



## **Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana**

*May 10, 2011*

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Oliver Jenkins at 3:05 p.m., Tuesday, May 10, 2011, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Corbin.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman McCulloch.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, April 25, 2011 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, April 26, 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

### **Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, Communications of the Mayor relative to city business, and Required Reports**

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Councilman O. Jenkins: Does any Council Members have any awards, recognitions or distinguished guests at this point?

Councilman Everson: Well first of all, I see the rest of the Council received one as well. It's (inaudible) Methodist every year is known for a very popular Easter dinner/meal that they provide, and this year the City Council's own favorite source of entertainment Sammy, was one of the their featured singers and made it to the front page of their newsletter in which he's provided for us here. So we want to congratulate Sammy on his community noticing how good of an entertainer he is, and thank him for sharing that with us so we all know. In addition to that, I did want to thank in District B, I was on vacation, during the last meeting, and since then there were some great events that took place and wanted to thank some of the organizers of those. The Texas Avenue (inaudible) Fair was an event that went incredibly. It's one that they've hosted. This was the largest attendance

they had and it was done in conjunction with the Asiatic Garden Fair, and with the Texas Avenue cleanup. All of these events were going towards the redevelopment and the reinvigoration of the neighborhood known as Shreveport Commons. And so just wanted to give a special mention and thank you to those groups who participated in those events. They were all a huge success. And then finally today, I wanted to just thank Mayor Glover for offering a proclamation at an event that I MC'd earlier today that was a birthday party for the 75 area seniors who are over 100 years old in Caddo Parish, and the Mayor issued a proclamation and if you'd like, I'd like to go and read it for the record.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Please do.

*Councilman Everson read the following:*

### **P R O C L A M A T I O N**

WHEREAS, Centenarians are individuals that have been blessed to live to the age of 100 years or more; and

WHEREAS, Centenarians have laid the groundwork for strong neighborhoods, families and thriving businesses and are truly pillars of the community; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport along with the Caddo Council on Aging, seeks to recognize and honor those inspiring individuals that have enhanced, empowered and contributed to our community for 100 years or more;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Cedric B. Glover, Mayor of the City of Shreveport, do hereby proclaim Tuesday, May 10<sup>th</sup>, 2011, as:

**“CENTENARIAN DAY”**

In the City of Shreveport, and urge all citizens to celebrate such a monumental occasion.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Seal of the City of Shreveport to be affixed.

Cedric B. Glover, Mayor

Councilman Everson: Thank you very much Mayor Glover, and the centenarians enjoyed their day, they were honored, and they are inspiring, this year as they are every year. If you haven't had a chance to attend that event, please make note on your calendar in the future to go. It is really a great event to see people with that much perspective and history behind them, they given a lot to our lives so. I just wanted to (inaudible)

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, whenever you stop and do that, then I know he's (inaudible). I'd just like to recognize Mr. Jim Elrod and his staff who are down with us today and just wanted

to let you know that we are very fortunate to be one of the top healthcare centers in America. And if it was not for Mr. Elrod, I don't want to tell his age and mine, but I remember when Willis Knighton used to be about a 16 or 17 bed hospital. And look what we have now. We have something that we can be extremely proud of, and all America knows about it. But that's what happens when you have good leadership. Thank you Mr. Elrod to you and your staff.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I want to recognize one of District G's most distinguished constituents and citizens, Sunny Moss. He's affiliated with Willis Knighton also. Y'all know I like to show off the citizens of District G whenever they come down. I hope they let me know they're down here, but I saw him out there, and I just wanted you to raise your hand so they could recognize you there. That's one of District G's most distinguished constituent/citizens and - - -

Councilman Shyne: Is that Sunny back there with the gray hair that looks like mine?

Councilman S. Jenkins: No, that's not gray hair.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, okay.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's (inaudible) grown hair. But it's good to see you down today, thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Corbin: I too would like to recognize the number of residents and people who have concerns in District D, who are here today. Mr. Elrod and his group, and I see a few other familiar faces who have business interest in District D. And I know we'll be hearing from some of you a little bit later. I'd also like to recognize the City and the Caddo School System lost a friend of mine and a great educator this past week, Ms. Wanda Gunn who had served the children of Caddo Parish for 51 years. Still going strong, and then suddenly became ill. But passed away last week, her funeral was Saturday, and no matter what she was asked to do from teacher to interim superintendent, she was there doing what needed to be done, always smiling and if you knew Wanda, then certainly you were blessed. And at the next council meeting, I'll be working with staff to prepare a resolution to give to some of her friends and family.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yes. Let me first say good afternoon, and I wanted to first of all thank the Mayor for his assistance as well as Mr. Sibley, Mr. Art Thompson, our employees that served within Mr. Art Thompson's administration, and the citizens of District A as well, for such a successful town hall meeting. Thank you so much for your support. We had a very successful town hall meeting, and the citizens of District A do highly appreciate the presence of the different department heads and the information that was provided for the community. Again, thanks and we look forward to in the near future, maybe within the next year or so, they're having another town hall meeting. Also Mayor Glover, on behalf of the Ellerbe-Hamilton Family, you know Ms. Ellerbe Hamilton passed away, she lived to be 96 years old, and she was really an unsung hero during the Martin Luther King era. That family wants to thank you for the proclamation that I presented on your behalf on last Saturday. And again, thanks for the town hall meeting, the successful town hall meeting.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, the Chair would like to recognize Julie Sinkule, she's with, if she doesn't mind coming up, she's with Venyu. They are a new group that's established residence over in Cyber Innovation Center. And part of our robust economic piece the Mayor has been involved with the last four years, and she has a little bit of a presentation to talk to us about what they're pursuing and trying to accomplish there.

*Ms. Julie Sinkule: (6300 Texas Street, Bossier City, LA)* I do, again thank you for having me this afternoon to present to the City Council. My name is Julie Sinkule, I have lived in the Shreveport/Bossier area for 21 years now, and I'm currently the territory manager for the current data center expansion for Venyu located in the Cyber Innovation Center. Venyu is a Louisiana based business and was founded in 1988. Back when the internet was first forming. And we are premier provider of data protection and recovery and availability services of technology infrastructure. We currently provide solutions for over 1200 clients in all 50 states and 14 countries. Our recent expansion into the Cyber Innovation Center includes a 10,000 square foot data center, redundant fiber connectivity, redundant power supply and 24 x 7 x 365 security. This is all in a facility that's been built to anti-terrorist protection codes. I do not want anybody to underestimate what this means. This is a major footprint opportunity to this northwest Louisiana, and Shreveport/Bossier in particular. This puts us on the map with places like Colorado Springs, Dallas, New York, Boston. Those are the types of major metropolitan areas that have these types of facilities. And I would go against any single one of them with what they put into the Cyber Innovation Center. We have currently invested \$3.5 (million) to date in our data center, and that will continue to grow as we grow as we grow. We also have a plan to create a minimum of 12 new jobs by the end of this year. We are currently hiring, have positions posted on our website. I have the jobs classifications in your packets. If you know of anybody, we are currently accepting resumes and are currently interviewing. As part of what Venyu does, you don't have to understand what we do, and you don't necessarily have to understand the intricacies of technology to realize the benefits of what this facility brings to Shreveport/Bossier. 58% of the professionals in small to medium businesses can tolerate no more than about 4 hours of down town as a result of a power outage, fire, flood, tornado, before experiencing significant adverse affects of their business continuity and revenue generation. If that's not enough 93% of business who suffer a significant data loss are out of business in 5 years. The burden of over tasked and understaffed IT departments, especially in this area are often dread having to deal with the tedium and risk associated with traditional backup methods, when the time is much more effectively spent on organizational strategic projects, much less a tremendous financial and resource challenge of having to send those tape backups off site, which are now becoming kinda like the VCR version of the disc. Often these same businesses cannot justify the cost of building and managing their own infrastructure or disaster recovery infrastructure. If they even have a disaster recovery plan. This is not a one size fits all solution, Venyu provides customized impact analysis to determine operational tolerances and develop some contingency plans based on recovery objectives and budget. As servants to public service, you already know that there are going to be days when you have to deal with emergencies. Maybe small, maybe large, maybe catastrophic. What I want each of you to know here today is that Venyu is the company you want in your corner. Venyu rises to the challenge every time by continuing to improve it's security, manage data centers, and recovery service offering. We are battle tested, battle ready, whose in your corner. I appreciate again the opportunity to give a little presentation here, and we are hosting an open house at the CIC on May

26<sup>th</sup>. It's going to be through the Bossier Chamber After Hours. Ribbon cutting begins at 4:30, and the after hours begins at 5:00. An invitation is also enclosed in your packets. And we are also scheduling tours of the new facility. We are working with local industry partners as well as industry to have them come out and actually see what it's all about. We're the first privately held company located in the Cyber Innovation Center, so now everybody can kinda come see what's going on. I don't have access anywhere else. But I do to the data center. So, that's all I have, thank you very much.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you. Okay, Bishop Brandon are you - - - ?

Councilman Webb: Yeah, he's sitting over there.

Councilman O. Jenkins: We're hoping you'd come forward and just give us a little appreciation of what went on last night and kinda what the lessons were from that and what we could do to help the citizens here in Shreveport.

*Bishop Larry Brandon:* Well thank you Chairman. You caught me off guard there.

Councilman S. Jenkins: That's my Bishop.

Bishop Brandon: Thank you so much. To the Mayor and to the Council, I do appreciate this opportunity to share. Last night was a great forum. (Inaudible) did an excellent job and I do appreciate the airport Interim Director, for all of their efforts to educate the community. And it was a powerful experience. A lot of the feedback I got was very pleasant and common and the general consensus there was very informative. And that's what we need to educate the people. I understand it's a voluntary program and that the airport cares, that the city really cares for the citizens. So like I said, the feedback was phenomenal, it was awesome and we certainly need more forums as such to educate the public. So thank you for the opportunity to share.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Chairman, I want to congratulate Bishop Brandon once again. A great selection Mayor, to be on our Airport Authority. I've been hearing wonderful things, and I understand you stepped in there, just like you stepped in the pulpit, and you just started taking over. Just wanted to congratulate you on all the good things I'm hearing that you're doing and the Airport Authority is doing, and many of the changes that you're bringing about, so just keep up the good work.

Bishop Brandon: Well thank you so much. I thank the Mayor for appointing me and then your confirming it, I do appreciate and certainly going to do our best to make a difference because we are better together. Thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, I'd just like to take a moment myself here and recognize our military servicemen for some significant efforts that went on about ten days ago in Afghanistan and of course I get a fair amount of questions about you know, where were the Marines? I tell everybody they were busy working. But that's a little bit on the Marine side and some of us that spent time in the service understand. But what it does bring home the part is that that is a

significant event for us in the United States. What I was reminded of lastly is of and reminded of certainly this weekend and seeing Chief Crawford is that we have heroes everyday that do significant things for Shreveport. The LSU Health Sciences Foundation had a great tribute to those folks, and certainly I'm hearing all the good works that Chief and his group did coming back from our neighboring states is important and they may be on call again. So I'd just like to take this moment to recognize our heroes locally as well as those nationally. Okay, Mayor any awards or recognitions at this point?

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Mayor Glover: Yes Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, my oldest brother Larry, who is retired Navy, who lives up in Seattle, Washington and who is watching us right now by U-Stream, wanted me to share with you by the text he just sent, that the Marines were in Afghanistan, it was the Navy Seals who were in Pakistan.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'll take that. As I said earlier (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: But thank you for those sentiments regarding our service people, no doubt what has been recently accomplished represents a definite point for this country to be able to move another step down the road in terms of how we go about sending out that clear unequivocal message that while the threat may never disappear, that we're going to remain ever vigil, and do all that we can to keep the citizens of this country safe, and that for those folks who do manage to be able to get it right every now and then, because as President Bush said, "We've got to be right every time in order to be able to prevent these things from happening, they only have to be right once, in order to be able to bring the world's attention and focus to that even those occasions, situations and circumstances, where they may be right, we won't rest until we bring them to justice." So thank you for your service, and thanks to all of those who have served, who have helped to make it possible for America to be a better safer place. Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, I want to pick up today on a point where we stopped off at the last Mayoral communications to this Council. As you all will remember, we were recognizing what we thought on that day, was going to be three of our area young people who were designated as Louisiana's Young Heroes. As I mentioned, we honored two who were selected as Young Heroes for 2011, but we had three all together to achieve this honor, and today, the third member of that team is here with us, and his name is Charlton Boyd. Charlton, would you come up and join me here. Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, before I tell you how Charlton Boyd became a Louisiana Hero, I'll tell you why he couldn't make it to be here with us on last month. You see Charlton also has become and is an accomplished tennis player. And on last week, the state tournament was in full swing. And as it turns out Charlton and his older brother Carter made a championship run, and they won the Boys Division III title. Let's give 'em a round of applause. Accomplished athlete. Charlton Boyd was in the 4<sup>th</sup> grade Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, when he created the "The Books Out of Kindness Program". This is a program which provides thousands of books for elementary schools throughout the area. Charlton raised more than \$5,000 in 2009 for the fundraiser, and he also received a \$5,000 grant that he donated to a school that is either in Councilman Jenkins (Sam) or Councilman McCulloch's district, I'm not sure which one, Our Lady of the Blessed Sacrament happens to be G or A.

Councilwoman McCulloch: That's Sam.

Mayor Glover: But he raised \$10,000 that was given to Blessed Sacrament to help them buy books for their after school reading program. It's a program that not only provides the books for, but that he participates in as well. Charlton is a freshman at Loyola College Prep, and he says a hero is someone who does good deeds, even though they may not be recognized for them. Now if that's not an appropriate perspective to have in light of the fact that we have a group of individuals whose names and identities that we will never know, that Mr. Chairman (inaudible) in terms of their heroic deeds 10 days ago, we have a young man here who understands that indeed. It's about doing the work that needs to be done, and not necessarily the recognition that goes along with it. Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, I want to ask you all to join me in giving Charlton a round of applause for being designated as being one of Louisiana's Young Heroes. Charlton, it is my great pleasure to present to you on behalf of the Mayor's Office of the City of Shreveport, the citizens of the City of Shreveport and the City Council, this Mayor's Award of Excellence in recognition of your outstanding accomplishments. Congratulations. And one more over here. Here we go. Charlton, we want you to come up, step forward and say whatever may be on your mind to Chairman Jenkins and the rest of the members of the City Council and to the citizens of Shreveport.

*Mr. Charlton Boyd:* Thanks for recognizing me for my award that I got and books. I read every Thursday, every week. It's a Thursday after school every week, I read at Our Lady of the Blessed Sacrament, during their after school program, and I recruit some of my classmates and upper classmen to come read with me, and since we have to have service hours at Loyola, that kinda entices them to come read. I really enjoy it and that's what I like to do, and thank you.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Charlton, it's an honor and a pleasure and a privilege to have you here today. Please keep up the great work and if you don't mind, I'm going to reach out and see if myself and maybe some of the members of the Council may want to go one Thursday and join you. We could use some service hours as well, so thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: We could really use some practice on reading.

Mayor Glover: And that too. Thank you very much Mr. Chairman and members of the Council. Next I want to make mention of several things that have transpired since the last meeting. I think we stopped off at some of the best news we could have gotten here within the last several weeks, could be the news that the governor has committed to the full funding of I-49 North. Well, that was announced a week ago on yesterday. And kinda got lost in the minds of many due to the significant events that took place on the previous Sunday. And so members of the Council, I want to stand here again and to publicly announce and to express my appreciation to Governor Jindal for his willingness to include without much (inaudible), for those of you who have watched this effort over the years, it goes back several decades. I was first placed on a citizens committee to strategize about the funding of I-49. When I got to Baton Rouge as a rookie legislator in 1996. I served two terms under Governor Foster, he said it was the No. 1 priority, but it was very difficult to be able to see that based upon what came out of those eight years. Thankfully our congressman at the time, Jim McCrery stayed focused, stayed committed, managed to get \$200 + (million) into the omnibus

transportation bill at that time. That helped to lead the way toward a significant addition of state dollars that eventually came into being. The former State Representative Billy Montgomery came up with an outside of the box approach to funding I-49 construction that resulted in a portion of the states unclaimed property fund being available to be bonded equally to portions of north and south portions of I-49. That led to the utilization of a funding mechanism that was announced this past January that's going to result in the funding for the portion of I-49 as it runs between Highway 1 and MLK Drive. That left the last section of the road, members of the Council still yet to be funded. \$100,000,000 portion needed to build the interchange that would be constructed from MLK Drive into 220. But now with that you would have interstate quality, controlled access to road system from one end of the State of Louisiana all the way down to its southern portion. And we probably would take quite a considerable amount of political effort to be able to get that done. To his credit, Governor Jindal didn't flinch, we were fortunate that the bids for the portion of I-49 between Highway 1 and MLK Drive came in about \$27,000,000 under what was proposed and budgeted. That gave a good head start on trying to come up with the additional money that we needed. The governor looked at the capital outlay possibility, decided that he could commit to an additional \$73,000,000 in his House Bill 2, which is the Capital Outlay Bill for the State of Louisiana and with that, and assuming that the legislature ultimately approves it as it has been laid out, at the end of the legislation or upon signing dot, as it's referred to, we will have in place Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, all of the funding. Every single penny to be able to build from I-220 at N. Market all the way to the Arkansas-Louisiana state line. Almost 35 miles worth of additional drivable interstate quality highway here inside the state of Louisiana. So thanks to Governor Jindal, thanks to the members of the legislature, thanks to all of those folks over the years who have served as a part of the collective effort to get this done. Our current chairman is Bob Gorman of the funding task force. Bob has been a (inaudible) in this effort. He and I have journeyed to Baton Rouge to meet with the governor on this effort on more than a few occasions, and I want to thank him for his leadership and Al Kessler is another name that I would be remiss if I did not mention. Al was one of those folks back in the early '90s who was banging that drum, singing his song, when many folks were saying it'll never happen. But we're there, and we're very thankful for the leadership that's been shown to get us there. I also want to remind Council Members that for those of you who are new, this would be your first time around for a great Shreveport tradition. It started my first time on the council, by then Mayor Hazel Beard. It's called "Paint Your Heart Out Shreveport". And it's one of the best community building, community spirit building exercises that we engage in as a city. A week from this Saturday on the 21<sup>st</sup>, you will have groups from all over the City of Shreveport who will be out in the city's area neighborhoods helping our elderly and disabled with a good solid sound sprucing up of their homes. It will include at the very minimum painting, there will be some additional homes that will have some additional improvement that will be made to 'em. But we have groups from all across this city. Barksdale usually leads the way in terms of the highest number of volunteers, but church groups, sorority groups, others from all across the spectrum step forth to help make this one of the very best things about the City of Shreveport, and I certainly want to encourage you all to, when we send you the list of groups that are out there, that if time and schedules will allow, please find one or two to stop by, let those volunteers know how much you appreciate their effort and have a chance to be able to meet some pretty nice homeowners as well. Lastly, Mr. Chairman, we have here with us today, Chip Naus and the folks from MBI who are prepared to make an update with

regard to where things are at that particular location if it is in line with the time constraints that the Council have for this portion of the agenda.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Certainly, if they've made the offer to come down, we'd absolutely like to have them.

Mayor Glover: Chip, and Sir, come on down.

*Mr. Chip Naus:* Just by way of background, my name is Chip Naus, I represent MBI. This is Fred Gossen, he is here, he's the President of MBI. And for those of you who are new to the council, or at least that I haven't talked to yet, I was involved with MBI when Beaird filed bankruptcy in May of 2009. He filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy case, we got a Chapter 7 Trustee appointed that summer and through the bankruptcy process, they take longer than you or I (inaudible) arena and it was pretty quick was the reason we got the furniture, fixtures and equipment from the Chapter 7 trustee. At the end of 2009 and was when we finally got the real estate, the 50 acres that Beaird owned in its own name that's near the plant and vital to the operation of the plant, we got that from the bankruptcy court only early last year, and then we finished the foreclosure sale of the 50 acres in November of last year, so we've completed all the things we needed to do from a legal standpoint to make that plant operational. In the meantime, MBI has invested north of \$7,000,000 in this plant. They are working on a sole source contract now where they're the primary contractor. This is the only plant where this work can be done. They have the technology, it's proven, but they've not had the capacity before. Unfortunately because of the nature of the contract, I'm not at liberty to say what it is, but the first phase of that contract that they are expecting any day is in the range of \$25,000,000. The total contract price is north of \$100,000,000. And they feel very optimistic about what they're doing. They're trying to diversify their business into (inaudible) arena and I'm going to let Mr. Gossen take it over from here.

*Mr. Gossen: (MBI)* Thank you Chairman, thank you Mayor. MBI started 12 years ago, we built the first modular blast resistant building for chemical plants and refineries. When we started that was the first product that was introduced. They were having a lot of incidents in plants that were killing people, but they weren't recognizing that what was killing the people was not the blast, but was the building they were in, that was splintering, that was killing them. And, over the last 12 years, we've developed a market with that, and it's been a good solid business that we built on. Since then, and last year was a hallmark year from the standpoint that we diversified into the government and military arena. And it was a real challenge for us last year, because we had so much square footage and to do a clear project with the project that we had, with our domestic based business, it was a real challenge, and it was very tough from the standpoint we could not, we didn't have the physical plant to be able to pull that off. We've moved to the Shreveport plant in mid July/August of last year. That gave us the ability to do both monitor the work. We have since cleared that facility, and it is a clear facility, it's recognized as a clear facility. We also have a domestic line that's able to be produced in there without interruption of our governmental business. And what's going on from our high level view is ask the draw down of troops that's taking place in our military, because they do not have the stand-off distance to a lot of these facilities, right now they're being protected by people who give it perimeter protection, as they draw out the buildings themselves, they're going to need to be provided that protection. And so what they're looking at is

MBI has the technology to provide high level technology from mortars, rockets, blasts that we've developed, that when introduced to that market place, and we're recognized as a sole source as Chip said. Because we're the only ones that has the capacity and the technology to provide that type of product. And so when we, Mr. Chairman, when you were talking about recognizing what's going on globally and there's a company here in Shreveport that's participating in that future operation in a pretty substantial way. And hopefully the next time, I can give you more details for that, but that's the highlight of the deal of what's going on.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, we wanted to, because we know that at least five of you, the saga of the Beaird/MBI situation, is something that's still relatively new to you, but we wanted to make sure that we keep you all along with the citizens informed and abreast of exactly where this direction, or this situation is moving. That it has a very definite direction at this point. We are certainly supportive of the efforts that are underway, and we think that they are certainly due the additional time that is necessary in order for the issues in question as they've been laid out to be answered. Hopefully, in the affirmative, and that will result in this situation moving forward and being a part of not just creating jobs, but as was stated, part of the economy of the future that we're trying to build for Shreveport. So, having said that, my very last task here before you today Mr. Chairman in this Communications (inaudible) is to bring up a group of those heroes that you referred to earlier. Chief Crawford, we're going to ask you to step forward. As you all know Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, the call came in from the governor on the 1<sup>st</sup> of May, following through on a request that had come in through the State of Alabama via the Office of Homeland Security for assistance, aid and support from the State of Louisiana regarding the tragic tornados that had just taken place there the day before. And there are any number of communities that they could have called on to assist in this particular aspect of the operation. But they called on Louisiana, and 120 individuals from Shreveport, Bossier City, Baton Rouge, New Orleans and Pineville and Monroe answered that call. That group needed 1 person to step forward to lead to be in charge and to direct their efforts, and that person was chosen to be our own Chief Brian Crawford. They spent almost a week helping the folks there deal with some of the most challenging circumstances that any community could ever go through, and it was my desire that not only would our folks go, and to serve, but to also serve and learn. Because what transpired in Tuscaloosa and throughout that region, throughout that area is something that could happen to us here tomorrow. And we want to be thus positioned in that we know that we cannot prevent, the only thing that we could do is to assure that we respond as best we can. So, the Chief's challenge and the men and women who attended, their challenge was to serve, but to learn and to come back and to share those lessons in terms of what we might be able to do differently and better here in Shreveport. Ironically, one of the things I asked the Chief to pay particular attention to was something that he and I discussed a couple before this particular series of hurricanes set upon the Alabama region, and that is to give some thought as to whether or not, Shreveport serves as the kind of community that might be well served by having some sort of early audible warning system when it comes to these types of (inaudible). We've heard some of those suggestions made in years past. We've given some time and attention, but I've asked the Chief to dust that notion back off again and to give it another re-examination and to come back and to give me and by extension you and the citizens of the City of Shreveport a report in that regard. Now one of the things that the

Chief mentioned, was that what they learned out in Tuscaloosa was indeed a warning system, in this case, that is credited to helping to give the people of Tuscaloosa the ability to have gotten out of the way of the path of the storm as efficiently and effectively as they did. The Chief can give you full details in terms of what they experienced there, but I just want him and all of the individuals who went and who served to know how much we appreciate, how much we honor, how much we value one of the things that they did Mr. Chairman, and members of the Council, that gave me concern was I saw them helping Nick Sabin. And that was in direct contrary to the only rule that I gave him, but they said that they felt so sorry for 'em, that they figured they had to help them even as well. So with that Chief Crawford, please come up and share with the Council (inaudible).

Chief Crawford: Thank you Mayor, and you're certainly right, if you'll indulge me Mr. Chair and Council for about five minutes, I've got a very quick presentation. More than anything else, I was very proud to lead this group, not just from Shreveport, but from the state, and I see them not myself as heroes. They did the hard work, I operated from a command post, and we'd go out and see them on a daily basis. This operation was called Operation Crimson Tide, and our mission was to provide highly skilled primary and secondary search to recover operations in the affected areas to clear an area that 300 more or more people weren't accounted for. And just to give you some perspective, I myself and the members that you see behind me, and quite a few members of the task force that spent time in Katrina. And Katrina was very disheartening for a number of reasons. 1) It showed some of the inabilities and inefficiencies that our state government had, so does our federal government and maybe (inaudible) to some local governments as well. And so without stepping on any toes, certainly not this body. But even in that I remember responding down there as a responder and so did the men that went from this area, and we knew firefighting, we knew how to rescue, and we knew how to cut a car open, but we didn't know how to do urban search and rescue. We didn't have an urban search and rescue team. And so we had to have Montgomery, VA come down. We had to have Illinois come down, and Phoenix, AZ came over, and FDNY came over and set up their teams. And one of the charges and challenges to us as a state after that event was never again, will we put ourselves in a position where we can't at least take care of our own people here. And so that administration, and the current Jindal administration, along with the local municipalities investing the time, efforts, and resources of this council and this administration as well as New Orleans and Baton Rouge, has done just that. And so in six really short years, we have invested a great deal of time money, energy and effort in training, purchasing of equipment, that now places us not on a level equal to, but above those that have the same skill sets, urban search and rescue in so much that we can not only take of our own state now. And we are - - - we've done that in a number of occasions and we may be called on to do that again. I believe that you mentioned that we're already putting a list together for Baton Rouge right now and our resources for a swift water rescue, and dive and search and recovery. But now, we're being asked to go to other states and help them as well. And so it was with a great deal of pride that we were able to not only say yes, but we were aware of our capabilities once we got there, that we were able to really assist them and help them where we couldn't help ourselves out six years earlier. And so if I could have the computer guy in the back and just draw your attention to the screen real quick. This will be a short little two minute presentation. This event happened in Tuscaloosa on the 27<sup>th</sup> of April. And just to give you some perspective, this is a home amateur shot video. This is the tornado that actually went through Tuscaloosa. It's a mile wide, it's a Category F4+. That's 194 mph, it's 5mph

from being a Category 5, which is the largest tornado that there is. And this is one of the largest tornados on record that's ever been reported in the state and in the south. It stayed on the ground for 81 consecutive miles. It tore a hole and ripped a cut from the Northwest corner of Tuscaloosa, right through the middle of the city to the top Northeast corner and literally right through the middle of the city. It didn't just damage homes and businesses, it reduced them to just literally piles of dust and rubble. It didn't matter if it was a Section 8 housing project, if it was a business, or commercial industry. It didn't matter if it was a residence, which is what it would have affected in most parts of the south. Areas like Queensborough, Mooretown, Broadmoor, that type of construction of the '50s and 60s construction. It was wood everywhere. The difference between here and Katrina was it looked like a bomb had been dropped (inaudible) about six miles, everything was just destroyed. Katrina was still a lot of structures standing, although they were damaged by water. Ironically too, you could drive over two blocks from where this happened, and you could pull into a McDonald's and get a hamburger. And unlike Katrina, where New Orleans was completely evacuated, and those who responded in the first 72 hours didn't eat or drink anything. This are actual pictures that we took while we were there. This is a business row where there were several commercial businesses that were completely destroyed. This is a Hobby Lobby. It looks like it was literally shelled from artillery rounds. Next to it on the left was a Big Lots and it's completely destroyed, you can't even see it. On the right hand side there was an auto parts store, and a gymnasium that were also taken out. This was just the image of a house. The shell was completely removed while the interior stayed about the same and in tact. Again, more houses. As you look through these images, it just looks like the same thing. But you can see there's total destruction. There's nothing salvageable. If the tornado, there's nothing left. And these are structures we were searching through. These are actually our guys down there in the right hand corner. This is a brand new apartment complex. It was built two stories, thankfully, it wasn't fully occupied, totally destroyed, and three people lost their lives and two children in that apartment complex. This is on the opposite view of that apartment complex up the hill where it came over a raven and destroyed a house and another home. This is the Schlotsky's Restaurant that was on the strip. It threw the cars around literally like they were nothing. And they were all piles in some places and they had towed off a lot of the cars by the time we had gotten there a couple of days later. This is a very high rent district area that was along a man-made lake and you can see how it destroyed the houses completely and stripped the trees. These were pretty tall trees, and this is just the other side of one of our guys, Dr. Wolcott who was our MD that was on the mission. And then cars. This is an interesting area, this is Section 8 housing, there were approximately 600 residents in this occupancy alone. It was single-story, cinder block and brick and mortar structure. As you can see there's literally nothing left. I believe six people ended up losing their lives. This is another picture in that same housing complex. You'll see some of our markings on the white there. The X is what we put on the walls. And we'll put the date that we were there, the time that we were there, in the right hand side, the hazards that we found, and then how many individuals we found alive or deceased or rescued will be at the bottom. This is just another picture of the destruction. Topped off the trees, destroyed the homes below it. This was Alberta Elementary School. And if anything hits home, it's our children and wanting to keep them safe (inaudible) in preparation for, this is what's left of it. This city had the foresight to dismiss school that morning following an earlier storm, and so there were no children (this is the rest of it) at that facility at the time that the tornado actually struck. This is just some more, you see the Winnebago on the right hand side. This is actually kinda up in the county. Again this tornado stayed on the ground for

about 81 miles. This is a whole neighborhood. If you look just over the crest of the treetops, there's a whole neighborhood over there that's probably the size of - - - maybe half the size of Southern Hills, it's been completely wiped out and destroyed. This was the facility where we stayed, we stayed on the college campus of the Fire School there at the University of Alabama. They were kind enough to take us in, this is the command staff operation, a view from my seat. And looking at things, and again it was a collective effort. The thing that's important here, you know we had never responded before as a state. We had done individual things in the cities before that we represent, but we had never gone anywhere as a state, and so it's the first time we'd pulled together as collectively and actually deployed into another state as a state. This is the Task Force III, Shreveport-Bossier City group standing in front of a school, in which that brick wall was the only thing that's left. We didn't pose for the picture until we were completely done with our mission. And this is the complete task force of 120 from Louisiana. And this is - - - it was actually nice event. The last night after we had completed our mission I had had lunch with Fire Chief Allen Martin (to the left of the picture, actually to my right in the picture), he asked me if there was anything he could do. And I said, "Well, nothing for me. But I understand LSU is going to be in town tonight against Alabama, and I sure would appreciate it if you could get me a few tickets for my guys." He said, "Well how many do you need?" And I said, "119." And we kinda laughed about it, and he called me two hours later and he said, "Done." And so we went out to the ballgame after we had completed our mission for the week, we were done. And Nick Sabin walked up and presented us with a trophy, you see there and we have it here, from the State of Alabama and from the Mayor there who is Walter Mattox, a very nice guy, very appreciative, very unexpected and humbling. We certainly didn't expect him to do that. And so, in conclusion, I had an opportunity to meet with the command staff there, the fire department and I had an opportunity to meet with the Mayoral staff and I asked him the simple question, how in the world did this huge massive tornado, one of the biggest in history go right through the middle of your city, where there's a projected path of some 15-20,000 people at any given time, and you only lost 41 people, how did you do that? And this was his response, "There's one thing that helped in particular in this storm, is that it was very slow moving and it was clearly visible. Slow moving is good, in some ways because it gives you time to flee or to move or to take shelter. Slow moving is bad in another way because it completely pulverizes the structures that it comes in contact with rather than something that's moving through very quickly. So there was no doubt about it, that even the untrained people with an untrained eye could identify also. Also all TV stations in Birmingham-Tuscaloosa markets go wall-to-wall with their meteorologists when the county inside the coverage area is under a tornado warning. And I know in the past some of our local affiliates have taken some heat for cutting into broadcasts, and broadcasting tornado warnings and those types of things, I can tell you, they can cut into my programming any day, it's worth it. You can find out the score on the internet later on. This Chief said, We had already had a tornado that morning, some individuals were well aware of the dangers on 4-27. Additionally, there were storms earlier in the day in Mississippi with confirmed damage. This cell had caused tornado warnings in the county to the west of us. We had exterior audible warnings with three simultaneous warnings for different cells in the county that morning. We also had a tornado go through the city on 3-15. All of these factors created an increased heightened sense of awareness. As a result, I think everybody heeded the warnings and sought shelter, or left the affected areas. My personal opinion is individuals took shelter or left the affected areas because of the audible warnings and the wall-to-wall coverage by the media. Restaurants that were destroyed had employees and customers hiding in the freezer,

people in the Section 8 housing had either left or they were hiding in their bath tubs, college students went to their basements. It's simply a matter of doing what has been preached for years, go to the lowest area interior rooms of the structure and heed the warnings that are being given to you by your officials. Deputy Chief Ken (inaudible)." I couldn't have said it better myself. So those are the recommendations that I'll be formalizing to the Mayor and this Council after we do an after action report with the State in Baton Rouge and (inaudible). Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Thank you Chief. Chief, you want to hold up the - - -I'll let you hold up the Alabama end.

Chief Crawford: Okay.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Chief. I think we also have some of the team who are here?

Chief Crawford: We do. We have - - - these are some of my command guys that were here, Deputy Chief Tom Self who was in charge of some of the operations and safety there. Chief Scot Wolverton with my planning people were there. Steve Fuller who was the operations Chief in Bossier City was there. He was the field manager for half the teams we had in the field. We had 24 teams in the field. He had 12 of them in one section. Did an outstanding job of (inaudible) with the Bossier City Fire Department. Thank you guys.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Chief, and we look forward to receiving that report. Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, before I take my seat, I want to recognize that we also have here today representing today citizens from Mary Landrieu's office, the Deputy State Director Tare Bradford, thank you for coming on today. And we're also being joined as an addition as you all have earlier recognized Mr. James K. Elrod and members of his staff who are here. And we also have one of Bossier City's Council Members, Mr. Tim Larkin who is here and I know he's in very unfamiliar territory cause after about 30 minutes, they're usually wrapped up and gone across the river, so he's trying to figure out what's happening.

Councilman Webb: Well their meetings don't last very long.

Mayor Glover: Exactly. But we're glad to have them here and Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, thank you very much and that concludes Mayoral communications at this time.

*Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.*

Councilman O. Jenkins: thank you Mr. Mayor. Have you or Mr. Sibley any communications relative to city business at this point?

Mr. Sibley: No Mr. Chairman, but the representatives from MBI, we assume there were no particular questions for them otherwise, we can set another time to bring them back, not wanting to hold them through the entire meeting.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. I did receive the revenue collection plan, so I got that.

Mr. Sibley: Y'all should have received the part that I'm sure will come up later when we talk about the resolutions. We're not sure Mr. Jenkins the Triton guys were supposed to forward their information. I haven't seen it yet, but we assume that they're going to follow up with that. And we also know there are some additional information that we put together in response to the report they submitted in terms of revenue that we'll be forwarding to you guys as soon as we get an opportunity.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Oh, okay thank you.

### *Reports*

#### *Property Standards Report (Res. 7 of 2003)*

Councilman O. Jenkins: Anybody have any questions or concerns relative to Property Standards at this point? Okay.

#### *Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (Res. No.114 of 2009)*

#### *Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (Res 238 of 2010)*

Councilman O. Jenkins: Bonds Forfeitures Report, we received yesterday.

#### *EMS Transports Report (Res 239 of 2010)*

**Public Hearing:** None.

### **Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments**

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 91 of 2011**: Supporting the State of Louisiana's Horizontal Severance Tax Investment Incentive and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to add Resolution No. 91 of 2011 to the agenda.**

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, is there anyone who would want to speak for or against this particular resolution?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Thank you. Is there anyone present who would like to speak for or in opposition to adding this legislation to the agenda? Okay, we don't have any requests to speak at this point. Lets vote on adding it to the agenda.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays:**

2. **Resolution No. 86A of 2011**: Granting preliminary approval for the incurring the debt and issuance of not exceeding Eighty-One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$81,500,000) of General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011 of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana; Prescribing parameters of said bonds; and providing for other matters in connection therewith.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, this is a substitute for 86, which is on the agenda. This increases the amount from \$80,000,000 to \$81,500,000. The particulars if there are questions, I'm sure the City Attorney could answer those questions.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well Mr. Sibley, I'm sure can answer it. I mean we had a buffer in there before, just so we understand how we got to where we are, that'd be great.

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir, we find that we did have quite a bit of a buffer built into the original legislation. As a result of the meeting last night, when we basically added the project back in, it increased the number. What we had not added in on the project list was the cost of issuance, which is an allowable cost out of the bond proceeds, and that's the cost that you pay all the fees and everything out of to actually do the sale. What the bond team has done is estimated that and they intentionally over estimated that amount so the actual cost will be included in the actual bond resolution that you guys will have to pass before this matter proceeds. So, what you see here reflects the estimates that were in the cost of the project as well as the cost of issuance of the bonds. These are not exact numbers on the cost of issuance. Those exact numbers will be in the actual bond resolution that the council will pass at a future date. So, we found we had to increase the number from \$80 to \$81.5 (million) in order to allow for those costs of issuance as well as the addition of the project as a result of the meeting last night.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And could you tell us what that estimate (inaudible)?

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir, right now it's broken down by proposition on a pro rata basis and these are very elementary math in my head, on Proposition 1, it's right at about \$950,000 or less, Proposition 2, approximately \$600,000 and Proposition 3 approximately \$475,000, you're looking at roughly \$1.9-2 (million) estimated cost addition. And again those are intentionally over estimated by the bond team.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And are those are percentage based with a flat fee or how do they generate those estimates?

Mr. Sibley: They vary dependent on what the fees are. And because there are different fees involved with the trustee side, with the attorney side with the insurer side with the various parts of

the bond issue. So what they basically did based on their experience just projected those numbers out, and as I said the actual numbers will be provided in the actual bond resolution that'll be brought to council for approval.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Let me ask just so for our own kind of lessons, had we done one proposition with all the projects in there, would our - - - would those costs be lower and/or had we - - - the fact that we're breaking up not only three propositions, but potentially proposed to break it up into three sections or three issuances, do we incur a higher price? Issuance cost, I'm sure we do, I'm just curious to know what those difference are.

Mr. Sibley: Let us get that information to you Mr. Chairman, exactly what that is.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well for future reference so it's clear to us you know? Any comments from anybody else?

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman I think I said it, 86 will be removed and this one will take its place.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I have another question. There is an additional project you said from last night?

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir. If you recall the discussion was to (inaudible) between Huntington and Cargill, and the decision was made that if we could to go ahead and add Cargill in which was about \$1.6 (million) we actually had to add.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Is there anyone present who would like to speak for or in opposition to adding this legislation to the agenda? Okay, we don't have any requests to speak at this point. Lets vote on adding it to the agenda. Is there a motion to introduce?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Before we vote, could I ask a question?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yes Ma'am.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Webb to add Resolution No. 86A of 2011 to the agenda.**

Councilwoman McCulloch: Of course, let me apologize for not making the Work Session yesterday, I kinda missed out on what transpired yesterday. But I heard you speaking on SPAR. I need to know if District A was included in this first proposal or not at that meeting yesterday.

Mr. Sibley: Some of the projects, and you should have - - - we sent it to you guys electronically. We should have the actual project, a list of that has been finalized. And it's not very different from the original list that we sent you guys a few weeks ago. The only thing that changed was basically added in . Everything else remained basically the same.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Alright, thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to let it be know that us Democrats are always being accused of being big spenders. Mr. Mayor, I was going to yield and let Ron or Mike one, introduce that.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Mr. Sibley: And Mr. Chairman, if I may just for the record, Mayor just told me I said Bill Cockrell, I meant Cargill, just for the record to make sure that's reflected correctly.

*Public Comments (In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures)*

*Mr. Gary Salter: (11109 Woodere Drive)* I've been a resident of Shreveport, LA for about 45 years now, and I wondered when I came down here today if I'd have the opportunity to speak, and what I'm about to say, Councilman Shyne kinda started it off. And by recognizing what Willis Knighton had done for this area. And I'm sure most of you are aware of what's going on, on Flourney Lucas Road. That's a major development that Willis Knighton has got going out there. It means a lot to this community and this area. We also have two other retirement centers in the area, the Glenn and a smaller one, Azalea Estates. My concern and I know there is a motion for a public hearing, but I just want to voice a few comments on that. My concern is we have three major subdivisions in the area, as well as the retirement centers. We've got an awful lot of young children, we've got school buses on Flourney Lucas Road, during peak traffic times, we've got trains coming through, and now we're going to put a lot of 18-wheelers on Flourney Lucas Road going to the Port, Councilman Shyne mentioned leadership, and I think that this is what this boils down to, it's about leadership for Shreveport. Do we want to put our children and senior citizens in jeopardy because of all this 18-wheeler traffic, on Flourney Lucas Road and we had a planned corridor going around the whole area, but as I understand and appreciate the facts, because of a Senator from Bossier and a Councilman from Bossier and a developer from Bossier, someone went to the Governor and got all that changed, and the City of Shreveport has said they would agree to maintain the state highway in return for relinquishing this corridor that we had proposed. Well from what I see having lived in Shreveport as long as I have, the City of Shreveport can't maintain its inner city roads, much less the state highway. We've got roads that are falling apart all over this city and we don't need to take on a state highway. And I think we need to be concerned about our children and our senior citizens. Mr. Elrod's invested a ton of money in a place and we're going to put senior citizens on a five-lane highway with heavy, heavy 18-wheeler traffic? It just doesn't make good sense to me. And I don't think the citizens of South Shreveport have been made aware of this and maybe this public hearing will do it, and I hope it does. But anyway I thank you for allowing me to speak.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. And let me say this. I can't speak for all the council, but I will say many of us share those exact concerns that you've presented here, and I'll say our (inaudible) may not be indeed correct, and we're going to try and get as much of that out in the public for everybody in the public forum is the ideal venue for that. So appreciate you coming down and we certainly intend to let everybody know, be as transparent as possible. Thank you.

*Confirmations and appointments:* None.

**Consent Agenda Legislation.**

**To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:** None.

**Ordinances:** None.

**To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions**

**Resolutions:** None.

**Ordinances:** None.

**Regular Agenda Legislation**

**Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading**

*The Clerk read the following:*

**RESOLUTION NO. 83 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A COOPERATIVE ENDEAVOR AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF LOUISIANA RELATIVE TO RECEIPT OF FUNDING; TO AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF CONTRACT(S) WITH NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION(S) TO EXPEND THE FUNDS RECEIVED; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has been notified of the award of \$160,000 (the “funds”) from the State of Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the funds are to be administered by the City's Department of Community Development and disbursed to various non-profit organizations listed in Attachment “A” for purposes which benefit the public consistent with the terms of a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement (“Agreement”) to be executed by and between the City of Shreveport and the State of Louisiana.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to execute a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the State of Louisiana relative to receipt of the funds, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the draft thereof which was filed for public inspection, together with the original copy of this resolution in the office of the Clerk of Council on April 26, 2011.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor is authorized to execute contracts with the non-profit organizations listed in Attachment "A" to expend the funds for purposes which benefit the public consistent with the terms of the Agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Councilman Shyne: So moved Mr. Chairman, unless you need an explanation.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, the City of Shreveport has been notified of the award of \$160,000 from the State of Louisiana. The funds are to be administered by the City's Department of Community Development and dispersed to non profit organizations for purposes which benefit the public. The organizations are the Northwest Louisiana Community Development Corporation, \$30,000; Socialization Services, Inc., \$65,000; Martin Luther Kin Neighborhood Association, \$20,000; Showers of Blessings an organization for \$5,000; Definitive Human Services, \$5,000; Department of Community Development, \$15,000; and Shreveport Parks and Recreation, \$20,000.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And just so I'm clear with this legislation, upon adoption, and it's going to be something for Mr. Sibley, but those monies then are dedicated to those and it's not for discussion on reallocation of those at the Community Development level?

Mr. Thompson: Bonnie might be here. Is she here?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Arthur, we would consider this to be in a like fashion to the NIP program. When except in this case, these are state dollars as opposed to local General Fund dollars. And there is an expressed designation that the administration represents to Council and that we ask you all to affirm and write about by resolution, we consider that to be a commitment, an expectation that that is exactly where those dollars will go for those specific purposes. If any set of circumstances that are a deviation from that, what we would do is come back to the Council and say that what we would like to do is to ask that you all authorize a redirection. But the reason as to why it's done this way is to specifically express to Council and to the public that this is the intended use and direction for these particular dollars.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Second.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilwoman McCulloch: I have a question. As far as the allocation of the monies that's being distributed to the non profits, what's that process going to be like? I'm hearing like for instance in

District A, the MLK Association will receive \$20,000, but I mean, what's the accountability, and how will we monitor those dollars going through the association?

Mayor Glover: In a similar fashion. Bonnie can come on up and give you some details. But in similar fashion to what happens with the NIP program for instance when you all authorize dollars that would go to whether it's to MLK Association, to Southern Hills Business Association or some other particular group and what have you, there is a contract that is developed between the city and that particular group that clearly outlines exactly what the expressed purpose of these dollars are to be expended for. And to put in place all of the specific measures by which the city can access records and audits, and those sorts of things. And also outlines the expectations of those particular entities with regard to any insurances and other things that they're required to have in place in order to be able to receive those dollars. And then they are subject to the Internal Audit process, once those dollars have been committed and expended . And if there's any questions that we may have, then certainly both the administration and the Council are in power to investigate as we might see appropriate.

Councilwoman McCulloch: You want to say something Bonnie?

Ms. Moore: Yes. The contract will have specific activities that they're obligated to adhere to, and we will have staff to monitor to insure that they do that.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Is it possible that we could request - - - well I heard what the Mayor said, I just know you know Sam, Caddo Parish Commission, and I know we're not the Caddo Parish Commission, but we required something like a six month report on what's - - -

Ms. Moore: We require actually monthly reports. We can make sure that the Council gets those reports as well.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, thank you so much.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I guess - - - I may have missed this in the discussion. And I don't know how I missed all this. How were these projects selected? What was the rigor I guess for selection?

Ms. Moore: We received a notice not too long ago, so we had to select projects that were ready to go, that we had been in some contact at some point and time. And they were ready to go projects and we could expedite very quickly, and that they could expend the monies within or before 12-31. Actually the projects were initially supposed to be expended by June 30<sup>th</sup>, so we were looking for ready to go projects. However, we were able to negotiate some extensions with this date.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Chairman, I think our role is - - - we're just a pass through, would you say?

Ms. Moore: Yes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't think we really have a lot of say so ultimately.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I think that's the way it's being presented to us, we're all not sure that is the case. But I agree.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chair, Mr. Vice-Chair, if I could offer. I think fiscal agent would be a more accurate description is what we're serving as, in that we have the responsibility for insuring that the dollars are accounted for and expended in a proper and appropriate fashion. And that we recognize and see the public good and the public benefit that can come through on that result. So, we're not just a passive check writer in this situation, we are engaged in insuring that we end up seeing that proper oversight is given to what's being projected.

Ms. Moore: We encourage the streetscape project. The city encouraged that. We encouraged the reading program, where we would buy the books and the laptops and we would actually develop that program, that's why that \$15,000 is dedicated to Community Development.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So who actually selected MLK for \$20,000? Who, you know they had a proposal in place and some ready to go projects, and the reason I'm asking that is because within my districts, there are several non profits. And of course I've shared with them often times, you know it's just not a matter of coming down asking for money, you've got to have a proposal in place describing what it is that you want based on what a lot of what the Mayor was sharing. So am I understanding? I do know that there are some non profits that do have projects in place that indicate that they had actually submitted those projects. And I am being attacked as an elected official, such that I'm a non profit too and I have a project too, and why haven't been selected? So in the future, I guess where I'm going with this - - - I mean I don't know who did the selection process, but in the future, what I would like to see is that some of the other non profits that have submitted projects, I mean I don't know if you would consider them ready to go, but - - - and of course MLK Association is one of which my father, Cedric's father, it's grass root, the nucleus of a community. But again, we still have other non profits that are coming up in District A that indicate that they have projects in place. I would like for in the future whomever has a part in the selection process as far as how these monies are allocated or distributed, that we might look at. If so, projects are being submitted by other non profits, that we would give them some consideration as well, or if not the whole pot, just try to share it a little bit, if you can do that in the near future.

Ms. Moore: We have several other vehicles for funding, and under those vehicles, we have a request for proposal process. It's advertised in the local paper, we send it to approximately 250 churches making them aware of that. And we have a rating and ranking process that they must go through. Typically we get outside reviewers to look over that. We don't use internal staff for that purpose. It's usually a pretty fair and competitive process.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And so normally when the projects are submitted, at some point, do we say so far your project approved or meets the standards or at some point, do we say your project up to this point, it doesn't qualify? In other words, to we assist them through the application process?

Ms. Moore: We find that we try to upon request, review if they're turned down for funding, we review their proposal, what their strengths and what their weaknesses were and try to get them some technical assistance as it relates to that.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well alright, and thank you so much.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And Bonnie, let me ask one question. I don't want to say do we keep a tally, but do we keep some kind of record of monies that were given to various non profits across the city from various sources so that we are able to kinda at the end be cognizant of where they received money in the past?

Ms. Moore: We have a data base that depicts all of that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Moore: You're more than welcome.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 84 of 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE BUDGET FOR THE CADDO-SHREVEPORT SALES AND USE TAX COMMISSION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2011 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the Caddo-Shreveport Sales and Use Tax Commission was created by the City of Shreveport and the Caddo Parish School Board to provide for the joint collection, enforcement and administration of sales and use taxes levied by the City and School Board; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has submitted its proposed budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011, a copy of which was submitted to the City of Shreveport on April 26, 2011.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that the total operating budget of \$1,099,950 and the capital budget of \$5,000 proposed by the Caddo-Shreveport Sales and Use Tax Commission for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011, is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Sibley, what was the difference between the previous year and this year?

Mr. Sibley: I don't have it, Mr. Cefalu is here and he's the director for the Commission, and if I could call him forward, I'm sure he could give a little more information on it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I meant to send you that in an email today, but I forgot to, I apologize.

Mr. Cefalu: What was the question?

Councilman O. Jenkins: The difference in your operation budget?

Mr. Cefalu: It's actually \$100 less.

Councilman O. Jenkins: \$100 less. Appreciate your fiscal scrutiny there.

Mr. Cefalu: Thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: That was my only question. I'll make a motion.

Councilman Corbin: Second.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 85 OF 2011**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CANCELATION OF PROPERTY STANDARDS LIENS OPERATING AGAINST 3942 ADRIAN STREET, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the structure previously located at 3942 Adrian Street (Lot 127, Cora Snowden Subdivision) (the "property"), Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana was demolished by the City of Shreveport in October, 2009, under the authority of Chapter 38 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances, the Property Standards Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Property Standards filed liens totaling \$4,457.62 for grass and demolition charges on November 25, 2009 and December 30, 2009 respectively against the property. The lien charges will continue to accrue interest until paid in full; and

WHEREAS, a May 13, 2010, Caddo Parish tax sale conveyed a one (1%) percent interest in the property to a tax sale purchaser; and

WHEREAS, the tax sale purchaser owns property adjacent to the property; and

WHEREAS, the property was adjudicated to the City of Shreveport for non-payment of 2009 City taxes on June 2, 2010; and

WHEREAS the City tax adjudication was redeemed by the tax sale purchaser on October 29, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the tax sale purchaser has requested that the City cancel the liens to permit the possible purchase of the property at the 2011 City tax sale; and

WHEREAS, Section 38-72(g) of the Code of Ordinances authorizes cancelation of liens operating against a property and the interest accruing thereon, in whole or in part, to facilitate the sale or disposition of the property for the unpaid lien and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the Mayor or his designee is hereby authorized to cancel grass and demolition liens operating against 3942 Adrian Street (Lot 127, Cora Snowden Subdivision) Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana in accordance with Section 38-72 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances and to execute any and all documents in furtherance of the authority granted herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Councilman Shyne: So moved Mr. Chairman, and I would ask the Council to go along with this.

Councilman Webb: I'll second it.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.**

Councilman Everson: Did we determine that this is the best solution that was ever to be reached? Obviously I'm happy for the - - -

Councilman Shyne: Councilman this is the best that we can look at. And actually what this does is save the city money. This gets the property off the city having to go out and spend \$1000 every year cutting this property and it's in a location where nobody is going to come in and buy it. And it's kinda like my daddy used to say, you don't want to throw good money behind bad money. So this is the best legal solution we could come up with.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Hopefully we could have some type of tracking process, because a year, three years from now, I'd like to find out that for sure it is in her hands. If somebody would make a note and just confirm that she does indeed get this property, in the end, if she doesn't then we'll know for our own kind of (inaudible). But everybody up here would like for her to get this property. But the only concern is if it works out.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Chairman, Dale excuse me for pointing, but remember now, I made the motion for you to get that hefty raise too.

Mr. Sibley: But I have no vote Mr. Chairman.

Mayor Glover: He's still waiting on it Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Mayor, you're supposed to stay out of that now.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? Art, I know you all do this when it comes to Property Standards issue, where you guys make a note whether it's a month from now, or 60 days or 90 days or what have you, this may be the farthest out that it's been done, but - - -

Mr. Thompson: It'd be three years.

Mayor Glover: Exactly. Could you mark this for Spring of 2014 so we'll know?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'll still be here.

Mayor Glover: We'll still be here. I don't know how y'all make those notations, but if that's possible, we appreciate the tickler.

Councilman Webb: Art said he wasn't retiring until Joe did.

Councilman O. Jenkins: (Inaudible) I've already seen the legislation where you get a third term on the Council, that's going to be proposed by Joe and so we'll see when that comes out.

Councilman O. Jenkins: No. 86.

Mr. Thompson: As I related earlier, this needs to be removed from the agenda. No. 86

4. **Resolution No. 86 of 2011**: Granting preliminary approval for the incurring of debt and issuance of not exceeding Eighty Million Dollars (\$80,000,000) of General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011, of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana; Prescribing parameters of said bonds; And providing for other matters in connection therewith.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to remove Resolution No. 86 from the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 87 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND CHAPTER 106 RELATIVE TO ZONING FOR PROPERTY LOCATED UNDER AND ADJACENT TO THE TEXAS STREET BRIDGE BETWEEN COMMERCE STREET AND CLYDE FANT PARKWAY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Jeff Everson

WHEREAS, Fatty Arbuckles Pub and Nicky's Mexican Restaurant have planned a number of events in the Red River District during the summer of 2011; and

WHEREAS, during said events said alcoholic beverage retail dealers wish to allow customers to consume alcoholic beverages outside of their structures and premises; and

WHEREAS, Section Chapter 10-103(a)(5) provides that the city council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licenses premises without a proper license; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-190 prohibits alcoholic beverage consumption within the view of the public outside of an enclosed structure on any public or private property; and

WHEREAS, these special events will enhance tourism and economic development by providing leisure opportunities for citizens of Shreveport and visitors to the City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Code of Ordinances Sections 10-103(a)(5), and 10-190 are hereby suspended in the area known as the Red River District, located under and adjacent to the Texas Street Bridge between Commerce Street and Clyde Fant Parkway, to the following extent only and under the following conditions:

1. This suspension shall be effective from May 13, 2011 until August 31, 2011.
2. This suspension shall apply only to special events held by alcoholic beverage retail dealers having leased premises located in the Red River District as described above, who have obtained a special events permit as provided in subsection 3.

3. Said retail dealers shall apply for a special events permit from the special events task force and comply with all requirements for that permit, including the submission of a site plan and security plan.

4. This suspension allows only the consumption and possession of alcoholic beverages purchased from a retail dealer that has obtained a special event permit as provided above, in specially marked plastic cups, by persons who have presented valid identification to the retail dealer and received an arm or wrist band indicating the person is of legal age for the consumption of alcoholic beverages.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman Everson: Just so if anybody has any questions about it, what this is, the businesses and there are only two now that are located in the Red River District, one of them has volunteered to program that district for some events throughout the summer, which is something that we want to encourage especially concerning the city is still interested in taking that area back over. So in working with the organizers of that event, we thought it would be easier to do one permit that allowed them to do these several events and still be (inaudible) events, instead of having them come back each time. So this is just kind of one block that has a start date range and an end date range, otherwise have to comply with the current laws of the alcohol laws. They're not going to be selling alcohol outside their venues, they're just going to be able to walk throughout (inaudible) and things like that.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 88 2011**

**A RESOLUTION PROCLAIMING MAY 22, 2011 AS NATIONAL MARITIME DAY IN SHREVEPORT, TO HONOR THE SERVICE OF MERCHANT MARINERS, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BY: COUNCILMAN OLIVER JENKINS**

**WHEREAS**, on May 22, 1819, the steamship *The Savannah* set sail from Savannah, Georgia on the first successful transoceanic voyage under steam propulsion, thus making a material contribution to the advancement of ocean transportation; and

**WHEREAS**, on May 20, 1933, the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled and approved that May 22 of each year shall be designated and known as National Maritime Day; and

**WHEREAS**, waterways have enabled much of the commerce that has expanded America's economy, sprouted cities near waterways and facilitated vast domestic and international commerce crucial to our economy today; and

**WHEREAS**, merchant mariners have served America with distinction throughout our history, but especially at critical moments of war and natural disaster; and

**WHEREAS**, the United States Merchant Marine and thousands of other workers in our Nation's maritime industry continue to make immeasurable contributions to our economic strength and our ongoing efforts to build a more peaceful world; and

**WHEREAS**, the United States Merchant Marine also shepherds the safe passage of American goods, move exports to customers around the world, support the flow of domestic commerce on our maritime highways, strengthen our Nation's economy, bolster job creation and, along with the transportation industry, employ Americans on ships and tugs, and in ports, like our Port of Shreveport Bossier; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the desire of the Caddo Bossier Parishes Port Commission to increase the awareness of the maritime industry within Caddo and Bossier Parishes and the State of Louisiana.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the City Council hereby proclaims May 22, 2011 as National Maritime Day in Shreveport, to honor the service merchant mariners and calls upon the citizens of the City of Shreveport celebrate this observance.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 89 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION TO CALL A SPECIAL MEETING FOR THE PURPOSE OF HOLDING A PUBLIC HEARING TO RECEIVE COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC CONCERNING TRANSPORTATION ISSUES NEAR THE INNER LOOP EXPRESSWAY (LA 3132) AND FLOURNOY LUCAS ROAD, AND AT ELLERBE ROAD AND FLOURNOY LUCAS ROAD, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BY: COUNCILMAN MICHAEL CORBIN

**WHEREAS**, the Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Committee of the Northwest Council of Governments (the MPO) has recently held meetings and adopted a resolution as follows:

- “1. The MPO rescind(s) the approved corridor alignment for the southerly extension of the Inner Loop (La 3132) from Flournoy-Lucas Rd. (La 523) to La Hwy 1.
2. The MPO requests the removal of the roadway “control of access” along Flournoy-Lucas Rd. at it’s intersection with the Inner Loop thus allowing access to community development in the area.
3. The MPO requests access approval to the future community development as shown as Option 1 on the Traffic Impact Study prepared by Neel-Schaffer dated March 4, 2011.
4. A study be undertaken to investigate the feasibility of an alternative Inner Loop extension corridor northwest and east of the existing Inner Loop terminus through undeveloped property north of Bayou Pierre from Flournoy-Lucas Rd to La Hwy 1.”

**WHEREAS**, because of the public interest that has been expressed since that action was taken, a public hearing should be held so that the general public can obtain information and make comments concerning these issues and related issues.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that a special meeting is called for the purpose of holding a public hearing to receive comments from the public concerning the following:

Whether the City of Shreveport should enter into an agreement with the State of Louisiana to accept ownership of: (a) Ellerbe Road (LA 523) from Bert Kouns Industrial Loop southward to Flournoy Lucas Road; (b) Flournoy Lucas Road (LA 523) from Ellerbe Road eastward to LA 1; and (c) Inner Loop Expressway (LA 3132) from Bert Kouns Industrial Loop southward to Flournoy Lucas Road;

Whether the State of Louisiana should abandon the control-of-access along the south side of Flournoy Lucas Road (LA 523) and grant access for a residential street to align and connect with the southbound Inner Loop Expressway (LA 3132) exit ramp; and

Whether the City of Shreveport, the Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Policy Committee of NLCOG, the State of Louisiana and the Federal Government should immediately take the necessary steps to identify and protect an Inner Loop extension corridor from Flournoy-Lucas Rd to La Hwy 1 or to I-69; and to make the construction of the this section of the Inner Loop a priority.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the special meeting shall be held at date, place and time as determined be the Chairman of the Council.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: Question Mr. Chairman. I'd just like for the Willis Knighton people, Mr. Elrod and I guess I'm having a senior moment, I can't remember the other gentleman's name who came down to speak. What was his name?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Salter.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah Mr. Salter, right. I just want you all to know I appreciate you coming down. This information almost got by us. Maybe some were included, and some were not included, and I don't know the logic behind that because this is an issue that impacts the whole city of Shreveport. And I've been out to that area, and I've looked and at my age, it's dangerous for me, so I know when I get to the age where I have to be out at the retirement home, it's going to be tough on me trying to leave there and go get my meds, or go get a loaf of bread, or you know trying to get on that interstate, and for me it's a tremendous safety issue involved. Not only with that particular area, but with the 18-wheelers coming across there, because if something happens, their insurance company is going to cry because of the damage that can be done. And I just think we need it because we need to facilitate traffic. This was an idea that our forefathers came up with that was actually into the future. And this is the one thing that makes Shreveport a good place to live and to build. Because and I know we don't have anybody here from Bossier, but - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well I believe we've got one.

Councilman Shyne: You believe we got one, okay. But that's one of the good things that we have going for us that by Bossier or even Baton Rouge, and I know some of you all might have some kinfolks in Baton Rouge. But we have better traffic facilitation than they do in those cities. That's the one thing that would help us grow. That's probably should be one of the things that would

facilitate that area to grow so, because of traffic movement. I'm glad to see you all down and the day of the public hearing, I would hope that you all would wherever the Councilman plans to hold it, if I'm using the right terminology, I would hope that you all would jam it. Have as many people there as you can. So that people can know that this was a decision that was made that impacts too many people. And I appreciate the millions of dollars that you all have invested in that area already. And I appreciate the millions of dollars that you all have invested in Shreveport. So it goes to show you that hey, you all are the very wholesome concept of Shreveport. We don't want to let you down. We want to work with you to make sure that growth continues in that area. Not for just one or two people, but growth will continue in that area. And we will also be looking at the safety factor. Mr. Chairman, thank you for being so kind.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Shyne, and we will welcome you to District D with open arms.

Councilman Shyne: I will be there. For an issue like this, I will be there, because it impacts the whole city of Shreveport.

Mr. Elrod: Well we have a couple of empty rooms, one at the Glenn and one at Live Oak for you. Don't worry about driving out there at night, you're locked in.

Councilman Corbin: There has been no topic in my short term here on the Council that has generated as many phone calls, emails and conversations as this has. This has topped bond issue conversations, and as I mentioned yesterday at the Administrative Conference, I believe this is a little like taking an onion every time we peel back a layer, there's another layer behind it and more moving pieces. And what I want to do is be able to have opportunity for the public to come and give their concerns about future extension of 3132, about the city's possible take over of some of these roads, and just other issues in the area. I appreciate Mr. Salter being here, and expressing his concerns during Public Comments. I hope that we will have time and date of our public meeting available by the end of the month. It will be well publicized. We're going to try to have it at LSUS, so that'll be easy for everybody to get to. Easy access and you know as Mr. Shyne says if we jam the room, we'll have plenty of room. But I think that as soon as we get that nailed down, then we will get that information out. What I hope to have is not only many of you here today and Council and Administration will also have our legislative delegation do it when they have the opportunity to be there during recess. But I appreciate your interest in this, and look forward to your public comments.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 91 OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA'S HORIZONTAL SEVERANCE TAX INVESTMENT INCENTIVE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Oliver Jenkins

**WHEREAS**, the exploration and development of unconventional and deep oil and gas resources has provided substantial economic development and community benefits throughout the State of Louisiana; and

**WHEREAS**, the State of Louisiana's Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive allows the suspension of severance taxes due on production from a "Horizontal" and "Deep" well from the date of first production until payout of qualified costs or 24 months, whichever comes first; and

**WHEREAS**, the Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive was enacted for the purpose of providing economic incentives to drill capital intensive (~\$8 million each) oil and natural gas wells and to continue production from marginal wells; and

**WHEREAS**, the utilization of the Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive has resulted in significant economic impact to the State of Louisiana, including the creation of more than 60,000 jobs that have kept state employment above average levels, the injection of over thirteen billion dollars in investment in the state, and nearly \$1 billion paid to local, parish and state governments between the years of 2008 – 2010; and

**WHEREAS**, for every \$1 of severance tax invested under the Horizontal Well Severance Tax Investment Incentive, the state gained approximately \$3 in revenues to the treasury to support state services and programs to residents of the State of Louisiana; and

**WHEREAS**, increased competition from oil and gas resources plays around the country will attract capital investment if Louisiana fails to remain competitive; and

**WHEREAS**, an attempt to repeal this incentive would create economic uncertainty for companies who depend heavily on this incentive and could result in a loss of the economic and community benefits of these essential developments.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the Council supports State of Louisiana's Horizontal Severance Tax Investment incentive.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications; and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: This resolution - - - is it linked or no?

Ms. Pilkinton: I had problems with earlier today.

Councilman O. Jenkins: This piece of legislation is just to support the extension of the severance tax for or against operations up here. In the resolution it talks about the economic impact it's had on the region and you know it's value to us.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 86A OF 2011**

**A RESOLUTION GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL FOR THE INCURRING OF DEBT AND ISSUANCE OF NOT EXCEEDING EIGHTY-ONE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$81,500,000) OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 2011, OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, STATE OF LOUISIANA; PRESCRIBING PARAMETERS OF SAID BONDS; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.**

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "Governing Authority"), acting as the governing authority of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, that:

**SECTION 1. Authorization of Bonds.** In compliance with the terms and provisions of Article VI, Section 33 of the Constitution of the State of Louisiana of 1974 (the "Constitution"), Sub-Part A, Part III, Chapter 4 of Title 39 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended, (the "Act"), and other constitutional and statutory authority, the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (herein sometimes referred to either as "Issuer" or the "City"), governed by the City Council of the City (the "Governing Authority"), hereby grants preliminary approval to authorize the issuance of the first of an anticipated three series of its General Obligation Bonds in an aggregate amount not exceeding Eighty-One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$81,500,000), Series 2011 (the "Bonds"), for, on behalf of, and in the name of the Issuer. The Bonds shall be issued for the purposes of paying the costs associated with each respective Proposition set forth herein: (i) Proposition Number 1: Thirty-Seven Million, Six Hundred Five Thousand, Three Hundred Twenty-Nine Dollars (\$37,605,329) for constructing, acquiring, and/or improving the water system and the sewer system and for paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds related thereto; (ii) Proposition Number 2: Twenty-Five Million, Sixty-Six Thousand Six Hundred Sixty-Three Dollars (\$25,066,663) for constructing, acquiring, and improving public facilities and equipment for parks and recreation, public buildings, the police department, fire department and finance department and for paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds related thereto; and (iii) Proposition Number 3: Eighteen Million, Eight Hundred Twenty-Eight Thousand, Eight Dollars (\$18,828,008) for constructing, acquiring, and/or improving the streets, highways, bridges, and drainage systems, and for paying the costs of issuance of the Bonds related thereto. The Bonds shall bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding nine per centum (9%) per annum, if taxable and not exceeding seven

per centum (7%) per annum, if tax-exempt and shall be for a term not exceeding twenty (20) years from the date of issuance.

**SECTION 2. Results of Election.** In accordance with the Act, an election authorizing the Bonds was held on April 2, 2011, which resulted in a total of 8,277 votes being cast IN FAVOR OF Proposition Number 1 and a total of 5,175 votes cast AGAINST Proposition Number 1 and that there was a majority of 3,102 votes cast IN FAVOR OF Proposition Number 1. A total of 7,641 votes were cast IN FAVOR OF Proposition Number 2 and a total of 5,781 votes were cast AGAINST Proposition Number 2 and there was a majority of 1,860 votes cast IN FAVOR OF Proposition Number 2. A total of 7,927 votes were cast IN FAVOR OF Proposition Number 3 and a total of 5,361 votes were cast AGAINST Proposition Number 3 and there was a majority of 2,566 votes cast IN FAVOR OF Proposition Number 3. The results were canvassed by this Governing Authority on April 12, 2011.

**SECTION 3. Sale of Bonds.** The Bonds will be sold by electronic and written bids in a competitive public sale on a sealed bid basis. Bond Counsel and the Financial Advisor are hereby authorized to make preparations for such competitive sale, including without limitation, contacting rating agencies and bond insurers, and preparing documentation necessary to advertise the competitive sale of such Bonds and the preparation of an official statement in connection with the Bonds.

**SECTION 4. Declaration of Official Intent.** Prior to the delivery of the Bonds, the Issuer anticipates that it may pay a portion of the costs of the project from the General Fund. The project includes specifically making capital improvements, including constructing, acquiring and improving public facilities. Upon the issuance of the Bonds, the Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse any such expenditures of other available funds from a portion of the proceeds of the Bonds. Any such allocation of proceeds of the Bonds for reimbursement will be with respect to capital expenditures (as defined in Reg. 1.150-1(b) and will be made upon the delivery of the Bonds and not later than one year after the later of (i) the date such expenditure was paid or (ii) the date on which the project was placed in service. This Section is intended to be a declaration of official intent within the meaning of Reg. 1.150-2.

**SECTION 5. Louisiana State Bond Commission Compliance.** If Issuer desires to purchase immovable property with a value greater than Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000.00), Issuer shall comply with the provisions of LSA-R.S. 33:4712.10 prior to the actual disbursement of any proceeds and no disbursement will be in excess of the appraised valuation, such appraisal to be by a qualified appraiser prior to any such purchase and no such appraisal shall include the value of improvements proposed to be made to the property after purchase by the Issuer. Furthermore, such appraisal shall be submitted to the Louisiana State Bond Commission in compliance with the approval of the application granted on January 20, 2011.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Introduction of Resolutions** *(Not to be adopted prior to May 24, 2011)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 90 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the use of certain equipment by the North Shreveport Business Association, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to introduce Resolution No. 90 of 2011 to lay over until the next regular meeting.**

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yeah, you're talking about North Shreveport Business Association. Yeah, that's in my district. Where exactly is this event going to be held?

Mr. Thompson: June 2<sup>nd</sup>.

Councilwoman McCulloch: I know June 2<sup>nd</sup>, but exactly where?

Mr. Thompson: It's at VOTECH, whatever that's called now.

Councilwoman McCulloch: And I understand is that an annual event?

Mr. Sibley: Yes.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Mr. Chair, if you allow me to, I'd like to make the motion to - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: It's just for introduction, but sure.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yeah, but I'd like to make the motion since it's in my district. Thank you.

Councilman O. Jenkins: That'll be fine.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Introduction of Ordinances** *(Not to be adopted prior to May 24, 2011)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 51 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and replacing Section 90-124, specified "No Through Truck", and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

2. **Ordinance No. 52 of 2011**: An ordinance creating and establishing a No Parking Zone on the east side of St. Vincent Avenue between Louis Street and Lawhon Street, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

3. **Ordinance No. 53 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the 2011 General Fund Budget appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
4. **Ordinance No. 54 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and replacing ordinance No. 33 of 2009 pertaining to the restriction of vehicular traffic on Fannin Street, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
5. **Ordinance No. 55 of 2011**: An ordinance declaring the City's intention to acquire full ownership of certain adjudicated properties located at 855 Texas Avenue and 869 Texas Avenue and otherwise providing with respect thereto.(B/Everson)
6. **Ordinance No. 56 of 2011**: An ordinance amending the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport by repealing Division 9 of Article V. of Chapter 26 (The Solid Waste Collection Fee Special Revenue Fund) and by adding Division 9 of Article V. of Chapter 26 relative to establishing a Streets Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to introduce Ordinance No(s). 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, and 56 of 2011 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage** (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 41 of 2011**: An ordinance amending Section 25-29 and amending Article 2 of Chapter 58 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport providing for the regulation of noise; Providing for fines and penalties for violation thereof; Providing an effective date, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins) (*Postponed April 12, 2011*)

**Having passed first reading on April 12, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson.**

*The Clerk read the following:*

**Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 41 of 2011**

Delete the ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached ordinance.

Two revisions were made to the ordinance based on comments received after the first draft was submitted to the Council office. This amendment incorporates those revisions:

1. Exempts the lawful discharge of firearms by law enforcement officers. Also exempts the lawful discharge of firearms on the premises of bona fide rifle ranges and shotgun clubs in existence prior to the effective date of the ordinance. The ordinance will apply to lawful and bona fide rifle ranges and shotgun clubs which begin operations after the effective date of the ordinance.

2. Adds provisions for giving notice to neighbors when the Noise Control Officer grants a variance from the ordinance, and adds provisions relative to appeals to the City Council from such decisions.

### **Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 41 of 2011**

In Section 58-30(R)(2), delete the following sentence: “Refer to the City’s Noise Management Plan guidance document for specific information.”

In Section 58-31(A), delete the first sentence and substitute the following:

“Testing shall be conducted in accordance with methods set forth hereinafter.”

Explanation of amendment.

Deletes references to a Noise Management Guidance Document and a Noise Measurement Procedures Guidance Document.

### **Amendment No. 3 to Ordinance No. 41 of 2011**

In Section 58-33, delete the date “June 1, 2011” and substitute the date “August 1, 2011.”

Explanation of amendment.

Changes the effective date of the ordinance to August 1, 2011.

**Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Amendment No(s) 1, 2, and 3 to Ordinance No. 41 of 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None**

**Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Ordinance No. 41 of 2011 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

2. **Ordinance No. 45 of 2011**: An ordinance creating and establishing the intersection of Northam Drive and Village Green Drive as a yield intersection and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

**Having passed first reading on April 26, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman**

**Corbin seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7.  
Nays: None.**

3. **Ordinance No. 46 of 2011**: An ordinance repealing existing adjudicated property Ordinance No. 83 of 2010 relative to Cedar Grove Neighborhood, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)

**Having passed first reading on April 26, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7.  
Nays: None.**

4. **Ordinance No. 47 of 2011**: An ordinance authorizing the execution of a lease purchase agreement and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Not to be adopted prior to May 24, 2011*)

**Having passed first reading on April 26, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

5. **Ordinance No. 48 of 2011**: **ZONING – C-23-11**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the NE corner of Rutherford and Barrett Streets, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana **from SPI-1, Highland Urban District to SPI-1-E, Highland Urban Conversation/Extended Use District limited to “a Triplex” only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

**Having passed first reading on April 26, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote:  
Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7.  
Nays: None.**

6. **Ordinance No. 49 of 2011**: **ZONING – C-27-11**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the south side of Southfield Road, 206 feet east of Glen Cove, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, **from B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District to B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District limited to “A Retail Bakery with limited seating/dining” only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

Mr. Thompson: You will recall there was an Amendment No. 1 which was considered at the last meeting, and it was adopted. So this one will be adopted as amended.

**Having passed first reading on April 26, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt Ordinance No. 49 of 2011 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**7 Ordinance No. 50 of 2011: ZONING – C-28-11: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the NE side of Lotus at its intersection with Standard Oil Road Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana from B-3, Community Business District to R-MHP, Residence Mobil Home Park District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)**

**Having passed first reading on April 26, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

*The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:*

**Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 41 of 2011**

Delete the ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached ordinance.

Two revisions were made to the ordinance based on comments received after the first draft was submitted to the Council office. This amendment incorporates those revisions:

1. Exempts the lawful discharge of firearms by law enforcement officers. Also exempts the lawful discharge of firearms on the premises of bona fide rifle ranges and shotgun clubs in existence prior to the effective date of the ordinance. The ordinance will apply to lawful and bona fide rifle ranges and shotgun clubs which begin operations after the effective date of the ordinance.

2. Adds provisions for giving notice to neighbors when the Noise Control Officer grants a variance from the ordinance, and adds provisions relative to appeals to the City Council from such decisions.

**Amendment No. 2 to Ordinance No. 41 of 2011**

In Section 58-30(R)(2), delete the following sentence: “Refer to the City’s Noise Management Plan guidance document for specific information.”

In Section 58-31(A), delete the first sentence and substitute the following:

“Testing shall be conducted in accordance with methods set forth hereinafter.”

Explanation of amendment.

Deletes references to a Noise Management Guidance Document and a Noise Measurement Procedures Guidance Document.

**Amendment No. 3 to Ordinance No. 41 of 2011**

In Section 58-33, delete the date “June 1, 2011” and substitute the date “August 1, 2011.”

Explanation of amendment.

Changes the effective date of the ordinance to August 1, 2011.

**ORDINANCE NO. 41 of 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 25-29 AND AMENDING ARTICLE 2 OF CHAPTER 58 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT PROVIDING FOR THE REGULATION OF NOISE; PROVIDING FOR FINES AND PENALTIES FOR VIOLATION THEREOF; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

Whereas, excessive sound is a serious hazard to the public health and welfare, safety, and the quality of life: and

Whereas, a substantial body of science and technology exists by which excessive sound may be substantially abated but not eliminated;

Whereas, the people have a right to and should be ensured an environment free from excessive sound that may jeopardize their health or welfare or safety or degrade the quality of life, and injure the property rights and values of the people; and

Whereas, it is the policy of the City of Shreveport to prevent excessive sound which may jeopardize the health and welfare or safety of its citizens or degrade the quality of life.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED that Section 25-29(h) of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby added to read as follows:

Sec. 25-29. Noise.

\* \* \*

(h) This section is superseded in the manner provided in Section 58-28, but shall remain in effect for those noise generators excluded from the applicability of Section 58-28.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that Article 2, Division 1 of Chapter 58 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows:

Chapter 58. Nuisances.

\* \* \*

Article II. Noise.

Division 1. Generally.

Section. 58-26. DEFINITIONS.

Agricultural Property – property used in cultivating the soil, producing crops (including timber), and raising livestock.

A-weighted sound level—the sound pressure level in decibels as measured on a sound level meter using the A-weighting network which discriminates against the lower frequencies according to a relationship approximating the auditory sensitivity of the human ear. The level is designated dBA.

Ambient Sound—all encompassing sound associated with a given environment composed of sound sources near and far.

Background Sound—all encompassing sound associated with a given environment without contributions from the source(s) of interest. It combines long-term and short-term background sound.

Background Sound Level—the sound level defined by the long-term background sound level in an area which excludes the noise source of interest and short-term background noise.

C-weighted sound level—the sound pressure level in decibels as measured on a sound level meter using the C-weighting network which is more sensitive to low-frequency sounds than the A-

weighting network. C-weighting is used to assess the low-frequency content of a complex sound environment. The level is designated dBC.

Construction—any site preparation, assembly, erection, substantial repair, alteration, or similar action, but excluding demolition, for or of public or private rights-of-way, structures, utilities or similar property.

Daytime hours—the hours between 7:00 a.m. on one day and 10:00 p.m. the same day.

Designated Protected Receiver—a property that is not residential where the owner has applied for and obtained approval from the City to reduce the maximum permissible sound levels below those established for properties designated as other receiver. All such applications shall be acted upon by the Chief Administrative Officer following a public hearing thereon and the sending of specific notice to the owners of all property located within five hundred feet of the proposed designated protected receiver.

Decibel (dB)—a unit for measuring the level of a sound, equal to 20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the pressure of the sound measured to the reference pressure, which is 20 micropascals (20 micronewtons per square meter).

Demolition—any dismantling, intentional destruction or removal of structures, utilities, public or private right-of-way surfaces, or similar property.

Emergency—any occurrence or set of circumstances involving actual or imminent physical trauma or property damage which demands immediate action.

Emergency Signaling Device—includes fire, burglar, civil defense alarm, siren, whistle, or similar device intended primarily for emergency purposes.

Emergency Work—any work performed for the purpose of preventing or alleviating the physical trauma or property damage threatened or caused by an emergency.

Impulsive Sound—a sound of short duration, usually less than two seconds, with an abrupt onset and rapid decay. Examples of sources of impulsive sound include explosions, drop forge impacts, hammering, and the discharge of firearms.

Lasting Activity—an activity that is associated with the intended long-term use of the property.

Long-term background sound—the background sound during a measurement period after removing the short-term background sound. It is considered to be approximately stationary during the measurement period and the sound sources captured can be described statistically over the measurement period.

Muffler or Sound Dissipative Device—a properly functioning system for abating the sound of escaping gasses on equipment where such a device is part of the normal configuration of the equipment.

Nighttime hours—the hours between 10:00 p.m. on one day and 7:00 a.m. the following day.

Noise—any sound which annoys or disturbs humans or which causes or tends to cause an adverse psychological or physiological effect on humans.

Noise Control Officer (NCO)—the city employee having lead responsibility for this ordinance.

Noise Sensitive Receiver—includes, but is not limited to, a property where a school, hospital, nursing home, church, court, public library, or similar institution is located.

Other Receiver — all property other than residential property, agricultural property, noise sensitive receivers and designated protected receivers.

Plainly Audible—any sound that can be detected by a person using his or her unaided hearing faculties.

Property line—with respect to single occupancy properties, the line along the ground surface and its vertical extension that separates the real property owned, leased, or occupied by one person or entity from that owned, leased, or occupied by another person or entity. With respect to shared occupancy properties the term shall mean the imaginary line that represents the legal limits of occupancy of any person or entity who owns, leases, or otherwise occupies an apartment, condominium, hotel or motel room, office, or any other type of occupancy from that of other occupants.

Pure Tone—any sound which can be distinctly heard as a single pitch or a set of single pitches.

Qualified Professional in Environmental Noise—an individual who has education or training in environmental noise measurement instruments and practices and has experience in the performance of environmental noise measurements. If necessary for the purposes of this ordinance, the individual must also have experience in the assessment and mitigation of environmental noise.

Receiving Property Type—the property designations identified in this ordinance for the purpose of determining the maximum permissible sound levels for a regulated receiver.

Receptor—an occupied structure or outdoor public space (e.g. park, playground, etc.) located on a regulated receiver property.

Regulated Receiver—any real property designated as a residential property, designated protected receiver, noise sensitive receiver, or other receiver.

Residential property—any real property developed and used for human habitation that contains living facilities, including provisions for sleeping, eating, cooking, and sanitation, unless such premises are actually occupied and used primarily for purposes other than human habitation.

Short-term background sound—consists of one or more infrequent sound events and is relatively loud compared to the long-term background sound. The time of occurrence of these events cannot be described statistically over the full measurement period—e.g. barking dog, accelerating vehicle, aircraft flyover, etc.

Significant Noise Generators (SNG)—those land uses that are known or may reasonably be expected to generate noise upon adjacent properties that exceeds the limits set forth in this ordinance.

Sound Level—the sound pressure level obtained by the use of a sound level meter. If a frequency-weighting network is used, such as A or C, then the level shall be indicated as dBA or dBC, respectively. If a frequency weighting is not used, then the un-weighted or flat level shall be indicated as dB(Flat). Frequency-weighted networks shall comply with the American National Standards Institute specifications for sound level meters (ANSI S1.4-1983 (R2006)) or the latest approved revision thereof.

Sound Level Meter—an instrument which includes a microphone, amplifier, RMS detector, integrator or time averaging device, output meter, and weighting networks used to measure sound pressure levels. The instrument should meet requirements for a Type 1 or Type 2 sound level meter as specified in the American National Standards Institute specifications for sound level meters (ANSI S1.4-1983 (R2006)), or the latest approved revision thereof.

Sound Pressure—the instantaneous difference between the actual pressure and the average or barometric pressure of a given point in space, as produced by sound energy.

Sound Pressure Level—20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the RMS sound pressure to the reference pressure of 20 micropascals (20 micronewtons per square meter). The sound pressure level is denoted  $L_p$  or SPL and is expressed in decibels (dB).

Temporary Noise Event—an activity that generates noise which could impact a protected receiver and occurs over the course of up to 14 consecutive days. The use of the term “temporary” is relative to the acoustic tolerance of a noisy activity and does not speak to whether that activity is associated with the intended long-term use of the property.

Temporary Activity—an activity that is planned to occur for a pre-determined, finite length of time. Examples include but are not limited to construction, maintenance, and drilling of wells.

Utility—a business entity subject to government regulation that provides an essential commodity or service such as , but not limited to, water, electric, electric distribution, gas, gas distribution, gas transmission, gas gathering and telephone.

Workover operation—a term used in the oil and gas industry meaning work performed in a well after its initial completion.

Section 58-27. Noise Control Officer.

A. This article shall be enforced by the Noise Control Officer (NCO), who shall be an employee of the Department of Engineering and Environmental Services, in the Division of Environmental Services, appointed by the Director of Engineering and Environmental Services. The Director of Engineering and Environmental Services may also appoint Deputy Noise Control Officers, who shall have the same powers of the NCO, subject to the supervisory authority of the NCO. The NCO and Deputy NCO’s shall be trained to perform noise enforcement activities. The NCO and Deputy NCO’s are hereby authorized to issue summonses for the enforcement of this ordinance. The Director of Engineering and Environmental Services may also appoint employees of other departments as Deputy Noise Control Officers, with the approval of the employee’s department head, subject to the same qualifications and requirements as Deputy Noise Control Officers who are employees of the Department of Engineering and Environmental Services.

B. The noise control officer shall have the power to:

1. Coordinate the noise control activities of all departments in the City and cooperate with all other public bodies and agencies to the extent practicable;
2. Review the actions of the City and advise of the effect, if any, of such actions on noise control;

3. Review public and private projects, subject to mandatory review or approval by other departments or boards, for compliance with this ordinance;
4. Issue permits;
5. Investigate and pursue possible violations of this ordinance for sound levels which equal or exceed the sound levels set forth in Section 58-29, when measured at a receiving property located within the designated jurisdiction of the noise control officer, in accordance with Section 58-31 below; and
6. Cooperate with noise control officers of adjacent municipalities in enforcing one another's noise ordinances.
7. Determine if a complaint is frivolous, duplicitous, or vexatious.
8. Review and approve noise surveys.
9. Review and approve noise management plans.
10. Carry out all other duties set forth in this Article.
11. Prescribe rules and regulations to implement the provision of this Article.

Section 58-28.      Applicability.

A. This ordinance applies to sound originating from or received at or within the property line of the following property types:

1. Residential Property
2. Designated Protected Receiver
3. Noise Sensitive Receiver
4. Other Receiver

B. All significant noise generators permitted or initiated on or after the effective date of this ordinance shall be subject to the regulations contained herein.

C. A noise generator existing prior to the effective date of this ordinance is subject to the regulations contained herein if the actions of the noise generator constitute a new use. A significant increase in acoustic conditions at a regulated receiver constitutes a new use for the noise generator if the conditions are due to the following:

1. Changes in the operation of the noise generator,

2. Modifications to or addition of equipment,
3. Changes to the physical layout of the noise generating property,
4. Facility expansion, or
5. Any action on the part of owner or operator that leads to an increase in sound level, or an increase in the frequency or number of occurrences of temporary noise events, at a regulated receiver.

A significant increase in acoustic conditions at a regulated receiver includes an increase in sound level by more than 5 dBA or an increase to the applicable levels in Table 1, whichever is greater, or an increase in frequency (or number) of occurrences.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a significant increase in acoustic conditions at a regulated receiver will not constitute a new use if caused solely by increased utilization of the noise generator, not to exceed its design or permitted capacity.

D. For a change in designation of a receiving property type that decreases the maximum permissible sound levels at a property, the regulated levels corresponding to the new designation shall only apply to noise generators beginning operation, or which constitute new uses for existing noise generators, on or after the date of approval of the new designation. Existing noise sources associated with lasting activities at the time of the change in property type designation shall be treated as part of the background sound at the receiving property.

E. All noise sources in existence prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall continue to be regulated by ordinances in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance.

#### Section 58-29. Maximum Permissible Sound Levels.

A. No person or entity shall cause, allow, or permit the operation of any source of sound which creates a sound level that exceeds the background sound level by more than 5 dBA or the applicable levels in Table 1 at a regulated receiver, whichever is greater, when measured in accordance with Section 58-31. For compliance purposes, if the background sound level cannot be determined in accordance with Section 58-31, the levels listed in Table 1 relative to the receiving property type shall be used. For planning and permitting purposes, a noise survey is required to determine the background sound level at the nearest or most impacted property, and the survey must be conducted in accordance with Section 58-31. Once a noise survey has been reviewed and approved by the NCO, the established background sound level will apply to all properties considered by the noise survey until another noise survey is approved by the NCO. The sound level shall be measured at or within the receiving property line in accordance with Section 58-31.

**Table 1: Maximum permissible A-weighted sound levels (dBA) listed by receiving property type and time of day.**

<b>Outdoor</b>						
Receiving Property Type:	Noise Sensitive Receiver		Residential or Designated Protected Receiver		Other Receiver	
Time:	7AM-10PM	10PM-7AM	7AM-10PM	10PM-7AM	7AM-10PM	10PM-7AM
Maximum permissible A-weighted sound level, dBA*	50	45	55	50	60	60
*maximum permissible levels are subject to Sections 58-29.B, 58-29.C, 58-29.D, and 58-29.E.						

B. A temporary noise allowance can be applied to the levels determined in Section 58-29.A. This allowance accounts for a receiver’s added tolerance for known temporary noise events due to temporary activities of up to 14 days. The allowance requires that the party responsible for the noise source inform the potentially impacted recipients of the duration and nature of the noise. A temporary noise allowance can occur only once every 90 days for whatever duration of that activity consistent with the following:

1. For noise events lasting one day or less, a 15 dB increase in maximum permissible A-weighted sound level is permissible.
2. For noise events lasting up to 14 consecutive days, a 10 dB increase in A-weighted sound level is permissible.
3. For any noise events lasting longer than 14 days, the noise associated with that temporary activity is not considered a temporary noise event.

C. Low frequency noise limit:

1. No person or entity shall cause, allow, or permit the operation of any source of sound which creates low-frequency outdoor sound levels in the 31.5 and 63 Hz octave bands that exceed 65 dB(Flat) or exceeds the background sound levels in the 31.5 and 63 Hz octave bands by more than 5 dB(Flat), whichever is greater.

D. Impulsive noise limit:

1. No person or entity shall cause, allow, or permit the operation of any source of sound which creates impulse sound levels that exceed the background sound level by 15 dBA at or within the

receiving property line in accordance with the impulsive measurement requirements of Section 58-31.

E. Tones:

1. No person or entity shall cause, allow, or permit the operation of any source of sound which creates a pure tone where the one-third (1/3) octave band sound pressure level in the band of interest exceeds the arithmetic average of the sound-pressure levels for the two adjacent one-third octave bands by the corresponding decibel (dB(Flat)) values as follows:

- a) 5 dB for center frequencies of 500 Hertz and above,
- b) 8 dB for center frequencies between 160 and 400 Hertz, and
- c) 15 dB for center frequencies less than or equal to 125 Hertz.

Section 58-30. Exemptions and Restricted Uses.

The following standards shall apply to the associated activities or sound sources below:

A. Emergency signaling devices are exempt from Section 58-29 in the case of an emergency and the following cases:

- 1. Testing of an emergency signaling device shall occur between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Any testing shall use only the minimum cycle test time. In no case shall such test time exceed five (5) minutes. Testing of the emergency signaling system shall not occur more than once in each calendar month.
- 2. Sounding or permitting the sounding of any exterior burglar or fire alarm or any motor vehicle burglar alarm, shall terminate within fifteen (15) minutes of activation unless an emergency exists. If a false or accidental activation of an alarm occurs more than twice in a calendar month, the owner or person responsible for the alarm shall be in violation of this section.
- 3. Testing of an emergency signaling device in accordance with state and federal regulations.

B. Nonemergency signaling devices operated by houses of religious worship, ice cream trucks, seasonal contribution solicitors, or by governmental entities or railroads for traffic control purposes are exempt from the operation of this provision.

C. Operation and testing of emergency equipment and safety protection systems (for example, relief valves) are exempt from Section 58-29.

D. Accidents and emergency responses to accidents which pose a clear and immediate danger to life, health, or significant loss of property are exempt from Section 58-29.

E. Motor vehicles and motorcycles on traffic ways of the city are exempt from Section 58-29 provided that:

1. Vehicle horns, signaling devices, and similar devices are sounded for less than five (5) consecutive seconds or are sounded as a danger warning.
2. Adequate Mufflers or Sound Dissipative Devices are properly installed such that:
  - a) No person shall operate or cause to be operated any motor vehicle or motorcycle not equipped with a muffler or other sound dissipative device in good working order and in constant operation.
  - b) No person shall remove or render inoperative, or cause to be removed or rendered inoperative, other than for purposes of maintenance, repair, or replacement, any muffler or sound dissipative device on a motor vehicle or motorcycle.

F. No person shall repair, rebuild, modify, or test any motor vehicle, motorcycle, or motorboat in such a manner as to exceed the limits set forth in Section 58-29.

G. Motor sports parks and recreational vehicles:

1. Except as permitted in the following subsection (2), no person shall operate or cause to be operated any recreational motorized vehicle off a public right-of-way in such a manner that the sound level emitted there from exceeds the limits set forth in Section 58-29 at or across the property line when operated on private property. This ordinance shall apply to all recreational motorized vehicles, whether or not duly licensed and registered, including, but not limited to, commercial or non-commercial racing vehicles, motorcycles, go-carts, snowmobiles, amphibious craft, campers and dune buggies, but not including motorboats.
2. Permits for vehicle racing events, where not otherwise prohibited by law, may be obtained from the NCO after submission of a noise management plan as required.

H. Airport and Aircraft Operations:

1. The NCO shall consult with the airport proprietor to recommend changes in airport operations to minimize any noise disturbance which the airport owner may have authority to control in its capacity as proprietor.
2. Nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to prohibit, restrict, penalize, enjoin or in any manner regulate the movement of aircraft which are in all respects, conducted in accordance with, or pursuant to applicable Federal Laws or regulations.

I. Any public performance, gathering or parade for which a permit has been obtained from the City is exempt from Section 58-29.

J. Outdoor school and playground activities are exempt from Section 58-29. Reasonable activities conducted on public playgrounds and public or private school grounds, which are conducted in accordance with the manner in which such spaces are generally used, including but not limited to, school athletic and school entertainment events.

K. Power Tools:

1. Commercial and industrial use of power tools and landscaping and yard maintenance equipment, excluding emergency work, shall not be operated on or within 250 feet of a regulated receiver between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, or between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m. on weekends or federal holidays, unless such activities can meet the limits set forth in Section 58-29. All motorized equipment used in these activities shall be operated with a muffler. At all other times, the limits set forth in Section 58-29 do not apply.

2. Non-commercial or non-industrial use of power tools and landscaping and yard maintenance equipment shall not be operated between the hours of 8:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m., unless such activities can meet the applicable limits set forth in Section 58-29. All motorized equipment used in these activities shall be operated with a muffler. At all other times, the limits set forth in Section 58-29 do not apply.

L. Construction and demolition activity, excluding emergency work, shall not be performed between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, or between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m. on weekends and federal holidays, unless such activities can meet the limits set forth in Section 58-29. All motorized equipment used in construction and demolition activity shall be operated with a muffler. At all other times, the limits set forth in Section 58-29 do not apply to construction and demolition activities.

M. Repairs or excavations of bridges, streets or highways by or on behalf of the City, State of Louisiana, or the federal government, are exempt from limits set forth in Section 58-29 between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., when public welfare and convenience renders its impractical to perform the work between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.

N. Any government or utility construction or maintenance activities are exempt from Section 58-29.

O. Personal or commercial vehicular music amplification or reproduction equipment shall not be operated in such a manner that it is plainly audible at a residential property line between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m.

P. Personal vehicular music amplification equipment shall not be operated in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of 50 feet in any direction from the operator.

Q. Self-contained, portable, hand-held music or sound amplification or reproduction equipment shall not be operated on a public space or public right-of-way in such a manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of 50 feet in any direction from the operator between the hours of 8:00 a.m.

and 10:00 p.m. Between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m., sound from such equipment shall not be plainly audible by any person other than the operator.

R. Significant Noise Generators (SNG) shall submit a Noise Management Plan (NMP) for approval by the City. Significant noise generators are those land uses that are known or may reasonably be expected to generate noise upon adjacent properties that exceeds the limits set forth in Section 58-29, and may include but are not limited to oil and gas industry sites (e.g. wells heads, compressor stations, refineries, etc.), motor sports parks, machine shops, industrial plants, etc.

1. No SNG shall create any noise that exceeds the limits set forth in Section 58-29 subject to applicable exemptions in Section 58-30.

2. Prior to the issuance of a SNG permit and the commencement of operations, the operator shall submit a noise management plan (NMP), approved by the NCO, detailing how the equipment, structures, site plan, and proposed activities on site complies with the maximum permissible sound levels of this ordinance. Refer to the City's Noise Management Plan guidance document for specific information. At a minimum, the noise management plan must:

a) Identify operation noise impacts;

b) Provide documentation establishing the background sound level prior to construction. A noise survey must be conducted in accordance with Section 58-31 for the nearest or most impacted property. Once a noise survey has been reviewed and approved by the NCO, the established background sound level will apply to all future development until another noise survey is approved by the NCO.; and

c) Detail how the impacts will be mitigated. In determining noise mitigation, specific site characteristics shall be considered, including but not limited to the following:

(1) Location and acoustic characteristics of all noise sources that have the potential to exceed the limits set forth in Section 58-29;

(2) Nature and proximity of all adjacent development, location, and type;

(3) Seasonal and prevailing weather patterns, including wind directions;

(4) Vegetative cover on or adjacent to the site; and

(5) Topography.

3. The operator shall be responsible for verifying compliance with this ordinance and the noise management plan after the installation of the noise generation equipment.

4. The sound level meter used in conducting noise evaluations shall be in accordance with Section 58-31.

5. Noise mitigation equipment, structures, products, and materials or other alternate methods as approved by the NCO may be used to ensure compliance.

6. The NCO may require continuous monitoring for up to 72 hours, or for such duration as the SNG is able to demonstrate is representative of the maximum sustained noise generation conditions, to ensure compliance with the noise limits of this ordinance when the SNG is in within 1,000 feet of a regulated receiver. In the event of complaints, additional measurements may be required upon notification to proceed by the NCO. A minimum measurement period should be sufficient to ensure that the sound levels measured are typical of the source of interest but in no event should the duration of the measurement period be less than 15 minutes, unless the duration or duty cycle of the sound source under observation is less than 15 minutes. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the operator of the SNG.

7. If a complaint is received by either the operator or the City from any regulated receiver, the operator shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of notice of the complaint and upon notification to proceed by the NCO, continuously monitor for up to seventy-two (72) hour period the exterior sound level generated to ensure compliance. At the request of the NCO, the operator shall monitor the exterior sound level at the source of the complaint. A minimum measurement period should be sufficient to ensure that the sound levels measured are typical of the source of interest but in no event should the duration of the measurement period be less than 15 minutes, unless the duration or duty cycle of the sound source under observation is less than 15 minutes. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the operator of the SNG.

8. A citation may be immediately issued for a clear violation of the provisions of this ordinance. However, if the operator of the SNG is in compliance with the approved noise management plan, and a violation still occurs, the operator will be given twenty-four (24) hours from notice of non-compliance to correct the violation from an identified source before a citation is issued. Additional extensions of the twenty-four (24) hour period may be granted in the event that the source of the violation cannot be identified after reasonable diligence by the operator or if the mitigation efforts require additional time for investigation and implementation.

S. Oil and Gas Wells—in addition to the requirements listed for Significant Noise Generators in Section 58-30.

1. All workover operations shall not be performed between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, or between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m. on weekends and federal holidays, unless the operator demonstrates through a NMP that such activities can meet the limits set forth in Section 58-29. Heavy vehicles associated with workover operations may not operate in residential areas between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on weekdays, or between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 9:00 a.m. on weekends and federal holidays.

2. The exterior sound level generated by the drilling, redrilling or other operations of all gas wells located within one thousand (1,000) feet of a regulated receiver shall be continuously monitored for up to 72 hours, or for such duration as the SNG is able to demonstrate is representative of the maximum sustained noise generation conditions, to ensure compliance with the noise limits of this

ordinance. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the operator. If a complaint is received by either the operator or the City from any regulated receiver, the operator shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of notice of the complaint and upon notification to proceed by the NCO, continuously monitor for up to seventy-two (72) hour period the exterior sound level generated by the drilling, redrilling or other operations to ensure compliance. At the request of the NCO, the operator shall monitor the exterior sound level at the source of the complaint. A minimum measurement period should be sufficient to ensure that the sound levels measured are typical of the source of interest but in no event should the duration of the measurement period be less than 15 minutes, unless the duration or duty cycle of the sound source under observation is less than 15 minutes. The cost of such monitoring shall be borne by the operator of the SNG.

#### T. Loudspeakers/Public Address Systems

1. No person or entity shall cause, allow, or permit for any purpose any loudspeaker, public address system, or similar device that produces, reproduces, or amplifies sound, such that the sound there from exceeds the levels stated in Section 58-29 relative to the receiving property type without first obtaining a permit to do so. The permit shall be granted only for the amplification of music or human speech, or both. The permit:

- a) May be obtained by making application to the City.
- b) Requires payment of a \$10.00 fee for the administrative costs of issuing the permit or a sworn statement of inability to pay the fee.
- c) Is valid for one 14 hour period between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.
- d) Shall not be issued to the same or any other person or entity for the same location more than twice during any 30 day period. In the case of a sound truck, location shall relate to the area traversed by the truck in one day.
- e) Shall not authorize, allow, or otherwise permit the production, reproduction, or amplification of sound that exceeds 65 dBA when measured from the property line of the nearest receiving property.
- f) Requires an application containing the following information:
  - (1) The date of the application and the date and hours for which the permit is requested.
  - (2) The name and address of the applicant.
  - (3) The name and address of the person who will have charge of the sound amplifying equipment.
  - (4) The purpose for which the sound equipment will be used.
  - (5) The address and a description of the location where the sound equipment will be used.

(6) A description of the type of sound amplifying equipment to be used.

U. Lawful discharge of firearms. The lawful discharge of firearms by law enforcement officers is exempt from Section 58-29. The lawful discharge of firearms on the premises of bona fide rifle ranges and shotgun clubs in existence prior to the effective date of this ordinance is exempt from Section 58-29. Section 58-29 shall apply to lawful and bona fide rifle ranges and shotgun clubs which begin operations after the effective date of this ordinance.

V. Permits for Variance:

1. Any person who owns or operates any noise source may apply to the Noise Control Officer for a variance from one or more of the provisions of this ordinance.

2. Applications for a permit of variance shall supply information including, but not limited to:

a) The nature and location of the noise source for which such application is made.

b) The reason for which the permit of variance is requested.

c) The level of noise at the nearest or most impacted receiver that will occur during the period of the variance.

d) The section or sections of this ordinance for which the permit of variance shall apply.

e) A description of interim noise control measures to be taken for the applicant to minimize noise and the impacts occurring therefrom.

f) A specific schedule of the noise control measures that shall be taken to bring the source into compliance with this article within a reasonable time should the source continue after the variance period.

3. Applicants must bear the cost of a third-party review of their application by a qualified professional in environmental noise; the recommendation of the third-party review will be advisory to the Noise Control Officer.

4. No variance shall be approved unless the applicant presents adequate proof that:

a) Sound levels occurring during the period of the variance will not constitute a danger to public health.

b) Compliance with the article would impose an unreasonable hardship on the applicant without equal or greater benefits to the public.

5. In making the determination of granting a variance, the Noise Control Officer shall consider the following factors:

a) The character and degree of injury to, or interference with, the health and welfare or the reasonable use of property that is caused or threatened to be caused.

b) The social and economic value of the activity for which the variance is sought.

c) The ability of the applicant to apply the best practical noise control measures.

6. If approved for a variance, the applicant shall within ten days of the approval decision send written notice, in a form approved by the NCO, of the duration and nature of the noise to all property owners within 500 feet of the noise source. Said notice shall include notice of the right to appeal the decision of the NCO to the City Council. Said notice shall be sent not less than thirty days prior beginning operations allowed by the variance.

7. If approved for a variance, the Noise Control Officer shall determine the duration of the permit.

8. A copy of the permit of variance must be kept on file by the City.

9. Failure to supply the information required by the City shall be cause for rejection of the application.

10. Any applicant aggrieved by the decision of the Noise Control Officer regarding a variance may appeal the decision to the City Council within ten days after such decision is rendered. Any other person aggrieved by the decision of the Noise Control Officer regarding a variance may appeal the decision to the City Council within ten days after notice of the variance is sent in accordance with subsection (V)(6) above.

11. The allowed by the variance until all time periods for appeal have passed, and if an appeal has been filed, the applicant shall not begin such operations until a final decision has been rendered by the City Council.

#### Section 58-31. Procedures for Measuring Noise.

A. Testing shall be conducted in accordance with methods set forth hereinafter, and expanded in greater detail in the City's Noise Measurement Procedures Guidance document. Alternative methods, procedures, or instruments may be used subject to approval and conditions prescribed by the NCO. The NCO may itself employ such alternatives when warranted by test conditions or other circumstances.

Acceptable measurement methods:

B. Measurements shall be conducted by the NCO or other qualified professional in environmental noise in accordance with methods set forth hereinafter.

C. Operating conditions of the noise source during the measurement will vary based on the noise source of interest. Insofar as practicable, measurements shall be conducted under representative

conditions to those that initiated the investigation. Relevant operational conditions may include but are not limited to typical, design, maximum, and fluctuating conditions.

D. If short-term background sounds increase the monitored sound levels, the measurements should be postponed until these extraneous sounds do not increase the monitored sound levels of interest or these periods of noise should be removed during post-processing of the measurement data.

E. General requirements—the investigator shall, to the extent practicable, conduct all measurements in accordance with the following procedures and report related information:

1. Identify all measurement equipment by manufacturer, model number, and serial number.

2. Report the date, day of week, and time of day.

3. Identify all sources contributing sound to the point of measurement—characterize and localize sound sources.

4. Conduct measurements at or within the property line of any affected person or entity. Report the distance and direction to the noise source in question.

a) For noise due to temporary activities, measurements shall be conducted at least 10 feet from the receptor on the side of the receptor where the sound levels are most representative of the noise source in question.

b) For noise due to lasting activities, measurements shall be conducted at or within the property line as appropriate for the noise source in question.

5. The measurement session should consist of three individual measurement periods. A minimum measurement period should be sufficient to ensure that the sound levels measured are typical of the source of interest but in no event should the duration of the measurement period be less than 5 minutes, unless the duration or duty cycle of the sound source under observation is less than 5 minutes.

6. Background sound level measurements intended for the purpose of planning or permitting shall be conducted for a minimum measurement period of 3 consecutive days (72 consecutive hours) and include at least 24 hours during either Saturday or Sunday. Measurements must be processed to eliminate the contributions of short-term background sounds as identified in Section 58-31.

7. Calibrate the measuring device before and after each series of readings. Report calibration results.

8. Report environmental conditions during measurements including wind speed and direction.

9. Describe relevant source operational condition(s).

10. Outdoor sound measurements made under the following conditions shall not be used to determine compliance:

- a) Measurements without a wind screen properly attached to the measuring device.
- b) Measurements when the wind speed exceeds 11 miles per hour (including gusts).
- c) Measurements under any condition which allows the measuring device to become wet, such as rain, snow, or condensation.
- d) When the ambient temperature is below 14 degrees F (-10 degrees C) or above 122 degrees F (50 degrees C).

11. Background sound level measurement values to be reported:

- a) For the purpose of compliance, report the A-weighted, time-interval equivalent 15 minute sound pressure level,  $L_{Aeq15min}$ , measured in accordance with Section 58-31.
- b) For the purpose of planning or permitting, report the A-weighted, time-interval equivalent 3 day sound pressure level,  $L_{Aeq3day}$ , after the measurements have been processed for removal of short-term background sounds and measured in accordance with Section 58-31.

#### F. Measurement Instrumentation

1. The sound level meter must be able to measure the continuous energy equivalent sound level of steady, intermittent, and fluctuating sources. Any instrument used for sound pressure level measurement must be able to measure A-weighted sound pressure levels with a slow, exponential time-averaging setting and meet requirements for a Type 1 sound level meter as specified in the American National Standards Institute specifications for sound level meters (ANSI S1.4-1983 (R2006)), or the latest approved revision thereof.
2. To investigate impulsive noise limits, the sound level meter must be able to measure A-weighted sound pressure levels with a fast, exponential time-averaging setting.
3. To investigate the presence of tonal components, the sound level meter must be able to measure 1/3 octave band sound pressure levels. The meter must meet the minimum technical specification in the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) publication S1.11-2004 or latest revision for Class 2 filter sets.
4. The calibrator must meet the requirements for ANSI S1.40-2006 or latest revision.
5. The sound level meter must be recalibrated at least every two years and the field calibrator must be recalibrated at least once per year by the manufacturer or by a laboratory accredited for such calibrations by either the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation or the National

Institute of Standards and Technology. A copy of written documentation of such recalibration, in a form approved by the City, shall be kept with the equipment to which it refers.

Section 58-32. Enforcement.

A. Any person or entity that violates any provision of this ordinance shall, upon conviction be subject to a civil penalty for each offense of not more than \$500.00 or a term of imprisonment of 30 days. If the violation is of a continuing nature, each day during which it occurs shall constitute an additional, separate, and distinct offense. Further, the City may enforce this ordinance through all civil remedies available, including, but not limited to, injunctive relief.

B. No provision of this ordinance shall be construed to impair any cause of action or legal remedy of any person for injury or damage arising from any violation of this ordinance or from other law.

Section 58-33. Effective date.

The effective date of this Division 1 of Article II shall be June 1, 2011.

Section 58-34 – 58-45. Reserved

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED THAT Article 2, Division 3 of Chapter 58 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Shreveport is hereby repealed.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed. This ordinance shall supersede Section 25-29 of the Code of Ordinances in the manner provided in Section 58-28, but Section 25-29 shall remain in effect for those noise generators excluded from the applicability of Section 58-28.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that this ordinance shall take effect on June 1, 2011.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 45 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE TO CREATE AND ESTABLISH THE INTERSECTION OF NORTHAM DRIVE AND VILLAGE GREEN DRIVE AS A YIELD INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in

legal and regular session convened to create and establish the intersection of Northam

Drive and Village Green Drive as a yield intersection. Northam Drive shall yield to Village Green Drive.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 46 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL EXISTING ADJUDICATED PROPERTY ORDINANCE NO. 83 OF 2010 RELATIVE TO CEDAR GROVE NEIGHBORHOOD AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BY:**

**WHEREAS**, through Ordinance No. 83 of 2010, the City of Shreveport declared its intent to acquire full ownership of certain adjudicated property in the Cedar Grove neighborhood for the non payment of taxes; and

**WHEREAS**, said property has Geographic # 171424-035-0143 and described to wit: Lots 88 thru 100 and Lots 128 thru 140, Belmont Grove Addition And Adjacent Abandoned Alley and ½ Adjacent Abandoned East 66<sup>th</sup> Street and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport is unable to acquire full ownership of said property as delineated in Ordinance No. 83 of 2010; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport find it necessary to repeal Ordinance No. 83 of 2010;

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the City Council of Shreveport in due, legal and regular

session convened that Ordinance No. 83 of 2010 is hereby repealed.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 48 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NE CORNER OF RUTHERFORD AND BARRETT STREETS, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM SPI-1, HIGHLAND URBAN CONSERVATION DISTRICT TO SPI-1-E, HIGHLAND URBAN CONSERVATION/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO “A TRIPLEX” ONLY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the NE corner of Rutherford and Barrett Streets, legally describe as the west 80 feet of the south 145 feet of Lot 6, Block 1, Rutherford Place Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, be and the same is hereby changed from SPI-1, Highland Urban Conservation District to SPI-1-E, Highland Urban Conservation/Extended Use District limited to “a triplex” only

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan and elevations submitted at the public hearing, with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED** that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 49 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF SOUTHFIELD ROAD, 206 FEET EAST OF GLEN COVE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-1-E, BUFFER BUSINESSEXTENDED USE DISTRICT TO B-1-E, BUFFER BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT, LIMITED TO “A RETAIL BAKERY WITH LIMITED SEATING/DINING AND CUPCAKE PARTIES” ONLY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the south side of Southfield Road, 206 feet east of Glen Cove, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described as Lot 7, replat of Blocks A & B, and also Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block E, and Lots 1, 2, 3, and 4, Block F, Bell Mead Subdivision, be and the same is hereby changed from B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District to B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District limited to “a retail bakery with limited seating/dining and cupcake parties” only.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 50 OF 2011**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NE SIDE OF LOTUS AT ITS INTERSECTION WITH STANDARD OIL ROAD SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-3, COMMUNITY BUSINESS DISTRICT TO R-MHP, RESIDENCE MOBIL HOME PARK DISTRICT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the NE side of Lotus at its intersection with Standard Oil Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described as Lots 1 and 2, Joffrion Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo

Parish, LA, be and the same is hereby changed from B-3, Community Business District to R-MHP, Residence Mobile Home Park District,

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with a revised site plan showing an 8' high solid wood screening fence on the south property line to be submitted to and approved by the Planning Director, with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.
2. Approval is subject to a variance in the site area being approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals.
3. The Zoning Administrator shall verify that the site has been cleared and cleaned in accordance with all applicable ordinances, prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **Tabled legislation.**

Councilman O. Jenkins: There was discussion yesterday about bringing this ordinance No. 185 off the table, but I spoke to Mr. Hubbard today from SWEPCO, and he had not had time to go gather all the data that he wanted to provide us with, so unless somebody feels strongly and would like to bring something off the table, I'd suggest that we wait until we get everything he has for us.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I agree with that.

1. **Ordinance No. 178 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the General Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Tabled January 25, 2011*)

2. **Ordinance No. 179 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2011 Budget for the Metropolitan Planning commission's Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (E/Webb) (*Tabled January 25, 2011*)

3. **Ordinance No. 185 of 2010**: An ordinance granting to the Southwestern Electric Power Company the right, privilege, and franchise to acquire, construct, erect, maintain, repair, reconstruct, and operate a system of electric power lines, wires, transformers, communication cables,, and other related and necessary or desirable appurtenances in,

under, over, across, through, and along any and all of the present and future streets, avenues, alleys, thoroughfares, roads, highways, sidewalks bridges, and public properties of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana for the purpose of transmitting and distributing electric power to the city and its inhabitants and any other person or persons, firms, and corporations for a term of twenty-five years, regulating the use of streets by the company and repair and restoration of the streets disturbed by construction; Providing for compensation to be paid to the City; Providing that this franchise shall not be exclusive; Providing the company's obligations to furnish efficient service; Providing for indemnity by the company to the city; Providing for conditional forfeiture in event of default by the company; Making miscellaneous provisions relative to this grant of franchise; Providing for acceptance by company; Providing a severability clause; Providing an effective date, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled February 22, 2011*)

*No Tabled Legislation was considered.*

## **Appeals**

### **A. Property Standards Appeals**

**HBO0700145:** 426 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Ms. Carolyn Miller, 424 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA 71105 (F/Shyne) (*Postponed November 22, 2010 until May 23, 2011*)

**PSD0900006:** 2006 Looney Street, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Ms. Niakia Cook-Jones, 6777 Raspberry Lane, Apartment 1421, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) (*Postponed November 22, 2010 until May 23, 2011*)

**PSD0800400:** 5318 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. Darien Kirkendoll, P.O. Box 8703, Bossier City, LA. (*Postponed February 18, 2011 until August 22, 2011*)

**PSD0900275:** 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) (*Postponed March 21, 2011 until May 23, 2011*)

**HBO1000030:** 153 Merrick Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Lola B. Layton, P.O. Box 4582 Shreveport, LA 71134 (*Postponed April 11, 2011 until July 11, 2011*)

**PSD1000086:** 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (*Postponed March 7, 2011 until May 9, 2011*)

**CAB1000828:** 3135 Idledays Dr., Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/Lester), Mr. Leroy Carey, 3135 Idledays Drive, Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/McCulloch) (*Postponed January 24, 2011 until July 25, 2011*)

**PSD1000061:** 9025 Hilton Dr, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Mr. Jim Bruce, 9045 Hilton Drive, Shreveport, LA 71118 (E/Webb) (*Postponed April 11, 2011 until May 9, 2011*)

**PSD1000128:** 2900 West Maple Street, Shreveport, LA (G/Jenkins) Mr. William Herbert Edelen, III, PO Box 8888, Shreveport, LA 71148 (*Postponed April 25, 2011 until June 27, 2011*)

**HBO1000057:** 518 W. 74<sup>th</sup> Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (F/Shyne) Mr. Lewis Stringer, 518 W. 74<sup>th</sup> Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (F/Shyne) (*Postponed January 24, 2011 until June 27, 2011*)

**PSD1000169** 4017 Crosby Street, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Ms. De'Borah Vance-Mozell, 2, Summer Cottage LN., Franklin Park, NJ 08823. (*Postponed February 18, 2011 until June 27, 2011*)

**PSG1000057:** 3921 Bullen Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. James Mason P.O. Box 462, Shreveport, LA. (*Postponed April 11, 2011 May 9, 2011*)

**CAB1001444, CAB100443, CAB 1001445,** 2719 Parkridge, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Mr. Kenneth Lazarus, 2719 Parkridge, Shreveport, LA 71108 (E/Webb) (*Postponed April 11, 2011 until May 9, 2011*)

**PSD1000141** 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

**PSD1100010** 3159 Ashton, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Mr. Jimmy Lee Burke, 2627 Quinton, Shreveport, LA, 71103 (B/Everson) (*Postponed April 25, 2011 until June 27, 2011*)

**PSD1000112** 532 Jordan, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Mr. Brian Lazon, 4441 Norway Drive, Shreveport, LA 71105 (C/O. Jenkins) *New*

### **Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals**

Mr. Tam Hoang, c/o *Quick Pack Grocery*, 1971 David Raines Road, Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/McCulloch) *Quick Pack Grocery*, 1971 David Raines Road, Shreveport, LA 71107 (A/McCulloch) (*Postponed March 7, 2011 until May 9, 2011*)

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, nothing is going to happen with those, would be the MPC Appeal.

*The Clerk read the following:*

### **Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals**

ZONING APPEAL BAC-88-10 – Property located on the South side of East 70<sup>th</sup> Street, 150' west of Thornhill Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins)

Mr. Thompson: This matter is up to be heard at the next meeting.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, so do we need to - - -?

Mr. Thompson: You don't need to do anything.

## **Other Appeals**

### **Sexually Oriented Business:**

Ms. Heather Boswell, 620 E. Flournoy Lucas Road, Shreveport, LA 71115 (D/Corbin) *Déjà vu*, 202 Commerce Street, Shreveport, LA (71101) (B/Everson)

### **Tow Truck Permit**

Mr. Lee James, c/o *Body Snatchers Towing*, 2232 Hollywood Ave, Shreveport, LA 71108 (B/Everson)

## **Reports from officers, boards, and committees**

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, are we down to reports from officers, boards and committees?

Mr. Thompson: I think we are.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, any other reports? We heard yesterday a Finance and Audit report, anybody else have any reports from officers, boards or committees at this point? Okay Mr. Thompson?

## **Clerk's report**

Mr. Thompson: The Mayor felt sorry for me, so he gave me something to report. Subject to your confirmation, I do hereby appoint the following persons to the board, commission, committee or position indicated below: This is to the Library Board – (Inaudible) Lynch, Chris Fowler Samlin, and Josephine Barbers Wade. These will be on the agenda for the next meeting.

**Motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins for the Council to resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

**The Committee "rises and reports"** (reconvenes the regular council meeting).

**Adjournment:** There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 5:01 p.m.

---

//s// *Oliver Jenkins, Chairman*

---

*//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council*