



Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana

February 14, 2012

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Sam Jenkins at 3:03 p.m., Tuesday, February 14, 2012, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Bishop Larry Brandon.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman SCPO David Ford.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, January 23, 2012, Council Meeting, Tuesday, January 24, 2012 and Amendment No. 1 to Council Proceedings, June 28, 2011. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Does any Council Members have any awards, or recognitions of distinguished guests, or communications that they'd like to take up at this time?

Councilwoman McCulloch: At this time, I would like to present Caddo Commissioner Ken Epperson to come forward and speak on a resolution that's on today's agenda before the Council.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Please come forward Commissioner, give us your name and address and you may be able to speak a little more than three minutes, we'll see how it goes.

Commissioner Ken Epperson: (3822 *Treat*) Thank you for allowing me to attend here today Chairman Jenkins and Ms. McCulloch. I have not been to a council meeting since you were on the corner of Murphy and Texas and we were deliberating moving the Caddo Detention Center from Spring Ridge to over on (inaudible) Drive where it's located now, so it's been quite a while. First of all, I'm coming here to speak in reference to an upcoming bond issue and the resolution (inaudible) the streets. Particularly the street that I'm concerned about, that I would really like to see get something done is the Hersey D. Wilson Street up in the MLK area. The reason that I do and I'm pretty sure that Councilman Shyne is aware of it, just to go back, when we took over as Board Members of Caddo Community Action Agency sometime back, the places that had weeds that were overgrown, the buildings were dilapidated, staff were not what they should have been and we decided that if we are to provide service to the needy and to persons that are seeking help in order to better themselves in some of the places where they are coming to for that help, should look as though they are capable of rendering that help. My personal conveyance of Mr. Hersey D. Wilson, the late great Hersey D. Wilson I might say, he is my mentor. I am truly glad that I had an opportunity to serve with him in the Caddo Parish Commission. You would not realize the wealth of information that that man had. And the one thing that would always stand out in my mind, he was a music teacher, and he stated that he had a marching band, and had 100 members in it. 99 would step off on the right foot and he had one that would step off on the left foot. But you know I could not get that guy to understand that he wasn't the one that was off. He said that the other 99 was wrong. So, I think that when we have people in honor and recognition, that certainly the places that we have their names bestowed upon, should look as though that they really deserved it, and Hersey D. Wilson really deserved the accolades of putting his name on the street, and that street certainly deserved the protocol and the top priority of being one of the best looking streets within that area. And I would certainly hope that we would go through with that resolution today, to make that happen. Because I think it's just a fantasy that we would put people's names on buildings and places and then the places are not fitting to the honor and the service that they had given while they lived. And even some while they are still living. So I would ask that you would vote in favor of this resolution today. It is highly deserved and I think it's highly respectful that we do that, and I think it would be looked upon highly by the citizens, not only within MLK area, but throughout this city, throughout this parish, and throughout this state, because Hersey certainly served us all well. And Mr. Jenkins, I haven't visited since you know the time I told you, when you were on the corner of Murphy and Texas, so I'd just like to take the liberty of doing the

housekeeping that I sort of carried the water for you and Mr. Webb. On January 26th, and Mr. Webb, I know you're aware of it, early in the month and Mr. Jenkins, Mr. Jenkins had to be out of town and Mr. Webb could not make that, I fielded your complaints for you that night, so I'd like to convey those issues, and I think this speaks well for us as elected officials. Basically any district that we have be it the City Council, be it the Parish Commission, be it State Rep, or Senator, we all are inclusive of multiple jurisdictions within those deals. And we have the same common constituents, so therefore we should be on one accord when it comes to providing goods and services for the. Mr. Jenkins, in that meeting on that night, there's been an ongoing problem with an odor at the intersection of I-20 and Pines Road overpass for 9 years. I built up there in 2003, and that was an issue then, we've been steady bringing that up and that's still an issue now. And if we won't this to be the next great city of the south, and we have people coming in to town from the west or coming into town from the east, they exit on Pines and I-20, (inaudible), we've got 17 motels between there and Monkhouse Drive. A wealth of eating establishments, and the first thing they smell is the odor of sewer, we must correct that. That is not a good ambassador or a calling card for our city. Mr. Jenkins, also in our common district, Shadow Creek which perpendiculars in to Santa Monica, they need some attention on that. The would like that to be put within the jurisdiction of the street.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Epperson, just one minute. I believe our CAO lives up that way, so I believe you're going to have some muscle. He lives on the Pines Road, so you're going to have some muscle.

Mr. Epperson: I was going to bring that out. I have a very unique situation, and I'm going to bring that out when I finish. Jefferson Paige Road, west from the Pines Road, to the (inaudible) by the Pines Crest Mobile Homes that street needs some attention. Got a lot of complaints about that that night at the meeting. Mr. Webb, New Castle and Pine Ridge, which is the gated community off Pines Road, the citizens are up in arms about the condition of Pines Road from Buncombe Road south to the railroad track where it goes into E. Bert Kouns. So I told them that I would get that information to you. And once again, just an item that I would like for us as colleagues to make sure that we bring forth to our State Legislative delegation, which I think they'll be convening in March of this year, I see that the Governor is sort of tweaking the Ethics Board Regulations. I'm sure Mr. Shyne can agree with me on this and Ms. McCulloch, I think in one of the Ethics Board revamps that they did they made it to whereas any elected official cannot serve on that board if that particular governmental entity provided funds. I think that was one of the worst things that they could ever do. Not saying that the citizens that we appointed are not doing a good job, but we have I think a greater sense of what's going on even more than others, because we often do legislation, we often track grants and proposals down from Washington, D.C., to Baton

Rouge to our local Chambers to those organizations. And I would hope that you all would join me in asking that that particular deal be removed and that the locally elected officials be allowed to serve on boards and commissions that they do serve funding to. They are not a lot of problems that are directed because of their service on there, and Mr. Jenkins I think you were a jet pilot in the military, and I was a tanker. And who would I rather work on, and who would you rather work on your aircraft? Certainly not a tank mechanic, And who would I rather work on my tank? Certainly not an aircraft mechanic. So we need to check with people that are closest to the process and that are familiar with the process before we make these feel good resolutions and these laws, because we don't know the ramifications that we have upon these entities. In finally as I close, I would like to state that I am - - - oops, I forgot Mr. Mayor. The proposal that we are making on, with the Parish and the City on our Joint Economic Board, we explained that to them, it was very well received within the group that night. So the citizens are behind us I know at least in District 12, the ones that attended. As I close Mr. Shyne, I'll state that I have the unique honor of having the Mayor as my constituent, the CAO -
- -

Councilman Shyne: Wait a minute, that's too much power.

Mr. Epperson: And where is Ms. Burnadine?

Councilwoman McCulloch: She's upstairs, so I got a lot of clout and we expect a lot of things to be done within that district. And I would like to get your support. I am announcing my candidacy for Board Member At Large of our Executive Committee of the Police Jury Association of Louisiana, which will be convening our convention February 22nd, 23rd, through the 25th. And we all know that once we get on that executive board and we go on up the ladder, we can become the President, and we can bring divisional conferences and national conferences to our city and our parish which will bring the great economic process for us. Thank you very much, I hope I didn't take up too much time, but I'm a CME like Joe, so we're very brief.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Before you leave Commissioner, I want to make a couple of comments. First of all, we're very happy to see you here today, and certainly recognize the many, many years of service that you've given to this city as a Commissioner, and probably got the distinction of being a commissioner or elected in two totally different districts. I think that says a lot about listening to people and your willingness to serve people. I also want to say it is a pleasure to work with you and the other commissioners who my Council District overlaps. I think we all work as a team to keep one another informed. I think one of the first things I did was contact the Commissioners and told them if we move forward with street repairs, I certainly wanted your input, would like to know what you were hearing out there, what streets you feel like we need to look at,

because as you said, we all serve the same people, both at the parish level government and the city level of government. I also do know that there is an ongoing complaint about the odor in West Shreveport. And you've been an advocate of keeping us reminded of that, and I do appreciate that. I don't see it as any kind of criticism at all, and this is information that has been put into the hands of our Chief Administrative Officer that lives in both of our districts. So you're going to get it from the parish side, and I'm going to get it from the city side. But we have talked about this problem. I understand that there are some short term solutions that are being sought out by way of some chemicals or something that probably could be used to try to decline the odor, but there is also the long term solution I think all of us are looking for. Maybe another route for that sewer line or either extending it away from homeowners that are in that area. So we just want people to know that we are looking at it. (Inaudible) think it's something long term that could happen overnight. But I think some of the bond money can address part of this problem. We do have the CAO, we do have Mr. Norwood back there, environmental. I see Ms. Featherston back there. We have people who realize that there is an issue that's not going to go away, and that we do want someone to address it and that we do want someone to address it and look into it. And we appreciate you coming down today, and Ken it's just a pleasure working with you. I admire you in many ways. And I just wanted to tell you to continue to serve and to work, and I hope that things will go well during the Police Jury Conference this month, and you'll be elected to that position because you certainly are deserving of it, and you've shown yourself to be a leader of men and women in more than one way. So I hope everything works out well for you during that time.

Councilman Shyne: Ken just before you go, on that street on - - - I'm going to ask the Mayor, on that Hersey D. Wilson Street, I won't call their name, but I hope y'all catch on to what I'm about to say. On that street, I hope that you all would plant some roses on that street. Now I'm going to leave it at that now. You know Hersey D. Wilson and roses, you know would kinda go together. So I'm not going to say no more than that. And Rose, I almost called your name.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Rose.

Councilman Shyne: And I didn't want to say that, but I'd like to see some roses planted on that street.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thank you Uncle Joe. I wanted to thank you Ken for coming before the council today to honor my father as well, present those issues and I took a few notes myself, so I'll make sure that I stay in touch with Dale and the Mayor as well to make sure your concerns are addressed. So again, thank you for coming. And

it was a pleasure working with you on the Caddo Parish Commission at least what? How many? 10 years? 8 years? How many years we worked together?

Mr. Epperson: I was there 12. Yeah, I was there a little bit before you.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yeah, 10 years.

Councilman Shyne: Ken, you were there a long time. I like how you said that.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Thank you so much. I'd like to welcome my Commissioner, Mr. Lyndon B. Johnson. And I believe he's here today also because he's had some concerns as I understand from him from citizens regarding the Hersey D. Wilson project. Also as I understand it, he's been having some traffic monitoring going on, and some other things going on, and I don't know if you want to speak on that today Commissioner Johnson, but he says that he's had several citizens to call him concerning the Hersey D. Wilson project. And you might want to bring us up to speed on what's going on.

Mr. Lyndon Johnson: (3722 Valley Way Cr) As the representative of Caddo Commission, District 2, I have received calls because of the unity that we have in our local government. So basically what I did on the Commission side, I have instructed our workers to go out and do a traffic count on Hersey D. Wilson, and also wanted to try to see if we could ask them to do a filming, but couldn't get that done at this particular time, so basically we did do some traffic counts, and I have all the information upstairs, and I can go get it if I need to. But that's pretty much all I had. I got a lot of calls wanting to know why that street was selected. Wanting to know if there were any other streets that should be done, so basically had to do the data to make sure I could give them the right answers.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, just before the Commissioner leaves, the other reason that he's down here is - - - he got a promotion on his job Mr. Mayor, and it's not very many of us who get promotions on our jobs. Dale, I remember when you got yours, and I just jumped and wrote the Mayor a thank you card, but he's down here because we have Ms. Velma White, and some other citizens who live around the Calumet Plant, and he is the Safety Officer Ms. White, at the Calumet Plant, and he is the top man when it comes to safety issues and I know the Fire Chief and the Police Chief know who to contact if there is a problem, and he's here Mr. Chairman, and I know you know Ms. Velma White. And that used to be in your Commission District, and they have some concerns Mr. Mayor, and I invited the Commissioner down to come and sit so he could hear first hand some of the complaints, I won't call 'em complaints, I'll call them concerns. Some of their concerns and some of the situations,

and I won't call 'em problems, we'll call 'em situations, that they want to be kept informed and Commissioner, in case you don't know how to contact Ms. Velma White, she will let you know and you can stay in contact with her and her group. And you can always keep them informed on the safety situations around the plant, and I don't know whether you have a pencil or pad, that you might want to sit on the front row. And Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind when he takes his seat, we'll let Ms. Velma White come up so we can deal with the two of them together. So Ms. Velma, as soon as exit, would you come up. And we have the man. This is the key man at the plant.

Mr. Johnson: You never did get to the promotion part.

Councilman Shyne: Well, you want to tell them your new promotion?

Mr. Johnson: I'm also the Public Relations person for Calumet Shreveport.

Councilman Shyne: Let's give him a big hand.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Councilwoman McCulloch, did you have some other recognitions that you wanted to make?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Actually I had a constituent that contacted me, Mr. Edgar Rodgers from the Allendale Community. Actually he wanted to I guess give a special thanks to the Council regarding an issue. Am I correct? You'd rather wait?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Hold on one second. Ms. White, hold on one moment. We're trying to do the recognitions of Councilwoman McCulloch. Sir, if you would come forward? You wanted him to come forward Councilwoman?

Councilwoman McCulloch: Yes, yes.

Mr. Edgar Rodgers: (927 Madison Ave) I have some information I'd like to give the Council. To the honorable Councilwoman McCulloch, my councilwoman from District A, Shreveport City Council, the honorable Cedric Glover, Mayor of the City of Shreveport and his staff and to the City of Shreveport. I'm head of Human Utilities Whole Armour. Now that was (inaudible) company here in the City of Shreveport. I'm here today to invite the aforementioned parties to an event on Saturday. Allowing for your previous engagements and commitments, February 18th, from 11:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., at the Louisiana State Exhibit Museum. It's called the Mardi Gras Film Festival at the LSEM. This is the only major Mardi Gras Film Festival on the North American continent. It is very significant (inaudible) locally because of course, I'm from Shreveport, all the motion pictures we'll be showing I produced myself. And of course

we were able to (inaudible) use local vendors for the banner atop in front of the LSEM, and other services including staging and other manners and what not to make sure we use local parties and put money back into the economy here in the City of Shreveport. That's also significant because the Louisiana State Exhibit Museum, we think, is an excellent facility that other event facilities throughout the United States who wanted to host this event, Los Angeles, San Francisco, Chicago, Baton Rouge, Atlanta, Washington, D.C., but because of the fact that I'm from Shreveport, I decided that something like this is going to go down, it should go down in Shreveport, Louisiana. I said a significant nature (inaudible) as particular film festival is the films themselves. I deliberately picked a listing of films that are about local concerns. That is to say the Ark-La-Tex for tourism. One of the films (inaudible) scenic drives is just north of here, near Arkansas supports Arkansas. Another film about Houston, of course many go down to Houston, another film Palo Duro Canyon of the Red River. Now a lot of folks know about the Red River because it comes through the city of Shreveport, and I love the fact that the Red River is actually responsible for the second largest canyon system in the United States. Everyone knows the Grand Canyon, but they don't know of Palo Duro Canyon. And of course finally one of the themes is the most significant film in motion picture history. It's called New Orleans, the Crescent, we have it. Not Warner, not Disney, not Fox, not anyone, but we have it. Of course we're from Shreveport. New Orleans the Crescent is the only motion picture to film the City of New Orleans, the largest port system in the world is the Port of New Orleans before Katrina. So we're the only studio that has that and when we show it at the LSEM, this will be the first facility in the world to show that. So we have that distinction here in Shreveport.. Wherever else we go, this was the first place it was shown. So just wanted to, for those who have the time or (inaudible) you don't, get in the way, we'd like to invite the Council, the Mayor, staff, and of course the City of Shreveport out to the LSEM. It's from 11:30 to 5:00 p.m. this Saturday, and of course it's free, which is always good. So thank you for your time, appreciate the opportunity to address the Council, and glad to be able to contribute back to the community.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, Councilman Shyne, you wanted to bring up Ms. White at this time?

Councilman Shyne: Yes, I want Ms. White to please come forward.

Councilman Everson: So anyway, I have a very short invitation from the Shreve Memorial Library System. Is there anyway I could let them go first?

Councilman Shyne: Ms. White, we wouldn't offend you would we?

Councilman Everson: Just want to give you your time, and I think maybe the other people are going to be briefer.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Ms. White, we're going to give you some additional time. We're going to let this other group come forward first. And that way, we won't rush you along. We'll give you additional time.

Ms. Velma White: Okay.

Councilman Everson: Thank you. If I could recognize Levette Fuller from the Shreve Memorial Library System.

Councilman Shyne: And she does an excellent job.

Ms. Levette Fuller: (615 Rutherford) Council and the Mayor, thank you for letting me have a few minutes of your time. I would like to invite you all to be a part of the literacy campaign that we're starting this month of February at your local library. This is Susan Saranden, this is in 2001, American Library Association's new celebrity read posters for quite some time now, but they've offered software recently that's called the Read Campaign Software which allows us to take our local celebrities and make similar posters so that we can show that it's not just super famous people from around the world, but people right here in our community that care about their libraries and about literacy. So I'd like to invite each of you to come to the library this month and make an appointment with me to take a photograph with your favorite book like any children's book, or adult book that we can place around the libraries in the 20 branches that we have in the city and in the parish. Just to show that you support libraries and literacy. And that's basically it. Thank you.

Councilman Everson: Thank you so much for that and keep up the great work.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright Ms. White, you can come forward now if you don't mind and take your time. You were very nice and very courteous so we're not going to rush you.

Councilman Shyne: Is the Fire Chief here at this time? Is there anybody representing the Fire Chief?

Mr. Sibley: Yes sir, Mr. Wolverton and Mr. Sanders.

Councilman Shyne: No, I mean she can get started. Cause we have somebody from the Fire Department. You want to just come up and sit kinda close so you might want to get

Ms. White's name when they get through, and you might want to meet with her group sometime.

Ms. Velma White: (3116 Fulton) To the City Councilmen, and to the Mayor Glover. And I'm here on behalf of a grassroots organization for environment called Residents for Air Neutralization. Actually what brought me down here is the fact that we want - - - the group wants to know from you Mayor Glover to inform us do you have any insights on what is going on in our area, Districts G and F pertaining to Calumet, the monitoring of Calumet. Do you have any insight on that sir?

Mayor Glover: I know that throughout the years, I've been both a legislator and a mayor, we have kept up with any incidents that have occurred there at the plant. We have followed the reports that have been issued by DEQ regarding any issues and situations and circumstances by all recollections, most of those have been incidents that have been below the level of release violations and what have you to warrant sanction or significant citing or punishments for the folks at Calumet. I also remember that it was sometime in the not too distant future that I sat with you and some other members of that area at Dr. Lewis Smith's church there on Greenwood Road. And we heard presentations from individuals who were part of various environmental organizations. I think some were representative of areas in South Louisiana that talked about the various issues and what have you and that impact the neighborhood surrounding Calumet and what types of strategies might be implemented in order to try and make the situation better. I guess - - - does that answer your question or I'm not sure if there is something more specific.

Ms. White: I was asking you that because you brought up about some incidents that you said were minor. Is that correct? Is that what you just finished saying?

Mayor Glover: I'm sorry?

Ms. White: You brought about some incidents that happened at Calumet that were minor?

Mayor Glover: I know there have been incidents that have taken place that have been various leaks, where there've been some fires, there have been some other things that have occurred there that I know that the Shreveport Fire Department has specifically responded to, that the Department of Environmental Qualities for the State of Louisiana has responded to. I would offer again that those are state agencies that are supervised by the Governor and members of the legislative delegation that we do in fact work with and counsel with. And to my recollection, there has not been anything that has been

issued either to the public in general or to me as Mayor in particular, that says Mayor, we need to go down and shut down Calumet immediately.

Ms. White: Okay. Sir, I passed out this information, and I hope to all the Councilmen and yourself Sir. This is showing you incidents that happened in 2011, and I didn't bring the entire thing, but I summarized it, and I can provide you with that information where LDEQ speaks about incidents. For instance August 16, 2011, we had 40,393 lbs of sulfur dioxide that we had to deal with. Also here, look at these incident reports, over and over again and show you where we have been exposed to large amounts of sulfur dioxide. Also hydrogen sulfide on this report. Also on this is a bucket sample that we independently taken and sent to a lab. And the lab will show you where we were exposed to a high level of hydrogen sulfide. And this has been going on over in that area for many years. But this is the only time that we have documents. Not something that we have done, but from the state and the federal have found problems with it and many violations over in that area. Also I gave you in that information, I summarized where EPA came in and did an undercover investigation on Calumet and found them in 25 violations. So this is an ongoing problem in that area, and we have to live with that. So I really - - - what brought me down here was the fact that we had a public hearing. We had a public hearing in January and at that public hearing, over and over again, one of the judges at that public hearing kept asking about was there any public official there to represent us from that area. And not none public official was at that hearing. So I came to the city because I can't get the city to come to us. So I came here to ask, what are y'all's input, or what are y'all feeling about that district that we live in. We live in that district. Been living there for many, many years, and I've been fighting at this for almost 18 years. But I never get participation from the public officials. We're not here to ask you all to rule that RAN is right and Calumet is wrong. But we just want to be treated fairly that you all the city officials can come out and listen to the problems and give us some input. Maybe you all can help guide us in the right direction, whether it's right, or you're not doing right or you're not doing wrong, but you never get this. At one point, Councilman Jenkins, when you were on the Commission, we got good participation from you sir. And we do appreciate it. I think I spoke about you doing that on several different meetings. But the thing about it, this is not just about one or two people on the City Government, this is about the entire city government. Why can't we get some type of participation, some type of attendance from this city government. And it's not us just making allegations, I just brought this little small information to show you that we're not just making accusations, we're not just don't have anything else to do, but this is what's going on in our area. To the local city government, this is what's going on in our area, and this is what we have to endure with. Each and every day. So is there anything at all that you all can do to help us with this?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Ms. White again I appreciate you coming down and making your presentation and sharing this information with us. I think as I mentioned to you, the one event that I shared with you that you and I attended together, I think may have been the actual meeting with the bucket brigade effort was being planned and coordinated, and ultimately implemented. You have information to indicate that there have been results of that effort that have been secured, the question at this point right now is based upon the current rules and regulations are for the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality as well as the statutes of the state of Louisiana whether or not any of those are at this particular point in time being violated. If they are being violated, then the agency that's responsible for citing those violations as well as enforcing them is not one that is governed or funded or managed or directed by the City of Shreveport, it is one that is governed, directed and managed by the State of Louisiana. That's the Louisiana Department of Environmental Qualities. So, if we have situations but are in fact current, and those folks are in fact not citing, then that would be a situation that would be appropriate for the City of Shreveport to come in and say these are violations. Where is the citation, and what particular form of enforcement is taking place? If those instances are occurring, but in the rules and the laws and the statutes that govern Calumet and other similar operations, they don't violate the law, then there is nothing that we as local government can do in order to be able to change that. Those changes might have to take place at the state level or the federal level where those thresholds for those violations may have to be lowered. But if what you have here are incidents that have occurred, but those incidents don't constitute actual violations, actual citations, actual breeches and offenses by Calumet, then we as the Administration of the City of Shreveport and the Council are not in a position to do anything other than say that we want to continue to see those things monitored. We want to continue to ensure that Commissioner Johnson and his cohorts at Calumet are properly staffed, and properly prepared and properly equipped to respond to what emergencies do develop, but the continue to work in concert and in conjunction with Chief Mulford and the men and women of the Shreveport Fire Department so that we can effectively respond to those. But we can't as Mayor or the Council come in to decide that we're going to institute some rules and regulations and some standards that have been clearly established outside of our direct authority and ability to be able to enforce or to implement. But we do show and express our concern by coming out and participating in the type of, and that was several hours long day that we spent there at Dr. Smith's church listening to the folks talk about the types of issues and concerns and the possibility of the bucket brigade and what have you which are all appropriate and wonderful things. And you do have a need to have local government and leaders at every level attuned to and concerned about these types of issues because often times the in depth being in areas where often times don't have either the political wherewithal, or the concern or the ear of either their local, state, or elected official in order to be able to address when there

are real actual problems and violations. But the one thing that you can't ask us to do, is to come and attempt to address and actual violation that has not been established at this point by the folks who are responsible for citing those and enforcing those. And for those that are then, Mr. Johnson and the folks at Calumet would be aware of those, they'll know what the DEQ has cited. Those are things that are required to be published in the official journal of the State of Louisiana, the newspapers and what have you, and to be made known and to disseminate not only the people who live around, but the community in general. And so, if you have some violations, that have taken place, that have not been cited, then lets find out what those are. And lets communicate that to the people who are responsible for enforcing them. But I'm at a lost to be able to understand why it is that you think that there's not an ear of concern coming from the City of Shreveport, when as you mentioned your Councilman and from the Mayor's office, and I'm assuming since Councilman Shyne invited you down here, that you get a chance to share this information with the Council. But we are concerned. It's just that this is not a particular situation that we have a stick to hit with.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Ms. White, and we're going to let you kinda continue, but I do want to interject in here just a couple of things now. And I know you've probably heard a number of times. The city really doesn't have the regulatory authority to go into Calumet and maybe address some of the concerns that you all have. The City doesn't have that kind of authority. I do believe and I hope that you would agree with me as a member of the Caddo Parish Commission, and as a Council Member, that we have tried to stay involved in whatever incidents that have occurred at Calumet. Even to the extent of coming to the meetings, I know I've been out there after any number of incidents, actually on the scene when the police and fire department would run us into the community, we've come into the community, knock on doors, check on people and see if everybody is alright. See if there's anything that we can do from our particular standpoint. We also got homeland security involved, Sandy Davis and that group to make sure that that was in place an alert system if something occurred over there, and also make sure that there was some kind of evacuation system in place if something occurred in the area, to make sure that we could get people out of that area if something bad was to happen. I know that I've been in contact with any number of different state legislators, some past and present, about the situation at Calumet about the concerns of the citizens, asking them to get involved at the state level to see if things are not being done appropriately there, and also come out and try to address some of the concerns that may be involved. I know that Shreveport Police Department every time I've been out there, and I get those calls too I want y'all to know. I've asked that I get a call whenever something goes on in that area. I've gotten those calls early Saturday morning, I've gotten them at night, I've gotten them on weekends, I've gotten them when it was raining, I've gotten them when it was hot. I get those same calls because I want to

know. And when I came, you know I'd come over there and have to stand over there by Libby Glass because they block us off, and won't let us get down in there until the area is clear. And we come in and we try to make as much contact as we possibly can. But at the end of the day, I think that we have a situation that we need to continue to work together to try to bring on the State and Federal level that those persons who have some regulatory authority that can come in to Calumet. I know when Ms. Swaine was the contact, or community liaison, public relations person for Calumet, you know I formed a relationship there to make sure that whatever happened at Calumet would be publicly explained. Don't have people wondering what happened, what was the sound they heard, what blew up, and what was that in the air? Make it public. And I got the emails. And they come in within minutes after the incidents over there, and let everybody know publicly what was going on. I know the press has happen to be in that area. It's been many times that I've been there, and our local media would come to that area. Go door to door and try to keep this thing in the public eye. I think a lot of things are happening within the abilities of some of us from where we stand on this. But please know, and I believe that I speak for Councilman Shyne on this too. And maybe the Mayor and probably everybody up here, we're concerned about the safety of our citizens. We're very concerned about the safety of our citizens, we're concerned about the fact that you know there is a large plant there and it has people that live by there, and you will recall in one of the meetings we had down here, I talked about can we maybe buy up some of those houses that were close by that plant, and try to create some kind of buffer between the plant and the residents who live in that area. I understand that there are some that's still on the - - - by the time Ms. Swaine left and Mr. Daniels came in, there was some that were still on the table. Because I know it was a big undertaking, but that's the depth of concern I have, you know if locally something like that could happen. I don't know if it's a feasible situation or not. But we're very concerned about it. I think many of us are doing the best we can at the local level to participate and keep it in the public eye, that citizens are living over there and their safety is a concern to us. But I do think we need to continue to work together as best we can as citizens, as local officials here on the local level to address it with the people who, that at the end of the day really can probably do more about what's going on over there than we can. So I just want you to hear from me, I'm concerned. I participate, I try to come out, I try to follow up, I try to call you even whenever I hear something is happening over there just to try to touch basis and make sure everybody is alright and see what's going on. Is it anything that we basically need to do. I want to commend you and your group for the work that you all are doing to keep it in the public eye and let people know that you're concerned about your safety and your homes over there . And you want to have something done. And I want to commend you on that. You need to continue working on it and just know, if we're called upon, we're going to do whatever we can. And I have not forgotten about that. You know I got a call recently about the last incident that happened over there, so

we know. It's just some limits on what we can really do. But don't think it's a lack of concern. Don't think that it is not something that we would like to do more about. Okay?

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, let me say two things and then Mr. Mayor, I think you kinda hit it on the head, and this is one thing. The regulatory authority is a little bit above our heads. It starts with the state. I don't really know who the state representative is over in that area, Mr. Jenkins, you might be able to tell us.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You've got two. Representative Norton, and you have Representative Roy Burrell. Both that overlap that area, and I know we've had any number of conversations, but I don't want to interrupt you Councilman Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Right, you're right. You have two state representatives that's Barbara Norton and Roy Burrell whose jurisdiction overlaps over in that area. Then you have Mary Landrieu and Oliver, who is your friend whose the U.S. Senator?

Councilman O. Jenkins: David Vitter?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, yeah. David Vitter.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Sometimes I forget where the friendships - - -

Councilman Shyne: Right, I forget. But you have - - - that's where the regulation would begin. Now our local responsibility would be if there is an incident, or if there is a fire, then the Fire Chief and his group is always prepared in order to take on any emergencies whether it's at Calumet or whether it's at the glass factory, or whether it's out at General Motors, or whether it's at the Port, or wherever. And Mr. Mayor, I think you brought this up, I don't know whether you underlined it or not, but anytime they have a meeting, if they would notify the Fire Chief, they would notify his office, I know he would not only have one, two, maybe three people there. I know if they would notify Mr. Thompson, the Council's office, I would be there, and the Chairman has a love for that area, Councilman Jenkins would be there, and he might bring his brother along with him, Councilman Oliver Jenkins. And you know we all are aware and Mr. Commissioner, if you would give them your name and your contact number, so the next time they meet, you can meet with them, and let them know what plan that Calumet has, whenever there is an incident, such as a fire or whether there is a leakage. Yeah, you want to come back up? Okay you can just come back a minute and stand by Ms. White if you want to so she can get your contact information, and you can contact them, and Chief, whatever plan because I know when Chief Cochran came out a number of times, because this is something Mr. Mayor I probably been dealing with since you've

been on the Council. But the regulatory authority does not lie with the city. The Mayor cannot go out and enforce - - - doesn't have anything to do with the regulatory. That's state or federal. But now for any incidents, we would be responsible for reacting to any incidents. And if your committee wants to know what plan or if there is a fire, what you should do. If there is a leakage, what you should do. And this gentleman here right now is the PR person, and the Safety Officer for Calumet. He can let you know what plans that Calumet has. And what y'all have to do Ms. White is whenever you have a meeting, invite him out, because they're not going to go out every month and say let me let y'all know what if anything happen, this is what's going to happen. They'll have a plan, and this plan will be followed. If it's a fire at whatever corner it is or whatever tank it is, cause you all got a bunch of tanks out there, they can let you know which way you need to go. And what they can do is work with you and within a range of I would say maybe what? Quarter of a mile or a half-mile, or a mile or within 500 feet. You all would be able to let people know. And Mr. Mayor, she asked me to about one thing, and I don't know if we could legally do this, legally put up some signs warning people? I don't know whether we would be overstepping our - - - you know for people moving in that area. Because you know you can't keep people from moving in that area, because that's private commercial property that's out there, and you can't do that. I don't know, and I told her I really did not know that you would have the legal department - - - would have to know if the city could put up some signs saying you're moving into an area where there is a plant.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Hazardous something?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, hazardous because we couldn't say it's really hazardous. Could be we're overstepping our bounds, because it might not be hazardous. You know if the state and the feds would say that this is not a hazardous area, you know I don't really know whether we could - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Let me ask something because the time is kinda getting away from us. Could I ask Ms. White could you let us know the next time you all are going to have a meeting, and maybe you know I can't speak for everybody's schedule, sometimes I can't speak for mine. But if you'll let us know the next time there's going to be a meeting, then some of us can coordinate coming out and being a part of the meeting, and could probably have a member for discussion as to what we as local officials are doing. Because we are doing some things. And while the group would like to see us do that maybe you feel is lacking as a local group. I think it's going to have to start with our jurisdiction maybe. That's what I'd like to do.

Ms. White: Let me make some corrections. First of all to you Councilman Shyne, I know that city government is not a regulatory. I'm well aware of this. I'm an old hand at this, and so I basically know the chain of command.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Ms. White: So my thing is the reason why I'm here at the City government today is the fact that we live here in Shreveport, and every time we have a meeting, whether it's a regular meeting, community meeting, or whether it's a public hearing, it doesn't matter, where is the city government? Why can't we get some type of participation from the city government? So to you Mr. Mayor, to address something you said that Councilman Shyne - - - Councilman Shyne didn't invite me out here. When we had that public hearing, I thought then, now it's time for me to go to the city. Why can't we get any kind of backing from the city? So this is why I'm here today, not because of Councilman Shyne. In fact, I informed Councilman Shyne I was coming, but not one time did he invite me out here. I came out here because I'm a citizen of Shreveport and I have a right to come and speak out when I see something is wrong. So this is why I'm here today. I fell like we don't get any type of support from the city government. And I'm trying to see why?

Councilman Everson: Could I ask what method you've been using to invite city participation?

Ms. White: Oh, I've been sending out emails. I have been sending out emails.

Councilman Everson: Okay, maybe we'll want to check and see if they're getting to the right parties. Maybe that could be a matter of it.

Ms. White: Maybe that could be the problem, but we shouldn't even not have - - - especially when I have the LDEQ and EPA here. I always send out an email.

Councilman Shyne: Have you been sending them out to the Fire Department.

Ms. White: No, I send it to the City Council. I don't send it to the fire department, I don't even send it to Mayor Glover office. I send it to the City Council office. I send an email inviting to come out to the public hearing or come out to a meeting that we have.

Councilman Shyne: He's going to give you his card, because he's the person that would be able to come out and do something.

Chief Mulford: I'll make sure that she has the information, and we'll participate in these meetings, and share the plans that we have (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: There you go.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Now what we're going to do for the second time today, we're going to get the contact information, and appreciate you coming down here. We're going to make sure that we have a list of persons with the city that can be contacted Mr. (inaudible) if you don't mind, so that that level of participation that you're looking for. And I think I hear what you're saying. That level of participation that you're looking for in your meetings, we'll be there. So you got our attention, we heard what you had to say Ms. White, and we're going to respond in a positive way to that, and that's what we're trying to say to you today. We're going to try to make sure that the appropriate people with the city know when you're having your meetings, and to the extent some city representation can be at your meetings, we're going to try to do everything we can to make sure that that happens.

Ms. White: I really do appreciate it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And we appreciate you and you know you're welcome to come down anytime, you don't need an invitation.

Ms. White: And Mr. Johnson, I'm very acquainted with Mr. Johnson. So I do appreciate y'all giving me this time to speak.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? Make sure you get my card, because if you send it to the City Council, especially without a request that they send it on to the administration, there was not necessarily an awareness on their part, just as you send it to the Mayor's office without a request that we forward it to City Council, there's no necessary guarantee who we're going to end up communicating directly with each other. Also I want to say this as well, and that is when you say that you want the City of Shreveport standing with you and standing behind you and supporting you, I understand what you mean. But now also understand that we need to stand and support those things that actually give us something to be able to stand on in terms of violations. I'm reading what came out and I know Dr. Smith, if I didn't get it correct New Bethel Baptist Church, New Bethel Missionary Baptist Church (I got to get that name in) across the street from Independence Stadium on Greenwood Road, that bucket brigade analysis that went out that you handed to me, and I'm glad to have a copy of it, with the exception of what looks to be about maybe 10 out of what could be 60 or so, maybe 70 or so actual readings, all of them come in at what the legend has an ND. And ND = compound was analyzed for, but not detected above laboratory reporting limits. Now

with when those are the things that end up being 90+ % of what's going on, for me as the Mayor of the City of Shreveport because Calumet is a part of Shreveport as well. Now corporations are not people. I'm not Mit Romney, I'm not going to sit here and tell you that. But Corporations do employ people. And there's a Mrs. Johnson, and a little Ms. Johnson back home who look to Calumet to insure that they have a roof over their heads and food on the table and what have you, because that's what pays the bills for Mr. Johnson and several hundred of his fellow employees. And so before we would end up going out and taking some action that could be (inaudible) to Calumet, we've got to make sure that we got good sound information to act upon. So we want to support you all as neighbors. We want to support the people who are doing business in our community to see if we can't find a balance to make sure everybody is doing the right thing. Lastly, I think it's excellent that you guys got the EPA involved because they can come in and do the unannounced inspections that were done. I'm going to assume that these are issues that are being addressed by both Calumet and I know by the EPA, and Lisa Jackson who is the head of the EPA, actually is a Louisianaian and her parents relocated to this area after Katrina. And so I know that she is not just generally concerned, but specifically concerned about what's going on in this area. So again, we thank you, we appreciate it and look forward to the follow up. The last thing I'm going to ask Mr. Chairman before you hear from Mr. Johnson, and that I just want to make sure and I'm speaking because Ken and I know each other. I'm a C.M.E., as well he used to be. My brother used to be his pastor. I've known him since we were children. And so I have a level of concern that goes beyond just what we share as fellow elected officials. I want to make sure since he's the Safety Officer and now the Public Relations person, that he is being adequately and effectively compensated. Because as I understand his predecessor didn't do as well as his predecessor's predecessor. So I'm wondering whether or not the pay scale jumped back not to your predecessor, but to your predecessor's predecessor effectively folded into all of your current duties because Ms. White, we wouldn't want 'em to think the Brother is overworking. He's a big man, and as big boys we can do a lot, but now you can't give him more than what he can reasonably bear. So there should be at least sixty and whatever that new compensation package is, for all of these new duties, because no doubt he's going to have a lot of meetings to attend, a lot of issues to deal with and address going forward. So I just want to put that out there for the record Mr. Johnson.

Ms. White: And for the record, I'm very proud of Mr. Johnson. I really am.

Councilman Shyne: Ms. White before you leave, listen to what he's going to say.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And this will wrap it up.

Mr. Lyndon Johnson: Mr. Chairman and Mr. Mayor, Councilmen and the members, what I wanted to do is basically say I want to give a full rebuttal at a later date, but for today, I do want to clear up a few things. We do have a hotline, and if anything happens, there's a hotline that people can actually call in and to find out what is actually going on.

Councilman Shyne: Give her that number before she leaves.

Mr. Johnson: She has it. She already has it. Another thing we have four community meetings throughout the year. We bring in all the community and let them hear about what's going on at the plant, and we also hear about what's going on in the community as well. So we (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: Have you been contacting her group?

Mr. Johnson: She has been at those meetings.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, well good.

Mr. Johnson: So we do have a dialogue. Basically what I think today, she was just reaching out to see where is the local officials at these meetings. Because we do have these meetings, they have their meetings, and we have the meetings impacting the community. So there's two things that we are doing. Basically is 1) We're having the meetings with the community, and we also have established a hotline. Being that we follow under Homeland Security as well, we work with Sandy Davis and his group, and you do have the, if there is a need for evacuations, we do have that already planned out. We work with the Shreveport Fire Department. We send a few of the firemen out to do industrial firefighter training so when they do come into the plant, they won't be surprised by what they actually see. We also this year have developed a (inaudible) called Calumet Emergency Response Team, which is (inaudible) our emergency response employees, basically going from about 25 employees on fire brigade to about 76 that's on the emergency response. They're all trained to the same level so far, some of them have even gotten some emergency medical training as well. So we've been hearing what the community is saying. We've been hearing what the government agencies are saying as well. We've been staffing up and we've been actually adding to what we need to be doing.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Chairman, I did invite Mr. Johnson down, and I did tell Ms. White what day we were having the City Council Meeting, because I knew Mr. Johnson would want to be involved in this and he could speak for the plant. The Mayor cannot, and I cannot. So that's why Mr. Johnson is down. Now Mr. Johnson, please and

I know you've been doing it, but please make an extra effort to stay in contact with Ms. White and her group hear? And Mr. Mayor, you and I will make a special effort to make sure that we attend the meetings and of course the Chairman will be there.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Are there any awards, or recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman as usual, anyone who takes time from what I know are busy schedules to come and attend these proceedings either in person or by U-Stream, or COMCAST Channel 6, we consider them to be our special guests. We're always delighted when Bishop Brandon graces the Chambers with his presence, and we certainly appreciate him. Haven't had a chance to be able to meet the young man from the Navy earlier to be able to specifically recognize him as well, but certainly always honored to have a member of the military here, and I notice we have former Council Member from District C, gentleman from 1982 to 1990, the Council directly preceding the one that I was honored to be elected to, Tom Arceneaux is here. Continues to serve the city as an outstanding business leader and is very active and continues to advance and address issues within the Highland neighborhood as I recently observed is very new to Twitter. Good to have him here as well Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Wait a minute, you tell me he's on Twitter?

Mayor Glover: Yes sir.

Councilman Shyne: I thought that was kinda for younger people, maybe under 45.

Mayor Glover: I think he's even on Facebook Mr. Shyne. He's been on Facebook.

Councilman Shyne: You gotta be kidding me.

Mayor Glover: He's a prolific Facebooker.

Councilman Shyne: I thought that was for people under 45.

Mayor Glover: Well now I guess, what is it that former governor says, you're as young as the social media you feel?

Councilman Shyne: Well I guess you're right.

Mayor Glover: As a matter of fact, he may have tweeted since we've been here Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Tom, I didn't know that.

Councilman Everson: I wanted to just quickly recognize real quickly Dara Sanders who is joining us today for the first time in the Council Meeting. She is the young woman who was hired as the Master Planning Implementation Coordinator. Dara, did you want to come introduce yourself?

Councilman Shyne: Is that the young woman or the young lady?

Councilman Everson: Young lady.

Councilman Shyne: Okay. She's so ladylike.

Ms. Dara Sanders: (208 Midland Drive) Well I'm happy to be back in Louisiana, and I'm looking forward to starting right into all things Master Plan related, and meeting with you individually and as a group. And please don't hesitate to call me with any questions, that you'd like to discuss with me.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Any questions from Council Members? Well welcome aboard. And as I said, I met you and we'll talk again in 90 days. I want to make sure you're still here.

Councilman Shyne: Just make sure you make the Council Meetings, so if something comes up, we can pop right at you.

Ms. Sanders: Yes sir.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Communications from the Mayor, City Business?

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Mayor Glover: We have none at this time Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I would like to bring up at this time Mr. Mayor. I received a communication, I believe I shared it with the CAO and the City Attorney as well as Councilman Webb who chairs our Haynesville Shale Committee and Councilman Oliver Jenkins who is on that committee a communication from the Expo Operating Company, and what I want to do is just notify everyone I'm putting it in the hands of

the Haynesville Shale Committee. Hopefully a meeting will take place between that committee and the Administration, and maybe some representatives of Expo Operating. They are wanting us to make some decisions concerning some royalty issues. And I think there may be a quicker way to get to all the details. And then maybe come back to the full council and we can probably discuss it, and I'll make sure copies of that communication goes out to all the other Council Members also. I wanted to be sure that the Committee members had that so that they can begin to look into that situation.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Are you the Chair of that committee?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes, Ron is.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind indulging me for one quick moment. Obviously we'll do this before we wrap up today, but want to take an opportunity to wish all of those who in attendance and everyone who is watching by whatever means a Happy Valentine's Day. Today is the 14th of February, so to all of those out there, Happy Valentine's Day. And also I - - -

Councilman Shyne: And I got my chocolates from you.

Mayor Glover: Oh, okay. Sugar free. Want to also take this opportunity Mr. Chairman to wish you an early and Happy Birthday. We know that that blessed event will take place on this coming Sunday, this body won't convene again before then, so Happy Birthday to you. I understand (inaudible).

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes. Celebrating once again, my 29th birthday. Thank you Mr. Mayor, I appreciate that, and I appreciate you reminding us that this is Valentine's Day. We're going to need to move this agenda along. My sweetie is waiting on me. And everybody else Sweetie is waiting on them.

Ms. Johnson: Mine too.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And we do not intend to be here until 9:00 tonight.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just in the spirit of Birthday wishes, last week was Sammy Mears' birthday, and I thought we should recognize him as a mainstay of this Council Meeting that he had a birthday last week and wish him a Happy Birthday from the Council.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You know, if there's no exception to it, why don't we let Sammy come up. He generally comes and address us anyway, and I know he has to leave before it gets a little too late. You want to - - -

Mr. Sammy Mears: (1901 Centenary Blvd) Birthdays do come once a year, and then you wait 365 days for the next one, except when it's leap year, 366. And they sneak up on you when you least expect them. Unfortunately mine did, and I could have said go away, don't come, it don't have any ears, it wouldn't listen. So it came anyway and I went to visit with Monty Walford for a little while and visit with him and Sheree and got to have a good day. And I went to the Highland Center and we had a good lunch there. And moved on to do other things, and I got taken out to the Strawn's Café up on Youree Drive with a few people and then I was over at the (inaudible) Fellowship they had a surprise party for me in my honor, and I was very happy about that. And then Thursday (inaudible) teaches (inaudible) at the Huntington School of Music at Centenary College. Bob (inaudible) left something on my answering machine before I got home, so I called to see if I got any messages, and the machine said you have one new message, Thursday at 1:00 p.m. And sure enough I found out it was (inaudible). He sang to me good. I just had nightmares. And of course to make my birthday complete, I didn't get to talk to Jim Kramer even before Valentine's Day but asked about a stock. That made my birthday special, so I got to talk to him. He said, Sammy in Louisiana. Sammy. I said, Boo Yah Jim. Boo Yah Sammy. And I said, did you know you're the greatest? And he said thank you. And I said you are. He said, really? And I said really, and then he goes (does a dance) and I saw it on the re-play. I said what's your take on McDonalds, and he answered the question, so that made my birthday when those two animated bulls come upGrrrrr! And as I said before, I know nothing about those stocks, but I do like talking to Jim Kramer, and thank you for your time.

Council Members all together: Happy Birthday Sammy.

Councilman Everson: And I would like to just say real quickly, thank everyone for or apologize for not being able to be at the last Council Meeting as it was the birthday of my first son. He joined us three weeks ago today, or yesterday I should say. Appreciate that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Congratulations Councilman Everson. I want to talk to you in 90 days also.

Councilman Everson: It's good so far, and I'm keeping my fingers crossed.

Reports

Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: Does any member have any questions or concerns relative to Property Standards? Okay.

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No.114 of 2009*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: I believe Mr. Sibley finally got our Revenue Collection Report, hopefully all of our members received that.

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I believe Ms. Scott as usual got out our Surety Bond Forfeiture Report by electronic means. I hope all members received that one. And I believe I even saw an EMS Report in there. So we certainly appreciate receiving that information also.

EMS Transports Report (*Res 239 of 2010*)

Public Hearing: None

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Thompson, is there any legislation to be added?

Councilman Shyne: We do and Mr. Chairman, excuse me and Mr. Thompson, excuse me. Mr. Chairman, we do. There is a piece of legislation that is pertaining to a gentleman that I know that you know, Mr. Mayor you know this gentleman, Mr. Walter Herman Martin, who lived in this area. Believe it or not Mr. Martin was 99 years old. Michael, he was a principal in the Bossier Parish School System for many, many years. Probably Mr. Mayor older than - - - he was probably principal longer than you are old. Walter and of course Mr. Thompson will, if we get it on the agenda, Walter served the city, what was it MPC?

Mr. Thompson: Yes.

Councilman Shyne: He served, of course we don't even look at this anymore, but Walter was one of the first Blacks who served on the MPC, I want to say Dale, probably what 25-30 years ago. So he passed away and Mike I thought this would be a

nice way to honor a good gentleman from - - - Ron, from Bossier Parish. Yeah, cause Ron is from - - - I keep on thinking Ron was born and raised in Shreveport, but Ron I'm sorry. I forgot. I know some of your school mates who went to high school with you.

Councilman Webb: They say good things about me too right?

Councilman Shyne: They did.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, so you need a motion to add?

Councilman Shyne: No, I think you have to ask - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Oh, okay.

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 18 of 2012**: Recognizing and paying tribute to the life and legacy of Mr. Walter Herman Martin and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Is there any person present that has any objections to this item being added to today's agenda?

Councilman Shyne: If not Mr. Chairman, I would make the motion.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Second.

Councilman Shyne: To add.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think the City Attorney told me I have to ask this other part too. Is there any person present that supports this item being added to the agenda.

Councilman Shyne: Well I do Mr. Chairman.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright sir.

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to add Resolution No. 18 of 2012 to the agenda.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Chairman, I would hope that we could add this where we could vote on this one-reader and we could vote on this today.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I believe we can.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Chairman, at this particular point, in honor of Mr. Walter Martin, he served so many years, in a voluntary position, I would like to ask the Clerk to read the resolution please.

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION 18 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND PAY TRIBUTE TO THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF MR. WALTER HERMAN MARTIN AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

BY: COUNCILMAN JOE SHYNE

WHEREAS, Walter Herman Martin, a life long resident of Shreveport/Bossier, died on February 10, 2012, at 99 years of age; and

WHEREAS, Walter Martin earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Vocational Agriculture from Southern University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, and a Masters degree in Education Administration from the University of Nebraska in Lincoln, Nebraska; and

WHEREAS, Walter Martin had an illustrious forty-year career as an educator and school administrator in Bossier Parish until his retirement in 1979; and

WHEREAS, Walter Martin was a member of the Louisiana Retired Teachers Association, and served twice as President of the Retired Teachers Association's 11th District, which encompasses Bossier, Bienville, Caddo, Claiborne and Webster parishes; and

WHEREAS, Walter Martin served on the Metropolitan Planning Commission of Shreveport and Caddo Parish, from January 1983 until February 1989, and as President of the Commission during his last year of service; and

WHEREAS, Walter Martin was an active member, deacon and former Chairman of the Deacon Board at Antioch Baptist Church of Shreveport.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Shreveport City Council pauses to recognize and pay tribute to the life and legacy of Walter Herman Martin, for his many

accomplishments, and for making Shreveport, Northwest Louisiana, and this Nation a better place to live.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall be executed in duplicate originals and one original shall be presented to the Walter Martin family, and an original shall be filed in perpetuity in the Office of the Clerk of Council for the City of Shreveport.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, at this point, I'd like to make a motion that we would accept this and pass this resolution in honor of Mr. Walter Herman Martin.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Second.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

Mr. Tom Arceneaux: (400 Texas St) Mr. Chairman, Mr. Mayor and members of the Council, Mr. Shyne, you can be on Twitter if you're over 45. I'm here today as the Zoning and Property Standards Chairman of Highland Restoration Association, HRA is opposed to the application, BAC-107-11 which is on appeal. The Zoning Board of Appeals denied their request for special exception use to add packaged liquor to this location by a 6-1 vote. At the two hearings that we attended it appears that on both occasions, Cpl Collins expressed his viewpoint that the site is simply too small to add any additional uses to it. It's the site at Stoner and Market Street. It's a very, very small footprint and as a result of that any additional traffic running through there is going to create a traffic backup on Stoner. It just is not a site that is suitable for a drive through window period. But certainly this Council should not make matters worse by adding uses to it. It's also compatible with the neighborhood. There are a lot of substance abuse, Halfway houses within easy walking distance to this location, but the principle concern that we have is the traffic pattern and additional uses which they hope will add additional traffic, we don't believe it handles the traffic it has now. So we would appreciate your vote to affirm the ZBA and therefore to deny the variance that they've requested. I'll be glad to answer any questions.

Councilman Shyne: Tom, that was real short. You usually speak longer than that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: He's married.

Mr. Gary Foster: (309 Prentice Pl, Bossier City) Today we're here to ask the panel to consider our application to add packaged liquor to our location. We have consulted with the engineering firm.

Councilman Webb: Where is the site?

Mr. Foster: At the corner of Stoner and Centenary Blvd. Where Centenary becomes Market.

Councilman Webb: Where Fat Boy's at?

Councilman Everson: Correct. It used to be (inaudible) store.

Mr. Foster: Engineers did do a study. He monitored the amount of cars that came in during 4:00 and 11:00 p.m. It was approximately twelve cars per hour and it took a minute and a half to wait on each car. So that put us holding nine cars per hour, holding 14 very easily. We never did get backed up with more than four cars. So with his expert opinion, that's why we're here today to just ask the panel to consider it. We have had a few, three violations in the past for underage sales, and the measurements we took, we brought in a credit card reader, scan their drivers license, and it shows their age. And we have two of those in our facility. And I showed Jeff the equipment that we have. And this is about it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Any questions from any Council Members?

Councilman Webb: Yes. You said you were cited for underage drinking?

Mr. Foster: It was a sale to a minor. Not (inaudible) myself, three previous employees and as soon as they done it, we terminated them on the spot.

Councilman Webb: You went before the - - - was it MPC or ZBA, which one? It was denied 6-1?

Mr. Foster: Yes sir.

Councilman Webb: What was their explanation for denying?

Mr. Foster: Their explanation was the lot couldn't hold the traffic. We couldn't get more than five cars. That's why we consulted with the engineering firm to come out and do a drawing how we could better manage the traffic. And I just wanted to let the court know about the minor violations. I mean that didn't even really come up, but I was just telling them that it has happened.

Councilman Everson: And I think what you just saw there was - - - you know part of the ZBA's concerns and their concerns are shared by the police department and some of the others, is that the traffic backs up at this location and adding uses to the location is already, it's a sharp turn to go into this and then get into the driveway lane. And so what you just saw was an engineer's different version that they're suggesting a different way that that could be done, and that was not introduced to the ZBA was it? You didn't have that drawing.

Mr. Foster: No sir. Cause they're suggesting that the traffic come in from Market, come around to the drive-thru and once they get their item, they come back around the building and exit out on Stoner. The ZBA suggested that they exit in from Stoner and then exit out on Market. If that was the case, you could only actually fit four cars. But the way the engineer designed it, you could do probably 9 or 10. (Inaudible) we never did get 9 or 10 cars on the (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: You ever thought about remanding it back to the ZBA for a second look with the - - -?

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think they had a hearing, is there a hearing on this today?

Councilman Everson: Yeah.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We're going to hear it on later on today?

Councilman Everson: Yeah. It's on our agenda rather.

Councilman S. Jenkins: It's on our agenda for us to make a decision about the appeal?

Councilman Everson: Yeah.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Would it be appropriate for us to maybe make a decision on it at that time, or did you all - - - if you want to take it up today.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I think it's in Jeff's district.

Councilman Everson: Yeah, no I think we should wait for the appropriate time on the agenda to address it.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright sir, we have your comments. And you may want to stick around because we're going to bring this back up later on in the meeting. This is just Public Comments. You may have a chance for some more questions may come your way, so you may want to stick around. Okay? Alright sir.

Mr. Jim Lindsey: (740 Altar Ave) I'm here to address the bond issue about the road repairs. My understanding is that the bond issue was voted on we approved it last year, and now I hear that you want to do a study on the roads (inaudible) outside funds. My statement is we already have in house people that can do this, we don't need to spend money on out sourcing it, we need to go ahead and take (inaudible) on the bond issue. I wouldn't think that you'd ask for a bond issue and not only have something in game plan to do to the streets. And I think that if you waste more time we're going to lose our money. We need to put our money in action, move forward, start working on our streets and use the utilities and the people that we have now to start moving forward and to put in play a program to keep up our streets with the money that we got coming in.

Mr. Randy Johnson: (4069 Brookbriar Dr) I'm here to - - - let me inform you all, I'm the president of Cherokee Highland Park Neighborhood Association, and we've been in action for 17 years. And we've done a lot of good and worked hand in hand with the city government and parish government. But dealing with the streets, I want to talk about the streets. Now, we have a street, an intersection in particular at Mayfair and Crestmoor. Had repairs done to that street, they botched it up. You know we have a lot of sinkholes over there close to that Red River, and you remember about 15 years ago, we had Thomas and N. Hearne, we had to redo it several times before they got it right. Now, at Crestmoor and Mayfair, they did the same thing. Before the cement dried, the street started sinking back down and the sidewalk sank down and I even got - - - I've been harping on this for quite some time, begging, pleading, please somebody come out. So finally we got a new Director, Stan Harris. Mr. Harris contacted me, and he came out there and we rode over there and we took a look at it and his comment was yeah, it's messed up, they didn't do this right. We need to get this fixed. So, we're asking at that point to have the city to do whatever is necessary, go back and get the old contractor who botched it up or whoever we paid to do it, come back and fix that intersection. Because see that intersection right there, kids are dropped off by the school bus. That's where they pick up, drop up, parents pick up and drop off. We have a constant influx of traffic coming down. That's the main thoroughfare for our two communities, Cherokee and Highland Park. Now, I'm looking at the fact that we can put a pool of money, divide it up like a pie, give each district - - - what \$12-13,000,000 a piece and say go ahead and do what you got to do with your streets. Fix your streets, do what you can do with this money. But now what happens to the rest of us who get one street fixed, you take most of the money to get one street fixed, and throw crumbs out at the rest of us. Because I talked with our representative and she tells me that we only have about 12 panels left to fix the entire (inaudible). Am I correct?

Councilwoman McCulloch: I don't recall.

Mr. Johnson: How much did we have left over when we get through with Hersey D. Wilson Drive, if it pass? See that's the fact. See, we're getting - - -

Councilwoman McCulloch: I don't recall you talking to me.

Mr. Johnson: We're getting crumbs, we're receiving crumbs. See in other words, I'm going to make this a long story short. I think that we should - - - the City of Shreveport, the Council should get together, put this money back in this big pie, in this pot, not divide it up and let each individual what decide what they're going to do without really consulting with their constituents. Put it back in there and let the revenue (inaudible) use what we got form the bond and do a city-wide (inaudible) of street repairs. You know there are streets in the neighborhood that need to be fixed. That have priorities, just like N. Hearne. How many of you familiar with Community Health Center. Over there coming into our community, you had to stop. I tore up two tires coming in that area, it's so bad. And it was streets at that intersection that I speak about Mayfair and Crestmoor, it's after we started talking about it, the city came in there and threw a couple of scoops of asphalt, didn't even pack it down. You know I think that shows disrespect to our community. We should rethink how we are prioritizing these streets. You know Hersey Wilson, he taught us in school, and whether (inaudible) the thing is I'm here now, I want to use my street. And see the thing is prioritizing, that's what we need to do. Let's not just get into this let's pay tribute, we're supposed to pay tribute, but lets not just get into paying tribute and forget about people that are living. This is what I'm talking about. We can do all the paying tribute, but we have to take care of the people that are living. We need to prioritize, put it back into - - -you know in the previous administration, I'm not trying to take anything from you but in the previous administration, we had Keith Hightower, that's what I'm talking about. Now I remember we had the last bond issue prior to this one. I got with Calvin Lister, gave him a list of all streets and sidewalks. Almost all of them got fixed. We communicated that. They prioritized the streets and the sidewalk repairs. They didn't just pick something out, they actually took a look at it. Do a physical search and research and look at what needs to be done. See if we don't have enough money to do it all, do what's prioritized. Prioritize this thing. Do what needs to be done. If we don't have enough, go get some more. (Inaudible) it out. Whatever we have now, we need to deal with it on a prioritized basis. Because we can't just jump up and just do something. We need to prioritize. Because I tell you, has anybody - - - I need somebody to come out to Mayfair and Crestmoor. (Inaudible) good to go, but yeah somebody come out and survey our situation. We need our City Council person to come out and survey that. See how much traffic is coming down through Crestmoor and Mayfair. See how many kids are picked up and dropped off, and the parents and the buses and the trucks and the cars. You know that's a busy and it's getting terrible. You see once that area goes down, instead of deteriorating to a (inaudible) you're going to have all these little thugs

hanging out. You can look at an old abandoned house. If that's why the city would board them up. If you don't board that house up, then you're going to have all kinds of elements keep going in and out, and soon we're going to have a fire and burn it down and call it's going to cause a hazard. It's going to be a safety issue. Same thing with that street at that intersection. The lower that thing deteriorates - - - it's the mouth of our city. That's the nucleus of our community. That area start to go down, people are going to be attracted to filth. So I'm saying you all need to sit down and think about this before y'all pass anything. You need to sit down and think about this because there are 800 houses over there in Cherokee Highland Park, and I'm constantly getting phone calls, I'm constantly talking to - - - I've been over there for 20 years. I'm constantly talking to people about this issue, this particular issue. These people are not pleased at all. They're not pleased in my area. I'm from the Cooper Road, MLK. And I talk to people constantly in that area and they're not pleased. So for you all to sit and say well that's okay, lets go ahead and approve this. I can end this right now, and if you have any questions for me, I can end it right now. But this is basically what I would - - - just take another look at how you spending the money, how you're prioritizing. That's the real deal there. Because you know you talk about your constituents, see your constituents are the people that you need to talk to. And just like you come in there and put your signs up everywhere and you don't come back to the community. You got a thousand people, you need to at least talk to 10 people in the run of a month. What's wrong with mine? What about this? Not just me alone. And you say "I'm the representative". You know. Go and talk to the people. You need to talk to people. Because if you talk to people as I do on an regular basis, you'll find those people are angry about the dis-concern it seems of our city government. With that I close.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, before you move on, obviously Mr. Johnson said some things that as the administration, we feel we need to respond to, but I'll take your direction in terms of whether or not you would want to do that now, or you would want to do it at the time that the matter he spoke on comes up for a vote.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Let him do it now.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, go ahead Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Okay Mr. Johnson, you mentioned that each of the seven council district have \$12 or 13,000,000.

Mr. Johnson: I don't know exactly, I'm just - - -

Mayor Glover: I'm asking what did you say?

Mr. Johnson: I'm saying that it's \$12,000,000 or it's still that piece of pie. In other words my point was it's a pie that's split up and each one had a certain amount of money that they can spend on streets.

Mayor Glover: How much did you say?

Councilwoman McCulloch: He said \$13,000,000.

Mr. Johnson: I don't know. What is it?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Johnson, I'm asking you what you stated to the Council, that's all I'm trying to understand so that I can be clear with what you related.

Mr. Johnson: My concern is that we have a pie that's split up into sections that each City Council person gets a part of that pie and spends it and make a decision, bring it before the council and make a decision to spend it on whatever street or whatever sidewalk they want to spend it on without really getting with the constituent.

Mayor Glover: I'm just trying to be clear. I heard you say \$12 or 13,000,000.

Mr. Johnson: Okay, go ahead.

Mayor Glover: Is that an accurate - - -

Mr. Johnson: Yes.

Mayor Glover: Alright. Secondly, I heard you say we need to prioritize. Would that be a correct statement?

Mr. Johnson: Yes.

Mayor Glover: And by prioritizing.

Mr. Johnson: Take an approach to prioritizing.

Mayor Glover: Okay. A different approach.

Mr. Johnson: Yes a different approach.

Mayor Glover: And that approach would be?

Mr. Johnson: That would be a broad range approach, not just singling those sections out. Do it city-wide. In other words, don't just - - - it's kinda like we're being placed into little sections or little countries. You know we're all in the city. And I think that if you put all this money in one pot, and prioritize the entire city, that needs repairs, street repairs, sidewalk or whatever, then I'm thinking we'll come out better, I think we will better serve your constituents. That's my point. That's the way I feel about that.

Mayor Glover: And do you have any criteria by which you would seek to prioritize?

Mr. Johnson: Criteria?

Mayor Glover: Yes sir.

Mr. Johnson: Yes. By the traffic, the severity of the damage, the health hazards, the safety hazards. I think those are in the top ranks of prioritizing the streets, all over the entire city.

Mayor Glover: And the last thing I wrote down was that people are angry.

Mr. Johnson: Oh yes, they're angry.

Mayor Glover: And I guess that would be the one point Mr. Johnson that you and I would agree on. That would wrap up my questions. But Mr. Chairman and members of the council, I guess I would offer, that unfortunately this a part of the overall problem that we have, is that there is a perception on the part of our citizens that there's a pool of dollars available to address the issues and challenges that face our city right now with regard to our street infrastructure, that doesn't line up with reality. Mr. Johnson just related \$12-13,000,000 per district. When at this particular point and time, based on the bond issue, that number of unencumbered dollars would be \$21,000,000, which divided by 3 comes out to about \$3,000,000 and when you add to that I guess the \$6,000,000 that's been potentially allocated for street maintenance and what have you for this year, that's another six (million), add to that you have a \$3,000,000 that was added from the reserve, so essentially, you're talking \$30,000,000 or so that would be available city-wide, and you've got some future allocations of those dollars that would become available. But what I'm here to day Mr. Johnson to ask you, what was the basis of your understanding, if you had any of where that \$12 or 13,000,000 per district figure may have come from?

Mr. Johnson: Okay, so you want to discuss this.

Mayor Glover: No, what I'm trying to understand is that from the perspective of the administration is, is whatever source of information that are conforming and driving the opinion that you've offered. If there is some way in which you could help give me some

- - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Hold it just a second Mr. Johnson. No, no, no. Here's the thing. You've given your comments and you have a right to give your comments and we do understand what they are, but if the information about funds available with the streets been out there a pretty good while. So different people kinda got different views on what that is. And I mean it depends on who you talk to, so different people probably got different views of what that is. I mean it depends on who you talk to, they're going to say what's available and what's not. I do believe that we have been appropriate in giving you at least three different extensions, including the one that you gave prior to that. This matter will be on the agenda for further discussion, and those of us up here concerned can weigh in, can do it at that time. But we appreciate your comments and we do understand your concerns. You're always welcome to come down and share with us what those concerns may be. But I do want to move the agenda forward. I believe - -

-

Mr. Johnson: I understand that, that's why I walked - - - that's why I left, but Mr. Mayor had a question for me, and that's why I came back, and he was in the middle of that question and you came in, but you were asking me about - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Johnson, this is coming from the Chair right now. Enough time has been spent. Your comments, I assure you sir, your comments have been given consideration. We understand what you're saying, and you're always welcome to come back. You always present yourself well at these meetings, and not only your concerns, but you present the concerns of the community that you represent. I am simply saying in all fairness, we're going to have to move the agenda along. At least three or four extensions given, to allow you to make your expressions. I know the Mayor has some concerns and it will be something that we will be discussing a later on, those of us up here can certainly weigh in on those comments and use it to whatever benefit we may think or whatever argument we want to make for or against the resolution that's going to be coming forward.

Mr. Johnson: That's fine with me.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you Mr. Johnson.

Mr. Johnson: And I hope y'all do consider the comments.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yes sir, they will be considered. At this point, we move on to Confirmations and Appointments, and I understand that we do have one appointment for confirmation today?.

Confirmations and appointments:

Mr. Thompson: That's correct.

Police and Fire Civil Service Board Mr. William T. "Todd" Olague

Motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to confirm the appointment of Mr. Olague to the Police and Fire Civil Service Board. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, the record will reflect that that's to the Police and Fire Civil Service Board.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Thank you sir. Mr. Oliver Jenkins?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yes I'd like to make a motion to suspend the rules and move us into the Committee of the whole to allow Dr. Joiner to give us his presentation.

Councilman Shyne: I'll second that Mr. Chairman.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to suspend the rules to hear a presentation from Mr. Gary Joiner. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Dr. Gary Joiner: (Precision Cartographics) I was asked to come give an update on the districting and some matters pertinent to that and so I'm going to be as brief as I can. I've got somebody waiting on me too.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Everybody's got their sweetie waiting on 'em.

Dr. Joiner: Council Members have seen Plans 1, 5, 6, and I sent 7 to Mr. Thompson. If you haven't gotten it, I've got paper copies for you.

Councilman Shyne: Dr. Joiner, do you have it with you?

Dr. Joiner: I have 7 with me.

Councilman Shyne: Before you go any further I would appreciate it if you could give me mine. I'm a visual person and we have some geniuses up here who are not as visual as I am.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Shyne's email has been down for some time now.

Councilman Shyne: Oliver, you're too smart.

Dr. Joiner: Plan 7 is a plan that has Plan 6 as a base. Y'all have seen Plan 6. If not, I can make you copies. We have 'em on PDF, and if I can help Mr. Shyne with his email, I'll be happy to do that. Plans 2, 3, and 4 are (inaudible) plans. They try to deal with Council concerns and that type of thing, and they're really 'what ifs', they're not intended to be whole plans. 5, 6 and 7 all fit within the parameters that the Department of Justice looks at. They all do very specific things. They move precincts around, and I'll spend time with you one on one again, to discuss the nuances of these plans. During, and I want to get away from the plans for just a second, actually probably more than a second, during the creation of plans and analysis, it was discovered there were several discrepancies in the census data. And I was asked to do a preliminary analysis, which I did, and it's only preliminary. What are these changes? The City of Shreveport is primarily Caddo Parish of course, but parts of it are in Bossier. The data the census sends down is cut up by parish. That's the typical way to get it. So, I ordered from the provider, the official providers to the Census, a combined set of data showing all of Shreveport. And when it came in, it was from the analysis of the boundary annexation surveys and it's census blocks, preparing for the Census of 2010, the geography probably dates to the late 2008. Then the census comes out in 2010 for a lot of annexation ordinances that have been added on particularly (inaudible) in areas in the south that are parallel to I-49. But not all. The two versions they used, they've used the same population data of both considered to be the same (inaudible) even though they're not the right size. The census reported that Shreveport has 199,311 people. This is an undercount, and we all realize it's an undercount. But the question is how is it an undercount? So, in using both of these sets of data and I do for different things, I compared the (inaudible) that are in the most complete Caddo only to the combined Caddo-Bossier. And in both cases, so far, just initially, I found nine ordinances of annexation that were not included. Meaning that potentially that these folks have been brought into the City of Shreveport, they've been approved by the Department of Justice, they're on the voter rolls, which I got the information from Mr. Roberson today, and yes they do vote. But they're not included in the boundary annexation surveys, which means that the total count of the City of Shreveport is undercounted. That's the bottom line. There are probable letters, but to get ready for this meeting, I had to do a cutoff, and that's what I've worked on. In the meantime, I've talked to Ms. Scott, I've talked to Ms. Zumbado-Hannibal, I've talked to Mr. Roberson, and I think we've got a

very good shot at fixing how the problem began. Because there's a lot of fingers in the pie, there's a lot of processes that have to go on before an annexation is every done. Census sends out every year a thing called a boundary annexation survey. And most municipalities, look at it with their code pencils, and now you can do it digitally, or if it's color pencils, we add on things and then census plays with it. And when I say play with it, I really mean that. It goes to Washington, it goes back to St. Louis, if somebody on their end drops the ball, you got a problem. There have been municipalities in both Caddo and Bossier Parishes, that I personally changed the (inaudible) maps five times before they got 'em. Before they got 'em right. When they play with 'em, their geography doesn't look like our geography. It's weird because it's the way they do census blocks. It's just there whole internal system. So, nine ordinances, eight of which have buildings, so far 221 houses. As of just by a rough count from what I saw from Mr. Roberson this afternoon, 505 registered voters. Nobody counts kids. We're 700 people shy of 200,000. That's close, that's without any other information. The oldest of these ordinances that was not included was from 1987. Most of them were from 2005 to 2008. I gave Mr. Thompson the list, and I've got maps of them I'll leave them with you, so that you can see what we need to do. It's something that we need to do as a city, it is something that we need to do to make sure that our processes and how we do things work. We can cut out a lot of future angst by doing this. Now, because we know these voters are in the city, we have to use the census geography that comes down from Census. We will also include the geography that shows these ordinances, and (inaudible) yes your seven districts are based on 199,311 people divided by 7 which is 28,000. But we will also include those. I also want to so I don't muddy the water with them, I want to add a letter when we pick the final plan, that says we're coming back and we're working with Census, and we want you to know that these votes are in the City of Shreveport, that they do receive city services, that they are an integral part of Shreveport and we want 'em in. And we want Census to fix it, in a sense of speaking. They're not bad folks, they're just overworked and undermanned like everybody else. But this is the first step. I recommend that we do a thorough examination. I also found seven discrepancies, which are purely the way the census blocks are creating but one of 'em dates back, and this is on the (inaudible) layer within the city GIS that handles annexation, and annexation ordinances somewhat, and they go back to the beginning from the 1830s. Back in the 1980s, the Council made sure that Cross Lake was in, so that the 172, I think, could contour was codified. It makes sure that we know that Cross Lake is part of the city, that the water source was city secure. That's not listed on that layer. There was also a pair of discrepancies that occur in the early 1990s when the gaming boats came, and I did a lot of that analysis. And Bossier City thought that at that time, where Isle of Capri was, was in Bossier City. And it was not. It was in the City of Shreveport, and had been since 1871. At the same time was a chunk of Bossier Parish, that's in downtown Shreveport, very close to the Texas Street bridge. And in the

boundary (inaudible) we had to look at it. There's several issues up and down Clyde Fant. I have not looked at those to see if it's an additional part of this problem, but census on the Bossier side still points to the City of Shreveport owns that chunk where the casino is and we do not. We gave it up. We exchanged property and Bossier City then brought it in. That's just something we've got to fix.

Councilman Shyne: You mean the casino downtown?

Dr. Joiner: On the Bossier side. Yeah, because it was part of the (inaudible) Lake. Where the train depot, not the depot, but where the (inaudible) is on Clyde Fant, where the river now is, is not where the river was in 1839 or '40 and not in 1864, and not in 1871. The old maps confirm all that. That's just part of it. We need to clean it up. We need to know that we're right, and we can prove that we're right, and we can't be named in potential suits. But I think that we should do redistricting, and this cleanup co-terminally, but I think that we can't lose fact that we need to get a plan that we can prepare the plan, and then if we just send a letter with them and say, hey we're sending something else. And then do the work later, that's okay. There's nothing wrong with that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: But in this proposal that lets say it's 500 voters and 800 people, I guess I mean I don't know what way you're supposed to use it. What?

Dr. Joiner: I think it's more.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Probably more than that. Okay lets say - - -

Dr. Joiner: I can't count kids. I can count voters.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Right. Lets say it's 1,000 people. If we do it, submit our proposal for redistricting, without incorporating those 1,000 in our numbers, are we not really doing the best job we possibly can in terms of establishing our boundary line?

Dr. Joiner: Good question. I'm going to answer like any good professor in an argument would make. Yes and no. We have to use the geography that census says. That's just the way it is. If Justice has any questions, they're going to ask us, they need to be able to look at data that they have on their side that matches what we send. And I use the same software and the same data sets that the Department of Justice uses. Exactly. So, if they ask me a question, I can respond to that. If we include the ordinances and they split census blocks, we're going to get down to having to conduct a census and know what part of those blocks are split up. In fact, we're going to do that anyway. But do we want to muddy those waters? The other part of your question is - - - the harder part of your

question is will it affect any given district? The districts that these are in are primarily in "D" and in "G", and one in "E". There's one anywhere from midlevel on up. Once you put the - - -

Councilman Shyne: Question. In those districts that you just named, it does not seem like to me that it would impact the outcome of the districts that much. Is that - - -?

Dr. Joiner: It will not racially, it will not ethnically. It will to a certain degree on total population. And I will be vigilant at seeing what these differences are. And Justice will have a thorough accounting of where those are. That way Mr. Jenkins, I think we'll answer that question. What I am concerned about is that if we need to adjust these nine, and maybe that's all there is, is just nine. We need to check this thing out completely, top to bottom (inaudible). Lets see if they're affecting any other districts. I just - - - I don't believe from what I've seen that the racial makeup will change and it won't jeopardize that. It won't jeopardize the 18+ population voters of voting age now as it's called, voting age population, whether or not they're registered. Because it changes by the hour. I have a (inaudible) and maybe a full counting from Mr. Roberson as of this afternoon. I requested that his staff look at by house, these 221, and I've got the list. And they should not be made public because - - - well, they're private individuals, private names all that. But Justice will have 'em. Y'all be able to look at them, anybody that's concerned will (inaudible). But in some cases, whole subdivision unit filings and that's important. 35 houses at a time, 50 houses at a time, 25 houses at a time, and they (inaudible) my block branches. There are some block branches that extend beyond what the (inaudible) layer the city has. I'm not sure and I really have not asked Jennifer Zumbado-Hannibal yet, I haven't had a chance, because I've been in class, how current that building layer is. We'll do it, we'll handle it. I think it's going to make it better. I really do.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Any other questions from Council Members? Are you finished Councilman? Any more questions for Dr. Joiner at this time? Alright sir, appreciate you coming down and sharing the information you have at this stage. We look forward to our completed dialogue on this subject.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, let me ask just one question, and this might be to Mr. Thompson. What is the deadline? I mean when is the deadline that we have to decide on what plan that we will pass on in order to send this to the Justice Department? Because any plan that the Council decides on, we have to send this to the Justice Department, so we need to know what kind of timeframe that Mr. Chairman, we're looking at so we can have - - - last time Rose I was here, and we had a very heated discussion on reapportionment. So in order to be able to have enough room Dr. Joiner for us to - - - and I won't say make an argument, but I want to use the word debate,

because I think that's what they use on the university level. Intelligent people debate, other people might argue. I don't know whether I should say that or not, but we need to have the timeframe Mr. Chairman that we'll be able to debate this on because we're going to need I know at least a couple of council meetings. Although Dr. Joiner does an excellent job at drawing these plans up, so we kinda need to know what our parameters are and timeframe.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, Dr. Joiner is in a better position to tell us what the federal government will require.

Dr. Joiner: You have a cushion. I think it is best to impose an order upon yourself. As you say, Justice has to approve it. Lets say that you put a mandate of this year. Always back up 60 days for them to examine, states got to look at it. They shouldn't have any problems. But they could, they have in many cases, they've been giving some small towns fits, but we're not a small town. They like economies of scale. If you look at with a comfort level of two 60 day jumps, that would give us August. If we're sitting here mid February, if we could get something together say by May, or June that everybody is happy with, then we can set it up, we will be fine.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, why don't we start looking at that. Because the longer we put it off, May or June will be here before we know it, and who knows, we might have to have somebody who has to go off to what is it 'summer camp' or - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well you saying that to Councilman Oliver Jenkins?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I don't expect to be going to Iran any time soon, I'll likely be here.

Councilman Shyne: Oh, okay then.

Councilman S. Jenkins: But we're going to be as diligent as we can as we move forward, because you know at the end of the day we're going to have it as right as we can and hopefully satisfactorily as we can and I certainly want to make sure that you know our public get an opportunity to weigh in on this, because in some ways it affects a lot of communities, and where they are and different things like that. So I don't think that's an unreasonable period of time to be trying to work towards, but I know that we'll be looking at some more of this and like I say, No. 7 is somewhat new, and should be looked at a little bit more. I've been studying over the earlier ones, but I'm sure we are going to be as diligent as we can as we move on.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, I think there are two different issues here. One is the maps and I think that if the council wanted to it could come to some conclusion generally where the districts ought to be even though we have these discrepancies because when you look at the total count for the city, the total population for the city, it's not really that great. The second thing is we'll be talking to Dr. Joiner about whether or not resolutions or letters or ordinances or what's needed to send to Justice or the Census Bureau to try to fix the discrepancies that he is encountering. So we will be moving on two fronts. 1) Primarily the council will be looking at the districts and trying to agree on that, and we will be working with him. You'll be involved in this also, but that's something that staff could do to work with him to see what's needed to send the information to Justice to make sure that all of the geography and all of the population is included as much as we can get it.

Councilman Shyne: The other thing Mr. Chairman, and I know Dr. Joiner probably has this, we need to make sure that we get this information out to the community. And Mr. Chairman, cause if we don't, they're going to eat you alive. We need to start looking at Michael, how many community meetings we're going to have and that kind of stuff, because we can't do this without going out into the community and making sure that these people are aware of what's going on.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, other than that community meetings will be needed and Mr. Shyne will have his and others, we do want you to know that we are starting to, the administration with us to put this information on the city's web page, so that people who want to go on the web page and look at it, we need you to make sure that we have the statistics up there, I'm not sure that we do, but I'll be working with the GIS people this week to see if we can't get all of the statistics and all of the plans on the web page.

Dr. Joiner: Also in your meeting room, I have seven more (inaudible) sized maps with No. 7. So you each got one to take home and use in your meetings. And you can put 7 and 6 together if we need to do 5 and we can do one. Just let me know, and you'll have it.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, just to add to the record as well, the appeal process for the census starting in June of 2011, and once we were able to convince them that Mayor Wooley was in fact not in office, but for those who don't remember, they actually sent a letter to the City of Shreveport regarding the citizens and options for appeal to Mayor Wooley. At which point we responded that if you can't get the election right, then we probably pretty sure you didn't get the count right either. But we did inform them at that time that it was going to be our intentions to file an appeal. That process is one of those that is several years long. Obviously this is part of the type of information that we

would look to have as a part of that basis for appeal. And definitely look forward to detailing it out as we go forward..

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright. Now what we're going to do, I think we got our electronic information back up there, so as we go through this agenda now, on comments and things of that nature, I'd really appreciate it if you would put your name in the hopper, I hope it will help us to move things along. At this particular point, I think there are no items on Consent Agenda, so Mr. Thompson?

Councilman O. Jenkins: We need to make a motion to go back.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shyne to reconvene the regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Consent Agenda Legislation.

To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None.

Ordinances: None.

To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions:

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 13 of 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING NANCY R. YUNGWIRTH TYLER LOCATED AT 3420 HICKORY RIDGE ROAD TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Nancy R. Yungwirth Tyler has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said

property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Nancy R. Yungwirth Tyler be authorized to connect the structure, located at 3420 Hickory Ridge Road to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Let me ask Ron, I'm assuming they've got a water main.

Councilman Webb: They do, it's nice to know that someone else beside District D is wanting to tie onto the city water. They do. It runs down the street, I mean (inaudible).

Councilman Shyne: And Councilman Webb, I thought that maybe that was something the Chairman had changed when you just said 'Yes', I thought maybe he wanted us to start saying instead of 'So moved', we'd just say 'Yes'.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Ordinances: None.

Regular Agenda Legislation

Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 3 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH OIL & ALE HCB, LLC, AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport Police Department currently operates and maintains an Internal Affairs Unit located at 400 Travis Street, Suite 1412, Shreveport, Louisiana, which is owned by Oil & Ale HCB, LLC.; and

WHEREAS, the original lease between the parties became effective August 16, 2006 and expired on December 31, 2011; and

WHEREAS, Oil & Ale HCB, LLC has proposed a 'First Amendment and Supplement to Lease' which provides for continued use by the City of Shreveport Police Internal Affairs Unit as listed as Appendix A to this document; and

WHEREAS, this resolution would authorize the Mayor to execute an amendment to the lease agreement with Oil & Ale HCB, LLC, to permit the continued use of the space located at 400 Travis, Suite 1412, Shreveport, Louisiana by the City of Shreveport Police Internal Affairs Unit through December 31, 2016; and

WHEREAS, all other terms and conditions of the August 16, 2006 Lease Agreement as amended shall remain in full force and effect.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the Mayor be and is hereby authorized to execute the 'First Amendment and Supplement to Lease' as provided in Appendix "A" for use by the Shreveport Police Department and to execute an agreement between the City of Shreveport and Oil & Ale HCB, LLC, relative to same, the said agreement to be substantially in accordance with the draft hereof which was filed for public inspection with the original of this ordinance in the office of the Clerk of Council on January 24, 2012.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this Resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or application of this Resolution which can be given affect without the invalid provisions, items or application and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 4 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO SET POLICY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS BUDGETED TO RECONSTRUCT REPAIR AND MAINTAIN STREETS FOR 2012, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN SAM JENKINS

WHEREAS, the various budgets for street reconstruction, repairs and maintenance are as follows: \$21,000,000 from the G.O. Bond Issue; \$11,000,000 in the Streets Special Revenue fund, and \$1,583,714 from property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the Administration has proposed the acquisition of a Street/Pavement Management Program, which will not produce useful information before late summer or early fall, after which consultants must be selected and projects designed before the projects can be bid for construction; and

WHEREAS, the Street/Pavement Management Program (the Program) information will not be purely objective: The information provided will be influenced by the assumptions and criteria used; and the Program will simply be a useful tool to help make decisions; and

WHEREAS, certain City Council Members voted for the G.O. Bond Issue based on their ability to use the street funds for identified needs, and that expectation was conveyed to some citizens; and

WHEREAS, members agree that decisions and timetables are needed concerning the expenditures of these funds.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the \$21,000,000 bond funds (about \$3,000,000 per district) will be used to reconstruct the street(s) in most need of repair in each district; and, the Member from each district will work with the Administration to identify the street(s).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Pavement Management System is a needed tool to help the City make better decisions concerning the management of city streets; and that major decision concerning the expenditure of Street Special Revenue Funds, and Street Overlay & Reconstruction Funds in Public Works' 2012 Budget should **be delayed until the streets have been analyzed using the Pavement Management System.**

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provision, items or application of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provision, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declare severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt.

Councilman Everson: Can I make a - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: Any discussion?

Councilman Everson: Yeah, I actually would like to see if we could - - - you know we do have a meeting of the Infrastructure Committee between now and the next Council Meeting, and while we did discuss some of these topics, we didn't have the actual proposal at the last meeting, so I would like to move to postpone until the next Council Meeting, just so that it can have a chance to go before the Infrastructure Committee.

Substitute motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Councilman S. Jenkins: You know I don't believe that I can support postponing action on this today. You know our citizens voted on this bond issue in April of 2011 and when they did so, they did so with the understanding that we'd move forward and hopefully go into these council districts and go out in the city and spend this money and repair our streets. We already have and we already know that there are any number of streets that can be repaired with the bond money. I just think we need to stick to what we said. Secondly, if you look at this particular resolution, I think it's been out there for a while, when we had the last Infrastructure meeting, we talked about basically two things. Using the bond money, and the second part of what we talked about was the

payment management system. The information that I got concerning payment management system is that we would be most of the year trying to just get that information in hand. And I just can't see waiting throughout 2012 getting no work done, waiting for the information to pay the management system. (Inaudible) come in, we are not totally certain if this year, we're going to be in agreement with what that plan may be. It may take a little bit more time to do it. So, I mean I don't see - - - I think postponing just to talk about it again at another meeting, does two things. 1) I think it takes the public out of the cycle. And I think we've heard from them very clearly that they want us to go ahead and try to get something done with the streets. April will be a year since they voted on this money, it will be a year. My part simply is we might as well go ahead and do what we said we were going to do, because the citizens entrusted us to spend bond money to go ahead and get some work done. The second part of it is, there will still be some significant money available once we have (inaudible) information on the payment management system that could be put to use. I mean if today's decision is not going to change any of that, postponing it to me is certainly not going to change any of that.

Councilman Everson: Well if I could reply to that. You know I actually agree with you in the sense that I think there is a way that we can address some of these near (inaudible) concerns. While we're learning about the payment management system, I think that sounds very sensible to me. But we're making decisions about how money is used to spend for the infrastructure, and I do think that it would be appropriate to run it through the infrastructure committee just to have a closer look at it as well. And I would like to see it be able to be discussed at that committee. But certainly it's up to other Council.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman I would have a tendency to agree with you on this particular - - - you know we're not talking about start spending money tomorrow. You know this information will still have a chance to go through the Infrastructure Committee, and if there are - - - if there is any information that the Infrastructure Committee wants to come back and discuss before the full council, and we make some amendments, you know we still have time to do this. This is not brain surgery, something that we got to do tomorrow, so I think you're right. I think we need to go head on and get the ball rolling, cause I know folks in my district are ready to get the ball rolling and we need to at least show them that we're making an effort to do something about the streets. So, I think it would be wise and prudent on our part if we would go head on and pass this piece of legislation today, and if we want to discuss this Ron before the Infrastructure Committee, you know we always got time to do this. It's not that the Administration is going to go out and start laying some streets next week.

Councilman Webb: Yeah, I agree with both of you. I think we owe it to the taxpayers to start street repairs as quickly as possible. I'm not saying I'm going to be against this payment management that they're - - - but if it's going to take till the end of the year before we even get to 'em and we may not agree with what we see. And so I don't want to wait that long. Besides by the time we do that, we're going to be over a year before we ever do anything. Out of that \$21,000,000 I already know what I want to do in my district with it, and it's just going to be concrete, and then I'm willing to look at this later on and if I feel it's feasible and worth the money to spend to do it, then (inaudible) but I just don't think - - - I think we're doing the citizens wrong by coming up with Plan B and Plan C next month or whatever. So that's my thought on it.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Actually Ron has kinda clarified some things for me in regards to this regular street repairs and the bond issue itself. (Inaudible) started in a previous meeting with what you said also is that the people are looking for us to start street repairs now. I just wanted clarification on city-wide street repairs versus the bond issue. And what I'd just like to interject here is that, and I'm glad to see former City Councilman Calvin Lester is here. Of course he's here to try to get some insight on the reapportionment and the renter's code. So hopefully before we leave the meeting today, we'll have some more information for him. But Councilman Lester is here and most of you all, you know we took a tour prior to or right after being elected at the streets, and I took all of you all down Hersey, you actually took a roller coaster ride down Hersey D. Wilson Drive. You also noted where the school Linear was at, that Uncle Joe was speaking where my father served as Band Director X number of years, there were days when he actually walked from home, and I think I shared with all of you all where we actually lived to the school, and we're not even talking about how he's actually provided transportation to and fro to kids within the community. That if Councilman Lester recalled, prior to me being elected, I actually called him and I asked him if he would place Hersey D. Wilson on to be repaired. And of course as a result of that, I mean we didn't have any further discussions. But this was not something that I just decided after being elected. This was something that I was in pursuit of during the time that Councilman Lester was still in office. The \$13,000,000, I don't know where that came from. I was asked how much, but I just know the bond issue itself is \$21,000,000. I know prior to us taking office Councilman Everson, I made it known to the Council, we decided that we would divide the \$21,000,000 Rev. Mays, seven ways. And before I even got elected, I made it known to this Council that I wanted Hersey D. Wilson and I was asked by the Chairperson, said look we're not going to name any streets right now. But I don't have any hidden agendas. You know my father - - - and Black History, this is Black History Month. I don't know a better time that I could honor the service that my father provided for the City of Shreveport, the State of Louisiana, the 30 years or so, three decades where he served his community, and I don't know of a better time with

me taking office and speaking to the prior Council of having Hersey D. Wilson repaired because those of you that took a tour down Hersey D. Wilson, you were able to see where the school was. You were able to see pretty much Hersey D. Wilson is the worst street in Caddo Parish, in Shreveport. You all have seen buses that travel there day by day, our students that walk to Linear Uncle Joe, no sidewalks. They use Hersey D. Wilson to get to Linear to get to Linear Middle School. You have your school buses traveling daily going down Hersey D. Wilson Drive, and my concern is that prior to becoming elected Rev. Mays, I consulted with every President that was within District A, about what their needs were. And in speaking with the President of Cherokee Park at that time, I was told that there wasn't a need for streets, however in the October meeting, and Mayor Glover, you're familiar with that and I took Caddo Parish Commissioner Lyndon B. Johnson with me. It was only then after I had gotten elected that the issue of streets had come up Uncle Joe. So, I don't know all of a sudden, there's a lot of problems now in Cherokee Park. You know that's pretty much new to me. As I've explained before, in the October meeting. Dale Sibley, you know I have quarterly meetings with my Association Presidents. If you recall correctly, it was Mr. Randy Johnson that suggested that we do Hersey D. Wilson, and now I'm getting opposition, and I don't understand why. I know people can change their minds, but I just wanted to insert that here. And of course I wanted to bring this up under Resolution No. 12 to support Hersey D. Wilson. But this is not a hidden agenda. Councilman Lester knows this is something that I was in pursuit of before he left office. And thank you for hearing my comments.

Councilman Corbin: Thank you Mr. Chair. I think all of the fellow Council Members know that I have an alternative plan that I have provided you with, and put out in the public about how we fund the streets. I'm certainly not saying that my plan is 100% correct, nor am I saying that the Chairman's plan is totally wrong I do support postponing this for two weeks, let us discuss it more in the Infrastructure Committee and come back with a compromise. In my mind, what we're doing right now, seven buckets with money obviously doesn't work I mean we've done this for a period of years, and due to lack of funds and never getting passed neighborhood streets to get work done, I think that's put us in the situation we're in. I think there's better situations. Mr. Shyne I have to respectfully disagree. I think when we get a little further down our agenda, we are spending money today, or we are voting on spending money for the first project. I simply ask that we postpone this, let us take it up in the Infrastructure Committee, come up with a compromise, keep it just like it is, but I think it needs some more discussion. I understand that the citizens are ready to see work done on streets. They've been ready for a long time. All of us have been waiting for a long time. So we (inaudible), I haven't heard a discussion up here today of a good reason that we have to take action on this today. And I think it further tells us that we need to look at how we

are moving forward with which streets and what kind of data we use (inaudible) which streets we repair.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I would ask if the machine would be cleared, because I voted on your motion, and I think I voted 'Yes' on your motion, and the motion to postpone I plan to vote 'No' on it.

Ms. Johnson: You can just change it.

Councilman Shyne: I can just change it myself?

Ms. Johnson: Yes.

Councilman Shyne: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I call for the vote.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I think you made a vote too. Can you just clear the machine, clear the machine. Let everybody (inaudible) what they're voting on. Now let's start from scratch.

Councilman Webb: Right now, at this present time, correct me if I'm wrong, we have \$11,000,000 that can be spent and that \$11,000,000 can be divided seven ways?

Councilman Shyne: That's correct.

Councilman Webb: Okay, and so there's \$10,000,000 left, so - - -

Councilman S. Jenkins: In addition to that \$10,000,000 Councilman Webb, we also have \$11,000,000 that's in the Streets Special Revenue Fund, plus another \$1.5 (million) in annual appropriations that we have till later on until we get some information on the payment management system. This resolution does both of those things. Allows us to move forward with what's available now to begin to spend, and in the spirit of compromise says okay, the rest of that money, let's hold up until we see what this payment management plan is offering. So it does both things.

Councilman Webb: The reason why I'm saying that is because I understand you want to take a further look at everything, but that can still be done in the next two weeks, and we can share a little - - - I want to start - - - I want to take my share that's in my district and you're talking about seven buckets don't work, that may be true in one sense, but I know each and every Council Member is going to want their piece of the pie. Because I definitely want my piece of the pie for my district. The bond money can only be spent for concrete. That's where I'm wanting to spend my money. I've got \$3 ½ (million) in

concrete panels in my district alone that that's where I want to spend my \$3,000,000. And the extra revenue money that we're going to have for streets, I want my share of that pie as well, to do asphalt streets. And I'm just telling you like it is. But I mean I don't see what postponing it for two weeks is really going to make any difference. I mean we can still go ahead and have this Infrastructure Committee meeting within the next two weeks. I'm interested in hearing what all is being said, but heck lets show these citizens we're ready to move forward and start doing something. I'm ready to take my money and put it to work.

Councilman Shyne: I call for the vote then.

Councilman S. Jenkins: This vote is going to be on the motion to postpone.

Councilman Shyne: Right, my vote will be a 'No' vote.

Motion to postpone until the next regular failed by the following vote: Nays: Councilmen McCulloch, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 4. Ayes: Councilmen Everson, O. Jenkins, and Corbin. 3.

Substitute motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt Resolution No. 4 of 2012. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: Councilmen O. Jenkins, and Corbin. 2.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. I just want to simply offer to you all that the exercise that you have just gone through is an affirmation of the reality that we have offered to you all as Council Members and to the City as a whole. And that is the bottom line truth is that we simply do not have enough money right now to address the street issue and the problems and challenges that face the City of Shreveport. And as for you all as Council Members and for me as Mayor, the one thing that you will hear most common, most frequently from our collective constituency, is we want you to do something about the streets. And the truth of the matter is and that in some respects, both sides of this particular situation are right. And if you'll go back to some of the things that have been offered previously, there've been approaches, that encompass dealing with both sides. There are city-wide issues involving streets that need to be addressed, but there is no way that you can get around the fact that you have individual district issues that each of you hear, especially for those of you who are eligible to come back, that you are compelled to address if you expect to be able to do so. And so what you have is a situation where you're trying to take far too few

resources to address too large of a problem. Now one thing I am glad of is that, that as now been at least an alternative proposal that's been introduced, so that we can compare what the administration came with last fall, and compare it with what Mr. Corbin has recently submitted to you all as Council and to the local Shreveport via the editorial page. And that is a strategy that essentially says, because we agree that we want to move forward from an administration's standpoint with the payment management system program. That's something that's called for in our comprehensive master plan. But essentially, with you're being told with regard to why it would be good to run this through the Infrastructure Committee is that essentially you want to make an argument or a beacon effort to eventually make an argument to help you all understand why it is that you need to have dollars that would ordinarily go to address problems within your district with this limited pool of dollars, and direct those dollars towards addressing issues that impact the entirety of the City of Shreveport. What's an example of that? Where the No. 1 need right now in terms of road reconstruction in the City of Shreveport is Linwood as it runs from Bert Kouns north to 70th Street. That's a \$13+ (million) project that no individual district right now has the dollars to be able to address. The only way in which that problem that cause problems and challenges for the people who live in that area as well as for the businesses that are there as well as compromising our ability to be able to effectively attract more businesses there would be for those dollars to be pooled. And for someone in District G and A and others to give up dollars towards the pursuit that large and loftier goal. That has no basis in reality however. The very basis of how which we manage to be able to see a compromise forged amongst you all when you first came on board with regard to the bond proposal that passed last year was Mr. Chairman, your leadership in taking what originally had been a \$4,000,000 pool of street dollars, left over from the citizens bond commission that you all wisely managed to be able to increase up to \$21,000,000 with the understanding that those dollars would be generally split amongst your districts. That accounts why it is that we have and can advocate for the need for more net dollars. You have people like Mr. Johnson, President of a neighborhood association, whose operating under the assumption that there's \$91,000,000 available. That's what you get if you take 13 and multiply it Xs 7. That's not the case. He also believes that streets need to be done based upon priority. That particular section of street that he's talking about which is important to Highland Park and Cherokee Park is a neighborhood residential street. Based upon the infrastructure process that would be prioritized, he would fall way below, way below Hersey D. Wilson. So essentially, I'm glad that you all have voted the way you have so that at least the citizens can begin to see some progress towards some streets being done. But the one thing that I think we all need to be honest and let them know is that once you all begin to make decisions about what are the priorities for your individual districts, there are going to be vast gaps in terms of what the need is, as opposed to available resources to address those needs. And I hope

as we go forward through this year, that we're going to be collectively both as an administration and a council and as a city as a whole be willing to engage in that discussion so that we can begin to try to figure out how we come up with more resources, so that ultimately as Mr. Johnson said we could end up with fewer people who are angry. Thank you Mr. Chairman, thank you members of the council.

RESOLUTION NO. 5 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON MARCH 16, 2012 RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AT 320 TEXAS STREET FOR THE IBERIABANK CUSTOMER APPRECIATION DAY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Jeff Everson

WHEREAS, Iberiabank located at 320 Texas Street will host a Customer Appreciation Day on March 16, 2012 between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, Iberiabank desires to dispense and allow the sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages at 320 Texas Street during the event; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to dispense alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance, Section 10-190(a) prohibits consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of a business or on other property of a business where said property is open to the public, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure, and Section 10-81 provides that Section 10-41 (requiring a retail dealer's permit) shall not apply to a bona fide nonprofit event meeting the requirements of this section, only when it is held within the confines of an enclosed building; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of Iberiabank, 320 Texas Street, on March 16, 2012 for a Customer Appreciation Day.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the suspension of Sections 10-80(a), 10-81, 10-190(a) and 106-130(6) on March 16, 2012 for a Customer

Appreciation Day, between the hours of 10:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m. at Iberiabank located at 320 Texas Street.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 6 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION SUSPENDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 10 RELATIVE TO ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES ON MARCH 15, 2012 RELATIVE TO DISPENSING, SALE AND/OR CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AT 436 ASHLEY RIDGE FOR ZOCOLO'S RESTAURANT, SAINT PATRICK'S DAY CELEBRATION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

By: Councilman Oliver Jenkins

WHEREAS, Zocolo's Restaurant located at 436 Ashley Ridge will host a St. Patrick's Day Celebration on March 15, 2012 between the hours of 2:00 p.m. and 12:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS, the establishment desires to dispense, and allow the consumption and sale of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of the establishment, between the hours of 2:00 p.m. – 12:00 a.m.; and

WHEREAS, Section 106-130(6) provides that unless otherwise excepted, all uses shall be operated entirely within a completely enclosed structure; and

WHEREAS, any special exception approval granted to the establishment for alcoholic beverage sales, consumption and/or dispensing does not specifically authorize outside sales and/or

consumption on the premises; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-80(a) makes it unlawful for any person to sell, barter, exchange or otherwise dispose of alcoholic beverages except within those sections of the city wherein such sale is permitted by the applicable zoning ordinance; and

WHEREAS, Section Chapter 10-103(a)(5) provides that the city council may suspend or revoke any permit if a retailer allows any person to consume any alcoholic beverage on the licensed premises or on any parking lot or open or closed space within or contiguous to the licensed premises without a proper license; and

WHEREAS, the adoption of this resolution would allow the dispensing, sale and consumption of alcoholic beverages on the parking lot of Zocolo's Restaurant, 436 Ashley Ridge, on March 15, 2012 for a St. Patrick's Day Celebration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened Sections 106-130(6), 10-103(a)(5) 10-80(a) and 10-172 are hereby suspended on March 15, 2012 for a St. Patrick's Day Celebration, between the hours of 2:00 p.m. - 12:00 a.m., at Zocolo's Restaurant, 436 Ashley Ridge.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other applicable provisions of the City of Shreveport Code of Ordinances shall remain in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 7 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell said properties as authorized in R.S. 47:2201-2211, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment "A-1" for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the properties described in Attachment "A-1" and has not received any indication that they are needed for city purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment "A-1" are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301(1)(d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 8 OF 2012

**A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR IDLEDAYS DRIVE
IN NORTH HIGHLANDS ANNEX UNIT 2 AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING
WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal,
and regular session convened, that the dedication for Idledays Drive in Sections 10,
(T18N-R14W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and
made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for
public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for
Idledays Drive be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo
Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the
application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions,
items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid
provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are
hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in
conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

**Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by
Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes:
Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S.
Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.**

RESOLUTION NO. 9 OF 2012

**A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR OVERBROOK COURT
AND VALENCIA COURT IN ESPLANADE UNIT 3, LAKE DISTRICT AND
OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal,
and regular session convened, that the dedication for Overbrook Court and Valencia
Court in Sections 9 and 38, (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on

the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Overbrook Court and Valencia Court be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Webb to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 10 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING DEDICATION FOR WOODBERRY AVENUE

IN PROVENANCE PHASE I – UNIT E AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, legal,

and regular session convened, that the dedication for Woodberry Avenue in Sections 20, (T16N-R13W), Caddo Parish, Louisiana, and as shown on the plats attached hereto and made a part hereof, be and the same is hereby accepted as dedicated to the public for public use in the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the original plat reflecting the dedication for Woodberry Avenue be recorded in the official records of the Clerk of Court for Caddo Parish, Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions,

items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 11 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport for non- payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 23-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the City's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment "A-1" for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the properties described in Attachment "A-1" and has not received any indication that they are needed for City purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment "A-1" are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301 '1' 'd', therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

RESOLUTION NO. 12 OF 2012

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE EXPENDITURE OF CITY WIDE STREET IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (11C003) FUNDS FOR THE HERSEY D. WILSON STREET PROJECT, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCIL WOMAN ROSE McCULLOCH

WHEREAS, the City Wide Street Improvement Program has an appropriation of \$11,000,000, funded from 2011 GOB, Prop 3 funds; and

WHEREAS, it was contemplated and known before the bond issue was approved by the voters that, if approved, the reconstruction of Hersey D. Wilson would be funded from these funds; and

WHEREAS, the City's Architecture and Engineering Committee has recommended to the Mayor consultants to design the reconstruction of Hersey D. Wilson from Hill Street east to Legardy Street; and

WHEREAS, the paragraph numbered 3 in Ordinance No. 114 of 2011 (the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget) requires the City Council to authorize by resolution individual street improvement projects before City Wide Street Improvements Program Funds can be expended for those projects.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that the project to improve

Hersey D. Wilson from Hill Street east to Legardy Street is authorized, and the Mayor is therefore authorized to execute all contracts, deeds, grant documents and other legal instruments necessary to expend funds from the City Wide Street Improvement Program for the Hersey D. Wilson Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provision, items or application of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provision, items or applications, and, to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declare severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.

Mayor Glover: I wanted to make some comments in relation to what has already been offered by Commissioners Epperson and Johnson and Councilwoman McCulloch who have all done a very eloquent job of outlining and detailing the historic reasons why the Council should support this resolution. And I have to give my own personal perspective on that in that Councilwoman Wilson-McCulloch's father was the very first elected official I ever met. Commissioner Wilson and my father, the late Clarence E. Glover, Sr., were amongst the very best of friends. They were Gramblingites, my dad from (inaudible), but they decided they couldn't just occupy space in the neighborhood, but that they needed to actively get engaged and get involved and work towards trying to make it a better place. And they did just that. And I'm proud to say that Mr. Wilson was one of my mentors, or one of the folks within my neighborhood that I and others were able to look up to who was committed to providing not only a better future for himself and his family, but for generations of young people as well. I didn't play an instrument in the band. I was in the orchestra, but it was well known that Mr. Wilson was one of the very best band instructors anywhere in these United States of America, but he was an even better man and a better father and a better community leader. So for all those reasons, this is an appropriate resolution. But more fundamentally now, all of those issues aside this street Hersey D. Wilson, which when I was a boy used to be called Plumb Street represents one of those sets of circumstances that unfortunately lots of people across this city, don't have any knowledge or appreciation or understanding of. Not one of you as to why when we took that tour around the city to look at the infrastructure, I wanted to make sure that not only did we go to neighborhoods like MLK, but that we went down streets like Hersey D. Wilson Drive, because there are people here who will never have the opportunity or the need or the necessity to do so.

But there are tens of thousands of our citizens who do so everyday. Hersey D. Wilson is not just a residential street. It's a collector's street, where people who don't just live on Hersey D. Wilson, they take Hersey D. Wilson to work, they take it to school, they walk it, they bicycle it, they ride in their own cars, or they ride in public transportation, and it has weathered some of the greatest abuse of any street in the city of Shreveport. It is an asphalt street that has a base that has broken that has been crushed and has been collapsed and only fit right now for reconstruction. And so while there are others that you can compare to, it is as excellent an example of what it is that we need to do more of in the city of Shreveport. The important thing is that once hopefully this project passes is created and the work is done, there's going to be so many more of them that still yet need to be done. And so we're going to ask that you all support Resolution 12. We think it's an excellent start to this bond process in terms of getting these streets done, and I know that if Mr. Wilson were alive today, that one of the things that he would be adamantly advocating for, since that's one of the things he was known for, getting the Cooper Road, some of the best streets in the Shreveport area, prior to our annexation into the city of Shreveport. Once we got in, that situation unfortunately deteriorated, but he would be advocating and crying out for us to make sure that we know about the other Hersey D. Wilson (inaudible) across the city of Shreveport, and that we stand up and do what we can to make sure that we come up with the resources necessary to address and fix and better those conditions as well. So for those reasons I hope that you will support Resolution 12.

Councilman Webb: Mr. Mayor, I heard from a reliable source that he also kept you out of trouble quite a bit.

Mayor Glover: Now you have to understand, Mr. Webb, I was once a young boy, but I was never a small boy. So I was really rambunctious. Once my father passed on, in the fear of his left right combination was no longer around, that famous left hood of Hersey D. Wilson, was enough to keep me in line and on the right path. I never had to have it reach the end of my chin, but I did see the impact of it on a couple of my neighborhood (inaudible) over the years. And I learned then. And I also know Mr. Chairman, that he was one of your fraternity brothers as well, and dearly loved Phi Beta Sigma Fraternity, Inc., and did some outstanding work in that regard also.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I drove that street this past Sunday, and it certainly does need some attention. I think Hersey D. Wilson is a street that needs some attention, and I think it would be a wise investment for us to address it.

Councilman Corbin: In looking at the resolution, I don't see an estimate of cost for this. And then my second question is city-wide street improvement program using those funds to pay for this. Is that bond money or other money.

Mr. Thompson: That's the \$11,000,000 in bond money. That's where that money is budgeted.

Councilman Corbin: Do we have an estimate of what this may cost?

Mayor Glover: I'll yield to Ron and Stan and to Dale. I think the number is somewhere around, \$2-2 ½ (million)?

Mr. Sibley: Right. We'll have the actual numbers and we can get those to you Mr. Corbin. It was anticipated at this point though that out of that \$11,000,000, the way we understood the money was being divided by the Council, there was only enough to begin the design process. The back side money will have to be dealt with at another time.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Resolutions (*Not to be adopted prior to February 28, 2012*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 14 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the use of certain equipment by the Department of the Air Force – Barksdale Air Force Base, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 15 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the use of certain city-owned equipment by Robinson Film Center, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
3. **Resolution No. 16 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing an amendment to the Management Agreement between the City of Shreveport and Metro Management Associates, Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
4. **Resolution No. 17 of 2012**: A resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute a donation agreement between the City of Shreveport and E & L Development, Inc., hereinafter called "Owner" and acting herein through Kenneth G. Lawler Manager, for the Private Water and Sewer Main Extensions and Related Facilities to serve Hideaway Harbor Subdivision, Unit XVI, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Corbin to introduce Resolution No(s). 14, 15, 16 and 17 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting.

Councilman O. Jenkins: On the E & L Development, it does not have a district associated with it.

Mayor Glover: I'm going to assume that's going to be in "A" probably, but we'll have Malcolm - - -

Mr. Stadlander: It's on my copy.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Does it say it on there?

Mayor Glover: I don't see it on the - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, it's not a show stopper, I'm just saying for next week's

Ms. Pilkinton: It's on the hard copy.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Introduction of Ordinances (*Not to be adopted prior to February 28, 2012*).

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 10 of 2012**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Section 2-33 of the Code of Ordinances relative to reports to the City Council Planning and Infrastructure Committee, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Ordinance No. 11 of 2012**: An ordinance amending Chapter 38 of the Code of Ordinances, the Property Standards Code, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
3. **Ordinance No. 12 of 2012**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 90 of the Code of Ordinances relative to parking and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
4. **Ordinance No. 13 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
5. **Ordinance No. 14 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the General Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

6. **Ordinance No. 15 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Everson)(*Youth Outreach*)

7. **Ordinance No. 16 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

8. **Ordinance No. 17 of 2012**: **ZONING – C-1-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning of property located on the west side of North Market, 25 Feet north of Morris Drive, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-A-E, Residence/Agriculture Extended Use District to B-1, Buffer Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)

9. **Ordinance No. 18 of 2012**: **ZONING – C-7-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning of property located on the north side of Anna Street, 225 feet east of Allen Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-3, Urban, Multi-Family Residence District, to R-3-E, Urban, Multik-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to “A Lodge-Hall” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)

10. **Ordinance No. 19 of 2012**: **ZONING – C-8-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the south side of Phelps, 216 feet east of Russell Road, Shreveport, Caddo Parish Louisiana, from R-1H, Urban One-Family Residence District, to R-1H-E, Urban, One-Family Residence/Extended Use District limited to “a Child Care Center” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (A/McCulloch)

11. **Ordinance No. 20 of 2012**: **ZONING – C-9-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on NW side of South Pointe Parkway, 872 feet north of Knight Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana from B-3, Community Business District to R-3, Urban, Multi-Family Residence District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to introduce Ordinance No(s). 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 of 2012 to lay over until the next regular meeting.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I just want to make the comment on No. 11. Is former Councilman Calvin Lester still here, or did he leave. Looks like he's left, but I was glad to see former Councilman Lester come down today. No. 11 is really our habitability code, and I know that he did somewhat of a precursor on all of this, laying the groundwork for the idea that we needed to look at setting some minimal living standards for any house that's being occupied by human beings. And I just wanted to recognize that he was here today, and that sometimes when you are in these positions, you can get things started that you can't quite finish, but we just wanted to let him know that we haven't forgotten about the fact that he started the foundation for this. Also wanted to - - - Councilman Lester, come forward a minute Councilman if you don't mind. I just made some comments about you.

Councilman Shyne: And Mr. Chairman, I would hope that you would have him to come back when we pass this legislation.

Mr. Calvin Lester: Mr. Chairman, Council Members, Mr. Mayor.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And I will allow an opportunity to make a few comments. I was just commenting on the fact that we introduced today our Habitability Code, but when you were on the council, you kinda started the idea of setting some minimum living standards for dwellings to be occupied by human beings, and we want you to know, as we introduce this today, we know that you did some work when you were on the council to kinda get this ball rolling. We just didn't want to forget about you. And just let you know that something came out of those efforts. Something I think is really good came out of those efforts. And just wanted to give you an opportunity if you wanted to, and I won't put you on the spot, to just maybe make some comments.

Mr. Lester: Well thank you certainly for giving me an opportunity to come before the Council and give a couple of comments. You know I heard Joe for so long saying I lectured so much down here that I took his advice and took a college job.

Councilman Shyne: There you go.

Mr. Lester: So Joe, I was listening all those times, and became a professor legitimately. With that said, I do appreciate the Council's diligence in this matter. It is something that is of grave concern to our citizens. It's something that cuts across the width and breadth of this city regardless of what Council District you are, people that live in other than owner-occupied structures, we have to do something. If we're going to be the next great city of the south as the mayor has said and we can be, one of the things that we have to do is deal with that issue. Yes I was fortunate enough to serve the Council as Chairman of the Property Standards Code, but I would be remiss if I did not thank my colleagues

like Councilman Walford who served as Co-Chair for that committee for quite some time, a number of others that worked with us through that process. So I don't have any pride of authorship. At the end of the day, it's about getting something that inures to benefit of the citizens of the City of Shreveport, and I'm very happy that this Council is in fact continuing the work on that issue as we took up issues of councils before us. One of the things that people sometimes get wrapped into is this idea that everything must be done on my watch. I think one of the greatest things that we have in the Council is a term limit type scenario, because it gives you finite deadlines that you have to get something done in time for your paper to be graded by the citizens. And so it's not a sprint, it's not a marathon, it's a marathon relay, and we go from council to council with a certain set of values at the end of the day to try to get the citizens the type of government and the type of city that they deserve, and the type of city that we all want to see for ourselves, and certainly for our family and children. So thank you for your work, and I look forward to coming down here sitting quietly on the side, and watching this matter pass for the benefit of the citizens. Thank you very much.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Alright, thank you. We appreciate that. Certainly we do not want to overlook the present work that's being done by Jim Holt who has worked very diligently in this effort and Councilman Oliver Jenkins who stayed very close to this legislation, and make sure that we as Council Members put our imprint on it as well as the Property Standards Committee, led by Councilman Shyne and other members of that committee who have been meeting and working as well as the citizens and that includes a number of our landlords that are in the city. Others who have participated, Mr. Mayor and Mr. Sibley, the administration, all of those who have given some input in this. I really don't know, and I speak for myself if our citizens really understand what's being done here today. It's more than just legislation. It's a human issue, and (inaudible) and I think it's something that's very noteworthy. And as we move forward with this particular issue, and get ready to bring it to some final (inaudible) that we take the time and recognize, memorialize the names of so many people who have participated with this. (Inaudible) and more importantly than that, in the life of many of our tenants who are living out there and what some of us agree to be some pretty bad circumstances.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, while you're saying that, just read off that and you don't have to do it just for me.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, Councilman Shyne is saying there's going to be a Property Standards Committee Meeting on property standards minimal living standards. That's going to be Tuesday, February 21st at 5:30. So we're going to meet at the Police assembly room.

Councilman Shyne: You want to maybe read it and maybe emphasize it a little bit more so it will go out to the public.

Councilman O. Jenkins: I understand it to be a Public Forum.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Yeah, because we're really opening it up for the public. It's not going to be just the committee. So it's open for the public to come in, that's going to be Tuesday, February 21st, at 5:30. That's going to be in the Police assembly room. And that's the old city hall where the Council used to meet. I think you'll be down there, weren't you Mr. Shyne? At 'old' city hall.

Councilman Shyne: Sam, don't take me that far back. People will think I've been here forever.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman O. Jenkins: (Inaudible) with amendment that's offered on introduction of Ordinance 12, which has to do with the yard parking that we talked about yesterday. There is an amendment in terms of the language that was originally provided yesterday to a proposed amendment on what actually constitutes yard parking. I'm not going to go into detail because it's only to be introduced today, but I've provided everybody with a map of the way the wording shows if you drew a picture of what the wording means and then what the new alternative would show. And basically it deals specifically with properties that are on street corners that has happened the way the wording is, it would almost encourage people to yard park on the corner itself. And we needed to amend that language slightly to include that as part of the front yard. And I'll describe the geometry at a later date. But I just want to make sure everybody has an opportunity over the next two weeks to look at this specific schematic and make sure they're ready to go next week when we talk about it.

Introduction of Ordinances (Not to be adopted prior to March 13, 2012)

The Clerk read the following:

12. **Ordinance No. 21 of 2012**: An ordinance authorizing the execution of an amendment to the concessions contract with State Fair of Louisiana and Ed Campbell Concessions Co. Inc., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to introduce Ordinance No. 21 of 2012 to lay over until the

next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage (*Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers*)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 143 of 2011**: An ordinance enacting Section 2-4 of the Code of Ordinances relative to standards for the submission of plans to the City of Shreveport including electronic submission and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Postponed January 24, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on November 21, 2011 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson seconded by Councilman McCulloch to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Councilman Everson: And just so if anybody has any questions about that, there was some additional input that they wanted to make sure was considered and included in the (inaudible) of the ordinance before we move to a vote on it. So we should be able to complete that and have that ready by next Council Meeting.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Lets move to No. 2, and come back to No. 1.

3. **Ordinance No. 2 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Family Resources for Education and Empowerment*) (*Postponed January 24, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on January 24, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to postpone until the next regular meeting.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We've moved to No. 2.

Councilman Shyne: You moved to No. 2?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Postponing No. 2.

Councilman Shyne: What's the reasoning?

Councilman Everson: The reason is - - - (inaudible) because it's your motion.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well you can give the reason.

Councilman Shyne: Oh okay, it's just postponed until January 24th?

Councilman S. Jenkins: No, no. We're moving to postpone it. It needs to be - - - that particular one needs to be looked at a little bit further.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, I gotcha.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, that matter is postponed, lets go back to No. 1

2. Ordinance No. 1 of 2012: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Biz. Camp*)(*Postponed January 24, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on January 24, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to adopt.

Councilman Webb: I'm going to vote 'No' for this, and the reason why is last year, we voted this down because Roy Burrell refused to bring forth a financial statement. I wanted to see what portion is actually salary, and what portion is actually being used for what is done. I mean they're doing a good job out there, but I just wanted to see that, and he refused to provide it, and because of that I'm going to vote 'No'.

Councilman O. Jenkins: He provided this time.

Councilman Webb: He did? I didn't see it.

Councilman O. Jenkins: It was in the packet.

Councilman Everson: It went out by email.

Councilman S. Jenkins: We all wanted to see that, but I think (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: He did provide it? Okay. And what was it?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I want to say it was 40% for salary.

Councilman Everson: I don't recall the number.

Councilman Corbin: I believe it was 33 or 34%.

Councilman Webb: Okay, thank you.

Mayor Glover: Is that an industry standard?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I would say that it's significantly less than some of the others that are on the agenda today.

Councilman Everson: The high side on some of the other ones is 80, so it is on the low side.

Mayor Glover: So that's in comparison to the pool that you're holding it up to, not best practices for - - -

Councilman Everson: Correct. And something that should be addressed in our - - - this is on topic, but this is sort of something that applies to the future. I think there's been some discussion about these civic appropriations issues, and in the Riverfront Committee, we've been looking at sort of adding some more refined practices for review process. So we've made great progress as a committee for coming up with these, and today the committee made a recommendation to move forward with the draft of these I think, but the administration and most of the Council Members will probably be pleased to see sort of some structure that's been added there. And it answers a lot of the questions, it allows us to have some objective rankings of the qualities of the applications. But that will be done for next year's cycle. So, while we don't have those types of things (inaudible) in this current year, we're looking at implementing in future years, and certainly look forward to working with the administration on any questions that y'all may have on those, but I think they had a really nice meeting today. And I think we can move forward with some confidence that we will have a really solid system in place in the future.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

4. **Ordinance No. 3 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*MLK Community Development Corporation*) (*Postponed January 24, 2012*)

Having passed first reading on January 24, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt.

Councilman O. Jenkins: As the Mayor suggested in his last comment, I am going to vote 'No' for this, because it is another one that unlike the next, 4 and 5, they have way too much of their budget going to salaries.

Councilman Webb: What is the ratio?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Some are 80, some are 60% and one is 50%. And I don't remember which one, but I think it is really not in line with what these type of community elements that the city should be involved in, because frankly if the city is putting that much of their money to the organization, it should be a city organization, and not a community organization. And I'm not saying there's anything wrong with their mission. Nor do I think want us to guess that their heart is not in the right place. But the structure, I am concerned about. And I think we should certainly look at next year of making that a little bit more stringent. I do agree that 34% is high, but I think 80, 60 is well beyond where we need to go and maybe there is some debate on what's programming and what's salary. I'm just saying that I can't support like organizations where the city is providing either significant parts of their organization, or they spend significant parts of their resources on salaries for staff.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Okay, now.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Two different issues.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I understand, but I just want to be certain now that we are not - - the other organizations that were being approved, I mean, are we using that same standard with them? I don't want to treat these differently from some that have been approved, and you've got a ratio like that, that's taking place.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I have looked, and I have not found any at this level. Okay? That's all I can say, but I'm not going to say that you know if somebody has a

different application that they show us, that isn't the case. I'm just saying the applications that I went through, there is not any that are at these levels. That's an (inaudible) for me personally, but that's my rationale for voting against this one.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well let me make a substitute motion to postpone, because like I said, we've had these out there, we've had the committee looking at 'em, and some of this stuff you wish people kinda discuss with you before you we get to the day of the vote, but I would like to have it looked at little bit further. And I would like the opportunity myself to maybe go back and compare it. Not to debate what your findings were, but to prepare and make sure that we're being consistent in our judgment with the organizations that have been approved. Because I don't know if we looked at 'em that thoroughly.

Substitute motion by Councilman S. Jenkins.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Councilman, can I just withdraw my motion?

Councilman S. Jenkins: Well we're going to do a motion to postpone.

Mayor Glover: And Mr. Chairman, I hate to belabor because I know the hour is going late, but I need to interject, since Councilman Oliver Jenkins used a reference to me in part to base his argument for opposition to this particular measure. I need to clarify and do so adamantly, because that is an improper use of the statements that I made with regard to the prior ordinance. And for this reason. If you're going to somehow see that particular scenario for the MLK Community Development group as being out of line and off-kilter but you don't believe that 34% for the previous group, for Biz Camp is not off-kilter then what you need to factor in is that the dollars that are generated to support the MLK Community Development organization or primarily coming from the City of Shreveport. There may be some NIP dollars, there may be some membership fees, and what have you that are incorporated, unlike Biz Camp which is named after James K. Elrod. He is the named sponsor. So there is a significant check that comes from one of the biggest and wealthiest non-profit enterprises in northwest Louisiana that goes towards funding Biz Camp. In addition to that, there are state dollars that end up being infused in that situation as well, as well as potentially other sources of funding that I'm not familiar with. And so if you're going to say that one is okay because it's only 34%, but the other one is bad because 50% or 60%, then you're not putting those two situations on fair and objective footing. So from my perspective, 34% with substantial amounts of outside funding coming from well financed sources, is probably more egregious than 50% coming from one that gets 80, 90, 100% of its funding from the City of Shreveport. So, please don't use my comments, my statements in an effort to

try and justify your position Councilman O. Jenkins on the MLK Community Development ordinance or any of the others involved.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And I think you may have misunderstood me Mr. Mayor. That 34% is the per cent of the budget that goes towards salaries, not the percent of the dollars of which the City of Shreveport is supplying. So whatever their sources of income has absolutely nothing to do with the discussion. Those figures just so we're clear on percentages is of their total budget, that percentage of which goes to salaries to their staff, as opposed to programming which one hopes a lot of their revenue in these groups goes to programming for whoever they're serving in their particular organization.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman? You could even talk about it more egregious set of circumstances then in that you are talking about a larger pool of dollars. So if you're talking about a half-million dollar budget with 34% going toward salaries, that is a whole lot more egregious from my perspective than a \$20,000 organization that has 50% of it's funding going toward salaries, because that's essentially a part-time person that's helping to run, direct, facilitate, and support the activities of that particular group. And so in terms of net overall dollars, it's actually one that's probably doing a lot more with a substantially smaller pool of dollars. It's a matter of being consistent. Because if you can justify one, then without question, as hopefully you all would do by postponing, there were arguments that could be made that will clearly justify others, if they're not others that have been passed and approved, that already fall within the percentages that you have mentioned, that you find to be objectionable.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm going to withdraw my motion to postpone.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, not to cut you off. I believe you have the votes to pass this. So, lets don't postpone it if you got the votes to pass it. If you postpone this so you can see if you can get - - - and I don't mean no harm Councilman Jenkins support, because I don't think you're going to be able to do it. I believe you have the votes to pass this.

Councilman S. Jenkins: This is what I'm about to do. Let me withdraw the motion. Councilwoman McCulloch's motion is the motion, seconded by the Chair.

Councilman Shyne: Don't talk yourself out of a dog fight if you can win it.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 4. Nays: Councilmen O. Jenkins, Corbin, and Webb. 3.

Councilman S. Jenkins: This is what I want to do. Ordinances 4 and 5, I want to postpone those.

5. **Ordinance No. 4 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Shepherds' Farm Child Development Center*) (***Postponed January 24, 2012***)

6. **Ordinance No. 5 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Village Circle's Literacy Programs*)(***Postponed January 24, 2012***)

Having passed first reading on January 24, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to postpone Ordinance No(s). 4 and 5 of 2012 until the next regular meeting.

Councilman S. Jenkins: If there is discussion that we need to make on these, I'm going to bring 'em back, and it's going to be something one way or the other. They've been out there long enough. So if there's some discussion that we need to make, just go on and make them, and whatever you're going to do, we're going to do it, but we're going to do it at the next meeting. Let everybody get this over with so we can move on.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I want to be a part of the discussion that you have with Councilman Oliver Jenkins, because I want to know what kind of argument or what kind of facts you can bring up in order to change his mind.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm not going to try to change his mind.

Councilman Shyne: I want it to be a learning process. I want to learn how to be persuasive.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm not trying to change his mind, he brought up something that I would like to see. Because here's what I've said. We're going to have a standard, I want to make sure it's the same standard.

Councilman Shyne: And you see the Mayor over there is an excellent debater. I was about to write Romney Mr. Mayor and tell him that he needs to call you, so he can get some points on his debating. And Mr. Chairman, the Mayor is an excellent debater, and I didn't see Councilman Jenkins giving any.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

7. **Ordinance No. 7 of 2012**: An ordinance amending the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on January 24, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

8. **Ordinance No. 8 of 2012: ZONING – C-85-11**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the south side of Kings Highway, 225 feet east of Highland Avenue, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from B-1, Buffer Business District to B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District limited to “Fortune Telling and Palm Reading” only, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

Having passed first reading on January 24, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Chairman, I'm also good at palm reading.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I see.

9. **Ordinance No. 9 of 2012: ZONING – C-2-12**: An ordinance amending Chapter 106 of the Code of Ordinances, the City of Shreveport Zoning Ordinance, by rezoning property located on the NE corner of Elizabeth Avenue and Wichita Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, from R-3, Urban Multi-Family Residence District, to B-1, Buffer Business District, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (B/Everson)

Having passed first reading on January 24, 2012 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Shyne to adopt.

Councilman Everson: I was just going to say, I just ask everybody to support this motion. This is a parking lot that's going to be added to service Northwestern State

University and it will be a great benefit to the neighborhood, and get some cars off the street, and a nice looking parking lot too.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 1 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 BUDGET FOR THE RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Sam Jenkins

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 115 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

General Government

Increase Other Charges by \$25,000

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$25,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 115 of 2011 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions,

items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 3 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 BUDGET FOR THE RIVERFRONT DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: Councilman Sam Jenkins

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 115 of 2011, the 2012 budget for the Riverfront Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

General Government

Increase Other Charges by \$15,000

Decrease Operating Reserves by \$15,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 115 of 2011 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid

provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 7 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2012 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN S. JENKINS

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget to shift project funding and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 124 of 2011, the 2012 Capital Improvements Budget, be amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Program H (Airport Improvements):

Establish a new project entitled Relocate the Automated Surface Observing System (ASOS) at Downtown Airport with an appropriation of \$96,000.00. Funding sources is 100% Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development. The scope of this project is to fund the pouring of a new foundation 300 feet southeast of the current ASOS location, relocate the AOSS sensors and recalibrate the equipment.

Establish a new project entitled **General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation** at Regional Airport with an appropriation of \$2.9M. Funding sources is 100% Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development. The scope of this project is to fund the replacement of approximately 100 concrete panels that are failing, cracking and spalling on the general aviation ramp.

Establish a new project entitled **Tug Road Rehabilitation** at Regional Airport with an appropriation of \$130,000.00. The scope of this project is to fund the engineering work necessary for the improvements of tug road and result in ensuring safe operation of all vehicles on the airport.

Establish a new project entitled **Terminal Improvements** at Regional Airport with an appropriation of \$200,000.00. The scope of this project is to fund the replacement of

40,600 feet of carpet or other flooring along both concourses. Replacing the carpet will result in passenger safety and enhance the appearance of the terminal.

Establish a new project entitled **Airfield Guidance Signs Replacement – Phase I and II** at Regional Airport with an appropriation of \$738,175.00. The scope of this project is to fund the engineering work necessary to remove 27 mandatory airfield guidance signs and install new LED based signs and foundations. This work will result in maintaining FAA compliance and lower electricity consumption on the airfield.

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 124 of 2011, as amended, shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provisions or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict hereby are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 8 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF KINGS HIGHWAY, 225 FEET EAST OF HIGHLAND AVENUE, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT TO B-1-E, BUFFER BUSINESS/EXTENDED USE DISTRICT LIMITED TO “FORTUNE TELLING AND PALM READING” ONLY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the south side of Kings Highway, 225 feet east of Highland Avenue, legally described as the E/2 of Lot 5 and the west 30 feet of Lot 6, West Gladstone Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana be and the same is hereby changed **from B-1, Buffer Business District to B-1-E, Buffer Business/Extended Use District limited to “fortune telling and palm reading” only.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulations:

1. Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.
2. "Fortune telling and palm reading" shall occur only in those rooms designated on the approved site plan. The remainder of the building shall be used exclusively for residential purposes only, as long as this approval is in effect.
3. Applicant shall repair the community drive on the east side of the building.

NOTE: The applicant shall operate in compliance with all other City Ordinances (i.e., handicap access, sign regulations, etc.).

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 9 OF 2012

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 106 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES, THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT ZONING ORDINANCE, BY PROPERTY LOCATED ON LOCATED ON THE NE CORNER OF ELIZABETH AVENUE AND WICHITA STREET, SHREVEPORT, CADDO PARISH, LOUISIANA, FROM R-3, URBAN, MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT, TO B-1, BUFFER BUSINESS DISTRICT AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

SECTION I: BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened, that the zoning classification of property located on the NE corner of Elizabeth Avenue and Wichita Street, and legally described as rezone Lots 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16, Block A, Margaret Place Subdivision, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, LA, and the W/2 of the closed and abandoned 20 foot wide alley adjacent to said lots that was revoked by Ord. No.

220 of 1924, be and the same is hereby changed **from R-3 Urban, Multi-Family Residence District to B-1, Buffer Business District.**

SECTION II: THAT the rezoning of the property described herein is subject to compliance with the following stipulation:

1. Development of the property of the property shall be in substantial accord with the site plan submitted with any significant changes or additions requiring further review and approval by the Planning Commission.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items, or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Mr. Thompson: That's all of the matters on to be - - - all of the Ordinances and Resolutions, I'm not aware of any tabled legislation that needs to be removed or any appeals until we get to Section C, the Metropolitan Planning Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals.

Tabled legislation.

1. **Resolution No. 118 of 2011**: A resolution authorizing the institution of expropriation proceedings against certain described property within the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. P47 (B/Everson) (*Tabled July 12, 2011*)
2. **Ordinance No. 89 of 2011**: An ordinance amending and reenacting Chapter 74 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Solid Waste Collections, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins) (*Tabled September 13, 2011*)
3. **Resolution No. 179 of 2011**: Authorizing Melba Yvonne Warr Baldwin located at 382 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (Near D and is not contiguous to the city limits.) (*Tabled Oct 11, 2011*)

4. **Resolution No. 222 of 2011**: Authorizing Lawrence Johnson Franklin Jr. and Elizabeth Fry Franklin located at 421 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
5. **Resolution No. 223 of 2011**: Authoring Lee Roy Stanley located at 419 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
6. **Resolution No. 226 of 2011**: Authorizing Frank G. Harris III located at 437 Bob White Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
7. **Resolution No. 227 of 2011**: Authorizing Kaylan Franklin Worley, Sr. located at 3008 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
8. **Resolution No. 228 of 2011**: Authorizing Jeffery Charles Shamsie located at 414 Mohican Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
9. **Resolution No. 229 of 2011**: Authorizing Joan E. Thoma located at 2036 Pepper Ridge Lane to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
10. **Resolution No. 230 of 2011**: Authorizing Henry Eugene Raines, Jr., and Gloria Jean Wingate Raines located at 3004 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*
11. **Resolution No. 231 of 2011**: Authorizing William Sidney Hunt and Gaynel Neyrey Hunt located at 10015 Nightingale Drive to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is*

located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)

12. **Resolution No. 233 of 2011**: Authorizing James Allan Bell and Betty Ann Kilpatrick Bell located at 3055 Dryden Court to connect to the water and sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits)(D/Corbin) (Tabled Oct 11, 2011)*

13. **Resolution No. 241 of 2011**: Requiring the Chief Administrative Officer to offer the fair market value (and if accepted) to purchase the flood prone properties located at 8035 and 8037 Jewella Avenue by October 26, 2011, or to provide a report to the council relative to this matter on that date and to otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(Tabled October 24, 2011)*

14. **Resolution No. 243 of 2011**: Authorizing James Michael Johnson and Kelly Renee Larry Johnson located at 352 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)*

15. **Resolution No. 244 of 2011**: Authorizing Byron Wayne Ruesch and Leslie Baldwin Ruesch Located at 369 Cope Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto. *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)*

16. **Resolution No. 248 of 2011**: Authorizing Claude E. Franklin and Mary Elizabeth Womack Franklin located at 442 Bob White Lane to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)*

17. **Resolution No. 249 of 2011**: Authorizing Jason Wayne Waltman and Kristy Mcgee Waltman located at 3028 Nottingham Drive to connect to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport and otherwise providing with respect thereto *(The property is located near Council District D, and is not contiguous to the City limits) (Tabled October 24, 2011)*

18. **Resolution 211 of 2011**: Accepting dedication for Overbrook Court and Valencia Court in Esplanade Unit 3, Lake District and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (D/Corbin)(*Postponed November 8, 2011*) (*Tabled November 21, 2011*)

Appeals

Property Standards Appeals

PSD0800400: 5318 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. Darien Kirkendoll, P.O. Box 8703, Bossier City, LA. (*Postponed Sept 26, 2011 until Mar 26, 2012*)

PSD0900275: 140 Pennsylvania Avenue, Shreveport, LA (C/Jenkins) Mr. & Mrs. Robert A. Powell, 819 ½ Boulevard Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) (*Postponed January 23, 2012 until March 26, 2012*)

HBO1000030: 153 Merrick Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Lola B. Layton, P.O. Box 4582 Shreveport, LA 71134 (*Tabled Sept 12, 2011 until Mar 12, 2012*)

PSD1000086: 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) (*Postponed December 12, 2011 until March 7, 2012*)

PSD1000061: 9025 Hilton Dr, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Mr. Jim Bruce, 9045 Hilton Drive, Shreveport, LA 71118 (E/Webb) (*Postponed December 12, 2011 until February 13, 2012*)

PSD1000141 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) (*Tabled April 11, 2011*)

PSD1000112 532 Jordan, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Mr. Brian Lazon, 4441 Norway Drive, Shreveport, LA 71105 (C/O. Jenkins) (*Postponed December 12, 2011 until February 13, 2012*)

PSD1100017 3634 Sumner Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Roberto Strickland, 3740 Jackson Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed Dec 12, 2011 until March 12, 2012*)

PSD1100028 3103 Milam, Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Sean Jackson, 3524 16th Street, South Arlington, VA 22204 (*Postponed December 12, 2011 until February 13, 2012*)

PSD1000099 337 Griffin Street, Shreveport, LA 71104 (B/Everson) Mr. Michael Dellenger, 854 Stephenson Street, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) (*Postponed January 23, 2012 until March 12, 2012*)

PSD11000717: 1800 St. Paul, Shreveport, LA 71104 A/McCulloch) Ms. LaDonna Johson. 7212 Bobtail Circle, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) (*Postponed January 23, 2012 until March 26, 2012*)

HBO1100050 3819 Bobbitt Place, Shreveport, LA A/McCulloch) Ms. Cheryl Barnhardt, Jackson and McPherson, LLC., 1010 Common Street, Suite 1800, New Orleans, LA 70112

(To be considered April 23, 2012)

Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals

Denial of application for Renewal of Alcohol Beverage Permit for *Tripple JJJ*, 8965 Mansfield Road, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Mr. Benjamin Tang, c/o Triple JJJ, 8965 Mansfield Road, Shreveport LA (E/Webb)

Denial of application for Renewal of Alcohol Beverage Permit for *In and Out*, 880 Flournoy Lucas, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb) Mr. Benjamin Tang c/o Southern Hills In & Out, 880 Flournoy Lucas, Shreveport, LA (E/Webb)

Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals

The Clerk read the following:

1. **BAC-107-11:** Property located on the NW corner of Stoner & Market Streets. (B/Everson) Gary Foster, VP McClub, Inc. Cheryl Giglio Property Owner, 202 Stoner Avenue, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson)

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Shyne to uphold the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals and deny the application for a special exception use.

Councilman Everson: Just as a clarification, I did receive some additional information from the MPC, some information about the new layout, that they still have an equal amount of concerns that they had with the old layout, and with the opposition of the neighborhood associations, and some of the record of activity through the police

department. (Inaudible) anticipate that this added use would create some additional problems at this location. So I'm asking that you uphold the decision of the ZBA.

Mr. Thompson: And Mr. Chairman, I believe that that motion will deny the application.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: None.

Other Appeals

Taxi Appeal

Reports from officers, boards, and committees

Councilman Shyne: Tuesday, February 21st, time 5:30, place Police Assembly Room, 1234 Texas Avenue, the second floor, and I believe the Chief will have somebody in place to show the public where to go.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I don't think you want to leave it like that do you? Show the public where to go?

Councilman Shyne: I mean - - - you know what I mean.

Councilman Everson: Tell them which room.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, you know how it is with us Christians.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I just want the minutes to look right Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Well, okay. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Well I don't have one. The Infrastructure Committee Meeting, that's actually scheduled February 27th at 1:30. So in that meeting, we will be doing further discussion on streets and drainage, as well as water issues along with the street payment management program. That's February 27th at 1:30 here in the Conference Room. Is that correct?

Councilman Everson: That's correct.

Councilwoman McCulloch: We'll be meeting in the Conference Room again. So those people who are interested, we'd like for you to attend that meeting as well.

Councilman Everson: And that'll be a good meeting to come join in and listen about the water issues, the streets, as well as we'll be reviewing the state of the infrastructure report that everyone should have received today. We appreciate the engineering folks, I think that Barbara was the one that completed that, and so we thank them for getting that to us in a timely fashion. We just received it so hopefully we'll have a chance to digest it a little bit and discuss it at the meeting as well. So it'll be a good chance for us to kinda look at where we are and discuss sort of what's (inaudible), you know what needs to be on our radar. We had a Riverfront Committee meeting today actually. I know I mentioned a little bit about it earlier, but we did discuss the civic appropriations process in further detail. We have come up with a list of recommendations. There was a motion made and it passed to support that slate of amendments to the current procedure. So a draft will be formed and we'll review it at the next meeting which will be March 27th at 2:00. And we'll mention that at the next Council Meeting. And we also (inaudible) when this becomes law, we'll take into consideration the fact that people are going to need to learn these changes and so we'll be looking at potentially some workshops to describe these changes and working with a number of local organizations who can help in the facilitation of that education (inaudible) community.

Councilman Shyne: I was just going to tell you I think the people standing up in the back will let us know (inaudible).

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm trying to move on down the line. Any other reports from Officers, Boards or Committees? I do have a (inaudible) that there will be an updated report going out sometime this week to the ongoing internal audit on the contract with the financial advisor. I'm sure that members of the Council will be receiving that information. I understand that we do not have a Clerk's report?

Clerk's Report

The Committee "rises and reports" (reconvenes the regular council meeting)

Adjournment: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 6:54 p.m.

//s// Sam L. Jenkins, Jr., Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council