



## **Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana**

*April 13, 2010*

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Bowman at 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, April 13, 2010, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Wooley.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Walford.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Calvin Lester (Arrived at 3:02 p.m.), Monty Walford, Michael Long, Bryan Wooley, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne (Arrived at 3:05 p.m.), and Joyce Bowman. 7. Absent: None.

**Motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Walford to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, March 22, 2010, Council Meeting, Tuesday, March 23, 2010, and Special Meeting, Monday, March 29, 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, and Bowman. 5. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Lester and Shyne. 2.**

**Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.**

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Mayor Glover: Thank you Madam Chair, as I say always anyone who is here today, either in person or watching by television, I consider to be - - - or I guess as also could be listening by internet, I consider to be a special guest. So if you care enough to come and participate, engage and observe the happenings of their city government are people to be appreciated and recognized and acknowledged. I also wanted to just take a moment to say thank you to all of the citizens all across the City of Shreveport who this past weekend participated in the Great American Clean-up across the City of Shreveport. There were individuals from every point across the City of Shreveport from MLK, to Southern Hills, to Highland, to Queensborough, to Hollywood, to Cedar Grove, to Caddo Heights, engaged and participating in helping to clean up and make their neighborhood a better place. And I guess being an individual who grew up on the north side of town, I'm glad to report that this year's haul rewards for the most amount of garbage collected, we I think for the last two years, the folks from the Lakeview Subdivision had won the overall contest. This year, and I'm

sure it's because of maybe some of the leadership that Mr. Lester helped to provide some inspiration that he's given to some of the groups up there, the MLK area was the winner this year for the most amount of garbage collected for this year's Great American Clean-up. But again, the kind of neighborhood, grassroots effort that helps to make Shreveport such a special and unique place. And I want to thank all of those students all across the city who came out and got involved and commit themselves to help in cleaning up the neighborhood.

Councilwoman Bowman: Was that it Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: That will do it for now Madam Chair.

*Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.*

Councilman Walford: I do, if the Council would indulge, I'd like to ask my good friends Buff and Marcia Wilson to come forward and discuss a Cross Lake issue.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well thank you very much. And at this time, will you come forward?

Councilman Walford: As long as Marcia promises not to tell anything about me when I was young and foolish.

Councilwoman Bowman: Oh no, that's the requirement.

*Ms. Marcia Wilson: (7065 N. Lakeshore) Thank you for letting us come here.*

*Mr. Buff Wilson: And I'm her husband.*

Ms. Wilson: We brought a map because some of you haven't probably seen the Cross Lake and the issue that we're all responding to, and if you look down here, there's the map of Cross Lake, and where the red arrows are is the proposed boom that will separate the west end from the east end. And there are some concerns about the boom we have and we're hoping that we can maybe change the Council's mind about even using it. But the boom is supposed to be a place where the Salvinia Giants having a problem will be stopped. The problem is if it gets to the boom, we've got a major problem. We're hoping that treatment of the Salvinia will keep it under control for the next three years, and forever. The next three years is going to be the most important though because we don't want it to get out of control, we don't want it to mass produce. It doubles in size every 7-14 days. So a spot that's this big becomes this big, becomes this big, becomes this big, and you get the picture. The become islands of Salvinia floating down the lake. Now it is not only in the west end. It is in pockets here, and here, it's all over the lake. It's in Caddo Lake, and as we pump water from Caddo Lake into Cross Lake, we're also contaminating with that, because begins very small little microscopic plant, but all it takes is one or two leaves with a root on it for it to regenerate itself. So it is a major problem. The spraying that you have done in the past has worked effectively. The problem is it's extremely expensive. It's \$1800 an acre I understand, not true? What is it?

Mr. Wilson: They're spraying with a live - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Come to the mic.

Ms. Wilson: Diquat.

Mr. Wilson: Diquat, that's what y'all are spraying with.

Ms. Wilson: But it's much more expensive, and the real problem with it is it only affects the area that it touches. So, if it doesn't touch the Salvinia, it will not die. So, if the island of Salvinia is huge, and you can only spray a part of it, because you can't get to it, it's so thick, that means parts of it are still going to grow, and it's still going to multiply. The dead part will drop off, sink to the bottom of the lake. The part that we didn't reach is still going to grow and multiply and overcome the lake like it has Bistineau. At the meeting, we had three doctors who, two of them work SEPRO, actually four. Two of them did not. Two of them were just people that were giving a testimony that this product called Galleon actually worked effectively on Turkey Creek. They showed pictures of before and after. They showed how it was completely contaminated, and they showed the effects six weeks later that the Giant Salvinia was gone. So we're hoping that the City will consider treatment that will go on for three years, and that we will not have to do the boom. The boom causes several problems. One is it's a danger to boaters and to fisherman. People - - - we live on the lake, we live on the water, we hear the boaters coming late at night, early in the morning. They're out there 1-2:00, 4:00 in the morning, it doesn't matter. If they're out for fish, they're going to go on that lake, and they're not going to putt around, they're going to go as fast as they can, and they will not see pilings or telephone poles one mile across the lake with a boom stretched between it. They will not see it. And we asked the question the other night will it be lighted? And the answer was no. There was no consideration for that. There are some reflectors, but as long as I've lived out there, I've never seen a boat with headlights. And unless there's headlights, you will not see the reflectors. So that's another issue that we have to take care of. But our hope is that it can be - - - the boom can be moved as far westerly as possible to contain the Salvinia where it grows. It does not grow freely in open water. It grows in areas where it is still. Where there's not much wind, where there's a lot of trees and shades. It does continue to multiply if allowed, but it does not grow out in open water, however if you let it go to that boom, we're going to have a major problem. Because the boom will not stop it. We saw a video the other night where the Salvinia went over the boom, and it was trickling out into the waterways that were somewhat clear. But it didn't stop it. It's not the answer to the problem. What we're asking for is that you consider listening to the SEPRO's proposal. They're going to give you a monetary proposal and how much it's going to cost to treat this problem, and they're going to tell you how much time you'll need, and they'll tell you whether or not a boom is appropriate at this time. There maybe a time when it is, but right now, it's not. They took boats out from 8:00 in the morning until 2:00 and did not find any Salvinia growing anywhere on the lake at this time. But it's dormant because we had cold winter. If we hadn't had a cold winter, it would still be a problem. So right now, it's dormant, it's there, it will become a problem, and we have to attack it. That's what's critical right now is we have to get treatment to it within the next two months, we can't just let it go.

Mr. Wilson: I think the SEPRO people have been notified. I know that Mayor Glover and I believe all of y'all that there is a meeting on the 22<sup>nd</sup> of April. Just to work through, the SEPRO people will to my understanding, will send some more people out here to Cross Lake first of next week I believe. They're actually going to come out and measure the depth of the water and all the area that they feel like - - - I think a year or two ago, the Wildlife and Fisheries people were out here and told the city about where they thought the areas were, anyway, they're going to actually measure the depths and how much water is involved, they've asked the city for some flow charts and stuff so they can know exactly how much of this Galleon has to be put out in the areas it needs to. They will respond if there is inclement weather, there'll be a period of time, but they'll have to respond back to you in a hurry (inaudible) put some more stuff out, but anyway, on the 22<sup>nd</sup> there'll be a meeting and they'll start getting into all the wherefores and all that, and as my wife stated, the boom area idea really hadn't worked as well, but you know we're all looking at all the different ramifications. Unfortunately, I think if one SEPRO does what they say, we've all got a concern of the drinking water, etc., so there's a lot of other ramifications we all understand. But hopefully after the 22<sup>nd</sup>, we can come - - - if it's acceptable, they can guarantee what they say, and probably as I see the next thing beyond that besides the cost (inaudible) it's more cost efficient to fight things in a smaller area than it is in a larger area. Just common sense will tell you that. And if they can come up with an idea that the City and the powers that be and get a contract that can should go on for long periods of time, that I think we can all come up with some large good long program that we can monitor the Salvinia with two or three different eyes, a Ph. D., I think would look, and I don't mean this derogatory, but I think a Ph.D. in plants can probably find it easier than some other people could. And so I think there should be some checks and balances there to make sure that we get on it as fast as we can, and keep it beaten back, and hopefully, we can come up with an idea of how to do it over a long period of time. We all go to bed at night and say we dodged some. So hopefully, we'll get farther down the road. Thank you.

Ms. Miller: Do you have any questions of us?

Councilwoman Bowman: Any Council Members have any questions?

Councilman Shyne: Not necessarily a question of you, but I see two of my friends sitting back there who also live on the lake. Gregg, Mr. Davis and you all, I don't mean to embarrass you all, you all live up there on the lake, and on those nice areas, and I wish my kids would have had an opportunity to grow up in, but we'll take it as it comes. But Mike, is Mike Strong in?

Mr. Strong: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: Mike, I don't know very much about it, of course my friends back there have big boats that they take out on the lake, and I'm hoping that they'll invite me sometimes to go out on the lake, Gregg, I'm throwing a hint at you now, what can we do. And I'm sorry, I missed the other meeting that you all had up there. I mean, how does it look for us. I mean does it look like we're in control of it, or is this something that's in control of us, or what is the status of it.

Mr. Strong: I think that you can say right now, where we had is looking at the winter. And we're wanting to see what happens come June to start seeing whether it comes back to the extent that we

had it last year or not. And we're going to see. We're hoping that the cold weather in itself gave us a year. That would be nice. We can't promise that. When Ms. Wilson was talking about the multiplying in a 7-10 day period that it will double itself, that's in the perfect temperatures of being up in the 90 degree temperatures, the lake is going to be up close to in the 80s, and like that when the temperature is like that. That's the perfect temperature for it to double itself. And what we do know is from what the Wildlife and Fisheries have told us and what we're seeing is up in the western end, is a perfect place. In fact they even named it the 'nursery' because it's going to be almost impossible to get 100% of it, and I don't think the issue here is that we're going out with the goal that we're going to totally eradicate it. I don't think there's anything out there today that is going to be that silver bullet that is going to get rid of it, but what we're going to do is to work together with everybody that's on that, and to try to get it where we can manage it. And that's what we're going to do.

Councilman Shyne: That's basically what we want to know.

Mr. Strong: But are we in control right now? We're in as control as the good Lord has let us be in control, by giving us the cold weather this past winter. So, I think that's a big - - -

Councilman Shyne: Well, let me ask you this Mike. Will this situation eventually impact our drinking water? I mean, does this- - -?

Mr. Strong: If we're not proactive the way we are today, then the answer is yes. But if we keep on and continue to try to manage this, and keep this under control, then no, it's not going to impact. But we've got it - - - and this right now is not going to be something - - - you know we're going to come in here and do it this year or do it three years and that's it. This is a long term deal until we find out, and we've got to find out a beneficial uses for this, there's research going on to find out what it is. One of the problems with this, I call it an invasive weed. Some people want to call it a natural deal. Well, it's not natural. It was not natural here. You go down to South America, that's where it came from, and brought up here. So, what we want to do is to find out if there is a beneficial use, but the problem with this, 90 or 95% of this weed is water. So, when you take all of that, you have none. So, you've got to look at things like that. So, it would take a lot to make anything, because once you take the 90 or 95% of the water out. But this is something that we want to look at and look at as a whole lake, and that's what we're doing.

Councilman Shyne: Let me say this Mike, and I want to say this to the Administration and to the Council, cause this is and you've been around a long time, because I've been around almost as long as you Mike, you've been here forever. But you know this is something that - - -

Mr. Strong: You were in my first class, weren't you?

Councilman Walford: He was your teacher.

Councilman Shyne: Monty, you stay out of this. But you know our water supply is so important. I mean it's extremely important, and I would suggest this to the Council and to the Administration, and you know I don't give out very many suggestions, that we would make this a priority. Because

you know like I say, I don't really live out there, but I drink water from out there, and I've had a few calls from people who are alarmed about what's going on out there, and as a matter of fact, they wanted to know whether it was a priority, and I don't want to seem like I didn't know and really and truly, I didn't know. I mean, I don't know whether this is a priority with the - - -

Mr. Strong: Let me tell you something about three years ago, pushing four years now. We had Hydrilla, another weed out there. And in fact it was four years ago that we had it and it really was coming out from the lake. People couldn't get their boats operating that was in there. And we did a very active campaign of spraying and we actually over a two year period, actually put in some of the grass carp, and we've seen great success. You see very little of this Hydrilla in there. If you do not make Salvinia a priority, you're going to have problems. We have made it a priority. This Administration has, and I know the Council has.

Mr. Miller: And the fish don't like it.

Ms. Miller: No, and the carp won't eat it.

Mr. Strong: So that's the things that we're looking at, and to be very frank with you, I wish we would have never come here and even mention the word in the Council Chambers, but to know that we've citizens out here that are already aware of what it is, and what we're doing is knowledge enough to know that they're nervous about it too, and they don't want it to take over, and we don't either.

Mr. Miller: We were all on the same side, the Mayor and everybody. We were all on the same side on this thing. I'm just glad we started it early because it's better to be proactive, than to say the other side. So we're all really trying to help. It's good for all of us, I'm just - - - I know I ask SePRO if they had some other tools of the trade to fight this, and they have six or eight other items, but they hadn't gone through all of their trials and error. So, there are a lot of people trying to fight this problem, on how to fix it. It's just not here yet, but again, we have good (inaudible) with some good cold weather, and like everybody said, the sooner we get on, it I think we'll master that.

Mr. Strong: And if you - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Councilman Long has a question or comment.

Councilman Long: Go ahead.

Mr. Strong: Well I was going to say if you would've looked down 12-Mile Bayou this past winter when the water was up over on Caddo and everything, as far as you could look, miles upon miles with nothing but a green strip of probably 30 or 40 feet wide coming down 12-Mile, going into the river.

Councilman Shyne: That was it?

Mr. Strong: A lot of it was the Salvinia. But, it's one of these things that looks good, and it looked so good, probably when the first person put it in their aquarium, and that's basically where it's believed it started from.

Councilman Long: No, you're right. It basically came out of the aquatics (inaudible) industry in New Orleans is one of the areas, and maybe down in South Florida, they thought it would be a cute little thing to put in there with the fishes and everything and of course when it didn't work, they just basically threw it out and that's where it spawned from. But anyway, Mike have you explored yet any federal money, either through Corps of Engineers or other sources that might be out there that we can get especially as it relates to our drinking water supply that might be available?

Mr. Strong: We have made, I will probably say 5, 6, 7 trips to Caddo Lake during the process. We've had - - - going back with Jim McCrery and I was trying to think of the Congressman from East Texas over there, my mind went blank on me - - -

Councilman Lester: Sandlin. Mack Sandlin.

Mr. Strong: Yes. So, we have set and met with them together we've had joint meetings. We've worked with Judge Anderson over there out of Harrison County, who is the County Judge who is actually over the Caddo Lake side. We have spoken with the local congressional delegation. Two years ago, I went down to the Wildlife and Fisheries Board meeting to tell them back then, you've got to wake up, you've got to see this, we've got a major problem in our lakes in Louisiana and we need to do something. And I think you just saw recently over the last three or four months, the Secretary of the Wildlife and Fisheries came out and said 'We've got a problem.' The answer is yes, we have. Remember what our situation is, and this is what we've got to do. Salvinia is going to be down in the south because of the temperature. The temperatures are perfect for it. We're going to have very little up in the northern lakes because it's not going to survive the cold weather of the winter. So, it's going to be hard to get federal funding in here because we're seeing major problems. You've seen up close and personally of Bistineau, of what is done, and we're seeing what's happening over in Caddo Lake. Caddo Lake is finally getting a handle on their problem, and it's taken them years to do it. So our point was don't wait until it's there to try to attack it, let's get on it now. And that's what we're doing as a whole neighborhood.

Councilman Long: Well given where they show the diagram for the current proposed boom location or as far as the west end, there's obviously several pockets that you can see all stretched out through there. I mean, is it contained in all those pockets or is it just some of 'em? Could you boom off just those immediate areas that aren't as widely traveled by the consumers or?

Mr. Strong: We've had in some of the cove areas some booms in there and still have some booms in certain areas, but what you're running into right now is you really can't see it. It's dormant right now, we want to see where it's going to come back and where we are and to what extent it is. We're hearing predictions anywhere from 75% to 99% kill from this last winter. We'd love for that to be the truth. Then that gives us - - - but it shows you when they say it's kilt, you haven't gotten rid of all of it. You still got it and we've got to start today.

Ms. Miller: I just want to say one thing. I'm just asking you please to reconsider putting that boom in at a later time. Give us a chance to get SePRO in here. There's going to be a meeting on April 22<sup>nd</sup> in Rm. 528, and I know Mayor Glover has asked the Council to come to it and we want you to hear first hand what they say, because we're not Ph.D.s, we're just residents who are concerned about the lake as I've had 80 something emails since that meeting the other night. That's all I've done is try to help people understand what's going on, and there are a lot people concerned. So please put that boom off just for a little while, give us a chance to get a proposal in front of you and get something concrete from professionals that know how to handle this problem and look at it differently. Thank you.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Madam Chair. Not necessarily you, but having been at that meeting, and I know several of us were there, the distinct impression that I got from the Mayor speaking on behalf of the Administration, and certainly I support is that the idea of putting the boom was going to be held in advance, and there was going to be a meeting between the folks at SePRO and the folks at DOS as well as the idea of even looking out to other chemical companies that we have worked with in the past and are currently working with relative to finding you whether or not this is something that's workable. So, unless someone is telling me something different, I think that the relief that you're requesting has already been granted, as I appreciate it. But - - -

Ms. Miller: I don't know. I keep hearing mixed stories about that so I really - - - I'm hoping it's true. I heard what the Mayor said at the meeting too, but - - -

Councilman Lester: I mean are you - - - have you been told that despite what the Mayor said that the City is going forward?

Ms. Miller: I think that the concerns of the people that have emailed me, they are concerned that the booms' going in, in 30-60 days. And we're just hoping that there's going to be some other answer to this problem, because the boom keeps it back at bay for a little while, but it's not the answer. And there is answers to control because other towns have done this. There are seven polluted lakes in Texas that they're working with SePRO on, and I think the findings assessed. What we're asking the SePRO people to do is bring proof. Bring us proof that it's not going to hurt our water supply. That's No. 1. Bring us proof of that. Bring us proof that the boom is not necessary because what you can do with your treatments will curb the problem. Like Mike Strong said, it's not going to eradicate it, but it keeps it under control. Because the last thing any of us want is another Lake Bistineau. We can't afford it. We can't ruin our water supply.

Councilman Lester: We're not going to have that. I guess what I wanted to say is the impression that I got was that the meeting will be had between Administration officials, and SePRO as well as other folks that have some technical expertise, and folks from DOS. And that a decision in terms of what's going to be a long term solution is going to be developed after that, because obviously nobody wants the boom. I think that's pretty obvious. The question is not so much whether you want something, it's whether it's necessary. When I get sick, I don't want to ever want to have to take a shot, but if the choices are taking a shot and getting sick, you have to do what you have to do. And I do appreciate the fact that you and your husband have reached out to some people that

have some technical expertise and have some experience. And I'm excited that there will be a meeting held between all those parts to find out if in fact those things that they said could happen, could in fact happen. Obviously the concern that I had is from the drinking supply scenario, and as I appreciate it, listening to their presentation and going on their website, there are some things that affect variables in terms of the inflow of water and things of that nature. Because as I appreciate it, when you're mixing this particular chemical, it's a very precise scenario, and the other question is I guess from the technical standpoint, I understand the lake in Northeast Louisiana was used to a certain degree of success, but then there is the question of whether or not there is going to have some applicability in dealing with the water supply. So, as far as I'm aware that we're on the track of where we're trying to come up with a scientific solution.

Ms. Miller: I think we are. We just really wanted to come today to kinda get a everything on the record, so that everybody is on the same page with us, because that was really - - - it started off as a very small neighborhood meeting, and we ended up with almost 300 people there that night. So it got out of hand. So they wanted to hear about it, and today we come to ask you, to put it on record, that we are going to do that, we're going to put the boom on hold, we're going to give SePRO a chance to present and that's all we're here for. But thank you for your time.

Councilman Lester: And I do appreciate it. Thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Ms. Wilson, we really appreciate you coming.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, before you move on, obviously the Administration - - - I feel a need to make at least a couple of comments here to make sure that what we communicated on last week is still clear and understood. First and foremost, and I think I heard Councilman Shyne say this as well. The purpose for Cross Lake beyond all of the other uses that we get from it being a source of beauty, of home to people lucky enough to own property adjacent to it, it's a wonderful place to call home. It's a great source of recreation for those who are members of the boating community. It's a great place to fish, whether you are in a boat or sitting on the banks of it. All of those are measureable assets in terms of the value it brings to the City of Shreveport and Northwest Louisiana. But it's first and foremost purpose and the reason as to why leaders of the City of Shreveport who were here before any of us came along, although one or two of them may have served with Councilman Shyne. The reason as to why of the lake was constructed because it's an actual man-made lake. It's not a natural lake like Caddo Lake, which I understand was the result of an earthquake that happened back in the 1800s, this was a lake that was made by the leaders of the City of Shreveport, specifically to insure that we would have a good, clean, reliable, consistent source of fresh drinking water. And that is the primary purpose the lake serves for those citizens that are both near to it, and those folks who are far from it, and it is this Administration and I also believe this Council's objective to insure that it is always in a position to be able to fulfill that first, foremost and primary purpose for existing. Not only does it serve the water needs of the city and the citizens of Shreveport, it serves the water needs of communities that we partner with in the surrounding area. Smaller communities and smaller unincorporated areas who contract with the City of Shreveport to provide them with good, safe, high quality drinking water. In addition to that, it is a part of our national defense equation in that as was envisioned at it's inception, Cross Lake then and still does to date provide every drop of drinking water that is consumed and dispensed at

Barksdale Air Force Base. And so, we have to insure that it is always in a position to be able to fulfill that primary goal, that primary mission. And that is what Mike Strong and the men and women on his staff have been doing for the time that they have had the responsibility for making sure that it fulfilled that purpose. And the fact that we are just now having this discussion about Hydrilla, Salvinia or any of the other aquatic weeds that you want to reference. While we can all look back in year's past and see the situation that has befallen Lake Bistineau as well as Cross Lake and as Mike referenced earlier, 12-Mile Bayou, we can give credit as Mike obviously just did to the good Lord above, who has blessed us, but I think also the good Lord helps those who help themselves, and Mike and the men and women under his charge have done an outstanding job of helping to ensure that they have used all of the means at their disposal. Some have met the limited use of booms already, other times it has actually meant the use of the actual substance, Galleon that these good folks here today are here touting, and they have used weevils, as Mike has also referenced. And I think they've used some other things to help fight the problem as well. All of those things can account for why it is that we are at this point not clogged and choked throughout the full width and breadth of Cross Lake with either Hydrilla, Hydrangea or Slavina, or any of the other types of invasive weeds that can complicate the situation. It is the commitment of this Administration to make sure that it will always fulfill that first, foremost and primary purpose. While at the same time, working as best we can to allow it to be the great source of enjoyment that it is for the citizens that live around it, and the people who come to visit. And so, I don't want anyone to leave here today with the misunderstanding that this is something that Mike and his folks have not already been fully engaged in fighting and addressing, that it is something that has just simply sprung up overnight, and we're now just trying to figure out how to address it. This is something that these individuals have been working to resolve and deal with for years. Now, the commitment that I made as Mayor of the City of Shreveport at the meeting on last week, was that we would welcome the opportunity to sit down with these folks from Galleon, and to hear more from them about what their ideas are. In fact, if I'm not mistaken, I think Mike made himself available as early as 8:30 the following morning for a breakfast meeting. Following a breakfast meeting with Mike, for an early post breakfast meeting with the folks from Galleon. I think as that's been stated, that meeting is now in fact scheduled for the 22<sup>nd</sup> of April. My directive was that we would take no other actions involving a boom, unless there was some compelling reason to do so, and there's not at this point, but we would not take any other action involving the boom until after Mike and his staff have had the opportunity to sit down with the representatives from Galleon as well as anyone else out there. Because the one thing that I said that this is not going to be a commercial for Galleon. We want to make this a situation where anyone who believes that they have something that will offer us a safe and effective strategy to combat this problem to step forward and we are more than interested in hearing what they have to say. And so I'm glad to know that that meeting is set for the 22<sup>nd</sup>. We look forward to hearing what the outcomes will be. The Galleon folks have said that they can do this and guarantee, and I say that in "s", "guarantee", the effectiveness of it. And so we want to hear that. But at the same time, as I shared with him as well, it's one thing to kill the weeds, it's another thing to make sure that we keep the water itself safe. And so, I want to make sure that not only that this is successful in being able to combat it, but that it does not end up altering anything that would be negative on the quality or the safety of the water that we all drink. So, we're not going to kill the weeds at the expense of contaminating the lake. We will contain the weed if we have to in order to insure that the quality of the water is what it needs to be in order to be able to fulfill again, it's first and foremost and primary purpose and

that's to be a source of safe, high quality drinking water for Shreveport and surrounding area as well as Barksdale Air Force Base. Thank you Madam Chair, thank you Members of the Council.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Mr. Mayor.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair, along that line, I was given a business card and asked to pass it to the Administration from someone in the herbicide business. So, I will give this to you before we leave today, or give it to Mike, whichever you prefer.

Mayor Glover: Were they there that night?

Councilman Walford: Um hmm.

Mayor Glover: From Bossier? Does it have a 222 number?

Councilman Walford: Yes sir.

Mayor Glover: I've got it already, but I'll take another copy.

Councilman Walford: Thank you Mayor. And Madam Chairman, the record will reflect yesterday that, although I don't live on the lake, I did offer to take Mr. Shyne. You heard him ask other people.

Councilwoman Bowman: He did do that. Mike Strong, I heard you were going on vacation for 30 days. Is that true? Did you allow that Mr. Mayor? 30 days?

Mayor Glover: Absolutely, he's earned it.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okie dokie!

Mayor Glover: Is there any doubt Madam Chair?

Councilwoman Bowman: No sir, I'm not complaining, but that is the truth?

Mayor Glover: Absolutely.

Councilwoman Bowman: I just couldn't believe it.

Mayor Glover: We've encouraged him. And what I told him I wouldn't do was to rush him back, was to enjoy and rest.

Councilwoman Bowman: I'm trying to believe him.

Mayor Glover: And if necessary, I told him when he got back, I'd welcome the chance to take some of the calls he gets from you all, he can send directly to me.

Councilwoman Bowman: Oh God! I have one thing to say, Chief Whitehorn, I wanted to personally thank an officer I saw today on Broadway, right before you get to Kennedy and Broadway, I was traveling behind him. And he stopped, put on his flashers and he was driving his own personal vehicle, but he had on his uniform, got out. And somebody had dropped a big shelf out in the middle of the street. He stopped his car, got out, picked up all that stuff, put it over on the side of the street and moved on. It's not many you see do that. I've seen 'em drive around stuff. So, I wanted to really thank him for going the extra mile today. I thought that was very good of him.

Councilman Shyne: Chief, tell her that's the kind of officers we have out in Mooretown. Now, I don't know what's in the other parts of the city, but all across - - -

Mayor Glover: That's all across the city Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Is that all across the city?

Mayor Glover: All across the city.

**Bell rings three times.**

Councilwoman Bowman: At this time, Mr. Holt will you come forward. Property Standards.

*Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.*

**Reports:**

Property Standards Report

Mr. Holt: Thank you Chairman Bowman. I have a report for Councilman Lester, I'll hold it.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir, you can give it to him privately, or whatever. He's not in here.

Mr. Holt: I'll be glad to take any other concerns you may have.

Councilman Walford: Mine is not a concern Madam Chairman, I would just like to extend some thank yous. Early this morning I got a call from an apartment, someone saying it was a gas leak. And I wasn't quite sure what we could do, but I contacted Mr. Holt and Chief Crawford. And both of them responded, got Centerpoint out there and checked for the leak, and both have since emailed me and assured me there is not a problem, but the last thing I wanted to hear about and I know they wanted to hear about was an explosion or a fire. And the response was so quick by our city folks, so while we're bragging on SPD, I've got to brag on Fire and Property Standards.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, thank you Councilman Walford. Thank you Jim Holt. Mr. Sibley?

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report

Mr. Sibley: Thank you Madam Chair. I've asked Mr. Madden, the Finance Director to first of all present some data comparing this year where we are in terms of collection of the various taxes and where we were last year. And today, I'd like to present some additional information that kinda addresses some of the issues that particularly Councilman Walford raised in terms of offset and things like that. So, if you'd allow Mr. Madden to first present those numbers, I'd appreciate it.

Councilwoman Bowman: We will allow it, and I must say, you look more relaxed today.

Mr. Madden: Thank you.

Councilman Walford: He must have good news.

Mr. Madden: So far this year of the \$58,000 of taxes, we've sent out last year, we've collected \$56,451. That's about 96% of the Ad Valorem taxes.

Councilman Walford: Was that millions?

Mr. Madden: Millions? Yes. It was millions.

Mr. Sibley: He's not quite as relaxed as we thought.

Councilwoman Bowman: No, I see. I guess I spoke too soon.

Mr. Madden: You tried.

Councilman Shyne: I was beginning to get tense.

Mr. Madden: And on the other sales tax, I don't know if you got your report were up slightly last month. Hopefully, that trend will continue through the rest of the year, although we have not guarantee of that. And occupational licenses which are the other large tax we collect, we're running right about where we did last year and we upped the amount we budgeted this year for \$50,000 over last year, and I think we shouldn't have any trouble collecting it.

Councilman Walford: You told me you could pay those two new employees by (inaudible)

Mr. Madden: They know they have to pay for themselves, and plus some extra. I can't stop when they reach that point.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, any other questions or comments for Mr. Madden?

Councilman Walford: I got one that I talked to Charles very briefly yesterday, and to Mr. Sibley. We're still paying people who owe the city money in violation of city ordinance. And the worst part is one of the ones on there is the one that I fond last time, but - - -

Mr. Madden: He paid the money April 6<sup>th</sup>.

Councilman Walford: Did he?

Mr. Madden: Yes he did.

Councilman Walford: But he still was paid prior to that and he'd owed since January.

Mr. Madden: Yes, but when I went upstairs yesterday, he had paid his taxes on April 6<sup>th</sup>. And the other one I told you about, also the check came in Tuesday. So, they've both paid.

Councilman Walford: I found more, but again, I don't know what it takes to make sure that we don't do business with people who owe us, but - - - and I don't know what our - - - in fact at the end of the meeting, ask Ms. Scott to address what ramifications there are for violations of the ordinance. But that's one I hope we really get a handle on. Because it just galls me to no end that they don't pay us, yet we pay them.

Mr. Sibley: And that's part of what I wanted to review with the Council is some facts that impact that very issue, because we're looking very hard at that issue. And as soon as Mr. Madden wraps up, I'll go into some of those issues with you Mr. Walford.

Councilman Walford: And then I've got some questions. Some that I raised with you yesterday, you may be answering right now. That's all I have for Mr. Madden. Oh, you were going to get me a list of occupational licenses, I still don't have it.

Mr. Madden: You didn't? Okay, I'll have James send it to you, but it's ready.

Councilman Walford: Alright, thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you Mr. Madden. Mr. Sibley?

Mr. Sibley: Just a follow on, at the Council's urging, we've been looking real hard at things like can we do - - - I call 'em 'offsets', but set offs, when people owe the city, and just got a couple of things I'd like to share with the Council, if you indulge me. First of all, as it relates to what I call 'set offs', that is being able to take monies that the city owes someone in exchange for money they owe without - - - just kinda automatically doing it. What we've done because for example for Ad Valorem and movable property taxes as well as the redemption situation Councilman Walford that you spoke to directly, we've asked the City Attorney to look at the impact of state law on that, because all of that is generated by state law, and we want to be sure that we're allowed to do that. Right now, it appears that we don't have the option to do that automatically, but we've asked for an opinion, and hopefully, we'll get that cleared up because it also impacts some of the other issues that I'd like to talk about. The Council passed Ordinance 26, 2-11 and I think one of the problems with the situation, that ordinance speaks to awarding contracts to people who owe the city money. There are several situations where at the time the contract is done, the person is in good standing with the city, and the issue becomes what we're looking at is what do you do then when a person has an existing contract, and an invoice or a purchase order, or if something comes in that that person is entitled to money. Right now, under the ordinance it only addresses a new contract or

renewing contracts with them. It doesn't address whether or not you can pay them after they already have a contract, even though their status may have changed. Again, that's an issue that because the offset issue also affects that. So again, we're trying to look at it from a legal point of view. Can we get some relief there to where - - - because there are some considerations within the contract itself and within law in terms of a person having a contract, performing a function, and being entitled to those funds. Right now, it appears that we're not in a situation that we can automatically offset that, but hopefully, once we get the opinion back from the City Attorney, we'll be in a better position to advise the Council as to whether or not you might want to amending that ordinance, and make sure that that doesn't conflict with something that is state law. But currently the only persons awarded the contract, it doesn't really address payments due to that person if at that time they were in good standing. And that goes through our screening processes. Right now, screening is kinda done on two levels. When the initial contract is done, it's done by the Compliance office. And part of what we think is the problem, and we're checking into is the Compliance office is one of the first people that see the contract. And sometime between the time that the Compliance sees it and it goes through the whole routing process, it may be three weeks, four weeks, it may be some time before we get an invoice on that. During that interim, that person's status may have changed from being in good standing now, you owe the city some money or some function, so again, can we offset, can we withhold monies depending on the legal opinion you will have an answer on that. Delinquency dates, we had an issue we were looking at what's considered delinquent, because as a practical matter, you know many people, many businesses, many individuals choose to pay their taxes beyond or pay their bills beyond the date. Because you know there is some allowance. In our opinion, there is only one way to look at it. All taxes owed in occupational licenses are due December 31<sup>st</sup>. January 1, you're delinquent. Occupational Licenses taxes, February 28<sup>th</sup>. Day after, you're delinquent. So, what our policy is now is after those dates, even if you catch it up later, at that time you're delinquent you can't get a new contract. Again, the issue whether or not we pay them an invoice or a purchase order would depend upon what we get in terms of legal. The main thing we wanted to point out was 26, 2-11 addresses awarding contracts, not necessarily subsequent payments and many of the people are in that situation. Another thing I wanted to point out in terms of screening, what the Compliance guys will do is, and it happens everyday, someone's name pops up they're delinquent. They will actually call them and say, 'Hey, you know you got a payment due, you know you got a contract due, you might want to come in and catch this up.' And many people do. The problem is those that don't. Because currently, someone can voluntarily agree to an offset, or someone can contractually agree in cases of liquidated damages with contractors and things like that. We're trying to get ourselves in a position so that we can compel that basically and be allowed to withhold those monies from those individuals who won't come in and catch it up. There is also another category that the Council needs to be aware of. On purchase orders and things that are direct purchases from departments. Those matters are not screened by Compliance because they don't route through Compliance, but they're screened by Purchasing. And it's only on matters that are over \$500. Now the ones beneath \$500, I don't think make up the difference. I mean, it'd take a whole bunch of them to add up to (inaudible), but it's done in Purchasing and the same process basically applies. But we're continuing to look at it to find out how are these people slipping through to the extent that they are. And we're going to continue to look at that and continue to tweak it. But I wanted the Council to be aware, and I wanted the public to be aware that there are screening processes in place, there are some constraints to what we can do in terms of not paying someone who is technically delinquent,

but who otherwise is entitled to a payment from the city, and hopefully, we'll have that answer - - - that question answered real soon, and we can go ahead and enforce those triggers that allow us to keep those funds from those individuals that otherwise owe the city. So those are just a few things that we've been researching, looking particularly Councilman Walford at those areas to see whether or not we can do that and as soon as we get a little more guidance, then we'll be in a better position to tweak some our system to make sure that we can.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chair? Mr. Sibley, one more place to screen and I don't know if we're doing it, when some of the tax sale buyers are also the ones that (inaudible) on Mr. Lester and I because they're slumlords. I think we finally got Mr. Long on board with us on some now. When somebody redeems and we have to write them a check, is anybody going against the Code Enforcement charges to see if that property has charges due?

Mr. Sibley: They are Mr. Walford. And again, the biggest issue there is whether or not we're allowed to - - - because as you pointed out yesterday, you'd be really nice to this person, the original owner comes in to redeem the property, they pay the city the money. That individual who bought it at the tax sale will probably get that refund. If we're allowed to offset that, and withhold that money, then it would take us a long way. But again that's one that we'd have to evaluate how that stands up against state law and that's part of what we've asked the City Attorney for.

Councilman Walford: And if there's a corrections needed on the other ordinance, I'll assure you, I'd be more than happy to sponsor it. Because there's just a couple of people that are really like fingernails on a blackboard. And for us to pay them because they consistently don't pay us.

Mr. Sibley: Exactly.

Councilman Walford: But the one that I was talking about, you heard him say, there were two purchase orders waiting to be signed. And so he runs up and pays all that he owes on April 6<sup>th</sup>, and I'm sure that was to get the purchase order signed, or contract, whatever it was that Mr. Madden had.

Mr. Sibley: And that is actually - - -

Councilman Walford: But they're playing games with us and we need to make it a little more difficult to play.

Mr. Sibley: And that is one of the strongest tools we have is that anticipation of getting a future contract or payment and rushing in to pay it. We try to get out guys to really focus in there, and again look for are there some areas that we can tighten up, are there some things that we can do better, and we're looking real hard at that.

Councilman Walford: Thank you very much. Thank you Madam Chairman.

Mr. Sibley: Thank you Madam Chairman. That's all we have for collections.

**Public Hearing:** None.

**Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.**

**Adding Items to the Agenda** (*Clerk reads items into the record - public comments allowed on items proposed to be added, then items can be added only after unanimous vote [See Act 131 of 2008]*)

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson, is there any legislation to be added today?

Mr. Thompson: We have a resolution.

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 71 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the use of certain equipment by the Caddo Bossier Soccer Association for the Louisiana State Soccer Association Division 1 Championship Tournament, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)

Councilwoman Bowman: Is there anyone in the Chamber that would like to speak in favor of adding this to our agenda, or is there anyone here who is in opposition to this being added? I show no one opposed or no one in spoke against it Mr. Thompson.

**Motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to add Resolution No. 71 of 2010 to the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**Public Comments** (*Comments on items to be adopted*)

**Confirmations and Appointments:** None.

**CONSENT AGENDA LEGISLATION**

**TO INTRODUCE RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES**

**RESOLUTIONS:** None.

**ORDINANCES:** None.

**TO ADOPT RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 56 of 2010:** A resolution authorizing Bowman Oil Company, Inc. by Scott H. Andrews, located at 5078 Jefferson Paige Rd., to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Near District A/Lester)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Long to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 57 of 2010**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WESLEY THOMAS, INC., LOCATED AT 874 ROCHEL DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS,** Wesley Thomas, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Wesley Thomas, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 874 Rochel Dr., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Councilman Wooley: Madam Chair, just a quick statement. This and the next two are all part of Twelve Oaks Subdivision. Thank you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, and you will remind me when Mr. Larkin's stuff comes up.

Councilman Wooley: Well these are right next to him.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilmen Lester, Walford and Bowman. 3.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 58 of 2010**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING LARRY L. DEAN BUILDERS, INC., LOCATED AT 887 ROCHEL DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, Larry L. Dean Builders, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Larry L. Dean Builders, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 887 Rochel Dr., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilmen Walford and Bowman. 2. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 59 of 2010**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WESLEY THOMAS, INC., LOCATED AT 954 ROCHEL DR., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, Wesley Thomas, Inc. has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Wesley Thomas, Inc. be authorized to connect the structure, located at 954 Rochel Dr., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Long, Wooley, Webb, and Shyne. 4. Nays: Councilmen Walford and Bowman. 2. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Lester. 1.**

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson, before you read the next one, put it on record that this is for District G, and Councilman Wooley told me he was waiting on it. I wonder why? Let's go for it.

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 60 of 2010**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING TOMMY L. OWENS, LOCATED AT 6456 ARLENE LN., TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, Tommy L. Owens has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

**BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Tommy L. Owens be authorized to connect the structures, located at 6456 Arlene Ln., to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilman Walford: Madam Chairman, you have no water pressure issues in this area do you?

Councilwoman Bowman: No water pressure issues in that area. Lets vote please.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilman Webb: Madam Chairman, before we go any further, I just wanted to recognize we have our Public Service Commissioner, Foster Campbell visiting us today.

Councilwoman Bowman: Oh, I didn't see him. Mr. Campbell, we're recognizing you. Thank you for attending our Council Meeting today. We're honored to have you here.

Mr. Campbell: Thank you Madam Chairman. I'm glad to be here. I'm trying to straighten out AT&T. They're giving everybody hell (inaudible).

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you very much.

Mayor Glover: Good to see you Mr. Commissioner.

Councilwoman Bowman: Just one second. Mr. Campbell, how long are you going to be here?

Mr. Campbell: As long as you need me.

Councilman Long: I have a question for you.

Councilwoman Bowman: Do you want to ask the question here in the meeting, or we could suspend the rules if - - -

Councilman Shyne: I'll move to suspend the rules.

Councilmen Walford and Wooley: Second.

Councilman Shyne: And let Mr. Campbell come up.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, let's do it without exception. Mr. Campbell?

Councilman Shyne: Now see this is what you get for coming in and not telling anybody.

Mr. Campbell: It's nice seeing y'all.

Councilman Long: Mr. Campbell, I've gotten some complaints from some of my constituents concerning the AT&T little fiber optic poles that are sticking up everywhere. Can you - - - what do you know about that?

Mr. Campbell: Well, Mr. Long the - - - Mr. Robertson told me that he had a conversation with you. I don't know a lot about it. I know it's annoying to some people. They're putting in their yards,

and we're going to look into that. What I was saying a while ago, was I said it real candor. AT&T is causing a problem in Louisiana. We get calls all the times with no service anymore. I know if you know this, they merged AT&T and Bellsouth a year ago, which was a mistake. And at that time, I thought it was a mistake. And I was the only one on the Public Service Commission who voted against it. My theory basically and I don't mean to be partisan, but when you put these big companies together, a lot of times you get less service, and we've had less service. They've promised to do a better job. They've got a new person in Louisiana, hopefully, we'll get some better service. But our phone rings off the wall with getting help. And I appreciate you calling Mr. Robertson. He told me about it and we're going to do everything we can. And if y'all have problems, call us. We'll be glad to try to help you on those problems. But it's not only in Shreveport area, it's all over North Louisiana. What they have promised and they didn't tell the truth was, they promised high speed internet. And the people in Shreveport are blessed with the capability of having high speed internet. But if you go to Cullen, or if you go to Grambling, or if you go to Pleasant Hill, or if you go to Zwolle, or anywhere you go around Toledo Bend, we don't have it. And I've heard everybody up here, y'all'll be committed to everybody for high speed internet, we're going to join the rest of the world, we got to have it. And AT&T needs to come on and make their promises good. So anyway I can help y'all let me know. And I'm always glad to be here.

Councilman Long: Well I appreciate that, I just again when it was brought to my attention, as I drive around town, I started seeing those poles and they're everywhere. And I just don't see why we need to have that going on everywhere. Because quite frankly, it's litter in my mind. Trash, and it just doesn't need to be there.

Mr. Campbell: Well, we'll let you know something real quick, because I talked to Mr. Robertson today. I told him I was coming and he told me of y'all conversation. I appreciate you being very vocal about it.

Councilman Wooley: Thank you Mr. Campbell for coming down. Just wanted to get some type of information or update in reference to COMCAST. Because I've been getting several complaints about COMCAST service. Didn't know what you guys had experienced.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, let me say one thing. We're on their channel right now. And we won't somebody to call in if they cut us off. They might suddenly have technical difficulties.

Mr. Campbell: Well, you know and you correct me if I'm wrong. The Council used to regulate cable service, and I think you ought to be able to do it again. Now I'm probably not going to make these fellows real happy when I say (inaudible) understand that some people's cable bill is \$100 a month or something like that. It's getting impossible. And it's hard for consumers to understand what's happening, and you don't regulate 'em anymore. Is that correct?

Councilman Shyne: Right.

Mr. Campbell: And they call my office all the time and we - - - now this company here in town, we're able to work with them, and I think - - - and that's another thing, I don't think that the

federal government should have taken away from us. I think you still ought to be regulating them, because so many people now, when you have \$100 bill a month, it's ridiculous. And then some of them, it's more I understand.

Councilwoman Bowman: It is more.

Mr. Campbell: And people want to watch television and they have to do it, and they watch it to be informed, but it seems like it's continuously going up. But we have some complaints, but so far, they're able to work with us. But like I say, we don't have any control over 'em. I don't regulate 'em. So, they're just doing it because they want to do it, or want to keep the peace.

Councilman Webb: Because they can.

Mr. Campbell. Yeah.

Councilman Walford: Madam Chairman, I think this might be an appropriate time to mention that they did get an award last week. MSN did a poll and they came out No. 2 in the country. Not among cable operators, but among everything, No. 2 for the worst customer service. They were only beat by 1.

Mr. Campbell: Well AT&T must not have been in there. Thank you for having me, and let me know if I can help y'all.

Councilwoman Bowman: Alright, thank you again for coming.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir. Oh, Mr. Mayor. Come back Mr. - - -

Mayor Glover: Mr. Commissioner, I want to take a moment to publicly thank the Commissioner for joining myself along with Chief Crawford and members of his staff as we sat down and had our initial meeting with Paul (inaudible) and John Hubbard, and members of their team from SWEPCO concerning the new power plant that has been constructed next to Central Fire Station. The Commissioner was kind enough to join us. It was a very positive, very constructive meeting, and we certainly appreciate him taking time from his schedule to be there. And we look forward if his schedule would allow to being a part of the follow up that we have planned for some point in the not too distance future. The Chief and his staff are taking daily monitorings of the decibel levels outside of the Central Station as it stands right now. And we look forward to coming back to the table to have those discussions to see if we can't figure out some way to hopefully address the problem. But we certainly appreciate the Commissioner's participation in the process.

Councilwoman Bowman: And I want to thank him for being my personal friend. Next Mr. Thompson?

**ORDINANCES:** None.

## REGULAR AGENDA LEGISLATION

### RESOLUTIONS ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE OR WHICH REQUIRE ONLY ONE READING

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 49 of 2010**: A resolution declaring the intention of the City of Shreveport (The "City") to proceed with a financing plan and to hire professionals in conjunction with the placement of the \$40,980,000 Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities and Community Development Authority Bonds (Shreveport Convention Center Hotel Project), Series 2008 with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (The "Placement"), making such modifications to the bond documents as required to effectuate the placement, making notification to the Louisiana State Bond Commission for approval of related fees, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: There is one amendment. In addition to - - - the amendment basically does three things. If you will refresh, you will see it and if you want me to read specifically what it does, I'll do that, but let me try to explain. No. 1, it sets a method for determining the rate of interest for the payment of the bonds. No. 2, it allows the trust fee of the bonds to get paid directly from casino revenues and hotel operating profits.

Councilwoman Bowman: What?

Mr. Thompson: And No. 3, it sets up the authority of the Mayor and Clerk to implement the actions authorized by the resolution. So, that's what the amendment does.

Councilwoman Bowman: I don't understand this.

Councilman Walford: I don't either. Can someone explain?

Councilwoman Bowman: I need it to be explained.

Mr. Sibley: We'll get Mr. Madden to come forward, particularly Charles on the flow through of the hotel/casino (inaudible).

Mr. Madden: The way the setup is the - - - normally, what most of these, we send a wire - - - each of the trustees an amount each month that sets up the amount that's due for interest and principle during the year. With this one here, the money will go to them, and then they will send us the difference versus us sending them the money. It's the same - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Why are we doing that? Do you know?

Mr. Thompson: Well it says as a condition of the placement, the city will cause the funds of the city, which arrives from the city's receipt of funds. In other words, they are demanding that we do this in order for them to loan us the money.

Councilwoman Bowman: Whose demanding this, Wells Fargo?

Mr. Thompson: Yes.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, we need to postpone and discuss this.

Councilman Lester: Motion to postpone.

Councilman Walford: Yeah, I think so.

Councilwoman Bowman: Because this is kinda crazy.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Lester, seconded by Councilman Webb to postpone until the next regular meeting.**

Councilman Webb: You want to discuss it before we vote?

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, is there some discussion?

Councilman Lester: It's just foolishness.

Councilwoman Bowman: It is.

Councilman Lester: That's my discussion.

Councilwoman Bowman: I've never seen nothing quite like this.

Councilman Shyne: Madam Chairman, did I see Attorney Washington?

Mr. Sibley: Yes, he was.

Councilman Shyne: Did you have some comments on that?

Mr. Alex Washington: Well as (inaudible) foolishness, I don't know (inaudible), but as Mr. Thompson stated, that was some of the stipulation that Wells Fargo put in the agreement on the term sheet. I think we discussed it with, I know Bond counsel discussed it with Wells Fargo, and some general conversation with the Administration to get this matter moving forward. This resolution at this point that you're looking at, is only a resolution to move toward hiring professionals. The city has not fond themselves in any agreement at this point. We still have to go before LCDA, and also the State Bond Commission, so this is preliminary at the most. But you know at the we're at the whelm of the City Council, so however you choose to move forward, we will.

Councilman Lester: Thank you Madam Chair. I appreciate that Mr. Washington, and certainly, they are making certain terms and conditions and I appreciate the ability that they have to do that.

But the concern that I have would be if we were to acquiesce to this particular amendment, I think that we would be putting ourselves at the tacit endorsement of that later down the line. And just as we had some conversation betwixt and between, I think when you called that special meeting and we sat down and had a presentation from the folks, understanding that the exigency of the situation and the fact that they are able to help us, you know this puts us almost in my mind back to the situation that we had with our previous bank type situation where they were dictating particular terms that were onerous to us that kinda boxed us in, and unless and until someone says that this is the only way that we can do it, I mean, just because they requested us to do this, doesn't mean that we necessarily have to, and it could be that maybe they don't understand the way our budgetary situation works or this is something that's done in the private side of finance as opposed to the municipal side, but I know I'm certainly not comfortable on a municipal type scenario, doing it this way, particularly when we've never done it that way. And we've not had a request of that nature, so I understand you are a conduit betwixt and between bringing a message from them, but I don't think that from the Council's standpoint, I don't want to at least give any vent to that, to make them even think that that's something that we would consider. So, I understand that this is preliminary, but I don't even want to go down that road, so that we can come back later and say well at some point, you guys did agree to this, so we're drafting the contract based on that. I think that we should have some clarity before we move forward. And that's why my vote is to postpone until maybe the Chair with the Administration could call the parties together and sit down and have another meeting to maybe iron this out. Thank you Madam Chairman.

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chairman, I don't know the answer to this, but is this time sensitive in anyway? Do we have any - - -?

Mr. Washington: Well, I know there is - - - we have a State Bond Commission deadline of April?

Mr. Thompson: No I mean suppose we don't do anything to get on this Bond Commission, what does that - - - does that have any negative consequences as it relates to our financing for the hotel?

Mr. Washington: Well the previous agreement that we had with the letter of credit, this is the reason this matter has come up again, was for one year. I would defer to the FA to determine whether that deadline is approaching. I know it's approaching, I don't know the deadline exact date, but I know it's approaching. And that's the reason.

Mr. Sibley: It's June, and as Mr. Washington pointed out, what this does is basically authorizes hiring the professionals, but in particularly, what we'll do is get Wells to come in. Because as it was shared with us, and talked with our finance guys, it's a difference between us writing the check and them writing the check. But it's basically a trust account that the funds would go into and then it would be spread out from there. I understand that that may not be an acceptable method, but that's what they offered as an explanation. And I think as it's been pointed out, we need to get them, let them offer more, so that the Council is clear on how that process will work. And we'll work with the FA to get that done. But this particular ordinance today is simply to as Mr. Washington pointed out, to hire the professionals, and that shouldn't Mr. Washington, have any impact on the letter of credit deadline, because we're still within the Bond Commission timeline for that.

Mayor Glover: We have no problem with postponement. Madam Chair, we will address the matter between now and the next Council Meeting.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Lester said most of it, but I will respectfully disagree with Mr. Sibley and Mr. Washington. To me, if we pass this, it's much like Mr. Lester said. We're in essence approving it. So, I wouldn't feel comfortable going forward at all, and I will vote 'YES' to a postponement. If it comes back to us in this form, I'll be voting 'NO' on the financing. Thank you Madam Chairman.

Mr. Washington: And if I may add?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah.

Mr. Washington: Ms. Bowman, I know they discussed the different options with different financial groups, and as I appreciate it, this was one of the ones the FA selected based on our present situation.

Councilman Lester: Well could I ask?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes.

Councilman Lester: If item 49 simply moves forward with our desire to hire professionals, is that correct?

Mr. Washington: That's all.

Councilman Lester: And as I appreciate it, this Amendment No. 1, changes that, it hires professionals, but it also includes the language that I think the Council does not appreciate. Is that correct Mr. Thompson? So, if we wanted to continue down the path, and not deal with this language, could we instead of just dealing with postponing the whole issue, could we not just move forward with Item 49 without the amendment? That puts us pretty much in a position of saying we're going to hire the professionals based upon the conversation that we had, and we let it be known that we reject Amendment No. 1, which is actually changing or deals with the proposal that they have for us, so that we can continue to move down that process. Is there something that would be - - - would that confuse the issue? I guess that would be to you Mr. Washington.

Mr. Washington: And I'm going to tell you my answer. I'm not entirely sure, I'll defer to Ms. Glass. I know this matter was on the agenda, as an amendment, and that was placed on the agenda for the public. There may be a notice concern by trying to go back in a different direction. So - - -

Ms. Glass: Well, that's not a problem, because what you're suggesting is to just adopt the resolution.

Councilman Lester: Right.

Ms. Glass: In the form that it was originally on the agenda. But since the Administration or the Bond Attorneys propose this amendment, I don't know if that accomplishes what you're trying to accomplish.

Councilman Lester: Well, as I appreciate it, the next step is whether we're for Amendment No. 1 or not, we understand that we're going to have to do something. That's why we had this special meeting. I don't want to move forward with Amendment No. 1, which is the language that I think is offensive. But I still understand that we are in a time schedule, and I don't want to hold the process up. So my question is, can we just move forward with item 49, which declares our intention to hire professionals, and not vote or vote down Amendment No. 1, or does that confuse the issue?

Ms. Scott: Mr. Lester, Council if I may, Amendment No. 1 also includes some additional amendments in that resolution. What you have in front of you is the amended resolution that includes all of the changes that are shown in that amendment.

Councilman Lester: Okay. Well then we need to postpone it. Okay, okay, thank you for answering that question.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, that was on the amendment, this is on the main motion. Mr. Thompson?

Mr. Thompson: I thought you postponed the whole thing.

Councilwoman Bowman: No, but see we had a motion already on the floor. So for purposes, I'll change my motion to postpone item 49 entirely.

Councilman Walford: I thought that's what we did.

Mr. Thompson: Yeah, I thought that's what we did.

Councilman Lester: Well, I think they started reading Amendment No. 1. You started reading Amendment No. as I appreciate it, (inaudible).

Councilwoman Bowman: And that's when we stopped it.

Councilman Lester: And that's why I said what I said.

Mr. Thompson: But for clarification, I thought your motion was to postpone, and we took that to mean the item.

Councilman Lester: That's what I wanted to do. So, however we get there is fine with me, but my desire was to postpone the issue completely.

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chair, we will take the action of the Council as the action to postpone No. 49.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, thank you very much. No. 50 Mr. Thompson.

### **RESOLUTION NO. 50 OF 2010**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT BY THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY FOR THE SHREVEORT RELAY FOR LIFE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City desires to participate in programs and events which directly benefit the citizens of the City, particularly those programs and events that provide health awareness education and other benefits to the public and that serve to benefit and improve the health of the entire community; and

WHEREAS, the American Cancer Society will sponsor the "Relay for Life" in Shreveport on April 30, 2010 and has requested the use of city-owned staging units for the event; and

WHEREAS, the event serves to spread information on cancer awareness to all persons; and

WHEREAS, proceeds from the event are used for cancer research and to assist cancer patients which is a benefit to the public and serves a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the use of staging units by the American Cancer Society on April 29-30, 2010 for the Relay for Life is hereby authorized subject to execution of an indemnity and hold harmless agreement by the American Cancer Society in favor of the City of Shreveport in a form acceptable to the Office of the City Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 51 OF 2010**

**A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT BY THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY FOR THE BOSSIER RELAY FOR LIFE AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City desires to participate in programs and events which directly benefit the public, particularly those programs and events that provide health awareness education and other benefits to the public and that serve to benefit the health of the entire community; and

WHEREAS, the American Cancer Society, will sponsor the "Relay for Life" in Bossier City, Louisiana on April 30, 2010 and has requested the use of city-owned staging units for the event; and

WHEREAS, the event serves to spread information on cancer awareness to all persons; and

WHEREAS, proceeds from the event are used for cancer research and to assist cancer patients which is a benefit to the public and serves a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the use of staging units by the American Cancer Society on April 29-30, 2010 for the Relay for Life in Bossier City, Louisiana is hereby authorized subject to execution of an indemnity and hold harmless agreement by the American Cancer Society in favor of the City of Shreveport in a form acceptable to the Office of the City Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 52 OF 2010**

**A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE USE OF RIVERVIEW HALL FOR THE OFFICE OF PROBATION AND PAROLE, SHREVEPORT, DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Shreveport desires to participate in programs and activities which directly benefit the citizens and the community of the City by participating in wholesome activities that serve to benefit the entire community; and

WHEREAS, the City is the owner of Riverview Hall, located at 600 Clyde Fant Parkway; and

**WHEREAS**, Probation and Parole, Shreveport District has requested the use of

Riverview Hall on March 30, 2010 for the purpose of conducting a job, career

and social service resource fair for those persons re-entering society and that have been

previously incarcerated; and

**WHEREAS**, on March 30, 2010, Probation and Parole, Shreveport District will

partner with other organizations including the City, Goodwill Industries and the Northwest Louisiana Re-Entry Coalition to provide an educational and employment

opportunity to benefit the citizens and the community which serves a public benefit and

serves a public purpose; and

**WHEREAS**, said request for use requires two readings before the City Council

and Final Passage would not occur prior to the scheduled event; and

**WHEREAS**, authorization of said request for use would require the City Council

ratifying the use of Riverview Hall:

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of Shreveport in

due, regular and legal session convened that Cedric Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby

authorized and empowered to execute a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement between the

City of Shreveport and Probation and Parole, Shreveport District substantially in the form

filed in the office of the Clerk of Council on March 23, 2010; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the City Council of Shreveport that it hereby ratifies the use of the Riverview Hall for March 30, 2010 for the purpose of conducting a job, career and social service resource fair for those persons re-entering society and that have been previously incarcerated; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions,

items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid

provision, items or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are

hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 53 OF 2010**

#### **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EMPLOYMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport (“City”) desires to retain the services of outside counsel in connection with the Chapter 11 bankruptcy case filed by Nationwide Parking Services of Shreveport, LLC, United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Colorado, Case No: 10-15135 ABC and related matters; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 8.03 of the City Charter, the City Attorney recommends that Rothberger Johnson & Lyons, LLP Attorneys at Law, be retained for such purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular and legal session convened that the Shreveport Airport Authority or a designee thereof is hereby authorized to execute a retainer agreement with Rothgerber Johnson & Lyons, LLP, Attorneys at Law, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions of the draft thereof which was filed for public inspection, together with the original copy of this resolution in the office of the Clerk of Council on March 23, 2010.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared to be severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: Is that Mr. Miller I see back there. Mr. Miller, I would hope that you and the City Attorney would keep us abreast on what goes on and not when it gets to the end, but maybe kinda step by step. We would appreciate it, thank you.

Councilman Webb: Terri still here?

Mr. Sibley: She's here.

Councilman Webb: Terri, I'm looking here at the legal fee. Trying to find where I saw it at. \$285 and hour and \$400 and something dollars an hour?

Ms. Scott: Yes sir.

Councilman Webb: If we hire representatives to represent the City in a law suit, what are we expecting to gain? Isn't - - - aren't they an LLC, or have any assets that we can collect from? Or I guess what I'm wondering is, what good is a lawsuit going to do, if we're going to spend \$50, 60, 70,000 getting somebody to represent us?

Ms. Scott: Well, that's one of the things that the law firm has been hired to determine for us, whether or not there are any assets or what the City's status will be coming out of the bankruptcy. The fees are what the fees are in that locality. And I must admit that they are - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: H. I.

Ms. Scott: A lot higher than typically what we pay or contract attorneys, and typically higher than what's charged in this locality. But that's the costs of doing business in Denver, CO.

Councilman Webb: We don't have any idea though, what kind of timeframe or hour-wise that they feel they can come up with the necessary information to - - - before we just spend a whole ton of money?

Ms. Scott: No sir, we don't. One recommendation, and I'm sorry to make it at this point is that if Council have some concern about the fees because the hourly rate in and of itself will put the amount that's eventually paid to the firm up there. If you would want to postpone this, we could do an amendment right quick that says, not to exceed amount without previous authorization. But at this point, we are confident that the firm is going to be extremely professional and judicious in looking out for our interest in this regard, and that they won't gouge us on the fees, but again, if the Council wants some additional assurance, then that would be a way to achieve that.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I wanted Roy to come up.

Councilwoman Bowman: Sharon, could you give me another copy of that contract that the - - -

Ms. Scott: It's on the computer, it's attached. But I'll get you a hard copy.

Councilwoman Bowman: I didn't get it printed off. Monty, I tried.

Ms. Scott: We'll get you a hard copy Ms. Bowman.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Miller, how long have they been operating out there?

Mr. Miller: July of 2002 is my recollection.

Councilman Webb: Okay. You being the Airport Director and overseeing this, what assets do they have that you know of that we can collect from?

Mr. Miller: Asset wise, they don't have very much. I'm not speaking for the City Attorney, but I think most of this is just to have to handle the bankruptcy proceedings. We have to have representation in that court.

Ms. Scott: Madam Chairman, let me point out also. The other reason that we're doing this is so that we can get control of those parking lots, and that we can be able to terminate the contract that will allow us to put another company out there to collect the parking revenue.

Councilman Webb: So basically, we can't do that until we do file a lawsuit?

Mr. Scott: Well, until we are involved in the bankruptcy, because that contract for parking management services is now a part of Nationwide's bankruptcy.

Councilman Webb: Okay, that explains it all then. Thank you

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

6. **Resolution No. 61 of 2010**: A resolution declaring the City's interest in certain adjudicated properties as surplus and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Walford and G/Bowman)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: What property are we in reference to here?

Councilwoman Bowman: That's No. 61.

Councilman Walford: In B, it's one on Grigsby Street.

Councilwoman Bowman: Lets see. Where is it in here? That's B. Okay, this is 3628 Hardy Street, and - - -

Councilman Webb: Whose district is that in?

Councilwoman Bowman: Well this one is mine, but you know one thing, I want to - - - I don't know how we can go about this, but I want to postpone my portion of it.

Councilman Walford: Well no, we'll just postpone the whole thing.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, and give me a chance to look at this, because these are lots 10, 12 and - - -

Councilman Walford: Is that your motion?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir, that's the motion.

Councilman Lester: Second.

Councilwoman Bowman: Seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone.

**Substitute motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Lester to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION OF 62 2010**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF SECTION 78-388 OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT CODE OF ORDINANCES RELATIVE TO "HOURS OF OPERATION OF WATERCRAFT ON CROSS LAKE" DURING THE WEEKEND OF APRIL 30TH THROUGH MAY 2ND, 2010, TO INCLUDE THE HOURS THAT THE LAKE IS NORMALLY CLOSED, TO ALLOW THE SHREVEPORT YACHT CLUB TO OPERATE PRIVATELY OWNED SAILING AND MOTOR VESSELS ON CROSS LAKE IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS SAIL-A-THON FUNDRAISER FOR CYSTIC FIBROSIS RESEARCH AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

**BY: Councilman Walford**

**WHEREAS**, Cystic Fibrosis is reported to be the second most common life-shortening, childhood onset inherited disorder in the United States; and

**WHEREAS**, Shreveport Yacht Club proposes to have a "Sail-A-Thon" fund raiser for Cystic Fibrosis research; and

**WHEREAS**, Shreveport Yacht Club plans to operate privately owned sailing and motor vessels on Cross Lake during the weekend of April 30th through May 2nd, 2010 to include the hours the lake is normally closed, to bring attention to the need for more research funding for Cystic Fibrosis; and

**WHEREAS**, Shreveport Yacht Club proposes to have a member of its club staff standing by with a powerboat during the hours that the lake is normally closed in the event that participants need assistance; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 78-388 of the Code of Ordinances (Hours of Operation of Watercraft) states: "It shall be unlawful for any person to occupy or operate any boat or watercraft, except a houseboat, on Cross Lake during the period from 1:00 a.m. to daylight (thirty (30) minutes before sunrise). During said period all boats shall be removed from the lake or properly moored"; and

**WHEREAS**, Shreveport Yacht Club requests the City Council to suspend Section 78-388 of the Code of Ordinances (Hours of Operation of Watercraft) to facilitate this worthwhile event.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Shreveport City Council, in due, legal and regular session convened that Section 78-388 of the Code of Ordinances (Hours of Operation of Watercraft) be suspended during the weekend of April 30th through May 2nd, 2010 to include the hours that the lake is normally closed to allow Shreveport Yacht Club to operate privately owned sailing and motor vessels on Cross Lake.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Shreveport City Council appreciates Shreveport Yacht Club and it's promoting the need for more research funding for this childhood debilitating disorder.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, item or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilman Long: I just have a question. So Monty, what are they doing now? They're just prohibiting other boats from running or what?

Councilman Walford: Oh no, the lake is closed from 11:00 p.m. until 4:00 a.m.? 1:00 a.m. to 4:00 a.m., so it's just allowing continuous sailing.

Councilman Long: At night?

Councilman Walford: Day and night for 24 hours.

Councilman Webb: And Joe is going to monitor it.

Councilman Walford: Joe's invited. Any of y'all are invited.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Thompson, I'd like to also make a correction on this one. I know that No. 61? It's showing B/Walford/D/Wooley. But that is Walford and Bowman is correct on the hard copy. I just wanted to make sure that we do the correction. Okay, No. 63.

### **RESOLUTION NO. 63 OF 2010**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING THE EFFECTS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND CHAPTER 106 RELATIVE TO ZONING FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5815 YOUREE DRIVE FOR A CELEBRATION OF CINCO DE MAYO ON MAY 5, 2010 AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Michael Long

WHEREAS, Trejo's Mexican Restaurant located at 5815 Youree Drive intends to celebrate Cinco de Mayo on May 5, 2010; and

WHEREAS, Cinco de Mayo is a festival intended to celebrate Mexico's victory over the French in 1862; and

WHEREAS, the restaurant desires to dispense, and allow the consumption and sale of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the restaurant during the celebration, between the hours of 6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.;

WHEREAS, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

WHEREAS, the special exception approval granted to the restaurant for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing did not specifically authorize outside sales and/or consumption on the premises; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section Chapter 10-103(a)(5) provides that the city council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licenses premises without a proper license; and

WHEREAS, activities planned by the restaurant in celebration of this event will provide an opportunity for both the citizens of Shreveport and visitors to the City to partake in the celebration of Cinco de Mayo, and therefore will provide a benefit to the public; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of Trejo's Mexican Restaurant, 1906 5815 Youree Drive, on May 5, 2010, between the hours of 6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m. for the celebration of Cinco de Mayo.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened Section 106-130(6), 10-80(a) and 10-103(a)(5) are hereby suspended on May 5, 2005 from 6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m. for the celebration of Cinco de Mayo by Trejo's Mexican Restaurant located at 5815 Youree Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilman Long: Appreciate your support.

Councilman Shyne: You can see I'm having to hold my nose because it's alcohol involved and I know my Pastor wouldn't want me to vote for anything with alcohol involved.

Councilman Walford: Y'all do understand that Cinco de Mayo is celebrated because that's my daughter's birthday.

Councilwoman Bowman: Oh, well alright, thank you.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 64 OF 2010**

**A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND CHAPTER 106 RELATIVE TO ZONING ON MAY 2, 2010 RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF**

**ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AT 4801 LINE AVENUE FOR THE WINE COUNTRY BISTRO'S WINE AND SWINE EVENT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By: Councilman Michael Long

**WHEREAS**, The Wine Country Bistro located at 4801 Line Avenue will host a Wine and Swine event on May 2, 2010 between the hours of 2:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m.; and

**WHEREAS**, the establishment desires to dispense, and allow the consumption and sale of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the establishment, between the hours of 2:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

**WHEREAS**, any special exception approval granted to the establishment for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing does not specifically authorize outside sales and/or consumption on the premises; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

**WHEREAS**, Section Chapter 10-103(a)(5) provides that the city council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licensed premises without a proper license; and

**WHEREAS**, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of The Wine Country Bistro, 4801 Line Avenue, on May 2, 2010 for the Wine and Swine event.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened Section 106-130(6), 10-103(a)(5) and 10-80(a) are hereby suspended on May 2, 2010 for the Wine and Swine event, between the hours of 2:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m., at The Wine Country Bistro, 4801 Line Avenue.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: I got to hold my nose again.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

### **RESOLUTION NO. 65 OF 2010**

**A RESOLUTION TO REQUEST GOVERNOR BOBBY JINDAL AND THE STATE LEGISLATURE TO USE ANY AND ALL POWERS INVESTED IN THE GOVERNOR AND THE LEGISLATURE BY THE STATE OF LOUISIANA TO DIRECT ANY AVAILABLE FUNDING FROM FEDERAL OR STATE RESOURCES FOR THE PURPOSE OF ENHANCING THE EXISTING AND POTENTIAL MONETARY AND WORKFORCE VALUE TO INVESTORS OR MANUFACTURERS WHO MAY BE INTERESTED IN UTILIZING THE EXISTING FACILITIES AND/OR WORKFORCE AT THE GENERAL MOTORS/MOTORS LIQUIDATION ASSEMBLY FACILITY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

BY: COUNCILMAN WALFORD

WHEREAS, General Motors, also known as Motors Liquidation, has announced its intent to cease production at the Shreveport Louisiana General Motors/Motors Liquidation assembly facility; and

WHEREAS, the Shreveport GM facility has made economic contributions to the City of Shreveport, the surrounding Parishes, and the State for over thirty (30) years; most currently in 2009 an annual payroll of 45 million dollars (\$45,000,000), 4.1 million dollars (\$4,100,000) in property taxes, and over eighty thousand dollars (\$80,000) in charitable contributions; and

WHEREAS, these contributions have been a vital contributing factor to the economic well being and existence for the City of Shreveport, surrounding Parishes', and the State of Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the assembly facility is one of the most modern and versatile automotive production facilities in the domestic automotive industry due, in part, to the facility's approximately 3 million (3,000,000) square feet of assembly space under roof, an on site metal stamping plant, and electric power plant; and

WHEREAS, the facility is served by a fully functional supplier network of fourteen (14) supplier facilities; and

WHEREAS, the facility is in close proximity to three major area airports (Dallas, Houston, and Shreveport) and railroad and interstate highway systems in good working order; and

WHEREAS, the facility currently employs a highly trained workforce that has been recognized many times in the last three decades for its efficiency and production skills by the GM Corporation; and

WHEREAS, a pool of residents in the immediate area, in addition to the currently employed workforce, have prior manufacturing experience and training; and

WHEREAS, the economic consequences of the loss of this facility will negatively impact the citizens and the City of Shreveport, the surrounding area, and the State of Louisiana on many levels.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the City Council requests Governor Bobby Jindal and the State Legislature to use any and all powers invested in the Governor and the Legislature by the State of Louisiana to direct any available funding from federal or state resources for the purpose of enhancing the existing and potential monetary and workforce value to investors or manufacturers who may be interested in utilizing the existing facilities and/or workforce at the General Motors/Motors Liquidation Assembly Facility.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt.**

Councilman Walford: As we all know, Mayor Glover has gone to I guess Detroit and Washington with Councilman Webb, and really pushed the idea of somebody taking the Hummer brand on, but we're hearing that those have fallen through, and there is a doubt, but as I mentioned yesterday and Councilman Webb pointed out, the stamping plant and the workforce is there to do all kinds of things. Mr. Webb said they could stamp washing machines.

Councilman Webb: There you go.

Councilman Walford: So, we have a great facility, we know that what was proposed in Northeast Louisiana, for the V-plant apparently has fallen through, I hope they'll use some of those resources and help us market the workforce and the facility that we have now, and Mayor, I'm hoping that as soon as this passes, you'll sign it and send it to our Governor and cross our fingers.

Councilman Webb: I know the Governor was pushing for the facility over in Monroe, and I think all of that has fallen through the crack, which they're trying to regroup over there, but I certainly think that he should be looking at - - we've already got a facility that's up and running here, lets maybe utilize some of that money and try to save this plant, and keep the people working here instead of trying to help a new facility.

Councilman Shyne: Madam Chairman, I would like to suggest to the Mayor that he might would want to give this to my State Representative, and let her hand deliver it to him. That's only a suggestion.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chairman?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir.

Mayor Glover: If I could offer some dialogue and definitely welcome the expression on the part of Councilman Walford and the rest of the Council, to give you just a bit of additional background, GM as it stands right now still has not officially pulled the plug with regard to the sale of even the Hummer brand. If you guys may recall, I was in fact I was riding down to Baton Rouge for a visit with Governor Jindal on the morning of March 1<sup>st</sup> of this year, when we got the email indicating that the negotiations between GM and Seshan Chingaung, in fact have broken off and that all efforts are being suspended in terms of that transaction due to the failure of the People's Republic of China to sign off on the transaction. It was not 24 hours later that we all got subsequent emails from several different sources that now indicate and had we had this information confirmed actually with GM, they have actually postponed until at this point May 1<sup>st</sup>, and actual deadline for that effort to make a transaction to make a deal with the Hummer. They have gone to options apparently 2 and 3 on the list of the 4 or 5 actual groups that showed up and represented I guess in that respective water, the best option for trying to actually sell the Hummer. And so, this is certainly welcome, it makes an outstanding statement, but until we hear that official word from GM that they are not still at least in the process of trying to negotiate a transaction that will result in the sale of the Hummer, that particular scenario is still in play. We don't know if it has any potential to yield anything at this point, but we could be here at some point in the next several months. Now, I have no doubt that May 1<sup>st</sup> may get here and rather than getting the announcement that all efforts to try and execute a transaction are off, we probably will hear in the effort of due diligence, we need to give this an additional 30-60 days as we saw several times with the attempt to execute the transaction with the folks from Seshan Chingaung. But that's still in the air, and if that transaction goes through, it still represents as I think most people who sat around the table and talked about it represents the best opportunity that we can keep manufacturing there, although it may be only manufacturing at that point would occupy about 25-30% of the facility, then we would be well position to work with Motors Liquidation Corporation as well as other manufacturers or assemblers. To figure out a means and a mechanism by which you can bring obviously not just the city, because we just provide the facility to it, but more importantly our friends up on the 8<sup>th</sup> floor, at the Parish as well as the folks in Baton Rouge at the State level, are all around the table trying to figure out how we managed to be able to 1) Keep people working, and 2) Making something that people want to buy out at that facility. But this is a very positive statement. Will go a very long way, and the process of trying to figure out how we go about

resolving these situations is one that's a challenge. I was on a conference call last month with Dr. Ed Montgomery, who is along with Ron Bloom, are the President's two point people for addressing automotive manufacturing related issues in the U.S. Two things that struck me as very significant. 1) We have 2,000,000 of 44,000,000 million square feet of manufacturing space that GM has now put into the Motors Liquidation Corporation. So that's a huge amount of manufacturing for that region. We also are one of 160 automotive manufacturing plants in the United States of America that has now been idle within the last 18 months. And so there's a huge world out there, that we are still at this point not yet fully into, because we are in many respects, neither fish nor fowl. There are plants who know that they will continue to operate and continue to manufacture at least for the foreseeable future, and there are others that are completely shut down and are looking to be (inaudible) what this resolution (inaudible). We're trying to (inaudible) another region where we're not at the 3,000 employees that we were at several years ago, we're not completely shut down, we've got 1,000 people out there working and they are working at a plant that is no longer at this point an official General Motors plant. It is a Motors Liquidation property. We're actually as I have been led to believe, stamping equipment that is General Motors', and that once the overall shutdown comes into place, we could find ourselves here with the 2,000,000 square foot plant of manufacturing space with the new GM coming in and saying, 'Well all we want is to take out that billion dollars plus, worth of stamping equipment we put in about six or seven years ago. And now you guys go and figure out what the best thing to do with that 2,000,000 square feet worth of space you have. And so we are walking a path that has never been trod before, at least in this area. But there are other folks out there, and we definitely appreciate the help, the support, and the insight that the Council has given as well people throughout the community and the folks around the country that are a part of that community to try and figure out how we make it better, make something better out of this current situation. So, I said all that to say thank you for what you've done, and I have no doubt it will be a help.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Lester, Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 7. Nays: None.**

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 66 OF 2010**

**A RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER AND THE FINANCE DIRECTOR TO REVERSE THE ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS WHICH CLOSED THE FLEET SERVICE FUND, AND TO REVERSE THE JOURNAL ENTRY WHICH TRANSFERRED "\$697,051.74 FROM THE GENERAL FUND YEAR END FUND BALANCE TO ELIMINATE A \$697,051.74 NEGATIVE FUND BALANCE IN THE FLEET SERVICE INTERNAL SERVICE FUND AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

**BY: Councilwoman Joyce Bowman**

**WHEREAS**, the Accounting Department made a "13th month" journal entry for the budget year 2009, which transferred "\$697,051.74 from the General Fund year end fund balance to eliminate a \$697,051.74 negative fund balance in the Fleet Service Internal Service Fund, so that the Fleet Service Fund could be closed; and

**WHEREAS**, several questions have been raised about the nature and cause of the Fleet Service negative Fund Balance, and whether it was proper and necessary to use funds from the General Fund exclusively or in part to eliminate the negative Fund Balance in the Fleet Service Fund - questions which have not been answered to the satisfaction of the Council; and

**WHEREAS**, the General Fund year end fund balance is available for expenditure in the subsequent budget year; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council budgeted the 2009 year end fund balance, including the said \$697,051.74, as revenue in the 2010 General Fund Budget, and

**WHEREAS**, no journal entry should be made which is in conflict with or which is not authorized by the budget ordinances of the city; and

**WHEREAS**, the administrative action which closed the Fleet Service Fund and the Journal entry which transferred \$697,051.74 from the General Fund year end fund balance to eliminate a \$697,051.74 negative fund balance in the Fleet Service Internal Service Fund should be reversed until the Council receives enough information to make any budget amendments that may be necessary.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that the Chief Administrative Officer and the Finance Director are directed to reverse the administrative actions which closed the Fleet Service Fund, and to reverse the journal entry which transferred \$697,051.74 from the General Fund year end fund balance to eliminate a \$697,051.74 negative fund balance in the Fleet Service Internal Service Fund.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Finance Director shall provide the Council with a report which explains any negative balance in the Fleet Service Fund, and which recommends proposed actions, including budget amendments, that can and should be taken to eliminate said negative balance.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declare severable.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt.**

Mr. Sibley: Madam Chair, thank you. I think it was Mr. Shyne who pointed out yesterday that the intention of the Council here is to allow time to present the information, but we want to try and move that clock as quickly as possible, so the city won't have this negative balance. So, we'd like

to present some of the information today that's embodied within the body of the resolution that we think is important to the discussion. In an earlier memo from the Mayor, he indicated that we had a couple of issues that we were dealing with in dealing with this situation. First of all, the question that everyone had is the basis of the negative number. How'd it come about? Where did it come from, etc. So we asked the Finance Department (and Rick, do we have those?) to basically provide to you a listing of the historical numbers with the Fleet Services Fund. It outlines the negative balance, the source of the negative balance, how that number was derived. And I think one of the things that's also important there in terms of reversing the entry, part of the discussion has been that this negative balance is there because transfers from the other departments that utilized the services of the Fleet Services Fund, did not transfer enough funds to fill that void. You will also see there on your sheet, the relative percentage and actual dollars that each fund would be charged today, if we were to go to those funds to replenish the Fleet Services account. If you'll also notice down through Finance, from Police through Finance, that total over on the right hand side, \$573,654.26 represents funds that are all General Fund funds. Basically meaning that if we go back and reverse it, task these departments with those amounts, then it is our opinion that based on the budgets that the Council passed, we would have to come back with budget amendments basically to replenish those funds from the General Fund in order to allow them to operate within this year. So, that information is there to provide you with the source of the negative balance within the Fleet Services Fund. How it came about, how long it's historically been there, and which departments contributed toward that negative balance, and what amounts would be necessary to transfer into Fleet, if we were to pass those departments today, would making them hold. That was one of the primary questions. Also, one of the questions that came up was whether or not this was actual cash, whether or not it was depreciation or something else, and I think a couple of things, 1) When we had the Special Meeting, an External Auditor came in. He was pretty emphatic about this being actual cash. These were expenditures that went out. These were not depreciation issues or other non cash issues. So we went back to the issue of depreciation to ask then, how is it within our system that depreciation actually works in terms of this Fleet Services Fund. And what was explained and what you'll see with the numbers there, is that the Fleet for example, (inaudible) give this as an example. If the Fleet Service Fund when it started bought a piece of equipment that cost \$12,000. The \$12,000 was paid up front, and the General Fund accounting method pays that entire amount up front. Then that \$12,000 is divided up monthly for the Fleet Services Fund to basically pay the General Fund back. In essence it would be a thousand dollars a month to pay it back. The previous sheet you had from the External Auditor had a line called depreciation. That line represents that pro rata share of that depreciation each month for the Fleet Services Fund, which is a negative number. Because in essence, Fleet Services was paying the General Fund back. Part of it is the difference in how the General Fund is accounted for as opposed to these revenue funds. But basically that's the simplistic example of how it happened. So, it's not a situation, but there is an artificial devaluation depreciation. Depreciation here actually represents dollars that went out. So the question of whether or not these were actual dollars, we believe between the External Auditor, between our finance folks, everyone agrees that these are actual dollars and therefore they have to be replaced. By actual dollars. One of the issues and the Mayor's memo indicated that we would look at what adjustments we can make within our system to insure that we don't end up in a situation like this again. So what we've done in sitting down with our staff and in some consultation with Council Staff, we've developed what we think are triggers, that would trigger notice to both the CAO's office and the Council. And they would

include the following: Whenever a fund is going to be closed out and has a negative balance, that is a trigger. The CAO's office will be notified, the Council will be notified. Secondly one of the things that we think did not happen, that perhaps should have happened, is when the discussion was held at the end of '08 indicating the Fleet Services Fund was going to be closed, at that point, the note should have been made that because there is a negative balance, it is going to require a transfer to cover it. And one of the things that we can do as going forward, that's why this is one of the triggers. If there is a situation, and I think that you all are aware that we're possibly looking at that with IT at the end of 2010, what my directive to the staff, to the Administrative Staff has been prior to the closure of that fund, any moves that have to be made to zero out be done prior to that so that we don't end up in the same situation. There's also, we make reference to the fact that Risk transfer has a lot of very sizable transfers in any given year. That is also a trigger, that anytime there's a recommendation from the actuary, or whomever that certain monies be moved into the Retained Risk Fund, that that notice also comes to the CAO, and to the Council. And finally, the third item that we came up with which is very similar, and I think Ordinance No. 52 that you have on the agenda, also covers this item. We determined that any accounting measure that somehow impacts or exceeds the approved Council budget should be noted. And that's essentially what you're doing with Ordinance 52. So, we support that and we think that's a good way to address that matter. So, what we wanted to do today what we thought were the questions that were raised and the answers to those questions based upon what we could find. We confirmed the negative balance of \$697,051.74. We've identified the source of that negative balance. We've also indicated that the bulk of those departments are within the General Fund, therefore it is our position that if we reverse it and take it from those departments, would still impact the General Fund, and we've come up with these recommendations that we think will deal with the issue. The primary issue in this whole situation has been noticed. The Council didn't know, we didn't know, everyone wants to know. So, we've developed these triggers that we think will cause this situation not to occur in the future, because there will be triggers there that would automatically trigger notice before the Retained Risk transfers are done, before any fund is closed out with a negative balance that has to be covered by a transfer, and similar to what you have in Ordinance No. 52, anytime there is an accounting practice that somehow impacts a budgeted line item, that that also is a trigger to get notice and bring that before the Administration and the Council. So what we wanted to do Madam Chairman is offer that as your resolution of what happened, your resolution asked for recommendations on how we avoid this in the future. That's what we've been able to determine so far, and we just wanted to offer that into the record, and offer that for your consideration, basically pointing out that a reversal of that journal entry still will create the negative balance that still has to be dealt with. We can go back and assess those departments for that amount, but then those departments would have to be reimbursed from the General Fund just to fulfill the budget that you passed at the end of last year. We think with these corrective measures in place, you won't see this - - - none of us will see this situation again. So, we wanted to offer that to the Council. Thank you Madam Chairman.

Councilman Webb: Dale, \$2,202.25 question from the City Marshal's office. How is that a part of Fleet Services?

Mr. Sibley: Well, it was actually fuel. And apparently fuel has historically been considered a part of the Fleet Services Fund. And if you look at the percentages there, the bulk of it is Public Works

(inaudible), per cent, and again, that's a General Fund fund. So, we took it from the, we have to give it back to 'em.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Sibley what I would like to see happen, mainly because I authored the resolution, and according to what you just explained to us, I'd like to go on and pass what's on here. But you all come back with the budget amendments on here. This is just good clean accounting. That's all.,

Mr. Sibley: Madam Chair if I may, one of the, and I'll get with legal and accounting because again, this is an accounting issue. If you recall in the memo that was presented, we outlined how when this first came up, one of the first actions that our budget officer Mr. Seaton and Madden were working on were ordinances to deal with it. One of the questions though was how do you amend and ordinance that was already gone. The '09 ordinance. So our thought was perhaps there's a way, and again it's an accounting and legal issue, and we're asking if we view these as negative balances starting off the year, then we have to recognize that we will be amending 2010 budgets in order to deal with that.

Councilwoman Bowman: But you said, it's still the General Fund, right?

Mr. Sibley: Yes Ma'am. But we're talking budget years. The '09 budget once the 2010 budget is passed, '09 budget is gone, so we can't amend the '09 budget.

Councilwoman Bowman: Exactly, but this is - - -

Mr. Sibley: We want to be sure that the Council is aware that we're be amending the 2010 budget in order to deal with that.

Councilwoman Bowman: The 2010 budget that it was taken out of, so that cannot be?

Mr. Sibley: No, no. It can be.

Councilman Shyne: And also. Madam Chairman?

Councilwoman Bowman: Councilman Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Of course I voted on it already, you know I would hope that this would be the procedure that we would use from now on. Especially when you transfer that large amount of money. I guess I'm kinda a poor boy, and I'm not used to counting no more that, what is it Mike, a couple hundred dollars?

Councilman Long: Um hmm.

Councilman Shyne: And when you get over a couple hundred dollars, I get a little nervous, so you get to that point, I think it's just - - - I just think it's the right business and political thing to do. And it's not that - - - let me speak for myself. It's not that I didn't trust you, and I'm going to say

you, because you're the CAO. It's not that I didn't trust you, because I know you are one of the leading Deacons.

Mr. Sibley: You know we have Trustees in the Methodist Church.

Councilman Shyne: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, that's exactly right. I don't know why I keep on thinking you're Baptist.

Mr. Sibley: I grew up Baptist.

Councilman Shyne: Deacon Davis, you understand what I'm saying. And I see you got a Methodist there sitting right next to you. So, you're exactly right. You're one of the leading Trustees. So, it's not that I don't trust you, to me that's just a sound way to do it.

Mr. Sibley: And Madam Chair, I would just ask the Council to indulge us because again the issue amending the '09 budget as well as we don't have a Fleet budget for 2010, so you know we'll work with Council staff, we'll work with accounting, we'll work with legal and find out exactly how we do that. And come back and obviously report to the Council, but we have to sort through some of those type issues.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS:** *(Not to be adopted prior to April 27, 2010)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Resolution No. 67 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into a cooperative endeavor agreement with Goodwill Industries, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 68 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the execution of an amendment to the agreement to manage and operate the Sci-Port Discovery Center, and to otherwise provide with the respect thereto.
3. **Resolution No. 69 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing and providing for the waiver of permit, inspection and other related fees for the construction of a house at 607 Buckhead Circle in Twelve Oaks Subdivision, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
4. **Resolution No. 70 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to accept a grant from the Federal Emergency Management Agency, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
5. **Resolution No. 71 of 2010**: A resolution authorizing the use of certain equipment by the Caddo Bossier Soccer Association for the Louisiana State Soccer Association Division 1 Championship Tournament, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/Long)

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Resolution No(s). 67, 68, 69, 71 and 71 of 2010 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES:** *(Not to be adopted prior to April 27, 2010)*

*The Clerk read the following:*

Mr. Thompson: At the meeting yesterday, I understood that Ordinance No. 50 was to be withdrawn. Is that correct?

Mr. Sibley: That's correct.

1. **Ordinance No. 50 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 General Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Wooley to remove Ordinance No. 50 of 2010 from the agenda. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 48 of 2010**: An ordinance amending and reenacting portions of Article II, Division V of Chapter 78 of the Code of Ordinances relative to parades, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/Bowman)

2. **Ordinance No. 49 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing the donation of City-owned property to the Caddo Parish School Board. (C/Long) *(Not to be adopted prior to May 11, 2010)*

3. **Ordinance No. 51 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Grants Special Revenue Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

4. **Ordinance No. 52 of 2010**: An ordinance enacting Section 2-477 of the Code of Ordinances relative to budgets and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

5. **Ordinance No. 53 of 2010**: ANNEXATION: TAG NO. 10-01 – An ordinance enlarging the limits and boundaries of the City of Shreveport – A tract of land located in fractional sections 9 and 38, and being a part of the Grappe Claim, (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana (Railsback Road at Ellerbe Road), and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley) *(Public Hearing – April 27, 2010)*

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to introduce Ordinance No(s). 48, 49, 51, 52, and 53 of 2010 to lay over until the next regular**

**meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**ORDINANCES ON SECOND READING AND FINAL PASSAGE** (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

*The Clerk read the following:*

1. **Ordinance No. 160 of 2010**: A Supplemental Ordinance amending and supplementing Resolution No. 131 of 1984 (the “General Bond Resolution”) adopted on June 12, 1984, as amended, acknowledging and approving the issuance of not to exceed \$15,000,000 principal amount of Taxable Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2009C, of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, in accordance with the terms of Resolution 170 of 2009 adopted on September 8, 2009; approving and confirming the sale of such bonds; pledging revenue of the System to secure such bonds; designating said Bonds as Build America Bonds pursuant to Section 54AA of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended; prescribing the form, and certain terms and conditions of said Bonds; and providing for other matters in connection therewith. (*Postponed March 23, 2010 until April 13, 2010*)

**Having passed first reading on December 8, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

2. **Ordinance No. 23 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing the incurring of debt and issuance of not to exceed Fifteen Million, Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$15,500,000) refunding certificates of indebtedness, Series 2010A (Tax-Exempt) and Series 2010B (Taxable, of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana; Prescribing the form, Terms and conditions of said certificates; Providing for the payment thereof in principal and interest; and providing for other matters in connection therewith. (*Postponed March 23, 2010 until April 13, 2010*)

**Having passed first reading on March 9, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Lester.**

*The Clerk read the following:*

**AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 23 OF 2010**

Substitute the original copy of the Ordinance with the attached copy of the Ordinance.

Mr. Thompson: And I take it that this is just financial.

Mr. Sibley: And information on the Bond Insurer.

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 23 of 2010.**

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Sibley, is there anything unusual about the Bond Insurer?

Mr. Sibley: Before when it was provided, that information wasn't included. They had to get the name, address - - - and all that.

Mr. Thompson: Oh, okay. But the terms and all that are the same?

Mr. Sibley: Correct.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt Ordinance No. 23 of 2010 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

3. **Ordinance No. 27 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing donation of city-owned property to Community Support Programs, Inc., for development of single family housing for low and very low income purchasers and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Councilwoman Bowman: Councilman Lester is not here, he had to leave. And he didn't tell us what to do.

**Having passed first reading on March 9, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

4. **Ordinance No. 28 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing donation of city-owned property to Habitat for Humanity for development of single family housing for low and very low income purchasers and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 9, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 5. Nays: None. Out of the Chamber: Councilman Wooley. 1. Councilman Lester. 1.**

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chair, Ordinances No. 30 through 38 are basically minor adjustments to various budget ordinances. Most of the amendments change budget numbers to the corresponding numbers in the accounting systems. A few of the changes insure that ordinances are balanced, and

one of the changes to the Capital Improvements Budget adds the project turn lane at Pierremont and Fairfield Avenue. \$500,000 that was inadvertently left out of the ordinance when it was adopted at the end of the year.

Councilwoman Bowman: Wow, where is that?

Mr. Thompson: That's in the Capital.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, I need a turn lane up there at Pines Road and I-20.

Mr. Thompson: This is not new. It was already a project of the city.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mine wasn't new, I've been asking for it since I've been here.

Mr. Thompson: But that's basically what these amendments do. There are some amendments to the amendments and we'll talk about those as we get to them.

5. **Ordinance No. 30 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 Capital Improvements Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.**

Councilman Webb: I understand these are supposedly minor accounting errors so to speak?

Mr. Thompson: Or and adjustments.

Councilman Webb: Okay, well which is it? Both?

Mr. Thompson: Well, I don't think they're errors. I would prefer to say they're adjustments. If there's one number in the budget ordinances, and there is a different number in the accounting system, the accounting system and the budget ordinances have to be the same because every time some money is spent, it's authorized by the budget ordinances. But when it's spent, then the accounting system keeps up with it, so at the end of the year, we know how much money there is. Those numbers have to be the same when we start off.

Councilman Webb: So, you go by the number that you spent?

Mr. Thompson: We go by what's in the accounting system because they've been keeping up with it.

Councilman Webb: Okay, but when they audit us, they don't catch this? Or is that how it was caught?

Mr. Thompson: Well we just adopted the budgets at the end of last year. And as Sharon was going through looking at each one of them, she puts spreadsheets for all of the budgets so that we'll know. She can keep up with what we do when amend it every year. Then she went back and she was checking to see whether or not the ordinances themselves had the corresponding numbers with the accounting system.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I guess why are we doing this? Why isn't the Administration asking for these? Why are we having to be the ones to do this. It makes it look like we're the ones that - - -

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Webb, we don't have to do it, we see it as our responsibility too, to make sure that the budget ordinances adopted by the Council are correct. And that the system works the way it's supposed to, it could have easily have been done by the Administration. But since Sharon was doing the work, she prepared the ordinances.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, let me ask one thing though. Later on, somebody else came back and they looked at this, and they would say this is something that the Council went and did? You see where I'm going with that. Because that's what we're in essence doing. I don't have a problem with it. It needs to be done, but is this something, and I mean - - - I think I know where Councilman Webb is going with this, because as long as we've been here, I've never seen this many.

Councilman Webb: Yeah, we've never done this before.

Councilwoman Bowman: Is this unprecedented?

Mr. Thompson: Well, yes I think that it is. But you have to realize that we have new people in the Administration doing budgets.

Councilwoman Bowman: Oh, I understand that.

Mr. Thompson: Budgets are very difficult. I think that they stepped in and did a very good job of trying to hold all of this together after the person who had been doing it for so many years was no longer there. The other thing is that since there is a new person doing it now, we go ahead and we're probably taking a closer look than we might have before. We want to be sure that we start off on the right foot, and that from now on, there won't be these problems in the future. But we view all of this as a learning process and a chance to adjust what we've been doing before.

Councilman Webb: Well, I'm glad we're catching it now rather than later, but I mean - - -

Mr. Thompson: It wouldn't have made any policy differences anyway, but we just wanted to be sure that everything balances.

Councilman Long: So basically, y'all are proposing these amendments?

Mr. Thompson: That's correct.

Councilwoman Bowman: Right, that's what I was saying. This is something that probably later on, you know if something came up and somebody looked at it, it's the Council actually did it. And it didn't go as normal. That's my comment.

Ms. Pilkinton: And in the past if Mr. Dark or I would see one, we would add it as an amendment during the adoption period. But since it was all of us working together, there were several of them that wasn't caught. And also, Mr. Dark, he would put - - he's been doing it for so long, he knew what sub-objects would roll up into a character in the revenue side where being new and stuff like that, it's very difficult to come up with that.

Councilwoman Bowman: I don't think the Council Sharon and Art, has a problem with that. The only thing is it was so many that it caught special attention. That's what the main question is. Let's move on.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair, I would like to thank Mr. Thompson for I think probably the best explanation that could have been given for the situation. It was very well expressed and articulated and it certainly is reflective of the cooperative team effort of the team effort and the Council staff took towards this year's budget. So we definitely appreciate that and it definitely represents a much more transparent and much more above the table process going forward. Unfortunately, what I also mean is there is no more money pressers in the bottom drawer of anybody's desk that can pull us out of situations and circumstances in year's past, and while I am left handed, there is no more left handed accounting that takes place on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor either. So

Councilwoman Bowman: We appreciate that Mr. Mayor, the only question we had, it was so many of them, we had not seen that many there before. So, therefore that's why it came up.

Councilman Shyne: And he wants to thank me for having the courage to move on that.

Councilwoman Bowman: To vote on that. Mr. Thompson?

**6. Ordinance No. 31 of 2010:** An ordinance amending the 2010 Riverfront Special Revenue Fund Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**7. Ordinance No. 32 of 2010:** An ordinance amending the 2010 General Fund Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Walford.**

**AMENDMENT 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 32 OF 2010**

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

Explanation:

This amendment makes the following changes, which includes balancing Water & Sewer to General Fund by \$173,800:

Increase Taxes and Special Assessments by \$173,800

~~Decrease~~ Increase Licenses and Permits by \$1,900

~~Increase~~ Decrease External Service Charges by \$461,900

~~Increase~~ Decrease Internal Service Charges by ~~\$95,500~~ \$78,300

~~Decrease~~ Increase Fines and Forfeits by \$460,000

Decrease Intergovernmental by \$95,500

~~Decrease Miscellaneous by \$3,200~~

~~Establish Grants at \$3,200~~

**Motion by Councilman Long, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 32 of 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Ordinance No. 32 of 2010 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

8. **Ordinance No. 33 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 budget for the Golf Enterprise Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Walford.**

**AMENDMENT 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 33 OF 2010**

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

Explanation:

This amendment makes the following changes:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Increase Miscellaneous ~~Income~~ Revenues by \$7,200

~~Increase~~ Establish Interest Income ~~by~~ at \$2,700

In Section 2 (Appropriations)

~~Increase~~ Establish Improvements & Equipment ~~by~~ of at \$100,000

**Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 33 of 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

**Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt Ordinance No. 33 of 2010 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

9. **Ordinance No. 34 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 budget for the Airport Enterprise Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt.**

Councilman Walford: I'm just curious to know if we're going to have another budget amendment coming with the airports.

Councilwoman Bowman: Is that what this is?

Councilman Walford: I don't, I don't think - - - this is just a correcting ordinance.

Councilman Webb: Accounting correction.

Councilwoman Bowman: Who are you asking the question to Councilman Walford?

Councilman Walford: I guess I'll look across at Mr. Seaton, and somewhere behind the - - - ah, I see there's a hand over there for Mr. Miller? But do we have another amendment coming?

Mayor Glover: From the Airport?

Councilman Walford: Right.

Mayor Glover: Since Mr. Miller is here, I would yield to his answer.

Mr. Miller: I would expect at mid year, you will. Last year, if you'll recall the Authority passed a budget where we took a significant amount of dollars from the fund balance and reserves, and we indicated that in the first quarter, we would be tracking our revenues to see how they were trending, to see if we would need to make an adjustment as the year went forward. Now that the first quarter is over, we're evaluating that and in the second quarter, we will determine whether or not to come back to Council and ask for a revision based on our revenues. And revenues are tracking under as are enplanements at this time, so we expect there will be a reduction in revenues, which will cause a subsequent reduction, a corresponding reduction in expenses. And I expect to bring that probably back in June or July.

Councilman Walford: Alright, thank you very much.

Councilwoman Bowman: I'm going to have a difficult time fooling with that one.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

10. **Ordinance No. 35 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Walford to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

11. **Ordinance No. 36 of 2010**: An ordinance amending the 2010 budget for the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.

12. Ordinance No. 37 of 2010: An ordinance amending the 2010 Sportran Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Walford.

**AMENDMENT 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 37 OF 2010**

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

Explanation:

This amendment makes the following changes:

~~Increase~~ Establish Prior-Year Funds by at ~~\$92,000~~ \$92,100

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 37 of 2010. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.

Motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt Ordinance No. 37 of 2010 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent:

13. Ordinance No. 38 of 2010: An ordinance amending the 2010 Grants Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Long to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.

15. Ordinance No. 45 of 2010: An ordinance amending the 2010 General Fund Budget, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Proceeds from mineral lease bonus*)

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

16. **Ordinance No. 46 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing the lease of City owned property located on the State Fairgrounds to Diesel Driving Academy, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to postponed until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

17. **Ordinance No. 47 of 2010**: An ordinance authorizing the lease of City owned property located on the State Fairgrounds to QCS Properties, LLC and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: I believe there was some discussion about withdrawing this?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir, I think so. Isn't that right Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: The Administration will not be facilitating.

Mr. Thompson: There was a - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: No, no. It was to be withdrawn, so moved by the Chair to withdraw.

Councilman Wooley: Second.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Bowman, seconded by Councilman Wooley to withdraw Ordinance No. 47 of 2010.**

Councilman Webb: Well, I'm in favor of withdrawing this, but who is QCS?

Councilman Long: FEMA trailers.

Councilman Webb: Oh yeah, okay.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

18. **Ordinance No. 40 of 2010**: ZONING – C-12-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the north side of Ford Street, 150 feet west of North Dale, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-3, Urban, Multi-Family Residence District to R-3-E, Urban, Multi-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to a “Learning Center” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester)

Mr. Thompson: This is in Mr. Lester’s district, and he indicated that he - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: Is in favor of.

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

19. **Ordinance No. 41 of 2010**: ZONING – C-14-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the NE corner of Kings Highway and Southern Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana from SPI-4(b-1), Interstate Corridor Overlay (Buffer Business) District, SPI-4(R-3), Interstate Corridor Overlay (Urban, Multi-Family Residence) District and B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District limited to a “Restaurant with a Drive Thru” Other B-1 uses, and the specific B-2 uses listed herein” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilwoman Bowman: I was going to say before I vote on this, Councilman Walford, what kind of - - -

Councilman Walford: This is the Taco Bell, and the property there by I-49 on Kings Highway, and I’ll have to tell you and I’ll say to Ms. Dean, these folks did it right working with the neighborhood, and if you guys could suggest that to every applicant, it makes such a difference.

Councilwoman Bowman: Okay, where is Charles Kirkland? I haven’t seen him in a while.

Councilman Webb: I haven’t seen him in a long time.

Councilman Shyne: Madam Chairman?

Councilwoman Bowman: Can anybody answer? Yes sir, just a minute Councilman Shyne.

Councilman Webb: Nobody know? We don’t even have anyone representing MPC.

Mr. Thompson: Ioane is representing the MPC.

Councilwoman Bowman: I know, I was concerned about Charles Kirkland.

Councilman Shyne: There is a meeting in New Orleans. Monty, would you tell the people at the Taco Bell to make sure they keep that little dog out of the street.

### **BELL RINGS THREE TIMES**

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

20. **Ordinance No. 42 of 2010**: ZONING – C-15-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the NW corner of Fannin and Douglas Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-3, Urban, Multi-Family Residence District, to B-4, Central Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Walford)

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Walford, seconded by Councilman Wooley to adopt.**

Councilman Walford: This is going to be Brother's Seafood. And you've heard the Mayor's comments, you've heard my comments, and I hope it's a catalyst for a lot more coming down there.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, well we're all going to support that anyway.

Councilman Webb: Councilwoman Bowman will be there for the ribbon cutting.

Councilwoman Bowman: Thank you. I will be there for everything.

Councilman Walford: I think she likes the stuffed shrimp.

Councilwoman Bowman: I do indeed.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

21. **Ordinance No. 43 of 2010**: ZONING – C-17-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the north side of Mount Zion Road, 460 feet east of Linwood Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana from R-a, Residence/Agriculture District to I-1, Light Industry District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (D/Wooley)

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Wooley, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.**

Councilman Wooley: Thank you Madam Chair. This is going to be a wholesale fence supply company. They're a great use for the land. It has not been developed out there, and a great district for commerce. Thank you.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

22. **Ordinance No. 44 of 2010**: ZONING – C-18-10: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport zoning ordinance by rezoning property located on the south side of Cleveland Avenue, 600 feet west of Jewella, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-1D-E, Urban, One Family Residence/Extended Use District to R-1D-E, Urban, One Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to a “Medical Supply Office”, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne)

**Having passed first reading on March 23, 2010 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Bowman to adopt.**

Councilman Shyne: I would like to urge the Council to support this. It's the Chairman's cousins and - - -

Councilwoman Bowman: I'm going to send a message. Every time he wears that red tie to the meeting, he's out of control.

**Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Walford, Long, Wooley, Webb, Shyne, and Bowman. 6. Nays: None. Absent: Councilman Lester. 1.**

*The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:*

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 23 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE INCURRING OF DEBT AND ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED FIFTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$15,500,000) REFUNDING CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS, SERIES 2010A (TAX-EXEMPT) AND SERIES 2010B (TAXABLE), OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, STATE OF LOUISIANA; PRESCRIBING THE FORM, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SAID CERTIFICATES; DESIGNATING THE SERIES, PAR AMOUNTS, DATE, DENOMINATION AND PLACE OF PAYMENT OF SAID CERTIFICATES; PROVIDING FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF IN PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.**

**WHEREAS**, the general fund budget for the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "Issuer" or the "City"), for the Fiscal Year (hereinafter defined) beginning January 1, 2010 and ending December 31, 2010, shows an ending balance and/or estimated excess revenues over statutory, necessary and usual charges and all other expenses for such Fiscal Year.

**WHEREAS**, the ending fund balance and/or aforesaid excess of revenues over expenditures (taking into account as excess the funds already budgeted for the payment of the indebtedness authorized hereby and being refunded) are sufficient to meet the maximum principal and interest requirements in any future Fiscal Year on the Certificates authorized herein (the "Certificates"); and this City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana (the "Council"), as the governing authority of the Issuer (the "Governing Authority"), will herein obligate itself and its successors in office to budget and set aside annually adequate funds for the payment of the Certificates in principal and interest in future years in which the Certificates are outstanding; and

**WHEREAS**, the Issuer now desires to incur debt and issue not to exceed Fifteen Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$15,500,000) Refunding Certificates of Indebtedness, Series 2010A (Tax-Exempt) and Series 2010B (Taxable), in the manner authorized and provided in Chapter 14-A of Title 39 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended (the "Refunding Act"), as hereinafter provided for the purpose of refunding a portion of the Issuer's Refunding Certificates of Indebtedness, Series 1998A, to-wit: term certificate in the principal amount of \$8,650,000 due October 1, 2012 and term certificate in the principal amount of \$5,995,000 due October 1, 2016 (together, the "Prior Certificates") and paying the costs of issuance of the Certificates; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the intention of the Issuer that the Certificates will be secured by and payable in principal, interest and redemption premium, if any, from an irrevocable pledge and dedication of the excess of annual revenues of the Issuer above statutory, necessary and usual charges in each Fiscal Year during which the Certificates are outstanding and any other legally available excess revenues of the Issuer; and

**WHEREAS**, the Issuer granted preliminary approval of the issuance and sale of the Certificates by resolution adopted by the Council on February 23, 2010; and

**WHEREAS**, the State Bond Commission, by action taken on March 18, 2010, has approved the issuance of the Certificates; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the desire of this Governing Authority to fix the details necessary with respect to the issuance of the Certificates and to provide for their authorization and issuance; and

**WHEREAS**, it is further the desire of this Governing Authority to provide for the sale of the Certificates at the price and in the manner herein provided;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana, acting as the Governing Authority of said City, that:

## **ARTICLE I**

## DEFINITIONS

SECTION 1.1. Definitions. As used herein, the following terms shall have the following meanings, unless the context otherwise requires:

“**Certificate**” means any of the Certificates of the Issuer authorized to be issued by this Ordinance, whether initially delivered or issued in exchange for, upon transfer of, or in lieu of any Certificate previously issued.

“**Certificates**” or “**Series 2010 Certificates**” means the Issuer’s Refunding Certificates, Series 2010 of Indebtedness authorized by this Ordinance in the aggregate principal amount of not to exceed Fifteen Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$15,500,000) to be issued in two (2) series, to-wit: the Series 2010A Certificates (Tax-Exempt) and the Series 2010B Certificates (Taxable).

“**City**” or “**Issuer**” means the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana.

“**Code**” means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

“**Costs of Issuance**” means all items of expense, directly or indirectly payable or reimbursable and related to the authorization, sale and issuance of the Series 2010 Certificates, including but not limited to, printing costs, cost of preparation and reproduction of documents, filing and recording fees, initial fees and charges of any fiduciary, legal fees and charges, fees and charges for the preparation and distribution of a preliminary official statement and official statement, if paid by the Issuer, fees and disbursements of consultants and professionals, including financial advisors, costs of credit ratings, fees and charges for the preparation, execution, transportation and safekeeping of the Series 2010 Certificates, costs and expenses of refunding, premiums for the insurance of the payment of the Series 2010 Certificates, if any, and any other cost, charge or fee paid or payable by the Issuer in connection with the original issuance of the Series 2010 Certificates.

“**Council**” means the Council of the City, the Governing Authority of the Issuer.

“**DTC**” means The Depository Trust Company.

“**Executive Officers**” means, collectively, the Mayor and the Clerk of the Council of the Governing Authority.

“**Fiscal Year**” means the one-year accounting period ending December 31 of each year, or such other period as may be designated by the Council as the fiscal year of the Issuer.

“**Governing Authority**” means the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana.

“**Government Securities**” means direct obligations of or obligations the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed by the United States of America, which are non-callable

prior to their maturity, may be United States Treasury obligations such as the State and Local Government Series and may be in book-entry form.

**“Holder”** means the registered owner of any Certificates.

**“Insurer”** means \_\_\_\_\_, issuer of the Policy.

**“Interest Payment Date”** means, in the case of the Series 2010 Certificates, April 1 and October 1 of each year in which the Certificates are outstanding, commencing October 1, 2010.

**“Issuer”** or **“City”** means the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana.

**“Ordinance”** means this Ordinance authorizing the issuance of the Certificates, as it may be supplemented and amended.

**“Outstanding”** when used with respect to the Certificates means, as of the date of determination, all Certificates theretofore issued and delivered under this Ordinance, except:

(1) Certificates theretofore cancelled by the Paying Agent or delivered to the Paying Agent for cancellation;

(1) Certificates for which payment or redemption sufficient funds have been theretofore deposited in trust for the owners of such Certificates, provided that if such Certificates are to be redeemed, irrevocable notice of such redemption has been duly given or provided for pursuant to this Ordinance or waived;

(1) Certificates in exchange for or in lieu of which other Certificates have been registered and delivered pursuant to this Ordinance;

(1) Certificates alleged to have been mutilated, destroyed, lost or stolen which have been paid as provided in this Ordinance or by law; and

(1) Certificates for the payment of the principal (or redemption price, if any) of and interest on which money or Government Securities or both are held in trust with the effect specified in this Ordinance.

**“Owner”** or **“Owners”** when used with respect to any Certificates means the person in whose name the Certificate is registered in the Register.

**“Participant”** means any broker-dealer bank or other financial institution from time to time for which DTC holds Certificates as securities deposit.

**“Paying Agent”** means Regions Bank, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, until a successor Paying Agent shall have been appointed pursuant to the applicable provisions of this Ordinance and thereafter "Paying Agent" shall mean such successor Paying Agent.

**“Paying Agent Agreement”** means the agreement to be entered into between the Issuer and the Paying Agent pursuant to this Ordinance.

**“Person”** means any individual, corporation, partnership, joint venture, association, joint-stock company, trust, unincorporated organization or government or any agency or political subdivision thereof.

**"Policy"** means the municipal bond insurance policy issued by Insurer insuring the Certificates.

**"Prior Certificates"** means the Issuers outstanding Refunding Certificates of Indebtedness Series 1998A (a) Term Certificate due October 1, 2012 in the principal amount of \$8,650,000 and (b) Term Certificate due October 1, 2016 in the amount of \$5,995,000, which became payable with premium on October 1, 2009.

**"Purchase Agreement"** means the Purchase Agreement dated \_\_\_\_\_, 2010 between the Issuer and the Underwriters comprising the offer to purchase the Certificates by the Underwriters.

**“Record Date”** for the interest payable on any Interest Payment Date means the 15<sup>th</sup> calendar date of the month next preceding such Interest Payment Date.

**"Refunding Act"** means Chapter 14-A of Title 39 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended.

**"Register"** means the Certificate Register maintained by the Registrar in which the registration of the Certificates and transfers of the Certificates shall be made as provided herein and which conclusively sets forth the record owner of the Certificates.

**"Registrar"** means, initially, Regions Bank, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, until a successor shall have been appointed and thereafter, "Registrar" shall mean such Successor Registrar.

**"Registrar Agreement"** means the agreement to be entered into between the Issuer and the Registrar pursuant to this Ordinance.

**"Series 2010 Certificates"** or **"Certificates"** means, collectively, the Series 2010A Certificates and the Series 2010B Certificates.

**"Series 2010A Certificates"** means \$\_\_\_\_\_ original principal amount of City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana Refunding Certificates of Indebtedness, Series 2010A, issued pursuant to this Ordinance.

**"Series 2010B Certificates"** means \$\_\_\_\_\_ original principal amount of City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana Taxable Refunding Certificates of Indebtedness, Series 2010B, issued pursuant to this Ordinance.

“Underwriters” means, collectively, Stephens Inc., Loop Capital Markets, L.L.C. and Raymond James and Associates Inc., representing the original purchasers of the Certificates.

## ARTICLE II

### AUTHORIZATION AND ISSUANCE

SECTION 2.1. Authorization of Certificates. In compliance with the terms and provisions of the Refunding Act, and other constitutional and statutory authority, the Issuer, governed by and acting through its Council, does hereby authorize the incurring of an indebtedness of not to exceed Fifteen Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$15,500,000) for, and on behalf of, and in the name of the Issuer, for the purpose of refunding the Prior Certificates and paying the costs of issuance of the Certificates, and to represent said indebtedness, this Governing Authority does hereby authorize the issuance of not to exceed Fifteen Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$15,500,000) Refunding Certificates of Indebtedness, Series 2010A (Tax-Exempt) and Series 2010B (Taxable), of the Issuer.

SECTION 2.2. Form, Date, Denomination. The Certificates shall be in fully registered form, shall be in the denomination of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof and shall be numbered from AR-1 and BR-1 upward, within each Series 2010A and Series 2010B, respectively. The Certificates and the endorsements to appear thereon shall be in substantially the form set forth in Exhibit A and Exhibit B hereto.

SECTION 2.3. Maturities and Interest Rates. The Certificates shall bear interest from the date thereof or from the most recent Interest Payment Date to which interest has been paid or duly provided for, payable on each Interest Payment Date, commencing October 1, 2010. The interest on the Series 2010A Certificates shall not exceed 5% per annum. The interest on the Series 2010B Certificates shall not exceed 6% per annum. The Certificates shall become due and payable and mature on October 1, 2016. The Series 2010A Certificates will be in substantially the form set forth in Exhibit A and the Series 2010B Certificates will be in substantially the form set forth in Exhibit B hereto, with such necessary or appropriate variations, omissions and insertions as are required or permitted by the Refunding Act and this Ordinance. The Series 2010 Certificates shall mature in annual installments and bear interest as follows:

#### **SERIES 2010A CERTIFICATES (TAX-EXEMPT)**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b><u>Interest Rate</u></b>
<b><u>(October 1)</u></b>	<b><u>Payment</u></b>	<b>(NTE 5%)</b>
	\$	%

#### **SERIES 2010B CERTIFICATES (TAXABLE)**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Principal</b>	<b><u>Interest Rate</u></b>
<b><u>(October 1)</u></b>	<b><u>Payment</u></b>	<b>(NTE 6%)</b>
	\$	%

SECTION 2.4. Payment of Principal and Interest. The principal of the Certificates, upon maturity, shall be payable at the principal office of the Paying Agent, upon presentation and surrender hereof, and interest on the Certificates shall be payable by check of the Paying Agent mailed by the Paying Agent to the Owner (determined as of the close of business on the Record Date) at the address shown on the Register. Each Certificate delivered under this Ordinance upon transfer of, in exchange for or in lieu of any other Certificate shall carry all the rights to interest accrued and unpaid, and to accrue, which were carried by such other Certificate, and each such Certificate shall bear interest (as herein set forth) so neither gain nor loss in interest shall result from such transfer, exchange or substitution.

SECTION 2.5. Registration and Transfer. The Issuer shall cause the Register to be kept by the Registrar. The Certificates may be transferred, registered and assigned only on the Register at the principal corporate trust office of the Registrar, and such registration shall be at the expense of the Issuer. A Certificate may be assigned by the execution of an assignment form on the Certificate or other instruments of transfer and assignment acceptable to the Registrar. A new Certificate will be delivered by the Registrar to the last assignee (the new Owner) in exchange for such transferred and assigned Certificate after receipt of the Certificate to be transferred in proper form.

No Certificate shall be entitled to any right or benefit under this Ordinance, or be valid or obligatory for any purpose, unless there appears on such Certificate a certificate of registration, substantially in the form provided in this Ordinance, executed by the Registrar by manual signature.

SECTION 2.6. Execution of Certificates. The Certificates shall be signed by the Executive Officers for, on behalf of, in the name of and under the corporate seal of the Issuer, which signatures and corporate seal may be either manual or facsimile.

### **ARTICLE III**

#### **SECURITY FOR CERTIFICATES**

SECTION 3.1. Pledge and Dedication of Revenues. The Certificates, equally and on a parity with each other regardless of Series, shall be secured by and payable solely from a pledge and dedication of the excess of annual revenues of the Issuer above statutory, necessary and usual charges in each of the Fiscal Years during which the Certificates are outstanding and any other legally available excess revenues of the Issuer. Until the Certificates shall have been paid in full in principal and interest, the Governing Authority does hereby obligate the Issuer, itself and its

successors in office, to budget annually a sum of money sufficient to pay the Certificates and the interest thereon as they respectively mature, including any principal and/or interest theretofore matured and then unpaid, and to levy and collect in each year taxes and to collect other revenues within the limits prescribed by law, sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Certificates, after payment in such years of all the said statutory, necessary and usual charges of the Issuer for the then current year.

SECTION 3.2. Parity Certificates. The Issuer shall issue no other certificates or obligations of any kind or nature payable from or enjoying a lien on the excess of annual revenues having priority over or parity with the Certificates, except that additional certificates may hereafter be issued on a parity with the Certificates under the following conditions:

(a) Additional certificates of indebtedness may be issued and enjoy a full and complete parity with the Certificates with respect to the excess of annual revenues, provided that the anticipated excess of annual revenues in the year in which the additional certificates or other debt instruments are to be issued, as reflected in the budget adopted by this Governing Authority, must at least equal to at least 1.2 times the combined principal and interest requirements for any calendar year on the Certificates and the additional certificates, revenue bonds or other debt instruments;

(b) Junior and subordinate certificates of indebtedness may be issued without restriction;

(c) The Issuer must be in full compliance with all covenants and undertakings in connection with the Certificates and there must be no delinquencies in payments required to be made in connection therewith; and

(d) The additional certificates must be payable as to principal on October 1<sup>st</sup> of each year, commencing not more than two years from the date thereof and payable as to interest on April 1<sup>st</sup> and October 1<sup>st</sup> of each year.

## ARTICLE IV

### REDEMPTION

SECTION 4.1. Redemption Provisions. The Certificates shall not be callable for redemption at the option of the Issuer at any time prior to maturity.

## ARTICLE V

### FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS

SECTION 5.1. Sinking Fund. For the payment of the principal of and the interest on the Series 2010 Certificates, there is hereby created a special fund to be known as "Certificates of Indebtedness (2010) Sinking Fund", said Sinking Fund to be established and maintained with the Paying Agent. The Issuer shall deposit in the Sinking Fund not less than five (5) business days prior to the end of each month preceding the applicable interest and principal payment date, (i) a

sum equal to the interest falling due on the Series 2010A Certificates and the Series 2010B Certificates on the next Interest Payment Date, and (ii) a sum equal to the principal falling due on the Series 2010A Certificates and the Series 2010B Certificates on the next Principal Payment Date, and subject to reduction by any surplus amount, including any amounts constituting capitalized or accrued interest, contained in said Sinking Fund.

It shall be specifically understood and agreed, however, and this provision shall be a part of this contract, that after the funds have actually been set aside out of the revenues of any Fiscal Year sufficient to pay the principal and interest on the Series 2010 Certificates herein authorized for that Fiscal Year, and all required amounts have been deposited in the aforesaid Sinking Fund established by this Ordinance, then any excess of annual revenues remaining in that Fiscal Year shall be free for expenditure by the Issuer for any other lawful corporate purpose.

All monies deposited with the Paying Agent under the terms of this Ordinance shall constitute sacred funds for the benefit of the owners of the Series 2010 Certificates, and shall be secured by said fiduciaries at all times to the full extent thereof in the manner required by law for the securing of deposits of public funds. All or any part of the monies in the Sinking Fund shall, at the written request of the Issuer, be invested in accordance with the provisions of the laws of the State of Louisiana, in which event all income derived from such investments shall be added to the general fund of the Issuer.

## **ARTICLE VI**

### **APPLICATION OF PROCEEDS**

SECTION 6.1. Issuer Obligations. As a condition of the issuance of the Series 2010 Certificates, the Issuer binds and obligates itself to:

- (a) Deposit irrevocably in trust with the Paying Agent such amounts of the proceeds derived from the issuance of the Series 2010A Certificates as will enable Issuer to pay in full the Prior Certificates.
- (b) Deposit irrevocably with the Paying Agent the proceeds derived from the issuance of the Series 2010B Certificates as will enable Issuer to pay the Costs of Issuance of the Certificates.

SECTION 6.2. Application of Proceeds. The Executive Officers are hereby empowered, authorized and directed to do any and all things necessary and incidental to carry out all of the provisions of this Ordinance, to cause the necessary Certificates to be printed, to issue, execute and seal the Certificates, and to effect delivery thereof as hereinafter provided. The principal proceeds shall be used for the purpose of refunding the Prior Certificates and paying the costs of issuance of the Certificates.

## **ARTICLE VII**

### **COVENANTS AND ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS**

SECTION 7.1. Budget; Audit. As long as any of the Certificates are outstanding and unpaid in principal or interest, the Issuer shall prepare and adopt a budget prior to the beginning of each Fiscal Year. The Issuer shall also furnish a copy of such budget to the Owners of any of the Certificates who request the same. Not later than six (6) months after the close of each Fiscal Year, the Issuer shall cause an audit of its books and accounts to be made by the Legislative Auditor or an independent firm of certified public accountants showing the receipts and disbursements made by the Issuer during the previous Fiscal Year. Such audit shall be available for inspection by the Owner of any of the Certificates, and a copy of such audit shall be furnished to the Underwriters, if requested in writing.

SECTION 7.2. Certificates Legal Obligations. The Certificates shall constitute legal, binding and valid obligations of the Issuer and shall be the only representations of the indebtedness as herein authorized and created.

SECTION 7.3. Ordinance a Contract. The provisions of this Ordinance shall constitute a contract between the Issuer, or its successor, and the Owner or Owners from time to time of the Certificates, and any such Owner or Owners may, at law or in equity, by suit, action, mandamus or other proceedings, enforce and compel the performance of all duties required to be performed by this Governing Authority or the Issuer as a result of issuing the Certificates.

No material modification or amendment of this Ordinance, or any Ordinance amendatory hereof or supplemental hereto, may be made without consent in writing of the Owners of two-thirds (2/3) of the aggregate principal amount of the Certificates then outstanding; provided, however, that no modification or amendment shall permit a change in the maturity or redemption provisions of the Certificates, or a reduction in the rate of interest thereon, or in the amount of the principal obligation thereof, or affecting the obligation of the Issuer to pay the principal of and interest on the Certificates as the same shall come due from the revenues appropriated, pledged and dedicated to the payment thereof by this Ordinance, or the percentage of the owners required to consent to any material modification or amendment of this Ordinance, without the consent of the Owners of the Certificates.

SECTION 7.4. Recital of Regularity. This Governing Authority having investigated the regularity of the proceedings had in connection with the Certificates and having determined the same to be regular, the Certificates contain the following recital:

“It is certified that this Certificate is authorized by and is issued in conformity with the requirements of the Constitution and statutes of the State of Louisiana.”

SECTION 7.5. Effect of Registration. The Issuer, the Paying Agent, the Registrar, and any agent of any of them may treat the Owner in whose name any Certificate is registered as the owner of such Certificate for the purpose of receiving payment of the principal of and interest on the Certificate and for all other purposes whatsoever, and to the extent permitted by law neither the Issuer, the Paying Agent, the Registrar, nor any agent of any of them shall be affected by notice to the contrary.

SECTION 7.6. Notices to Owners. Whenever this Ordinance provides for notice to Owners of Certificates of any event, such notice shall be sufficiently given (unless otherwise herein expressly provided) if in writing and mailed, first-class postage prepaid, to each Owner of such Certificates at the address of such Owner as it appears in the Register. In any case where notice to Owners of Certificates is given by mail, neither the failure to mail such notice to any particular Owner of Certificates, nor any defect in any notice so mailed, shall affect the sufficiency of such notice with respect to all other Certificates. Where this Ordinance provides for notice in any manner, such notice may be waived, in writing by the Owner or Owner entitled to receive such notice, either before or after the event, and such waiver shall be the equivalent of such notice. Waivers of notice by Owners shall be filed with the Registrar, but such filing shall not be a condition precedent to the validity of any action taken in reliance upon such waiver.

SECTION 7.7. Cancellation of Certificates. All Certificates surrendered for payment, transfer, exchange or replacement, if surrendered to the Registrar, shall be promptly canceled by it and, if surrendered to the Issuer, shall be delivered to the Registrar and if not already canceled, shall be promptly canceled by the Registrar. The Issuer may at any time deliver to the Registrar for cancellation any Certificates previously registered and delivered which the Issuer may have acquired in any manner whatsoever, and all Certificates so delivered shall be promptly canceled by the Registrar. All canceled Certificates held by the Registrar shall be disposed of as directed in writing by the Issuer.

SECTION 7.8. Mutilated. Destroyed. Lost or Stolen Certificates. If (1) any mutilated Certificate is surrendered to the Registrar, or the Issuer and the Registrar receives evidence to its satisfaction of the destruction, loss or theft of any Certificate, and (2) there is delivered to the Issuer and the Registrar such security or indemnity as may be required by them to save each of them harmless, then, in the absence of notice to the Issuer or the Registrar that such Certificate has been acquired by a bona fide purchaser, the Issuer shall execute, and upon its request the Registrar shall register and deliver, in exchange for or in lieu of any such mutilated, destroyed, lost, or stolen Certificate, a new Certificate of the same maturity and of like tenor, and principal amount, bearing a number not contemporaneously outstanding. In case any such mutilated, destroyed, lost or stolen Certificate has become or is about to become due and payable, the Issuer in its discretion may, instead of issuing a new Certificate, pay such Certificate. Upon the issuance of any new Certificate under this Section, the Issuer may require the payment by the Owner of a sum sufficient to cover any tax or other governmental charge that may be imposed in relation thereto and any other expenses (including the fees and expenses of the Registrar) connected therewith. Every new Certificate issued pursuant to this Section in lieu of any mutilated, destroyed, lost or stolen Certificate shall constitute a replacement of the prior obligation of the Issuer, whether or not the mutilated, destroyed, lost or stolen Certificate shall be at any time enforceable by anyone and shall be entitled to all the benefits of this Ordinance equally and ratably with all other Outstanding Certificates. Any additional procedures set forth in the Agreement, authorized in this Ordinance, shall also be available with respect to mutilated, destroyed, lost or stolen Certificates. The provisions of this Section are exclusive and shall preclude (to the extent lawful) all other rights and remedies with respect to the replacement and payment of mutilated, destroyed, lost or stolen Certificates.

## ARTICLE VIII

## **SALE OF CERTIFICATES**

SECTION 8.1. Sale of Certificates. The Certificates are hereby awarded to and sold to the Underwriters, at the price and subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Purchase Agreement, and after their execution and authentication by the Registrar, the Certificates shall be delivered to the Underwriters or their agents or assigns, upon receipt by the Issuer of the agreed purchase price. The Purchase Agreement in substantially the form reviewed and negotiated by Bond Counsel is hereby approved and the Executive Officers are hereby authorized, empowered and directed to execute the Purchase Agreement on behalf of the Issuer and deliver or cause to be executed and delivered all documents required to be executed on behalf of the Issuer or deemed by them necessary or advisable to implement this Ordinance or to facilitate the sale of the Certificates.

## **ARTICLE IX**

### **OFFICIAL STATEMENT**

SECTION 9.1. Official Statement. The Issuer hereby approves the form and content of the Preliminary Official Statement pertaining to the Certificates, which has been submitted to the Issuer, and hereby ratifies its prior use in connection with the sale of the Certificates. The Issuer further approves the form and content of the final Official Statement and hereby authorizes and directs the execution by the Executive Officers of the Issuer and delivery of such Official Statement to the Underwriter for use in connection with the public offering of the Certificates.

## **ARTICLE X**

### **MISCELLANEOUS**

SECTION 10.1. Defeasance. If the Issuer shall pay or cause to be paid, or there shall otherwise be paid to the Owner, the principal of and interest on the Certificates, at the times and in the manner stipulated in this Ordinance, then the pledge of the money, securities, and funds pledged under this Ordinance and all covenants, agreements, and other obligations of the Issuer to the Owner shall thereupon cease, terminate, and become void and be discharged and satisfied, and the Paying Agent shall pay over or deliver all money held by it under this Ordinance to the Issuer.

Certificates or interest installments for the payment of which money shall have been set aside and shall be held in trust (through deposit by the Issuer of funds for such payment or otherwise) at the maturity date thereof shall be deemed to have been paid within the meaning and with expressed above in this Section if they are defeased in the manner provided by Chapter 14 of Title 39 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1590, as amended.

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, in the event that the principal and/or interest due on the Certificates shall be paid by the Insurer pursuant to the Policy, the Certificates shall remain Outstanding for all purposes, not be defeased or otherwise satisfied and not be considered paid by the Issuer and the pledge of the amounts pledged hereunder and all covenants, agreements and

other obligations of the Issuer to the registered Owners shall continue to exist and shall run to the benefit of Insurer, and Insurer shall be subrogated to the rights of such registered Owners.

SECTION 10.2. Paying Agent; Paying Agent Agreement. The Issuer will at all times maintain a Paying Agent meeting the qualifications hereinafter described for the performance of the duties hereunder for the Certificates. The designation of Regions Bank, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, as the initial Paying Agent in this Ordinance is hereby confirmed and approved. The Issuer reserves the right to appoint a successor Paying Agent by (a) filing with the Person then performing such function a certified copy of a Ordinance or Ordinances giving notice of the termination to the Paying Agent Agreement and appointing a Successor and (b) causing notice to be given to each Owner. Every Paying Agent appointed hereunder shall at all times be a bank or trust company organized and doing business under the laws of the United States of America or of any state, authorized under such laws to exercise trust powers, having capital of at least \$50,000,000, and subject to supervision or examination by Federal or State authority. The Executive Officers are hereby authorized and directed to execute an appropriate Paying Agent Agreement for and on behalf of the Issuer in such form as may be satisfactory to said officers, the signatures of said officers on such Paying Agent Agreement to be conclusive evidence of the due exercise of the authority granted hereunder.

SECTION 10.3. Registrar; Registrar Agreement. The Issuer will at all times maintain a Registrar meeting the qualifications hereinafter described for the performance of the duties hereunder for the Certificates. The designation of Regions Bank, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, as the initial Registrar in this Ordinance is hereby confirmed and approved. The Issuer reserves the right to appoint a successor Registrar by (a) filing with the Person then performing such function a certified copy of a Ordinance or Ordinances giving notice of the termination to the Registrar Agreement and appointing a Successor and (b) causing notice to be given to each Owner. Every Registrar appointed hereunder shall at all times be a bank or trust company organized and doing business under the laws of the United States of America or of any state, authorized under such laws to exercise trust powers, having capital of at least \$50,000,000, and subject to supervision or examination by Federal or State authority. The Executive Officers are hereby authorized and directed to execute an appropriate Registrar Agreement for and on behalf of the Issuer in such form as may be satisfactory to said officers, the signatures of said officers on such Registrar Agreement to be conclusive evidence of the due exercise of the authority granted hereunder.

SECTION 10.4. Continuing Disclosure. The Director of Finance is hereby empowered and directed to comply with the continuing disclosure requirements described in Rule 15c-2-12(b) of the Securities and Exchange Commission (17 CFR §240.15c2-12(b)] and to execute an appropriate Continuing Disclosure Certificate (substantially in the form as set for in **Appendix "F"** of the Official Statement.)

SECTION 10.5. Publication. A copy of this Ordinance shall be published immediately after its adoption in one issue of the official journal of the Issuer.

SECTION 10.6. Headings. The headings of the various sections hereof are inserted for convenience of reference only and shall not control or affect the meaning or construction of any of the provisions hereof.

SECTION 10.7. Severability, Application of Subsequently Enacted Laws. In case any one or more of the provisions of this Ordinance or the Certificates shall for any reason be held to be illegal or invalid, such illegality or invalidity shall not affect any other provisions of this Ordinance or of the Certificates, but this Ordinance and the Certificates shall be construed and enforced as if such illegal or invalid provisions had not been contained therein. Any constitutional or statutory provision enacted after the date of this Ordinance which validate or make legal any provision of this Ordinance and/or the Certificates which would not otherwise be valid or legal, shall be deemed to apply to this Ordinance and to the Certificates.

## **ARTICLE XI**

### **TAX COVENANTS**

SECTION 11.1. Tax Covenants. The Issuer covenants and agrees that, to the extent permitted by the laws of the State of Louisiana, it will comply with the requirements of the Code and any amendment thereto in order to establish, maintain and preserve the exclusion from “gross income” of interest on the Series 2010A Certificates under the Code. The Issuer further covenants and agrees that it will not take any action, fail to take any action, or permit any action within its control to be taken, or permit at any time or times any of the proceeds of the Series 2010A Certificates or any other funds of the Issuer to be used directly or indirectly in any manner, the effect of which would be to cause the Series 2010A Certificates to be “arbitrage bonds” or would result in the inclusion of the interest on any of the Series 2010A Certificates in gross income under the Code, including, without limitation, (i) the failure to comply with the limitation on investment of the Series 2010A Certificate proceeds or (ii) the failure to pay any required rebate of arbitrage earnings to the United States of America or (iii) the use of the proceeds of the Series 2010A Certificates in a manner which would cause the Series 2010A Certificates to be “private activity bonds.”

The Executive Officers are hereby empowered, authorized and directed to take any and all action and to execute and deliver any instrument, document or certificate necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Section.

## **ARTICLE XII**

### **DEFAULT**

SECTION 12.1. Events of Default. If one or more of the following events (in this Ordinance called "Events of Default") shall happen, that is to say,

(a) if default shall be made in the due and punctual payment of the principal of any Series 2010 Certificate when and as the same shall become due and payable, whether at maturity or otherwise, or

(b) if default shall be made in the due and punctual payment of any installment of interest on any Series 2010 Certificate when and as such interest installment shall become due and payable; or

(c) if default shall be made by the Issuer in the performance or observance of any other of the covenants, agreements or conditions on its part in this Ordinance, any Supplemental Resolution or in the Series 2010 Certificates contained and such default shall continue for a period of (i) forty-five (45) days with respect to the Series 2010 Certificates, and after written notice thereof to the Issuer by any Owner; or

(d) if the Issuer shall file a petition or otherwise seek relief under any Federal or State bankruptcy law or similar law;

then, upon the happening and continuance of any Event of Default, the Owners of Series 2010 Certificates shall be entitled to exercise all rights and powers for which provision is made under Louisiana law.

SECTION 12.2. Insurer Covenants. Any reorganization or liquidation plan with respect to the Issuer must be acceptable to the Insurer. In the event of any reorganization or liquidation, the Insurer shall have the right to vote on behalf of all Bondholders who hold Series 2010 Certificates insured by the Insurer absent a default by the Insurer under the Insurance Policy.

Anything in this Ordinance to the contrary notwithstanding, upon the occurrence and continuation of an Event of Default, the Insurer shall be entitled to control and direct the enforcement of all rights and remedies granted to the Bondholders or the Paying Agent for the benefit of the Bondholders under this Ordinance.

## **ARTICLE XIII**

### **BOOK-ENTRY FORM**

SECTION 13.1. Book-Entry Registration of Bonds. Each Series 2010 Certificate shall be initially issued in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), as registered owner of the Certificates, and held in the custody of DTC. The Director of Finance of the Issuer or any other officer of the Issuer is authorized to execute and deliver a Letter of Representation to DTC on behalf of the Issuer with respect to the issuance of the Certificates in "book-entry only" format. The Registrar is hereby directed to execute said Letter of Representation. The terms and provisions of said Letter of Representation shall govern in the event of any inconsistency between the provisions of this Ordinance and said Letter of Representation. A single certificate will be issued and delivered to DTC or its designee for each maturity of the Certificates. The beneficial Owners will not receive physical delivery of Certificates except as provided herein. The beneficial Owners are expected to receive a written confirmation of their

purchase providing details of each Certificate acquired. For so long as DTC shall continue to serve as securities depository for the Certificates as provided herein, all transfers of beneficial ownership interest will be made by book-entry only, and no investor or other party purchasing, selling or otherwise transferring beneficial ownership of Certificates is to receive, hold or deliver any Certificate.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, while the Certificates are issued in book-entry-only form, the payment of principal and premium, if any, and interest on the Certificates may be payable by the Paying Agent by wire transfer to DTC in accordance with the Letter of Representation.

For every transfer and exchange of the Certificates, the beneficial Owner may be charged a sum sufficient to cover such beneficial Owner's allocable share of any tax, fee or other governmental charge that may be imposed in relation thereto.

Certificates are required to be delivered to and registered in the name of the beneficial Owner under the following circumstances:

- (a) DTC determines to discontinue providing its service with respect to the Certificates. Such a determination may be made at any time by giving 30 days' notice to the Issuer and the Registrar and discharging its responsibilities with respect thereto under applicable law.
- (b) The Issuer determines that continuation of the system of book-entry transfer through DTC (or a successor securities depository) is not in the best interests of the Issuer and/or the beneficial Owners.

The Issuer and the Registrar will recognize DTC or its nominee as the Certificate holder for all purposes, including notices and voting.

Neither the Issuer or the Paying Agent are responsible for the performance by DTC of any of its obligations, including, without limitation, the payment of moneys received by DTC, the forwarding of notices received by DTC or the giving of any consent or proxy in lieu of consent.

Whenever during the term of the Certificates the beneficial ownership thereof is determined by a book entry at DTC, the requirements of this Ordinance of holding, delivering or transferring the Certificates shall be deemed modified to require the appropriate person to meet the requirements of DTC as to registering or transferring the book entry to produce the same effect.

If at any time DTC ceases to hold the Certificates, all references herein to DTC shall be of no further force or effect.

## **ARTICLE XIV**

### **BOND INSURANCE**

SECTION 14.1. Delivery of the Policy. The Issuer hereby agrees to cause a Policy with respect to the Series 2010 Certificates to be delivered at or prior to the delivery of the Series 2010 Certificates to secure the obligations of the Issuer to pay the principal of and interest on the Series 2010 Certificates entitled to the benefits thereof. All amounts paid under said Policy shall be used solely for the regularly scheduled payments of principal of and interest on the Series 2010 Certificates entitled to the benefits of such Policy.

SECTION 14.2. Items Required to be Furnished to the Insurer. While the Policy is in effect, the Issuer or the Paying Agent, as appropriate, shall furnish to the Insurer:

- (a) notice of the resignation or removal of the Paying Agent and the appointment of a successor thereto;
- (b) copies of all notices required to be delivered to the Owners, the Paying Agent, or any other party under this Ordinance;
- (c) such information as may be reasonably requested by the Insurer.

Copies of any amendments made to the documents executed in connection with the issuance of the Series 2010 Certificates which are consented to by the Insurer shall also be sent to Standard & Poor's. The Issuer shall notify the Insurer of any failure by the Issuer to provide relevant notices, certificates, etc.

The Issuer will permit the Insurer to discuss the affairs, finances and accounts of the Issuer or any information the Insurer may reasonably request regarding the security of the Series 2010 Certificates with appropriate officers of the Issuer. The Registrar or the Issuer will permit the Insurer to have access to and to make copies of all books and records relating to the Series 2010 Certificates at any reasonable time.

The Insurer shall have the right to direct an accounting at the Issuer's expense, and the Issuer's failure to comply with such direction with thirty (30) days after receipt or written notice of the direction from the Insurer shall be deemed a default hereunder; provided, however, that if compliance cannot occur within such period, then such period will be extended so long as compliance is begun within such period and diligently pursued, but only if such extension would not materially adversely affect the interests of any registered owner of the Series 2010 Certificates.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Ordinance, the Paying Agent shall immediately notify the Insurer if at any time there are insufficient monies to make any payments of principal and/or interest as required and immediately upon the occurrence of any Event of Default hereunder.

SECTION 14.3. Payment Procedures. As long as the Policy shall be in full force and effect, the Issuer and the Paying Agent agree to comply with the following provisions:

- (a) At least one (1) day prior to all Interest Payment Dates, the Paying Agent will determine whether there will be sufficient funds in the Funds and Accounts to pay the principal of or interest

on the Series 2010 Certificates on such Interest Payment Date. If the Paying Agent determines that there will be insufficient funds in such Funds and Accounts, the Paying Agent shall so notify the Insurer. Such notice shall specify the amount of the anticipated deficiency, the Series 2010 Certificates to which such deficiency is applicable and whether such Series 2010 Certificates will be deficient as to principal or interest, or both. If the Paying Agent as not so notified the Insurer at least one (1) day prior to an Interest Payment Date, the Insurer will make payments of principal and interest due on the Series 2010 Certificates on or before the first (1st) day next following the date on which the Insurer shall have received notice of nonpayment from the Paying Agent.

(b) The Paying Agent shall, after giving notice to the Insurer as provided in (a) above, make available to the Insurer and, at the Insurer's direction, to the United States Trust Company of New York, as insurance trustee for the Insurer or any successor insurance trustee (the "Insurance Trustee"), the registration books of the Issuer maintained by the Paying Agent and all records relating to the Funds and Accounts maintained under this Ordinance.

(c) The Paying Agent shall provide the Insurer and the Insurance Trustee with a list of the registered owners of the Series 2010 Certificates entitled to receive principal or interest payments from the Insurer under the terms of the Policy, and shall make arrangements with the Insurance Trustee (i) to mail checks or drafts to the registered owners of the Series 2010 Certificates entitled to receive full or partial interest payments from the Insurer and (ii) to pay the principal upon the Series 2010 Certificates surrendered to the Insurance Trustee by the registered owners of the Series 2010 Certificates entitled to receive full or partial principal payments from the Insurer.

(d) The Paying Agent shall, at the time it provides notice to the Insurer pursuant to (a) above, notify registered owners of Series 2010 Certificates entitled to receive the payment of principal or interest thereon from the Insurer (i) as to the fact of such entitlement, (ii) that the Insurer will remit to them all or part of the interest payments next coming due upon proof of Bondholder entitlement to interest payments and delivery to the Insurance Trustee, in the form satisfactory to the Insurance Trustee, of an appropriate assignment of the registered owner's right to payment, (iii) that should they be entitled to receive full payment of principal from the Insurer, they must surrender their Series 2010 Certificates (along with an appropriate instrument of assignment in a form satisfactory to the Insurance Trustee to permit ownership of such Series 2010 Certificates to be registered in the name of the Insurer) for payment to the Insurance Trustee, and not the Paying Agent and (iv) that should they be entitled to receive partial payment from the Insurer, they must surrender their Series 2010 Certificates for payment thereon first to the Paying Agent who shall note on such Series 2010 Certificates the portion of the principal paid by the Paying Agent and then, along with an appropriate instrument of assignment in a form satisfactory to the Insurance Trustee, to the Insurance Trustee, which will then pay the unpaid portion of principal.

(e) In the event that the Paying Agent has notice that any payment of or interest on a Series 2010 Certificate which has become due for payment and which is made to a Bondholder by or on behalf of the Issuer has been deemed a preferential transfer and theretofore recovered from its registered owner pursuant to the United States Bankruptcy Code by a trustee in bankruptcy in accordance with the final, nonappealable order of a court have competent jurisdiction, the Paying Agent shall, at the time the Insurer is notified pursuant to (a) above, notify all registered owners that in the

event that any registered owner's payment is so recovered, such registered owner will be entitled to payment from the Insurer to the extent of such recovery if sufficient funds are not otherwise available, and the Paying Agent shall furnish to the Insurer its records evidencing the payments of principal of and interest on the Series 2010 Certificates which have been made by the Paying Agent and subsequently recovered from registered owners and the dates on which such payments were made.

(f) In addition to those rights granted the Insurer under this Ordinance, the Insurer shall, to the extent it makes payment of principal of or interest on the Series 2010 Certificates, become subrogated to the rights of the recipients of such payments in accordance with the terms of the Policy, and to evidence such subrogation, (i) in the case of subrogation as to claims for past due interest, the Paying Agent shall note the Insurer's rights as subrogee on the registration books of the Issuer maintained by the Paying Agent upon receipt from the Insurer of proof of the payment of interest thereon to the registered owners of the Series 2010 Certificates, and (ii) in the case of subrogation as to claims for past due principal, the Paying Agent shall note the Insurer's rights as subrogee on the registration books of the Issuer maintained by the Paying Agent upon the surrender of the Series 2010 Certificates by the registered owners thereof together with proof of payment of principal thereof.

SECTION 14.4. Confirmation. The execution and delivery of the Bond Insurance Policy and all related documents (collectively, the "Insurance Documents") is hereby ratified, confirmed and approved. Each Insurance Document is a contract between the Issuer and the Insurer and the terms of each Insurance Document are incorporated herein by reference as if fully and completely set forth herein.

SECTION 14.5. Special Provisions With Respect To Insurer. Insurer requires and/or Insurer agrees to the following provisions:

**AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 23 OF 2010**

**(AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE INCURRING OF DEBT AND ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED FIFTEEN MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$15,500,000) REFUNDING CERTIFICATES OF INDEBTEDNESS, SERIES 2010A (TAX-EXEMPT) AND SERIES 2010B (TAXABLE), OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, STATE OF LOUISIANA; PRESCRIBING THE FORM, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SAID CERTIFICATES; DESIGNATING THE SERIES, PAR AMOUNTS, DATE, DENOMINATION AND PLACE OF PAYMENT OF SAID CERTIFICATES; PROVIDING FOR THE PAYMENT THEREOF IN PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.)**

**Substitute the original copy of the Ordinance with the attached copy of the Ordinance.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 28 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING DONATION OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY TO HABITAT FOR HUMANITY FOR DEVELOPMENT OF SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING FOR LOW AND VERY LOW INCOME PURCHASERS AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

By:

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport is the owner of the following property located in the Allendale section of the city: 1621 Logan Street, 1617 Logan Street and 514 Pierre Avenue; and

WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Habitat for Humanity, a 501(c)(3) non-profit corporation, to donate the said property to the organization for development of single family housing for low and very low income purchasers; and

WHEREAS, development of the property for this purpose will compliment the City's redevelopment efforts in the Allendale neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, LSA-R.S. 33:4712 requires that notice of this ordinance be published at least three (3) times within fifteen (15) days, one week apart; and

WHEREAS, this donation serves a public purpose and provides a benefit to the public by providing additional homeownership opportunities for low and very low income residents of the City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, donation of each property be made in accordance with the Louisiana Constitution and applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized to donate the city-owned property identified as 1621 Logan Street, 1617 Logan Street and 514 Pierre Avenue to Habitat for Humanity for development of single family housing for low and very low income purchasers.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Mayor is authorized to execute and deliver, for and on behalf of the City of Shreveport, any and all documents relative to the authorization granted herein after review and approval of such document(s) by the Office of the City Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 30 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Capital Improvements Budget to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 124 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Capital Improvements Budget, is hereby amended as follows:

**In Program A – Building and Improvements:**

For Building Maintenance (95A005) project increase by \$800 funding source General Fund

For Shreveport Convention Center (97A004) project decrease by \$9,000 funding source 1999 Convention Center Bonds

**In Program C – Street Improvements:**

For Turn Lane at Pierremont and Fairfield Avenue (01C022) project increase by \$500,000 funding source 2001 GOB's

**In Program D – Drainage Improvements:**

For Youree Drive Drainage (96D004) decrease the total of project by \$900,000

For Paved Ditch Repairs (01D016) project decrease total project by \$5

The "Total for Program D Projects (All Years)" decrease by \$5

**In Program E – Water Improvements:**

For Amiss WTP Plants I and II Filter Improvements (98E006) project increase total project by \$10,000

The "Total for Program E Projects (All Years)" increase by \$10,000

**In Program H – Airport Projects:**

Part 150 Noise Update (07H004) increase funding sources by \$157,900 Shreveport Airport Authority, \$157,900 State Grants, and \$3,000,000 Federal Aviation Authority

**Grand Total**

The “GRAND TOTAL – ALL ACTIVE PROJECTS” increase by \$10,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 124 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 31 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 RIVERFRONT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BY:

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 125 of 2009, the 2010 adopting budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Increase Transfer to General Fund by \$52,000

Decrease Transfer to Other Funds \$52,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 125 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 32 OF 2010**

#### **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE GENERAL FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the General Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 126 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the General Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease Licenses and Permits by \$1,900

Increase External Service Charges by \$461,900

Increase Internal Service Charges by \$95,500

Decrease Fines and Forfeits by \$460,000

Decrease Intergovernmental by \$95,500

Decrease Miscellaneous by \$3,200

Increase Grants by \$3,200

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Change the Subtotal of City Council from \$1,275,700 to \$1,286,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 126 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**AMENDMENT 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 33 OF 2010**

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

Explanation:

This amendment makes the following changes:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Increase Miscellaneous ~~Income~~ Revenues by \$7,200

~~Increase~~ Establish Interest Income ~~by~~ at \$2,700

In Section 2 (Appropriations)

~~Increase~~ Establish Improvements & Equipment ~~by~~ of ~~at~~ \$100,000

**ORDINANCE NO. 33 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE GOLF ENTERPRISE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Golf Enterprise Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 128 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Golf Enterprise Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Decrease External Service Charges and Fees by \$9,900

Increase Miscellaneous Income by \$7,200

Increase Interest Income by \$2,700

Increase Improvements & Equipment by of \$100,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 128 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**AMENDMENT 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 33 OF 2010**

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

Explanation:

This amendment makes the following changes:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Increase Miscellaneous ~~Income~~ Revenues by \$7,200

~~Increase~~ Establish Interest Income ~~by at~~ \$2,700

In Section 2 (Appropriations)

~~Increase~~ Establish Improvements & Equipment ~~by~~ ~~of~~ at \$100,000

**ORDINANCE NO. 34 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE AIRPORT ENTERPRISE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Airport Enterprise Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 129 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Airport Enterprise Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Decrease External Charges and Fees by \$4,569,400

Increase Interest Income by \$55,000

Decrease Other Income by \$103,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 129 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 35 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE WATER AND SEWERAGE ENTERPRISE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 130 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Water and Sewerage Enterprise Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Decrease Other External Charges by \$442,200

Increase Internal Service Charges by \$430,000

Increase Interest Earnings by \$10,000

Increase Other Income by \$2,200

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 130 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 36 OF 2010**

#### **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION'S SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 132 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Metropolitan Planning Commission's Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Decrease External Charges and Fees by \$19,000.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 132 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **ORDINANCE NO. 37 OF 2010**

#### **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE SPORTRAN AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Sportran to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 133 of 2009, the 2010 budget for Sportran, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Increase Federal Transit Administration by \$1,161,400

Increase Prior-Year Funds by \$92,000

In Section 2 (Appropriations)

Increase Transfer to Capital Projects Funds by \$764,500

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 133 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**AMENDMENT 1 TO ORDINANCE NO. 37 OF 2010**

Amend the Ordinance as follows:

Delete the Ordinance as introduced and substitute the attached Ordinance.

Explanation:

This amendment makes the following changes:

~~Increase~~ Establish Prior-Year Funds by ~~at \$92,000~~ \$92,100

**ORDINANCE NO. 38 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Grants Special Revenue Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 135 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Grants Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease "GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL GRANT FUND REVENUES" by \$236,281

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease “GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL GRANT FUND APPROPRIATIONS” by \$236,281

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 135 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 40 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF FORD STREET, 150 FEET WEST OF NORTH DALE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-3, URBAN, MULTI FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO R-3-E, URBAN, MULTI FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO A “LEARNING CENTER” ONLY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of Ford Street, 150 feet west of North Dale, legally described as Lots 12 and 13, Templeman Subdivision, TAL 5, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana be and the same is hereby **changed from R-3, Urban, Multi-Family Residence District to R-3-E, Urban, Multi-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to a “learning center “ only:**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

**1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**

**2. The maximum number of students permitted at the facility at one time shall be 25.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of

this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### ORDINANCE NO. 41 OF 2010

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NE CORNER OF KINGS HIGHWAY AND SOUTHERN AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM SPI-4(B-1), INTERSTATE CORRIDOR OVERLAY (BUFFER BUSINESS) DISTRICT, SPI-4(R-3), INTERSTATE CORRIDOR OVERLAY (URBAN, MULTI FAMILY RESIDENCE) DISTRICT AND B-1-E, BUFFER BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT TO B-1-E, BUFFER BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO “A RESTAURANT WITH A DRIVE THRU, OTHER B-1 USES, AND THE SPECIFIC B-2 USES LISTED HEREIN” ONLY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the NE corner of Kings Highway and Southern Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described below, be and the same is hereby changed **from SPI-4(B-1), Interstate Corridor Overlay (Buffer Business) District, SPI-4(R-3), Interstate Corridor Overlay (Urban, Multi Family Residence) District and B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District to B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District limited to “a restaurant with a drive thru, other B-1 uses, and the specific B-2 uses listed herein” only:**

A 6 acre tract located in Sect. 12, T17N, R14W, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, more particularly described as: From a found ½ inch iron rod marking the NE corner of Lot 1, Huckaby Subdivision, measure along the west R/W of Barret Street S0°1'50"E 88.53 feet to a found 1 inch iron pipe and the POB. From the POB continue along the west R/W of Barret Street S0°1'50"E 283.76 feet to a found ½ inch iron rod; thence leave said R/W, measure N89°31'22"W 205.85 feet to a point in a concrete ditch; thence S3°34'6"E 135.33 feet to a point in a concrete ditch; thence N89°31'22"W 23.98 feet to a found concrete R/W monument located on the east line of Lot 11, Bienville Subdivision; thence S3°5'59"E 79.97 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod; thence N82°28'0"W 154.70 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod; thence N74°45'33"W 288.82 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod; thence N66°53"E 162.81 feet to a found concrete R/W monument on the east R/W of Southern Avenue; thence along said R/W N14°59'16"W 104.82 feet to a found concrete R/W monument; thence along the arc of a curve to the right having a radius of 5699.57 feet for an arc distance of 141.92 feet (called 142.20 feet), chord bearing of N2°55'58"W 141.92 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod; thence leave said R/W S89°27'44"E 461.24 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod; thence N0°25'49"W 1.72 feet to a set ½ inch iron rod; thence S89°31'22"E 204.78 feet to the POB; containing 6 acres or 261,367 sq. ft.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property for the restaurant and drive thru shall be in substantial accord with the location shown on the site plan and vertical improvements submitted at the March 3, 2010 public hearing with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

2. A revised site plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission for all future uses not indicated on the site plan submitted at the March 3, 2010 hearing prior to the issuance of any building permits for said uses.

3. All of the property included as a part of this zoning consideration shall be kept free of litter, and maintained in accordance with property standard requirements of the City of Shreveport.

4. All site and building improvements shall be submitted to a design review team comprised of the Planning Director and his designees to confirm compliance with the following:

a. All future planned improvements shall consider the protection of the public realm and creating a quality exterior environment that emphasizes the following:

i. Pedestrian friendly design

ii. Mature and diverse landscaping

iii. Vertical improvements that are compatible with human scale and the residential character of the neighborhood

iv. The location of vehicular parking and other necessary site improvements in such a way that enhances the natural environment and complements the surrounding property.

v. Landscaping that screens unsightly site features and provides shade for pedestrians.

vi. Sensitivity to the privacy and access of the adjacent property to the north,

vii. Vehicular safety and maneuverability,

viii. Access management along the existing roadways,

ix. Use of low height, low intensity, lighting to insure residential character

x. The use of monument signs or other types of signs of limited scale and other features that compliment the neighborhood character.

5. In addition to the B-1 uses listed by right, the development of property shall include the following B-2 uses:

- Antique store.
- Apparel and accessory store.
- Appliance store.
- Aquarium supplies and sales.
- Artificial limb manufacture.
- Auto parts store, retail; no installation, repair or rebuilding of parts is permitted on premises.
- Automobile glass tinting, operations shall be conducted only within a completely enclosed structure.
- Bakery, retail.
- Barbershop or beauty shop.
- Bicycle sales and repair.
- Blueprinting and Photostatting.
- Bookstore.
- Business machines store or agency.
- Camera and photographic supplies store.
- Candy, nut, and confectionery store.
- Dairy products sales.
- Delicatessen.
- Department store.
- Drugstore.
- Embossers, including printing from a standard office copier.
- Film processing.

- Fire station.
- Floor covering sales.
- Floral shop.
- Fruit store.
- Fur sales, finishing and storage.
- Furniture store, retail.
- Garden supplies store, handling packaged fertilizer and no other types of fertilizer.
- Gift shop.
- Grocery store, retail.
- Hardware store, retail.
- Hobby supply store.
- Hospital or sanitarium.
- Ice cream store.
- Jewelry store, including repairing of jewelry, watches, and clocks.
- Laboratory, dental or medical.
- Laundry and/or dry cleaning pickup station.
- Leather and luggage store.
- Library or reading room.
- Locksmith.
- Music store.
- Nursery, day care, or kindergarten.
- Office equipment and supplies store.
- Optician.

- Package and parcel pickup (not more than 3,000 square feet).
- Paint and wallpaper store, retail.
- Pet grooming facility; limited to the grooming of household pets and other small animals; no outside kennels or overnight boarding.
- Photographic studio with processing.
- Picture framing and/or mirror silvering.
- Pipeline or electric transmission line; need not be enclosed within structure.
- Post office.
- Print shops.
- Radio and television broadcasting studio, but not including transmitter.
- Restaurant, where food and beverages are served and consumed only within the enclosed structure; outdoor dining areas, drive-in, drive-thru, delivery service operations, pick-up windows or other exterior service facilities are not permitted by right. (See section 106-639.)
- School, elementary and/or secondary, meeting all requirements of the compulsory education laws of the state.
- Seafood store, retail.
- Shoe repair shop.
- Shoe store, retail.
- Sporting goods store, retail; excluding repair and testing of motors and outdoor boat display.
- Studio for professional work or teaching of any form of fine arts, photography, music, drama, dance, but not including commercial gymnasium.
- Telephone exchange, but not including administrative offices, shops or garages.
- Theater.
- Toy store.
- Variety store (limited to the sale of items which may be sold by any other use in this district).

o Video sales and rentals.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

### **ORDINANCE NO. 42 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY PROPERTY LOCATED ON LOCATED ON THE NW CORNER OF FANNIN AND DOUGLAS STREET, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-3, URBAN, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, TO B-4, CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the NW corner of Fannin and Douglas Street, and legally described below, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-3 Urban, Multi-Family Residence District to B-4, Central Business District.**

Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 of TAL 2, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon; Lot 31, TAL 2, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA with a municipal address of 815 Caddo Street, and Lots 32, 33, and 34 of TAL 2, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, less and except that portion thereof conveyed to the LA Dept of Highways for R/W purposes as recorded, together with all buildings and improvements located thereon.

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

**1. Development of the property of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.**

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 43 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF MOUNT ZION ROAD, 460 FEET EAST OF LINWOOD AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-A, RESIDENCE/AGRICULTURE DISTRICT, TO I-1, LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the north side of Mount Zion Road, 460 feet east of Linwood Avenue, legally described as Lot 165, Suburban Acres (5 acres), Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-A, Residence/Agriculture District to I-1, Light Industry District**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.
2. Landscaping plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Zoning Administrator prior to the issuance of a Certificate of occupancy.
3. The screen fence shown on the site plan shall be constructed of wood and shall be no less than 6' high.
4. Materials stored shall not exceed the height of the screening fence.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 44 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF CLEVELAND AVENUE, 600 FEET WEST OF JEWELLA, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-1D-E, URBAN, ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT TO R-1D-E, URBAN, ONE**

**FAMILY RESIDENCE/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO A “MEDICAL SUPPLY OFFICE” ONLY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO**

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the south side of Cleveland Avenue, 600 feet west of Jewella, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, legally described as the east 137.25 feet of the south 140 feet of the north 170 feet of Lot 2, Hollywood Subdivision Unit #1, be and the same is hereby **changed from R-1DE, Urban, One-Family Residence Extended Use District to R-1D-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to a “medical supply office” only**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.
2. Approval is for a 1 person office as requested.
3. Hours of operation shall be from 9 am to 3 pm.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**ORDINANCE NO. 45 OF 2010**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2010 BUDGET FOR THE GRANTS SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2010 budget for the Grants Special Revenue Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 135 of 2009, the 2010 budget for the Grants Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts):

Decrease "GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL GRANT FUND REVENUES" by \$236,281

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

Decrease "GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL GRANT FUND APPROPRIATIONS" by \$236,281

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 135 of 2009 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

#### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**

Mr. Thompson: Madam Chair, we are now under Unfinished Business. I'm not aware of any matter that is going to be coming off to be voted on today under Unfinished Business and I think we can go all the way over to No. 12, Reports from Officers, Boards and Committees.

**1. Ordinance No. 32 of 2008:** An ordinance to amend and reenact portions of Division 3 of Article V of Chapter 26 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Retained Risk and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced – March 25, 2008 - Tabled April 22, 2008*)

**2. Ordinance No. 24 of 2008:** Amending Chapter 42 of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances to add Article XI relative to operation of a rendering plant or transfer center within the City of Shreveport and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced March 11, 2008 - Tabled May 13, 2008*)

**3. Ordinance No. 83 of 2008:** ZONING: C-35-08 Amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the north side of Hilry Huckaby, 1800' south of North Lakeshore, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-3-E , Community Business/Extended District, to B-3-E, Community Business/Extended Use District **Limited to "an office, equipment storage yard, with the addition of stockpile materials (wood chips/recyclable wood products" only**, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Introduced July 22, 2008 - Tabled August 26, 2008*)

**4. Ordinance No. 115 of 2008:** An ordinance requiring a permit for use of municipal property for business operations in the City of Shreveport; requiring the provision of certain information prior to issuance of such permit; and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Introduced September 9, 2008 - Tabled October 14, 2008*)

**5. Ordinance No. 166 of 2008:** An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 98 of the Code of Ordinances relative to vegetation, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Introduced November 25, 2008 - Tabled January 27, 2009*)

**6. Resolution No. 179 of 2009:** A resolution to launch a Council investigation as authorized by Section 4.29 of the Charter and to appoint a committee to conduct the investigation; to retain the services of a special legal consultant or consultants as authorized by Charter Section 4.29 to assist in said investigation, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled September 10, 2009*)

**7. Ordinance No. 167 of 2009:** Amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances relative to alcoholic beverages, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Tabled January 26, 2010*)

**8. Ordinance No. 168 of 2009:** Amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinance relative to alcoholic beverage permits, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/Lester) (*Tabled January 26, 2010*)

**9. Ordinance No. 01 of 2010:** Amending and reenacting Chapter 22 of the Code of Ordinances relative to permit and inspection fees, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled January 26, 2010*)

**10. Ordinance No. 03 of 2010:** Amending and reenacting Chapter 10 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Alcoholic Beverage Permits and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Tabled January 26, 2010*)

**11. Confirmations and Appointments:** (*Tabled February 9, 2010 until August 24, 2010*)

Metropolitan Planning Commission Dr. Mary Wilson

Ms. Lea Desmarteau

Caddo-Bossier Port Commission Mr. Rick C. Prescott

Mr. Sam Gregorio

Property Standards Board Mr. Terrance A. McCray, Sr.

Shreveport Airport Authority: (*Tabled March 9, 2010 until August 24, 2010*)

Ms. Verna M. Smith (replacing Mr. Raymond Alley)

Ms. Margaret Shehee (replacing Rev. Joe Gant)

**12. TAXI LICENSE PERMIT APPEAL:**

Mr. Lamar Johnson, 2818 Poland Street, Shreveport, LA 71103 (G/Bowman) *Yellow Checker Taxi*, 2405 Kings Hwy, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) (*To be revisited September 13, 2010*)

**13. PROPERTY STANDARDS APPEALS:**

**HBO0700145** - 426 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Ms. Carolyn Miller*, 424 Woodrow, Shreveport, LA 71105 (F/Shyne) (*Tabled August 25, 2008*)

**PSD0900177**: 171 Ardmore, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) *Ms. Shelia Wharton*, 3215 Knight Street #147, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until April 26, 2010*)

**PSD0900006**: 2006 Looney Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) *Ms. Niakia Cook-Jones*, 6777 Raspberry Lane, Apartment 1421, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until April 26, 2010*)

**PSD0800336**: 1652 ½ Cross Lake Blvd, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Mr. Kevin Belcher*, P.O. Box 3313, Shreveport, LA 71133 (Postponed October 26, 2009 until December 7, 2009) (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until May 10, 2010*)

**PSD0800344**: 2723 W. Jordan, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) *Mr. Clarence Davis*, 906 N. Victory Drive, Houston, TX 77088 (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until April 26, 2010*)

**PSD0900029**: 2639 West College, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Mr. Kevin Belcher*, P.O. Box 3313, Shreveport, LA 71133 (*Postponed May 22, 2010 until May 24, 2010*)

**PSD0800395**: 1730 Jackie Robinson Street, Shreveport, LA (A/Lester) *Ms. Chrisanna L. Freeman*, 2926 Poland, Shreveport, LA 71107. (*Postponed March 8, 2010 until May 10, 2010*)

**PSD0800367**: 1117 Madison, Shreveport, LA 71103 (A/Lester) *Mr. Kevin Belcher*, P.O. Box 3313, Shreveport, LA 71133 (G/Bowman) (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until May 10, 2010*)

**PSD0800400**: 5318 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Mr. Darien Kirkendoll*, P.O. Box 8703, Bossier City, LA. (*Postponed March 8, 2010 until July 12, 2010*)

**PSD0900270**: 3429 Penick, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) *Ms. Sherry J. Harris-Boles*, P. O. Box 4891, Shreveport, LA 71134 (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until May 10, 2010*)

**PSD0900425**: 3727 Morrow, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) *Ms. Marsha Logan*, 3611 Lillian Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Bowman) (*Postponed March 22, 2010 until April 26, 2010*)

**PSD0900237:** 4902 Monkhouse Dr., Lot 41, Shreveport, LA (G/Bowman) Mr. Paul and Carol Anderson, 3127 Iberville Drive, Shreveport, LA 71119 (A/Lester) (*Postponed March 22, 2010 until April 26, 2010*)

**PSD0900275:** 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Walford) (*Postponed March 22, 2010 until June 21, 2010*)

**PSD0800237:** 623 Herndon Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Walford) Mr. Theo Barnes, 12274 Mansfield Road, Keithville, LA 71047 (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until April 26, 2010*)

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

#### **PROPERTY STANDARD BOARD OF APPEALS:**

**PSD0900016:** 4131 Booker T., Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. Jerry Riley, 1038 Woodshire Circle, Shreveport, LA 71109 (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until June 7, 2010*)

#### **ABO APPEAL:**

Mr. Joseph Oliver, 2518 Belmont Blvd, Bossier City, LA 71111 – *Buffalo Wild Wings*, 7441 Youree Drive, Shreveport, LA (D/Wooley) (*Site Specific ABO Card approved for 1 year*)

#### **ALCOHOL BEVERAGE PERMIT APPEAL:**

Alcohol Beverage Permit for *Take A Bag Grocery*, Ms. Deborah Hawkins, 2901 Milam Street, Shreveport, LA 71103 (A/Lester) (*Postponed April 12, 2010 until May 24, 2010*)

Alcohol Beverage Permit for *Village Exxon*, Cecil Hendricks, 9000 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA 71118 (E./Webb) (*Appeal denied*)

#### **TAXI LICENSE PERMIT APPEAL:**

Mr. Jimmie Starnes, 2528 Broadway, Bossier City, LA 71111, *Casino Cab*, 2405 Kings Hwy, Shreveport, LA (C/Long) or *Action Taxi*, 2104 Barksdale Blvd, Bossier City, LA 71112. (*Appeal denied*)

#### **REPORTS FROM OFFICERS, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES**

Councilwoman Bowman: Councilman Shyne, you had a meeting yesterday, we'll do that one first, just a (inaudible)

Councilman Shyne: Okay, we agreed that we would send the request to the Administration to let them know that we were in favor of them cutting the check for the first quarter. But we also

stipulated that we would hope that you all would look at the contract and see where we would go from this year.

Councilman Webb: Tell 'em what it's for Joe.

Councilman Shyne: It's for operational purposes. For the operational of Multicultural Center.

Mayor Glover: Madam Chair? Mr. Shyne, Mr. Chair, was there any kind of report that was submitted from the Multicultural Center?

Councilwoman Bowman: Yes sir, they gave it to - - - Mr. Seaton was in the meeting with us.

Mayor Glover: It was expenses?

Councilman Webb: Just expenses.

Mayor Glover: Any detail with the Program of Work for the first quarter, and what it is that they project to do for the - - -?

Councilman Webb: They just gave the expenditures for the first quarter is all they did.

Mayor Glover: I see Mr. Walford shaking his head, since this is in his district, I'm going to yield to that. I mean are we just paying the salaries of the staff, or is there a specific benefit that the citizens are getting in exchange for the - - -?

Councilman Walford: Mr. Mayor, basically, what I think they were giving us was an explanation of how their expenses had decreased. They were showing us the difference in their utility expenses, and not having the maintenance contract on the elevators, and it really was just a summary of expenses. It showed no - - - I don't believe it showed revenue at all. It was just an expense report, really it was like a check register of what had been paid out by various accounts. And Mr. Seaton helped me out. You were looking at it as I was, but basically, just an expense report for the first quarter.

Councilman Shyne: They did not have a list of activities on their objectives and their action plans and this kind of stuff, no they did not. It was basically dealing with the finance part.

Councilwoman Bowman: Of course we can request that, it can be requested.

Councilman Walford: Mr. Mayor, what we've discussed and suggested and talked to Terri about was since the previous agreement was part of a lease on the Texas Street building, it's going to be necessary to come up with a new agreement with Multicultural, which does away with the lease, and I guess we'll leave it to y'all to come back to us, if it's going to be a cooperative endeavor agreement, or what exactly the document will be, and what you/we will ask for in terms of reporting on activities. They told us, although it was not on the report, they had dipped into their savings, or their reserves. That's part of the move in getting settled in the first quarter. But we did

not get a complete financial report. But it was clear that they had reduced their expenses considerably over what they were paying. I think their utilities have been running like \$6,000 a month or something.

Councilwoman Bowman: And one of the things too that we had mentioned, they are required to give complete report, then everybody is required to do one.

Councilman Walford: Oh, and the other thing, (inaudible) suggesting a contract, a 1 year contract as Mr. Shyne alluded to would be for this year.

Councilwoman Bowman: That's right.

Councilman Walford: Joe and Ron, help me. What am I forgetting?

Councilwoman Bowman: And they did mention that they were applying for grants, and - - -

Councilman Webb: And they had about \$50,000 on hand or something of that nature.

Councilwoman Bowman: Right, they gave us that - - - their figures on what they did have on hand.

Councilman Walford: And Mr. Mayor, I would certainly make myself available and be happy to work with the Administration on what we do come up with for contract or cooperative endeavor agreement with them for the coming year.

Mayor Glover: Well, we would certainly welcome that.

Councilwoman Bowman: Well, I would recommend that Councilman Shyne being the Chairman of that committee, should also be a part of it. We want to do things right.

Mayor Glover: Just an additional question. So, what I hear you all saying is that what you have right now is the simply detailing of the accounting for the first quarter.

Councilman Walford: That was of the expenses.

Councilwoman Bowman: And what happened, they never received anything in the first quarter. In January of last year, you know they had received that amount for that quarter. It is now April, and they have not received anything as far as that was concerned. We also discussed what do we do - - - I mean with their actual total amount. So that was another thing that was addressed in that meeting.

Mayor Glover: And so in that in the expenditures would be salaries, rent and those sorts of things?

Councilman Walford: It included salaries, rent, utilities (inaudible).

Councilwoman Bowman: Rick, don't you have a copy of it?

Councilman Shyne: He does. He should have gotten that to you.

Mayor Glover: Well let me also ask. One of the things that was also a part of the previous arrangement when they were in the building on Texas Street, was that you not only had the programmatic things that they did, but you also had the actual static displays themselves. So, the people came to the facility, you had all the various - - -

Councilman Walford: they indicated that most of those were now in place in the new facility. They named several of the - - - I don't recall, do you Joyce?

Councilwoman Bowman: No.

Councilman Walford: But their different cultural displays they said were in place, and they were discussing their fundraiser to be held in July. But we don't really have a contract as much since the one involved the lease. So, we do need to get something - - - and Ms. Scott.

Councilman Shyne: Let me suggest this, and I think Councilwoman Bowman alluded to the fact that by me being chairman of that committee, what I would hope would happen is if there are any questions, cause I think Rick was in the meeting representing the Administration?

Mr. Seaton: Right.

Councilman Shyne: Rick, if there are any questions that the Administration would like to have answered, at the next meeting, why don't you bring those questions and we'll make sure that Brother Davis, since I'm an old school teacher - - -

Councilman Walford: You did it. You said it.

Councilwoman Bowman: He did it.

Councilman Shyne: No, I used the wrong adverb to describe a young teacher. If you bring the questions from the Administration, I'll make sure that we give complete answers to all of the questions that they would like to know.

Councilwoman Bowman: Mr. Mayor, did you have anything else you wanted to - - - any more questions?

Mayor Glover: No, we'll follow up with Mr. Shyne and Mr. Walford, and the Chair. And we may even request to sit down with Ms. (Inaudible) and some of her board members as well. Because I am having difficulty understanding exactly what it is that we justify for the expense, in that they are no longer at the Texas Street facility. And that's why I want to sit down and make sure I'm clear on.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, we need to get real clear on that, because I do support them, and I think that they do very well for this entire area. It's the only area that you can truly say is

multicultural, and surely they're going to have to have salaries paid, or whatever, but according to how we've been operating, even though it was that building over there, I mean do you just - - -? And this is one of the things that we had kinda looked at. Do you - - - is like a baby you know, do you just wean 'em, or do you just take the milk away totally? I mean, that's what we were looking at when we basically authorized. See we had an ordinance here, but I think it was the recommendation from the Administration that we met, and in the meeting that we had yesterday, and that was all that it would have required to take care of them for the first quarter. So that was the reason for that particular meeting. To assure that the first quarter, they were taken care of. That's what that was all about. And of course we're more than willing to sit down after the fact, but that's what we had been told. And that's the reason the meeting was called like it was. And if I'm not mistaken, we were told that it came from the Administration as far as taking the ordinance off that we had in place and going on meeting and giving the instructions.

Councilman Walford: That was my understanding.

Councilwoman Bowman: Yeah, that was our understanding, and that's why it was done like that. So, by all means, we can still sit down and discuss. One thing we did do today, we had another Public Safety meeting, and that dealt with parades. And Chief Whitehorn was very uncooperative today. It was a real good meeting, and we accomplished quite a bit, just by giving suggestions and opinions, and trying to work through quite a bit. We had some real good suggestions. So another meeting will be called, hopefully in the next two weeks. We'll do it like that and we will invite the Krewe of Gemini. Not the whole Krewe, just their leadership, and not just the Royalty of both Krewes to come by and meet with us, and we're going to try and do it right here in the Chamber. And I'm going to wear my helmet and my breast plate.

Councilman Long: Your armor?

Councilwoman Bowman: My 'whole' armor. And we'll sit here and talk to them and try to get their input as well. So, that worked out pretty well. Any other Council Members have any reports?

## **CLERK'S REPORT**

Councilwoman Bowman: Clerk's report Mr. Thompson?

Ms. Johnson: We don't have any Madam Chairman.

Councilwoman Bowman: Oh, I didn't see Art had disappeared over there.

Councilman Webb: Yeah, he eased out on you.

## **THE COMMITTEE RISES AND REPORTS: (Reconvenes Regular Council Meeting)**

**ADJOURNMENT:** There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 6:15 p.m.

---

*//s// Joyce Bowman, Chairman*

---

*//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council*