



Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana

July 10, 2012

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Sam Jenkins at 3:10 p.m., Tuesday, July 10, 2012, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Shyne.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Corbin.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, June 25, 2012 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, June 26, 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, Communications of the Mayor relative to city business, and Required Reports

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Ms. Dorothy Farnell, would you come forward please if you're in the Chamber? On yesterday I shared with the Council that I've been here going on 2 years as City Councilman for District A, and I've heard a lot, I've been educated a lot about T-BONE in the City of Shreveport that was initiated by the mayor, but I've never actually experienced the T-BONE as Ms. Farnell described it on July 3rd, the T-BONE theory. And based on the issue that was addressed on July 3rd, I would just like for Ms. Farnell to talk about and introduce her staff that participated in the T-BONE process. And of course I think Charles in the media room? Oh you're there,

okay. Charles I want you to before you get started to just show the slides. Actually this was actually, this issue was a blight? Is that what you would call it Ms. Farnell?

Ms. Farnell: Yes.

Councilwoman McCulloch: A blight issue on the MLK Blvd, in District A. And of course, from my observation, it appeared to be if not two or three lots of blight at one residence. If you look at the screen, this is actually what was there July 3rd. And I was a bit concerned because I mean Martin Luther King, those of you that know Martin Luther King, you know he wasn't very well represented by all of this blight especially coming up to the 4th of July, Independence Day. So I contacted Ms. Farnell, and of course she's always, well hello City Councilwoman McCulloch, how's everything, nice and graceful. And of course I did the MLK thing over the phone. I said, "I'm mad as H E double toothpick. She said, well what's wrong? And of course along with contacting her, I contacted our President Sam Jenkins, and of course he showed up also as a result of this, but this blight that you see here is probably two to maybe 2 ½ blocks of blight that you see, that was actually off the MLK Blvd. So what I would really like for Ms. Farnell to do as far as the Council goes, I wanted to just in talking with her, during the process, because we stayed through the entire process. She said well this is actually what the T-BONE theory is all about. I noticed that we had a police officer there, officer, what's your last name?

Officer Williams: Williams.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Williams, and then Mr. Audwinn who I call on all the time, he showed up there, and a lot of Ms. Farnell's team with Property Standard showed up there, also solid waste of whom you know we've really been trying to push for a raise, because it's been a while since solid waste workers have gotten a raise. Actually, when I saw this, my heart really went out to solid waste, because as a result of all of this blight that was actually placed out there, they were with the expectancy looking for solid waste to come along and pick up all of this blight. Which I thought it was ridiculous, because again, they've been pushing, I know since I've been on the council, along with Chairperson Sam Jenkins to get our solid waste workers a raise based on the fact that as a courtesy, there are just some things that they are picking up as a courtesy to administration versus what's really legal. And when I saw this, I thought this was just way out - - - I mean this was outstanding. So I wanted to commend Mr. Alden, first of all Ms. Dorothy Farnell, she's just a jewel. And I wondered after Jim Holt left, I said how in the world Ms. Farnell being a female, she's going to be able to handle the job that Jim Holt was able to pursue and did well at it. But every since Ms. Farnell has come aboard, there's not been one time that I have ever called her about any issues in District A, that she hasn't been available, she hasn't been there. And when I saw this

situation on MLK, with all of this blight coming up to the 4th of July on Martin Luther King, Jr., Blvd, and I was somewhat as a resident of the MLK area knowing that my friends from Lakeview, from Blanchard and some other communities actually travel MLK Blvd on a daily basis, I was actually embarrassed, not only for myself, but Martin Luther King based on the leader that he really was and really what he stood for. So I wanted to share that with the Council, I wanted you all to see today how the idea of T-BONE and the T-BONE theory works, because I actually had a hands on or personal experience as of July 3rd with this, and I want Ms. Farnell to kinda share with you somewhat the T-BONE theory. Ms. Farnell, would you?

Ms. Farnell: Yes, thank you Councilwoman McCulloch. Mayor Glover, I'd first like to introduce to you a part of our T-BONE team, which is Corporal Detrick Williams who is in District 1 and Inspector Audwinn Manuel, District 2. Consider a building with a few broken windows, and if the windows are not repaired, the tendency is for vandals to break a few more windows. Eventually, they may even break into the building and if it is unoccupied, squatters or they will end up living there or they'll either light a fire, graffiti may become visible and litter may end up accumulating, soon more litter accumulates and eventually people even start leaving bags of trash from take out restaurants there or breaking into the cars. On June of 2007, Mayor Glover started an initiative implemented by the name of T-BONE, Taking Back Our Neighborhood Everyday, wherein he brought together the police officers and inspectors and he paired them together, sending them out into 12 districts, which we have 12 Police Districts. And the purpose of this was to address quality of life issues, to remove blight and to do it as expeditiously as possible. The broken window theory was introduced by James Wilson and George Kelly in a book titled Broken Window. Because of this, as I told Ms. McCulloch on that day, this is T-BONE in action. Taking Back Our Neighborhood Everyday. As a result of her telephone call, I immediately contacted Corporal Williams who came immediately, and it was unfortunate that he had to go into the house with his gun pulled to make sure that there was no one there. Inspector Manuel, he addressed all of the issues. There was high grass and what had happened was that a citizen had moved out and they had just put all of her stuff on the street. The citizen is reported to be in a nursing home now, but then the trash and debris was left there and as Ms. McCulloch says, it was a travesty because it was a horrible site. All of us came together. Police Officer was looking to see if there were any police issues, of course there were none, but he did make it safe while he was there by standing guard, by making sure that the citizens were safe that were walking by making sure that there was no one that was hiding in the house. We addressed this by calling our workers who came in and we immediately, I would say in three hours time, removed all of the trash and debris, and everything was abated. As I told Ms. McCulloch, this is what T-BONE is about. Since the inception of T-BONE, crime is at an all time low because it

addresses crime. Blight, we've removed blight very quickly. It has actually - - - it is less now. So the initiative throughout the neighborhood really works. And it is because of T-BONE, Taking Back Our Neighborhoods Everyday.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well I just, I really wanted to just shout because you know I'm Southern Baptist, so every now and then I get my praise on and I just shout. And I really wanted Ms. Farnell and her group on camera, that's why I was asking you to remove that, because I'd really like for our TV audience to know whose actually working with us. But it was such a blessing to the neighborhood, with MLK being a main thoroughfare. And so I just want to say thank you and to our President Sam Jenkins, the reason why I can support you in trying to push for our solid waste workers to get a raise is the fact that they were - - - I mean I talked to some of the senior citizens about the blight that was there and they were saying well, there are some people that are need this, and they're going to come along and get this. And there were old mattresses, and it was old furniture, and boxes and I said well ma'am, it's really illegal you know to do this. And she said well, they were going to come back and they were going to pick it up you know as soon as everybody got what they wanted. I mean that was her mentality being a senior citizen. But I was so thankful for Ms. Farnell because when she came along because she was actually able to talk with the senior citizen. And also our officer, I think she left and she came back with her gospel blasting and she was asked to cut it off and she refused to. Her thing was well we need Jesus up in here right now. You know because she really wanted the blight to remain there, and of course as a result of T-BONE, T-BONE says Ma'am if you don't cut your music down, I'm going to have to take you to jail. But as a result of that she cooperated with us. And Oliver, I just - - - I had been hearing about T-BONE, and of course when I think T-BONE, I'm thinking about that nice steak used to slide in the oven all the time, but Mayor Cedric Glover, I have a better perception of what Operation T-BONE is all about. It worked for District A, and especially coming up to Independence Day on a main thoroughfare named after one of our leaders, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and I just want to express my gratitude to you Mayor for the idea, express my gratitude to you Ms. Farnell for all of the, not just for July 3rd, but for all of the hard work that you do for District A. You to Mr. Audwinn, and Officer, you know I always appreciate you as well. So, I wanted the council to just kinda share with the experience that District A has had with operation T-BONE. It's really real. It really exist. So thank you so much Ms. Farnell, along with your team. Thank you all.

Councilman Everson: I wanted to recognize a few people, and I think I've seen people come in and go out as well, but I wanted to recognize my good friend and former co-worker Tari Bradford with Senator Mary Landrieu's office, as well as - - -

Councilman Shyne: Is Tari here?

Councilman Everson: She is, sitting behind somebody and you can't see her.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, Tari is my neighbor. Tari, would you stand so we can all see you?

Councilman Everson: Joe is going to make you make him embarrass you.

Councilman Shyne: No, no. Hey Tari, good to see you.

Councilman Everson: And then from the Caddo Parish Commission, I saw Matthew Lynn and John Escude, and I think I saw Stephanie in here too somewhere, but maybe she left out. And also I noticed a couple of former City Councilmen from District A, both Calvin Lester and Bobby Joe Cooper, wanted to recognize them for being here today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: All star crowd on hand today.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Carl Franklin is out there too.

Councilman Everson: Carl Franklin did come in didn't he, good to see you Carl.

Councilman Shyne: We're going to recognize Carl in a minute or two. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Mayor, I know you're going to recognize the gentleman from Grambling, right?

Mayor Glover: Well they actually are here in conjunction with Tari Bradford and a few other ladies who are here as well, and I don't know if the Chairman has a note for that purpose or not.

Councilman S. Jenkins: The Chairman does not have a note for that purpose.

Councilman Shyne: Now Mayor, you know you are good.

Mayor Glover: I know that it says 'City Council Meeting' on the agenda.

Councilman Shyne: Well, that's true. But we're going to give you a shot at it. Right Mr. Chairman?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Right Mr. Shyne. I want to remind everyone, this Thursday, that's July 12th, 6:00 we'll be having a meeting on re-districting. We'll be talking about redrawing our city council lines. Now this meeting is going to be at Independence Stadium. It's going to be in the Skybox. You go to the south side of the stadium, and you just take the elevator up to the Skybox. We're going to start at 6:00. I see Dr. Joiner

is here today, maybe on another matter, but he will be there. He's the demographer, he'll be talking about the various plans that have been put out there. I want to urge all of our citizens, if you can to take some time, to come by attend the meeting and be informed on what they're doing, why we're doing it and at the same time, it would give you an opportunity to give your input about what you see or what you don't see, what you like, what you don't like, any kind of concern that you may have about what we're doing. So, this is an opportunity that's being afforded to the public. The Council decided to do this because a lot of times in our meetings like this, everybody can't make it down at this hour. So, we're extending ourselves to make sure that they're going out and being good public servants and keeping the public informed by setting the meeting in place in that location and hopefully at a time, that will give maximum ability to the citizens to attend. So put that on your calendar, this Thursday at 6:00 so you can come out and participate in our re-districting meeting where we'll be discussing on those particular issues.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, could I just put a footnote on that before you move? Dr. Joiner is a basically a school teacher. So I'm asking everybody to bring a notepad and a pen so that they can make sure that they take notes, they can write down what he is saying. And if they have any suggestions they can give 'em to him in writing. I'm a school teacher Dr. Joiner and I know how it is. You know a lot of folks will come to your class without the ability to take notes, and they'll forget within 20 minutes what you've said. So Sam I used to mandate 'em. You can't come in my class unless you bring a pen or pencil or notepad where you can take some notes. So those persons who are coming to the meeting, please bring some materials where you can take some notes. And you can tell Dr. Joiner your opinion in writing. Because they'll give you so many, just right off the cuff and you'll forget 'em and this is very important, because we do this every 10 years. Mr. Chairman, thank you for giving me that opportunity.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor, do you have any awards or recognition of distinguished guests?

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, I would ask that at this time, Tari Bradford, along with the individual that Councilman Shyne was referring to, Mr. Aaron James.

Councilman Shyne: That's one of our major universities in the State Mr. Chairman, Grambling.

Mayor Glover: Assistant Athletic Director at Grambling State University, but also one of the first draft picks of the NBA's New Orleans Jazz, which also happens to be his hometown. One of the few NBA stars Mr. Shyne, members of the council, whoever had the opportunity to play his Elementary, Junior High, High School, College and Professional basketball, all in the same state. Went from New Orleans to Grambling and back to New Orleans. And I believe never left New Orleans in terms of his NBA career. And once that was over, made his way back to the piney woods of North Louisiana, where he coached for a time, and now as administration, he's joined today by Ms. Dottie Belletto of the New Orleans Convention Company and they are here to make some comments and a brief presentation to the Council and to the city regarding the Bayou Classic, a great Louisiana institution that obviously the folks at Grambling and Southern are concerned about advancing far into the future. And Dottie's team has been brought on starting last year to assist in that effort. And she is going to be in town along with Aaron and other folks affiliated with both universities I think over the next two to three days, meeting with various folks talking about the strategy for this year going on towards the 40th Anniversary which we hope is going to be quite momentous and going forward into the future. So Dottie?

Ms. Belletto: Yes, thank you and thank you Councilmen and Women for allowing me to come before you today. We are in your great city of Shreveport, and every time it grows, and I have to get a city map to find my way around. So congratulations to all the good work that everybody is doing along with the Mayor here in Shreveport. Shreveport is a very important city in the state of Louisiana, and particularly for Bayou Classic. I believe we have some Southern and Grambling Alum in the room, and many of those in particular, the Chairman and Councilman Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Yes, and don't leave out Grambling.

Ms. Belletto: And I believe Ms. McCulloch. We are here because of the great legacy that the schools of Grambling University and Southern University have done for the state of Louisiana, and as a result of that, we have a lot of young people that have graduated from these universities that have gone on. In fact, we have two with Senator Landrieu's office, Tari Bradford, who was just announced earlier in the room with us as a Southern graduate. We also have Alicia Williams with Senator Landrieu's office, a manager and of her Washington office, Alicia was a Grambling Alum and graduated from Grambling. These great universities have great graduates that have gone on in so many different ways and places, and for the future Bayou Classic, we're here to get the support and promote this event which is huge. So goes Bayou Classic, so goes the Port Classic, so goes many of those sporting events that you have right here in Shreveport. And we are the grandfather of those. And we want to continue growing, keeping this great legacy and continue the future for our children. And we need your help, you know

the cuts that have happened throughout the state in higher education. Those cuts have hit Southern, Grambling and many other universities. The HBCU institutes have all had problems across the board throughout the U.S., and here locally here at home. So, we want to create for Bayou Classic and opportunity to bring everyone together to celebrate and enjoy everything that we have as far as HBCU schools are. And so we are creating this as a premier HBCU event. And we're looking at the future. Everything changes, and the Bayou Classic is ever changing with more activities. We've created the HBCU Institute, so that people can get more education and more information about all the colleges and universities available to them. So we look forward to coming back if you would allow us to make a full presentation perhaps in August to the Council and to the Mayor on exactly what we're looking at as we go into the 39th year, which will be November 22-24, and what we're looking for the largest year, which will be our 40th anniversary which will be in 2013. And we want to invite you all to be a part of that. So thank you very much for your time and attention for today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you for coming down. And I like the way you address this subject, you always put Southern before Grambling. Very important, that goes a long way with me, thank you so much, and thank you for coming down.

Ms. Belletto: It was not intended.

Councilman Shyne: He knows that you made a mistake. And Mr. Mayor, I'm almost old enough to remember the first Bayou Classic.

Mayor Glover: I am old enough Mr. Chairman, it was actually held here in Shreveport.

Councilwoman McCulloch: If you'll just allow me for the record, actually Southern is derived from Grambling. Grambling is noted as the 'Mother' institute. It was Grambling before Southern. So for the record, on behalf of our generations to come, Calvin and all of you all, the reason why Southern is where it is, is because Grambling is considered the mother.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Councilwoman, what is that count though? Hasn't Southern won more Bayou Classics than Grambling?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well that's a great thing.

Councilman Shyne: No, no, no Sam.

Councilman S. Jenkins: (Inaudible) the child is raising the parent? What's going on. Y'all still have some catching up to do.

Ms. Belletto: We're in close circles, so we're back where it all started with and it took 2 schools to get this great tradition going.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Starting with Grambling.

Councilman Shyne: Well Sam I know one thing, if Southern doesn't win it this year, you all will be looking for another coach.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Hey look, that's a very important victory you must have for either coach, Southern or Grambling. You can loose every other game all season. Bayou Classic, you must win.

Councilman Shyne: Southern has lost what the last 3 or 4?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Grambling is trying to catch up.

Councilman Shyne: No, for the last 3 or 4 years Sam!

Councilman S. Jenkins: You see what happens when you bring up Bayou Classic?

Mayor Glover: Well one thing we do know it will all be settled at least for this year, the last Saturday in November. And we want to thank Dottie and her team for coming up. Obviously this is a part of trying to ensure - - - and the folks at SMG are a part of this effort as well. Sam and Shreveport's Doug Thornton who manages the New Orleans facility and manages this region for SMG. The hope and the intent is that the Bayou Classic can return to its pre-Katrina numbers in terms of attendance. I think part of what they realize, in order to accomplish that, is that they want to see the game evolve and become bigger and better. And there are some great ideas that have been put forth in terms of how to help make that happen. One of which entails doing greater outreach. These folks who will be here with the Bayou Classic bus handing out information at this year's Port City Classic on Labor Day weekend. They'll be back during the State Fair during the State Fair for the Southern And Prairie View Game as well, kind of advance marketing efforts that helps to increase and (inaudible) in the level of interest, are also very excited at the number of corporate sponsors who have stepped up and gotten actively engaged in supporting this effort. Making it not just a game, but an entire week of events focus all around the granddaddy of them all of classics in HBCU sports. So thank you Dottie, appreciate it and we look forward to continuing to work with you. Mr. Chairman continuing along that football related theme, want to - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor, excuse me one second.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well I hope part of that outreach is rotation that includes Shreveport, Louisiana in future events.

Mayor Glover: For the Bayou Classic?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yes sir.

Mayor Glover: Well now Mr. Jenkins, I will tell you as one who during the year that the game was forced due to Katrina to go to Houston, made a very passionate case for why it is that it needed to come to Shreveport. I thought it would have been a better event here, than it would have been in Houston. I think probably the attendance numbers proved that to be true. But now it would be very difficult for me with an available Superdome and New Orleans being the well functioning in terms of being able to accommodate to make an argument for Shreveport over New Orleans. The Bayou Classic has a home. That home is now the Mercedes Benz Superdome, and think what we should do is focus here on how it is that we set up the games that lead up to that, that make it even more enticing for us to go down. I'm hoping that the numbers of 50 plus thousand dictate that the game should be in the dome, cause to hit 50,000 here would take us a little bit above our maximum, and the unpredictability of late November weather and northern Louisiana is also I think another one that makes a great case for the cozy confines of the New Orleans Superdome. So far be it from me to not to want to have everything in Shreveport, but I can't be completely selfish. And so while I could make an argument to bringing it here, I know that reason stands on the side of New Orleans in that case. But I'm delighted to know we've Grambling and Southern coming to play in Shreveport. And who knows, one day the Dome may find itself down again. And they do need another 'in' Louisiana home, that they would look to bring it to Shreveport instead of taking it to Houston. Now carrying on that football related them, Council Members, this coming Saturday, the 14th of July, one of our very own and a young man who is a graduate of Fair Park High School was rated as the top Defensive player coming out of college this past season who just happens to be a Shreveport native, an All-American, a Jim Thorpe winner, one who I have no doubt will soon join Aaron James, not only in the Louisiana Hall of Fame, but maybe even some others, he is initiating the first effort to continue to give back to the city and the community that helped to shape and mold and advance him. And so I'm delighted to announce the first annual Morris Claiborne Football Camp, to be held this Saturday, the 14th of July. Registration Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, will start at 8:00 a.m. and will run until 10:00 a.m. The camp activities will begin promptly at 10:00 a.m., and will wrap up at 3:00 p.m. Morris' primary goal for the camp is to assist youth between the ages of 5 to 18 in the development of football skills. Special guest include current and former LSU football players. So for those of you who've been dying for the opportunity to meet the Honey Badger, go out and find some 5-18 year olds that you can recruit and

bring to the camp and stick a sharpie in your back pocket and bring some paper with you, and you may get a chance to get a picture and autograph from Tyrann Matheiu, also Benny Logan, Chris Davenport who just from down the road in Mansfield will be here along with Richard Murphy and Lamon Barrow. All of these are LSU players who have agreed to come in and join Morris for the first of what we anticipate to be many going into the future free football camps that he's going to host right here at Independence Stadium in Shreveport for the youth of this city. So (inaudible) to all who are interested and willing to come on out.

Councilman O. Jenkins: That's great, that's tremendous. Mr. Mayor I am being told by the public that I need to know that Grambling leads Southern in Bayou Classic wins 20-18. So, if you're out there, I hear you. I was wrong. Grambling is ahead of Southern in Bayou Classic wins. I think there's (inaudible)

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, tell him, that's why we didn't say anything, cause we knew he didn't know what he was talking about.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Shyne, I didn't want to show the Chairman up.

Councilman Shyne: Well, there you go. I'm glad you didn't, because he might would have tried to cut your time down.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well the Chairman stands corrected. Now did you say again, that that football camp is going to be this Saturday?

Mayor Glover: This Saturday, the 14th of July. Registration starts at 8:00 a.m. will run until 10:00 a.m. and then the camp activities themselves will start promptly at 10:00, and will run for the next five hours between 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. at Independence Stadium, and it is absolutely free of charge. There are registration forms that are available at Shreveport Parks and Recreation facilities all across the city, and so for anyone who has any questions, they should go to their nearest facility where they will be able to get a form, fill it out and turn it in and be prepared to come and take part and to have a chance to - - - I don't mean this as the last part of this particular expression, meet the first round draft pick of the Dallas Cowboys this year Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I like that.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Chairman, bring your shorts and cleats so you can be ready hear?

Councilman S. Jenkins: I stay ready. Alright Mr. Mayor, any other business?

Mayor Glover: Just a couple of more items Mr. Chairman. Want to ask that you and members of the Council check your schedules, we're going to look to over the next week or so schedule follow up solid waste discussion with you all as Council Members. Stan and staff have been working with myself and Mr. Sibley and the administration office to go over some of the different scenarios that we have been deliberating over here for the past several months, and I think we're just about ready to sit down and engage the council to discuss what we have been going over to get you all's input and reaction and to chart what we hope is going to be the path forward.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That will be a welcomed discussion Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, can I recognize just one other person. I believe that's Gay Poppa that just came in? Gay Poppa, would you stand? Gay Poppa at one time was one of the top DJs in the south.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Gay Poppa Cha Cha.....

Councilman Shyne: Gay Poppa, it's good to see you coming down and visiting with us, and you've been a part of Shreveport when Sam Jenkins was a little boy there. He used to come out and stand in front of the KOKA Radio Station just so he could get a chance to see Gay Poppa.

Councilman S. Jenkins: An that is absolutely true. They had the big window in front of the studio at that time, and many kids came down on school trips to see Mr. Sunrose Rutledge, known as Gay Poppa. Good to see you sir. Glad to have you down. Thank you Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Its an honor Gay Poppa. It's an honor.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I also saw Michael Williams, he entered the chamber.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You have someone Councilwoman.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Not only my church member, but Caddo Parish Commissioner Michael along with one of the sisters came in. Raise your hand. It's good to see you Commissioner Michael Williams of District 3.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You may have a quorum of the Commission here in just a few minutes.

Councilwoman McCulloch: John Escude, front and center.

Councilman S. Jenkins: John, good to see you. Good to see you out today. Mr. Mayor.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. Also you all should be receiving in the Council office before the close of business today the letter recommending Mr. Bryant Francis as the next Airport Director for Shreveport Regional Airport. I also trust that you all have received, if not we'll make sure that you get those. I know that it was requested that you get the - - -

Councilman Shyne: Is he here?

Mayor Glover: No sir, he's in Idaho. About 1300 miles from here right now.

Councilman Shyne: I was about to say, tell him now before you sign that check, you want him here in Shreveport.

Mayor Glover: Well now, if that's the basis of my next point of discussion. You all will be receiving that letter this afternoon, and if you haven't gotten the resumes and what have you on all five finalists, we will make sure that you all get those. What we want to do is start the clock ticking with regard to the timeframe that he's available to be confirmed. Also want to get some feedback from you Mr. Chair and the rest of the council because we do think it would be more cost effective to take advantage of the technology Mr. Shyne that's available, rather than incurring the expense of flying Mr. Francis back into Shreveport before he's actually an employee.

Councilman Shyne: I think you made the best choice.

Mayor Glover: Well thank you Mr. Shyne. I have to yield to the great wisdom that was also brought forward by the Airport Authority members as well. But we had five outstanding candidates to choose from. What I'd like to do Mr. Chairman and members of the Council is to see if it's possible for us to schedule some time where we might be able to SKYPE Mr. Francis in, that would afford you all a chance to be able to look him in the eye and ask him any questions or what have you that you may have, and to give you whatever latitude you need in terms of knowing what you need to know prior to him being considered for confirmation. But we thought that would be a more cost effect way as opposed to flying him in and not being sure whether or not we'd manage to end up having that lined up with all the schedules and what have you of the various council members. Technology now Mr. Shyne will allow us to do that in a way that is much more cost effective and certainly much more flexible.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, just one more thing. We have a member of the MPC Committee here, Ms. Josie Harris. Ms. Harris, would you raise your hand up or stand? Ms. Harris goes way back into the political arena and Sam I hope you don't mind, but she worked in my first campaign. So I hope you don't mind that Sam.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't mind it at all. A very distinguished constituent of District G. And she worked in my last campaign.

Councilman Shyne: You know what Sam? Maybe that's the reason why he won.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Absolutely.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Would you have a card, because someone else might need one (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: I see Oliver is jealous. I knew we had one person that was jealous.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor, anymore communications on City Business?

Mayor Glover: And as usual Mr. Chairman, we thank all of those who come down for these council proceedings whether they're watching us today in person or if they are with us via U-Stream or by COMCAST. We certainly acknowledge their engagement of the democratic process.

Reports

Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Does any member have any questions relative to Property Standards?

Councilman Shyne: I have one. Ms. Farnell that person, the property that I was contacted by the Committee is 3739 Mayfield. And let me say this, you've done an excellent job on Mayfield. We've taken down a bunch of old dilapidated houses. And Mr. Harris, right next to 3739, and a couple of other places there on Mayfield, people have cut down trees and brought old bushes to the front of the property. And on the last time, your guys did an excellent job, when I called you the other morning? Had some elderly people and they were frustrated and just all up in the air, as a matter of fact, I told them it would probably the next week before you got it and you got it that same day. So I appreciate it. But if you have some of your people right next door to 3739

Mayfield, it's a lot of old limbs out there and a couple of other places on Mayfield.
Thank you Mr. Harris.

Mr. Harris: We'll get that taken care of.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Harris, don't run off after the meeting, because I've got a few things you and I need to talk about also.

Councilman Shyne: Now Ms. Farnell, I didn't ask you about T-BONE, because I had been with the Mayor when he originated it. So, I knew about it and I've seen it working. So that's why I didn't ask you any questions. I didn't need to know. And Mr. Mayor, that's been one of your better programs. Cause you know we've talked about that. Cause you and I were on the Council together, and we talked about how can we clean up and make an impact in those communities that really need it. So I appreciate it.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Shyne. Mr. Chairman, I want to acknowledge Dorothy and Jim Holt and all of the folks who have been a part of the Take Back Our Neighbor team over the last five years without question as Mr. Shyne just stated, they have done a yeoman's work that's an effort that I think has been a part of trend that has violence going down in Shreveport, that has homicides going down in Shreveport, that has property crimes going down in Shreveport, and at a time when Louisiana is ranked as the most violent state in the nation, and that we have two cities in this state that are amongst the top in this country when it comes to homicides, violent crime, and crime in general. To know that Shreveport, the third largest city in that state is running contrary to those trends and is contrary to the trends that re being established within other communities of similar size and demographics all across the south and across the country is a testament to the hard community based work that these folks have challenged and engaged our citizens to engage with them, and the bottom line of it is, its working. And its working because of great people here at the City of Shreveport, but also because of great people out in our neighborhoods, across this city who have answered that call, who engaged our law enforcement, who engaged our property standard, who engaged our elected officials and have worked toward making Shreveport a better place. So kudos on a great job and lets keep it going. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I believe our other reports, on revenue collection - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: I have something regarding Property Standards, excuse me. And of course according to administration, it's not a property standards issue, but it falls to some degree Ms. Farnell, I'd like for you to be knowledgeable of the Maple Street situation Mr. Sibley. I had some pictures and slides that I explained I would share

with them on today. So, if you would go ahead - - - on Maple Street, about three or four months ago, you really can't - - - if you look in this ditch on Maple Street, probably right on the opposite side is where about five or six residents live on the opposite of this street. And of course where you see this ditch is here, there is an incline whereby you have some type of substance that's draining down this incline into this ditch. And if you look very closely, you really can't see because its shadowed, right on the left hand side of all of the white looking, actually that's paper, that the business was actually out there. They put it in the ditches to absorb what you see right next to it Oliver. It's a lot of black, oily, they went in and dug out a lot of the black oily stuff that had drained down in the ditch and then after they had paper to continue to absorb what's draining from this incline. Of course Chief Shaw, this is one of the neighbors, when I first got there, when I first went to make the observation, I have to be honest I said, well she's really putting on a good show. You know she has her mask on and everything. But at the end of the day, when I actually took a walk with one of the police officers that was assigned by Chief Shaw, there was a scent that was in the air, that was unbearable. I was actually sick myself John, after taking this little walk. But this lady lives at the very end of the street, and after the officer and I actually walked the street, I want you to look closely, because right beside the white looking tissue there is a lot of black oily substance that they dug out and actually put on the side of the street that's coming from that incline. Now its been explained to me, and you can click some more if you'd like to, its been explained to be that this is more or less a state issue with Environmental people, and the question was posed to me by the administration was, well are they actually zoned for this? I don't know how the zoning, I don't know if they're actually zoned for it, we're in pursuit of getting an update on everything. The only thing I know is this guy that's the guy that actually owns the business right there in the white T-shirt, he had his workers out there digging all of that oily substance draining from that incline, putting it on the side of the street and filling in with the white paper to absorb what was continuously draining and at the same time, he explained to me that he was trying to get in compliance with Environmental Protection. And that was about four months ago. Sunday morning I received a phone call. Sunday morning, getting ready to go get my praise on. Of course, I was almost tempted not to answer the phone, but normally I don't get calls on Sunday morning. And again, because it had rained the lady called and is this, did we put this on the slide Charles the most recent report that we got? But most of the city council, you have this. You have a copy of this. When the police officers came out on Sunday as a result of what the residents were complaining about. This what they were having to deal with. So I understand that it might be a zoning issue, because personally myself along with the SPD officer, we actually walked that street, we actually experienced the smells in the air, and actually observed what these citizens are dealing with. I think what we need to do now is find out who can actually get with the Environmental protection agency to see what we can do as far as

this zoning goes, or what. We'd just like to know the status, because what the residents are asking is, are they really zoned to do this? I mean, do they really have permission to do this like this. And again, their houses are right on the opposite side of the street. So, my thing is if I lived on the opposite side of where this drainage is occurring, certainly I would be miserable. And be mindful, not only are there adults there, there are senior citizens and children as well that are becoming sick behind what I'm being told might be a zoning issue. I mean this business might be permitted to do this. But I'm almost sure as I shared with the council yesterday, this is a health issue, that's really affecting people. I wouldn't want to live where these people are living. And so whatever we can do, I'm asking the administration, that was 3 or 4 months ago, this was done, and now Sunday, SPD makes an observation, and there's still foam and all that coming from this drainage Uncle Joe. I really would like for us to look into the status of what's going on as far as zoning and maybe we need to look at rezoning, I don't know Uncle Joe, but this is terrible, and again, I wouldn't want to live there and I really empathize with the people that are living there, and their feeling within the last four months that nobody cares. What if it's a zoning issue, but it's still a health issue. So I think we really need to consider the health and welfare of the residents that are actually experiencing this, regardless of whatever the zoning is. We need to take a closer look at it and we really need to call EPA to the table. So as Property Standards, somebody said well could we get Property Standards out here? I said well no, this is not a property standards issue, but I wanted to make you aware of it. But I just wanted to bring that before the Council, because I share that with you and its an ongoing issue that really needs to be addressed based on the health and welfare of the citizens that are actually living and residing on Maple Street.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think Mr. Sibley had something he wanted to add
Councilwoman.

Mr. Sibley: Thank you Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to just clarify and add some additional comments to what Ms. McCulloch said, because she did raise that issue and we have SPD has gone out and determined that its really not a police issue, we've asked our environmental quality folks to go out. West Wyche who is our Environmental officer is out this week. While in response to Ms. McCulloch's request, we've asked him to be prepared to come before Council at the next Council meeting and basically update everyone on this situation. As Ms. McCulloch indicated one of the things that we take, whether or not they're permitted for that particular use, there are some issues with DEQ that we're following up on, but there are also some local issues that we're following up on. And just one thing that we just want to enter into the record and that is the preliminary testing that's been done and resulted of the complaint has not revealed anything that's illegal or toxic. Although it looks like soap, or smells bad, you know the issue is whether or not it violates the permits that they are entitled. If a lot of the

community would recall, the LA Protein situation is one that smelt bad, noxious, stunk all over the place, but we were very limited in what we could do by state law in terms of trying to regulate that industry and they are still in operation so we may in fact, that's what we shared with the Council Lady, we may be looking at a similar situation. But Mr. Wyche will be at the next Council meeting, he'll be able to update on what we have learned from DEQ and what we have learned from our own testing and will continue to monitor it (inaudible) pursue it, but as you know it's not really a Property Standards issue at this point, its rally an environmental issue, and we're approaching it that way because of the health and safety concerns with it.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thank you so much.

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No.114 of 2009*)

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

EMS Transports Report (*Res 239 of 2010*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: I believe most of our other reports, our Revenue Collection and Surety Bond Forfeitures as well as our EMS Transports are being furnished to the members electronically. So if anyone has any questions or concerns, they will raise them with the persons who are sending those reports. We have several public hearings today, Mr. Thompson, please proceed.

Public Hearings:

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 60 of 2012: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 12-03:** An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 51.086 tract of land, located north of Southern Loop in the Provenance Development located in Sections 19 and 20 (T16-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Public Hearing to be held July 10, 2012*) (*Postponed June 26, 2012 until July 10, 2012*) (D/Corbin)

Mr. Thompson: Does the administration have a presentation?

Mr. Sibley: Yes we do, and Malcolm Stadlander of Property Management.

Mr. Stadlander: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, this annexation is referred to as TAG NO. 12-03, and it concerns a 51.086 acre tract that is contiguous to the city

limits of Shreveport and it lies north of Southern Loop Road and east of Norris Ferry Road and adjacent to the existing Provenance Place Subdivision. The developer plans to expand the existing subdivision by constructing approximately 58 houses with an estimated value of \$315,000 per unit. Installation of all water lines, sewer lines, storm drains and streets will be the responsibility of the developer. A public notice ran on April 26, 2012, and there were no objections formally filed in association with this annexation. The east and south sides of the proposed annexation are contiguous to the existing city limits.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, do we have anyone here to speak in favor of the annexation ordinance? Do we have anyone here to speak in opposition to the annexation ordinance? If not then this public hearing is closed. Mr. Clerk?

The Clerk read the following:

2. Ordinance No. 61 of 2012: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 12-05: An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 13.417 acre tract of land, located south of Overton Brooks Road and east of Norris Ferry Road, located in Section 21 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Public Hearing to be held July 10, 2012*)(*Postponed June 26, 2012 until July 10, 2012*) (D/Corbin)

Mr. Stadlander: This proposed annexation is TAG NO. 12-05, and it concerns a 13.417 acre that is contiguous to the city limits of the Shreveport, and it lies south of Overton Brooks Road and east of Norris Ferry Road and adjacent to the existing Long Lake Estates Subdivision. The developer plans to expand the subdivision by constructing approximately 22 houses with an estimated value of \$300,000 per unit. Installation of all water and sewer lines, storm drains and streets will also be the responsibility of this developer. A public notice ran on May 11, 2012 and there were no objections formally filed by the public concerning this annexation. Half of the south side of the proposed annexation is contiguous to the city limits.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, do we have anyone here to speak in favor of the annexation ordinance? Do we have anyone here to speak in opposition to the annexation ordinance? If not then this public hearing is closed. Mr. Clerk?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chair, you have another public hearing for Ordinance No. 68, TAG NO. 12-06. It's on the agenda, however as discussed yesterday that ordinance needs to be postponed because there was a mistake in the title as advertised of the ordinance, however you may want to inquire as to whether or not there is anybody here who came to speak in favor of or against this ordinance. You may want to ask them to make their

comments, but there will be another public hearing at the next Council meeting on this particular matter.

Councilman Shyne: So moved to postpone Mr. Chairman.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Second.

Ms. Glass: You just need to postpone the public hearing, not the ordinance?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah.

Ms. Glass: Because we need to do an amendment on the ordinance.

Mr. Thompson: We will call a new public hearing, so there is no need to postpone.

Councilman S. Jenkins: If you came down to speak on Ordinance No. 68, which is an annexation ordinance, if you're here today and you wish to speak in favor of or in opposition, if you came down for that purpose, if you're here, I'd like to know if you're here. And it will be another public hearing when this comes back on the agenda. So do we have anybody who is here on No. 68? Okay. So now we have a motion to postpone the public hearing?

3. Ordinance No. 68 of 2012: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 12-06: An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – a 35.89 acre tract of land, located east of Buncombe Road and west of LA Hwy 3132 located in Section 30 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Public Hearing to be held July 10, 2012*) (E/Webb

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to postpone the Public Hearing on Ordinance No. 68 of 2012, Annexation Tag No. 12-06 until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, at the last meeting, the council adopted a resolution to call a public hearing to receive comments on the expenditure of 1,424,000 previously budgeted for the Ledbetter Heights Community Building Project. And to specifically comment of the following proposed uses of those funds: 1,000,000 for a park project or projects in the "Choice Neighborhoods" planning area; \$165,000 for the proposed Common Park Project" in the Shreveport Commons Cultural District; \$129,000 of non-bond funds for the Oakland Cemetery Improvements Capital Project; and \$129,000 for

the “C. C. Antoine Park Renovations Capital Project. There was an advertisement of this public hearing that was in the official journal on July 6th and again on July 9th. But this matter was inadvertently left off the agenda. We would ask that you would suspend the rules, add it to the agenda and then open the public hearing.

Councilwoman McCulloch: What about the \$129(thousand) for C. C. Antoine? You didn’t read that, so its still included right? I didn’t hear you read the \$129,000 for the C. C. Antoine Park.

Mr. Sibley: It’s in there.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So it will be included?

Mr. Thompson: Yes.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thank you sir.

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to suspend the rules. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to add the Public Hearing to receive comments on the expenditure of some \$1,424,000 previously budgeted for Ledbetter Heights Community Building Project. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

1. **Public Hearing**: To receive comments on the expenditure of some \$1,424,000 previously budgeted for the Ledbetter Heights Community Building Project, and to specifically comment on the following proposed uses: \$1,000,000 for a park project or projects in the “Choice Neighborhoods” planning area; \$165,000 for the proposed Common Park Project” in the Shreveport Commons Cultural District; \$129,000 of non-bond funds for the Oakland Cemetery Improvements Capital Project; and \$129,000 for the “C. C. Antoine Park Renovations Capital Project (*Public Notice advertised in the Official Journal July, 6 and 9, 2012, but inadvertently left off the agenda*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Does the Administration have any kind of presentation?

Mr. Sibley: Yes we do Mr. Chairman. In response to a request by the Council, we have Bonnie Moore, Shelly Ragle, and I believe Kent Rogers with a map prepared to present

basic information on the proposed projects. I might note pending any comments from the Mayor, the administration is not taking any particular position. Simply providing the information in terms of the scope and cost of the projects. We were asked to provide a map that outlines the Choice Neighborhoods area, so at this time, we'll turn it over to Ms. Moore, Ms. Ragle and Mr. Rogers.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Now let me just preface this by letting everyone know we're not actually voting on any of this today. It's a public hearing and it's a matter of discussion. I just want you to know it's not something that's going to be voted on.

Ms. Ragle: In conversations with Councilman Everson and Councilwoman McCulloch, we talked about the project that you all several, maybe a month ago determined was not feasible any longer, which was entitled the Ledbetter Heights Community Project. At the request of the Councilmen, we looked at several items where this money could be spent. And we're going to tell you today about the Choice Neighborhoods, give you some idea about where that's located and then what those dollars will do in the other areas that Mr. Thompson mentioned. These projects will not only help us see some projects to fruition, but they will also help us leverage some dollars for other projects. Shreveport Commons, what we talked about there is land acquisition, that \$165 (thousand) would give us the ability to buy the land that we need to do several projects in Shreveport Commons. It would also give us the ability to leverage some funding opportunities for that park. Oakland cemetery, that is a project that was part of the bond issue. Once we got into designing, we realized that if we had a little bit more money, that we could do some of the projects at some of the scope of work in that project that we didn't have funding. For that \$129 (thousand) would add additional money to let us complete the walkways that would let us add electrical and lighting which is something that was brought to our attention by the police department several years ago, that if we had better lighting, they could do better patrols in that area. It is our oldest cemetery. It is, if you will, the home to the resting place of many of our forefathers, and it would also give us the opportunity to finish some of that project that we weren't going to be able to finish with the bond issue. C. C. Antoine Park is a park that we're really proud of. Has seen a lot of activity over the last few years. With that additional \$129,000, we will be able to extend the walkways which would actually give us a walking path in that park. It would help us to renovate the existing restrooms that are there. It would make ball field improvements, and many of you may or may not know, on Sunday afternoon, every week during the summer there is a group who gathers there just to use the ball field. So it would give us the opportunity to do some upgrades there. And it will also let us make some parking lot improvements. I think all of this additional money will make a really big impact. Sounds like a small amount of money, but all three of these projects you'll see a significant impact because of these dollars. One of the things that we talked about was preserving a million dollars for possible recreational project that may come

through the planning process of the Choice Neighborhood. And I'm going to let Bonnie come up here and talk about Choice Neighborhood and the importance of preserving some of that money in case there's a project. It could be done that would enhance that development.

Councilman Everson: I just wanted to note and thank Shelly for her presentation, but I just wanted to note that I think one of the things that was appealing about some of these projects to Councilwoman McCulloch and I is that they're ongoing projects. These are projects that were already doing, but this would help us to complete them. So this would allow the neighborhood to feel the affects of this funding quicker, instead of having to establish design, wait and take a really long time to get something going. These are some projects that are ongoing, that we think would enhance with the money and then leave the other million aside for once this planning effort that's going on has identified what projects are necessary, move that effort forward, we would have that funding in place to begin that process. So, sort of the thinking there, and also I think it would improve the safety greatly in the surrounding area by adding some lighting and some of that to an open cemetery. Sometimes there's a good bit of crime that happens in that area, and it will have an additional affect of making it a safer area.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And also I need to clarify something. I received a text this morning regarding an amendment to the million dollars for Choice Neighborhoods to go towards Shreveport Commons. As far as I know, administration nor this council has knowledge of any amendments that's being pursued as far as Shreveport Commons goes with the \$1,000,000. It was decided by myself as well as Councilman Everson that \$1,000,000 would be set aside in order for the Choice Neighborhood communities, Lakeside, Allendale, and Ledbetter to maybe come together as a community and make some decisions. And along with making decisions, be in pursuit of other grants and other money that might be available to maybe do some future projects. So, no there's not an amendment that we're knowledgeable of. Thank you.

Ms. Bonnie Moore: Good evening. As you're aware the City of Shreveport along with NLCOG received a Choice Neighborhood Grant in the amount of \$250,000 to do a transformation plan for the Ledbetter and Allendale neighborhoods. I was asked to talk about the boundaries of that grant, but before for the purpose of the public, I'd like to share a little bit about Choice Neighborhoods with you. The overall arch and goal of Choice Neighborhood is to create a neighborhood of choice for both Ledbetter and Allendale. The primary goals with that is housing people and neighborhoods. With housing, they're looking for us to create mixed income, (inaudible) approach multifamily and home ownership housing within those houses that are truly disinvested in. With people they're expecting some positive outcomes in the area of health, safety, employment, mobility, and education. For neighborhoods, it is our goal to create a

neighborhood of choice, where you have amenities, well functioning services, good quality schools, also good access to employment. The boundaries for this encompasses a large area. As you can see by the map, it is bordered by N. Hearne, the railroad, then I-20 up to McNeil Street, then back down Caddo, then goes up North Common and onto Cross Bayou. It encompasses a small part of Cross Bayou, but not a large component of the Cross Bayou area. We've noted for you on this map Allendale (inaudible) exemplified by the blue and there's Ledbetter Heights by the mauve. The West Edge is the yellow and then we've included Shreveport Commons, which is a Choice Neighborhood area, and it is depicted by the purple. The green is Millennium which is a huge project for us in the Choice Neighborhoods area, so we wanted to share that with you. We've also highlighted the C. C. Antoine Park, and some other important areas. It is our goal to take the funds that we have to leverage with future opportunities for implementation for the Choice Neighborhoods Grant. We've provided some demographics for you. I think it's important to note that this area encompasses a population of about 6,000 people which is large enough to have good services in the community. It's also important to note that Ledbetter has a population of only 660 people with only 267 of them occupying housing. And we believe that a large majority of those occupants are at the Providence House and the McAdoo Hotel. So there's a tremendous opportunity for redevelopment and reinvestment in those areas with our (inaudible) and some other issues that area associated with redevelopment of other areas as well. We believe that this is a tremendous opportunity for this community. We certainly appreciate your support. We're working in (inaudible) with Shreveport Commons and others on this initiative, and we will give you updates as we move forward.

Councilman S. Jenkins: When we're speaking in terms of Choice Neighborhoods now, are we talking just Allendale and Ledbetter Heights or are we also adding in there West Edge, Shreveport Commons and Millennium Studios?

Ms. Moore: Millennium Studios is a part of Ledbetter. But we are adding them - - - the actual grant application that was submitted included the West Edge.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, because my concern here is that the way this reads it says \$1,000,000 for a park project or projects in the Choice Neighborhoods planning area.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And that was Ledbetter, Allendale and Lakeside. It was only three mentioned.

Ms. Moore: The majority of the Choice Neighborhoods boundaries include Ledbetter and Allendale. The grant specific says Ledbetter and Allendale, but the Choice

Neighborhoods concept is based on creating opportunities that are aligned with neighborhoods that are progressing. So, when we submitted the application, we submitted the application with the intent of working with downtown, the West Edge and others as well, but the emphasis of the grant is on Ledbetter and Allendale.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well I'm just saying, it's not my legislation, I'm just looking at the language, and I thought there was some intent to make sure this \$1,000,000 remained somewhat in the Allendale area. But that could be a discussion for another day. Choice Neighborhoods seem to encompass more than Allendale areas is what I'm picking up from this map.

Ms. Moore: It's a small portion in some other areas.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Councilman Jenkins, it was explained to me. I actually made an inquiry. I called downtown here at the Government Plaza and I actually asked that the Choice Neighborhood areas be identified. And what was explained to me that it was Allendale, Lakeside, and Ledbetter. So, maybe I mean these other two encompass - - I don't know, but I just know that's what was explained to me, and of course by you Ms. Moore. I was given Ledbetter, Allendale and Lakeside.

Councilman Everson: Well Ledbetter is I mean I think we may need to look at the wording, but Ledbetter does include - - I mean most of Shreveport Commons is in Ledbetter. I mean and the same thing with Millennium, most of that, I mean all of that is within Ledbetter. So I think the only part in question here is that West Edge area. But those neighborhoods, Ledbetter is.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So Lakeside is not included? I mean is Lakeside not inclusive?

Councilman Everson: I mean it looks like it stops at - - I mean it depends on how you define Lakeside, but - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: And those were the three areas that were given me. So I mean it could be different now, but it was Lakeside, Ledbetter and Allendale.

Councilman Everson: This is - -

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I think Councilwoman and Council for clarity sakes, rather than just referring to Lakeside, Allendale, Ledbetter, West Edge what have you, I

think this map gives us very specifically and definitively according to what was in the grant document what the actual boundaries are. So, I think going forward we want to know exactly what the parameters are this would be the source to look to in the reference in that regard.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I understand that Mr. Mayor, my concern is when we start talking about the feasibility of a project, whether that feasibility will still stand. Cause we were talking about getting a project done hopefully in the Allendale area with part of this money. I'm seeing a term being used now that goes beyond the Allendale area. Like I said, that's not my legislation, I'm just saying that I am going to have to vote on it sooner or later, and I want to be clear when it comes around to me what I'm voting on. When we came out of the committee meeting, I left there with an impression that they're trying to do something in Allendale.

Councilman Everson: I think the term we used was Choice Neighborhoods.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Planning areas.

Councilman Everson: Planning areas, yeah, which was this map. So - - -

Mr. Sibley: I may note that that concludes the information provided by the Administration.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, question before Ms. Moore goes to sit down. I've been told and of course I know probably, Chief Administrative Officer Dale Sibley probably has more information on this than I do, that 49 is coming through some of that area. Have you all factored that in?

Mr. Sibley: That's part of the study corridor and Kent is here and can speak more directly to it.

Councilman Shyne: And I'm told that they moving pretty fast. I don't know whether that's because the Mayor is behind pushing it. He used to be a State Legislator, and of course, he has his good friend now long time Greg Tarver who is also I'm told is pushing it. So I mean are you all taking this into consideration. Oh I forgot about - - - Mr. Mayor, I forgot too your good friend Roy Burrell, I wouldn't want to leave him out, cause if I did, he might be sitting out there waiting on you and I at the end of the Council meeting. But are you all taking that into consideration too?

Mr. Kent Rogers: The 49 corridor does pass through there, correct. Yes that was (inaudible) the two are being looked at together. Part of one of 'em (inaudible) that

coordination between the two projects. The main thing to remember with 49 is there is no yes or no answer to - - - is it going to be built yet or not. That's the process that we're going through. The environmental work that we're doing will give us at the end of the day, it'll say yes or no, and if yes this is how you have to do it. The interesting thing is roughly the same time frame that we applied for the Choice Neighborhood grant, HUD (inaudible) and USEPA all signed an agreement basically that all projects within an area, that if there's a HUD project within an area, that there's also a DOTD project, but either one of those, any one of those three agencies could stop one of those projects or the other way around. Their idea was to do more consolidated and coordinated planning. Which is exactly what we're trying to do in this instance. Look at this neighborhood as a whole, the whole area as a whole and what's best for the area and best for growth for development in that area. If that includes 49, great. If it doesn't include 49 great. Our role with 49 is just to put that question to bed once and for all.

Councilman Shyne: The reason why I'm saying that Mr. Mayor is because now I don't know whether I ought to be saying this, but I've been told by some of the preachers and you know usually when you talk behind a preacher, you telling the gospel. So that 49 has brought out some churches, and I know Dale probably know more about that cause - - - and I don't know whether they've gone to the bank yet Mr. Mayor with the money or not, but I'm told that some churches have been bought out.

Mr. Rogers: Not within this corridor. If they have, I need to know right away.

Councilman Shyne: Well - - -

Mr. Rogers: Cause if we can't, part of the process the EPA, the federal process is you can't buy that right of way before that environmental is done. If you buy that right of way before the environmental study, you've just negated the whole process.

Councilman Shyne: Well that's what I'm saying, that's why if you remember I made the statement, that I didn't know whether they'd gone to the bank with the money or not, but I - - - and I don't want to say it Shelly on TV, you know how it is, people will get it and run with it. But I do know of two maybe three churches that's within this. I've heard, I don't want to say from the horse's mouth, cause you know you have to be careful when you talking about God's folks, but I have heard that there are some churches Ms. Moore in that area that I-49 has - - - like I say now Dale, you might be able to find out whether they've gone to the bank or not with the money, cause you know a lot of church folks.

Mr. Sibley: I think it would have to be the state that would buy it.

Mr. Rogers: If they don't have the money for that yet - - -.

Councilman Everson: I have heard the same rumors though.

Councilman Shyne: Right, you know. As a matter of fact Mr. Mayor, I've had three Deacons to slap me on the back saying well you know we're looking for a new church site, that's right in this and kinda give you a clue and maybe nobody else will touch it. I think I talked to you and Dale about this one particular church because they were wanting to get some adjudicated property, because they were talking about building some elderly homes. Now that's one that I'm almost for sure. If they have not gone to the bank with the money, they're on the way. And it's a couple of other churches. So I just think that's something we need to keep in mind in doing what we're doing. Cause you know money talks. Money talks, so you might - - - Dale, you might need to check in on that. Now don't tell 'em that I told you.

Mr. Sibley: I think that's in the purview of NLCOG Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor - - -

Mr. Rogers: I'll check into it, but I don't believe any DOTD or federal money has been spent to purchase any property in that area. If it has we need to know.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Shyne, and members of the Council. Kent, we don't believe in dealing from underneath the table, aside of the table. We're going to deal from strictly on top of the table. Bottom line of it is, there's not yet even a decision made as to whether or not the inner city portion of I-49 is going to be constructed. There's not as Kent just related, not yet a final environmental report that leads to the next steps that identifies the corridor, the (inaudible) results in the process that the state goes through to begin the actual effort to acquire the land that's necessary to build a highway. And so for anybody who is out there right now who may be buying property, they are doing so based on their own individual inclination to do so or to not do so. There has not been any allocation of funding that would in anyway at this point go towards acquiring anybody's property from an official governmental standpoint. Now may there be some folks out there who believe they want to get 2 or 3 steps down ahead of everybody in trying to project where they think something is going to go and go out and convince whether it's a church or private homeowner or whomever to sell them their property, that could in fact be happening. Don't know that. But now whether or not that's anything that's being facilitated by the state of Louisiana or the U.S. Government or the City of Shreveport or the Parish of Caddo, that's not happening right now. Because this process is not anywhere near that particular point. Now what you have had is the folks at the old Avenue Baptist Church that was right there at Pete

Harris and Peabody, they in fact purchased a new sanctuary out on W. 70th Street and have moved. As I understand it there are various other congregations that - - -

Councilman Shyne: This is not about them. They have been moved and I don't want to disagree with you, now I'll disagree with Sam, but I'm not going to disagree

Mayor Glover: I'm just saying anybody's whose in the process right now of having any property acquired from them, is doing so as a private non-government transaction.

Councilman Shyne: And I don't want to disagree with no preachers either.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Hold it, hold it one second. Please Mr. Mayor

Mayor Glover: But I just want to, if you give me - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Now let me clarify this. I'm going to give you the last word on this subject. Then we're going to move onto the public whose come down here to speak on it, because I think we're getting a little far away from what we're really here to discuss today. No disrespect, I'm just saying.

Mayor Glover: I appreciate that Mr. Chairman, except its very important that we deal in good accurate correct information.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I agree.

Mayor Glover: Because if its so difficult for folks to get focused on what actually is going on because of the things going on out there that can distract them from what actually is happening. So we want to be clear that as Kent can detail to you in terms of how the process works, we're not anywhere near any decision for the road itself to be built. And if we get to the decision for the road to be built where the actual corridor will go, that corridor goes from being, correct me if I'm wrong Kent, 1,000 feet?

Mr. Rogers: About 1,000 foot right now. The maximum we would need would be somewhere between 250-300 feet.

Mayor Glover: Right about 100 yards. So you go from 1,000 foot wide proposed area to an actual corridor, that's 250, a football field wide or so, that actually becomes the path, that if the road would be built, that the government would move forward with acquiring those properties along that path. At this point and time, if anybody who is wondering about the possibility of 49 going through Allendale, look at Pete Harris Drive, the old railroad track that use to go through that area, you would end up seeing interstate

potentially just continue over Murphy, follow essentially that path of the railroad track, paralleling Pete Harris Drive. The first of any possible interchange would be right there at Caddo and Ford. The only other interchange that you would have within that area would be back on N. Hearne. And from there it goes across the wetlands and connects back into I-220. And so again all of those are decisions that are still in the process of deliberated and studied. No actual decision has been made, and so there is no governmental action in terms of anybody buying land. Could there be people speculating? No doubt, that's what entrepreneurs do. But nobody out there should be making decisions about their property based upon the expectation that this is an impending decision.

Councilman Shyne: Now, I was like you. I agreed with you, but again, and I think my fellow Councilman here has heard the same thing. So

Councilman Everson: But I think what we could be hearing is similar to what the Mayor is saying, is that (inaudible) that are happening - - -

Councilman Shyne: Private speculating?

Councilman Everson: That people might be speculating, yeah that could be the case.

Councilman Shyne: Well I hope you all are right, but the words that I got Mr. Mayor were from people that I look in their mouth, I trust. So you might want to check in on that to see if its - - - because I've been told one church has been bought out already.

Mr. Rogers: I don't know what source of money they're using because it's not state, not federal, not any of our urban area money, not city, not parish.

Councilman Shyne: And I'm saying this to Bonnie, so we could make sure that you know sometimes rumors are nothing but rumors, and then sometimes rumors can be true. You know? But I would just before we go and spend any money, you know before we would go and spend any city money, I would hope that we would make sure that we're on a rock, we're on the truth. So I was just throwing that out there.

Mr. Rogers: (inaudible) process, we're making sure that those two processes work together, and that the two teams that are involved with each other are making sure that one doesn't contradict the other.

Councilman S. Jenkins: As I said, I give Mr. Shyne the last word on that.

Councilman Shyne: I'm through, I'm through.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We had several people signed up to speak on this subject.

Mr. Leon Dayres, Jr.: (710 Crockett) I'm here to speak on behalf of the Shreveport Commons. Ms. Pam Atchison is obviously a great influence on a lot of things happening in that area, she had asked me to show up and give a few comments on what I think. I'm certainly appreciative of everything that the Mayor and the City Council are doing on what was the old fire station. I think that's going to be a wonderful addition and a great jump start to that area for those of you that don't know, I own a building that sits just west of the Strand Theatre, it's the old Salvation Army Building. I had purchased it in 1996, renovated it into 7 studio loft apartments. I live in that particular space myself. So I basically sit between the old Fire Station and the Strand Theatre. It has been a lot of activity and a lot of discussion about what's taking place in the Shreveport Commons, the West End, (inaudible). I think that your efforts to fund and offer some (inaudible) for what will happen in that Shreveport Commons will further the cause of what takes place and expedite by adding common space and lighting and things that people want. I think one thing to be considered is a lot of people don't recognize there are residents downtown that for the most part people know nothing about. I have a friend that I've known for 30 something years. We attended church a few weeks ago, afterwards she comes around and indicates that she'd like to live downtown, has no idea that I actually live down there and have for a long time, and as it turns out, we walked through the space. Never did she have any idea that housing or apartments or studios are actually available. I think it is kind of a low key element, but I know for example directly behind me an attorney and his wife have purchased a building and renovated it. So there are residences downtown. There is a neighborhood. There is a community, and I think a lot of what is being discussed amongst proposals will further that cause. And I just appreciate your efforts and thank you for your time.

Ms. Liz Swaine: (401 Edwards St, Suite 205) It's great to be here today, thank you so much for the opportunity and the time. I'm here today as a strong supporter of the Shreveport Common plan which is going to revitalize a portion of the West Edge of downtown Shreveport. There is a great saying and I use it all the time, and that is 'Time kills all deals'. And I have found this to be true, I think it's a phrase that the Mayor has used, I know it's a phrase that Jeff Everson has used and I've seen it time and time again. You know we have opportunities that present themselves, and if we don't grab at those opportunities, if we don't make those opportunities happen for us, sometimes those opportunities are gone, never to be seen again. This is an opportunity. Too much time, effort, energy, blood, sweat, tears has been put into this whole Shreveport Common Plan, by a number of people, both in this room and outside of it for us to allow this plan to languish. So these projects are an important part of making historic downtown what we want it to be. Making it so that live, work and play is not just a slogan, its something that we do in downtown. So the Downtown Development

Authority and our sister organization, the Downtown Shreveport Development Corporation strongly support this reallocation of funds, and our board members and downtown partners as well, and we would appreciate your support at the point when this comes to a vote. So thank you very much.

Ms. Debra Seamster: (1417 Madison) I'm a resident and business owner in the Allendale area, I'm also the newly elected President of the Allendale/Lakeside/Ledbetter Heights Partnership and I am here because I am in support of your proposed allocation of the funding of the \$1.4 (million) that was previously slated for the Ledbetter Heights Community Center, and I hope that the \$1,000,000 that is going to be allocated for Choice Neighborhoods will be used in the core of Allendale. I'm also with the Choice Neighborhood Committee and work with the Shreveport Common Committee. And I am just in awe of what both of those projects are going to do for our city and for our neighborhoods. And so I'm just here to say we appreciate what have done, what you're trying to do, and what we will do in the future, and that I hope that as stated that the \$1,000,000 for a park in Allendale is what we need. I think it was a few weeks ago, there was an article in the paper that outlined all of the projects that have taken place or will take place with other SPAR areas all over the city. Three, four hundred dollars here, all of this and that there, and the Lakeside and the other SPAR centers in the Allendale/Lakeside, you know it's been a while since they have been renovated or rebuilt or anything of that nature, and we indeed need that money in Allendale. I appreciate you consideration, your vote on this matter and look forward to continue working in the community and making this community and city better. Thank you. Excuse me Mr. Chairman, The Allendale/Lakeside community, I just wanted them to stand. I'm sorry. Everyone here in support of the Allendale/Lakeside partnership in support of all the efforts that are going on in Allendale/Ledbetter/Lakeside area.

Councilman Everson: And by the way, I just congratulate all of you for restarting the organization. I had the privilege of being at the first meeting, when they started back up. Commissioner Williams was there and had a long history with the residents in that area, and I just want to thank Njeri and Deborah and the other members who brought that group together, and have stood it up to be a productive organization already in such a time period. So good job y'all.

Councilman Shyne: And Commissioner Williams went to Booker T. That's a Booker T Boy.

Councilwoman McCulloch: A Lion.

Ms. Pam Atchison: (400 Clyde Fant) One week ago at the 4th of July we were all singing God Bless America from the prairies to the mountains, to the oceans white with foam, and I'm saying today and God bless our new neighborhood for downtown. Ledbetter Heights, Allendale and Shreveport Commons. This re-appropriation or reallocation has a chance to really provide validity to the fact that we are all one group. That we have been working together for two years, not just because of the HUD grant that has provided the Choice opportunity, but also because of the National Endowment for the Arts grant that said, lets get out there and see how we can all work together and expand this great community, take some area that is too little going on for too long and turn it into a vibrant lively community. So when I talk about re-vibrancy and livelihood, to start with Oakland Cemetery and to think about the fact that this funding might actually bring new life to our oldest cemetery and to one of the greatest green spaces in the South. To see it help the C. C. Antoine Park, to see it help some land acquisition for the Shreveport Common Park and to see it help Allendale and Ledbetter Heights have new growth with this tremendous vision that both groups have been working on is finally completed as one vision and one family is an exciting opportunity for our community. I thank you very much for your leadership in seeing this opportunity and bringing all of us together. And I hope that we can save time by getting things moving quickly. There's been a great deal of planning on both ends and again, to bring both ends together as one. And to see it now come to fruition would be great. There are 36 projects and 30 strong organizational groups that already make up the Shreveport Common initiative leading as a niche part. And I appreciate Bonnie showing us all on the map, but a niche of the greater choice Ledbetter Heights and Allendale initiative. When I think about the groups, I just want to say that I have so much appreciated seeing the Allendale/Ledbetter Heights Group. I wish we would all stand, but just a moment, there are many people here also from Shreveport Common to say hello to you and to say thank you for your leadership today. Could we ask them to stand, and then we'll have the whole room to stand as a family, if you all wouldn't mind. So how about the Shreveport Commons group, if you all would stand up for just a moment, artists and artist organization. I know many will want to speak to you. I just want to end up by saying what is exciting to us, and really the only difference in saying the term Shreveport Common versus saying the term Allendale/Ledbetter Heights is this is an opportunity for artist to help have a lead in the vision of our community. So thank you very much for an arts driven opportunity.

Dr. Gary Joiner: (1039 Blanchard) I am very much in favor of this reallocation. I think its vital to not only the center part of the city, the core of the city, the oldest part of the city, but its important to our history, its important to us. Its going to let us achieve things we have never been able to do. It's important for the Commons, its absolutely vital for Allendale. And in spite of the fact that Oakland has no voters, as far as we

know, it has about 4500 residents, including 16 of your predecessors. And it has about a quarter of the city as it has existed since 1873 in a common grave. And the Oakland portion of this funding is going to let us stabilize walls, take care of things we have to do, work on streets, make it a place where people come. I'm out there all the time with my students. We're mapping it, we do all kinds of history outside the box projects. I have not been out there once in the last two years when somebody hasn't driven up, looked around and go 'This is great'. I've had people who are just fascinated. And a lot of 'em are not from here, they've heard about it. Another thing is C. C. Antoine Park. I think that that is a great use of money, it needs to be done, its important to honor Caesar Carpentier Antoine. A tremendous man in our history, (inaudible) it's great. I've done history matters about him, I've done articles about him and he is a fascinating man. And the fact that we're honoring him in that park is no less important than anything else. So we appreciate you, we hope you follow through with it, and vote and thank you for this opportunity.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, I just want to let Dr. Joiner know that there a couple of Jones and Wooley voters that came from Oakland.

Mr. Joiner: Oh really?

Mr. Jack Allen: (802 Louisiana) Good afternoon, I am actually representing my family and the business owners at 802, 804, 806 and 808 Louisiana Avenue and Shreveport Common. We are working in the Shreveport Common and we are actually proposing this revitalization of this part of downtown that we think is going to be really great. Now we've been working on this project since 1993. My father who originally was born in Mansfield grew up in Shreveport, joined the military and found himself in California. After having three boys, he decided that he needed to move his family to a place where he could get some good hunting, fishing and raise a family and a place for opportunity. So he moved back to Shreveport, bought these properties and in May of 1996, he passed away. I was about 10 years old at the time, but 10 years later after I graduating from LA Tech University, instead of after graduating, moving on to a different state like many of our other graduates, we decided to stay here in Shreveport and really take advantage of the opportunity that we have. Now we've been working with the city, meeting with experts and members of the planning committee regularly for about seven years and we have a lot of planning involved in this process. And our economy is strong, a lot stronger than a lot of other cities as I'm sure all of you know. But we still need jobs, the city still needs revenue, and artists still need opportunity. So, what we're proposing is a cultural entertainment hub that's going to have new restaurants and entertainment venues along with performance and retail areas for local artists. We really want to make sure that Louisiana's other side is well represented, and thanks to the DDA, Liz Swaine, Pam Atchison and the amazing support that we've had

from Downtown Shreveport Development Corporation and our community, we really think that this is very possible and reallocating funds is a vital piece to making that happen. We've invested over \$100,000 so far and to make this happen, we do need a little bit of funds for basic infrastructure and security for our area to show people what Shreveport can be really about. Thank you everyone for your time and giving me the opportunity to speak.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Chairperson Jenkins, for those who don't know where the Oakland Cemetery is, its right across from the Municipal Auditorium, and C. C. Antoine is right across from C C's Restaurant on Milam Street for those of you who are not familiar with the location.

Mr. Craig B. Lee: (1035 Eustis) Good afternoon. Quick housekeeping for our presenters from New Orleans, I don't know if they know, but the first Bayou Classic was actually in Shreveport in 1973 before it migrated to New Orleans. So I definitely wanted to say that. Before I begin my presentation in favor of the reallocation of the bond money from the Ledbetter Heights Rec Center, I want to thank Mayor Glover and Shelly Ragle because when I spoke a few weeks ago, he immediately had her to get information specific to the breakdown of the bond. She did do that, and gave me the information as it relates to the breakdown of what you all were proposing. I want to ask my good friends from the Caddo Parish Commission John Escude, Matthew Lynn, Mike Williams, and also Stephanie Lynch to stand because the reason I'm going to have them stand, they have actually taken the leadership in supporting the first economic development project for the Choice Cities communities, vis-à-vis, my project the C. C. Antoine Black History Celebration and the 176 birthday celebration for C. C. Antoine which will be September 8th, so I wanted them to stand and be recognized because they actually helped allocate \$40,000 for the actual project. That was very important, because that creates the heartbeat for the Choice Cities project. One point of clarification Councilman Jenkins I wanted to make as it relates to 49 coming through the inner city with the Choice Cities, when you have HUD and DOT basically collaborating so to speak with projects it actually creates a mechanism to attract development. So this is a very critical piece. And Choice Cities becomes a prototype if you will for other communities in the area to also put together projects where they can attract public/private stuff down the road. It just so happens that the Allendale/Lakeside/Ledbetter Heights piece is the piece that the grant was actually written on. And so when we started to advocate for C. C. Antoine, collaborating with Gary Joiner and I also give credit to our now deceased Mike Brock, definitely want to give him Eric Brock, credit for being such a fabulous researcher. He died this past year, but both of them have volunteered their professional expertise and research talents to working with us to elevate the status of this great icon who is actually the architect of the City of Shreveport. A lot of people don't understand that Shreve's Town was

created by Henry Miller Shreve and Shreveport was incorporated by this icon and a Black man Caesar Carpentier Antoine. So as we move forward in terms of branding Shreveport and positioning ourselves as it relates to the cultural economy, I wanted to make sure that we are advocating specific to this reallocation for Oakland, for Shreveport Commons and C. C. Antoine Park, and we will be giving you guys promotional materials within the next two weeks as it relates to the 176 birthday celebration for C. C. Antoine. But as I said, this wouldn't have happened if it had not been for the Caddo Parish Commission leadership in terms of funding our project for our February project and our September 8th project. So I wanted to make sure that they were recognized and this in Mike Williams and your City Council District. So this is now becoming the actual heartbeat for not only Choice Cities, but more specifically, what Bonnie Moore and her staff is entitling the Heritage Place project and we affectionately know this now as C. C. Antoine Square. Thank you.

Mr. Edgar Rogers: (927 Madison) Half century resident of Allendale, ancestry there for 100 years, 200 years ancestry in Caddo Parish. My main reason for coming here today is talk about the lack of funding for funding for businesses and residents in Allendale. And I guess I could fit that right into this project as well. We have enough parks, and we have enough feel good events. We need direct money to the residents and to the businesses in Allendale. Antoine Park is fine, but there are shotgun houses all around it. I hear everyone talk about how great this is and what not. But I'm accustomed to city and regional planning because I work with architects and am doing so right now. My business is one of the global businesses in the world and I'm in Allendale. So I'm not a mom and pop, although mom and pop is good, I'm not knocking anyone down. So I'm here to inquire as to what is the delivery system that's in place to get the money to Allendale. I hear all these projects and what not, but nothing ever changes. You know we have a nice park, we have a nice celebration, but if you can get the money to the businesses, then guess what? They expand that money and you can build any park you want to. So that's really my question to the council. What's the delivery system you're using because it's not working. I'm in business, it doesn't come to me. The residents don't get it out there. I see the post production facility you have in Ledbetter Heights called Millennium, I see a Chevron station opening on Portland and Lakeshore which obviously don't have any Lakeside/Allendale residents on it. So something is missing somewhere. So whatever projects you have like this project for instance, my suggestion to you is to direct the money to the businesses. Nothing is going to happen in Lakeside, not a park, not a celebration, only putting money in the hands of people like myself, I'm there by choice. Interesting word. Myself, I'm not there because I've been getting a straight amount of money to be there, (inaudible) motion picture film production by the way, because I've been given a straight amount of money to be there, I'm there because I want to be there. I don't even have to live there. But I'm there because I grew up in

this city, and when God blesses you with something, you take care of it, and you do something with it. So that's my direct commentary on this what you call reallocation. Take that money and give it to businesses that are already in Lakeside, who know the area, then they can expand out. Because no matter what you do out there, you can't have a new house without somebody having a job. Money in their hands, that's what's going to get the job done and then you can make all our - - - spend a little time in Plano, TX.

Councilman Everson: Just to clarify Mr. Rogers, this money can only be used for recreational purposes. So it has to go towards that. But you make a good point in that it is difficult to see a delivery system that helps to grow that area. And that's the point of the Choice Neighborhood planning process. Now it won't solve the problem over night, but what it will do is identify systems that are broken, identify ways that the city can work with other partners to you know start making that a more healthy area providing better quality housing, providing better amenities, and that type of thing. So it is part of what's going on right now. But this particular money that we are talking about today is only able to use directly (inaudible).

Mr. Rogers: Right and that's one of the points I was trying to make. Which is if the process is that the city is only seeking funds that can be used only for certain things, that preclude handing it to businesses, then that may be part of the problem. We already know what works. I spent a little time in Plano, TX. A very wealthy section. You know what works in Plano? J. C. Penney, Dr. Pepper, Frito-Lay. And they build a base around that. I've studied cities throughout the United States and the world. So, park systems and handing money out for little programs and by the way I'm not relating to the sections on the edge of downtown, I think that's what people think I'm talking about, I'm talking Lakeside/Allendale only. So for those people dealing with the grave yard, and the downtown area, I'm not responding to their section. I don't live there, so if they want the money for it, great, fantastic. But I'm saying we already know what works. And what works is that's why there is a Millennium post production facility in Ledbetter Heights. They didn't put a park there, they put a business there. So that's what's going to work. Choice programs and that sort of thing really burn up money and they don't do much good for anyone, certainly anyone in Lakeside/Allendale. They need direct money for businesses. So, I would say to the city, seek direct money. And contact businesses such ours, because these programs area being touted as great things happen. Nothing's happening in Lakeside/Allendale. And when this is done, the money is spent, it will still look the very same way it looks right now. So whatever system you're using it's not working. You need to go with a system that comes directly to the people. Which has never been done. I've been out there for half a century. No one has ever said anything to any of our residents as a resident there, or as a business there, saying we have this event here, we have funds and money for you. If you got that,

where is my check and I'll take it right now, but that doesn't happen. We have these feel good programs, but at the end of it, the businesses go elsewhere, the money gets spent on people not from that area and we develop places elsewhere. Businesses, businesses, businesses, that's what's going to work. Invest the money in the businesses in Lakeside/Allendale and in the people directly. Not with middleman.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, I'd also like to - - - I can appreciate your comments, but based on your expertise that you're sharing with us and my attendance of the Choice Neighborhoods meetings recently as a newly elected official representing District A, as a part of their program, businesses are inclusive along with families, schools, etc. So I would love to invite you to become a member of Choice Neighborhoods, so that you can give and share your expertise on possibly bringing businesses into the loop in the near future. I think you'd be great.

Mr. Rogers: Well, and I appreciate that, but the only group I'm interested in being a part of is the group that gets the check and start developing businesses in Lakeside/Allendale, when you do that. We've had enough commissions, just like Washington, D. C., they have 100 different ways to study something. They study everything and get nothing done. Look, I'm talking about what works. When the Louisiana Purchase was done, the President at that time did not say lets have a study, lets create a park, they gave those people direct funding and everything they needed to come here and develop this land. Black America is not different than any other section, and you don't tell Pierremont, you don't tell Spring Lake or Southern Trace, lets have a park, you don't have Southern Trace by parks, you have that because they got the funding to go directly to the people. The whole area out there around Youree Drive and Bert Kouns, that came from someone saying we've got to develop businesses out there, not a park. So I understand that, but parks don't work unless you've got an infrastructure. I know what I'm talking about.

Councilwoman McCulloch: (Inaudible) one and this needs to come from you to the Choice Neighborhoods Association.

Mr. Rogers: Well what needs to come from me is creating, which I have already created a business and (inaudible) hiring people, it needs to come from the city is money to me. That's going to work. Nothing else is going to work Because it works everywhere else that way. So why are we trying to do something a little different with Lakeside and Allendale than what's been done in New York and Chicago. I know what I'm talking about because I've studied those things.

Councilwoman McCulloch: But it's people like you who help make it happen.

Mr. Rogers: Well I'm already making differences right now, but people like me make it happen with money from the city. And we need to take our funds, not open a park that you have a good time with and walk away from. I understand what the gentleman said, which is that is what the grant is for. Excepted. But I'm saying we need to put our efforts into getting money into those businesses. And I don't relate to this 'Hollywood of the South'. This is Shreveport. We don't have to copy someone else's name to be of some significance. Shreveport. That's good enough. But again, let me make sure I'm clear. Nothing is going to work except direct money to the residents in Allendale, direct money to the businesses there. Put the money in their hands, and then they can expand as they need to do. That's what's going to work, that's what worked everywhere else. It works in other communities that I've been in, that's it. Direct money.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before Mr. Lester begins his comments, just for the benefit of the Administration and maybe helpful to the Council as well, would welcome the opportunity for Mr. Rogers to provide the actual names of those particular programs and cities that he mentioned where these efforts have worked before and have been done before.

Mr. Calvin Lester: (3907 Eddy Place) Thank you Mr. Chairman, members and Mr. Mayor. I rise in support of this reallocation. Very rarely in government do you have reasonable solutions that are crafted that can address a number of issues that worked collaboratively. This is one of those examples and I applaud the Council Members who have worked diligently to put this together because I do think it addresses both what's going on with Shreveport Commons and certainly what's going on in the Ledbetter and Allendale areas, so I applaud the council for making this initiative and would ask that you continue to stay on that path. I would simply say to Mr. Rogers point and others, he is correct. He is absolutely correct. What makes neighborhoods go is complete and holistic solutions. We have tried this before. We have put together I think, outstanding plans before. What we have not had and it's not any fault of any previous council or any previous administrations was the resources. Because it all comes down to the dollars and cents. What this Choice Neighborhood program allows if we are fortunate enough to be awarded this grant would be \$30-35,000,000 to deal with some serious systemic issues within this community, and the budget for our city does not allow for us to holistically deal with those. I do thank Ms. Seamster and that group for coming together, because it's going to take neighborhood citizens like hers to take an active interest in what's going on in their community to drive this process. And this process has been one that has been very collaborative and I think it's very positive. So I would just simply say to the Council please continue along this path. To the citizens of Allendale, I would say the arch of the universe is long, but it does bend towards justice, and if you continue to advocate for what you believe and what you want for your neighborhood, you will get it done. You have to be consistent, both in season and out of

season, but stay dedicated to that. And finally to the point that my Councilwoman, Ms. McCulloch was mentioning, that Maple Street scenario was a bad deal when it was originally done. Across the street from there is an autoclave that was previously in District B, I believe somewhere around the Fedex area that was moved to this particular location which used to be an auto crushing yard many, many years ago. That matter came before the Council as a zoning concern. The residents of that area, the folks on Maple and the People of Praise and a number of people in that area came together and asked the Council not to zone them. In its wisdom or lack thereof, the Council decided to grant that zoning. Some of the concerns that I expressed and those citizens expressed in terms of runoff, in terms of environmental issues many years ago, those chickens have come home to roost. So I would suggest to you to get with our crack staff, I'm sorry, force of habit. Please excuse me. Not our, your crack staff to research that, because it is, there is a tremendous file on that issue in terms of what's going on with that autoclave and there are some citizens that I believe raised some very legitimate and serious concerns that you might take a look at. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Mr. John Escude: (505 Travis) Let me tell you something. The work that SRAC has done with the concept for redevelopment of the downtown area and the Shreveport Common area is exactly what we all need to embrace and hang on to. The fire that was created at SRAC created the opportunity, lets not forget to go into the old fire station, and that could be the catalyst for the development. See this area is the heart of our city and our heart is sick, and it's not pumping right. You think that the first life that the heart would create, the first arteries and organisms around it would be the things closest to it. Lugs it along and then eventually down to the feet for example. But because of the urban sprawl we've had over the last few decades, all the blood runs to the farthest ends of it. Now the Parish appreciates it because we get development out there and new homes on the tax rolls, and then as we saw today, you come along and you annex it as soon as they apply. Which is fine, but it doesn't help repair the heart of this city, and the heart is downtown. And if we create opportunity and a vibrant Arts community, and get these properties back on the tax rolls, and have people living here, then the benefit of all those efforts are going to be the Allendale and Ledbetter Heights area. We can go and set up some businesses down there and give those people some money but there's nothing to sustain those businesses right now. Nothing for them to feed off of. So if we're going to pair up Art and get the blood flowing right, its going to go right from the central business district and right from the Shreveport Commons are, right into Allendale/Ledbetter Heights and on, and so on. We have to do it that way. And this is our opportunity was that fire. So lets keep our eye back on the ball. We've got several commissioners here. We've been intrigued with the work that Pam Atchison and her people have done. This thing from the fire, this concept has taken - - - it's been accelerated to light speed so to speak. They've done more work in a shorter period of

time, sat through presentations six or seven months ago when Senator Landrieu saw it, and she was amazed at what we had done so fast. The Parish has been talking to ‘em as you know is common knowledge about doing the park, the common area park and that’s a big investment. And I believe that that’s key. We believe that that is key to the success of the whole redevelopment of this area. Cause we need a place to gather. We need a place where something can happen every weekend. Where people can live, can work and it will feed on each other. Businesses will be viable, they will be back on the tax rolls. Somebody mentioned something that maybe (inaudible) so well and good. I went for the first time to the fireworks, and I was down there at the Riverfront and it didn’t matter, I didn’t ask. If you looked around, there were people from all over this city and all over this Parish, and I can’t tell you where they were from, where they live, who they were, doesn’t really matter. Everybody was there for one purpose. We’re all Shreveporters, citizens of Caddo Parish. We had a good time together. Okay? Heal the heart of this city, give them what they need, give them that opportunity. We’re committed to you, (inaudible) if you’ll focus your efforts on this, we’ll focus our efforts on it, we’ll partner up with you. We’ll make this thing happen. Because I truly believe it’s the best opportunity we’ve had in years, and the city is only going to continue to sprawl if we don’t heal it from within from this downtown area, and it’s only going to get worse and worse. If we’re successful, the people in Allendale and Ledbetter Heights and these areas surrounding, not only will see their property values go up and improve, they’ll actually have some net worth. And the city will benefit because we’ll have new jobs, reinvigorate, and have a vibrant downtown. You can call it Hollywood South, or whatever. Who cares what they call us, but they’re here. This is a true case of if you build it, they will come. If you invest in it, if we see the vision, see the dream, it’ll happen. So I’m here personally, but as a Commissioner saying I’m willing to work with you guys and do whatever it takes. And I think most of the people that I serve with feel the same way. Thank you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I believe we’ve heard from everyone who signed up. This public hearing is now closed.

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to go back into regular session. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Webb. 1.

Mayor Glover: I’m delighted that Commissioner Escude came down for the 4th of July celebration. I hope he did know there were three folks from Sugarland, TX there though.

Councilman O. Jenkins: They were thinking of moving though, they were checking it out.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, could I make a comment?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Please.

Mr. Thompson: This morning, Dr. Joiner had a program on Jim Leslie, and some of you are too young to remember him. But while we have these Arts people here, it would be great if we could do some sort of a one act play, Jim Leslie, D'Artois - - - it was really - - if you did not live through that time, you just cannot imagine what it was like in Shreveport, and it would really be a great thing so that everybody would not forget the lessons that we learned as a result of that incident. And the brave man that Jim Lesley was and the fact that he - - - you know he paid for this with his life, but it would really be great if once a year, we could have something to remember that public servants and citizens and everybody else would remember the lessons that were learned here.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, was that on MPR this morning?

Mr. Thompson: It was on Dr. Joiner's show.

Mayor Glover: Dr. Joiner, is that archived some place?

Dr. Joiner: Yes, probably you can go on www.redriverradio.org and pull it up (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: And Mr. Chairman, I don't want to belabor or extend any further than necessary, but I think there may be folks in the audience who do not have a clear understanding of exactly what it is that Mr. Thompson is referring to. Jim Leslie was a Shreveport native who was assassinated in 1977 if I'm not mistaken, 1976 at the Prince Murat Motel in Baton Rouge, LA. His assassination took place almost immediately after the legislature voted to authorize the right to work statue here in the State of Louisiana, and many folks thought that his death was somehow associated or connected with the fact that he had been the leading force in terms of the public campaign advocating for that. In actuality, it turned out that he had been assassinated at the direction of Shreveport's Commissioner of Public Safety, George D'Artois. And those facts ended up being revealed because of information Jim Leslie had shared prior to his death and also because of the willingness of 1) The Shreveport Times to act on that information, as well as the police work that was initiated by an individual who was brought in as an outsider of the Shreveport Police Department based on the fact that you had city leaders at that time thought that there needed to be some new leadership. You

elected the Commissioner of Public Safety, but you also had an appointed Chief of Police. That individual happened to be the father of our much beloved Chester Kelly, who used to operate Crescent Landing Restaurant, his father T. P. Kelly, former FBI man was the Chief of Police at that time and in conjunction as I mentioned with a lot of other brave folks, along with the Shreveport Times managed to expose the wrong doings of George D'Artois, much of which in terms of his connection to Jim Leslie surrounded the fact that he paid Jim Leslie or attempted to pay Jim Leslie for his services as a political consultant with checks drawn on the City of Shreveport's account. That began the series of events that ultimately lead to his assassination, that assassination led to an investigation, that ultimately found its way to George D'Artois. And as Arthur said, changed the course of the history of Shreveport. It is well detailed at least from one of the reporter's perspective in a book called "*The Commissioner*" that was written and published by former Times staffer and a former State Senator, Bill Keith. So if you're Amazon prone or so inclined, they may have some copies at Barnes and Noble, but I'm sure you can find it at Amazon called "*The Commissioner*" give you some insight into the history of Shreveport that is quite compelling.

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 77 of 2012**: Amending Section 26-175 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the retained Risk Fund and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there anyone present who would like to speak in favor of adding this ordinance to the agenda today? Is there anyone who wish to oppose adding this ordinance today? Is there a motion to add this item to the agenda?

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to add Ordinance No. 77 of 2012 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The Clerk read the following:

2. **Resolution No. 132 of 2012**: A Resolution to require a Monthly Master Plan Report to the Shreveport City Council and to otherwise provide with respect thereto

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there anyone present who would like to speak in favor of adding this resolution to the agenda today? Is there any person who wish to oppose adding this resolution today? Is there a motion to add this item to the agenda?

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to add Resolution No. 131 of 2012 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The Clerk read the following:

4. **Resolution No. 133 of 2012:** A Resolution authorizing the execution of a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Red River Waterway District and the Parish Caddo relative to the construction and maintenance of a dog park on approximately 5.7 acres of land adjacent to the Red River in Shreveport; and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. Attachment

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there anyone present who would like to speak in favor of adding this resolution today? Is there any person who wish to oppose adding this resolution today? Is there a motion to add this item to the agenda?

Ms. Cynthia Keith: (2063 Shadywood) I am the director of the Shreveport Dog Park Alliance. I wanted to come here and talk a little bit about what exactly is going on. You know sometimes there can be a well intentioned gift, that's probably happened to all of you, and instead of getting that thank you, I love it, sometimes you get the thank you, but no thank you. I wanted to let you know how hard the Alliance has worked to have this park paid for without the help of city funds. We've had very successful events. We try to go out every month and at least be somewhere to promote this Dog Park. We have a demographic that falls in the age range of 25-35 years old, unfortunately that demographic doesn't have a whole lot of money to share with us. Though we have been seeking donations anyway we can, seeking funding anyway we can. But it just seems to be crawling along. As a matter of fact in two days from now, we will have the anniversary of the ordinance being passed by you and we still are not where we want to be. At the last City Council Meeting, I was in Mayor Glover spoke about the fence, the requirement for the wrought iron fence, which even makes it that much more money that we have to raise. And when I left the room I talked to Shelly Ragle and I said, Shelly we cannot raise that much money, you're going to have to help us. And she said well I thought you were going to the Parish. They're the ones with the money. So at that point, I did. I wrote an NGO Grant with the Parish for \$50,000, and received nothing. When I asked one of the Commissioners why we got nothing, I was told, she said, "You didn't need any money". Of course I was taken aback, and spoke with another Councilman

and he said, "Well, that's not exactly the way it went down, we think we may have found money that will fund your entire project." And of course that was through the Red River Waterway Commission. I thought that was a great idea. A previous administration had told me, "You know that's really what you ought to do", so when they mentioned it, it made a whole lot of sense. Well of course after that, I got an angry phone call from the Mayor, sounding very accusatory asking me why I didn't come to him to tell him that we were going after that funding. Well the Parish did it on behalf of the Shreveport Dog Park Alliance. I wasn't the one to be punished for it, but I do want it to be known on record, we were not only seeking private donations. The Shreveport Dog Park Alliance is open to donations coming from anywhere. I asked the Treasury of the State the other day for funding. He told me to go to the Lt. Gov. But what they came up with we thought was the perfect scenario. We thought we were adding to what the city has proposed to the Red River Waterway Commission, not at all taking away from. That's the last thing we'd want to do. And the way I see it, there seems to be enough money for all of us to have what we want. We stayed engaged, 6 years we stayed engaged. We hadn't waivered what we wanted yet. We started a petition. The Mayor says anybody can do a petition. A couple of Councilmen stand up on our side and said, but look at the comments. Look at the citizens, look at what they're saying. We did a rally up here yesterday, stood in the rain. The Mayor's reply, anybody can put on an event like that. Another example of aggressive lobbying. A well organized lobby I should say. Well we say, we are thinking of the family and children just like you are thinking of the family and children. Some of us are families and our children are our pets. I have no children. I'm not against your playground. But I would like a Dog Park so I could go with my child and play in the playground. If you don't do a Dog Park, but you do everything else you'd like to do there, I call it discrimination against my child, my dog. Pets are a part of our family. But Mayor you really spoke the loudest when you refused to speak to the group yesterday. And we stood out there and were wet, and we just wanted to hear a few words. We maybe wanted to ask you a few questions. And your only comment was why are you standing in the rain? Kinda like you don't care. But Mayor I need to ask you. How are we saying we're progressive and we are going back to a 2006 list of request that is supposedly citizen driven? 2006? That's not progressive. Now maybe we need to tweak that list a little bit, and yes I was there at that 2006 visioning forum. Was that a previous administration, wasn't even you wasn't that the previous administration that was under? You recall? That was you? Okay. Well I know the previous administration's (inaudible) was "Bring the children home". And I tell you with the addition of a Dog Park along with what you have, it's going to bring the children home. Its also going to make us The Greatest City of the South. Who wouldn't want a playground, (inaudible) and bathrooms? We do, we do. It's a great plan. But we feel like we're going backwards the way this is going. We keep backing up. We started all over with a petition again. What you may not realize with us backing

up, we're at a point where we are losing funding. We have a fund set up at Community Foundation and this July, the Dog Park has not proceeded, we lose that money. There is also a rather large donation that's prefaced with if this thing doesn't happen, we want our money back. So we're going back. We're losing it. So Mr. Mayor, I want to quit going back. I don't want my citizens to feel like they are afraid to buy into something that the city is involved in. That's been hard for us. People don't trust us anymore. I can see why now, they don't think this thing is going to happen. We do have to reach out for extra funding. I need you to listen to the citizens of 2012. I need you to listen to what we have been doing. I need you to listen to the citizens who have been around you and out there today, not the ones that you are hearing, but the ones that we've been hearing because we've been involved. Your Master Plan speaks of Dog Parks. Dog Parks in all kinds of communities. That's an all inclusive community that cares about its people and its pets. So we can have three choices. We can have what you want at Hamel Memorial Park. We can have a Dog Park in Hamel Memorial Park, or we can have all of the above. And our dogs are going to keep your children safe (inaudible) that little playground. Believe me if we've got dogs on site, its going to be a much safer place and its going to cut down on things that could go on in playgrounds. And you'll probably have less incidents in the Dog Park, than you will in the playground. So please between A, B, & C, please make the right choice.

Councilman S. Jenkins: What we're going to do is just go ahead and let everyone, Mr. Linn, come on up, you're up next. What we're going to do is I'm going to recognize those persons who've signed up to speak on this, because I know the hour is getting late. You've been here a while, and it takes a unanimous vote for this to make the agenda. So I don't want anyone to feel as though they did not get a chance to speak on it.

Mr. Matthew Linn: (505 Travis) Before I start, I'm going to let y'all know that I think Dorothy Farnell is the bomb. She has done a fantastic job with the Property Standards, and every phone call I've made and every phone call everybody else has made rave about her. We can have it all.

Mayor Glover: We welcome the chance to jointly fund that.

Councilwoman McCulloch: We're going to give her a vacation.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah.

Mayor Glover: We can work with you on that too.

Mr. Linn: However the City of Shreveport and the Parish do already do joint ventures on several things. For instance one of the things that we take care of for the City of Shreveport with no tax revenue from the City of Shreveport, is Animal Services. Animal Services and our function with that is what brought me to the table. What I have set up here - - - what we want, we want to be able to have our cake and eat it too. We are already actively lobbying the Red River Waterway Commission on behalf of the City of Shreveport to grant everything that the City of Shreveport has requested on the Red River. And so we want the City of Shreveport to have everything. Because what serves the City of Shreveport, serves Caddo Parish, serves Desoto Parish, serves Bossier City. As everybody here knows the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Bossier City, Bossier Parish, Red River Parish, every single parish that abuts the Red River pays in taxes to the Red River Waterway Commission. We pay in 2.38 millage to the Red River Water Commission. On October the 25th of last year, I accidentally bumped into Mr. Guidry, the Director of the Red River Waterway Commission, and I said how can we take money that our citizens outside the city limits pay into the Red River Water Commission and give it back to our citizens. And he said because you do not own land contiguous to the Red River, we cannot give you any money. And then I said well could we take something for a function of Caddo Parish, cause we pay for, for the City like Animal Services, and then request a gift from the monies that we pay in and then take that and give it to the city? He said well nobody's ever taken money from taxes that they've paid in and given it to somebody else, however yes you can do that. He goes, we've never done it before, but I don't see the (inaudible). So that was where the process began. We took the letter that the City Council proposed for the water park, and we attached that with letters from all of our Northwest delegation as well as a resolution from the Caddo Parish Commission for the Dog Park, and we also have with it the Master Plan from 2010, which says utilizing funds from the Red River Waterway which is exactly what we did, and I handed all of y'all a copy of that, and I'm going to go ahead do this, here is another page of the Master Plan that says, 'Utilizing SPAR's Master Plan of 2006', that is what we're going to do to revitalize the river. Now both of these Master Plans, everybody knows were (inaudible) vision of the citizens of the City of Shreveport, visions of citizens of Caddo Parish. The citizens decided that they wanted what's printed in the SPAR Master Plan, which low and behold (inaudible) Dog Park. And that is a copy of the Master Plan for SPAR 2006 (inaudible) of the citizens of Shreveport, the Citizens of Caddo Parish asking for a Dog Park. What I'm coming here to ask you to do today is to please accept the taxpayers money from outside the city limits that have the city - - - Caddo Parish has never even had a request fulfilled from the Red River Waterway Commission, however they did do a landing on Hwy 2 outside the city limits that Hoppy Hopkins requested, and they did do Bishop Landing as it abuts Red River Parish, however we did not request that. We're very grateful for everything that they do. And we're very grateful that they did those two pieces on

Caddo Parish (inaudible) did not ask for that. This is the first thing that we've asked for, and all we want is for you to accept it as a gift. Thank you.

Ms. Susan Keith: (341 Woodbine Drive) I'm not going to kinda re-hash what's already been said. I am in favor of a Dog Park. I am Cynthia Keith's sister. One point that has not been brought up that I had researched was what's called Hamel's Hill. Hamel's Hill is the name of that mound or berm that is in the northern part of Hamel's Park. There is some controversy about whether it is a civil war battery, and had cannon on it. Of course it was never used because Shreveport was never attacked in the Civil War, thank goodness. Alexandria was burned to the ground. We were spared that. The battle happened at Mansfield. Anyway that historic site has been investigated by Dr. Joiner, and I spoke with him earlier today and he was going to stay around for any questions you might have, but he had to leave. I also have been in contact with the State Archeologist and he stated that this site is a recorded site, and Dr. Jeff Girard at Northwestern State University, who is the regional Archeologist, who is the one who wrote the record. And I will send a copy of it. And I have asked Ms. Bea to give you each a copy of that record, so you can take a look at it. She stated that according to the record, Shreveport promised to protect that site. And so I highlighted that for you. And I told her what the plans were, and she said, "Oh my". So anyway, the plan for the Dog Park would enclose and protect that mound, that berm. That's something that hasn't been brought up before. In the other plans such as building anything on that mound could destroy any evidence archeologically that could prove whether that was a civil war site or not. So Jeff Girard at Northwestern State University also said if you had any questions about that plan, he would be happy to answer any questions. So that's another benefit of this Dog Park. Four-wheelers are on it all the time, bicycles are on it all the time, eroding it and destroying it. A Dog Park aside from providing obvious benefits for the animals and their owners, would protect a historic site. So, with that I also request that you accept the gift of the Caddo Parish and as a former teacher Mr. Shyne, I taught with you at one point. And I almost taught you at Caddo Magnet, but you graduated just before I arrived, or I would tell you, "Cedric! This is your teacher, I'm telling you what to do. Give us the Dog Park". Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, you heard that huh? That was a direct command.

Mayor Glover: Well Mr. Shyne, sometimes you just have to say no.

Ms. Carolyn Griffin: (4669 Dixie Garden Loop) In 1986, my siblings and I gave the Marie and Charles Hamel Park to the City of Shreveport in memory of my parents. Some of you may remember in their lifetime, they provided a free zoo for the people of Shreveport. They loved animals and they saw the happiness they brought others. I can't think of a better way to use a part of the 17+ acres than a Dog Park for the citizens of

Shreveport. It is also fitting that it be located close to the grave of the zoo's elephant, Lady Lena, who was buried on the mound. Thank you.

Mr. Daniel Keele: (2800 Youree) We had a meeting, a very cordial meeting with the Mayor, and I expressed that I have a daughter who moved away to Chicago and tells me about the Dog Parks and the Dog Beaches. One of the points that we keep making about this is the appeal that the city has, and we're so forward moving in the image that we have and the amenities that we have, and this seems like a no-brainer. Seems like an easy thing to do. Its not taking money away from potholes, like people are complaining about. It's not taking away from anything. It's a very dedicated fund that's allocated for this one thing and it would be a really, a rose in our bouquet to be able to get this thing. I use the Dog Park location for 15 or 20 years for training my dogs, swimming in the lake and that sort of thing, and its had its problems. It's had a (inaudible) under nature from time to time. There's a lot of family use of it. There's a lot of other purposes, but this could be an enhancement that would go hand and glove with the proposals that the Mayor has made for riverfront development up and down. So we see it as a win/win situation, and we look forward to working with the Mayor in trying to work all the details of this out.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Council will recognize Ms. Lisa Wilson, did she make it back? Ms. Wilson? Could not catch her? Okay, that's the last of the persons who signed up on this. Is there a motion to have this matter added to the agenda?

Councilman O. Jenkins: So moved.

Councilman Everson: Second.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to add Resolution No. 133 of 2012 to the agenda.

Councilman Shyne: Now lets make this clear Mr. Chairman. We need, in order for it to be added to the agenda, you have to have a unanimous vote.

Councilman O. Jenkins: You know the first thing and I'll ask Art if he was able to find any language on why there is a requirement to vote for adding items to the agenda. Were you able to find anything?

Mr. Thompson: I have not found the ordinance, Julie went upstairs, she could not access it from here, but State Law wants everything that Council Members do to be done in an open setting, and to have an agenda at least 24 hours before any meeting. And it also recognizes that there are times when items have to be added to the agenda,

so what it says is you have to explain to the public why you want to do it, give everybody a chance to speak for it or against it, then you have to have a unanimous vote.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, and I think we're - - - you know by adding something to the agenda, we're not necessarily voting for or against this particular resolution. I want to make that very clear. I think there's probably an issue of transparency and preparedness is why they require a vote so people out there are aware of items being discussed and clearly in this case this is not a surprise to anybody in this region whose read the newspaper, watched the media. There's plenty of articles. Somebody is pretty good at the tweets out there about this subject. Its an issue that's already out in the public domain. So by saying that we would not add it to the agenda, I would say it's really, frankly not above the table. Because we are trying to be transparent in our deliberations about this particular issue, and by keeping it off the table any longer is preventing that from happening. So I certainly ask no matter how you decide on your opinion about this cooperative endeavor agreement that we at least put it on the table, so it's out there in the public domain for deliberation in the weeks going forward. If in two weeks time, we don't have enough information to move and we want to postpone the vote on this, I'm not going to be one that's going to hold us back from that. But I certainly think that items like this need to be in the public media to continue the discussion so we can get everybody's point of view. So that's why I'm hoping I can get everybody's support to add it to the agenda today.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there a motion to suspend the rules to take up action on this particular resolution.

Mr. Thompson: There's no action.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It has to be introduced doesn't it.

Mr. Thompson: Yeah, but we'll get to that at the normal course of business, if that's okay.

Councilman Everson: Oh, it just goes onto the agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You don't want to go ahead and take it up?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Oh I'm happy to introduce it. I'll make a motion to suspend the rules.

Councilman Everson: Second.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to suspend the rules and introduce Resolution No. 133 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to introduce Resolution No. 133 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I do have some discussion that I'm going to give. I'm going to support the introduction of this item. I do have some concerns about the legislation itself and the precedence that it sets. Basically what we'll be doing today if the vote goes the way I believe it will, it will be introduced, but it still needs to lay over two weeks before there is any final vote. I do believe that there are - - - this is a matter that needs to be well vetted as it relates to other funding and other issues and priorities that the City may need to review. I'm all for the Dog Park, I'm for the funding of the Dog Park, the mechanism that we're using is what my concern is all about. I do not think we should be mandating that the Mayor sign a contract that will engage the City of Shreveport until the matter is well vetted, and that every scenario has had an opportunity to be looked at. I am hoping that maybe during the delay between the introduction and the final passage, that whatever concerns that are there, will be looked at, hopefully be resolved and we'll be able to move on. I'm also voting for this because today because I think we should have a good working relationship between governmental entities such as the City, the Parish and the Waterway Commission, and I am impressed by the efforts of this group who are pushing for the Dog Park. I think they have done a lot of work. I see the passion there for this to occur. If I was a vindictive person, I could have just voted against adding it onto the agenda, and we wouldn't have this discussion. Please understand, in the end I would like to see a Dog Park, I would like to see the money be used in the manner that its being presented, but at the same time, I want to make certain that this matter is completely vetted and looked at as it relates to other issues that may be facing the city.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, there may be another matter that you'll want to add to the agenda. By letter dated June 26, 2012, while we received the letter from the mayor saying that he had appointed Mr. Carl Franklin to the Downtown Development Authority.

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Shyne to add the executive appointment of Mr. Carl Franklin to the Downtown Development Authority. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Confirmation and appointment:

Downtown Development Authority Board of Directors Attorney Carl Franklin

(Appointment Letter received June 27, 2012)

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to consider the executive appointment of Mr. Carl Franklin. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to confirm the executive appointment of Mr. Carl Franklin. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I didn't know what to do about the Dog Park.

Councilman Everson: But you felt pretty clear about Carl's appointment.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, I felt pretty clear about commending you on this appointment. Now if you want to Mr. Mayor, you can bring him up. Now the other I didn't want to get involved in.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind, a couple of things. Mr. Shyne, certainly do not at all mind the vote of the Council to introduce the Dog Park measure. In the interest of brevity, we're going to save all of our comments up until the point and time when the Council actually is in position to vote on it and far from terms of our council discussion, obviously we look forward to any other discussion in the weeks in between as well. Also very delighted Mr. Chairman and members of the Council that you all have approved and Carl Henry Frankly, please come forward. Obviously I think

that Mr. Franklin is no stranger to any of you. He is a distinguished native of the City of Shreveport, that he's one of our local members of the Bar. He has been very involved and engaged citizen over the years. He is married to a lovely woman, who also happens to be a former Miss Grambling, and a current professor (had to get another GSU reference in there somehow) who is also currently a professor at LSU Shreveport.

Councilman Shyne: That might be his qualification Mr. Mayor. Miss Grambling.

Mayor Glover: But now, the Elder is no longer here with us Mr. Shyne. Elder Curtis West was here earlier as a part of the Allendale delegation concerning the public hearing regarding the Park and Recreation dollars, but he also happened to be a good C.M.E. which counts for a few points as well.

Councilman Shyne: (Inaudible) been going to church lately Mayor?

Mayor Glover: He tends to visit around like most of us do. But Carl, thank you for accepting the challenge of serving on the DDA. Your predecessor did an outstanding job, unfortunate career opportunities took her in a different direction and took her out of the central business district, and you were without question an ideal person to come in and fill that spot. So thank you much and look forward to your service.

Mr. Carl Franklin: Thank you Mr. Mayor. Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, I just want to say thank you for your faith in me. I look forward to working with the DDA. Having had my office in downtown for a number of years now, I've seen the ebbs and flow of businesses and individuals moving in and out. So I'm really looking forward to adding what I can to its process and I look forward to helping the City of Shreveport continue to grow and to become The Next Great City of the South. Thank you very much.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

Ms. Rosalind Nicholson: (2232 Legardy) I'm addressing the Council because I have a problem with the sanitary sewer line, and my issue is I have a problem with my sewer line being stopped up, but the problem does not lie on my property. It lies across the street. And the Deputy Clerk passed you out documentation for some of the things I'm going to talk about. So, from my experience as a homeowner, the responsibility of taking care of repairs on your property, if there is a problem with your sewer line, anything on your property is your homeowner's responsibility. Anything beyond the line should be the responsibility of the city which would include city right of ways, sidewalks and streets. And I'm going to give you a background of what I'm talking about because this has been going on almost a year. Around October 18, 2011 I

contacted the City of Shreveport, Water and Sewer Department and advised them that the sewer line at my home was stopped on the city's right of way. A city technician came out to the address and after only looking at where there was a water puddle near the sidewalk, he concluded that the city could not assist me. Because it appeared to him that the stoppage lies on the homeowners private property. Thus no assistance was given. On the 21st of that same month, I called again to refute the city's technician claim and advised again that the stoppage on the city's right of way. The city employee still insisted that the problem needs to be resolved by the homeowner, which is myself, but they would send someone else out to look at it again. But no one else ever came. One week later, which was the 27th of November, I received a notice of violation that at 10/25/2011 from the City of Shreveport which was the Code of Ordinance, Section 94-118. In this letter it states that I had 10 days to fix my problem or they would remove my meter and fine me up to \$500 per day per violation, which I couldn't afford, and if I could, I'd fix the problem. October 28, 2011 I called Rose Wilson-McCulloch which is the City Councilman, District A for assistance. She put me in contact with Mr. Dale Sibley who is the Chief Administrative Officer. I contacted Mr. Sibley by phone and advised Mr. Sibley of the following: What I was told by the water department employee, that I received a threatening notice of violation that I did not feel I should be responsible for making repairs, that are on city domain which would be the right of ways, sidewalks or streets. Nor should I be charged a fine of \$500 per day. Mr. Sibley then advised me that I would not be responsible for city property and if the sidewalk or streets needed to be dug up, that would be a city issue as well. Mr. Sibley also advised me to hire a certified plumber and have him to look at the problem and send him a write up. And the city would decide if they could or could not help me at that time. Moving on to November 8, 2011 I hired Master Plumbing Company and I advised the plumbing company that my sewer line was stopped up. The plumber said that the problem, he checked for the stoppage at the water puddle on the right of way near the sidewalk. But there was no stoppage there. It was discovered that the stoppage was 59 feet across the street on the connection at the main. The plumbers opinion was that the problem should be taken care of by the city. That same day I contacted Mr. Sibley and advised him of what the plumber had discovered. And I sent him a copy of it. Mr. Sibley asked me who is the owner of the property across the street, and I advised him I didn't know, but I went to the assessors office at the Caddo Court House and found out that the property across the street according to the plat map belonged to Ernest H. Lamkins and others. Also on that same day, Curtis Davis which was the zone manager came to assess the situation at my home and he left a card for me to call him. I advised Mr. Davis that I should not be responsible for making any repairs beyond the property line. Mr. Davis stated that it does not matter if the problem goes beyond the property line, the property owner is still responsible. On 11/15, I spoke to Mr. Scott Mason who was the plans examiner, and he wanted to know if the plumber that I obtained had a permit to do any

work. And I asked him why would a plumber need a permit to unstop a sewer line. 11/15 that same day, I received a second notice of violation stating you know I still needed to get it fixed or get the \$500 fine per day. So finally after I was not getting anywhere with the city employees, I faxed and emailed a letter to Mayor Glover, requesting for intervention. Mr. Glover replied to me that he did get my email and he would look into it. On December 1st I received an email response from Dale Sibley who was stating the city's position, and he stated the following in his email that the city is only responsible for any repairs from the main and back to the plant. But from my home to the main is the homeowner's responsibility. And he said if the problem involving tearing up the streets, that would be a city issue. At that point, I felt I wasn't getting any assistance from the city, so I hired a second plumber, Payne Mechanical for a second opinion. This plumbing company stated that the problem lies across the street in the sidewalk, which is public property, has to be dug up. Both plumbing companies, Master and Payne Mechanical have stated the problem is at the main which is located across the street from my home. A couple of weeks ago, I asked Councilman McCulloch to contact the city once more since I did not feel I had a good rapport with them. She did and she advised me that the city still stands on its original decision. I asked if they considered the new discovery or additional issues that had come up. As of this day, July 10, 2012, I still have the same problem. So again, I'm requesting the city to assist me in solving the problem since the problem is at the main and the sidewalk which is city property has to be dug up. Thank you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Ma'am. Is this a matter Mr. CAO or Mr. Asst CAO where Ms. Nicholson can get with someone maybe before she leaves or Councilwoman McCulloch?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well actually she's gotten with me. I mean I've given her all that I had, and I've also referred her to Dale Sibley. But in talking with Ron Webb, seems like he's had a similar situation. Ron would you share with her what you shared with me?

Councilman Webb: I had a situation like that. One of my constituents lived in a cul-de-sac, and the main was all the way across the street. The furthest line from any of the neighbors in that circle. And the plumber dug, tried to clear the line all the way to the street basically and stopped. And they ran an eye through there, and they said it was all the way under the street to the main, and according to the city when I talked with them about that, said that the homeowner's line is homeowner's responsibility to the line. Now that's what I've been told and that the customer was going to have to pay about \$10,000 to get it corrected, and it's still not the city's responsibility.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, if I may, we've kinda gone back and forth on it quite a bit. And although Ms. Nicholson and I had some communication that kinda disagree with some of the recollection. The basic issue is here. There is a city ordinance that establishes whose responsibility is where. And we've been very consistent enacting that policy based upon that ordinance. It's not an arbitrary decision that we can make as administrators. The ordinance sets where the responsibility is and that's what we've repeatedly told Ms. Nicholson, we've told anybody else who had that issue. They frankly disagree with it. And that's okay, but as I said the back and forth of all that is said and done, I can disagree with some of that, but the real issue is we have an ordinance that says whose responsibility is where and perhaps what Ms. Nicholson seeks is a change in ordinance. But we've said before as administrators, our job is to administer the ordinance as it's written, and that's basically what we've done.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman? Mr. CAO, I would hope - - - Ms. Bonnie Moore would you come forward just a minute please Ma'am. And I hate to do this. You know how compassionate I am. Ms. Moore, since we dealing with an individual who might not have the money, and I'm not saying that you don't have the money, but lets just say that you don't. An individual who does not have the money to do what needs to be done, is it anyway that we have any emergency funds or I don't know what you would call 'em. Because Mr. Sibley, I will have to be truthful, I have not been down to talk to Ms. Moore in a long time about her department. Because I have so much trust and faith in you and the Mayor. And now under other administrations, I would always go down Mr. Mayor and do that. But I have not - - - Ms. Moore, I have not been down because like I say, I trust the CAO and the Mayor so much until I know that you're running a tight ship. But is it any - - - do we have any funds, do we have any help that you know the sign of a great city, the sign of a great nation is to be able to help those that are in need. And I hope that my colleagues would agree with me on this, and we're not talking about building parks or what else? Recreation centers or whatever, but we're talking about helping somebody who is in need. And I think somebody in the Shreveport Times was criticizing Barak Obama because I think he left out that this is a Christian nation. So for Barak Obama, and the City of Shreveport, but this is a Christian nation. And the bible teaches us that we need to help the less fortunate ones, and I'm not saying that this young lady is less fortunate, but is it anyway, I mean do we have anyway that we could help her solve her mother's problem?

Ms. Moore: I believe if I heard correctly what her issue is, she would qualify under the emergency repair program. She would have to fit the eligibility criteria. Our program is primary for the elderly and disabled and I'm assuming your mom is elderly. And so we would be more than glad to speak to your mom or speak to her on behalf of her mom and see if she qualifies for the program.

Councilman Shyne: Can we say Amen on that? Mr. Mayor I told you long time ago, you had the right person. I give you credit. Didn't I tell you I had not been down there because I knew that you had picked, and you had the best person. Ms. Moore, thank you hear? God bless you baby and your mom.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Ms. Nicholson, I want to thank you for coming. After all of our communications Uncle Joe, I asked her to come before the Council and bring her situation before the council because I felt like one of the six men among the council would be able to assist me in this matter. And I sure appreciate what you've done.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Mayor, let me say this before she goes to her seat. I don't know whether I should or not. But this young lady was a tremendous athlete at Green Oaks High School. She was the kind of person that those of us who, well maybe I'm telling my age.

Councilwoman McCulloch: You were 20 then Uncle Joe?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, yeah, I was 19 then. But she was the kind of young lady that made all of us proud who worked at Green Oaks High School,. So I'm so proud that you've grown up and developed into such a fine young lady. And tell your mom I said Hi. Tell her she'll probably receive a Christmas Card from Mr. Sibley over there.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, just want to say we certainly appreciate the suggestion made by Councilman Shyne. Hopefully there'll be some potential connection that can be made with the possibility of addressing some of this via Community Development. I do want to make you all familiar with the fact of two things. 1) As CAO Sibley said, we are following and do follow the ordinance as laid out. If the Council wants to see some deviation from that, that would have to be dealt with and addressed legislatively. Part of the reason why I think the policy exist as it does is because of the potential expense involved with the city deviating from that. And as you also will remember, I think its Ordinance 75 that's on for introduction, that amends the Community Development Budget. If you will recall as I detailed to you all on yesterday. A \$557,000 reduction in HUD allocation that's forthcoming to the City of Shreveport from the Federal Government. A \$516,000 reduction in the workforce commission dollars. A \$209,000 reduction in LEAP program funding as well as a \$125,000 addition that's coming in regard to the GM response, but I guess the bottom line of it is, is that Mr. Shyne even these dollars, if they are available and there is eligibility are becoming more and more limited and I'm sure if we begin to make use of those dollars for this particular type of program, we can expect a faster and more rapid burn rate each year. Because these are issues and challenges that are all across MLK, all across Mooretown, all across Highland, all across Southern Hills and - - -

Councilman Shyne: I don't want to see it change. Let me tell the Council, I don't want to see this ordinance change. I would like to see us look at this on a case by case basis. Because I don't know whether I ought to say this or not. We don't know what's going to happen in Washington, D.C. in the next few months. Oliver I don't mean any harm, but Mr. Mayor, you're right. We might be in worst shape than what we're in right now. You know some of the funds that we're getting out of Washington, we might not be getting those. So, I'd like for us to look at cases like this Mr. Chairman on a individual basis and not really change the legislation. Because we've got too many cases out there. So lets look at it on a case by case basis and not change what we have right now. And I know Mr. Sibley and the administration is going by what the law says. And you stay out of trouble when you do that. When you go by what the law says, you stay out of trouble. So lets leave the law like it is Mr. Chairman, and lets look at it on an individual basis.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor is that the Henry Price from the Caddo Parish School system who has done such a great job?

Mayor Glover: Without question Mr. Shyne. Also my Assistant Principal from the Caddo Magnet High School.

Councilman Shyne: Was he a bad boy?

Mayor Glover: We called him Mr. Shyne and members of the Council, the enforcer.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I don't think he was asking if he was a bad boy, I think if YOU were a bad boy?

Mayor Glover: Why do you think he was called the enforcer?

Councilman Shyne: I was asking if the Mayor was a bad boy during that time?

Mr. Henry Price: (6640 Santa Monica) Good afternoon. Thank you for this opportunity to speak. The Mayor was very active.

Councilman Shyne: In case y'all don't know, that's what they called bad boys back then.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Hyper.

Mr. Price: I thank you for this opportunity to come before you today. To the Mayor and to the Council and to the Chair. I'm a member of the Western Hills Estates

Neighborhood Association as well as the West Shreveport Alliance. Most of you know the West Shreveport Alliance is made up of neighborhood associations in the western part of the city. Our neighbors have worked to identify some of our needs and to try and overcome some of the problems and the strengths and constraints of land usage while trying to stop some of the encroachment of undesirable commercial uses in our neighborhood. Having said that, we went before the Shreveport Metropolitan Planning Commission on June 6th asking that the request for site approval for the Dollar General be denied. After much discussion, the Metropolitan Planning Commission Board voted unanimously 9-0 to deny that request. Mr. Downer sent information to the Council asking that the site plan be approved. But when one considers as did the Metropolitan Planning Commission Board, the recommendations outlined on the Master Plan and the proposed use accommodations, this Body should also deny that request today. So, what is in the Master Plan? I have not read it in its entirety, but I took the initiative to do so. In the Master Plan, it talks about some of the principles. And I will share with you, and of course you know most of these if not all. But those that affect our particular community. One of the things that it says is that its to connect people and places, connect people in different neighborhoods. And across barriers of race and class to work together to benefit the whole area. That's what the Alliance does and has done. Fairness and opportunity for everyone make every neighborhood a neighborhood of choice with excellent infrastructure, services, and amenities. That's directly from the plan. Communications, transparency and community participation. I'm quoting now. "Our neighborhoods are physically beautiful, mixed income, racially and age diverse, community minded and linked with other neighborhoods". But I think one of the most important parts of that Master Plan says to preserve and support the character of stable residential neighborhoods. MPC discussed the size, the architectural design, of the establishment, the (inaudible) the pediment, the (inaudible), but artistically, economically, and architectural, it is important that businesses in our area reflect the surrounding neighborhood, and the community. The design that is proposed, negatively affects surround properties with respect to the characteristics of the neighborhood and is not compatible nor harmonious with the rest of the neighborhood. As some of you know the homes in our area are traditional, well designed, single family homes with pitched roofs and extensive landscaping. Mr. Gage who went before the MPC was instructed to meet with the neighbors. On May 24th, the West Shreveport Alliance met with the developer and we expressed our feelings and concerns. And as a matter of record, I want you to know that we support the growth of businesses that will enhance the quality of life in our neighborhood. The proposal that is being asked to appeal, does not do that. Again on June 6, 2012, the Metropolitan Planning Commission Board voted 9-0 to deny that request. Not a 5-4, not a 4-5, not 6-3, not a 7-2, not an 8-1. 9-0 to deny. Therefore as a matter of record, I am not in favor of you approving the site plan for the Dollar General on Pines Road and Jefferson Paige, and I respectfully ask that you vote

NO and deny the appeal from the developer, the owner and other persons who are affiliated with it. Thank you.

Mr. Louis Brooks: (6629 Virgo Dr) I am the President of the Civic Club of Twilight Meadow. To make a long story short, I was just going to say Amen to what Mr. Price has said, and I ask you to deny the site plan for the Dollar General Store. Thank you very much.

Mr. Clifton Starks: (3529 Milky Way) To the Chairman and Council Members. To the Mayor, I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you, but Mr. Price covered, I was listening very closely, and he covered everything and I don't want to waste your time, of course they just started your time and I've taken 20 seconds already. But basically what it is, the Master Plan and you all know that it was a bunch of money spent on the Master Plan, more than a million dollars, and we welcome business to the west part of Shreveport. That's what we want. We want business. But we don't want the same type businesses. We don't want to be flooded with the same theme in that area. We want other business in that area. So, as Mr. Price has stated, we would appreciate it very much if you would uphold the ruling of the MPC and deny any type sites for those types businesses in that area and hopefully we can move forward with the structure of the Master Plan to have good quality things that we listed at the time when they were coming to the area, wanting our opinion on what needs to be in the west part of Shreveport. And we definitely, specifically stated some of the things that need to come to the west part of Shreveport. Thank you very much.

Mr. Mike Marino: (333 Texas Street) I'm here today on behalf of the applicant in this appeal of the MPC's denial of the site plan for a retail establishment located off of Jefferson Paige Road in West Shreveport, and that's case C-31-12 and SC-20-12. We furnished written comments by letter dated July 5, 2012. In these written comments, we set forth the background, the printed facts, the supporting materials which we adopt by reference and would like to include here in our presentation today. As detailed in the July 5th letter, the only consideration presented to the MPC and now, this Council, the consideration of the presented site plan and confirmation of the site plan comports with all applicable site requirements. There are currently nine Dollar General Stores operating in Shreveport. As such, the developer of these retail sites of the Dollar General Stores are well versed in the city site plan requirements. The site plan for the subject Jefferson Paige Road location meets and in fact exceeds Shreveport site plan requirements, and the requirements utilized for other existing Dollar General Stores. By way of further comparison, directly across the street from this proposed site plan is a comparable Family Dollar Store. The only difference is for the already approved site plan for the Family Dollar which currently exist and the site plan presented today is that the current site plan is far more superior. The (inaudible) site plan is for a larger site for

a smaller building. There is no metal siding on the exterior and the landscaping is vastly superior to the already constructed Family Dollar Store. We're not saying that there's anything wrong with the Family Dollar Store the way it is constructed. It's not. As a result of meetings with residents in this area, the developer voluntarily included enhanced (inaudible) designs and enhanced landscaping in this site plan. The design modifications aren't required, however the developer listened to the residents in the area and addressed their concerns with the site plan. We're not here today to ask for rezoning of the property. We're here today asking for a use by right, which is already zoned properly. This is not a consideration of desirability of the Dollar General Store. This is not a popularity contest, and it certainly isn't a tally of the proponents versus the opponents. The only proper consideration for this council is whether this site plan comports with the adopted site plan requirements. As noted by the Mayor, and Mr. Sibley, the city has a duty to apply the ordinances as written and they said that a bit earlier this evening. A simple comparison to be approved adjoining site plan, a Family Dollar site plan with the (inaudible) site plan confirms the (inaudible) of our request. There is absolutely no legal basis for the disparate treatment of a similarly situated competitive parties. Not like the previously approved Dollar General Store site plans in the area, and the adjacent Family Dollar Store site plan. The submitted site plan is fully compliant with all applicable requirements and therefore we request that a presented site plan just like the adjacent Family Dollar Store site plan be approved by this council. I understand that there is some discussion about the Master Plan. The Master Plan is aspirational. I think there's some good things in it, I read it. The Master Plan has not been adopted fully. The zoning has not been adopted. I believe it's on target. City Council hopes to adopt it by 2015. There hasn't been zoning requirements recommended, or zoning changes recommended in the Master Plan. (Inaudible) for consideration for approval of this Dollar Store. Thank you. I'll be happy to answer any questions you may have.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, as Mr. Wayne takes the mic, I have to fully disclose just as Mr. Shyne did with Mr. Price being my High School Vice Principal, as I said, we referred to him as the enforcer. Now (Inaudible) Smith may have said it, but Mr. Price made sure it was carried out. For those of who were (inaudible) of the Cooper Road, back in the 1970s, we were privileged to have Mr. Billy Wayne and I must admit, this is the first time I've ever heard the middle name, I didn't know there was an actual middle name, we could have used that back in 1976-77.

Mr. Billy Roy Wayne: (6144 Fairington Ct) You would have still been in 6th Grade too. You would have been the oldest 6th Grader in history.

Councilman Shyne: There you go Billy. And Billy, one thing about it, he can't vote on this. You say whatever you want to about him, he can't vote.

Mr. Wayne: I respect him too much.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Shyne, members of the Council, Mr. Wayne was in fact the hand selected by my father 6th Grade Social Studies teacher for yours truly. I was not initially assigned to his class, but my father was so convinced of the teaching brilliance of Mr. Wayne and he knew of my interest of Social Studies and other related matters that he ensured that this rather heavy 6th Grader ended up in Mr. Wayne's class, and life has been wonderfully impacted from that day forward. So great to see him here and always love to say thank you to him for helping to initiate that spark of interest and curiosity and all things related to government, geography, society in general and the world as a whole. So good to see you Mr. Wayne.

Mr. Wayne: Mr. Mayor, thank you. I just want to say it was a privilege teaching you. The Mayor came to my class, he was already intelligent. When he left he was brilliant. But that was the MLK area, Councilwoman McCulloch's son, I had the privilege of teaching Louis, at Middle Magnet, and those years of teaching in the MLK area - - -

Councilman Shyne: I'm glad you taught her son, I taught her husband, and that's why he picked such a wonderful wife.

Mr. Wayne: Yes indeed. And she's a Gramblingnite so, I think she and my wife were roommates at one time, suite mates. To the Council, honorable Mayor, Chairman and those of you assembled. We are not going to waste your time in regards to repeating things that have already been said. I'm the president of the Fairway Parks Neighborhood Association, and a member of the West Shreveport Alliance, past President. We're here today saying what we've said for a number of years. And that simply is that we have no problem with businesses located at our areas, in our home communities, but we do want those areas or those businesses to be what we consider to be upscale businesses. As the previous speaker said, perhaps there is no legal precedent that would deny you from accepting the zoning as is. But there is a human element involved in our decision making. And that human element involves you as elected officials are asked to protect when we elect you, those principles that we hold dear to our community and to our family values. We're not saying that the store does not have the legal principles to build or the zoning right. What we are saying is that there are human issues that you have to consider and those human issues are families. So I leave you with one comment. That we received from a conversation with a regional director of a Dollar Store chain, and I will not call his name. He commented that if his stores failed to produce sales of \$600,000-1,000,000 annually, that store was seen as a liability and eventually closes. If this economic principle holds true for other chain stores, Dollar Stores, then one must assume that given the economic and demographic settings of this proposed store, it is not needed. Thank you.

Mr. En-Pang Andy Kou: (9005 Walker Road, Apt 204) Thank you Council Members. I'm here before you to ask you to affirm the decision of the ZBA Board, to grant my store Southern Hills In and Out, located at 880 Flournoy Lucas Road, the special exception for packaged beer and wine and those extended operating hours from 6-11:00. I moved out here to Louisiana from California back in 2008 when (inaudible) and I got into the convenience store business. This is what I've been doing for the last three years. I worked for my brother-in-law and he assigned me to manage the Southern Hills In and Out. When we lost zoning and the permit to sell beer in that location, this put our livelihood in jeopardy. My family, you know I have my wife, my son William, 2 years old and my in-laws. They live with me. I offered to take over the store. I'm responsible for what goes on there now. I'm the new owner. I simply ask you to affirm the decision of the ZBA so that I can provide for my family and I'll also provide services (inaudible) for our customers. That location is very competitive, you know we won't be able to survive with the privilege of selling alcohol. Since we took over the place, we've cleaned up the inside, cleaned up the outside, and done a lot of landscaping. We're going to fix up the parking lot. We want to bring values to the area, and I just hope that you can grant us the permission so that we can have a livelihood and provide for our customers. As far as the appeal to the ZBA decision, starting out at 6:00, I don't think that's too much of a stretch. Many customers, they also work early and opening up till 11:00 that just allows us sometimes if we have a late rush, we can take care of our customers. But usually by 10:00 it's already quiet. We just want to have a little bit of flexibility when it comes to servicing our customers. Another point of the appeal to the ZBA's decision was that traffic is busy in the area. We're not serving alcohol, we're selling packages for off-premise consumption. I don't believe that it's going to negatively impact the traffic or cause additional accidents. Thank you for your time, and I hope you will consider my request.

Mr. Dominic Cordaro: (9808 E. Homewood Circle) Andy is a friend of mine. We became friends when I saw there was a sign that said he couldn't sell alcohol anymore. As Mr. Shyne said about trying to help people, I wanted to help him. He and his wife work hard everyday trying to do a good job for the community and for the area. I was raised in Allendale until my Junior High and moved out to Southern Hills on Kingston Road in a house that my grandmother had. And I lived there until my getting through with college at LA Tech. So I've been familiar with that store truthfully probably for 40 years maybe longer than that. And I just want you to think about this young man trying to take care of his family. He runs a good operation and reaffirm what the ZBA did in a 6-0 vote. They did a thorough examination, a thorough investigation. He went through the whole gambit of landscaping proposals and different aspects of that. He's gotten approval from everybody. And I just hope again, not to be redundant, but as Mr. Shyne said, about now is the time to help people. Now is the time. He knows what a privilege

it would be to be able to sell. And I'm, you might say putting my reputation on the line, in asking you to give him a chance, give him an opportunity to help him so he can provide better for family and serve the community that he's in. Thank you very much for your time. Appreciate it Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you sir. I think that's all the requests we had to speak on matters on the agenda. We've taken care of our Confirmation and Appointments I believe for today. We have no items on our Consent Agenda to be introduced, alright Mr. Clerk, lets proceed with the Resolutions to be adopted.

Consent Agenda Legislation

To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None.

Ordinances: None.

To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions:

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 130 of 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHARLES R. SCOTT AND ALEXIS PHARRIS SCOTT LOCATED AT 9803 NEESONWOOD DRIVE TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Charles R. Scott and Alexis Pharris Scott have agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Charles R. Scott and Alexis Pharris Scott be authorized to connect the structure, located at 9803 Neesonwood Drive to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Ordinances: None.

Regular Agenda Legislation

Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 126 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT BY THE LOUISIANA FIREMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport desires to participate in wholesome activities that serve to benefit the local community through economic impact and continuing education; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Louisiana Firemen's Association is to establish harmony and action in the cultivation of fraternal fellowship among its members, to secure protection for and to promote the best interest of Fire Service Personnel of Louisiana and to establish and conduct an organized training program for firefighters of Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Firemen's Association consists of more than 350 fire departments and over 12,000 members across Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Firemen's Association will hold their statewide 107th Annual Conference in Shreveport, La. at the Shreveport Convention Center on August 9th, 10th & 11th, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the event provides nationally known speakers and offers training sessions on current fire service issues; and

WHEREAS, the event offers an opportunity for networking and meeting people and exchanging ideas and making new contacts; and

WHEREAS, the Louisiana Firemen's Association will hold an event for spouses at the Louisiana State Exhibit Museum on Friday, August 10, 2012 and has requested the use of city-owned equipment for this event; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the use of city-owned equipment by the Louisiana Firemen's Association on August 9 – 11, 2012 for the 107th Annual Statewide Conference is hereby authorized subject to execution of an indemnity and hold harmless agreement by the Louisiana Firemen's Association in favor of the City of Shreveport in a form acceptable to the Office of the City Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 127 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH WORLD CHANGERS, NORTH AMERICAN MISSION BOARD OF THE SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION IN CONNECTION WITH A LOW TO MODERATE INCOME

RESIDENTIAL REPAIR PROJECT; AUTHORIZING THE WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEES AND THE DONATION OF BUILDING MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES TO TEN (10) TO TWELVE (12) QUALIFIED LOW INCOME HOMEOWNERS IN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROJECT; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, World Changers Ministries, an organization sponsored by the North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Church (“World Changers”), will paint and/or repair ten (10) to twelve (12) homes within the City of Shreveport between July 23 and July 27, 2012 (the “project”); and

WHEREAS, all persons assisted by World Changers will be low to moderate income homeowners residing in the Hollywood, Lakeside, Martin Luther King, Mooretown, Queensborough, and other areas of the city; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport (“City”) participates in façade improvement and/or paint and minor repair programs designed to identify citizens who have limited or no resources and whose home is in need of these services. All work will be performed by volunteers and will enhance the quality of life for the citizens of Shreveport and directly improve the housing conditions for ten (10) to twelve (12) families in the city thus providing a public benefit; and

WHEREAS, World Changers has sponsored at least ten (10) previous repair projects within the city; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to provide building materials and supplies and to waive all building permit fees for the repair or rehabilitation of the homes of qualified low to moderate income persons approved for participation in the program, which is a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal, and regular session convened, that building permit fees to rehabilitate or repair ten (10) to twelve (12) homes by World Changers Ministries between July 23 and July 27, 2012, are hereby waived.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to enter into a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with World Changers, North American Mission Board of the Southern Baptist Convention to provide building materials and supplies and other services that will allow World Changers to rehabilitate or repair approximately ten (10) to twelve (12) homes during the project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 128 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTY AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell said properties as authorized in R.S. 47:2201-2211, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an adjudicated tax interest in the property described in Attachment "A-8" for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all City departments regarding the property described in Attachment "A-8" and has not received any indication that it is needed for city purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the property described in Attachment "A-8" is hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that this property is surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301(1)(d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 129 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR RIVER PARKWAY BOULEVARD AND LARKIN EDWARDS DRIVE IN RIVERSCAPE PARKWAY SUBDIVISION

AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal,

and regular session convened, that the dedication for River Parkway Boulevard and Larkin Edwards Drive in Section 5, (T17N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for River Parkway Boulevard and Larkin Edwards Drive be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 132 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO REQUIRE A MONTHLY MASTER PLAN REPORT TO THE SHREVEPORT CITY COUNCIL AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN EVERSON

WHEREAS, “Great Expectations: Shreveport-Caddo 2030 Master Plan”, was approved by the Metropolitan Planning Commission on December 1, 2010, recommended to the City Council in accordance with La R.S. 33:140.10 and 140.12, and adopted by the City Council on March 8, 2011; and

WHEREAS, Shreveport- Caddo 2030 Master Plan is a guide for the City Council and Citizens to use in making decisions on a broad range of issues including green systems and sustainability, historic preservation, arts, culture, neighborhoods, housing, economic development, transportation and mobility, public infrastructure, downtown Shreveport, revitalization, smart growth, and future land use; and

WHEREAS, Shreveport-Caddo 2030 Master Plan contemplates a number of strategies to insure its implementation, including a Citizen Advisory group to act as advocates for the implementation of the plan, and MPC staff dedicated to implementation, to include a procedure to inform legislative and administrative decision makers as to whether their proposed action is or is not consistent with the plan; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for the City Council and the public to receive periodic progress report on strategies and actions to achieve the goals and objectives identified in the Master Plan.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the Director of the Metropolitan Planning Commission and/or the Master Plan Administrator shall provide a progress report to the City Council at the second regular council meeting each month, and it shall be listed as a report in Section 5 of the Agenda.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Resolutions (*Not to be adopted prior to July 24, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 131 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to sign an amendment to Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality Clean Water State Revolving Loan Fund agreements for the purpose of reducing the interest rate from 3.95% to 2.95%, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to introduce Resolution No. 131 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Ordinances (*Not to be adopted prior to July 24, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 72 of 2012**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 58 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Noise and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Ordinance No. 73 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Neighborhood Street Projects*)
3. **Ordinance No. 74 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Fund, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Lucas Sludge Field improvements and Press relocation*)
4. **Ordinance No. 75 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
5. **Ordinance No. 76 of 2012**: An ordinance authorizing and providing for an encroachment on a portion of the Jewella Avenue Right-of-way, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
6. **Ordinance No. 77 of 2012**: An ordinance amending Section 26-175 of the Code of Ordinances relative to the retained Risk Fund and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to introduce Ordinance No(s). 72, 73, 74, 75, 76 and 77 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 60 of 2012**: **ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 12-03**: An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 51.086 tract of land, located north of Southern Loop in the Provenance Development located in Sections 19 and 20 (T16-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Public Hearing to be held July 10, 2012*) (*Postponed June 26, 2012 until July 10, 2012*) (D/Corbin)

Having passed first reading on June 12, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Corbin, Councilman Corbin, I would advise you to start politicking already, and make sure you get all of the votes.

Councilman Corbin: I'll bring you with me to knock on doors.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

2. **Ordinance No. 61 of 2012: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 12-05:** An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A 13.417 acre tract of land, located south of Overton Brooks Road and east of Norris Ferry Road, located in Section 21 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Public Hearing to be held July 10, 2012*)(*Postponed June 26, 2012 until July 10, 2012*) (D/Corbin)

Having passed first reading on June 12, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

3. **Ordinance No. 65 of 2012:** An ordinance amending the 2012 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman O. Jenkins. 1.

4. **Ordinance No. 66 of 2012:** An ordinance amending the 2012 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Mayor's Summer Internship Program*)

Having passed first reading on June 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman O. Jenkins. 1.

5. Ordinance No. 67 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Homelessness Prevention Award*)

Having passed first reading on June 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

6. Ordinance No. 68 of 2012: ANNEXATION – TAG NO. 12-06: An ordinance to enlarge the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – a 33.209 acre tract of land, located east of Buncombe Road and west of LA Hwy 3132 located in Section 30 (T17N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Public Hearing to be held July 10, 2012*) (E/Webb)

Having passed first reading on June 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch.

The Clerk read the following:

Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 68 of 2012

In the title of the ordinance delete the phrase “a 33.209 acre tract of land” and substitute the following:

“an approximately 35.58 acre tract of land”.

Explanation of amendment:

Corrects an error in the acreage being annexed.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 68 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to postpone Ordinance No. 68 of 2012 as amended until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

7. Ordinance No. 70 of 2012: An ordinance authorizing the execution of a contract for beverage vending and concessions with Louisiana Coca-Cola Bottling Company, LLC., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Not to be adopted prior to July 24, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on June 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

8. Ordinance No. 71 of 2012: An ordinance to amend and reenact certain provisions Chapter 102-83 of the Code of Ordinances relative to metered taxicab rates and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch.

The Clerk read the following:

Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 71 of 2012

Delete the ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached ordinance.

Explanation of amendment:

The substitution will account for the initial base rate of \$3.75 as well as \$0.25 for the first one-eighth of a mile; thereby creating a maximum of \$4.00 for the initial trip up to one-eighth of a mile. The state inspector explained that the old ordinance that was

revised in May 2012 did not provide a legal definition of the initial measurement and movement of the taxi cabs.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 71 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Ordinance No. 71 of 2012 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

9. Ordinance No. 69 of 2012: ZONING – C-35-12: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport, Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the south side of W. Monkhouse, 655 feet west of Monkhouse Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA from B-3, Community Business District to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District Limited to “Overflow parking for oversized vehicles, only and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

Having passed first reading on June 25, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 60 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 51.086-ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCATED NORTH OF SOUTHERN LOOP IN THE PROVENANCE DEVELOPMENT LOCATED IN SECTIONS 19 AND 20 (T16N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

TAG NO. 12-03

WHEREAS, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

WHEREAS, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

TAG NO. 12-03 A tract of land located in Sections 19 and 20, T16N-R13W, Caddo Parish, Louisiana. Said tract more fully described as follows:

From the southwest corner of Section 20 (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, run East a distance of 255.30 feet; thence run North a distance a 25.31 feet to the point of Beginning of the tract herein described.

Thence run N 00°02'12" E a distance of 52.68 feet; thence run northerly and clockwise along a curve to the right a distance of 128.12 feet (said curve having a radius of 480.00 feet and a long chord bearing of N 07°41'24" E – 127.74 feet); thence run N 15°20'12" E a distance of 70.00 feet; thence run N 23°35'55" W a distance of 1,813.70 feet; thence run S 89°22'25" E a distance of 1,686.44 feet; thence run N 0°44'03" E a distance of 656.21 feet; thence run S 89°25'26" E a distance of 1,319.99 feet; thence run S 0°18'34" W a distance of 39.57 feet; thence run S 89°32'25" E a distance of 270.61 feet; thence run S 0°18'45" W a distance of 422.78 feet; thence run N 89°03'46" W a distance of 448.63 feet; thence run South a distance of 100.85 feet; thence run S 85°16'30" W a distance of 359.32 feet; thence run S 4°43'30" E a distance of 58.00 feet; thence run S 1°08'51" a distance of 120.63 feet; thence run S 85°16'30" W a distance of 536.85 feet; thence run S 5°27'02" E a distance of 63.82 feet; thence run southerly and counter-clockwise along a curve to the left a distance of 89.77 feet (said curve having a radius of 1,010.00 feet and a long chord bearing of S 7°59'49" E – 89.74); thence run southeasterly and counter-clockwise along a curve to the left a distance of 198.95 feet (said curve having a radius of 1,050.00 feet and a long chord bearing of S 15°58'17" E – 198.66); thence run S 67°27'18" W a distance of 120.18 feet; thence run northwesterly and clockwise along a curve to the right a distance of 24.20 feet (said curve having a radius of 978.81 feet and a long chord bearing of N 21°50'12" W – 24.20); thence run northwesterly and counter-clockwise along a curve to the left a distance of 190.60 feet (said curve having a radius of 729.48 feet and a long chord bearing of N 74°39'15" W – 190.60); thence run N 26°25'59" W a distance of 40.00 feet; thence run S 63°34'01" W a distance of 133.39 feet; thence run southwesterly and counter-clockwise along a curve to the left a distance of 54.32 feet (said curve having a radius of 50.00 feet and a long chord bearing of S 32°26'32" W – 51.69); thence run S 1°19'04" W a distance 83.98 feet; thence run southwesterly and counter-clockwise along a curve to the left a distance of 291.42 feet (said curve having a radius of 559.14 feet and a long chord bearing a S 73°24'23" W – 288.13); thence run

N 23°32'31" W a distance of 292.79 feet; thence run North a distance of 112.82 feet; thence run S 64°12'04" W a distance of 886.48 feet; thence run S 23°50'24" E a distance of 217.57 feet; thence run S 23°35'55" E a distance of 775.33 feet thence run S 37°36'56" W a distance of 75.37 feet; thence run S 0°05'22" W a distance of 48.00 feet; thence run S 34°45'54" E a distance of 110.51 feet; thence run S 17°46'59" E a distance of 712.02 feet to a point on the north right-of-way line of Southern Loop Roadway; thence run southwesterly and clockwise along a curve to the right and along said north right-of-way line of Southern Loop Roadway a distance of 407.04 feet (said curve having radius of 1,542.02 feet and a long chord bearing of S 79°46'45" W – 405.86) to the Point of Beginning.

Said tract containing 51.086 acres.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby assigned to Council District "D".

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, within ten (10) days of the final passage of this ordinance, with the Clerk of the District Court

for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 61 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO ENLARGE THE LIMITS AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT – A 13.417 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCATED SOUTH OF OVERTON BROOKS ROAD AND EAST OF NORRIS FERRY ROAD, LOCATED IN SECTION 21 (T16N-R13W), CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

TAG NO. 12-05

WHEREAS, Section 172 of Title 33 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950 provides for annexation by petition; and

WHEREAS, petitions signed by more than the required percentage in number of registered voters and in number of resident property owners and by more than the required percentage in property value of resident owners of the area described below have been filed with the City Council to annex and bring within the corporate limits of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, the following described property, to wit:

TAG NO. 12-05 DESCRIPTION OF A TRACT OF LAND LOCATED IN SECTION 21, TOWNSHIP 16 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, CADDO PARISH LOUISIANA. SAID TRACT BEING MORE FULLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 291 OF LONG LAKES ESTATES UNIT NO. 17 AS RECORDED IN BOOK 4050, PAGE 211 OF THE RECORDS OF CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, RUN THENCE NORTH 69°49'35" WEST A DISTANCE OF 266.42 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 291, THENCE RUN NORTH 00°02'55" WEST A DISTANCE OF 63.00 FEET. THENCE RUN SOUTH 89°57'05" WEST A DISTANCE 50.00 FEET, THENCE RUN SOUTH 00°02'55 EAST A DISTANCE OF 44.59 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 290 OF SAID LONG LAKE UNIT NO. 17, THENCE RUN NORTH 69°49'35" WEST A DISTANCE OF 968.09 FEET, THENCE RUN NORTH 20°10'25" EAST A DISTANCE OF 475.36 FEET, THENCE RUN SOUTH 69°49'35 EAST A DISTANCE OF 792.98 FEET, THENCE RUN NORTH 89°57'05" EAST A DISTANCE OF 300.00 FEET, THENCE RUN SOUTH 00°02'55 EAST A DISTANCE OF 617.09 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

SAID TRACT CONTAINING 13.417 ACRES.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal, and regular session convened, that the limits and boundaries of

the City of Shreveport are hereby changed to include within the limits and boundaries of said City the above-described property.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the annexed area contained herein be and is hereby assigned to Council District “D”.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to file, within ten (10) days of the final passage of this ordinance, with the Clerk of the District Court

for Caddo Parish a description of the entire boundary of the municipality as changed by this ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Clerk of Council be and is hereby authorized to record a certified copy of this ordinance in the official records of the District Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 65 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 GENERAL FUND BUDGET, APPROPRIATING THE FUNDS AUTHORIZED THEREIN, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 General Fund Budget.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the City Council of the City of Shreveport by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance 116 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the General Fund Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations)

General Government

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$100,000

Increase Transfer to Community Development by \$100,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 116 of 2011 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect with out the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 66 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance Number 119 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Fiscal Year 2012 Funds:

Increase Transfer from General Fund by \$100,000

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

From 2012 Revenues:

Workforce Development

Increase Personal Services by \$100,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 119 of 2011, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 67 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance Number 119 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Under "2011 and Prior Year Funds":

Increase State Homelessness Prev & Rapid Rehousing by \$79,200

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Under “Prior Year Funds”:

Increase State Homelessness Prev & Rapid Rehousing by \$79,200

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance 119 of 2011, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 69 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF W. MONKHOUSE, 655 FEET WEST OF MONKHOUSE DRIVE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LA, FROM B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT TO B-3-E, COMMUNITY BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO “OVERFLOW PARKING FOR OVERSIZED VEHICLES” ONLY, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of Lot 64, Redbud Heights Subdivision less Expressway R/W 171417-24-96, Lot 65, Redbud Heights Extension 171417-24-65, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, property located on the south side of W. Monkhouse, 655 feet west of Monkhouse Drive, be and the same is hereby changed **from B-3, Community Business District to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District limited to “overflow parking for oversized vehicles” only.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 71 of 2012

Delete the ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached ordinance.

Explanation of amendment:

The substitution will account for the initial base rate of \$3.75 as well as \$0.25 for the first one-eighth of a mile; thereby creating a maximum of \$4.00 for the initial trip up to one-eighth of a mile. The state inspector explained that the old ordinance that was revised in May 2012 did not provide a legal definition of the initial measurement and movement of the taxi cabs.

ORDINANCE NO. 71 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REENACT CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF SECTION 102-83 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO METERED TAXICAB RATES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 102-83 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby amended as follows:

Section 102-83. Taxicab fares.

(a) *Metered rates.* The metered taxicab rates for taxicabs operating in the city shall be as listed herein. Rates shall not be adjusted more than once in a 365-day period and only with the approval of the city council.

(1) For the first one-eighth mile, or a fraction thereof, a maximum of \$4.00 for each trip, and

(2) For each additional one-eighth mile or fraction thereof, \$0.25.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this Ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this Ordinance which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of the Ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all Ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, those are all of the matters that are on the regular agenda to be adopted. We're now under tabled legislation. At the meeting yesterday, there was a discussion about removing No. 17. It's Resolution No. 36 of 2012.

Tabled legislation.

1. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 74 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Solid Waste Collections, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Tabled September 13, 2011*)

2. **Resolution No. 179 of 2011**: Authorizing Melba Yvonne Warr Baldwin located at 382 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Near D and is not contiguous to the city limits.) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

3. **Resolution No. 222 of 2011**: Authorizing Lawrence Johnson Franklin Jr. and Elizabeth Fry Franklin located at 421 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

4. **Resolution No. 223 of 2011**: Authoring Lee Roy Stanley located at 419 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*)(D/Corbin) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

5. **Resolution No. 226 of 2011**: Authorizing Frank G. Harris III located at 437 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

6. **Resolution No. 227 of 2011**: Authorizing Kaylan Franklin Worley, Sr. located at 3008 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

7. **Resolution No. 228 of 2011**: Authorizing Jeffery Charles Shamsie located at 414 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

8. **Resolution No. 229 of 2011**: Authorizing Joan E. Thoma located at 2036 Pepper Ridge Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

9. **Resolution No. 230 of 2011**: Authorizing Henry Eugene Raines, Jr., and Gloria Jean Wingate Raines located at 3004 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

10. **Resolution No. 231 of 2011**: Authorizing William Sidney Hunt and Gaynel Neyrey Hunt located at 10015 Nightingale Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

11. **Resolution No. 233 of 2011**: Authorizing James Allan Bell and Betty Ann Kilpatrick Bell located at 3055 Dryden Court to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

12. **Resolution No. 241 of 2011**: Requiring the Chief Administrative Officer to offer the fair market value (and if accepted) to purchase the flood prone properties located at

8035 and 8037 Jewella Avenue by October 26, 2011, or to provide a report to the council relative to this matter on that date and to otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Tabled October 24, 2011*)

13. **Resolution No. 243 of 2011**: Authorizing James Michael Johnson and Kelly Renee Larry Johnson located at 352 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (*Tabled October 24, 2011*)

14. **Resolution No. 244 of 2011**: Authorizing Byron Wayne Ruesch and Leslie Baldwin Ruesch Located at 369 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (*Tabled October 24, 2011*)

15. **Resolution No. 248 of 2011**: Authorizing Claude E. Franklin and Mary Elizabeth Womack Franklin located at 442 Bob White Lane to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (*Tabled October 24, 2011*)

16. **Resolution No. 249 of 2011**: Authorizing Jason Wayne Waltman and Kristy Mcgee Waltman located at 3028 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto (*The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits*) (*Tabled October 24, 2011*)

17. **Resolution No. 36 of 2012**: Opposing Senate Bills 269 and 273, introduced in the 2012 Regular Session of the Louisiana Legislature, which will amend R,S, 33L4461 and R.S. 33:4510(D), relative to franchise fees, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)(*Tabled March 27, 2012*)

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to remove Resolution No. 36 of 2012 from the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

18. **Ordinance No. 25 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Texas Street Turn Lanes*) (B/Everson)(*Tabled March 27, 2012*)

Appeals

Property Standards Appeals

PSD0900275: 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) (*Postponed May 21, 2012 until July 9, 2012*)

HBO1000030: 153 Merrick Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Lola B. Layton, P.O. Box 4582 Shreveport, LA 71134 (*Postponed April 23, 2012 until August 27, 2012*)

PSD1000086: 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (*Postponed April 23, 2012 until July 23, 2012*)

PSD1000141 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

PSD1100017 3634 Sumner Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Roberto Strickland, 3740 Jackson Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed June 11, 2012 until September 10, 2012*)

HBO1100050 3819 Bobbitt Place, Shreveport, LA A/McCulloch) Ms. Cheryl Barnhardt, Jackson and McPherson, LLC., 1010 Common Street, Suite 1800, New Orleans, LA 70112(*Postponed April 23, 2012 until July 23, 2012*)

PSD1100251: 3631 Stonewall, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Charles Parson, 4308 Lakeshore Dr., Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed June 11, 2012 until September 10, 2012*)

PSD1100007 7407 Henderson Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/ O. Jenkins) Ms. Hazel Red, 149 Gilbert Drive, Opelousas, LA 70570 (*Postponed June 11, 2012 until July 9, 2012*)

CAB1200543 1568 Viking Drive, Shreveport, LA 71101 (B/Everson) Ms. Ruthie Franklin, 1568 Viking Drive, Shreveport, LA 71101 (B/Everson) (*Postponed June 25, 2012 until July 9, 2012*)

Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals

Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals

The Clerk read the following:

1. **ZONING APPEAL - BAC-36-12**: 3802 Hollywood, north side of Hollywood 200' east of Bellar Street, Special Exception Use & variance in hours in a B-3 District.
(F/Shyne)

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to approve the application for Special Exception use for a lounge with hours of operation till 12:00 midnight only be granted.

Councilman Shyne: The club is right next to a residential neighborhood. Generally, we have not had any problems there, but they've always closed at 12.

Ms. Glass: So you want to overturn the decision of the Zoning Board of appeals regarding allowing the Special Exception use. Uphold them with regard to - - - well the end result being that they would not get the extension.

Councilman Shyne: That's exactly right. They would not get the extension of hours, but they would be able to open until 12:00. Make sure that you continue to run the club right. Because it's really right next door to a neighborhood, and I know you're very, very aware of that.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Let me get a clarification now. Do we need to be voting YES to support what you're saying?

Mr. Thompson: YES.

Councilman S. Jenkins: A YES vote supports what you're saying?

Councilman Shyne: That's correct.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright I just wanted to be clear on it.

Councilman Shyne: And I would hope that you would extend an invitation to Councilman Oliver Jenkins to come out sometimes.

Ms. Trotter: Absolutely. The Alpha Ladies would love to have you there.

Councilman O. Jenkins: As long as Mr. Shyne would go with me and show me the proper behavior, so (inaudible) myself appropriately for that establishment.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Put your pajamas on, huh?

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Shyne: Now you have to be careful, because the Chief may pass there anytime.

Ms. Trotter: Welcome, welcome. Alright thank you guys.

2. ZONING APPEAL - BAC-43-12: Property located on the north side of Flournoy Lucas Road, 200 ' east of Kingston Road. (E/Webb)

Councilman Webb: Mr. Chairman, I didn't really get a chance to ask any questions before when they were up here, and I wanted to ask a question to a ZBA person or whoever representing the ZBA. I was looking at the staff report on the zoning. It says Special Use and Variance in the hours of operation in a B-2 district. Okay, they're asking for operating hours from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., which I don't oppose, what are the B-2 hours.

Ms. Sanders: I believe the B-2 hours end at 10:00 p.m., but I would have to double check.

Councilman O. Jenkins: No it does say 7-10:00 p.m. within 300 feet of (inaudible). And that's according to the document on the back.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I'm going to make a motion to uphold the ZBA, and I also wanted the new owner to come up, I wanted to say a couple of words to him, if I could please. First of all I want to welcome you to Southern Hills. And I look forward to you having a successful business in your operation. I know I've traded at that store for 20 years that I've been in Southern Hills myself. And I appreciate the changes that you are making in the property on the exterior and all that. And I think you're going to be successful. That store has always been successful, and I understand that that's your brother-in-law that owned it before?

Mr. Kou: It was, I bought it from him.

Councilman Webb: And you know the reasons why they lost their alcohol license? So I just wanted to stress that to you, because we're trying to get serious all over the city, and I know I'm asking the police officers and everything, and they're checking for underage drinking and synthetic marijuana, any of that stuff is going to get your license revoked. So, I hope you will be successful and run a clean business, and I wish you all the best, and I hope this council will approve the upholding of the ZBA.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, just to be sure I believe the Board voted 6-0 to approve the special use in and a variance in operation to permit a convenience store with packaged wine and beer sales operating from 6:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m. And I thought I heard you say 10:00 and I just wanted to be sure.

Councilman Webb: Right. She said a B-2 is normally till 10:00.

Mr. Thompson: So is your motion to - - -

Councilman Webb: My motion is to approve what they approved. And they approved till 11:00, and I don't have a problem with that.

Mr. Kou: Thank you Councilmen. I just want to say that this is going to be a clean operation, and you guys are all welcomed to stop by anytime. Thank you.

Councilman Webb: Thank you, good luck to you.

Councilman Shyne: I know if you have Cuz with you, its going to be a clean operation. Because he's always been an excellent businessman.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to uphold the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

3. **ZONING APPEAL - C-31-12**: (Site Plan) 6300 block Jefferson Paige District (G/S. Jenkins)

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to uphold the decision of the MPC and deny the site plan.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We have a representative from the MPC to just kinda give us a little background on the staff work on this? I understand that the MPC voted unanimously to deny the site plan.

Ms. Sanders: Right. In this situation the Metropolitan Planning Commission did review the application at two separate meetings. There were some minor modification to the proposal. Officially those modifications were to the façade of the building. I believe that the motioner stated on the record her concern with the size of the property and related to the (inaudible) within that property. Her concern was that there was not enough property on the site to accommodate this proposed use.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I really think that that's the big problem. I think the MPC is correct. I just wanted to say for the record, that not only did I talk with the residents, I did talk with the attorneys for the developer and I did go out and take a look at the site. I'm familiar with the area, and I believe the MPC is correct on this, where you've got a somewhat small commercial lot, and when you start putting a structure on there, required parking, start giving consideration to the trucks that have to come there and load and unload, as well as the parking that's going to be going on with the individual patrons that's there. It's got too small of a lot to execute the plan that they are trying to put in place. I also think that the residents have made some valid arguments concerning the potential blight that could come into the neighborhood. Because for whatever reason there may be, and I'm not trying to knock Dollar General or (inaudible), it appears these stores run for a while and then they become vacant and the building remain vacant, and I think a testament to that is the Family Dollar they can see across the street from where this particular proposed site is. And then there are public safety issues there after you start having 18-wheelers and traffic there, to kinda fill out into the street. So I think there are some valid reasons that have been put forth and its not an arbitrary type situation. So, certainly ask for - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I certainly intend to support your motion. But once again and I find Mr. Marino brought up some valid points that we're reminded week in and week out here that we really need to move forward on Uniform Development Code. This group I think in good faith put together what they thought was a reasonable site plan. They looked at our ordinances and complied with it to the best of their ability. I certainly support what the residents are saying and I'm not interested in going against that. But I think if we're going to be open for business here in Shreveport, we need a very clear code that a developer can live within the confines of and also appeal to the citizens. So I'm just putting a plug that we continue to move forward with it, you know looking for a funding source for that Uniform Development Code, because issues like this would become a lot more clear to us up here at the council. So that was my only comment.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It's better considered at the front end. So I agree with you. I do understand.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And so that the public will know we need \$450,000.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well ask Red River Waterway.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

4. **ZONING APPEAL - SC-20-12**: (Subdivision Plat) South side of Jefferson Paige Road, approximately 340 feet west of Pines Road (G/S. Jenkins)

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to uphold the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Other Appeals

Taxi Appeal

Reports from officers, boards, and committees

Master Plan Committee Report (*1st Meeting of the Month*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm asking the members of the Audit and Finance Committee to once again take a look at your calendars for Monday, July 23rd at 1:00 or Tuesday, July 24th at 1:00 so that we can take up the 2011 External Audit with BKD. Let us know or just get with Ms. Stewart and we can try to circulate everything and get a date and time agreed to and get a notice out. That's all we'll need to do in that regard. Any other reports from officers, boards or committees?

Councilman Everson: I would like to just ask the members of the Infrastructure Committee to check your calendars. I would like to call a meeting later this month or at the start of next month. So I'll shoot out an email with the proposed dates, and just be on the lookout for that, because I do want to try to get us back together to look at a few matters. Some street things and some water issues.

Councilman Corbin: Would it be possible to, if we meet with Audit and Finance on Monday, do Infrastructure on Tuesday?

Councilman Everson: That's ideal. So if that works for everyone, that would be an ideal situation.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Mayor, while we've got the record going, was there anything that you wanted to apologize to your Assistant Principal about while we got it on record? You must have been tough to deal with. You know the biggest boy in the school, running over everybody, making everybody get out of his way. Now do you want to apologize?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Shyne, you have to remember, I had parents who were school teachers.

Councilman Shyne: I know.

Mayor Glover: And so unlike a lot of the other charges that you all had over the years, I could never go home with that complaint of something that my teacher had done, or that my Principal had done. Cause I would be told, I want to know did you get a whipping at school for that? And if the answer to that question was 'Yes', then I got another one at home. And if I didn't get one at school, then I had to go and get one that night, and then I had to go and ask for another one after I got back to school the next day.

Councilman Shyne: I just wanted to give you the chance to apologize on the record, if there was anything that you did, that you kinda hid.

Mayor Glover: Well now I'll go back to what I said earlier. We called him "The Enforcer", and you will notice that he has one arm that is noticeably bigger than the other.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Thompson, do you have a clerks report?

Clerk's Report

Mr. Thompson: We have none.

The Committee "rises and reports" (reconvenes the regular council meeting)

Adjournment: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 7:58 p.m.

//s// Sam L. Jenkins, Jr., Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council