paying contributing citizens back to the central core of the City of Shreveport. At the same time, we need to continue to figure out how we effectively in a smart sensible fashion continue to facilitate those areas in that high growth area of Shreveport right now, now we continue to be a proactive partner in helping to facilitate that. It shouldn't be something that we just simply say, oh we gotta take you. We'll go ahead and do it? No. It needs to be and it has been for this administration a proactive part of what we do. We engage, we try and help support, we try and help facilitate, because yes, frankly we want to see those tax revenues come into the City of Shreveport. We want to have folks with the income levels sufficient enough to be able to pay for a \$350,000 house note come into the city limits of Shreveport. Those folks in that helping to contribute to the city's (inaudible) in a very positive way. And so we're going to continue as long as I'm given the privilege of sitting in this posture of speaking out in support and

advocating on behalf of this development, but at the same time continuing to work to figure out how we go about returning those dollars to the central area. And I will say this members of the Council. It's very important for us to have discussions and deliberations and if necessary, debates about this that end up allowing us to be able to resolve these issues. Mr. Vice-Chair, if you don't believe that the number is \$3,000, at least project right now for brand new streets that are built at city specifications, then let's not just read that as a part of the rhetoric, that we drop on the table, I'm going to challenge my folks to help you understand why that number is there, because if it's not accurate, if it's not true, then we do a disservice to our citizens by making these decisions based upon these numbers. If you don't believe that the \$26,000 or the \$28,000 is an accurate number with regard to solid waste, then lets challenge that. So that we can ultimately determine the set of facts that we will agree to be truth and accurate and make a decision based upon those, but not based upon the idea that, oh, that's something that we're running here because we want to make this happen. If you all don't believe the numbers that you see, then lets stop. Let's break those down, and lets see whether they're true or not. Because the math has to add up and it has to make sense, and we can't allow the citizens out here to think that we would ask you all to make a decision based upon something that's this important without giving you correct and accurate information. But if it's not, then give us the opportunity to engage you where those assumptions come from, have you all challenge them and either we affectively answered our question or you prove that we're lying to you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Let me say this to you Mr. Mayor. I felt like when we got to the ordinance, and that would be the appropriate time. I thought they were just doing their presentation, and I may not have looked at all four, because I just really didn't like what I heard just now - - -

Mayor Glover: Me and Tony don't get along either, I want you to understand.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I understand, and understand this too - - - this is not a personal thing. I know everybody's got a role they play, but by doing this, I thought when we got to the ordinance, I was going to ask questions about the basis of the numbers. Because I do have legitimate questions about the basis of the number, and if I didn't I wouldn't say it. I don't understand how we can say it's going to be an annual cost of \$3,000 for streets and drainage, and I'm going into my communities and I'm being told there is no money or that there is just a certain sum of money to fix the streets. And I hadn't run into a street yet you know that only cost \$2 or 3,000 to repair. So I mean, yes I've got questions about that. But I was going to raise them at the appropriate time. Now I want to know also about the basis for the figure for the solid waste. I mean, how do we come up with only a \$26,000 annual cost to do it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well I say this. Obviously the solid waste number is not - - - you don't have a basis for it. Cause I mean we all recognize collectively we don't have a basis for solid waste. I'm not saying there's anything wrong with that number. But we don't have a really good base because frankly, that's our whole discussion both with Bossier side on what we're charging there, I'm sure this is an approximation, but I do agree with your sentiment there, that it's difficult to have a solid number there when we don't have a basis on which we do in the rest of our debate on solid waste to begin with.

Mayor Glover: The solid waste, (inaudible) gives a general projection of what the general per household cost is for providing garbage service.

Mr. Norwood: Exactly. And that's how it was determined. We looked at what it cost us now to pick up X number of houses. You've got a certain number of houses here. We extrapolated that costs and that's how we came up with the number. That's exactly how we did it. We're using existing data, and we're applying it to this area. Let me say one thing about the streets. Telling you he's built the streets like he said, better than city standards, city specifications. Those are brand new streets. They will last a long time.

Mayor Glover: What do you mean by 'better than city specifications'?

Mr. Norwood: Well I believe he has a better section than we normally require.

Mr. Janca: First of all, just one point to bring up. When we first brought this project to Ron and to MPC, one of the things that Mike Strong told us at that time was that if we wanted city water, we had to sign an annexation agreement. It wasn't a questions of whether we could have outside rates, there was never an offer to say oh you can have outside rates, double the rate if you want to. Because that's just a whole different (inaudible) of how you develop. But that needs to be cleared, because that was started from the very beginning. Okay, now with regard to street construction, we can build a cheaper street out there. I can build a cheaper street by building asphalt top, curb and gutter, and I can actually cut out some of my concrete section that I'm putting in now. We have 8-inch concrete and a collector. Now technically I think that's (inaudible), but we elected to build a collector. Most people wouldn't put in a collector as long as (inaudible). That's eight inches of concrete for a long way. Okay, so we did that. We could have put a simple 32-foot street in there and got a whole lot cheaper, but we didn't. Everything we've done is above board. The second thing, the most important thing is we put in street lights at \$6700 a pop. Because we paid all repairs you're going to pay for the rest of its life for you. So we bring a lot to the table as a developer. I know that you know I'm sorry Mr. Jenkins, I understand your point, but we bring a lot to the table when we come to the table. \$15,000,000 in private dollars, and Mayor, you're right, I'd love to talk to you about the inner city development, but tax incentives don't guarantee the banker the \$15,000,000.

Councilman Shyne: That's exactly right.

Mr. Janca: And that's the thing that the banker is going to see. He wants to know whose guaranteeing this project, and so therefore you have to go to the least resistance. You can't swim upstream against a lot of obstacles to try to do the same project. So I take back, I don't mean that I'd never develop in the inner city, I would. But I think that as bureaucrats you need to understand what you ask of a private developer or builder to come into an inner city and do such. Does that answer your question.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well I'm still trying to figure out how we come up with \$3,000 as annual cost. Is the figure - - - I believe - - - this is what I'm trying to find out. Is the figure (inaudible)

saying it's going to cost? I'm not saying that it's going to cost to construct and build them as a part of the development. Is this figure for repair?

Mr. Norwood: What you're seeing there is a street that should last 50 years, and this - - - and the figure was backed in over a 50 year time period. Let me give you a good example of a concrete street that really works. Look at the Fant Parkway. There are sections of it that are over 35 years old and it's in great shape. The only thing we've done on the Fant Parkway over the past few years, we've done some shoulder work with the asphalt. The concrete is in really good shape. And why is that? Because we've kept the heavy truck traffic off of it. Truck traffic will destroy a street over time. These streets are going to have mainly residential car traffic that were built to a good section. We made the assumption they would last 50 years. I'll bet you the parkway 25-30 years from now is going to be just as good as what you see now because of that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well I hope I be around to see it, but it's hard for me to receive.

Mr. Norwood: He has built a good section. There are also some other parts with him that have poor soil conditions Mr. Mayor, I know you know the MLK area - - - very bad soil conditions, and we can see it. The state had a problem with MLK drive when they built it. You go over to Broadmoor, and you can find streets that are 70-80 years old still in decent shape. That's what we did when we projected these numbers. That's what we used as a basis.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Do you perceive that we're going to have to build another fire station in that area? Is that - - - located there now.

Mayor Glover: Flournoy Lucas and Hwy 1.

Mr. Norwood: Right, but that's why we used the number we did. And we feel comfortable with that number.

Councilman Corbin: Ron I think you hit on this, but the big difference in streets in a neighborhood like this as opposed to lets say Jewella (inaudible), is this really a controlled access neighborhood. The only people in there, live there or coming to visit. And the big deal is (inaudible). Right.

Councilman Shyne: You don't have 18-wheelers.

Mr. Norwood: The 18-wheelers will tear up a road quicker than anything else. And the Parkway is perfect proof of it.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, I've been down here a long time, and I've always been in favor of annexation. You have to have it. I mean you have to have new developmental areas in a city if it's going to grow. I represent the inner city, but you can't - - - and I've said this. You can't come in and build a \$330 or 400,000 house in the inner city and look to sell it. Tony, it just won't sell. As bad as I would like to see it sell Mr. Mayor. Because you've got so many tangibles and intangibles that go into developing a neighborhood. And you have to understand now, the bottom line if you're a developer is to make a profit. That's not necessarily my bottom line as a city councilman.

If I'm making any sense, and you know you've got quality of schools. People are not going to come in and buy homes in the inner city and I had a (inaudible) here who got on me and he had a couple of his principals to come down. But lets say West Shreveport or Booker T., that's on the what is it, the declining list? You can't sell a \$300 or 400,000 home in that school district. I wouldn't buy it. If I'm making any sense to you. People who got that kind of money when you start looking at investing that kind of money into a development, there are so many tangibles and intangibles that you have to look at. You're exactly right, you might have a couple of blocks away where you've got a couple of dope houses. Nobody is going to come in and spend that kind of money. I'd love to see that. But in order to come back and develop in the inner city, you've got to be able to make a profit. If you don't you're crazy. You've got to be able to make a profit. And urban renewal money that we had years ago, I want to say Dr. Beard who is a friend of our clerk over here Mr. Chairman, Tony I don't know whether you remember when he got about \$9,000,000 of federal dollars to come in and Mr. Mayor, I don't know whether you walked with him to help him design those shotgun houses, you know, we were going to have a renewal or rebirth of shotgun houses. He got about \$9,000,000 and I think it was about \$287,000 a shotgun house, and I think we might be still kinda dealing with some of that now. Those days are gone.

Mayor Glover: In Jr. High.

Councilman Shyne: Right. Those days are gone when you could get federal dollars to come in and revitalize the inner city. Like I was saying now and y'all might think I'm on Cloud Nine, but the federal budget is going to be cut so, it's going to be plenty of that states and cities get out of the federal government from now on. As a developer, you have to make a profit. You're not developing as a moral issue. Churches handle that. But we have to have developers. We have to have developers like you that will develop in areas where they can make a profit. We have to come up with some kind of plan where developers can come back into the inner city and be able to - - - maybe the profit might not be so much, but we have to do what we're (inaudible) to do today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm willing to bet you Councilman Shyne that a lot of these people who live in these big nice neighborhoods. I ain't got nothing against that, these \$350,000 houses find some kind of way of making their money off people who live in the inner city. Either through some kind of - - -

Councilman Shyne: That's right. I'm not going to doubt that. But they would not move back into the inner city.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I understand, but it all goes together. One should not be compromised by the other. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. If we don't have anybody else who wants to speak, then this public hearing is now closed. Mr. Thompson, is there any additional legislation to be added today?

Mr. Thompson: We have none Mr. Chairman.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. Lets move on. Is there a motion to approve the executive appointment of Ms. Angela Duncan to the position of Revenue Administrator?

Councilman S. Jenkins: So move.

Confirmations and appointments:

Revenue Administrator, Department of Finance Ms. Angela E. Duncan

**Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to confirm the executive appointment of Ms. Angela E. Duncan to the position of Revenue Administrator, Department of Finance. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

**Consent Agenda Legislation.**

**To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:** None.

**Ordinances:** None.

**To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:**

*The Clerk read the following:*

**RESOLUTION NO. 105 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR ST. CHARLES BOULEVARD AND DUMAINE DRIVE IN ST. CHARLES PLACE SUBDIVISION UNIT NO. 12 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the dedication for St. Charles Boulevard and Dumaine Drive in Section 29, (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the original plat reflecting the dedication for St. Charles Boulevard and Dumaine Drive be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

### **RESOLUTION NUMBER 106 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell said properties as authorized in R.S. 47:2201-2211, and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

**WHEREAS**, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the property described herein and has not received any indication that it is needed for city purposes.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the following described properties are hereby declared surplus:

1) Geo.#181436-025-0010- Lot 10, R. T. Cole Subdivision, TAL 10, Allendale, Municipal Address - 402 Allen Ave.,

District A.

2) Geo.#181436-019-0003-Lot 3, Barron Subdivision, TALS 1 & 2, Allendale, Municipal Address-1416 Anna St., District A.

- 3) Geo.#181436-025-0037- E. 40 ft. of W. 75 ft. of Lots 25 & 26, R.T. Cole Sub., TAL 10, Allendale, Municipal Address-1555 Myrtle St., District A.
- 4) Geo.#171330-028-0059- 0.07 Acres – S. 40 ft. of N. 80 ft. of W. 80 ft. of Lot 23, Kane Agricultural & Industrial Subdivison, Municipal Address - 7037 Bethany Ave., District C.
- 5) Geo.#171425-087-1152- S/2 of E/2 of Lot 1139, Cedar Grove Addn., Municipal Address- 124 East 72<sup>nd</sup> St., District C.
- 6) Geo.#171502-008-0003- Lot 3, Resub. of Lot 7, Hilltop Sub., Municipal Address- 0 Unknown, District G.
- 7) Geo.#181436-025-0038 - W. 35 ft. of Lots 25 & 26, R.T. Cole Sub., TAL 1, Allendale, Municipal Address – 1559 Myrtle St., District A.
- 8) Geo.#181436-026-0035 - Lots 5, 6 & 7, Less S. 10 ft. of Lot 7 for R/W, Less Alley, Flanagan Sub., TAL 9, Allendale, Municipal Address - 1510 Anna St., District A.
- 9) Geo.#171424-000-0089- 0.475 Acres – M/L in NW/4 of Sec. 24-17-14, Municipal Address - 5937 St. Vincent Ave., District F.
- 10) Geo.#171424-000-0091- A tract in SW/4 of NW/4 of Sec. 24-17-14, Municipal Address - 6010 St. Vincent Ave., District F.
- 11) Geo.#171424-000-0060- 0.77 Acres M/L A tract of land in Sec. 24-17-14, Municipal Address- 6058 St. Vincent Ave., District F.
- 12) Geo.#171424-036-0105- Lots 105 & 106, Belmont Grove Addition, Municipal Address - 449 East 66<sup>th</sup> St., District C.
- 13) Geo.#171416-088-0019- Lots 19 & 20, Blk. N., Mandel Addition, Municipal Address - 4201 Mandeline St., District F.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Councilman Corbin: One quick comment. Mr. Mayor if we could on 106, the one that we just passed get a signature on that pretty quickly, I'd appreciate it.

Mayor Glover: Lynette is probably upstairs working on that on Mr. Thompson?

Mr. Thompson: If she hasn't starved to death, she's up there working.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 107 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport, has a tax interest in the herein below described property which has been adjudicated for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

**WHEREAS**, the herein below described property is not needed for public purposes and should be declared surplus property; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has received an offer to purchase the tax interest in the herein below described property as indicated below.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the property described below is hereby declared surplus.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the City Council of the City of Shreveport does hereby authorize the sale of its tax interest in the herein below described property.

**Property No. 1:** Legal Description – East 32.5 ft. of Lots 41 & 42 and East 32.5 ft. of North 10 ft. of Lot 43, Sunrise Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 4137 Baxter St., Shreveport LA, Geo#171416-011-0087-00. **DISTRICT F**

**Property No. 2:** Legal Description – Lot 4, West Morningside Subdivision, , a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 3536 Clarke Blvd., Shreveport LA, Geo#171415-012-0004-00. **DISTRICT F**

**Property No. 3:** Legal Description – Lots 73 & 74, Belmont Grove Addition, , a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 466 East 66<sup>th</sup> St., Shreveport LA, Geo#171424-034-0083-00. **DISTRICT C**

**Property No. 4:** Legal Description – Lot 1060, E. 10 ft. of Lot 1059 & W. 10 ft. of Lot 1061, Cedar Grove Addition, , a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together

with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 131 East 73<sup>rd</sup> St., Shreveport LA, Geo#171425-084-1060-00. **DISTRICT C**

**Property No. 5:** Legal Description – Lot 35, Sherwood Park Subdivision, Unit 2, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 830 Huntington Lane, Shreveport LA, Geo#171426-012-0035-00. **DISTRICT F**

**Property No. 6:** Legal Description – Lot 15, Flanagans Subdivision, TAL 9, Allendale, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 1523 Logan St., Shreveport LA, Geo#181436-026-0015-00. **DISTRICT A**

**Property No. 7:** Legal Description – Lot 16, Blk. 4, Washington Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 2961 Looney St., Shreveport LA, Geo#181434-018-0016-00. **DISTRICT G**

**Property No. 8:** Legal Description – Lot 2, Blk. B, Robinson Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 6338 None, Shreveport LA, Geo#171305-019-0002-00. **DISTRICT B**

**Property No. 9:** Legal Description – Lot 40 x 100 ft. in Fred Douglas Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 10363 None., Shreveport LA, Geo#171407-013-0018-00. **DISTRICT A**

**Property No. 10:** Legal Description – 2.00 Acres – Lot 91, Jones-Mabry Subdivision, Unit 2, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 21263 None, Shreveport LA, Geo#181421-001-0091-00. **DISTRICT A**

**Property No. 11:** Legal Description – A tract of land in D & D Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 81705 None, Shreveport LA, Geo#171309-028-0007-00. **DISTRICT C**

**Property No. 12:** Legal Description – Lots 16, 17, 18, 19 & 20, Blk. C, Union Subdivision, , a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 102888 None, Shreveport LA, Geo#161501-003-0032-00. **DISTRICT E**

**Property No. 13:** Legal Description – Lots 11 & 12, Blk. M, Mandel Addition, , a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements

located thereon and having a municipal address of 4153 Mandeline St., Shreveport LA, Geo#171416-087-0011-00. **DISTRICT F**

**Property No. 14:** Legal Description – N. 50 ft. of S. 110 ft. of Lots 1041 & 1042, Cedar Grove Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 7308 Southern Ave., Shreveport LA, Geo#171425-084-1584-00. **DISTRICT C**

**Property No. 15:** Legal Description – Lot G, Resub. of Lot 10, Orchard Place Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 3242 Penick St., Shreveport LA, Geo#171410-072-0007-00. **DISTRICT G**

**Property No. 16:** Legal Description – Lot 972, less that portion in drainage ditch, Cedar Grove Addition, , a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 7412 Southern Ave., Shreveport LA, Geo#171425-081-0972-00. **DISTRICT C**

**Property No. 17:** Legal Description – Lot 7, Woodhaven Subdivision, , a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 416 West 84<sup>th</sup> St., Shreveport LA, Geo#171426-033-0007-00. **DISTRICT F**

**Property No. 18:** Legal Description – E. 30 ft. of Lot 2 & W. 40 ft. of Lot 3, Fair-Park Heights Subdivision, a subdivision of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon and having a municipal address of 3544 Woodrow Ave., Shreveport LA, Geo#171410-073-0031-00. **DISTRICT G**

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that because the above described property has been declared surplus, Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances authorized the Mayor to execute a deed for the sale of the City's interest in this property.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

**Ordinances:** None.

## **Regular Agenda Legislation**

### **Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading**

*The Clerk read the following:*

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 94 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF BOSSIER CITY, PARISH OF CADDO, PARISH OF BOSSIER, AND SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CONVENTION AND TOURIST BUREAU AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, City of Bossier City, Parish of Caddo, Parish of Bossier and Shreveport-Bossier Convention and Tourist Bureau collectively desire to attract, promote, sponsor and underwrite professional and amateur sporting events and related activities within their jurisdictions and northwest Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, to this end, the parties desire and intend to participate in an Intergovernmental Agreement for the collective benefit of each jurisdiction, their citizens, and patrons, participants and attendees to the events and activities sponsored, promoted or underwritten pursuant to the terms of the agreement authorized by this Resolution which is a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute an Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Bossier City, Parish of Caddo, Parish of Bossier and Shreveport-Bossier Convention and Tourist Bureau, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the draft thereof which was filed for public inspection, together with the original copy of this resolution in the office of the Clerk of Council on May 24, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: I have a question on - - - who appoints the advisory board? How many members are on it and - - -?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I believe it was five if I'm not mistaken.

Mayor Glover: We have one to appoint from the City of Shreveport, and there's one that kinda floats around and the appointment would be made Mr. Webb through the normal process. Mayoral appointment with Council confirmation.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

## **RESOLUTION NO. 95 OF 2011**

### **A RESOLUTION TO DECLARE CERTAIN BOXING EQUIPMENT AS SURPLUS PROPERTY; AUTHORIZING THE DONATION OF THE SURPLUS PROPERTY TO JERRY STEPHENS D/B/A ROAD TO GLORY ASSOCIATION; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, Jerry Stephens, d/b/a Road To Glory Association, has operated and coached a boxing program in the City of Shreveport for twenty (20) or more years; and

WHEREAS, participation in the program is free and open to all children residing within the city; and

WHEREAS, the goal of the program is to teach the fundamentals of boxing while assisting troubled youth and their families in becoming productive, successful members of the community; and

WHEREAS, over the years, SPAR has acquired boxing equipment that is no longer needed by the Department; and

WHEREAS, donation of the equipment to Jerry Stephens for use in a youth boxing program will assist in the promotion of wholesome, positive recreational opportunities for program participants and encourage the use of positive options as a means to avoid or resolve conflicts which is a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the boxing equipment identified in Attachment "A", is hereby declared to be surplus property and the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to donate the said property to Jerry Stephens d/b/a Road to Glory Association for use in a youth boxing program operated within the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute any/all documents necessary to evidence the donation of the said property to Jerry Stephens, d/b/a Road to Glory Association.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of

this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 96 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION SELECTING THE TIMES AS THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL FOR THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING JULY 1, 2011 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2012 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport is required by its Charter and State Law to select an Official Journal to publish minutes, ordinances, resolutions, budgets, official notices, advertisements, and other official proceedings of the City of Shreveport for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012; and

WHEREAS, The Times has submitted the following proposal:

All printing of required legals in the Classified Section - \$0.27 per agate line (7.0 point);

All printing of required legals in the Retail Section - \$6.30 per column inch;

All other (non-required) ads in the Classified Section - \$1.83 per agate line; All other (non-required) ads in the Retail Section - \$36.60 per column inch; Affidavits: \$20.00 each; and

WHEREAS, it is recommended that The Times be selected the Official Journal for the City of Shreveport to provide the services required by law for the prices described above.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the proposal of The Times is accepted and The Times be and is hereby designated the official journal of the City of Shreveport for the period July 1, 2011 and ending June 30, 2012 and the Mayor of the City is hereby authorized to execute an agreement with The Times under the terms and conditions described above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: They're doing that so that the Times will right something good about 'em.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Since we pay by the word, I'm getting concerned as a fiscal conservative.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 97 OF 2011**

**AN RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATIVE TO RECEIPT OF A CHOICE NEIGHBORHOODS PLANNING GRANT FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport, through the Department of Community Development, in conjunction with the Northwest Louisiana Council of Governments ("NLCOG") has been notified of the award of a two-year, \$250,000 Choice Neighborhoods Planning Grant by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"); and

WHEREAS, the joint proposal by the City and NLCOG was one of seventeen (17) selected by HUD for award of the grant in a highly competitive selection process; and

WHEREAS, the grant funds will be used by the City and NLCOG, in partnership with the Shreveport Regional Arts Council ("SRAC"), Volunteers of America ("VOA"), the Caddo Parish School Board ("CPSB"), Mitchell, Sutton, Beebe and Babin, and the Shreveport Housing Authority, to transform the Allendale and Ledbetter Heights area of the City into viable mixed-income neighborhoods.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the Mayor is hereby authorized to execute contracts and other documents relative to receipt of a Choice Neighborhoods Planning Grant from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the draft thereof which was filed for public inspection, together with the original copy of this resolution in the office of the Clerk of Council on May 24, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this

resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or application and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 98 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON JUNE 25, 2011 RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AT 3044 YOUREE DRIVE FOR A CUSTOMER APPRECAITION EVENT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Jeff Everson

**WHEREAS**, Fat Cats and City Bar located at 3044 Youree Drive will host a Customer Appreciation event on June 25, 2011 between the hours of 12:00 p.m. and 12:00 a.m.; and

**WHEREAS**, the establishment desires to dispense, and allow the consumption and sale of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the establishment, between the hours of 12:00 p.m. - 12:00 a.m., with no music being played outdoors after 10:00 p.m.; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

**WHEREAS**, any special exception approval granted to the establishment for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing does not specifically authorize outside sales and/or consumption on the premises; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

**WHEREAS**, Section Chapter 10-103(a)(5) provides that the city council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licensed premises without a proper license; and

**WHEREAS**, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of Fat Cats and City Bar, 3044 Youree Drive, on June 25, 2011, with no music being played outdoors after 10:00 p.m., for a Customer Appreciation event.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened Sections 106-130(6), 10-103(a)(5) 10-80(a) and 10-172 are hereby suspended on June 25, 2011 for a Customer Appreciation, between the hours of 12:00 p.m. - 12:00 a.m., with no music being played outdoors after 10:00 p.m. at Fat Cats and City Bar, 3044 Youree Drive.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman Everson: And it sounds like a fun party if y'all want to come.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I think this is one that should be on Lynette's list as well.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Shyne apparently isn't invited.

Councilman Everson: He'll be there. It's in the inner city though.

Councilman Shyne: They ain't going to be doing alcohol, that's against my religious beliefs.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 99 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF LOW ALCOHOLIC CONTENT BEVERAGES FOR THE EIGHTH ANNUAL HIGHLAND JAZZ AND BLUES FESTIVAL LOCATED AT 600 COLUMBIA STREET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BY: Councilman Jeff Everson

**WHEREAS**, the Highland Area Partnership intends to sponsor the Jazz and Blues Festival fund raising activity on November 19, 2011 from 12:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m. at 600 Columbia Street with setup beginning on November 18, 2011 at 12:00 p.m.; and

**WHEREAS**, the proceeds of the event will benefit the Highland Area Partnership; and

**WHEREAS**, the Highland Area Partnership intends to dispense and allow the sale and consumption of low content alcoholic beverages at 600 Columbia Street during its event; and

**WHEREAS**, certain provisions of Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances prohibits the sale, consumption, dispensing or otherwise of alcoholic beverages in and on public places, including streets, sidewalks, and parks unless specifically authorized by the city council or the zoning board of appeals; and

**WHEREAS**, the adoption of this resolution would allow the Highland Area Partnership to dispense and sale and its patrons to purchase and consume low alcoholic content alcoholic beverages at 600 Columbia Street during the event.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the location and permitting provisions of Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances are suspended on November 18 and 19, 2011 for setup of the festival with dispensing, sale, and consumption of low alcoholic content beverage beginning on November 19, 2011 from 12:00 p.m. until 6:00 p.m. at 600 Columbia Street for the Jazz and Blues Festival fund raiser to benefit the Highland Area Partnership.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, and Jenkins. 5. Nays: Councilman Shyne. 1. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 100 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND CHAPTER 106 RELATIVE TO ZONING FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT ST. VINCENT MALL FOR THE ANNUAL FIRECRACKER RUN ON JULY 4, 2011 AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Jeff Everson

**WHEREAS**, Sport Spectrum located at St. Vincent Mall intends to host the annual Firecracker Run July 4, 2011; and

**WHEREAS**, the establishment desires to dispense, and allow the consumption of alcoholic beverages on the grassy knoll on the Fairfield side of St. Vincent Mall, between the hours of 7:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

**WHEREAS**, any special exception approval granted to the establishment for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing does not specifically authorize outside sales and/or consumption on the premises; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 10-80(a) of the Code of Ordinances makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the City wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 10-103(a)(5) of the Code of Ordinances provides that the City Council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licensed premises without a proper license; and

**WHEREAS**, activities planned by Sport Spectrum in conjunction with the Firecracker Run is open to the public as spectators or participants; and

**WHEREAS**, the adoption of this Resolution would allow the dispensing, and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the grassy knoll on the Fairfield side of St. Vincent Mall, on July 4, 2011 between the hours of 7:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. for activities associated with the Firecracker Run.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened Sections 106-130(6), 10-103(a)(5) and 10-80(a) of the Code of Ordinances are hereby suspended on July 4, 2011 from 7:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. for activities associated with the Firecracker Run sponsored by Sport Spectrum, on the grassy knoll on the Fairfield side of St. Vincent Mall.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 5. Nays: Councilman Shyne. 1. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

#### **RESOLUTION 101 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ADVERTISEMENT FOR SALE THROUGH SEALED ELECTRONIC BIDS VIA PARITY OR SEALED PAPER BIDS OF NOT EXCEEDING EIGHTY-ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$81,500,000) OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 2011 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, STATE OF LOUISIANA, AUTHORIZED AT A SPECIAL ELECTION HELD THEREIN ON APRIL 2, 2011 AND FURTHER PROVIDING WITH RESPECT TO OTHER MATTERS THERETO.**

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport (the “Governing Authority”), acting as the governing authority of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the “City”), that:

**SECTION 1. Advertising.** The Mayor of the City be, and is hereby empowered, authorized and directed to advertise in accordance with the provisions of law for the purchase of not exceeding Eighty-One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$81,500,000) General Obligation Bonds of the City, Series 2011 (the “Bonds”), said Bonds having been authorized at a special election held in the City on April 2, 2011. The Bonds will be used for purposes and in the respective amounts described in the Propositions as follows: (i) Proposition Number 1: Thirty-Seven Million, Six Hundred Five Thousand, Three Hundred Twenty-Nine Dollars (\$37,605,329) for constructing, acquiring, and/or improving the water system and the sewer system and for paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds related thereto; (ii) Proposition Number 2: Twenty-Five Million, Sixty-Six Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-Three Dollars (\$25,066,663) for constructing, acquiring, and improving public facilities and equipment for parks and recreation, public buildings, the police department, fire department and finance department and for paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds related thereto; and (iii) Proposition Number 3: Eighteen Million, Eight Hundred Twenty-Eight Thousand, Eight Dollars (\$18,828,008) for constructing, acquiring, and/or improving the streets, highways, bridges, and drainage systems, and for paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds related thereto, said Bonds to be payable secured by and payable from unlimited *ad valorem*

taxation. The City reserves the right to issue the balance of the voter approved amounts of each Proposition in later series of bonds.

**SECTION 2. Basic Terms.** The Bonds shall be dated July 21, 2011, will be payable from unlimited ad valorem taxation, shall be in the denomination of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) each, or any integral multiple thereof within a single maturity, and shall bear interest from their date until paid at a rate or rates not exceeding seven per centum (7%) per annum on any bond in any interest payment period, said interest to be payable on February 1, 2012, and semi-annually thereafter on August 1 and February 1 of each year. The Bonds will be fully registered bonds in book-entry form and shall be numbered consecutively, R-1 and upward, and will mature serially and in the principal amounts on August 1 of each year as follows, to-wit.

Year (August 1)	Principal	Year (August 1)	Principal
12/31/2012	2,335,000.00	12/31/2022	3,995,000.00
12/31/2013	2,465,000.00	12/31/2023	4,210,000.00
12/31/2014	2,600,000.00	12/31/2024	4,445,000.00
12/31/2015	2,745,000.00	12/31/2025	4,690,000.00
12/31/2016	2,895,000.00	12/31/2026	4,945,000.00
12/31/2017	3,055,000.00	12/31/2027	5,220,000.00
12/31/2018	3,225,000.00	12/31/2028	5,505,000.00
12/31/2019	3,400,000.00	12/31/2029	5,810,000.00
12/31/2020	3,585,000.00	12/31/2030	6,125,000.00
12/31/2021	3,785,000.00	12/31/2031	6,465,000.00

**SECTION 3. Redemption Provisions.** The Bonds maturing on and after August 1, 2022 shall be subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the City on and after August 1, 2021 in whole, at any time, or in part, on any interest payment date and if less than a full maturity, then by lot within such maturity, at the redemption price of par plus accrued interest to the date of redemption. Bonds are not required to be redeemed in the inverse order of maturity. In the event a Bond is of a denomination larger than \$5,000, a portion of such Bond (\$5,000 or any multiple thereof) may be redeemed. Official notice of such call, if any, of any of the Bonds for redemption will be given not less than thirty (30) days prior to the redemption date by mailing a notice of said redemption to the registered holder of the Bonds to be redeemed at the address appearing on the books of the Registrar of the Bonds.

**SECTION 4. Bid Form and Official Statement.** There shall be prepared an Official Bid Form for the submission of bids and an Official Statement which shall include the bidding terms, security features, limitations and other pertinent information relative to the sale and issuance of the Bonds as may be deemed necessary, advisable or desirable which Official Statement and Official Bid Form for the Bonds shall be distributed to all prospective bidders and other interested parties.

**SECTION 5. Notice of Bond Sale.** The Mayor is hereby empowered, authorized and directed to issue an Official Notice of Bond Sale and cause such Notice of Bond Sale to be published as required by law. Such Notice of Bond Sale shall be in substantially the form attached as Exhibit "A" hereto, with such changes as may be approved by the Bond Counsel.

**SECTION 6. Date and Time of Sale.** This Governing Authority will meet in open and public session at the time and place determined by the Mayor and indicated in the Official Notice of Bond Sale for the purpose of receiving bids for the Bonds, considering and taking action upon the bids, and taking any other action necessary to effectuate the issuance, sale and delivery of the Bonds. If any award of the Bonds shall be made, such award shall be made for not less than par and accrued interest to the highest bidder for the Bonds, such award and highest bidder to be determined in accordance with the Notice of Bond Sale.

**SECTION 7. Disclosure.** In order to assist bidders in complying with S.E.C. Rule 15c2-12(b)(5), the Governing Authority will undertake, pursuant to the Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance of the Bonds and a Continuing Disclosure Certificate, to provide annual reports and notices of certain events. A description of this undertaking is set forth in the Preliminary Official Statement and will also be set forth in the Final Official Statement.

**SECTION 8. Other Action.** The Mayor, Director of Administration and/or Director of Finance, in consultation with Bond Counsel and the Financial Advisor, are hereby empowered, authorized and directed to either individually or collectively approve and execute any and all documents and to take any and all actions necessary to accomplish the purposes set forth in this resolution.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 102 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF SECTION 42-280 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO THE SALE OF FRESH OR FROZEN MEAT AND/OR SEAFOOD DURING THE FARMERS' MARKET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BY: COUNCILMAN EVERSON**

WHEREAS, the Shreveport Farmers' Market provides a place for farmers and other vendors to sell a variety of meat, fruit, vegetables, crafts and other items in an outdoor public market; and

WHEREAS, the Market has continued to grow in popularity with local citizens and visitors to the area since its inception; and

WHEREAS, Section 42-276 defines an "itinerant vendor" as "any person, firm or corporation, including its agents and employees, which engages in the temporary business in the city of selling

or offering for sale any goods or merchandise, including photographs and portraits, or exhibiting the same for the purpose of taking orders for the sale thereof"; and

WHEREAS, vendors and merchants at the market would be considered itinerant vendors as the term is defined in Section 42-276 of the Code of Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, Section 42-280 of the Code of Ordinances makes it unlawful for any person,

as an itinerate vendor, to peddle, sell, offer for sale, exhibit for sale or for the purpose of taking orders for sale, fresh or frozen meats or fresh or frozen seafood within the city limits; and

WHEREAS, this prohibition prevents the sale of fresh or frozen meat or seafood by farmers and other vendors during the Farmers' Market.

WHEREAS, this resolution would suspend the effects of Section 42-280 of the Code of Ordinances to permit the sale of fresh or frozen meat and/or seafood during the Farmers' Market.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 42-280 of the Code of Ordinances is hereby suspended in order to permit the sale of fresh or frozen meat and/or seafood during the Farmers' Market.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other provisions of Chapter 42 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: These guys you see going around in pickup trucks with freezers on the back end of it, are they selling illegally?

Councilman Everson: That is illegal.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I should say, there is a group that Councilman Everson and I are working or in initial discussions on putting forth some legislation about the sale of produce and other goods.

Councilman Webb: I wouldn't buy it, I'm too scared of it.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 103 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE SYSTEM SURVEY AND COMPLIANCE QUESTIONNAIRE REQUIRED BY THE LEGISLATIVE AUDITORS OFFICE OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA AND OTHERWISE TO PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport received a questionnaire from the State Legislative Auditor which is to be completed as a required part of the audit for which we have engaged certified public accountants, BKD LLP; and

WHEREAS, upon completion of the questionnaire it must be presented to and adopted by the governing body of the City of Shreveport by means of a formal resolution at an open meeting; and

WHEREAS, the completed questionnaire must then be given to the auditor engaged by the municipality who will, during the course of his regular audit, test the accuracy of the answers to the questionnaire and submit to the municipality and the Legislative Auditor a report containing his opinion as to the validity of the answers.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that the attached Systems Survey and Compliance Questionnaire for the City of Shreveport be and the same is hereby adopted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

**Introduction of Resolutions** (*Not to be adopted prior to June 28, 2011*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 109 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept the donation of five police bicycles from the Mounted Patrol Support Group, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 110 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P5A (A/McCulloch)
3. **Resolution No. 111 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P9A (A/McCulloch)
4. **Resolution No. 112 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P10A (A/McCulloch)
5. **Resolution No. 113 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P22A (A/McCulloch)
6. **Resolution No. 114 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P23A (A/McCulloch)
7. **Resolution No. 115 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P26A (A/McCulloch)
8. **Resolution No. 116 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P40A (A/McCulloch)
9. **Resolution No. 117 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P42A (A/McCulloch)
10. **Resolution No. 118 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P47 (A/McCulloch)
11. **Resolution No. 119 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a donation agreement between the City of Shreveport and Provenance Development, L.L.C., hereinafter called "Owner" and acting manager, for the private water and sewer main extensions and related facilities to serve Provenance Phase I, Unit C, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

12. **Resolution No. 120 of 2011**: A resolution declaring the intention of the City of Shreveport to appoint a paying agent and registrar in connection with the issuance of not exceeding Eight-One Million, Five Hundred Thousand, and No/100 Dollars (\$81,500,000) of General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, and providing with respect to other matters thereto.

13. **Resolution No. 121 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the waiver of building permit fees and authorizing the Mayor to enter into an agreement with World Changers thereby donating materials and supplies to paint and/or repair 12-14 homes of qualified low income homeowners in the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

14. **Resolution No. 122 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept the donation of certain improvements in the Red River Entertainment District from Eldorado Casino Shreveport Joint Venture or other legal name by which the property owner is known, to execute all documents relative thereto; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to introduce Resolution No(s). 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121 and 122 of 2011 to lay over until the next regular meeting.**

Councilman S. Jenkins: On 122, I'd asked about this I believe on yesterday. So I see the Exhibit A. So all of those buildings and structures and everything down there, we're going to be acquiring that except what's in the little stripes here for the administrative offices?

Mr. Sibley: That's correct. Mr. Chairman, also wanted to note, just to confirm that y'all did receive on 120 the information with Regions Bank as the paying agent as well as the matrix.

Councilman O. Jenkins: We got that yesterday. Thank you.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: Amongst several of the council, we recognize that you have provided with us a timeline for the steps of when various activities related to this bond issue come up. But what we'd like is a little bit more fidelity on that in terms of when legislation will come before us in line with that timeline. What we noticed collectively was this time, we're kinda taken by surprise maybe a little bit on the ones that showed up. And it's totally a function of do we recognize the course? Yes we're going to have to adopt resolutions relative to the bond issue. But maybe to avoid some of the discussion or for us to be better educated prior to a discussion like we had yesterday, if we had a little bit awareness at the next meeting, or if you provide that same schedule, just when those legislative periods are going to come into play to make those happen, then that'll give us at least an opportunity to do our due diligence before hand.

Mr. Sibley: And we can do that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So we don't (inaudible) to overburden, but you know we're not the bond salesmen in here so, we need a little education on how this all works.

Mr. Sibley: We can do that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, thank you.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, we schedule review sessions prior to during off weeks if necessary or in between, if that would be - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: I don't necessarily think we need to go to that level, I just - - - we had that in accordance with our schedule, so if we had questions, we'd be able to get with y'all ahead of - - - you know Saturday or Sunday when most of us are looking at it frankly. Probably not the time to do a whole lot of research. So, thanks.

**Introduction of Ordinances** *(Not to be adopted prior to June 28, 2011)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 63 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Capital Improvements Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

2. **Ordinance No. 64 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the Public Safety Grants Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

3. **Ordinance No. 65 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

4. **Ordinance No. 66 of 2011**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Ferrell Drive and Trevor Drive as a stop intersection, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

5. **Ordinance No. 67 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and replacing Section 90-198, maximum limits on specific streets, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch/G/S. Jenkins)

6. **Ordinance No. 68 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Airports Enterprise Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I move to table No. 67 if I can get a second on it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Even for introduction?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Oh, this is for introduction. No, I'm sorry, no go ahead and introduce it. No that was my mistake. Now 65, I would like some information on 67 as to why it's being suggested. Oh, 65! I'm still trying to determine what we are doing there with this decreasing in the operating reserves. It's two things I'm trying to find out. One is why are we doing it, and why is it coming from the operating reserves? Is this for hardware, or is this fixing to go for some kind of services?

Mr. Sibley: Anna is here Mr. Jenkins, and I'll say two things. 1) Hopefully, the proper link is correct today with the proper numbers are in it. And secondly, one of the things that we talked to you about is coming back to do some mid-year adjustments in terms of offering some amendments. We've asked all the departments to look at what it takes for them to finish out the year, but this one in particular is one they felt they needed more urgently, so it was brought on separately. So this is one of those mid-year adjustments that the department needs to make in order to finish out the year. But I'll let Anna go into the details about it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Now when we did the book, it was about \$600,000 already on the books for this type work. So it looks like we're asking for another \$450,000 putting us over a million some odd dollars.

*Ms. Brown: (Water and Sewerage)* That's going to be for the funding for - - - we just went out on RFP, this contract just came up for renewal. So we need this to get us through until we get when we get that contract renewed. So we're basically out of money right now.

Ms. Sibley: And Anna, you may want to say which contract.

Ms. Brown: The Emergency Water and Sewer Main repairs.

Councilman S. Jenkins: The \$600,000 that was - - - which has already been used up?

Ms. Brown: \$600,000 was budgeted for the contract renewal. Okay, we've extended that renewal and I think the bids were due in today I believe. So that's really going to get us through the end of the year, but we're out of the money that we had that carried over from last year to get us to the contract renewal point. We average about \$856,000 a year, and with the cold weather and the freeze, we had probably 11 or 1200 water main breaks earlier this year. And we just, we have to have more money.

Mr. Sibley: And again, these are strictly for emergency repairs. And what they're basically doing is projecting this is what it will take for the remainder of the year. The first amount covered the contract through now, but anticipating renewing that contract to make it through these additional funds are needed. And most of you guys know, emergency repair is one of the hottest areas, you know if something leaks, you have to go out and fix it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, thank you.

Mayor Glover: And point of clarification, you mentioned the reserve, we want to make clear that, that's not the city's general fund reserve.

Ms. Brown: No, that's the Water and Sewer.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And just so that we know and Charles if you don't have that number and Anna if, you don't know it off the top of your head, we'd certainly wait for two weeks, I'd be curious to know what that reserve sits at or projected to sit at, based on where we are in our water and sewer revenue. But if you don't know that off the top of your head, you can give it - - -

Ms. Brown: I think we're still waiting for our transfer to Retained Risk figure, which we have to get from actuaries. I think that's the last piece of the puzzle before we can button up and find out really, were we in the red, or the black for 2010. And how does that affect our reserve.

*Mr. Charles Madden: (Dept of Finance) We'll have it to you by the end of the week (inaudible) from the beginning.*

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, thank you. I mean we recognize it's just for introduction now, but we're going to want that data before - - - thanks.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I was going to make a suggestion before you all move forward with regard to 67. I mean if this is and Ron, you may want to - - - you weren't here yesterday for the discussion on this. If this is something that you all are uncomfortable with, we'd be comfortable with you pulling it, and we'll just come back and re-examine it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, let's pull it.

Councilman Shyne: You want to pull it? Okay, I'll second it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: The only thing I was wondering is one of their rationale for this is - - - I still think it's in fairness to whatever that was to at least hear it out, whether we're predisposed one way or another. I mean I'm certainly not going to interject on something I don't know.

Councilman Webb: This is for introduction anyway.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well, I don't care how we do it, I just want to see more information before we pass it. If you want to leave it on there, because you get to the point Mr. Chairman, I would like to know why. I can tell you right now, I don't want to do it, but you know - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: I want to know why it's in there in the first place.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I want to know why, whether we introduce it and get it straight before final passage - - -

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman and Mr. Jenkins, if I may as was indicated that the citizen request a study, that the department does a study. And then it's actually up to the council as to what you do with it. But this was a type of study to determine what speed limit could the street handle, and that's basically what the staff did. So, you know someone called and says hey, can you increase the speed limit on this street? They do the study, present the information to y'all so it's not a position that the administration is taking in terms of we want to see it increased at all. It's just simply the information as a result of that particular study

Councilman S. Jenkins: Just let it go through, so when it's properly considered, we'll be able to go on and act upon it.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to introduce Ordinance No(s). 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, and 68 of 2011 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

**Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage** (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 54 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and replacing ordinance No. 33 of 2009 pertaining to the restriction of vehicular traffic on Fannin Street, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed May 24, 2011*)

**Having passed first reading on May 10, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

2. **Ordinance No. 56 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport by repealing Division 9 of Article V. of Chapter 26 (The Solid Waste Collection Fee Special Revenue Fund) and by adding Division 9 of Article V. of Chapter 26 relative to establishing a Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed May 24, 2011*)

**Having passed first reading on May 10, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

3. **Ordinance No. 57 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the General Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on May 24, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: Stand by one second.

Councilman Shyne: Is that stand by or stand down? I don't know what terms (inaudible) use.

Mr. Thompson: It funds the building of a small engine shop (inaudible).

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, I can't remember which one I'm on.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

4. **Ordinance No. 58 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Capital Improvements Fund , appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on May 24, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

5. **Ordinance No. 59 of 2011**: An ordinance closing and abandoning the 20 foot wide alley dedication behind the 400 block of Pierre Avenue, between Logan Street, in the Cole Subdivision in Section 36 (T18N-R14W), and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)

**Having passed first reading on May 24, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

6. **Ordinance No. 60 of 2011**: An ordinance closing and abandoning the 20 foot wide alley dedication behind the 400 block of Allen Avenue, between Logan Street and Myrtle Street, in the Cole Subdivision in Section 36 (T18N-R14W), and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)

**Having passed first reading on May 24, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

7. **Ordinance No. 61 of 2011: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 10-03:** An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – a 99.55 acre (more or less) tract of land lying east and adjacent to Twelve Oaks Subdivision, located in theoretical Sections 4 & 9 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin) (*Public Hearing to be held June 14, 2011*)

**Having passed first reading on May 24, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Councilman Corbin: Mr. Chairman, Council Members, I will ask for your support on this. I think that we know from our previous conversation during the public hearing we have a lot to discuss about annexation and moving forward and implementation of the Master Plan. But I do ask for your support on this.

Councilman Shyne: Councilman Corbin, because you asked, I shall.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 5. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1. Abstained: Councilman Everson. 1.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: Five Yeas, one abstention - - -

Councilman Shyne: Can we have that? I mean- - -

Ms. Glass: Is the abstention based on a conflict of interest?

Councilman Everson: Well it, no - - - it was just a decision to draw emphasis towards the continuing conversation, that I think that's is required.

Ms. Glass: I think the reason why we hesitated for a minute is I think without pulling 'em up, the Council rules say something to the effect of all Council Members who are in the Chamber shall vote, unless they have a conflict of interest.

Councilman Everson: Okay.

Ms. Glass: That's just a Council rule, and if there is no objection based on the rules, it would be - - -

Councilman Everson: Okay.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It's just for introduction.

Councilman O. Jenkins: No, no. It's for final - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Oh, it's the final vote?

Councilman Everson: Oh, that's fine. It was more for a vote cast in just sort of a way to emphasis that we need to continue conversation on it. So I'm fine to recast that as a yes vote.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We did this as a two man protest today anyway, so I think our message was heard.

Mayor Glover: Loud and clear Mr. Jenkins.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

**8. Ordinance No. 62 of 2011: ZONING - C-37-11: Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning of property located on the north side of Mitchell Lane, 200 feet west of Dillingham Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-2 (TH), One-Family Townhouse Residence District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)**

**Having passed first reading on May 24, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman McCulloch. 1.**

*The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:*

**ORDINANCE NO. 54 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING AND REPLACING ORDINANCE NO. 33 OF 2009 PERTAINING TO THE RESTRICTION OF VEHICULAR TRAFFIC ON FANNIN STREET, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that Ordinance No. 33 of 2009 pertaining to the restriction of vehicular traffic along Fannin Street is hereby amended and replaced to read as follows:

**ORDINANCE NO 33 OF 2009**

\* \* \* \* \*

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the City is authorized to restrict vehicular access to Fannin Street from Douglas Street to Christian Street:

\* \* \* \* \*

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 57 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2011 BUDGET FOR THE GENERAL FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2011 budget for the General Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 139 of 2010, the 2011 budget for the General Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Public Works

Decrease Contractual Services by \$30,000

Increase Improvements & Equipment by \$30,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that the remainder of Ordinance No. 139 of 2010 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 58 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2011 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUND, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2011 budget for Capital Improvements,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 137 of 2010, the Capital Improvements Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

**In Program E – Water Improvements:**

Decrease the appropriation for Water and Sewer Mains - Shrevepark (95-E001) by \$445,186. Funding source is 1992 A URB.

Increase the appropriation for Water and Sewer Mains - Shrevepark (95-E001) by \$445,186. Funding source is 1994 A URB.

Decrease the appropriation for Flournoy-Lucas/Ellerbe Road Water Main Relocation (08-E002) by \$373,413. Funding source is 2005 URB.

Increase the appropriation for Flournoy-Lucas/Ellerbe Road Water Main Relocation (08-E002) by \$373,413. Funding source is 2001 DHH.

Increase the appropriation for Amiss WTP Improvements (05-E012) by \$467,794. Funding sources is 2007 URB.

Increase the appropriation for SCADA System Upgrade (09-E001) by \$587,462. Funding source is 2000 A URB.

**In Program F – Sewer Improvements:**

Increase the appropriation for Lucas Sludge Field Improvements (98-F014) by \$866,732. Funding source is 1994 A URB (\$716,732) and 2000 A URB (\$150,000).

Decrease the appropriation for Lucas and North Regional WWTP Expansion (00-F001) by \$381,559. Funding source is 1991 A URB

Increase the appropriation for Lucas and North Regional WWTP Expansion (00-F001) by \$381,423. Funding source is 1985 URB (\$10,326), 1989 A URB (\$52,592), 1990 A URB (\$167,426), 1990 B URB (\$5,840), 1992 B URB (\$27,542) and 1993 B URB (\$117,697).

Increase the appropriation for Citywide Sewer Main Renewal/Replacement (05-F020) by \$171,665. Funding source is 2001 DHH.

Increase the appropriation for Miscellaneous Lift Station Improvements (05-F025) by \$200,000. Funding source is 2001 DHH.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 137 of 2010 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect with out the invalid provisions, items or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 59 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AND ABANDONING THE 20 FOOT WIDE ALLEY DEDICATION BEHIND THE 400 BLOCK OF PIERRE AVENUE, BETWEEN LOGAN STREET AND MYRTLE STREET, IN THE COLE SUBDIVISION IN SECTION 36 (T18N-R14W), AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, on February 1, 1872, Cole Subdivision was filed and recorded in Book 24, Page 497, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and

**WHEREAS**, east of the 400 block of Pierre Avenue and running between Logan Street and Myrtle Street of the aforementioned subdivision, a 20 foot wide alley was dedicated to the public; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport has received a request from a majority of the adjacent property owners to close and abandon this alley to allow development of the area by Midway Baptist Church, No. 2, Inc.; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the closed and abandoned area to provide for the facilities of the major utility companies; and

**WHEREAS**, the Metropolitan Planning Commission approved this closure and abandonment at their meeting on April 6, 2011; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed closure and abandonment meets the requirements and approval of the City Engineer's Office; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED**, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that the 20 foot wide alley dedication, lying east of the 400 block of Pierre Avenue and running between Logan Street and Myrtle Street of the Cole Subdivision, as shown and indicated on the plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, is officially closed and abandoned.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED**, that the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the entire area of the closure and abandonment.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED**, that a certified copy of this ordinance shall be filed and recorded in the official records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED**, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED**, that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 60 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE CLOSING AND ABANDONING THE 20 FOOT WIDE ALLEY DEDICATION BEHIND THE 400 BLOCK OF ALLEN AVENUE, BETWEEN LOGAN STREET AND MYRTLE STREET, IN THE COLE SUBDIVISION IN SECTION 36 (T18N-R14W), AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, on February 1, 1872, Cole Subdivision was filed and recorded in Book 24, Page 497, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, west of the 400 block of Allen Avenue and running between Logan Street and Myrtle Street of the aforementioned subdivision, a 20 foot wide alley was dedicated to the public; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has received a request from a majority of the adjacent property owners to close and abandon this alley to allow development of the area by Midway Baptist Church, No. 2, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the closed and abandoned area to provide for the facilities of the major utility companies; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Planning Commission approved this closure and abandonment at their meeting on April 6, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the proposed closure and abandonment meets the requirements and approval of the City Engineer's Office; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened, that the 20 foot wide alley dedication, lying west of the 400 block of Allen Avenue and running between Logan Street and Myrtle Street of the Cole Subdivision, as shown and indicated on the plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, is officially closed and abandoned.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the City of Shreveport shall retain a permanent utility servitude over the entire area of the closure and abandonment.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that a certified copy of this ordinance shall be filed and recorded in the official records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 61 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 99.55-ACRE (MORE OR LESS) TRACT OF LAND, LYING EAST AND ADJACENT TO TWELVE OAKS SUBDIVISION, LOCATED IN THEORETICAL SECTIONS 4 & 9, (T16N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

TAG NO. 10-03

WHEREAS, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

WHEREAS, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

TAG NO. 10-03 A tract of land in the western portion of land formerly known as Tract 4 of the F. F. Webb Estates per survey of Russell Engineering dated April 9, 1979 and recorded as attachment to Partition Deed on May 8, 1979 under Registry No. 793201, Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, located in Theoretical Sections 4 and 9, T16N-R13W, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, lying East and adjacent to Bienville Path, Unit 1 in Twelve Oaks, as per plat filed and recorded in Book 6000,

Pages 17-19, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the South common corner of Tracts 3 & 4, of said F. F. Webb Estates (said point being in the middle of Bayou Pierre and on the existing City Limits) run North along the existing City Limits and the common line of said Tracts 3 & 4 a distance of 1,259.38 feet to a point on the southerly line of said Bienville Path, Unit 1 in Twelve Oaks (said point also being on the southwesterly right-of-way line of Rochel Drive); thence run the following thirteen calls along said Bienville Path, Unit 1 in Twelve Oaks and the existing City Limits:

Southeasterly along a curve to the left an arc length of 28.02 feet (said curve having a radius of 245.00 feet and a chord of S 52°50'03" E – 28.01 feet),

N 31°00'00" E – 200.68 feet,

N 27°00'00" W – 32.18 feet,

N 63°00'00" E – 202.24 feet,

N 66°56'05" E – 115.62 feet,

N 59°00'00" E – 217.31 feet,

Northwesterly along a curve to the right an arc length of 32.11 feet (said curve having a radius of 175.00 feet and a chord of N39°41'36" W – 32.06 feet),

N 56°00'00" E – 146.79 feet,

N 25°00'00" E – 332.14 feet,

Southeasterly along a curve to the left an arc length of 12.36 feet (said curve having a radius of 230.00 feet and a chord of S58°04'41" E – 12.36 feet),

N 31°00'00" E – 233.98 feet,

East – 13.44 feet,

N 05°00'00" E – 201.61 feet to a point on the South line of Marigny Woods in Twelve Oaks, as per plat filed and recorded in Book 6000, Pages 231-232, in the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana;

Thence run Westerly along the existing City Limits and the North line of said Bienville Path, Unit 1 in Twelve Oaks and the South line of said Marigny Woods in Twelve Oaks the following twelve calls:

N 53°00'00" W – 151.47 feet,

N 61°00'00" W – 178.26 feet,

N 63°00'00" W – 91.04 feet,

N 68°00'00" W - 80.00 feet,

West – 45.74 feet,

S 74°07'01" W – 131.73 feet,

S 62°00'00" W – 25.70 feet,

S 43°00'00" W – 80.00 feet,

S 41°34'35" W – 80.02 feet,

S 21°00'00" W – 110.29 feet,

N 86°00'00" W – 145.54 feet,

N 35°23'55" W – 117.11 feet to a point on the east line of Lot 1200 of Charleston Court in Twelve Oaks, as per plat filed and recorded in Book 4050, Pages 262-263, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana;

Thence run North along the existing City Limits and the East line of said Charleston Court in Twelve Oaks and the West line of said Marigny Woods in Twelve Oaks a distance of 690.88 feet to the Northwest corner of said Marigny Woods in Twelve Oaks;

Thence run Southeasterly along the North line of said Marigny Woods in Twelve Oaks the following sixteen calls:

S 59°36'36" E - 72.24 feet,

Thence run Southeasterly along a curve to the left an arc length of 52.50 feet (said curve having a radius of 52.88 feet and a chord of S 87°34'24" E – 50.37 feet);

N 62°34'19" E - 129.14 feet,

Thence run Northeasterly along a curve to the right an arc length of 98.88 feet (said curve having a radius of 121.80 feet and a chord of N 85°23'04" E – 96.19 feet),

S 69°37'26" E - 162.73 feet,

S 61°03'32" E - 114.44 feet,

S 44°30'06" E - 105.05 feet.

S 30°11'19" E - 115.37 feet,

S 34°48'29" E - 54.06 feet,

S 52°06'25" E - 93.72 feet,

S 60°47'31" E - 70.59 feet,

S 46°28'58" E - 46.78 feet,

S 35°02'02" E - 107.96 feet,

S 36°52'45" E - 104.25 feet,

S 57°20'12" E - 108.01 feet,

S 63°45'03" E a distance of 98.63 feet to a point being on the North line of Bienville Path Unit 2 in Twelve Oaks, as per plat filed and recorded in Book 6000, Pages 223-224, of the Conveyance Records of Caddo Parish, Louisiana;

Thence run Easterly along the North line of said Bienville Path, Unit 2 in Twelve Oaks the following six calls:

N 74°07'01" E - 156.27 feet,

N 66°08'41" E - 80.87 feet,

S 70°22'49" E - 104.02 feet,

S 81°58'32" E - 104.76 feet,

S 87°30'09" E - 107.61 feet,

S 68°32'23" E a distance of 117.56 feet to a point being the Northeast corner of said Bienville Path Unit 2 in Twelve Oaks;

Thence run South along the East line of said Bienville Path, Unit 2 in Twelve Oaks a distance of 1,170.03 feet;

Thence continue to run South a distance of 1,686.78 feet to a point in the center of Bayou Pierre;

Thence run Westerly along the said centerline of Bayou Pierre the following calls;

S 89°20'36" W – 487.51 feet,

N 78°02'54" W – 747.44 feet,

N 60°20'22" W – 479.40 feet to the Point of Beginning;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby assigned to Council District "D".

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, within ten (10) days of the final passage of this ordinance, with the Clerk of the District Court

for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 62 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF MITCHELL LANE, 200 FEET WEST OF DILLINGHAM AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D, URBAN, ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, TO R-2 (TH), ONE-FAMILY TOWNHOUSE RESIDENCE DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of Mitchell Lane, 200 feet west of Dillingham Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described as the west 98 feet of the south 90 feet of Lot 53, Southern Heights Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-1D, Urban, One-Family Residence District, to R-2 (TH), One-Family Townhouse Residence District**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

**1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with a revised site plan showing an 8' high solid wood screening fence along the north and west property lines which shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Director, with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Tabled legislation.**

1. **Ordinance No. 178 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the General Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Tabled January 25, 2011*)

2. **Ordinance No. 179 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the Metropolitan Planning commission's Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (E/Webb) (*Tabled January 25, 2011*)

3. **Ordinance No. 185 of 2010**: An ordinance granting to the Southwestern Electric Power Company the right, privilege, and franchise to acquire, construct, erect, maintain, repair, reconstruct, and operate a system of electric power lines, wires, transformers, communication cables,, and other related and necessary or desirable appurtenances in, under, over, across, through, and along any and all of the present and future streets, avenues, alleys, thoroughfares, roads, highways, sidewalks bridges, and public properties of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana for the purpose of transmitting and distributing electric power to the city and it inhabitants and any other person or persons, firms, and corporations for a term of twenty-five years, regulating the use of streets by the company and repair and restoration of the streets disturbed by construction; Providing for compensation to be paid to the City; Providing that this franchise shall not be exclusive; Providing the company's obligations to furnish efficient service; Providing for indemnity by the company to the city;

Providing for conditional forfeiture in event of default by the company; Making miscellaneous provisions relative to this grant of franchise; Providing for acceptance by company; Providing a severability clause; Providing an effective date, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (***Tabled February 22, 2011***)

## **Appeals**

### **A. Property Standards Appeals**

**PSD0800400:** 5318 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. Darien Kirkendoll, P.O. Box 8703, Bossier City, LA. (***Postponed February 18, 2011 until August 22, 2011***)

**PSD0900275:** 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) (***Postponed May 23 until July 25, 2011***)

**HBO1000030:** 153 Merrick Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Lola B. Layton, P.O. Box 4582 Shreveport, LA 71134 (***Postponed April 11, 2011 until July 11, 2011***)

**PSD1000086:** 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (***Postponed May 9, 2011 until August 8, 2011***)

**CAB1000828:** 3135 Idledays Dr., Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/McCulloch), Mr. Leroy Carey, 3135 Idledays Drive, Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/McCulloch) (***Postponed January 24, 2011 until July 25, 2011***)

**PSD1000061:** 9025 Hilton Dr, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Mr. Jim Bruce, 9045 Hilton Drive, Shreveport, LA 71118 (E/Webb) (***Postponed May 9, 2011 until July 11, 2011***)

**PSD1000128:** 2900 West Maple Street, Shreveport, LA (G/Jenkins) Mr. William Herbert Edelen, III, PO Box 8888, Shreveport, LA 71148 (***Postponed April 25, 2011 until June 27, 2011***)

**HBO1000057:** 518 W. 74<sup>th</sup> Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (F/Shyne) Mr. Lewis Stringer, 518 W. 74<sup>th</sup> Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (F/Shyne) (***Postponed January 24, 2011 until June 27, 2011***)

**PSD1000169** 4017 Crosby Street, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Ms. De'Borah Vance-Mozell, 2, Summer Cottage LN., Franklin Park, NJ 08823. (***Postponed February 18, 2011 until June 27, 2011***)

**PSG1000057:** 3921 Bullen Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. James Mason P.O. Box 462, Shreveport, LA. (***Postponed May 9, 2011 until July 11, 2011***)

**PSD1000141** 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

**PSD1100010** 3159 Ashton, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Mr. Jimmy Lee Burke, 2627 Quinton, Shreveport, LA, 71103 (B/Everson) (*Postponed April 25, 2011 until June 27, 2011*)

**PSD1000112** 532 Jordan, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Mr. Brian Lazon, 4441 Norway Drive, Shreveport, LA 71105 (C/O. Jenkins) (*Postponed May 9, 2011 until July 11, 2011*)

**PSG0900257** 1020 Foster Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Mortgage Capital Funding, Inc. c/o Mr. Larry Casey, P.O. Box 4814, Shreveport, LA 71134 *New*

**PSD1100017** 3634 Sumner Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Roberto Strickland, 3740 Jackson Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) *New*

### **Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals**

Mr. Colby King, 11 N. Thurman Rd, Haughton, LA 71037; *Macaroni Grille*, 7031 Youree Drive, Shreveport, LA 71105 (D/Corbin) (*Postponed June 13, 2011 until July 11, 2011*)

Mr. Martin Chapman, 264 Grant Circle, Doyline, LA 71023; *Strange Brew*, 235 Wall Street, LA 71101 (B/Everson) (*Postponed June 13, 2011 until July 11, 2011*)

### **Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals**

#### **Other Appeals**

#### **Reports from officers, boards, and committees**

Councilman O. Jenkins: I will make a report in regards to Infrastructure Committee. We had a very energetic group. Had a lot of participation from citizens about GIS usage here in the city. I thin the folks that were there were, I would say collectively impressed about the capability and the utility of GIS for both the city and for us as council members. And we're going to be in discussion with NLCOG specifically, and I believe it's a Regis organization in terms of how we can move forward with that GIS system, and what we need to do to really make more use of it, both up here and in you know development, and people in the civilian community. I do recognize that somebody in your department has a program to allow us an opportunity to use GIS up here on the council and we're looking forward to that in July. There may be a specific tutorial session for one of our council members required. We won't say any names of who that might be, but I'm sure he'd be happy to make himself available (inaudible) handy assistant in regard to that. Other piece was a (inaudible) debate on the methodology for grants, and obviously a lot of people seeking that out at the department head level, what may or may not have been so clear to us is how that all gets constructed from an administrative oversight, and certainly entertaining a discussion on that at some point. Not that we think anybody is missing any opportunity, obviously the departments are

very engaged in that process. So that was good. So that was kinda where we went on the GIS and Infrastructure Committees. Anybody? Did you have a B-4 in this period?

Councilman Everson: We may have. It's been three weeks since the last meeting, so there probably was a B-4. It may have been the day of the last Council Meeting, but our B-4 Committee did meet. We reviewed a number of things that were actually on the agenda today. And we did have a follow up meeting to that, cause one subject that was discussed was that it was an increase, complaints and reports of vandalism and other minor crime that were being committed by members of the homeless population downtown, and so we did have a follow up meeting with some of the law enforcement and get some continued suggestions for that. So the B-4 will meet again and make some further recommendations as to how to address that situation.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, and I should add that yesterday, some of the council, four or five of us had a fantastic tour of the Oakland Cemetery. I certainly appreciate Shelly's involvement with that program, and maybe some ways to go forward with revitalizing that cemetery, and you know truthfully, some of the application for the bond money that's dedicated to that specific project. So very well (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, if you would allow me, in the course of your comments, we have to mention the name of Hines Vaughn as a part of that overall effort. There are others, but we want to certainly in conjunction with the Council, thank Mr. Vaughn and the other folks who have taken the leadership in terms of helping us to get that on a positive path.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Good point. Plus he's my across the street neighbor growing up. So I'd feel pretty guilty if I didn't mention it. Okay.

Councilman Shyne: Is that that Southfield connection?

Mayor Glover: Probably somewhere along there. Right area code.

Councilman O. Jenkins: In the inner city, just so you're clear. In the inner city, just so you know. Okay, Mr. Thompson, is there a Clerk's report?

### **Clerk's report**

Mr. Thompson: I think I have dispensation from (inaudible).

**The Committee "rises and reports"** (reconvenes the regular council meeting).

**Adjournment:** There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 8:08 p.m.

---

*//s// Oliver Jenkins, Chairman*

---

*//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council*